



Traffic Impact Study for the Petaluma Appellation Hotel Project



Prepared for the City of Petaluma

Submitted by
W-Trans

September 26, 2023



**TRAFFIC ENGINEERING
TRANSPORTATION PLANNING**
Balancing Functionality and Livability since 1995
w-trans.com



This page intentionally left blank

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Introduction.....	2
Transportation Setting.....	4
Vehicle Capacity Analysis.....	8
Alternative Modes	19
Access and Circulation.....	20
Parking.....	21
Conclusions and Recommendations.....	22
Study Participants and References.....	23

Figures

1. Study Area and Existing Lane Configurations	3
2. Existing Traffic Volumes	10
3. Future Traffic Volumes.....	11
4. Site Plan	13
5. Project Traffic Volumes and Trip Distribution	16

Tables

1. Collision Rates at the Study Intersections	5
2. Bicycle Facility Summary	6
3. Signalized Intersection Level of Service Criteria.....	8
4. Existing PM Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service	9
5. Future PM Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service.....	12
6. Trip Generation Summary	14
7. Base Project Trip Distribution Assumptions.....	15
8. Existing and Existing plus Project PM Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service	18
9. Future and Future plus Project PM Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service	18

Appendices

- A. Traffic Counts
- B. Collision Rate Calculations
- C. Intersection Level of Service Calculations
- D. Queuing Calculations
- E. Parking Requirements



This page intentionally left blank

Executive Summary

The proposed project is a 93-guestroom boutique hotel with 6,625 square feet of restaurant space to be located at 2 Petaluma Boulevard South in the City of Petaluma. The proposed operation includes a valet service to pick vehicles up at the project frontage on Petaluma Boulevard and take them around the block and back to the underground parking lot off B Street or to the existing parking structure at 149 C Street where 20 spaces have been acquired. Based on the application of standard trip generation rates and assumptions of the valet service, the development would be expected to generate an average of 1,174 trips daily, including 99 trips during the weekday evening peak hour.

Four intersections in downtown Petaluma were evaluated to assess potential traffic impacts. Three of the four have experienced collisions at rates near the statewide average for similar facilities based on records for a five-year period. During the weekday p.m. peak hour, the study intersections are currently operating at LOS D or better and would continue to operate at the same levels of service with project volumes added.

Based on projected future volumes, Petaluma Boulevard/D Street is anticipated to operate unacceptably at LOS E during the evening peak period, but the other three intersections would continue operating acceptably at LOS D. The addition of project-generated trips would be expected to result in nominal increases in overall average delay and all intersections would continue operating at the same levels of service, indicating an acceptable impact on traffic operation.

The site's proximity to the Downtown Petaluma SMART station qualifies the project for VMT screening according to criteria established by the City, meaning all components of the project can be presumed to have a less-than-significant impact on VMT. Beyond VMT screening there are several additional factors including proximity to two bus transit hubs, anticipated shifts in hotel guest and event attendee VMT (rather than net increases in guest and attendee VMT), and the site's presence in a zone with low employee VMT according to the regional travel demand model that support a less-than-significant VMT finding.

With the planned allocation of space on the project's Petaluma Boulevard South frontage for a future transit stop together with existing transit facilities, the project site is adequately served by transit. Bicycle facilities will be adequate with the planned improvements within the area implemented. With the construction of the project, the existing driveway on Petaluma Boulevard South would be filled in to be level with the sidewalk and the existing midblock crosswalk on B Street would be removed. If the City wishes to reinstall the crosswalk at a later time it should install a warning system at the driveway to alert pedestrians of vehicles exiting the project garage.

Sight distance at the existing project driveway on B Street is adequate, though it is recommended that any additional landscaping or signage at the project driveway be placed outside the driver's vision triangle. Based on the queuing calculations the project would not create a queue on Petaluma Boulevard South. There is an approximately five percent chance that more than three vehicles will arrive and want to queue on Petaluma Boulevard South, so it is likely that the proposed operation will be adequate and not spill onto the travel lanes.

The proposed on-site and off-site parking supply is adequate to satisfy the City's requirements. To meet City requirements, the applicant should include a minimum of eight bicycle parking spaces on-site.

Introduction

This report presents an analysis of the potential traffic impacts that would be associated with development of a proposed boutique hotel to be located at 2 Petaluma Boulevard South in the City of Petaluma. The traffic study was completed in accordance with the criteria established by the City of Petaluma and is consistent with standard traffic engineering techniques.

Prelude

The purpose of a traffic impact study is to provide City staff and policy makers with data they can use to make an informed decision regarding the potential traffic impacts of a proposed project, and any associated improvements that would be required to reduce these impacts to a level of acceptability as defined by the City's General Plan or other policies. Vehicular traffic impacts are typically evaluated by determining the number of new trips that the proposed use would be expected to generate, distributing these trips to the surrounding street system based on existing travel patterns or anticipated travel patterns specific to the proposed project, then analyzing the impact the new traffic would be expected to have on critical intersections or roadway segments. Impacts relative to access for pedestrians, bicyclists, and to transit are also addressed.

Project Profile

The project includes construction of a 93-guestroom boutique hotel, including 3,155 square feet of restaurant dining on the first floor and a rooftop bar/restaurant with 3,470 square feet. The rooftop bar includes a total space of 5,600 square feet for events. The rooftop bar would not be included in any event. The project as proposed would remove the midblock crosswalk on B Street. The project site is on the southwest corner of Petaluma Boulevard South/B Street in the City of Petaluma, as shown in Figure 1.



Traffic Impact Study for the Petaluma Appellation Hotel Project
Figure 1 – Study Area and Existing Lane Configurations

Transportation Setting

Operational Analysis

Study Area and Periods

The study area consists of the following intersections:

1. Petaluma Boulevard/East Washington Street
2. Petaluma Boulevard/Western Avenue
3. Petaluma Boulevard/B Street
4. Petaluma Boulevard/D Street

Operating conditions during the weekday p.m. peak hour were evaluated, as this time period reflects the highest traffic volumes area-wide and for the proposed project. The evening peak hour occurs between 4:00 and 6:00 p.m. and typically reflects the highest level of congestion of the day, during the homeward bound commute.

Study Intersections

Petaluma Boulevard/East Washington Street is a four-legged signalized intersection with protected left-turn phasing on all approaches. Sharrows, or pavement markings indicating that the lane is to be shared with bicycles, are present along Petaluma Boulevard, and crosswalks with pedestrian signal phasing are present at each leg of the intersection. Traffic counts for this intersection were obtained on August 29, 2019.

Petaluma Boulevard/Western Avenue is a signalized four-way intersection with protected left-turn phasing serving the northbound approach. Water Street is a low-volume, one-way approach. Sharrows are present along Petaluma Boulevard, and crosswalks with associated pedestrian phasing are present at each leg of the intersection. Traffic counts were obtained on August 29, 2019, for this intersection.

Petaluma Boulevard/B Street is a four-legged signalized intersection, with protected left-turn phasing on the eastbound and westbound approaches of Petaluma Boulevard. There are crosswalks and pedestrian phasing at all four legs of the intersection, and sharrows are present along Petaluma Boulevard. On August 29, 2019, traffic counts were obtained for this intersection.

Petaluma Boulevard/D Street is a four-legged signalized intersection, with protected left-turn phasing on all four approaches. There are crosswalks with pedestrian phasing at all four legs, and sharrows are present along Petaluma Boulevard. Traffic counts for this intersection were obtained on October 27, 2021.

The locations of the study intersections and the existing lane configurations and controls are shown in Figure 1. Traffic counts are provided in Appendix A. As described below in the Existing Conditions section, these 2019 counts were factored to reflect 2023 traffic volume conditions.

Study Roadway

Petaluma Boulevard runs in a diagonal direction through the City of Petaluma, and for the purpose of the study the roadway was assumed to be oriented in a north-south direction at the East Washington Street and Western Avenue intersections and in an east-west orientation at the B and D Street study intersections. The studied segment of Petaluma Boulevard South between East Washington Street and D Street runs through the City of Petaluma's downtown with one lane in each direction divided by either a painted median or a two-way left-turn lane in the center.

Collision History

The collision history for the study area was reviewed to determine any trends or patterns that may indicate a safety issue. Collision rates were calculated based on records available from the California Highway Patrol as published in their *Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS)* reports. The most current five-year period available is January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2022.

As presented in Table 1, the calculated collision rates for the study intersections were compared to average collision rates for similar facilities statewide, as indicated in *2016 Collision Data on California State Highways*, California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). Based on the five-year period evaluated, the intersections of Petaluma Boulevard/Western Avenue, Petaluma Boulevard/B Street, and Petaluma Boulevard/D Street experienced collision rates below the statewide average for similar facilities. The collision rate calculations are provided in Appendix B.

Table 1 – Collision Rates at the Study Intersections

Study Intersection	Number of Collisions (2018-2022)	Calculated Collision Rate (c/mve)	Statewide Average Collision Rate (c/mve)
1. Petaluma Blvd/E Washington St	23	0.42	0.33
2. Petaluma Blvd/Western Ave	6	0.30	0.33
3. Petaluma Blvd/B St	4	0.21	0.33
4. Petaluma Blvd/D St	12	0.32	0.33

Note: c/mve = collisions per million vehicles entering; **Bold** text = higher than state average

The intersection of Petaluma Boulevard/E Washington Street had a collision rate of 0.42 collisions per million vehicles (c/mve) which is above the statewide average for four-way signalized intersections in urban areas, which is 0.33 c/mve. The collision rate has not worsened since the last report, but the statewide average collision rate for similar intersections in California has improved. The collisions at the intersection of Petaluma Boulevard/E Washington Street were further reviewed to provide safety recommendations. Of the collisions reported at the intersection 14 were rear-ends, five were sideswipes, three were broadsides, and one hit object collision. Unsafe speeds were the cause of seven of the collisions, six were due to improper turning, five were caused by unsafe stopping or backing, two were due to driving under the influence, two were due to ignoring traffic signals and signs, and one was caused by unsafe lane changes. Reflective backing around the traffic signals to increase visibility of the traffic signals should be considered at the intersection of Petaluma Boulevard/E Washington Street.

Alternative Modes

Pedestrian Facilities

Pedestrian facilities include sidewalks, crosswalks, pedestrian signal phases, curb ramps, curb extensions, and various streetscape amenities such as lighting, benches, etc. In general, a network of sidewalks, crosswalks, pedestrian signals, and curb ramps provide access for pedestrians in the vicinity of the proposed project site. Pedestrian-scaled streetlights are provided along Petaluma Boulevard South. There is an existing midblock crosswalk approximately 70 feet south of Petaluma Boulevard South on B Street which the project proposes to remove. The midblock crosswalk would be removed according to standards set forth by the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Street and Highways (MUTCD), *Federal Highway Administration*, 2009. It is noted during the time period evaluated for the collision analysis, there was a collision involving a pedestrian in the crosswalk on B Street which resulted in a minor injury.

Bicycle Facilities

The *Highway Design Manual*, Caltrans, 2017, classifies bikeways into four categories:

- **Class I Multi-Use Path** – a completely separated right-of-way for the exclusive use of bicycles and pedestrians with cross flows of motorized traffic minimized.
- **Class II Bike Lane** – a striped and signed lane for one-way bike travel on a street or highway.
- **Class III Bike Route** – signing only for shared use with motor vehicles within the same travel lane on a street or highway.
- **Class IV Bikeway** – also known as a separated bikeway, a Class IV Bikeway is for the exclusive use of bicycles and includes a separation between the bikeway and the motor vehicle traffic lane. The separation may include, but is not limited to, grade separation, flexible posts, inflexible physical barriers, or on-street parking.

West of the project site, Class II bike lanes exist on B Street between 4th Street and El Rose Drive. Petaluma Boulevard is classified as a bicycle route between Lakeville Street and D Street. Bicyclists ride in the roadway and/or on sidewalks along all other streets within the project study area. According to the SCTA *Countywide Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan*, there are planned bicycle lanes to connect to the existing lanes on Petaluma Boulevard between D Street and Kastania Road. A bicycle route is planned on B Street between 1st Street and 4th Street, connecting to the existing bicycle lanes on B Street. Table 2 summarizes the existing and planned bicycle facilities in the project vicinity, as contained in the *SCTA Countywide Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan*.

Table 2 – Bicycle Facility Summary

Status Facility	Class	Length (miles)	Begin Point	End Point
Existing				
Western Ave	II	1.50	City Limits	Petaluma Blvd
B St	II	0.70	4 th St	El Rose Dr
D St	II	1.00	6 th St	City Limits
Petaluma Blvd	III	0.70	Lakeville St	D St
Planned				
Petaluma Blvd	II	1.90	D St	Kastania Rd
D St	II	0.50	6 th St	Lakeville St
B St	III	0.20	1 st St	4 th St

Source: *SCTA Countywide Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan*, Sonoma County Transportation Authority, 2014

Transit Facilities

The project site is within a one-quarter mile walking distance from bus stops serviced by both Golden Gate Transit and Petaluma Transit. The project site is also within an acceptable walking distance of 0.40 miles from the Copeland Transit Mall, Petaluma Downtown SMART station, and the transit hub on 4th Street. The Copeland Transit Mall is serviced by the Golden Gate Transit, Sonoma County Transit, and Petaluma Transit.

Two bicycles can be carried on most transit buses. Bike rack space is on a first come, first served basis. Additional bicycles are allowed on buses at the discretion of the driver.

Petaluma Transit

The Petaluma Transit provides fixed route bus service within the City of Petaluma. Petaluma Transit Route 10 provides loop service between the Copeland Transit Mall and the Factory Outlets on Petaluma Boulevard North. Route 10 operates Monday through Friday with approximately half-hour to one-hour headways between 7:32 a.m. and 6:29 p.m.

Route 11 provides loop bus service between the Copeland Transit Mall and the Safeway Transit Center on Maria Drive. Route 11 operates Monday through Friday with approximately one-half hour headways between 6:30 a.m.

and 8:23 p.m. Weekend and Holiday service operates with one-half hour headways between 7:30 a.m. and 8:23 p.m.

Route 501 is a fixed route school bus service that runs between the intersection of Petaluma Boulevard/Gossage Avenue and Petaluma Boulevard/Miller Road. The service runs from 6:45 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. on weekdays with a morning and after school headway of 45 minutes. On Wednesdays an extra circuit is run after school. The service does not run on weekends.

Golden Gate Transit

The Golden Gate Transit (GGT) provides regional bus service within Sonoma County and throughout the Bay Area. Route 101 provides regional service between Santa Rosa and San Francisco, with a stop at the Copeland Transit Mall. Weekday service operates Monday through Friday with approximately 20-minute to one-hour headways between 4:00 a.m. and 12:00 a.m. Saturday service operates with approximately one-half hour to one-hour headways and operates almost 24 hours between 3:00 a.m. and 2:30 a.m. Similarly, Sunday and holiday service operates with approximately one-half hour to one-hour headways between 3:49 a.m. and 2:30 a.m.

Sonoma County Transit

The Sonoma County Transit (SCT) provides regional route bus service between the City of Petaluma and surrounding areas within Sonoma County. SCT Routes 40 and 53 provide weekday service between the Copeland Transit Mall and the Sonoma Plaza. Service operates Monday through Friday with approximately two- to five-hour headways between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m.

Routes 44, 48 and 54 provide regional transit service between Petaluma and Santa Rosa, with stops in Rohnert Park, Cotati, and Penngrove. All routes stop at the Copeland Transit Mall and operate Monday through Friday with approximately 30-minute to one-hour headways between 5:20 a.m. and 10:29 p.m. Routes 44 and 48 provide weekend service with approximately one- to two-hour headways between 7:00 a.m. and 10:12 p.m.

Paratransit Service

Dial-a-ride, also known as paratransit, or door-to-door service, is available for those who are unable to independently use the transit system due to a physical or mental disability. Petaluma Transit Paratransit is designed to serve the needs of individuals with disabilities within the City of Petaluma. GGT Paratransit serves the needs of individuals within 0.75 miles of a GGT regional bus route stop. SCT Paratransit serves the needs of individuals with disabilities within the City of Petaluma and the greater Sonoma County area.

SMART

The Downtown Petaluma SMART Station is located approximately 0.40 miles north of the project site, and while not within the one-quarter mile walking distance typically considered "convenient," this station is within an acceptable walking distance for most people. The SMART Train provides service between the Sonoma County Airport and Larkspur, with stops in Santa Rosa, Rohnert Park, Cotati, Petaluma, Novato, and San Rafael. Weekday service operates with approximately 30-minute to one-hour headways between 4:30 a.m. and 9:46 p.m. Weekend service operates with one- to three-hour headways between 7:35 a.m. and 7:56 p.m.

Vehicle Capacity Analysis

Intersection Level of Service Methodologies

Level of Service (LOS) is used to rank traffic operation on various types of facilities based on traffic volumes and roadway capacity using a series of letter designations ranging from A to F. Generally, Level of Service A represents free flow conditions and Level of Service F represents forced flow or breakdown conditions. A unit of measure that indicates a level of delay generally accompanies the LOS designation.

The study intersections were analyzed using methodologies published in the *Highway Capacity Manual* (HCM), Transportation Research Board, 6th Edition, 2018. This source contains methodologies for various types of intersection control, all of which are related to a measurement of delay in average number of seconds per vehicle.

All four of the study intersections are controlled by a traffic signal and were evaluated using the signalized methodology from the HCM. This methodology is based on factors including traffic volumes, green time for each movement, phasing, whether the signals are coordinated or not, truck traffic, and pedestrian activity. Average stopped delay per vehicle in seconds is used as the basis for evaluation in this LOS methodology. For purposes of this study, delays were calculated using signal timing obtained from the City of Petaluma.

The ranges of delay associated with the various levels of service are indicated in Table 3.

Table 3 – Signalized Intersection Level of Service Criteria

LOS A	Delay of 0 to 10 seconds. Most vehicles arrive during the green phase, so do not stop at all.
LOS B	Delay of 10 to 20 seconds. More vehicles stop than with LOS A, but many drivers still do not have to stop.
LOS C	Delay of 20 to 35 seconds. The number of vehicles stopping is substantial, although many still pass through without stopping.
LOS D	Delay of 35 to 55 seconds. The influence of congestion is noticeable, and most vehicles have to stop.
LOS E	Delay of 55 to 80 seconds. Most, if not all, vehicles must stop, and drivers consider the delay excessive.
LOS F	Delay of more than 80 seconds. Vehicles may wait through more than one cycle to clear the intersection.

Reference: *Highway Capacity Manual*, Transportation Research Board, 6th Edition, 2018

Traffic Operation Standards

City of Petaluma

The *Petaluma General Plan 2025* has an adopted Level of Service (LOS) standard for streets that indicates the minimum acceptable operation is LOS D, with the following criteria for motor vehicle circulation:

Policy 5-P-10 – *Maintain an intersection level of service (LOS) standard for motor vehicle circulation that ensures efficient traffic flow and supports multi-modal mobility goals. LOS should be maintained at Level D or better for motor vehicles due to traffic from any development project.*

With the current General Plan, the City is shifting toward a multimodal emphasis and LOS standard. “A multimodal analysis that, in addition to motor vehicles, takes into consideration the overall mobility and conditions for non-auto road users (i.e., bicycles and pedestrians) is highly encouraged.” The Community Character Element of the General Plan also contains circulation-related objectives and policies. This element directs that pedestrian and bicycle circulation be integrated into street designs and improvements. It also states that the amount of paving and the apparent width of streets should be reduced where possible.

Per the General Plan, the project would be considered responsible for intersection improvements if it causes the average delay at an intersection already operating or expected to operate at LOS D or E to deteriorate to the next lower level of service.

Existing Conditions

The Existing Conditions scenario provides an evaluation of current operation based on existing traffic volumes during the weekday p.m. peak period. This condition does not include project-generated traffic volumes. Peak hour traffic volumes were previously collected in May and August of 2019 as well as October of 2021. Previous versions of this traffic study used the 2019 data since the volumes were higher than the 2021 counts. For this effort, transportation analytics obtained from the Streetlight Data platform were acquired for the segment of Petaluma Boulevard between B Street and C Street along the hotel frontage, when comparing the 2023 Streetlight traffic volume data vs. the 2019 volumes, it was found that peak hour traffic volumes were two percent higher in 2023 compared to 2019. Therefore, the 2019 intersection turning movement volumes were factored up by two percent to represent 2023 conditions for this analysis.

