

State of California – Natural Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE Bay Delta Region

CHARLTON H. BONHAM, Director

GAVIN NEWSOM, Governor



2825 Cordelia Road, Suite 100 Fairfield, CA 94534 (707) 428-2002 www.wildlife.ca.gov

May 23, 2023

Dana Morrison, Planner III Napa County 1195 Third Street, Suite 210 Napa, CA 94559 Dana.Morrison@countyofnapa.org



Subject: Babu Vineyard Conversion Agricultural Erosion Control Plan P21-00312-

ECPA, Mitigated Negative Declaration, SCH No. 2023050169, Napa County

Dear Ms. Morrison:

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) received a Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) from Napa County (County) for the Babu Vineyard Conversion Agricultural Erosion Control Plan P21-00312-ECPA (Project) pursuant the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and CEQA Guidelines.<sup>1</sup>

CDFW is submitting comments on the MND to inform the County, as the Lead Agency. of potentially significant impacts to biological resources associated with the Project.

#### **CDFW ROLE**

CDFW is a **Trustee Agency** with responsibility under CEQA pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15386 for commenting on projects that could impact fish, plant, and wildlife resources. CDFW is also considered a Responsible Agency if a project would require discretionary approval, such as permits issued under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA), the Lake and Streambed Alteration Program, or other provisions of the Fish and Game Code that afford protection to the state's fish and wildlife trust resources.

#### PROJECT DESCRIPTION SUMMARY

**Proponent:** Babu Aravinth and Jayaprakasam Uma

**Objective:** The Project involves the clearing of vegetation, earthmoving, and installation and maintenance of erosion control measures associated with the development of approximately 0.42 acres of new vineyard, on an approximately 67.8-acre-parcel. No grading activities