Under existing conditions, the study intersections are all operating acceptably at LOS C or D. A summary of the intersection level of service calculations is contained in Table 4, and copies of the Level of Service calculations are provided in Appendix C. Existing traffic volumes are shown in Figure 2.

Table 4 – Existing PM Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service


Study Intersection	PM Peak	
	Delay	LOS
1. Petaluma Blvd/E Washington St	44.3	D
2. Petaluma Blvd/Western Ave	31.7	C
3. Petaluma Blvd/B St	28.9	C
4. Petaluma Blvd/D St	53.8	D

Notes: Delay is measured in average seconds per vehicle; LOS = Level of Service


Future Conditions

Segment volumes for the horizon year of 2040 were obtained from the County's gravity demand model, maintained by the Sonoma County Transportation Authority (SCTA), and translated to weekday p.m. peak hour turning movement volumes at the study intersections using the "Furness" method. The Furness method is an iterative process that employs existing turning movement data, existing link volumes and future link volumes to project likely turning future movement volumes at intersections. Because the County's model does not project link volumes along B Street and projects a low future growth, a one-half percent per year growth was applied as the floor, or minimum anticipated increase in traffic volumes where model volumes were lower.

Under the anticipated Future volumes, operation at Petaluma Boulevard South/B Street would deteriorate from LOS C to LOS D, but the intersection would continue operating acceptably. Petaluma Boulevard/East Washington Street and Petaluma Boulevard/Western Avenue would operate at LOS D during the study period; it is noted that timing was optimized to match anticipated conditions in the *Petaluma General Plan 2025 Draft Environmental Impact Report* (DEIR), 2006, and since timing would reasonably be expected to change in the future as volumes change. Petaluma Boulevard/D Street would be expected to operate unacceptably at LOS E in the future p.m. peak hour, which is consistent with the *Petaluma General Plan 2025 DEIR*. Future volumes are shown in Figure 3 and operating conditions are summarized in Table 5.



Traffic Impact Study for the Petaluma Appellation Hotel Project
Figure 2 – Existing Traffic Volumes



Traffic Impact Study for the Petaluma Appellation Hotel Project
Figure 3 – Future Traffic Volumes

Table 5 – Future PM Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service

Study Intersection	PM Peak	
	Delay	LOS
1. Petaluma Blvd/E Washington St	48.4	D
2. Petaluma Blvd/Western Ave	36.2	D
3. Petaluma Blvd/B St	36.8	D
4. Petaluma Blvd/D St	56.9	E

Notes: Delay is measured in average seconds per vehicle; LOS = Level of Service; **Bold** text = deficient operation

Project Description

The proposed project is a new 93-guestroom boutique hotel at 2 Petaluma Boulevard South in the City of Petaluma. Additionally, a restaurant with 3,155 square feet of indoor dining on the first floor and a rooftop bar/restaurant with 3,470 square feet of outdoor dining is proposed for both guest and public use. The rooftop bar includes a total space of 5,600 square feet for events. For the purposes of this analysis, vehicle trips for the restaurant space were based on 3,155 square feet plus 3,470 square feet for a total of 6,625 square feet.

All parking at the hotel would be valet except for employees. There are 54 parking spaces proposed below ground-level plus two below-level loading spaces and 20 spaces have been secured at the existing parking structure located at 149 C Street in the Theatre District. There would also be three valet loading and unloading spots in front of the hotel on Petaluma Boulevard and would not be intended for parking. The proposed loading and unloading zones would be separate from the proposed valet spots. The proposed loading and unloading zones would be available for public use. All the guest parking would be through a valet service which would occur on Petaluma Boulevard South along the project frontage. Employees would self-park and would be allowed to park in the underground lot if spaces are available. The project would include 26 full-time employees, including the four valets during peak operation. The project would also provide accessible parking spaces along the project frontage.


The proposed project site plan is shown in Figure 4.

Trip Generation

The anticipated trip generation for the proposed project was estimated using standard rates published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) in *Trip Generation Manual*, 10th Edition, 2017 for “Hotel” (ITE LU #310) in a city center-core area since the proposed project would be located within the core of City of Petaluma’s downtown area. (Note: Trip rates for a hotel in a city center-core area were lower in the 11th Edition compared to the 10th Edition, so the more conservative 10th Edition rates were used.) Since the restaurant is open to the public, the land use “Quality Restaurant” (ITE LU #931) was used for both the indoor and outdoor dining space. It should be noted that the Hotel land use already assumes supporting facilities such as restaurants and event spaces for business and board meetings. However, due to the size of the proposed restaurant component and the accessibility and potential marketing towards non-guests, a separate restaurant trip generation was applied. A separate trip generation was not applied for the event space since the Hotel land use is assumed to include some event related traffic in the trip generation, as well as due to the small size of the event space and low anticipated use.

Internal Capture Trips

The *Trip Generation Handbook* includes data and methodologies that can be applied to determine the proportion of internal trips that may occur within a development area that includes a variety of land uses. Internal trips occur at mixed-use developments, and in the case of the restaurant would consist of hotel guests patronizing adjacent restaurant uses, as well as hotel employees patronizing the restaurant. These trips would be made by walking so would not affect the adjacent street network. A 12 percent internal capture reduction was applied to the trip generation of the use with the lower total trip generation (in this case the hotel) and the opposite ends of these trips were then deducted from the restaurant trip generation to account for internal trips and restaurant trips already included in base Hotel rate.



Traffic Impact Study for the Petaluma Appellation Hotel Project
Figure 4 – Site Plan

Total Project Trip Generation

All overnight hotel guests would be required to use the valet service for on-site underground parking. Valet service staff would drive guest vehicles from the valet drop-off at the project frontage on Petaluma Boulevard to either the site's underground parking off B Street or to the existing parking structure at 149 C Street in the Theatre District. Therefore, valet staff would drive guest vehicles around the block through Petaluma Boulevard/C Street, and then park vehicles underground off B Street or depart the valet spaces and turn left onto C Street towards the parking structure and return the vehicle by crossing Petaluma Boulevard at C Street then travelling around the block to return to the guests. These routes would add traffic to the Petaluma Boulevard/B Street intersection with the drop-off/pick-up activity of vehicles.

To account for the vehicle trips related to the valet service, the calculated trip rate was increased by 25 percent as it was assumed that overnight guests would use the valet service. This is based on the assumed percentage of overnight guest trips versus employees, restaurant patrons, delivery, etc. This valet trip rate increase is in addition to the normally expect trip generation rates of the proposed hotel.

Based on the application of these assumptions, the proposed project is expected to generate an average of 939 vehicle trips per day, including 79 trips during the p.m. peak hour. With the addition of valet trips on top of the hotel generated trips, the total proposed project vehicle trip generation would be 1,174 trips daily, with 99 trips during the evening peak hour. These results are summarized in Table 6.

Table 6 – Trip Generation Summary

Land Use	Units	Daily		PM Peak Hour			
		Rate	Trips	Rate	Trips	In	Out
Base Project Trips							
Hotel	93 rooms	5.49	511	0.40	37	18	19
<i>Internal Capture</i>		-12%	-61	-12%	-4	-2	-2
Quality Restaurant	6.63 ksf	83.84	556	7.80	52	35	17
<i>Internal Capture**</i>			-67		-6	-4	-2
Base Project Trips Sub-Total			939		79	41	32
Valet Trips							
Valet Percentage*		25%	235	25%	20	10	8
Total			1,174		99	51	40

Note: ksf = 1,000 square feet; *Valet Percentage of Base Project Trips Sub-Total; ** Opposite end of internally captured trips generated by the restaurant

Trip Distribution

Base Project Trip Distribution

The pattern used to allocate new project trips to/from the street network was determined by reviewing possible paths of travel between anticipated tourist attractors (i.e., the coast, wineries north of Petaluma, the Sonoma and Oakland/San Francisco Airports). The applied distribution assumptions and resulting trips are shown in Table 7.

Table 7 – Base Project Trip Distribution Assumptions

Route	Percent	Daily Trips	PM Trips
To/From East via E Washington St	30%	352	30
To/From West via B St	10%	118	10
To/From South via Petaluma Blvd S	50%	587	50
To/From North via Petaluma Blvd S	10%	117	9
TOTAL	100%	1,174	99

Valet Trip Distribution

As proposed, guests would drop off vehicle at the project frontage on Petaluma Boulevard North, and valet employees would either drive the vehicle around the block clockwise and then enter the valet lot via the driveway on B Street or turn left onto C Street towards and Theatre District parking structure then return via C Street to 4th Street to B Street. Both the project and valet trip routes are shown in Figure 5.

Vehicle Miles Traveled

The Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) associated with a project are the basis for determining traffic impacts under CEQA. The City of Petaluma identifies VMT significance thresholds and screening criteria in the *Senate Bill 743 Vehicle Miles Traveled Implementation Guidelines*, Fehr & Peers, July 2021.


CEQA allows for the use of screening criteria to identify certain types of projects that can be expected to cause a less-than-significant impact without needing to conduct a detailed analysis (CEQA Guidelines sections 15063©(3)(C), 15128, and the environmental checklist included in CEQA Appendix G). In Petaluma's *Senate Bill 743 Vehicle Miles Traveled Implementation Guidelines*, one such screening parameter pertains to projects in proximity to a major transit stop, indicating that development projects within one-half mile of the Downtown Petaluma SMART station may generally be presumed to have a less than significant VMT impact. In addition to being within the defined area, projects must not: have a Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of less than 0.75, include more parking than required by the City, be inconsistent with Plan Bay Area, or replace affordable residential units.

The proposed hotel is approximately 0.4 miles from the Downtown Petaluma SMART rail station and would be accessible to the station by both walking and bicycling. The site's FAR would exceed 0.75 and the project would not be inconsistent with Plan Bay Area or replace affordable residential units. With respect to parking, the project would provide fewer spaces than would be required by zoning if the site were located wholly outside of the downtown parking district. The intent of the parking provision in the City's VMT screening parameters is to ensure that a project does not provide excess parking that would incentivize or encourage automobile travel. The hotel as proposed would rely on a limited supply of onsite valet parking as well as offsite parking, both of which require additional time and effort for those wishing to park their vehicles and would act as deterrents to driving. As such, the project would meet the intent of the VMT screening parameter, regardless of any reductions in required parking associated with the site's partial footprint within the downtown parking district.

The hotel would occasionally host events. Such events would be held on the rooftop deck in areas otherwise used as common space or for the restaurant. These types of small events are typical of full-service hotels and would not be expected to create a regional draw generating new VMT, unlike hotels with large convention-type facilities. Accordingly, events are expected to have little effect on areawide VMT, and would not constitute an unusual project component that would fail to meet the City's VMT screening parameters.

In summary, all components of the proposed hotel would qualify for VMT screening, and the project may be presumed to have a less-than-significant VMT impact.

Finding – Based on the site's proximity to the Downtown Petaluma SMART station and application of screening criteria established by the City, the project can be presumed to have a less-than-significant impact on VMT.



pet169-3.ai 9/23

Traffic Impact Study for the Petaluma Appellation Hotel Project
Figure 5 – Project Traffic Volumes



Supplemental Information

As indicated above, the project qualifies for VMT screening criteria established by the City of Petaluma and may be presumed to have a less-than-significant VMT impact. In addition to the site's proximity to SMART, there are several additional factors that support a less-than-significant finding, as described below.

Proximity to Bus Transit

The hotel is located one block from the downtown transit hub on 4th Street and approximately 0.3 miles from the Copeland bus transit mall, both of which provide additional bus transit options to the hotel's employees, customers, and guests that further reduce the project's VMT potential.

Regional Shifts in Visitor/Guest VMT

At a regional level, such as measured at a Countywide or Bay Area geography, the addition of a new hotel would likely have similar effects to those shown to occur when adding new non-regional retail uses, in that guests of the new hotel would more than likely have simply stayed at a different lodging location if the new hotel did not exist (similar to retail uses, where new stores generally result in a redistribution of shopping trips rather than generation of entirely new trips). In other words, adding new hotel rooms does not necessarily change the overall demand for lodging in the region (such as the total numbers of tourists and business travelers), but instead changes the distribution of where those hotel stays occur. The same characteristics hold true for attendees of events. As such, the vehicle miles traveled associated with hotel guests and visitors can often be expected to result in a net zero change, or even a reduction in vehicle miles traveled if the new hotel is located in an area where there is an unmet lodging demand that is currently being served by more distant hotels (such as, for example, guests currently wanting to stay in downtown Petaluma but having to instead stay at locations further from downtown, or a hotel in Novato or Rohnert Park).

Low VMT per Employee

The proposed hotel would generate VMT associated with employee travel. The City of Petaluma has established a significance threshold of 18.9 VMT per employee for employment-based uses, which represents a reduction of 16.8 percent below the average regional VMT per employee of 22.7 miles. Based on VMT projections produced by the SCTM\19 regional travel demand model maintained by the Sonoma County Transportation Authority (SCTA), development within the project's traffic analysis zone (TAZ 796) is projected to result in a VMT per employee of 15.5 miles. This falls below the City's significance threshold, indicating that the VMT associated with employee travel would remain less-than-significant even if the project did not qualify for screening.

Intersection Operation

Existing plus Project Conditions

Upon the addition of project-related traffic to the Existing volumes, the study intersections are expected to operate acceptably at the same levels of service as without project trips. These results are summarized in Table 8. Project traffic volumes are shown in Figure 5.

Table 8 – Existing and Existing plus Project PM Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service

Study Intersection	Existing Conditions		Existing plus Project	
	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS
1. Petaluma Blvd/E Washington St	44.3	D	46.1	D
2. Petaluma Blvd/Western Ave	31.7	C	34.5	C
3. Petaluma Blvd/B St	28.9	C	31.1	C
4. Petaluma Blvd/D St	53.8	D	53.4	D

Notes: Delay is measured in average seconds per vehicle; LOS = Level of Service

It should be noted that with the addition of project-related traffic volumes, average delay at the intersection of Petaluma Boulevard South/D Street would be expected to decrease during the p.m. peak hour. While this is counter-intuitive, this condition occurs when a project adds trips to movements that are currently underutilized or have delays that are below the intersection average, resulting in a better balance between approaches and lower overall average delay. The project adds traffic to the through movement on Petaluma Boulevard South, which has an average delay that is lower than the average for the intersection as a whole, resulting in a slight reduction in the overall average delay. The conclusion could incorrectly be drawn that the project actually improves operation based on this data alone; however, it is more appropriate to conclude that the project trips are expected to make use of excess capacity, so drivers will experience little, if any, change in conditions as a result of the project.

Finding – The study intersections are expected to continue operating acceptably at the same levels of service upon the addition of project-generated traffic.

Future plus Project Conditions

Upon the addition of project-generated traffic to the anticipated Future volumes, the study intersections would continue operating at the same levels of service as without project volumes.

According to the General Plan, while Petaluma Boulevard/D Street is expected to operate unacceptably, since the project would not cause the intersection to deteriorate to a worse level, LOS F, the project's effect on operation would be considered acceptable. The Future plus Project operating conditions are summarized in Table 9.

Table 9 – Future and Future plus Project PM Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service

Study Intersection	Future Conditions		Future plus Project	
	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS
1. Petaluma Blvd/E Washington St	48.4	D	48.9	D
2. Petaluma Blvd/Western Ave	36.2	D	38.2	D
3. Petaluma Blvd/B St	36.8	D	38.5	D
4. Petaluma Blvd/D St	56.9	E	56.8	E

Notes: Delay is measured in average seconds per vehicle; LOS = Level of Service; **Bold** text = deficient operation

Similar to the Existing Conditions plus Project scenario, the project adds trips to the through movements on Petaluma Boulevard South at Petaluma Boulevard South/D Street, which results in a decrease of overall delay. As stated previously, in the *Petaluma General Plan 2025 DEIR*, the intersection Petaluma Boulevard/D Street is anticipated to operate unacceptably at LOS E, and since the project would not cause the intersection to deteriorate to LOS F, the project would be acceptable under the General Plan standards.

Finding – The study intersections would be expected to continue operating at the same LOS with or without the project traffic added. Because there are no deteriorations in service level, the minor increase in delay due to the project would be acceptable under the standards applied.

Alternative Modes

Pedestrian Facilities

Given the proximity of the downtown surrounding the site, it is reasonable to assume that some project patrons and employees will want to walk, bicycle, and/or use transit between the project site and the surrounding area.

Sidewalks exist along the project frontages of Petaluma Boulevard South and B Street. Based on the proposed site plan, the existing driveway curb cut along the project frontage on Petaluma Boulevard South would be eliminated to provide a level sidewalk.

The planned driveway to the parking garage below the street level is in very close proximity with the existing crosswalk that traverses B Street. The project as proposed would result in the removal of this crosswalk. If the City wishes to reinstall the crosswalk at a later point it may want to include a warning system consisting of sound and lights to alert pedestrian of vehicles exiting the garage.

Finding – Pedestrian facilities serving the project site are expected to be adequate to meet demand.

Recommendation – The B Street crosswalk should be removed according to MUTCD standards.

Bicycle Facilities

Existing bicycle facilities, including bike routes on Petaluma Boulevard, together with shared use of minor streets provide adequate access for bicyclists.

Finding – Bicycle facilities serving the project site are adequate.

Transit

Existing transit routes are adequate to accommodate project-generated transit trips. Existing stops are within an acceptable walking distance of the site. It is understood that as part of the proposed project, City staff are requiring that a portion of the project frontage on Petaluma Boulevard South be allocated for a future Petaluma Transit bus stop. According to the City of Petaluma a bus stop is planned to be built near the project site along Petaluma Boulevard. The existing nearby transit stops and transit hubs are listed in the *Transit Facilities* section of the *Transportation Setting* of this report.

Finding – Transit facilities serving the project site are adequate.

Access and Circulation

Site Access

The two-way driveway and ramp to access the below grade parking would be constructed on B Street approximately 100 feet south and west of the intersection with Petaluma Boulevard South. The driveway would be located at the southern terminus of the existing crosswalk on B Street. Impacts and mitigation to the crosswalk were discussed previously. Because of the low traffic volumes on B Street, movements into this driveway would not be expected to result in any substantial conflicts.

Exiting vehicles would, however, need to yield to any vehicles on the B Street approach. There is adequate sight distance in all directions which would allow motorists to access B Street in either direction.

Queuing Conditions

For the valet service, there are three allocated on-street spaces at the project frontage on Petaluma Boulevard South for vehicle pick-up/drop-off. A queueing evaluation was completed to determine if the capacity of the three on-street valet spots would be adequate given the anticipated number of guests dropping-off or picking-up vehicles at the site. It is assumed that between the four valet employees at peak operation, there would be a service rate of 32 vehicles per hour for incoming and outgoing vehicles using the valet service. With an assumed 20 inbound and outbound trips during the peak hour using the valet service, there would be an approximate 9.2 percent probability that there would be a queue of three vehicles in the pick-up/drop-off on-street spaces on Petaluma Boulevard South. Additionally, there is a 5.7 percent or less probability that more than three vehicles would queue on Petaluma Boulevard South for the valet service, so it is unlikely the queue would ever extend past the allocated on-street spots. The results of the queueing evaluation worksheets are provided in Appendix D.

It is anticipated that a portion of the hotel guests or restaurant patrons will arrive near the project site via transit, such as the SMART station and travel the last portion of their journey to the project site via taxi or rideshare services such as Uber or Lyft, thus not using the valet service. Additionally, project employees would self-park within the below-ground parking lot and not queue on Petaluma Boulevard South. To ensure that there are not more than three drivers waiting to queue in the valet spaces at one time, the applicant should develop a valet service plan.

Finding – The proposed valet service would be adequate to accommodate the assumed peak valet demand. There is a 5.7 percent probability that the vehicle queue on Petaluma Boulevard South would exceed three spaces.

Recommendation – The applicant should develop a valet service plan and monitor ongoing activities once the service is operational to ensure the on-street queue does not exceed three vehicles.

Parking

The project was analyzed to determine whether the proposed parking supply would be sufficient for the anticipated parking demand. The project site as proposed would provide a total of 58 parking spaces in a below-ground garage and 20 spaces will be utilized from the existing parking structure at 149 C Street. The provided parking would mainly be for hotel guests and employees; however, non-hotel guests visiting the restaurant could also use the provided parking if there are available spaces. It is assumed that a majority of the restaurant guests would also be hotel guests, thus not generating additional parking demand. The addition of the project driveway would result in the elimination of one parking space from the south side of B Street.

The City of Petaluma's parking supply requirements are contained in the *Implementing Zoning Ordinance (IZO)*, Chapter 11; Parking and Facilities, Off-Street. The City of Petaluma requires one parking space per sleeping room in a hotel plus one parking space for the owner or manager and one parking space per 2.5 seats at a restaurant or bar. Due to the complexity of the project site being partially in a Parking Assessment District the calculations for the parking requirements were obtained from the plan sheet dated April 8, 2022. The project as proposed would be required to provide 47 parking spaces. The site plan with the parking requirement calculations is provided in Appendix E. The project as proposed would provide 76 off-street spaces when including the 20 spaces in the Theatre District. As stated previously, it is anticipated that a portion of the hotel guests or restaurant patrons will arrive via taxi or rideshare services, thus not generating parking demand. Similarly, since the site is located within the Petaluma downtown it is assumed that restaurant patrons would also visit other places within the downtown and may park elsewhere and then walk to the site.

Finding – The proposed parking supply would be adequate to meet City requirements.

Bicycle Parking

Short-term bicycle parking would be provided at the site by bike racks to be located on the project frontage on Petaluma Boulevard South, though the number of spaces to be provided is not specified on the site plan. Based on the City's requirements, bicycle parking is required at 10 percent of the total required automobile parking spaces. Based on the City's required parking spaces for the proposed project of 47 spaces, five bicycle parking spaces would be required on-site. City staff should be contacted to determine the optimum location of the required bicycle parking spaces.

Finding – The site plan should be updated to indicate eight bicycle parking spaces on-site.

Recommendation – The applicant should communicate with City staff on the best location for bicycle parking.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Conclusions

- The project as proposed would be anticipated to generate an average of 1,174 daily trips, including 99 trips during the p.m. peak hour.
- The intersections of Petaluma Boulevard/Western Avenue, Petaluma Boulevard/B Street, and Petaluma Boulevard/D Street experienced collisions at rates below the statewide average for similar facilities.
- The intersection of Petaluma Boulevard/E Washington Street had a collision rate above the statewide average for similar facilities.
- Based on the site's proximity to the Downtown Petaluma SMART station and application of screening criteria established by the City, the project can be presumed to have a less-than-significant impact on VMT.
- Under existing conditions, the study intersections are operating acceptably at LOS D or better during the weekday p.m. peak hour. With project traffic added the study intersections would be expected to continue operating at the same levels of service as without.
- While Petaluma Boulevard/D Street is projected to operate unacceptably at LOS E under future conditions, the other three study intersections are expected to be operating acceptably at LOS D during the weekday p.m. peak hour. The addition of project-generated trips would be expected to result in nominal increases in overall average delay and all intersections would continue operating at the same levels of service, indicating an acceptable impact on traffic operation.
- The existing bicycle lanes on Petaluma Boulevard, along with planned improvements within the area, will be adequate for anticipated demand. Assuming the installation of additional transit and bike amenities outlined in this study, existing pedestrian and transit facilities are compliant with City policy.
- Sight distance at the project driveway is adequate.
- Based on the anticipated arrival and service rate for the valet service, there is a 5.7 percent chance that more than the three vehicles that could be accommodated in the proposed loading zone would queue on Petaluma Boulevard South.
- The proposed parking supply would be adequate based on the City requirements a hotel land use at this location.

Recommendations

- The applicant should follow MUTCD standards if the project would result in the removal of the midblock crosswalk on B Street.
- Reflective backing should be added to the signal heads at the intersection of Petaluma Boulevard/E Washington Street.
- It is recommended that landscaping or signage for the project be located outside of the driver's vision triangle at the project driveway to maintain adequate sight lines.
- The applicant should include a minimum of five bicycle parking spaces on-site.
- The applicant should coordinate with City staff on the optimum location of the required bicycle parking.

Study Participants and References

Study Participants

Principal in Charge	Steve Weinberger, PE, PTOE
VMT Review	Zack Matley, AICP
Technical Assistance	William Andrews
Editing/Formatting	Jessica Bender
Quality Control	Dalene J. Whitlock, PE, PTOE

References

2016 Collision Data on California State Highways, California Department of Transportation, 2018
City of Petaluma: General Plan 2025, City of Petaluma, 2008
Golden Gate Transit, <http://goldengatetransit.org/schedules/current/>
Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition, Transportation Research Board, 2018
Highway Design Manual, 6th Edition, California Department of Transportation, 2017
Implementing Zoning Ordinance, City of Petaluma Community Development Department, 2014
Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways, Federal Highway Administration, 2009
Petaluma General Plan 2025 Draft Environmental Impact Report, Dyett & Bhatia, 2006
Petaluma Municipal Code, Code Publishing Company, 2017
Petaluma Transit, <http://www.cityofpetaluma.net/pubworks/transit-sub.html>
SCTA Countywide Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan, Sonoma County Transportation Authority, 2014
Sonoma County Transit, <http://sctransit.com/>
Sonoma-Marín Area Rail Transit, <http://www.sonomamarintrain.org/>
Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), California Highway Patrol, 2018-2022
Transportation Impacts (SB 743) CEQA Guidelines Update and Technical Advisory, California Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR), 2018
Trip Generation Handbook: An ITE Recommended Practice, 3rd Edition, Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2014
Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition, Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2017

PET169-3





This page intentionally left blank

Appendix A

Traffic Counts



This page intentionally left blank

ID: 21-080289-001
City: Petaluma

SOUTHBOUND

D St

NONE

NONE

04:30 PM - 05:30 PM

COUNT PERIODS

Day: Wednesday
Date: 10/27/2021

NONE

NONE

4:00 PM - 06:00 PM

Petaluma Blvd

EASTBOUND

AM NOON PM

0 0 392

0 0 0

0 0 120

0 0 196

0 0 57

AM NOON PM

CONTROL

Signalized

TEV PHF

0 AM 0 NOON 1937 PM 0.97

WESTBOUND

PM NOON AM

189 0 0

254 0 0

124 0 0

0 0 0

390 0 0

PM NOON AM

Totals (AM)

Total Bikes (AM)


Totals (NOON)

Total Bikes (NOON)

Totals (PM)

Total Bikes (PM)

Pedestrians (Crosswalks)



Petaluma Blvd & Washington St


Peak Hour Turning Movement Count

ID: 19-08418-001


City: Petaluma

Day: Thursday


Date: 08/29/2019




Total Vehicles (AM)




Total Vehicles (Noon)




Total Vehicles (PM)





Bikes (AM)




Bikes (NOON)



Bikes (PM)





Appendix B

Collision Rate Calculations



This page intentionally left blank

Intersection Collision Rate Worksheet

Petaluma Appellation Hotel TIS

Intersection # 1: Petaluma Boulevard & Washington Street

Date of Count: Thursday, August 29, 2019

Number of Collisions: 23

Number of Injuries: 12

Number of Fatalities: 0

Average Daily Traffic (ADT): 29900

Start Date: January 1, 2018

End Date: December 31, 2022

Number of Years: 5

Intersection Type: Four-Legged

Control Type: Signals

Area: Urban

$$\text{Collision Rate} = \frac{\text{Number of Collisions} \times 1 \text{ Million}}{\text{ADT} \times \text{Days per Year} \times \text{Number of Years}}$$

$$\text{Collision Rate} = \frac{23}{29,900} \times \frac{1,000,000}{365 \times 5}$$

	Collision Rate	Fatality Rate	Injury Rate
Study Intersection	0.42 c/mve	0.0%	52.2%
Statewide Average*	0.33 c/mve	0.6%	47.7%

Notes

ADT = average daily total vehicles entering intersection

c/mve = collisions per million vehicles entering intersection

* 2019 Collision Data on California State Highways, Caltrans

Intersection # 2: Petaluma Boulevard & Western Avenue

Date of Count: Thursday, August 29, 2019

Number of Collisions: 6

Number of Injuries: 3

Number of Fatalities: 0

Average Daily Traffic (ADT): 11000

Start Date: January 1, 2018

End Date: December 31, 2022

Number of Years: 5

Intersection Type: Four-Legged

Control Type: Signals

Area: Urban

$$\text{Collision Rate} = \frac{\text{Number of Collisions} \times 1 \text{ Million}}{\text{ADT} \times \text{Days per Year} \times \text{Number of Years}}$$

$$\text{Collision Rate} = \frac{6}{11,000} \times \frac{1,000,000}{365 \times 5}$$

	Collision Rate	Fatality Rate	Injury Rate
Study Intersection	0.30 c/mve	0.0%	50.0%
Statewide Average*	0.33 c/mve	0.6%	47.7%

Notes

ADT = average daily total vehicles entering intersection

c/mve = collisions per million vehicles entering intersection

* 2019 Collision Data on California State Highways, Caltrans

Intersection Collision Rate Worksheet

Petaluma Appellation Hotel TIS

Intersection # 3: Petaluma Boulevard & B Street

Date of Count: Thursday, August 29, 2019

Number of Collisions: 4

Number of Injuries: 2

Number of Fatalities: 0

Average Daily Traffic (ADT): 10400

Start Date: January 1, 2018

End Date: December 31, 2022

Number of Years: 5

Intersection Type: Four-Legged

Control Type: Signals

Area: Urban

$$\text{Collision Rate} = \frac{\text{Number of Collisions} \times 1 \text{ Million}}{\text{ADT} \times \text{Days per Year} \times \text{Number of Years}}$$

$$\text{Collision Rate} = \frac{4}{10,400} \times \frac{1,000,000}{365 \times 5}$$

	Collision Rate	Fatality Rate	Injury Rate
Study Intersection	0.21 c/mve	0.0%	50.0%
Statewide Average*	0.33 c/mve	0.6%	47.7%

Notes

ADT = average daily total vehicles entering intersection

c/mve = collisions per million vehicles entering intersection

* 2019 Collision Data on California State Highways, Caltrans

Intersection # 4: Petaluma Boulevard & D Street

Date of Count: Wednesday, May 29, 2019

Number of Collisions: 12

Number of Injuries: 6

Number of Fatalities: 0

Average Daily Traffic (ADT): 20500

Start Date: January 1, 2018

End Date: December 31, 2022

Number of Years: 5

Intersection Type: Four-Legged

Control Type: Signals

Area: Urban

$$\text{Collision Rate} = \frac{\text{Number of Collisions} \times 1 \text{ Million}}{\text{ADT} \times \text{Days per Year} \times \text{Number of Years}}$$

$$\text{Collision Rate} = \frac{12}{20,500} \times \frac{1,000,000}{365 \times 5}$$

	Collision Rate	Fatality Rate	Injury Rate
Study Intersection	0.32 c/mve	0.0%	50.0%
Statewide Average*	0.33 c/mve	0.6%	47.7%

Notes

ADT = average daily total vehicles entering intersection

c/mve = collisions per million vehicles entering intersection

* 2019 Collision Data on California State Highways, Caltrans

Appendix C

Intersection Level of Service Calculations









This page intentionally left blank

Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 1: Petaluma Blvd/Washington St

Control Type:	Signalized	Delay (sec / veh):	44.3
Analysis Method:	HCM 6th Edition	Level Of Service:	D
Analysis Period:	15 minutes	Volume to Capacity (v/c):	0.724

Intersection Setup

Name	Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd			Washington St			Washington St		
Approach	Northbound			Southbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
Lane Configuration												
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Entry Pocket Length [ft]	75.00	100.00	95.00	120.00	100.00	110.00	105.00	100.00	100.00	310.00	100.00	100.00
No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exit Pocket Length [ft]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Speed [mph]	25.00			25.00			25.00			25.00		
Grade [%]	0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Curb Present	No			No			No			No		
Crosswalk	Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes		

Volumes

Name	Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd			Washington St			Washington St		
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	33	339	166	107	278	221	309	674	55	123	569	119
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Growth Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Right Turn on Red Volume [veh/h]	0	0	26	0	0	18	0	0	4	0	0	13
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	33	339	140	107	278	203	309	674	51	123	569	106
Peak Hour Factor	0.9300	0.9300	0.9300	0.9300	0.9300	0.9300	0.9300	0.9300	0.9300	0.9300	0.9300	0.9300
Other Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	9	91	38	29	75	55	83	181	14	33	153	28
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	35	365	151	115	299	218	332	725	55	132	612	114
Presence of On-Street Parking	No		No	No		No	No		No	No		No
On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	9			14			6			12		
v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	6			12			9			14		
v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	8			7			4			10		
v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	10			4			7			8		
v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h]	0			0			0			0		
Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h]	3			4			3			3		

Intersection Settings

Located in CBD	Yes
Signal Coordination Group	-
Cycle Length [s]	125
Coordination Type	Time of Day Pattern Coordinated
Actuation Type	Fully actuated
Offset [s]	75.0
Offset Reference	Lead Green - Beginning of First Green
Permissive Mode	SingleBand
Lost time [s]	0.00

Phasing & Timing

Control Type	Protect	Permis	Overla	Protect	Permis	Overla	Protect	Permis	Permis	Protect	Permis	Permis
Signal Group	5	2	3	1	6	7	7	4	0	3	8	0
Auxiliary Signal Groups			2,3			6,7						
Lead / Lag	Lag	-	-	Lead	-	-	Lag	-	-	Lead	-	-
Minimum Green [s]	4	8	4	4	8	4	4	10	0	4	11	0
Maximum Green [s]	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	0	30	30	0
Amber [s]	3.0	3.6	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.0	3.0	3.6	0.0	3.0	3.6	0.0
All red [s]	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.2	0.0	1.0	1.6	0.0
Split [s]	32	31	14	38	37	15	15	41	0	14	40	0
Vehicle Extension [s]	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	0.0
Walk [s]	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0	17	0	0	19	0	0	21	0	0	27	0
Delayed Vehicle Green [s]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rest In Walk		No			No			No			No	
I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
I2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.6	2.0	2.0	2.7	2.0	2.0	2.8	0.0	2.0	3.2	0.0
Minimum Recall	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No		No	No	
Maximum Recall	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No		No	No	
Pedestrian Recall	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No		No	No	
Detector Location [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector Length [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
I, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

Pedestrian Signal Group	0
Pedestrian Walk [s]	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0

Lane Group Calculations

Lane Group	L	C	R	L	C	R	L	C	C	L	C	C
C, Cycle Length [s]	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125
L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s]	4.00	4.60	4.00	4.00	4.70	4.70	4.00	4.80	4.80	4.00	5.20	5.20
l1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
l2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.00	2.60	0.00	2.00	2.70	0.00	2.00	2.80	2.80	2.00	3.20	3.20
g_i, Effective Green Time [s]	3	35	50	11	42	75	28	52	52	10	33	33
g / C, Green / Cycle	0.03	0.28	0.40	0.09	0.34	0.60	0.23	0.41	0.41	0.08	0.26	0.26
(v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate	0.02	0.22	0.11	0.07	0.18	0.15	0.21	0.23	0.24	0.08	0.22	0.23
s, saturation flow rate [veh/h]	1603	1683	1401	1603	1683	1414	1603	1683	1630	1603	1683	1549
c, Capacity [veh/h]	44	473	557	138	570	844	362	695	674	129	446	410
d1, Uniform Delay [s]	60.46	41.30	25.32	56.23	33.24	12.00	47.27	28.13	28.19	57.49	43.42	43.77
k, delay calibration	0.04	0.50	0.50	0.04	0.50	0.50	0.19	0.33	0.33	0.04	0.15	0.15
l, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
d2, Incremental Delay [s]	11.36	11.61	1.20	4.84	3.42	0.74	14.92	2.22	2.36	28.12	5.90	7.47
d3, Initial Queue Delay [s]	0.00	2.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rp, platoon ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PF, progression factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Lane Group Results

X, volume / capacity	0.80	0.77	0.27	0.83	0.52	0.26	0.92	0.57	0.57	1.02	0.84	0.86
d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh]	71.82	55.09	26.51	61.07	36.66	12.74	62.19	30.35	30.55	85.61	49.32	51.24
Lane Group LOS	E	E	C	E	D	B	E	C	C	F	D	D
Critical Lane Group	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	1.25	11.93	3.27	3.79	7.92	3.09	11.64	9.56	9.37	5.12	11.69	11.31
50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	31.32	298.16	81.67	94.72	198.07	77.23	291.04	238.98	234.30	128.08	292.26	282.83
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	2.26	17.59	5.88	6.82	12.54	5.56	17.24	14.63	14.39	8.90	17.30	16.83
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	56.38	439.76	147.00	170.50	313.47	139.02	430.94	365.75	359.81	222.49	432.44	420.73

Movement, Approach, & Intersection Results


d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh]	71.82	55.09	26.51	61.07	36.66	12.74	62.19	30.44	30.55	85.61	50.07	51.24
Movement LOS	E	E	C	E	D	B	E	C	C	F	D	D
d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh]	48.32			32.85			39.93			55.69		
Approach LOS	D			C			D			E		
d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh]	44.27											
Intersection LOS	D											
Intersection V/C	0.724											

Other Modes

g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s]	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0
M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	641.88	326.21	631.37	516.84
d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s]	52.00	52.00	52.00	52.00
I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection	2.401	2.472	2.646	2.641
Crosswalk LOS	B	B	B	B
s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	2000	2000	2000	2000
c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	422	517	579	557
d_b, Bicycle Delay [s]	38.96	34.45	31.60	32.60
I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection	2.512	2.632	2.480	2.278
Bicycle LOS	B	B	B	B

Sequence

Ring 1	1	2	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 2	5	6	7	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-







Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 2: Petaluma Blvd/Western Ave

Control Type:	Signalized	Delay (sec / veh):	31.7
Analysis Method:	HCM 6th Edition	Level Of Service:	C
Analysis Period:	15 minutes	Volume to Capacity (v/c):	0.437

Intersection Setup

Name	Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd			Western Ave			Water St		
Approach	Northbound			Southbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
Lane Configuration												
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Entry Pocket Length [ft]	65.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	85.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exit Pocket Length [ft]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Speed [mph]	25.00			25.00			25.00			25.00		
Grade [%]	0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Curb Present	No			No			No			No		
Crosswalk	Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes		

Volumes

Name	Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd			Western Ave			Water St		
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	46	410	0	0	362	77	84	0	119	1	2	3
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Growth Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Right Turn on Red Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	62	0	0	3
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	46	410	0	0	362	72	84	0	57	1	2	0
Peak Hour Factor	0.9200	0.9200	1.0000	1.0000	0.9200	0.9200	0.9200	1.0000	0.9200	0.9200	0.9200	0.9200
Other Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	13	111	0	0	98	20	23	0	15	0	1	0
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	50	446	0	0	393	78	91	0	62	1	2	0
Presence of On-Street Parking	No		Yes	No		Yes	No		Yes	No		No
On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h]	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0
Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	7			28			29			33		
v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	33			29			28			7		
v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	35			27			39			13		
v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	39			13			35			27		
v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h]	0			0			0			0		
Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h]	2			2			3			3		

Intersection Settings

Located in CBD	Yes
Signal Coordination Group	-
Cycle Length [s]	118
Coordination Type	Time of Day Pattern Coordinated
Actuation Type	Fully actuated
Offset [s]	12.0
Offset Reference	Lead Green - Beginning of First Green
Permissive Mode	SingleBand
Lost time [s]	0.00

Phasing & Timing

Control Type	Protect	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis
Signal Group	3	8	0	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	6	0
Auxiliary Signal Groups												
Lead / Lag	Lead	-	-	-	-	-	Lag	-	-	-	-	-
Minimum Green [s]	8	8	0	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	8	0
Maximum Green [s]	20	73	0	0	73	0	39	0	0	0	39	0
Amber [s]	3.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0
All red [s]	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0
Split [s]	20	77	0	0	57	0	41	0	0	0	41	0
Vehicle Extension [s]	2.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0
Walk [s]	0	8	0	0	13	0	8	0	0	0	8	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0	10	0	0	5	0	10	0	0	0	10	0
Delayed Vehicle Green [s]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rest In Walk		No			No		No				No	
I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0
I2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0
Minimum Recall	No	Yes			Yes		No				No	
Maximum Recall	No	No			No		No				No	
Pedestrian Recall	No	No			No		No				No	
Detector Location [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector Length [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
I, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

Pedestrian Signal Group	0
Pedestrian Walk [s]	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0

Lane Group Calculations

Lane Group	L	C	C	L	R	C
C, Cycle Length [s]	118	118	118	118	118	118
L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s]	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50
l1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s]	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	2.00
l2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	2.50
g_i, Effective Green Time [s]	45	94	45	16	16	15
g / C, Green / Cycle	0.38	0.80	0.38	0.13	0.13	0.13
(v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate	0.03	0.30	0.34	0.07	0.07	0.00
s, saturation flow rate [veh/h]	1603	1473	1403	1318	880	1379
c, Capacity [veh/h]	611	1176	538	190	118	219
d1, Uniform Delay [s]	23.33	3.44	33.83	47.78	46.61	44.81
k, delay calibration	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.04	0.04	0.04
l, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
d2, Incremental Delay [s]	0.26	0.93	17.93	0.69	1.35	0.01
d3, Initial Queue Delay [s]	0.00	0.30	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rp, platoon ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PF, progression factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Lane Group Results

X, volume / capacity	0.08	0.38	0.88	0.48	0.53	0.01
d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh]	23.59	4.68	52.73	48.47	47.96	44.82
Lane Group LOS	C	A	D	D	D	D
Critical Lane Group	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	0.95	2.83	15.14	2.52	1.72	0.08
50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	23.83	70.68	378.55	63.04	43.11	1.96
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	1.72	5.09	21.52	4.54	3.10	0.14
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	42.90	127.23	538.09	113.46	77.59	3.53

Movement, Approach, & Intersection Results

d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh]	23.59	4.68	0.00	0.00	52.73	52.73	48.47	0.00	47.96	44.82	44.82	44.82
Movement LOS	C	A			D	D	D		D	D	D	D
d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh]	6.58			52.73			48.26			44.82		
Approach LOS	A			D			D			D		
d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh]	31.72											
Intersection LOS	C											
Intersection V/C	0.437											

Other Modes

g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s]	12.0	12.0	17.0	12.0
M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	162.20	198.70	208.20	197.08
d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s]	47.64	47.64	43.25	47.64
I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection	2.228	2.274	2.132	1.445
Crosswalk LOS	B	B	B	A
s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	2000	2000	2000	2000
c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	1237	898	627	618
d_b, Bicycle Delay [s]	8.61	17.94	27.87	28.21
I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection	2.378	2.345	1.560	1.570
Bicycle LOS	B	B	A	A

Sequence

Ring 1	-	2	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 2	-	6	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 3: Petaluma Blvd/B St

Control Type: Signalized
 Analysis Method: HCM 6th Edition
 Analysis Period: 15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh): 28.9
 Level Of Service: C
 Volume to Capacity (v/c): 0.329

Intersection Setup

Name	B St			B St			Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd		
Approach	Northbound			Southbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
Lane Configuration	↵↵			↵↵↵			↵↵			↵↵↵		
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1
Entry Pocket Length [ft]	100.00	100.00	65.00	30.00	100.00	30.00	85.00	100.00	100.00	70.00	100.00	40.00
No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exit Pocket Length [ft]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Speed [mph]	25.00			25.00			25.00			25.00		
Grade [%]	0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Curb Present	No			No			No			No		
Crosswalk	Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes		

Volumes

Name	B St			B St			Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd		
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	62	30	71	12	31	42	36	305	47	24	367	11
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Growth Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Right Turn on Red Volume [veh/h]	0	0	30	0	0	14	0	0	6	0	0	0
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	62	30	41	12	31	28	36	305	41	24	367	11
Peak Hour Factor	0.9500	0.9500	0.9500	0.9500	0.9500	0.9500	0.9500	0.9500	0.9500	0.9500	0.9500	0.9500
Other Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	16	8	11	3	8	7	9	80	11	6	97	3
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	65	32	43	13	33	29	38	321	43	25	386	12
Presence of On-Street Parking	No		Yes	No		No	No		Yes	No		No
On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h]	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	29			11			5			38		
v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	5			38			29			11		
v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	5			12			35			2		
v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	2			35			12			5		
v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h]	0			0			0			0		
Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h]	4			2			2			2		

Intersection Settings

Located in CBD	Yes
Signal Coordination Group	-
Cycle Length [s]	59
Coordination Type	Time of Day Pattern Coordinated
Actuation Type	Fully actuated
Offset [s]	54.0
Offset Reference	Lead Green - Beginning of First Green
Permissive Mode	SingleBand
Lost time [s]	0.00

Phasing & Timing

Control Type	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Protect	Permis	Permis	Protect	Permis	Permis
Signal Group	0	2	0	0	6	0	7	4	0	3	8	0
Auxiliary Signal Groups												
Lead / Lag	-	-	-	-	-	-	Lead	-	-	Lead	-	-
Minimum Green [s]	0	8	0	0	8	0	8	9	0	6	9	0
Maximum Green [s]	0	35	0	0	35	0	10	50	0	20	50	0
Amber [s]	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	0.0
All red [s]	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	1.0	1.3	0.0	1.0	1.3	0.0
Split [s]	0	28	0	0	28	0	15	16	0	15	16	0
Vehicle Extension [s]	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	0.0
Walk [s]	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0	12	0	0	11	0	0	9	0	0	9	0
Delayed Vehicle Green [s]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rest In Walk		No			No			No			No	
I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s]	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
I2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	2.0	2.3	0.0	2.0	2.3	0.0
Minimum Recall		No			No		No	Yes		No	Yes	
Maximum Recall		No			No		No	No		No	No	
Pedestrian Recall		No			No		No	No		No	No	
Detector Location [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector Length [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
I, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

Pedestrian Signal Group	0
Pedestrian Walk [s]	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0

Lane Group Calculations

Lane Group	L	C	L	C	R	L	C	L	C	R
C, Cycle Length [s]	59	59	59	59	59	59	59	59	59	59
L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s]	4.30	4.30	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.30	4.00	4.30	4.30
l1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s]	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
l2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	0.00	2.30	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.00	2.30	2.00	2.30	2.30
g_i, Effective Green Time [s]	10	10	10	10	10	18	18	18	18	18
g / C, Green / Cycle	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31
(v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate	0.05	0.06	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.26	0.02	0.23	0.01
s, saturation flow rate [veh/h]	1293	1297	1175	1683	1198	1603	1426	1603	1683	1287
c, Capacity [veh/h]	231	219	222	279	198	498	438	498	517	395
d1, Uniform Delay [s]	24.01	21.66	24.99	20.99	21.00	14.39	19.07	14.26	18.41	14.31
k, delay calibration	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
l, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
d2, Incremental Delay [s]	0.49	0.68	0.08	0.14	0.25	0.30	16.65	0.19	9.47	0.14
d3, Initial Queue Delay [s]	0.00	4.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rp, platoon ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PF, progression factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Lane Group Results

X, volume / capacity	0.28	0.34	0.06	0.12	0.15	0.08	0.83	0.05	0.75	0.03
d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh]	24.49	26.43	25.07	21.12	21.25	14.69	35.72	14.45	27.88	14.45
Lane Group LOS	C	C	C	C	C	B	D	B	C	B
Critical Lane Group	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	0.78	0.92	0.17	0.38	0.34	0.38	6.28	0.25	5.72	0.12
50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	19.39	22.90	4.19	9.56	8.51	9.44	157.05	6.14	143.07	3.03
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	1.40	1.65	0.30	0.69	0.61	0.68	10.39	0.44	9.65	0.22
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	34.90	41.23	7.53	17.21	15.32	16.99	259.81	11.06	241.15	5.45

Movement, Approach, & Intersection Results

d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh]	24.49	26.43	26.43	25.07	21.12	21.25	14.69	35.72	35.72	14.45	27.88	14.45
Movement LOS	C	C	C	C	C	C	B	D	D	B	C	B
d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh]	25.53			21.86			33.73			26.71		
Approach LOS	C			C			C			C		
d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh]	28.91											
Intersection LOS	C											
Intersection V/C	0.329											

Other Modes

g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s]	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0
M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	602.47	732.09	506.56	4598.80
d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s]	19.55	19.55	19.55	19.55
I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection	2.034	2.162	2.259	2.295
Crosswalk LOS	B	B	B	B
s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	2000	2000	2000	2000
c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	803	796	396	396
d_b, Bicycle Delay [s]	10.61	10.71	19.01	19.01
I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection	1.840	1.706	2.233	2.258
Bicycle LOS	A	A	B	B

Sequence

Ring 1	-	2	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 2	-	6	7	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Intersection Level Of Service Report
Intersection 4: Petaluma Blvd S/D St

Control Type: Signalized
 Analysis Method: HCM 6th Edition
 Analysis Period: 15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh): 53.8
 Level Of Service: D
 Volume to Capacity (v/c): 0.550

Intersection Setup

Name	D St			D St			Petaluma Blvd S			Petaluma Blvd S		
Approach	Northbound			Southbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
Lane Configuration	↵↵			↵↵			↵↵			↵↵		
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Entry Pocket Length [ft]	80.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	40.00	175.00	100.00	100.00	150.00	100.00	100.00
No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exit Pocket Length [ft]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Speed [mph]	25.00			25.00			25.00			30.00		
Grade [%]	0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Curb Present	No			No			No			No		
Crosswalk	Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes		

Volumes

Name	D St			D St			Petaluma Blvd S			Petaluma Blvd S		
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	95	395	16	156	391	80	93	217	57	95	232	225
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Growth Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Right Turn on Red Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	21	0	0	105
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	95	395	16	156	391	57	93	217	36	95	232	120
Peak Hour Factor	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800
Other Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	24	101	4	40	100	15	24	55	9	24	59	31
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	97	403	16	159	399	58	95	221	37	97	237	122
Presence of On-Street Parking	No		No	No		No	No		No	No		No
On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	4			7			4			1		
v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	1			4			7			4		
v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	6			1			8			4		
v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	8			4			6			1		
v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h]	0			0			0			0		
Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h]	4			3			0			2		

Intersection Settings

Located in CBD	Yes
Signal Coordination Group	-
Cycle Length [s]	124
Coordination Type	Time of Day Pattern Coordinated
Actuation Type	Fully actuated
Offset [s]	48.0
Offset Reference	Lead Green - Beginning of First Green
Permissive Mode	SingleBand
Lost time [s]	0.00

Phasing & Timing

Control Type	Protect	Permis	Permis	Protect	Permis	Permis	Protect	Permis	Permis	Protect	Permis	Permis
Signal Group	3	8	0	7	4	0	1	6	0	5	2	0
Auxiliary Signal Groups												
Lead / Lag	Lead	-	-	Lag	-	-	Lead	-	-	Lead	-	-
Minimum Green [s]	8	9	0	8	9	0	8	9	0	8	9	0
Maximum Green [s]	30	50	0	35	50	0	30	45	0	30	45	0
Amber [s]	3.0	3.6	0.0	3.0	3.6	0.0	3.0	3.6	0.0	3.0	3.6	0.0
All red [s]	1.0	1.1	0.0	1.0	1.3	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.1	0.0
Split [s]	22	33	0	23	33	0	27	51	0	17	42	0
Vehicle Extension [s]	2.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	2.5	0.0	2.0	2.5	0.0
Walk [s]	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0	19	0	0	18	0	0	15	0	0	19	0
Delayed Vehicle Green [s]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rest In Walk		No			No			No			No	
I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
I2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.7	0.0	2.0	2.9	0.0	2.0	2.6	0.0	2.0	2.7	0.0
Minimum Recall	No	Yes		No	Yes		No	No		No	No	
Maximum Recall	No	No		No	No		No	No		No	No	
Pedestrian Recall	No	No		No	No		No	No		No	No	
Detector Location [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector Length [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
I, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

Pedestrian Signal Group	0
Pedestrian Walk [s]	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0

Lane Group Calculations

Lane Group	L	C	L	C	R	L	C	R	L	C	R
C, Cycle Length [s]	124	124	124	124	124	124	124	124	124	124	124
L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s]	4.00	4.70	4.00	4.90	4.90	4.00	4.60	4.60	4.00	4.70	4.70
l1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
l2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.00	2.70	2.00	2.90	2.90	2.00	2.60	2.60	2.00	2.70	2.70
g_i, Effective Green Time [s]	9	29	14	34	34	9	54	54	9	54	54
g / C, Green / Cycle	0.07	0.24	0.11	0.27	0.27	0.07	0.44	0.44	0.07	0.44	0.44
(v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate	0.06	0.25	0.10	0.24	0.04	0.06	0.13	0.03	0.06	0.14	0.09
s, saturation flow rate [veh/h]	1603	1669	1603	1683	1368	1603	1683	1408	1603	1683	1391
c, Capacity [veh/h]	119	395	183	462	376	117	733	613	119	734	607
d1, Uniform Delay [s]	56.63	47.41	54.09	42.83	34.05	56.71	22.77	20.30	56.65	23.00	21.60
k, delay calibration	0.04	0.22	0.04	0.19	0.15	0.04	0.50	0.50	0.04	0.50	0.50
l, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
d2, Incremental Delay [s]	4.96	47.54	4.82	8.35	0.27	4.95	1.06	0.19	5.02	1.17	0.75
d3, Initial Queue Delay [s]	6.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rp, platoon ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PF, progression factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Lane Group Results

X, volume / capacity	0.81	1.06	0.87	0.86	0.15	0.81	0.30	0.06	0.81	0.32	0.20
d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh]	67.99	94.96	58.91	51.18	34.32	61.66	23.82	20.49	61.67	24.16	22.35
Lane Group LOS	E	F	E	D	C	E	C	C	E	C	C
Critical Lane Group	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No
50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	3.19	17.45	5.17	12.76	1.38	3.12	4.48	0.67	3.16	4.80	2.33
50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	79.65	436.26	129.17	318.91	34.46	78.02	112.06	16.73	79.12	119.99	58.29
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	5.73	25.15	8.89	18.61	2.48	5.62	7.95	1.20	5.70	8.39	4.20
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	143.36	628.69	222.37	465.35	62.04	140.44	198.87	30.12	142.42	209.82	104.91

Movement, Approach, & Intersection Results


d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh]	67.99	94.96	94.96	58.91	51.18	34.32	61.66	23.82	20.49	61.67	24.16	22.35
Movement LOS	E	F	F	E	D	C	E	C	C	E	C	C
d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh]	89.89			51.59			33.66			31.65		
Approach LOS	F			D			C			C		
d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh]	53.83											
Intersection LOS	D											
Intersection V/C	0.550											

Other Modes

g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s]	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0
M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	908.36	2007.51	1061.25	2624.57
d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s]	51.54	51.54	51.54	51.54
I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection	2.245	2.456	2.337	2.529
Crosswalk LOS	B	B	B	B
s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	2000	2000	2000	2000
c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	456	453	748	601
d_b, Bicycle Delay [s]	37.05	37.19	24.33	30.39
I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection	2.411	2.614	2.177	2.485
Bicycle LOS	B	B	B	B

Sequence

Ring 1	1	2	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 2	5	6	7	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-







Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 1: Petaluma Blvd/Washington St

Control Type:	Signalized	Delay (sec / veh):	48.4
Analysis Method:	HCM 6th Edition	Level Of Service:	D
Analysis Period:	15 minutes	Volume to Capacity (v/c):	0.755

Intersection Setup

Name	Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd			Washington St			Washington St		
Approach	Northbound			Southbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
Lane Configuration												
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
No. of Lanes in Pocket	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Pocket Length [ft]	75.00	100.00	95.00	120.00	100.00	110.00	105.00	100.00	100.00	310.00	100.00	100.00
Speed [mph]	25.00			25.00			25.00			25.00		
Grade [%]	0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Curb Present	No			No			No			No		
Crosswalk	Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes		

Volumes

Name	Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd			Washington St			Washington St		
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	36	369	181	117	303	264	356	734	60	134	619	154
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Growth Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h]	0	0	26	0	0	18	0	0	4	0	0	13
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	36	369	155	117	303	246	356	734	56	134	619	141
Peak Hour Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Other Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	9	92	39	29	76	62	89	184	14	34	155	35
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	36	369	155	117	303	246	356	734	56	134	619	141
Presence of On-Street Parking	No		No	No		No	No		No	No		No
On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing	9			14			6			12		
v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing	6			12			9			14		
v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing	8			7			4			10		
v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing	10			4			7			8		
v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h]	0			0			0			0		
Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h]	3			4			3			3		

Intersection Settings

Located in CBD	Yes
Signal Coordination Group	-
Cycle Length [s]	140
Coordination Type	Time of Day Pattern Coordinated
Actuation Type	Fully actuated
Offset [s]	75.0
Offset Reference	LeadGreen
Permissive Mode	SingleBand
Lost time [s]	0.00

Phasing & Timing

Control Type	Protecte	Permiss	Overlap	Protecte	Permiss	Overlap	Protecte	Permiss	Permiss	Protecte	Permiss	Permiss
Signal Group	5	2	3	1	6	7	7	4	0	3	8	0
Auxiliary Signal Groups			2,3			6,7						
Lead / Lag	Lag	-	-	Lead	-	-	Lag	-	-	Lead	-	-
Minimum Green [s]	4	8	4	4	8	4	4	10	0	4	11	0
Maximum Green [s]	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	0	30	30	0
Amber [s]	3.0	3.6	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.0	3.0	3.6	0.0	3.0	3.6	0.0
All red [s]	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.2	0.0	1.0	1.6	0.0
Split [s]	15	30	17	16	31	49	49	77	0	17	45	0
Vehicle Extension [s]	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	0.0
Walk [s]	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0	17	0	0	19	0	0	21	0	0	27	0
Rest In Walk		No			No			No			No	
I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
I2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.6	2.0	2.0	2.7	2.0	2.0	2.8	0.0	2.0	3.2	0.0
Minimum Recall	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No		No	No	
Maximum Recall	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No		No	No	
Pedestrian Recall	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No		No	No	
Detector Location [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector Length [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
I, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

Pedestrian Signal Group	0
Pedestrian Walk [s]	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0

Lane Group Calculations

Lane Group	L	C	R	L	C	R	L	C	C	L	C	C
C, Cycle Length [s]	140	140	140	140	140	140	140	140	140	140	140	140
L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s]	4.00	4.60	4.00	4.00	4.70	4.70	4.00	4.80	4.80	4.00	5.20	5.20
l1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
l2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.00	2.60	0.00	2.00	2.70	0.00	2.00	2.80	2.80	2.00	3.20	3.20
g_i, Effective Green Time [s]	4	39	57	12	47	85	34	58	58	13	37	37
g / C, Green / Cycle	0.03	0.28	0.41	0.09	0.34	0.61	0.24	0.42	0.42	0.09	0.27	0.27
(v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate	0.02	0.22	0.11	0.07	0.18	0.17	0.22	0.24	0.24	0.08	0.23	0.24
s, saturation flow rate [veh/h]	1603	1683	1402	1603	1683	1415	1603	1683	1630	1603	1683	1526
c, Capacity [veh/h]	45	471	568	137	566	858	386	703	681	149	449	407
d1, Uniform Delay [s]	67.66	46.53	27.74	63.15	37.58	13.13	51.86	31.15	31.23	62.82	49.06	49.56
k, delay calibration	0.04	0.50	0.50	0.04	0.50	0.50	0.09	0.15	0.15	0.04	0.26	0.27
l, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
d2, Incremental Delay [s]	11.67	12.33	1.18	5.66	3.60	0.84	7.77	1.04	1.09	7.35	11.88	16.34
d3, Initial Queue Delay [s]	0.00	2.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rp, platoon ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PF, progression factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Lane Group Results

X, volume / capacity	0.80	0.78	0.27	0.85	0.54	0.29	0.92	0.57	0.57	0.90	0.87	0.90
d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh]	79.33	61.05	28.92	68.81	41.18	13.97	59.63	32.19	32.31	70.18	60.94	65.90
Lane Group LOS	E	E	C	E	D	B	E	C	C	E	E	E
Critical Lane Group	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	1.44	13.60	3.74	4.37	9.12	3.96	13.13	10.68	10.46	5.07	14.71	14.37
50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	35.99	339.95	93.62	109.22	227.97	99.10	328.18	267.00	261.43	126.84	367.65	359.15
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	2.59	19.65	6.74	7.80	14.07	7.14	19.07	16.04	15.76	8.77	21.00	20.58
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	64.78	491.13	168.52	194.92	351.78	178.38	476.73	400.99	394.02	219.20	524.88	514.55

Movement, Approach, & Intersection Results


d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh]	79.33	61.05	28.92	68.81	41.18	13.97	59.63	32.24	32.31	70.18	62.76	65.90
Movement LOS	E	E	C	E	D	B	E	C	C	E	E	E
d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh]	53.33			35.98			40.75			64.37		
Approach LOS	D			D			D			E		
d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh]	48.40											
Intersection LOS	D											
Intersection V/C	0.755											

Other Modes

g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s]	11.0			11.0			11.0			11.0		
M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	561.40			255.76			467.38			442.88		
d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s]	59.43			59.43			59.43			59.43		
I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection	2.409			2.495			2.663			2.655		
Crosswalk LOS	B			B			B			B		
s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lane	2000			2000			2000			2000		
c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	363			376			1031			569		
d_b, Bicycle Delay [s]	46.97			46.26			16.44			35.91		
I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection	2.527			2.688			2.508			2.308		
Bicycle LOS	B			B			B			B		

Sequence

Ring 1	1	2	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 2	5	6	7	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-







Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 2: Petaluma Blvd/Western Ave

Control Type:	Signalized	Delay (sec / veh):	36.2
Analysis Method:	HCM 6th Edition	Level Of Service:	D
Analysis Period:	15 minutes	Volume to Capacity (v/c):	0.453

Intersection Setup

Name	Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd			Western Ave			Water St		
Approach	Northbound			Southbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
Lane Configuration												
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
No. of Lanes in Pocket	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Pocket Length [ft]	65.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	85.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Speed [mph]	25.00			25.00			25.00			25.00		
Grade [%]	0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Curb Present	No			No			No			No		
Crosswalk	Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes		

Volumes

Name	Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd			Western Ave			Water St		
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	50	446	0	0	394	83	91	0	130	1	2	3
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Growth Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	62	0	0	3
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	50	446	0	0	394	78	91	0	68	1	2	0
Peak Hour Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Other Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	13	112	0	0	99	20	23	0	17	0	1	0
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	50	446	0	0	394	78	91	0	68	1	2	0
Presence of On-Street Parking	No		Yes	No		Yes	No		Yes	No		No
On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h]	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0
Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing	7			28			29			33		
v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing	33			29			28			7		
v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing	35			27			39			13		
v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing	39			13			35			27		
v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h]	0			0			0			0		
Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h]	2			2			3			3		

Intersection Settings

Located in CBD	Yes
Signal Coordination Group	-
Cycle Length [s]	160
Coordination Type	Time of Day Pattern Coordinated
Actuation Type	Fully actuated
Offset [s]	12.0
Offset Reference	LeadGreen
Permissive Mode	SingleBand
Lost time [s]	0.00

Phasing & Timing

Control Type	Protecte	Permiss	Permiss	Permiss	Permiss	Permiss	Permiss	Permiss	Permiss	Permiss	Permiss	Permiss
Signal Group	3	8	0	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	6	0
Auxiliary Signal Groups												
Lead / Lag	Lead	-	-	-	-	-	Lag	-	-	-	-	-
Minimum Green [s]	8	8	0	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	8	0
Maximum Green [s]	20	73	0	0	73	0	39	0	0	0	39	0
Amber [s]	3.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0
All red [s]	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0
Split [s]	15	128	0	0	113	0	32	0	0	0	32	0
Vehicle Extension [s]	2.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0
Walk [s]	0	8	0	0	13	0	8	0	0	0	8	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0	10	0	0	5	0	10	0	0	0	10	0
Rest In Walk		No			No		No				No	
I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0
I2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0
Minimum Recall	No	Yes			Yes		No				No	
Maximum Recall	No	No			No		No				No	
Pedestrian Recall	No	No			No		No				No	
Detector Location [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector Length [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
I, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

Pedestrian Signal Group	0
Pedestrian Walk [s]	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0

Lane Group Calculations

Lane Group	L	C	C	L	R	C
C, Cycle Length [s]	160	160	160	160	160	160
L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s]	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50
l1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s]	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	2.00
l2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	2.50
g_i, Effective Green Time [s]	65	135	65	17	17	17
g / C, Green / Cycle	0.41	0.84	0.41	0.11	0.11	0.10
(v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate	0.03	0.30	0.34	0.07	0.09	0.00
s, saturation flow rate [veh/h]	1603	1473	1405	1314	796	1349
c, Capacity [veh/h]	654	1241	575	150	86	171
d1, Uniform Delay [s]	28.92	2.84	42.01	68.69	67.37	64.27
k, delay calibration	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.04	0.04	0.04
l, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
d2, Incremental Delay [s]	0.23	0.81	12.42	1.46	6.15	0.02
d3, Initial Queue Delay [s]	0.00	0.30	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rp, platoon ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PF, progression factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Lane Group Results

X, volume / capacity	0.08	0.36	0.82	0.60	0.80	0.02
d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh]	29.15	3.96	55.40	70.15	73.52	64.28
Lane Group LOS	C	A	E	E	E	E
Critical Lane Group	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	1.26	3.05	18.76	3.63	2.81	0.11
50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	31.51	76.23	468.91	90.80	70.19	2.81
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	2.27	5.49	25.86	6.54	5.05	0.20
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	56.72	137.21	646.48	163.43	126.34	5.06

Movement, Approach, & Intersection Results


d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh]	29.15	3.96	0.00	0.00	55.40	55.40	70.15	0.00	73.52	64.28	64.28	64.28
Movement LOS	C	A			E	E	E		E	E	E	E
d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh]	6.50			55.40			71.59			64.28		
Approach LOS	A			E			E			E		
d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh]	36.24											
Intersection LOS	D											
Intersection V/C	0.453											

Other Modes

g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s]	12.0		12.0		17.0		12.0	
M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	
M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	108.64		131.34		143.08		144.54	
d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s]	68.45		68.45		63.90		68.45	
I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection	2.245		2.289		2.149		1.459	
Crosswalk LOS	B		B		B		A	
s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lane	2000		2000		2000		2000	
c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	1550		1363		0		344	
d_b, Bicycle Delay [s]	4.05		8.14		80.00		54.95	
I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection	2.378		2.347		4.132		1.570	
Bicycle LOS	B		B		D		A	

Sequence

Ring 1	-	2	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 2	-	6	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-







Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 3: Petaluma Blvd/B St

Control Type: Signalized
 Analysis Method: HCM 6th Edition
 Analysis Period: 15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh): 36.8
 Level Of Service: D
 Volume to Capacity (v/c): 0.349

Intersection Setup

Name	B St			B St			Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd		
Approach	Northbound			Southbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
Lane Configuration												
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
No. of Lanes in Pocket	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1
Pocket Length [ft]	100.00	100.00	65.00	30.00	100.00	30.00	85.00	100.00	100.00	70.00	100.00	40.00
Speed [mph]	25.00			25.00			25.00			25.00		
Grade [%]	0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Curb Present	No			No			No			No		
Crosswalk	Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes		

Volumes

Name	B St			B St			Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd		
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	68	32	78	13	33	46	39	332	51	27	400	12
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Growth Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h]	0	0	30	0	0	14	0	0	6	0	0	0
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	68	32	48	13	33	32	39	332	45	27	400	12
Peak Hour Factor	0.9500	0.9500	0.9500	1.0000	0.9500	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9500	0.9500	1.0000	1.0000
Other Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	18	8	13	3	9	8	10	83	12	7	100	3
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	72	34	51	13	35	32	39	332	47	28	400	12
Presence of On-Street Parking	No		Yes	No		No	No		Yes	No		No
On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h]	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing	29			11			5			38		
v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing	5			38			29			11		
v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing	5			12			35			2		
v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing	2			35			12			5		
v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h]	0			0			0			0		
Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h]	4			2			2			2		

Intersection Settings

Located in CBD	Yes
Signal Coordination Group	-
Cycle Length [s]	110
Coordination Type	Time of Day Pattern Coordinated
Actuation Type	Fully actuated
Offset [s]	54.0
Offset Reference	LeadGreen
Permissive Mode	SingleBand
Lost time [s]	0.00

Phasing & Timing

Control Type	Permiss	Permiss	Permiss	Permiss	Permiss	Permiss	Protecte	Permiss	Permiss	Protecte	Permiss	Permiss
Signal Group	0	2	0	0	6	0	7	4	0	3	8	0
Auxiliary Signal Groups												
Lead / Lag	-	-	-	-	-	-	Lead	-	-	Lead	-	-
Minimum Green [s]	0	8	0	0	8	0	8	9	0	6	9	0
Maximum Green [s]	0	35	0	0	35	0	10	50	0	20	50	0
Amber [s]	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	0.0
All red [s]	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	1.0	1.3	0.0	1.0	1.3	0.0
Split [s]	0	37	0	0	37	0	12	60	0	13	61	0
Vehicle Extension [s]	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	0.0
Walk [s]	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0	12	0	0	11	0	0	9	0	0	9	0
Rest In Walk		No			No			No			No	
I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s]	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
I2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	2.0	2.3	0.0	2.0	2.3	0.0
Minimum Recall		No			No		No	Yes		No	Yes	
Maximum Recall		No			No		No	No		No	No	
Pedestrian Recall		No			No		No	No		No	No	
Detector Location [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector Length [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
I, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

Pedestrian Signal Group	0
Pedestrian Walk [s]	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0

Lane Group Calculations

Lane Group	L	C	L	C	R	L	C	L	C	R
C, Cycle Length [s]	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110
L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s]	4.30	4.30	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.30	4.00	4.30	4.30
l1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s]	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
l2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	0.00	2.30	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.00	2.30	2.00	2.30	2.30
g_i, Effective Green Time [s]	15	15	15	15	15	41	41	41	41	41
g / C, Green / Cycle	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.38	0.37	0.38	0.37	0.37
(v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate	0.06	0.07	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.27	0.02	0.24	0.01
s, saturation flow rate [veh/h]	1290	1283	1162	1683	1147	1603	1427	1603	1683	1308
c, Capacity [veh/h]	163	172	126	223	152	604	534	604	630	490
d1, Uniform Delay [s]	47.64	44.14	50.00	42.27	42.34	21.90	29.32	21.74	28.24	21.71
k, delay calibration	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
l, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
d2, Incremental Delay [s]	1.39	1.62	0.26	0.24	0.51	0.21	7.78	0.14	4.82	0.09
d3, Initial Queue Delay [s]	0.00	4.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rp, platoon ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PF, progression factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Lane Group Results

X, volume / capacity	0.44	0.49	0.10	0.16	0.21	0.06	0.71	0.05	0.63	0.02
d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh]	49.03	49.85	50.26	42.51	42.84	22.11	37.10	21.89	33.06	21.80
Lane Group LOS	D	D	D	D	D	C	D	C	C	C
Critical Lane Group	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	1.86	2.24	0.35	0.87	0.80	0.69	9.65	0.49	9.49	0.21
50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	46.38	56.05	8.87	21.67	20.04	17.24	241.35	12.29	237.14	5.30
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	3.34	4.04	0.64	1.56	1.44	1.24	14.75	0.88	14.54	0.38
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	83.49	100.89	15.97	39.01	36.07	31.04	368.75	22.11	363.41	9.53

Movement, Approach, & Intersection Results


d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh]	49.03	49.85	49.85	50.26	42.51	42.84	22.11	37.10	37.10	21.89	33.06	21.80
Movement LOS	D	D	D	D	D	D	C	D	D	C	C	C
d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh]	49.47			43.90			35.70			32.04		
Approach LOS	D			D			D			C		
d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh]	36.80											
Intersection LOS	D											
Intersection V/C	0.349											

Other Modes

g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s]	11.0			11.0			11.0			11.0		
M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	298.85			385.27			234.67			2268.37		
d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s]	44.55			44.55			44.55			44.55		
I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection	2.074			2.197			2.314			2.335		
Crosswalk LOS	B			B			B			B		
s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lane	2000			2000			2000			2000		
c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	595			591			1013			1031		
d_b, Bicycle Delay [s]	27.21			27.33			13.42			12.93		
I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection	1.868			1.715			2.259			2.286		
Bicycle LOS	A			A			B			B		

Sequence

Ring 1	-	2	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 2	-	6	7	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-






Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 4: Petaluma Blvd S/D St

Control Type: Signalized
 Analysis Method: HCM 6th Edition
 Analysis Period: 15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh): 56.9
 Level Of Service: E
 Volume to Capacity (v/c): 0.639

Intersection Setup

Name	D St			D St			Petaluma Blvd S			Petaluma Blvd S		
Approach	Northbound			Southbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
Lane Configuration												
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
No. of Lanes in Pocket	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Pocket Length [ft]	80.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	40.00	175.00	100.00	100.00	150.00	100.00	100.00
Speed [mph]	25.00			25.00			25.00			30.00		
Grade [%]	0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Curb Present	No			No			No			No		
Crosswalk	Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes		

Volumes

Name	D St			D St			Petaluma Blvd S			Petaluma Blvd S		
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	103	430	34	231	425	87	101	252	62	105	252	245
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Growth Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	21	0	0	105
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	103	430	34	231	425	64	101	252	41	105	252	140
Peak Hour Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Other Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	26	108	9	58	106	16	25	63	10	26	63	35
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	103	430	34	231	425	64	101	252	41	105	252	140
Presence of On-Street Parking	No		No	No		No	No		No	No		No
On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing	4			7			4			1		
v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing	1			4			7			4		
v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing	6			1			8			4		
v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing	8			4			6			1		
v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h]	0			0			0			0		
Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h]	4			3			0			2		

Intersection Settings

Located in CBD	Yes
Signal Coordination Group	-
Cycle Length [s]	160
Coordination Type	Time of Day Pattern Coordinated
Actuation Type	Fully actuated
Offset [s]	48.0
Offset Reference	LeadGreen
Permissive Mode	SingleBand
Lost time [s]	0.00

Phasing & Timing

Control Type	Protecte	Permiss	Permiss	Protecte	Permiss	Permiss	Protecte	Permiss	Permiss	Protecte	Permiss	Permiss
Signal Group	3	8	0	7	4	0	1	6	0	5	2	0
Auxiliary Signal Groups												
Lead / Lag	Lead	-	-	Lag	-	-	Lead	-	-	Lead	-	-
Minimum Green [s]	8	9	0	8	9	0	8	9	0	8	9	0
Maximum Green [s]	30	50	0	35	50	0	30	45	0	30	45	0
Amber [s]	3.0	3.6	0.0	3.0	3.6	0.0	3.0	3.6	0.0	3.0	3.6	0.0
All red [s]	1.0	1.1	0.0	1.0	1.3	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.1	0.0
Split [s]	42	66	0	36	60	0	18	39	0	19	40	0
Vehicle Extension [s]	2.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	2.5	0.0	2.0	2.5	0.0
Walk [s]	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0	19	0	0	18	0	0	15	0	0	19	0
Rest In Walk		No			No			No			No	
I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
I2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.7	0.0	2.0	2.9	0.0	2.0	2.6	0.0	2.0	2.7	0.0
Minimum Recall	No	Yes		No	Yes		No	No		No	No	
Maximum Recall	No	No		No	No		No	No		No	No	
Pedestrian Recall	No	No		No	No		No	No		No	No	
Detector Location [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector Length [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
I, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

Pedestrian Signal Group	0
Pedestrian Walk [s]	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0

Lane Group Calculations

Lane Group	L	C	L	C	R	L	C	R	L	C	R
C, Cycle Length [s]	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	160
L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s]	4.00	4.70	4.00	4.90	4.90	4.00	4.60	4.60	4.00	4.70	4.70
l1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
l2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.00	2.70	2.00	2.90	2.90	2.00	2.60	2.60	2.00	2.70	2.70
g_i, Effective Green Time [s]	12	48	25	60	60	12	58	58	12	58	58
g / C, Green / Cycle	0.08	0.30	0.16	0.38	0.38	0.07	0.36	0.36	0.08	0.36	0.36
(v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate	0.06	0.28	0.14	0.25	0.05	0.06	0.15	0.03	0.07	0.15	0.10
s, saturation flow rate [veh/h]	1603	1657	1603	1683	1377	1603	1683	1403	1603	1683	1389
c, Capacity [veh/h]	122	493	250	634	519	119	608	506	123	611	504
d1, Uniform Delay [s]	73.00	54.85	66.57	41.62	32.57	73.17	38.43	33.64	72.96	38.20	36.00
k, delay calibration	0.04	0.29	0.04	0.15	0.15	0.04	0.50	0.50	0.04	0.50	0.50
l, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
d2, Incremental Delay [s]	5.92	19.63	5.86	1.76	0.15	6.13	2.08	0.31	6.09	2.05	1.37
d3, Initial Queue Delay [s]	6.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rp, platoon ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PF, progression factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Lane Group Results

X, volume / capacity	0.84	0.94	0.92	0.67	0.12	0.85	0.41	0.08	0.85	0.41	0.28
d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh]	85.33	74.48	72.43	43.39	32.72	79.30	40.52	33.96	79.05	40.25	37.37
Lane Group LOS	F	E	E	D	C	E	D	C	E	D	D
Critical Lane Group	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	4.43	21.10	9.81	14.56	1.70	4.35	7.98	1.13	4.50	7.88	4.14
50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	110.74	527.59	245.37	364.00	42.52	108.82	199.41	28.25	112.40	197.01	103.38
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	7.88	28.64	14.95	20.82	3.06	7.77	12.61	2.03	7.97	12.48	7.44
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	197.03	715.94	373.82	520.45	76.54	194.36	315.21	50.85	199.34	312.10	186.08

Movement, Approach, & Intersection Results


d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh]	85.33	74.48	74.48	72.43	43.39	32.72	79.30	40.52	33.96	79.05	40.25	37.37
Movement LOS	F	E	E	E	D	C	E	D	C	E	D	D
d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh]	76.45			51.75			49.78			47.63		
Approach LOS	E			D			D			D		
d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh]	56.89											
Intersection LOS	E											
Intersection V/C	0.639											

Other Modes

g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s]	11.0			11.0			11.0			11.0		
M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft²/ped]	0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped]	676.30			1269.17			763.51			1887.09		
d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s]	69.38			69.38			69.38			69.38		
I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection	2.281			2.500			2.362			2.581		
Crosswalk LOS	B			B			B			B		
s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lane	2000			2000			2000			2000		
c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	766			689			430			441		
d_b, Bicycle Delay [s]	30.50			34.44			49.30			48.64		
I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection	2.495			2.786			2.244			2.553		
Bicycle LOS	B			C			B			B		

Sequence

Ring 1	1	2	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 2	5	6	7	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-







Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 1: Petaluma Blvd/Washington St

Control Type:	Signalized	Delay (sec / veh):	46.1
Analysis Method:	HCM 6th Edition	Level Of Service:	D
Analysis Period:	15 minutes	Volume to Capacity (v/c):	0.725

Intersection Setup

Name	Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd			Washington St			Washington St		
Approach	Northbound			Southbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
Lane Configuration												
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Entry Pocket Length [ft]	75.00	100.00	95.00	120.00	100.00	110.00	105.00	100.00	100.00	310.00	100.00	100.00
No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exit Pocket Length [ft]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Speed [mph]	25.00			25.00			25.00			25.00		
Grade [%]	0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Curb Present	No			No			No			No		
Crosswalk	Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes		

Volumes

Name	Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd			Washington St			Washington St		
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	33	339	166	107	278	221	309	674	55	123	569	119
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Growth Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	3	10	0	4	0	0	0	0	12	0	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Right Turn on Red Volume [veh/h]	0	0	26	0	0	18	0	0	4	0	0	13
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	33	342	150	107	282	203	309	674	51	135	569	106
Peak Hour Factor	0.9300	0.9300	0.9300	0.9300	0.9300	0.9300	0.9300	0.9300	0.9300	0.9300	0.9300	0.9300
Other Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	9	92	40	29	76	55	83	181	14	36	153	28
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	35	368	161	115	303	218	332	725	55	145	612	114
Presence of On-Street Parking	No		No	No		No	No		No	No		No
On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	9			14			6			12		
v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	6			12			9			14		
v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	8			7			4			10		
v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	10			4			7			8		
v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h]	0			0			0			0		
Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h]	3			4			3			3		

Intersection Settings

Located in CBD	Yes
Signal Coordination Group	-
Cycle Length [s]	125
Coordination Type	Time of Day Pattern Coordinated
Actuation Type	Fully actuated
Offset [s]	75.0
Offset Reference	Lead Green - Beginning of First Green
Permissive Mode	SingleBand
Lost time [s]	0.00

Phasing & Timing

Control Type	Protect	Permis	Overla	Protect	Permis	Overla	Protect	Permis	Permis	Protect	Permis	Permis
Signal Group	5	2	3	1	6	7	7	4	0	3	8	0
Auxiliary Signal Groups			2,3			6,7						
Lead / Lag	Lag	-	-	Lead	-	-	Lag	-	-	Lead	-	-
Minimum Green [s]	4	8	4	4	8	4	4	10	0	4	11	0
Maximum Green [s]	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	0	30	30	0
Amber [s]	3.0	3.6	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.0	3.0	3.6	0.0	3.0	3.6	0.0
All red [s]	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.2	0.0	1.0	1.6	0.0
Split [s]	32	31	14	38	37	15	15	41	0	14	40	0
Vehicle Extension [s]	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	0.0
Walk [s]	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0	17	0	0	19	0	0	21	0	0	27	0
Delayed Vehicle Green [s]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rest In Walk		No			No			No			No	
I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
I2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.6	2.0	2.0	2.7	2.0	2.0	2.8	0.0	2.0	3.2	0.0
Minimum Recall	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No		No	No	
Maximum Recall	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No		No	No	
Pedestrian Recall	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No		No	No	
Detector Location [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector Length [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
I, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

Pedestrian Signal Group	0
Pedestrian Walk [s]	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0

Lane Group Calculations

Lane Group	L	C	R	L	C	R	L	C	C	L	C	C
C, Cycle Length [s]	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125
L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s]	4.00	4.60	4.00	4.00	4.70	4.70	4.00	4.80	4.80	4.00	5.20	5.20
l1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
l2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.00	2.60	0.00	2.00	2.70	0.00	2.00	2.80	2.80	2.00	3.20	3.20
g_i, Effective Green Time [s]	3	35	50	11	42	75	28	52	52	10	33	33
g / C, Green / Cycle	0.03	0.28	0.40	0.09	0.34	0.60	0.23	0.41	0.41	0.08	0.26	0.26
(v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate	0.02	0.22	0.11	0.07	0.18	0.15	0.21	0.23	0.24	0.09	0.22	0.23
s, saturation flow rate [veh/h]	1603	1683	1401	1603	1683	1414	1603	1683	1630	1603	1683	1549
c, Capacity [veh/h]	44	473	557	138	570	844	362	695	674	129	446	410
d1, Uniform Delay [s]	60.46	41.39	25.52	56.23	33.33	12.00	47.27	28.13	28.20	57.49	43.42	43.77
k, delay calibration	0.04	0.50	0.50	0.04	0.50	0.50	0.19	0.33	0.33	0.04	0.15	0.15
l, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
d2, Incremental Delay [s]	11.36	11.96	1.31	4.84	3.52	0.74	14.92	2.22	2.36	63.95	5.91	7.47
d3, Initial Queue Delay [s]	0.00	2.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rp, platoon ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PF, progression factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Lane Group Results

X, volume / capacity	0.80	0.78	0.29	0.83	0.53	0.26	0.92	0.57	0.57	1.12	0.84	0.86
d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh]	71.82	55.54	26.82	61.07	36.85	12.74	62.19	30.35	30.55	121.44	49.33	51.24
Lane Group LOS	E	E	C	E	D	B	E	C	C	F	D	D
Critical Lane Group	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	1.25	12.08	3.51	3.79	8.06	3.09	11.64	9.56	9.37	6.41	11.69	11.31
50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	31.32	302.06	87.84	94.72	201.45	77.23	291.04	238.99	234.30	160.22	292.31	282.80
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	2.26	17.78	6.32	6.82	12.71	5.56	17.24	14.63	14.39	10.97	17.30	16.83
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	56.38	444.58	158.12	170.50	317.84	139.01	430.93	365.76	359.82	274.25	432.50	420.69

Movement, Approach, & Intersection Results


d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh]	71.82	55.54	26.82	61.07	36.85	12.74	62.19	30.45	30.55	121.44	50.07	51.24
Movement LOS	E	E	C	E	D	B	E	C	C	F	D	D
d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh]	48.35			32.97			39.93			62.11		
Approach LOS	D			C			D			E		
d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh]	46.10											
Intersection LOS	D											
Intersection V/C	0.725											

Other Modes

g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s]	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0
M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	641.88	326.21	631.37	507.63
d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s]	52.00	52.00	52.00	52.00
I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection	2.407	2.473	2.646	2.645
Crosswalk LOS	B	B	B	B
s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	2000	2000	2000	2000
c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	422	517	579	557
d_b, Bicycle Delay [s]	38.96	34.45	31.60	32.60
I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection	2.533	2.639	2.480	2.289
Bicycle LOS	B	B	B	B

Sequence

Ring 1	1	2	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 2	5	6	7	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-







Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 2: Petaluma Blvd/Western Ave

Control Type:	Signalized	Delay (sec / veh):	34.5
Analysis Method:	HCM 6th Edition	Level Of Service:	C
Analysis Period:	15 minutes	Volume to Capacity (v/c):	0.449

Intersection Setup

Name	Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd			Western Ave			Water St		
Approach	Northbound			Southbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
Lane Configuration												
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Entry Pocket Length [ft]	65.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	85.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exit Pocket Length [ft]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Speed [mph]	25.00			25.00			25.00			25.00		
Grade [%]	0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Curb Present	No			No			No			No		
Crosswalk	Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes		

Volumes

Name	Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd			Western Ave			Water St		
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	46	410	0	0	362	77	84	0	119	1	2	3
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Growth Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	16	0	13	0	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Right Turn on Red Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	62	0	0	3
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	46	410	0	0	378	72	97	0	57	1	2	0
Peak Hour Factor	0.9200	0.9200	1.0000	1.0000	0.9200	0.9200	0.9200	1.0000	0.9200	0.9200	0.9200	0.9200
Other Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	13	111	0	0	103	20	26	0	15	0	1	0
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	50	446	0	0	411	78	105	0	62	1	2	0
Presence of On-Street Parking	No		Yes	No		Yes	No		Yes	No		No
On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h]	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0
Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	7			28			29			33		
v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	33			29			28			7		
v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	35			27			39			13		
v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	39			13			35			27		
v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h]	0			0			0			0		
Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h]	2			2			3			3		

Intersection Settings

Located in CBD	Yes
Signal Coordination Group	-
Cycle Length [s]	118
Coordination Type	Time of Day Pattern Coordinated
Actuation Type	Fully actuated
Offset [s]	12.0
Offset Reference	Lead Green - Beginning of First Green
Permissive Mode	SingleBand
Lost time [s]	0.00

Phasing & Timing

Control Type	Protect	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis
Signal Group	3	8	0	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	6	0
Auxiliary Signal Groups												
Lead / Lag	Lead	-	-	-	-	-	Lag	-	-	-	-	-
Minimum Green [s]	8	8	0	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	8	0
Maximum Green [s]	20	73	0	0	73	0	39	0	0	0	39	0
Amber [s]	3.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0
All red [s]	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0
Split [s]	20	77	0	0	57	0	41	0	0	0	41	0
Vehicle Extension [s]	2.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0
Walk [s]	0	8	0	0	13	0	8	0	0	0	8	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0	10	0	0	5	0	10	0	0	0	10	0
Delayed Vehicle Green [s]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rest In Walk		No			No		No				No	
I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0
I2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0
Minimum Recall	No	Yes			Yes		No				No	
Maximum Recall	No	No			No		No				No	
Pedestrian Recall	No	No			No		No				No	
Detector Location [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector Length [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
I, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

Pedestrian Signal Group	0
Pedestrian Walk [s]	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0

Lane Group Calculations

Lane Group	L	C	C	L	R	C
C, Cycle Length [s]	118	118	118	118	118	118
L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s]	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50
l1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s]	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	2.00
l2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	2.50
g_i, Effective Green Time [s]	45	94	45	16	16	16
g / C, Green / Cycle	0.38	0.80	0.38	0.14	0.14	0.13
(v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate	0.03	0.30	0.35	0.08	0.07	0.00
s, saturation flow rate [veh/h]	1603	1473	1405	1317	888	1381
c, Capacity [veh/h]	609	1171	536	194	122	224
d1, Uniform Delay [s]	23.45	3.55	34.64	48.00	46.26	44.48
k, delay calibration	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.04	0.04	0.04
l, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
d2, Incremental Delay [s]	0.26	0.94	22.27	0.87	1.22	0.01
d3, Initial Queue Delay [s]	0.00	0.30	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rp, platoon ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PF, progression factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Lane Group Results

X, volume / capacity	0.08	0.38	0.91	0.54	0.51	0.01
d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh]	23.71	4.80	57.88	48.87	47.48	44.49
Lane Group LOS	C	A	E	D	D	D
Critical Lane Group	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	0.96	2.90	16.54	2.93	1.71	0.08
50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	23.91	72.42	413.51	73.22	42.86	1.95
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	1.72	5.21	23.21	5.27	3.09	0.14
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	43.03	130.36	580.25	131.80	77.15	3.51

Movement, Approach, & Intersection Results

d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh]	23.71	4.80	0.00	0.00	57.88	57.88	48.87	0.00	47.48	44.49	44.49	44.49
Movement LOS	C	A			E	E	D		D	D	D	D
d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh]	6.70			57.88			48.35			44.49		
Approach LOS	A			E			D			D		
d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh]	34.49											
Intersection LOS	C											
Intersection V/C	0.449											

Other Modes

g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s]	12.0	12.0	17.0	12.0
M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	162.20	190.26	208.20	197.08
d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s]	47.64	47.64	43.25	47.64
I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection	2.233	2.306	2.135	1.445
Crosswalk LOS	B	B	B	A
s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	2000	2000	2000	2000
c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	1237	898	627	618
d_b, Bicycle Delay [s]	8.61	17.94	27.87	28.21
I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection	2.378	2.375	1.560	1.570
Bicycle LOS	B	B	A	A

Sequence

Ring 1	-	2	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 2	-	6	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Intersection Level Of Service Report
Intersection 3: Petaluma Blvd/B St

Control Type: Signalized
 Analysis Method: HCM 6th Edition
 Analysis Period: 15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh): 31.1
 Level Of Service: C
 Volume to Capacity (v/c): 0.370

Intersection Setup

Name	B St			B St			Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd		
Approach	Northbound			Southbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
Lane Configuration	↵↵			↵↵↵			↵↵			↵↵↵		
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1
Entry Pocket Length [ft]	100.00	100.00	65.00	30.00	100.00	30.00	85.00	100.00	100.00	70.00	100.00	40.00
No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exit Pocket Length [ft]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Speed [mph]	25.00			25.00			25.00			25.00		
Grade [%]	0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Curb Present	No			No			No			No		
Crosswalk	Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes		

Volumes

Name	B St			B St			Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd		
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	62	30	71	12	31	42	36	305	47	24	367	11
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Growth Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	34	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Right Turn on Red Volume [veh/h]	0	0	30	0	0	14	0	0	6	0	0	0
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	62	30	75	12	31	28	36	321	41	24	367	11
Peak Hour Factor	0.9500	0.9500	0.9500	0.9500	0.9500	0.9500	0.9500	0.9500	0.9500	0.9500	0.9500	0.9500
Other Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	16	8	20	3	8	7	9	84	11	6	97	3
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	65	32	79	13	33	29	38	338	43	25	386	12
Presence of On-Street Parking	No		Yes	No		No	No		Yes	No		No
On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h]	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	29			11			5			38		
v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	5			38			29			11		
v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	5			12			35			2		
v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	2			35			12			5		
v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h]	0			0			0			0		
Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h]	4			2			2			2		

Intersection Settings

Located in CBD	Yes
Signal Coordination Group	-
Cycle Length [s]	59
Coordination Type	Time of Day Pattern Coordinated
Actuation Type	Fully actuated
Offset [s]	54.0
Offset Reference	Lead Green - Beginning of First Green
Permissive Mode	SingleBand
Lost time [s]	0.00

Phasing & Timing

Control Type	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Protect	Permis	Permis	Protect	Permis	Permis
Signal Group	0	2	0	0	6	0	7	4	0	3	8	0
Auxiliary Signal Groups												
Lead / Lag	-	-	-	-	-	-	Lead	-	-	Lead	-	-
Minimum Green [s]	0	8	0	0	8	0	8	9	0	6	9	0
Maximum Green [s]	0	35	0	0	35	0	10	50	0	20	50	0
Amber [s]	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	0.0
All red [s]	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	1.0	1.3	0.0	1.0	1.3	0.0
Split [s]	0	28	0	0	28	0	15	16	0	15	16	0
Vehicle Extension [s]	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	0.0
Walk [s]	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0	12	0	0	11	0	0	9	0	0	9	0
Delayed Vehicle Green [s]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rest In Walk		No			No			No			No	
I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s]	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
I2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	2.0	2.3	0.0	2.0	2.3	0.0
Minimum Recall		No			No		No	Yes		No	Yes	
Maximum Recall		No			No		No	No		No	No	
Pedestrian Recall		No			No		No	No		No	No	
Detector Location [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector Length [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
I, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

Pedestrian Signal Group	0
Pedestrian Walk [s]	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0

Lane Group Calculations

Lane Group	L	C	L	C	R	L	C	L	C	R
C, Cycle Length [s]	59	59	59	59	59	59	59	59	59	59
L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s]	4.30	4.30	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.30	4.00	4.30	4.30
l1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s]	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
l2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	0.00	2.30	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.00	2.30	2.00	2.30	2.30
g_i, Effective Green Time [s]	10	10	10	10	10	18	18	18	18	18
g / C, Green / Cycle	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.31	0.30	0.31	0.30	0.30
(v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate	0.05	0.09	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.27	0.02	0.23	0.01
s, saturation flow rate [veh/h]	1290	1261	1140	1683	1205	1603	1428	1603	1683	1286
c, Capacity [veh/h]	237	222	191	290	208	493	434	493	511	391
d1, Uniform Delay [s]	23.76	22.02	26.36	20.65	20.67	14.53	19.55	14.39	18.59	14.44
k, delay calibration	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
l, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
d2, Incremental Delay [s]	0.46	1.30	0.11	0.13	0.23	0.31	21.57	0.19	9.94	0.15
d3, Initial Queue Delay [s]	0.00	4.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rp, platoon ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PF, progression factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Lane Group Results

X, volume / capacity	0.27	0.50	0.07	0.11	0.14	0.08	0.88	0.05	0.75	0.03
d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh]	24.22	27.41	26.47	20.78	20.89	14.83	41.12	14.59	28.52	14.59
Lane Group LOS	C	C	C	C	C	B	D	B	C	B
Critical Lane Group	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	0.77	1.40	0.17	0.38	0.34	0.38	7.16	0.25	5.81	0.12
50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	19.13	35.00	4.33	9.43	8.39	9.52	179.01	6.20	145.23	3.05
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	1.38	2.52	0.31	0.68	0.60	0.69	11.55	0.45	9.76	0.22
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	34.43	63.00	7.79	16.98	15.11	17.13	288.73	11.15	244.04	5.49

Movement, Approach, & Intersection Results

d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh]	24.22	27.41	27.41	26.47	20.78	20.89	14.83	41.12	41.12	14.59	28.52	14.59
Movement LOS	C	C	C	C	C	C	B	D	D	B	C	B
d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh]	26.23			21.81			38.73			27.30		
Approach LOS	C			C			D			C		
d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh]	31.14											
Intersection LOS	C											
Intersection V/C	0.370											

Other Modes

g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s]	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0
M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	602.47	732.09	506.56	4387.14
d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s]	19.55	19.55	19.55	19.55
I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection	2.044	2.162	2.263	2.305
Crosswalk LOS	B	B	B	B
s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	2000	2000	2000	2000
c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	803	796	396	396
d_b, Bicycle Delay [s]	10.61	10.71	19.01	19.01
I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection	1.900	1.706	2.261	2.258
Bicycle LOS	A	A	B	B

Sequence

Ring 1	-	2	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 2	-	6	7	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Intersection Level Of Service Report
Intersection 4: Petaluma Blvd S/D St

Control Type: Signalized
 Analysis Method: HCM 6th Edition
 Analysis Period: 15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh): 53.4
 Level Of Service: D
 Volume to Capacity (v/c): 0.562

Intersection Setup

Name	D St			D St			Petaluma Blvd S			Petaluma Blvd S		
Approach	Northbound			Southbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
Lane Configuration	↵↵			↵↵			↵↵			↵↵		
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Entry Pocket Length [ft]	80.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	40.00	175.00	100.00	100.00	150.00	100.00	100.00
No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exit Pocket Length [ft]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Speed [mph]	25.00			25.00			25.00			30.00		
Grade [%]	0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Curb Present	No			No			No			No		
Crosswalk	Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes		

Volumes

Name	D St			D St			Petaluma Blvd S			Petaluma Blvd S		
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	95	395	16	156	391	80	93	217	57	95	232	225
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Growth Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	20	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Right Turn on Red Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	21	0	0	105
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	95	395	16	156	391	57	93	233	36	95	252	120
Peak Hour Factor	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800	0.9800
Other Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	24	101	4	40	100	15	24	59	9	24	64	31
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	97	403	16	159	399	58	95	238	37	97	257	122
Presence of On-Street Parking	No		No	No		No	No		No	No		No
On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	4			7			4			1		
v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	1			4			7			4		
v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	6			1			8			4		
v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	8			4			6			1		
v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h]	0			0			0			0		
Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h]	4			3			0			2		

Intersection Settings

Located in CBD	Yes
Signal Coordination Group	-
Cycle Length [s]	124
Coordination Type	Time of Day Pattern Coordinated
Actuation Type	Fully actuated
Offset [s]	48.0
Offset Reference	Lead Green - Beginning of First Green
Permissive Mode	SingleBand
Lost time [s]	0.00

Phasing & Timing

Control Type	Protect	Permis	Permis	Protect	Permis	Permis	Protect	Permis	Permis	Protect	Permis	Permis
Signal Group	3	8	0	7	4	0	1	6	0	5	2	0
Auxiliary Signal Groups												
Lead / Lag	Lead	-	-	Lag	-	-	Lead	-	-	Lead	-	-
Minimum Green [s]	8	9	0	8	9	0	8	9	0	8	9	0
Maximum Green [s]	30	50	0	35	50	0	30	45	0	30	45	0
Amber [s]	3.0	3.6	0.0	3.0	3.6	0.0	3.0	3.6	0.0	3.0	3.6	0.0
All red [s]	1.0	1.1	0.0	1.0	1.3	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.1	0.0
Split [s]	22	33	0	23	33	0	27	51	0	17	42	0
Vehicle Extension [s]	2.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	2.5	0.0	2.0	2.5	0.0
Walk [s]	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0	19	0	0	18	0	0	15	0	0	19	0
Delayed Vehicle Green [s]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rest In Walk		No			No			No			No	
I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
I2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.7	0.0	2.0	2.9	0.0	2.0	2.6	0.0	2.0	2.7	0.0
Minimum Recall	No	Yes		No	Yes		No	No		No	No	
Maximum Recall	No	No		No	No		No	No		No	No	
Pedestrian Recall	No	No		No	No		No	No		No	No	
Detector Location [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector Length [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
I, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

Pedestrian Signal Group	0
Pedestrian Walk [s]	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0

Lane Group Calculations

Lane Group	L	C	L	C	R	L	C	R	L	C	R
C, Cycle Length [s]	124	124	124	124	124	124	124	124	124	124	124
L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s]	4.00	4.70	4.00	4.90	4.90	4.00	4.60	4.60	4.00	4.70	4.70
l1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
l2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.00	2.70	2.00	2.90	2.90	2.00	2.60	2.60	2.00	2.70	2.70
g_i, Effective Green Time [s]	9	29	14	34	34	9	54	54	9	54	54
g / C, Green / Cycle	0.07	0.24	0.11	0.27	0.27	0.07	0.44	0.44	0.07	0.44	0.44
(v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate	0.06	0.25	0.10	0.24	0.04	0.06	0.14	0.03	0.06	0.15	0.09
s, saturation flow rate [veh/h]	1603	1669	1603	1683	1368	1603	1683	1408	1603	1683	1391
c, Capacity [veh/h]	119	395	183	462	376	117	733	613	119	734	607
d1, Uniform Delay [s]	56.63	47.41	54.09	42.83	34.05	56.71	23.04	20.30	56.65	23.32	21.60
k, delay calibration	0.04	0.22	0.04	0.19	0.15	0.04	0.50	0.50	0.04	0.50	0.50
l, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
d2, Incremental Delay [s]	4.96	47.54	4.82	8.35	0.27	4.95	1.18	0.19	5.02	1.32	0.75
d3, Initial Queue Delay [s]	6.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rp, platoon ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PF, progression factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Lane Group Results

X, volume / capacity	0.81	1.06	0.87	0.86	0.15	0.81	0.32	0.06	0.81	0.35	0.20
d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh]	67.99	94.96	58.91	51.18	34.32	61.66	24.21	20.49	61.67	24.64	22.35
Lane Group LOS	E	F	E	D	C	E	C	C	E	C	C
Critical Lane Group	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No
50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	3.19	17.45	5.17	12.76	1.38	3.12	4.89	0.67	3.16	5.28	2.33
50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	79.65	436.26	129.17	318.91	34.46	78.02	122.23	16.73	79.12	132.12	58.29
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	5.73	25.15	8.89	18.61	2.48	5.62	8.52	1.20	5.70	9.05	4.20
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	143.36	628.69	222.37	465.35	62.04	140.44	212.89	30.12	142.42	226.37	104.91

Movement, Approach, & Intersection Results


d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh]	67.99	94.96	94.96	58.91	51.18	34.32	61.66	24.21	20.49	61.67	24.64	22.35
Movement LOS	E	F	F	E	D	C	E	C	C	E	C	C
d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh]	89.89			51.59			33.45			31.59		
Approach LOS	F			D			C			C		
d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh]	53.38											
Intersection LOS	D											
Intersection V/C	0.562											

Other Modes

g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s]	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0
M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	908.36	2007.51	1061.25	2624.57
d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s]	51.54	51.54	51.54	51.54
I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection	2.245	2.456	2.344	2.538
Crosswalk LOS	B	B	B	B
s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	2000	2000	2000	2000
c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	456	453	748	601
d_b, Bicycle Delay [s]	37.05	37.19	24.33	30.39
I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection	2.411	2.614	2.205	2.518
Bicycle LOS	B	B	B	B

Sequence





Ring 1	1	2	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 2	5	6	7	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Intersection Level Of Service Report
Intersection 1: Petaluma Blvd/Washington St

Control Type:	Signalized	Delay (sec / veh):	48.9
Analysis Method:	HCM 6th Edition	Level Of Service:	D
Analysis Period:	15 minutes	Volume to Capacity (v/c):	0.757

Intersection Setup

Name	Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd			Washington St			Washington St		
Approach	Northbound			Southbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
Lane Configuration												
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Entry Pocket Length [ft]	75.00	100.00	95.00	120.00	100.00	110.00	105.00	100.00	100.00	310.00	100.00	100.00
No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exit Pocket Length [ft]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Speed [mph]	25.00			25.00			25.00			25.00		
Grade [%]	0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Curb Present	No			No			No			No		
Crosswalk	Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes		

Volumes

Name	Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd			Washington St			Washington St		
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	36	369	181	117	303	264	356	734	60	134	619	154
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Growth Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	3	10	0	4	0	0	0	0	12	0	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Right Turn on Red Volume [veh/h]	0	0	26	0	0	18	0	0	4	0	0	13
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	36	372	165	117	307	246	356	734	56	146	619	141
Peak Hour Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Other Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	9	93	41	29	77	62	89	184	14	37	155	35
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	36	372	165	117	307	246	356	734	56	146	619	141
Presence of On-Street Parking	No		No	No		No	No		No	No		No
On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	9			14			6			12		
v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	6			12			9			14		
v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	8			7			4			10		
v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	10			4			7			8		
v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h]	0			0			0			0		
Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h]	3			4			3			3		

Intersection Settings

Located in CBD	Yes
Signal Coordination Group	-
Cycle Length [s]	140
Coordination Type	Time of Day Pattern Coordinated
Actuation Type	Fully actuated
Offset [s]	75.0
Offset Reference	Lead Green - Beginning of First Green
Permissive Mode	SingleBand
Lost time [s]	0.00

Phasing & Timing

Control Type	Protect	Permis	Overla	Protect	Permis	Overla	Protect	Permis	Permis	Protect	Permis	Permis
Signal Group	5	2	3	1	6	7	7	4	0	3	8	0
Auxiliary Signal Groups			2,3			6,7						
Lead / Lag	Lag	-	-	Lead	-	-	Lag	-	-	Lead	-	-
Minimum Green [s]	4	8	4	4	8	4	4	10	0	4	11	0
Maximum Green [s]	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	0	30	30	0
Amber [s]	3.0	3.6	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.0	3.0	3.6	0.0	3.0	3.6	0.0
All red [s]	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.2	0.0	1.0	1.6	0.0
Split [s]	15	30	17	16	31	49	49	77	0	17	45	0
Vehicle Extension [s]	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	0.0
Walk [s]	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0	17	0	0	19	0	0	21	0	0	27	0
Delayed Vehicle Green [s]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rest In Walk		No			No			No			No	
I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
I2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.6	2.0	2.0	2.7	2.0	2.0	2.8	0.0	2.0	3.2	0.0
Minimum Recall	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No		No	No	
Maximum Recall	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No		No	No	
Pedestrian Recall	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No		No	No	
Detector Location [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector Length [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
I, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

Pedestrian Signal Group	0
Pedestrian Walk [s]	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0

Lane Group Calculations

Lane Group	L	C	R	L	C	R	L	C	C	L	C	C
C, Cycle Length [s]	140	140	140	140	140	140	140	140	140	140	140	140
L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s]	4.00	4.60	4.00	4.00	4.70	4.70	4.00	4.80	4.80	4.00	5.20	5.20
l1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
l2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.00	2.60	0.00	2.00	2.70	0.00	2.00	2.80	2.80	2.00	3.20	3.20
g_i, Effective Green Time [s]	4	39	57	12	47	85	34	58	58	13	37	37
g / C, Green / Cycle	0.03	0.28	0.41	0.09	0.34	0.61	0.24	0.42	0.42	0.09	0.27	0.27
(v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate	0.02	0.22	0.12	0.07	0.18	0.17	0.22	0.24	0.24	0.09	0.23	0.24
s, saturation flow rate [veh/h]	1603	1683	1402	1603	1683	1415	1603	1683	1630	1603	1683	1526
c, Capacity [veh/h]	45	471	568	137	566	858	386	703	681	149	449	407
d1, Uniform Delay [s]	67.66	46.63	27.96	63.15	37.69	13.13	51.86	31.15	31.23	63.34	49.06	49.56
k, delay calibration	0.04	0.50	0.50	0.04	0.50	0.50	0.09	0.15	0.15	0.04	0.26	0.27
l, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
d2, Incremental Delay [s]	11.67	12.71	1.29	5.66	3.70	0.84	7.77	1.04	1.09	16.35	11.89	16.34
d3, Initial Queue Delay [s]	0.00	2.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rp, platoon ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PF, progression factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Lane Group Results

X, volume / capacity	0.80	0.79	0.29	0.85	0.54	0.29	0.92	0.57	0.57	0.98	0.87	0.90
d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh]	79.33	61.54	29.25	68.81	41.39	13.97	59.63	32.19	32.32	79.69	60.96	65.90
Lane Group LOS	E	E	C	E	D	B	E	C	C	E	E	E
Critical Lane Group	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	1.44	13.77	4.02	4.37	9.27	3.96	13.13	10.68	10.46	5.92	14.71	14.36
50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	35.99	344.33	100.53	109.22	231.82	99.10	328.17	267.01	261.44	147.92	367.71	359.12
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	2.59	19.86	7.24	7.80	14.27	7.13	19.07	16.04	15.76	9.91	21.00	20.58
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	64.78	496.49	180.95	194.92	356.67	178.37	476.72	401.00	394.03	247.65	524.95	514.51

Movement, Approach, & Intersection Results

d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh]	79.33	61.54	29.25	68.81	41.39	13.97	59.63	32.25	32.32	79.69	62.77	65.90
Movement LOS	E	E	C	E	D	B	E	C	C	E	E	E
d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh]	53.36			36.11			40.76			65.98		
Approach LOS	D			D			D			E		
d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh]	48.94											
Intersection LOS	D											
Intersection V/C	0.757											

Other Modes

g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s]	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0
M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	561.41	255.77	467.39	433.69
d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s]	59.43	59.43	59.43	59.43
I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection	2.415	2.497	2.663	2.658
Crosswalk LOS	B	B	B	B
s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	2000	2000	2000	2000
c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	363	376	1031	569
d_b, Bicycle Delay [s]	46.97	46.26	16.44	35.91
I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection	2.548	2.695	2.508	2.318
Bicycle LOS	B	B	B	B

Sequence

Ring 1	1	2	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 2	5	6	7	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-







Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 2: Petaluma Blvd/Western Ave

Control Type:	Signalized	Delay (sec / veh):	38.2
Analysis Method:	HCM 6th Edition	Level Of Service:	D
Analysis Period:	15 minutes	Volume to Capacity (v/c):	0.463

Intersection Setup

Name	Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd			Western Ave			Water St		
Approach	Northbound			Southbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
Lane Configuration												
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Entry Pocket Length [ft]	65.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	85.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exit Pocket Length [ft]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Speed [mph]	25.00			25.00			25.00			25.00		
Grade [%]	0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Curb Present	No			No			No			No		
Crosswalk	Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes		

Volumes

Name	Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd			Western Ave			Water St		
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	50	446	0	0	394	83	91	0	130	1	2	3
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Growth Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	16	0	13	0	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Right Turn on Red Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	62	0	0	3
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	50	446	0	0	410	78	104	0	68	1	2	0
Peak Hour Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Other Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	13	112	0	0	103	20	26	0	17	0	1	0
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	50	446	0	0	410	78	104	0	68	1	2	0
Presence of On-Street Parking	No		Yes	No		Yes	No		Yes	No		No
On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h]	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0
Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	7			28			29			33		
v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	33			29			28			7		
v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	35			27			39			13		
v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	39			13			35			27		
v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h]	0			0			0			0		
Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h]	2			2			3			3		

Intersection Settings

Located in CBD	Yes
Signal Coordination Group	-
Cycle Length [s]	160
Coordination Type	Time of Day Pattern Coordinated
Actuation Type	Fully actuated
Offset [s]	12.0
Offset Reference	Lead Green - Beginning of First Green
Permissive Mode	SingleBand
Lost time [s]	0.00

Phasing & Timing

Control Type	Protect	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis
Signal Group	3	8	0	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	6	0
Auxiliary Signal Groups												
Lead / Lag	Lead	-	-	-	-	-	Lag	-	-	-	-	-
Minimum Green [s]	8	8	0	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	8	0
Maximum Green [s]	20	73	0	0	73	0	39	0	0	0	39	0
Amber [s]	3.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0
All red [s]	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0
Split [s]	15	128	0	0	113	0	32	0	0	0	32	0
Vehicle Extension [s]	2.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0
Walk [s]	0	8	0	0	13	0	8	0	0	0	8	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0	10	0	0	5	0	10	0	0	0	10	0
Delayed Vehicle Green [s]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rest In Walk		No			No		No				No	
I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0
I2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0
Minimum Recall	No	Yes			Yes		No				No	
Maximum Recall	No	No			No		No				No	
Pedestrian Recall	No	No			No		No				No	
Detector Location [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector Length [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
I, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

Pedestrian Signal Group	0
Pedestrian Walk [s]	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0

Lane Group Calculations

Lane Group	L	C	C	L	R	C
C, Cycle Length [s]	160	160	160	160	160	160
L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s]	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50
l1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s]	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	2.00
l2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	2.50
g_i, Effective Green Time [s]	65	135	65	17	17	17
g / C, Green / Cycle	0.41	0.84	0.41	0.11	0.11	0.11
(v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate	0.03	0.30	0.35	0.08	0.08	0.00
s, saturation flow rate [veh/h]	1603	1473	1407	1313	803	1322
c, Capacity [veh/h]	653	1238	575	153	88	171
d1, Uniform Delay [s]	29.01	2.92	42.85	69.15	67.07	63.99
k, delay calibration	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.04	0.04	0.04
I, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
d2, Incremental Delay [s]	0.23	0.82	14.54	1.98	5.33	0.02
d3, Initial Queue Delay [s]	0.00	0.30	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rp, platoon ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PF, progression factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Lane Group Results

X, volume / capacity	0.08	0.36	0.85	0.68	0.77	0.02
d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh]	29.24	4.03	58.36	71.14	72.40	64.00
Lane Group LOS	C	A	E	E	E	E
Critical Lane Group	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	1.26	3.11	19.98	4.19	2.79	0.11
50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	31.57	77.65	499.59	104.77	69.64	2.80
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	2.27	5.59	27.32	7.54	5.01	0.20
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	56.83	139.78	682.88	188.59	125.34	5.04

Movement, Approach, & Intersection Results


d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh]	29.24	4.03	0.00	0.00	58.36	58.36	71.14	0.00	72.40	64.00	64.00	64.00
Movement LOS	C	A			E	E	E		E	E	E	E
d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh]	6.57			58.36			71.64			64.00		
Approach LOS	A			E			E			E		
d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh]	38.18											
Intersection LOS	D											
Intersection V/C	0.463											

Other Modes

g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s]	12.0	12.0	17.0	12.0
M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	108.67	123.53	143.12	144.56
d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s]	68.43	68.43	63.89	68.43
I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection	2.249	2.319	2.152	1.459
Crosswalk LOS	B	B	B	A
s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	2000	2000	2000	2000
c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	1550	1363	350	344
d_b, Bicycle Delay [s]	4.05	8.13	54.52	54.93
I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection	2.378	2.373	1.560	1.570
Bicycle LOS	B	B	A	A

Sequence

Ring 1	-	2	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 2	-	6	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 3: Petaluma Blvd/B St

Control Type: Signalized
 Analysis Method: HCM 6th Edition
 Analysis Period: 15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh): 38.5
 Level Of Service: D
 Volume to Capacity (v/c): 0.390

Intersection Setup

Name	B St			B St			Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd		
Approach	Northbound			Southbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
Lane Configuration	↵↵			↵↵↵			↵↵			↵↵↵		
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1
Entry Pocket Length [ft]	100.00	100.00	65.00	30.00	100.00	30.00	85.00	100.00	100.00	70.00	100.00	40.00
No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exit Pocket Length [ft]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Speed [mph]	25.00			25.00			25.00			25.00		
Grade [%]	0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Curb Present	No			No			No			No		
Crosswalk	Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes		

Volumes

Name	B St			B St			Petaluma Blvd			Petaluma Blvd		
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	68	32	78	13	33	46	39	332	51	27	400	12
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Growth Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	34	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Right Turn on Red Volume [veh/h]	0	0	30	0	0	14	0	0	6	0	0	0
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	68	32	82	13	33	32	39	348	45	27	400	12
Peak Hour Factor	0.9500	0.9500	0.9500	1.0000	0.9500	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9500	0.9500	1.0000	1.0000
Other Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	18	8	22	3	9	8	10	87	12	7	100	3
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	72	34	86	13	35	32	39	348	47	28	400	12
Presence of On-Street Parking	No		Yes	No		No	No		Yes	No		No
On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h]	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	29			11			5			38		
v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	5			38			29			11		
v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	5			12			35			2		
v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	2			35			12			5		
v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h]	0			0			0			0		
Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h]	4			2			2			2		

Intersection Settings

Located in CBD	Yes
Signal Coordination Group	-
Cycle Length [s]	110
Coordination Type	Time of Day Pattern Coordinated
Actuation Type	Fully actuated
Offset [s]	54.0
Offset Reference	Lead Green - Beginning of First Green
Permissive Mode	SingleBand
Lost time [s]	0.00

Phasing & Timing

Control Type	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Permis	Protect	Permis	Permis	Protect	Permis	Permis
Signal Group	0	2	0	0	6	0	7	4	0	3	8	0
Auxiliary Signal Groups												
Lead / Lag	-	-	-	-	-	-	Lead	-	-	Lead	-	-
Minimum Green [s]	0	8	0	0	8	0	8	9	0	6	9	0
Maximum Green [s]	0	35	0	0	35	0	10	50	0	20	50	0
Amber [s]	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	0.0
All red [s]	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	1.0	1.3	0.0	1.0	1.3	0.0
Split [s]	0	37	0	0	37	0	12	60	0	13	61	0
Vehicle Extension [s]	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	0.0
Walk [s]	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0	12	0	0	11	0	0	9	0	0	9	0
Delayed Vehicle Green [s]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rest In Walk		No			No			No			No	
I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s]	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
I2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	2.0	2.3	0.0	2.0	2.3	0.0
Minimum Recall		No			No		No	Yes		No	Yes	
Maximum Recall		No			No		No	No		No	No	
Pedestrian Recall		No			No		No	No		No	No	
Detector Location [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector Length [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
I, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

Pedestrian Signal Group	0
Pedestrian Walk [s]	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0

Lane Group Calculations

Lane Group	L	C	L	C	R	L	C	L	C	R
C, Cycle Length [s]	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110
L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s]	4.30	4.30	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.30	4.00	4.30	4.30
l1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s]	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
l2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	0.00	2.30	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.00	2.30	2.00	2.30	2.30
g_i, Effective Green Time [s]	16	16	16	16	16	41	40	41	40	40
g / C, Green / Cycle	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37
(v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate	0.06	0.10	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.28	0.02	0.24	0.01
s, saturation flow rate [veh/h]	1255	1255	1130	1683	1169	1603	1428	1603	1683	1306
c, Capacity [veh/h]	175	184	105	244	169	593	526	594	620	481
d1, Uniform Delay [s]	46.44	44.28	51.82	41.07	41.13	22.36	30.35	22.19	28.78	22.13
k, delay calibration	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
l, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
d2, Incremental Delay [s]	1.14	2.88	0.39	0.20	0.40	0.21	9.52	0.15	5.11	0.10
d3, Initial Queue Delay [s]	0.00	4.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rp, platoon ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PF, progression factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Lane Group Results

X, volume / capacity	0.41	0.65	0.12	0.14	0.19	0.07	0.75	0.05	0.65	0.02
d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh]	47.59	51.24	52.21	41.26	41.53	22.57	39.87	22.34	33.90	22.22
Lane Group LOS	D	D	D	D	D	C	D	C	C	C
Critical Lane Group	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	1.82	3.25	0.36	0.85	0.79	0.70	10.49	0.50	9.62	0.21
50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	45.47	81.27	9.10	21.28	19.65	17.46	262.22	12.44	240.57	5.36
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	3.27	5.85	0.65	1.53	1.41	1.26	15.80	0.90	14.71	0.39
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	81.84	146.28	16.37	38.31	35.37	31.43	395.01	22.39	367.76	9.65

Movement, Approach, & Intersection Results


d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh]	47.59	51.24	51.24	52.21	41.26	41.53	22.57	39.87	39.87	22.34	33.90	22.22
Movement LOS	D	D	D	D	D	D	C	D	D	C	C	C
d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh]	49.87			43.15			38.31			32.84		
Approach LOS	D			D			D			C		
d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh]	38.49											
Intersection LOS	D											
Intersection V/C	0.390											

Other Modes

g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s]	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0
M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	298.82	385.24	234.65	2062.51
d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s]	44.55	44.55	44.55	44.55
I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection	2.084	2.197	2.318	2.345
Crosswalk LOS	B	B	B	B
s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	2000	2000	2000	2000
c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	595	591	1013	1031
d_b, Bicycle Delay [s]	27.22	27.33	13.42	12.93
I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection	1.926	1.715	2.286	2.286
Bicycle LOS	A	A	B	B

Sequence

Ring 1	-	2	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 2	-	6	7	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Intersection Level Of Service Report
Intersection 4: Petaluma Blvd S/D St

Control Type: Signalized
 Analysis Method: HCM 6th Edition
 Analysis Period: 15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh): 56.8
 Level Of Service: E
 Volume to Capacity (v/c): 0.649

Intersection Setup

Name	D St			D St			Petaluma Blvd S			Petaluma Blvd S		
Approach	Northbound			Southbound			Eastbound			Westbound		
Lane Configuration	↵↵			↵↵			↵↵			↵↵		
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Entry Pocket Length [ft]	80.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	40.00	175.00	100.00	100.00	150.00	100.00	100.00
No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exit Pocket Length [ft]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Speed [mph]	25.00			25.00			25.00			30.00		
Grade [%]	0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Curb Present	No			No			No			No		
Crosswalk	Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes		

Volumes

Name	D St			D St			Petaluma Blvd S			Petaluma Blvd S		
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	103	430	34	231	425	87	101	252	62	105	252	245
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Growth Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	20	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Right Turn on Red Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	21	0	0	105
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	103	430	34	231	425	64	101	268	41	105	272	140
Peak Hour Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Other Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	26	108	9	58	106	16	25	67	10	26	68	35
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	103	430	34	231	425	64	101	268	41	105	272	140
Presence of On-Street Parking	No		No	No		No	No		No	No		No
On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	4			7			4			1		
v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street	1			4			7			4		
v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	6			1			8			4		
v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street	8			4			6			1		
v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h]	0			0			0			0		
Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h]	4			3			0			2		

Intersection Settings

Located in CBD	Yes
Signal Coordination Group	-
Cycle Length [s]	160
Coordination Type	Time of Day Pattern Coordinated
Actuation Type	Fully actuated
Offset [s]	48.0
Offset Reference	Lead Green - Beginning of First Green
Permissive Mode	SingleBand
Lost time [s]	0.00

Phasing & Timing

Control Type	Protect	Permis	Permis	Protect	Permis	Permis	Protect	Permis	Permis	Protect	Permis	Permis
Signal Group	3	8	0	7	4	0	1	6	0	5	2	0
Auxiliary Signal Groups												
Lead / Lag	Lead	-	-	Lag	-	-	Lead	-	-	Lead	-	-
Minimum Green [s]	8	9	0	8	9	0	8	9	0	8	9	0
Maximum Green [s]	30	50	0	35	50	0	30	45	0	30	45	0
Amber [s]	3.0	3.6	0.0	3.0	3.6	0.0	3.0	3.6	0.0	3.0	3.6	0.0
All red [s]	1.0	1.1	0.0	1.0	1.3	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.1	0.0
Split [s]	42	66	0	36	60	0	18	39	0	19	40	0
Vehicle Extension [s]	2.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	2.5	0.0	2.0	2.5	0.0
Walk [s]	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0	19	0	0	18	0	0	15	0	0	19	0
Delayed Vehicle Green [s]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rest In Walk		No			No			No			No	
I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
I2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.0	2.7	0.0	2.0	2.9	0.0	2.0	2.6	0.0	2.0	2.7	0.0
Minimum Recall	No	Yes		No	Yes		No	No		No	No	
Maximum Recall	No	No		No	No		No	No		No	No	
Pedestrian Recall	No	No		No	No		No	No		No	No	
Detector Location [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector Length [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
I, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

Pedestrian Signal Group	0
Pedestrian Walk [s]	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0

Lane Group Calculations

Lane Group	L	C	L	C	R	L	C	R	L	C	R
C, Cycle Length [s]	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	160
L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s]	4.00	4.70	4.00	4.90	4.90	4.00	4.60	4.60	4.00	4.70	4.70
l1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
l2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.00	2.70	2.00	2.90	2.90	2.00	2.60	2.60	2.00	2.70	2.70
g_i, Effective Green Time [s]	12	48	25	60	60	12	58	58	12	58	58
g / C, Green / Cycle	0.08	0.30	0.16	0.38	0.38	0.07	0.36	0.36	0.08	0.36	0.36
(v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate	0.06	0.28	0.14	0.25	0.05	0.06	0.16	0.03	0.07	0.16	0.10
s, saturation flow rate [veh/h]	1603	1657	1603	1683	1377	1603	1683	1403	1603	1683	1389
c, Capacity [veh/h]	122	493	250	634	519	119	608	506	123	611	504
d1, Uniform Delay [s]	73.00	54.85	66.57	41.62	32.57	73.17	38.87	33.64	72.96	38.74	36.00
k, delay calibration	0.04	0.29	0.04	0.15	0.15	0.04	0.50	0.50	0.04	0.50	0.50
l, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
d2, Incremental Delay [s]	5.92	19.63	5.86	1.76	0.15	6.13	2.32	0.31	6.09	2.34	1.37
d3, Initial Queue Delay [s]	6.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rp, platoon ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PF, progression factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Lane Group Results

X, volume / capacity	0.84	0.94	0.92	0.67	0.12	0.85	0.44	0.08	0.85	0.45	0.28
d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh]	85.33	74.48	72.43	43.39	32.72	79.30	41.18	33.96	79.05	41.08	37.37
Lane Group LOS	F	E	E	D	C	E	D	C	E	D	D
Critical Lane Group	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	4.43	21.10	9.81	14.56	1.70	4.35	8.59	1.13	4.50	8.64	4.14
50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	110.74	527.59	245.37	364.00	42.52	108.82	214.79	28.25	112.40	216.06	103.38
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	7.88	28.64	14.95	20.82	3.06	7.77	13.40	2.03	7.97	13.46	7.44
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	197.03	715.94	373.82	520.45	76.54	194.36	334.97	50.85	199.34	336.59	186.08

Movement, Approach, & Intersection Results


d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh]	85.33	74.48	74.48	72.43	43.39	32.72	79.30	41.18	33.96	79.05	41.08	37.37
Movement LOS	F	E	E	E	D	C	E	D	C	E	D	D
d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh]	76.45			51.75			49.85			47.79		
Approach LOS	E			D			D			D		
d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh]	56.80											
Intersection LOS	E											
Intersection V/C	0.649											

Other Modes

g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s]	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0
M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft ² /ped]	676.13	1268.71	763.30	1886.64
d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s]	69.40	69.40	69.40	69.40
I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection	2.281	2.500	2.370	2.589
Crosswalk LOS	B	B	B	B
s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	2000	2000	2000	2000
c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h]	766	689	430	441
d_b, Bicycle Delay [s]	30.52	34.45	49.31	48.66
I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection	2.495	2.786	2.271	2.586
Bicycle LOS	B	C	B	B

Sequence

Ring 1	1	2	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 2	5	6	7	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Appendix D

Queuing Calculations




This page intentionally left blank

Queuing Evaluation Worksheet

Project: Petaluma Appellation Hotel
Project No: PET169

By: SW
Date: 9/26/2023

Inputs		
Time Unit	Hour	
λ , Arrival Rate (veh/hr):	20	Veh/Hour
μ , Service Rate (veh/hr):	32	Veh/Hour
Intermediate Calculations		
Average Time between arrivals	0.050	hour
	180.0	seconds
Average Service Time	0.031	hour
	112.5	seconds
Performance Measures		
Rho (average Server Utilization)	0.625	
P0 (probability the System is empty)	37.5%	
L (average number in the system)	1.7	Vehicles
	41.7	Feet
Lq (average number waiting in the queue)	1.0	Vehicles
W (average time in the system)	0.083	hour
	5.0	minutes
Wq (average time in the queue)	0.052	hour
	3.1	minutes
Probability of a specific number of customers in the system		
Number of vehicles in the system (n)	3	
Probability	9.2%	
Note: the service rate must be greater than the arrival rate. If the service rate is less than or equal to the arrival rate, the waiting line would eventually grow to be infinitely large.		





This page intentionally left blank

Appendix E

Parking Requirements



This page intentionally left blank

- ALL LAMINATED GLAZING AND TYPES ALL GLASS CURTAINS TO BE PARTITIONED FROM UNGLAZED WINDOW SECTIONS.
- ALL WINDOW & GLAZING PLUMBING AND DRAMACTIONS ON AREA PLANS.
2. FOR FIRE AND LIFE SAFETY PLANS, REFER TO DRAWINGS.
3. ROOF PLANS SHOWS FOR HANGING ONLY. REFER TO ROOF PLUMBING NOTES AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR HANGING DETAILS.
4. REFER TO ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS, ELECTRICAL, PLUMBING AND MECHANICAL SECTIONS.
5. REFER TO BIDDING FOR ALL PARTITIONS, DOORS, WINDOWS, AND ACCESSORIES.
6. INTERNAL APERTURES UNFACED/EXPOSED JOINTS COVERED AT ALL VISIBLE LOCATIONS WITH FINISH MATERIALS TO MATCH ADJACENT SURFACES. JOINTS COVERED TO FLUSH WITH TOP OF FINISHED GLAZING.
7. ALL PARTITION DRAMACTIONS ARE TAKEN FROM THE CENTERLINE OF COLUMN AND WINDOW SECTIONS.
8. INTERNAL GLAZING TO SUPPORT ALL WEIGHTS NOTED SPECIFICATIONS.
9. ALL AT SPANGLER, GLASS LOCATIONS, RACK OF INTERIOR WALL TO BE CONTINUOUS WITH EXTERIOR WALL.
10. REFER TO A-10 FOR INTERIOR PROVIDED JOINT DETAIL SETINGS.
11. GENERAL DRAMACTIONS PROVIDED COLUMN PLUMB AND AREA PLANS SET TO BE USED FOR ALL GLAZING AND PARTITIONING. FOR COORDINATION WITH PLUMBING SETTING CLIMATED. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE CLEAR OPENINGS FOR PARTITIONING. REFER TO BIDDING FOR PARTITIONING. REFER TO BIDDING FOR PARTITIONING. REFER TO BIDDING FOR PARTITIONING.

NUMBER	DESCRIPTION
--------	-------------

[illegible]

REVISION HISTORY

REVISION DESCRIPTION DATE
PROFESSIONAL SEALS

INTERIM REVIEW


THIS DOCUMENT IS RELEASED FOR THE
PURPOSE OF INTERIM REVIEW UNDER
AUTHORITY OF
*** choose ARCHITECT or ENGINEER
from list in Family Types
IT IS NOT TO BE USED FOR REGULATORY
APPROVAL, BIDDING, PERMIT, OR
CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES.

ARCHITECTURAL - FLOOR PLAN
LEVEL 01

DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY
Author	C
PROJECT NUMBER	PROJECT ADDRESS
621010	
ORIGINAL ISSUE	DATE
SPAR APPLICATION	OR APPROVAL

SPAR-A6

1997年12月1日
















1 FLOOR PLAN - LEVEL 01
SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"



1. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES ARE TO BE ALL COLUMN PIERRED TO BE A PARTITION TYPE... UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE
2. ALL EXISTING DOOR AND WINDOW PLANS TO BE DIMENSIONED ON AREA PLANS
3. ALL FLOOR AND CEILING PARTY PLANS, REFER TO DRAWING NO. 1000
4. ALL EXISTING PARTITIONS, REFER TO DRAWING NO. 1000
5. REFER TO SERIES DRAWING NO. 1000 FOR EXISTING PLANS AND WALLS
6. REFER TO SERIES DRAWING NO. 1000 FOR EXISTING PLANS AND WALLS
7. REFER TO SERIES DRAWING NO. 1000 FOR EXISTING PLANS AND WALLS
8. REFER TO SERIES DRAWING NO. 1000 FOR EXISTING PLANS AND WALLS
9. REFER TO SERIES DRAWING NO. 1000 FOR EXISTING PLANS AND WALLS
10. REFER TO SERIES DRAWING NO. 1000 FOR EXISTING PLANS AND WALLS
11. REFER TO SERIES DRAWING NO. 1000 FOR EXISTING PLANS AND WALLS
12. REFER TO SERIES DRAWING NO. 1000 FOR EXISTING PLANS AND WALLS
13. REFER TO SERIES DRAWING NO. 1000 FOR EXISTING PLANS AND WALLS
14. REFER TO SERIES DRAWING NO. 1000 FOR EXISTING PLANS AND WALLS
15. REFER TO SERIES DRAWING NO. 1000 FOR EXISTING PLANS AND WALLS
16. REFER TO SERIES DRAWING NO. 1000 FOR EXISTING PLANS AND WALLS
17. REFER TO SERIES DRAWING NO. 1000 FOR EXISTING PLANS AND WALLS
18. REFER TO SERIES DRAWING NO. 1000 FOR EXISTING PLANS AND WALLS
19. REFER TO SERIES DRAWING NO. 1000 FOR EXISTING PLANS AND WALLS
20. REFER TO SERIES DRAWING NO. 1000 FOR EXISTING PLANS AND WALLS

NUMBER	DESCRIPTION
--------	-------------

2014-2015	2015-2016
-----------	-----------

SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION
	MATCHLINE RED 'X' MEANS MATCH HERE
	SECTION LINE RED DASHES ONLY APPLY TO THIS SHEET
	MATCHING THE OTHER SIDE TO THE OTHER SIDE SHEET
	REAR SECTION LINE REAR SECTION OF THIS SHEET
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG
	FRONT ELEVATION TAG
	REAR ELEVATION TAG

REVISION HISTORY

10

REVISION DESCRIPTION DATE
PROFESSIONAL SEALS

INTERIM REVIEW


THIS DOCUMENT IS RELEASED FOR THE
PURPOSE OF INTERIM REVIEW UNDER
AUTHORITY OF
*** choose ARCHITECT or ENGINEER
from list in Family Types
IT IS NOT TO BE USED FOR REGULATORY
APPROVAL, BIDDING, PERMIT, OR
CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES.

ARCHITECTURAL - FLOOR PLAN
LEVEL 02

DRAWN BY Author	CHECKED BY C
PROJECT NUMBER 621010	PROJECT ADDR
ORIGINAL ISSUE SPAR APPLICATION	DATE 08 APR

SPAR-A6.1

SHEET NUMBER



BOH HOTEL SPACES
HOTEL FITNESS SPACE
(4) GUEST ROOMS
FALL UNDER "HOTEL" CATEGORY IN TABLE 11.1
4 GUEST ROOMS IN THIS AREA = 4 SPACES REQUIRED

FLOOR PLAN - LEVEL 02

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"

FILE IN THE
FOLDER NAME: Autodesk Docs://621010-Petaluma Hotel/621010-A-Petaluma-R23-CENTRAL.rvt
DATE/TIME: 9/5/2023 4:49:47 PM

FLOOR PLAN GENERAL NOTES

- [illegible]

KEYED NOTES

RELATED NOTES	
NUMBER	DESCRIPTION

FLOOR PLAN LEGEND

[illegible]

REVISION HISTORY

11

REVISION DESCRIPTION DATE
PROFESSIONAL SEALS

INTERIM REVIEW

THIS DOCUMENT IS RELEASED FOR THE PURPOSE OF INTERIM REVIEW UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF

*** choose ARCHITECT or ENGINEER ***

from list in Family Types


IT IS NOT TO BE USED FOR REGULATOR APPROVAL, BIDDING, PERMIT, OR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES.

ARCHITECTURAL - FLOOR PLAN -
LEVEL 03

DRAWN BY Author	CHECKED BY Chad
PROJECT NUMBER 621010	PROJECT APPROVED
ORIGINAL ISSUE SPAR APPLICATION	DATE 08 APRIL 2002

SPAR-A6.2

EXHIBIT A—continued



(11) GUEST ROOMS
FALL UNDER "HOTEL" CATEGORY IN TABLE 11.1
11 GUEST ROOMS IN THIS AREA = 11 SPACES REQUIRED

FLOOR PLAN - LEVEL 03

SEAT

FLOOR PLAN GENERAL NOTES

- [illegible]

KEYED NOTES

RELATED NOTES	
NUMBER	DESCRIPTION


FLOOR PLAN LEGEND

[illegible]ARCHITECTURAL - FLOOR PLAN -
LEVEL 04

DRAWN BY Author	CHECKED BY Chad
PROJECT NUMBER 621010	PROJECT APPROVED
ORIGINAL ISSUE SPAR APPLICATION	DATE 08 APRIL 2002

SPAR-A6.3

EXERCISE ASSIGNMENT



(11) GUEST ROOMS
FALL UNDER "HOTEL" CATEGORY IN TABLE 11.1













FLOOR PLAN - LEVEL 04

SEAT

[illegible]

NUMBER	DESCRIPTION
--------	-------------

FLOOR PLAN

SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION
	MATCHLINE REVISION REVISION NUMBER
	SECTION CUT SECTION CUT ONLY APPLIES TO THIS SHEET
	PART SECTION PART SECTION APPLIES TO THIS SHEET
	ALIGNED SECTION LINE ALIGNED SECTION LINE APPLIES TO THIS SHEET
	ELEVATION ELEVATION LINE
	REFERENCE ELEVATION LINE
	PERFORMANCE LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE
	SECTION LINE SECTION LINE</

REVISION DESCRIPTION DATE
PROFESSIONAL SEALS

INTERIM REVIEW


THIS DOCUMENT IS RELEASED FOR THE
PURPOSE OF INTERIM REVIEW UNDER THE
AUTHORITY OF
*** choose ARCHITECT or ENGINEER ***
from list in Family Types
IT IS NOT TO BE USED FOR REGULATORY
APPROVAL, BIDDING, PERMIT, OR
CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES.

ARCHITECTURAL - FLOOR PLAN -
LEVEL 05

DRAWN BY Author	CHECKED BY Check
PROJECT NUMBER 621010	PROJECT ABBREVIATION
ORIGINAL ISSUE SPAR APPLICATION	DATE 08 APRIL 2000

SPAR-A6.4

© 2000 Blackwell Science Ltd



1 FLOOR PLAN - LEVEL 05
SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"



FLOOR PLAN GENERAL NOTES

- [illegible]

KEYED NOTES

REVIEW NOTES	
NUMBER	DESCRIPTION

FLOOR PLAN LEGEND

[illegible]

TEKN Petaluma LLC
HOTEL WEAVER
2 Petaluma Blvd South
Petaluma, California

REVISION HISTORY

REVISION DESCRIPTION DATE
PROFESSIONAL SEALS

INTERIM REVIEW


THIS DOCUMENT IS RELEASED FOR THE
PURPOSE OF INTERIM REVIEW UNDER THE
AUTHORITY OF
*** choose ARCHITECT or ENGINEER ***
from list in Family Types
IT IS NOT TO BE USED FOR REGULATOR
APPROVAL, BIDDING, PERMIT, OR
CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES.

ARCHITECTURAL - FLOOR PLAN -
ROOFTOP LEVEL

DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY
Author	Chen
PROJECT NUMBER	PROJECT APPROVED
621010	
ORIGINAL ISSUE	DATE
SPAR APPLICATION	OR APRIL 2

SPAR-A7

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS



25 BAR SEATS (1 SPACE/2.5 SEATS) = 10 SPACES
92 SF EVENT/CONFERENCE SPACE (1 SPACE/60SF) = 1 SPACE
11 SPACES REQUIRED

1 FLOOR PLAN - ROOFTOP PLAN
SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"



Autodesk Docs://621010-Petaluma Hotel/621010-A-Petaluma-R23-CENTRAL.rvt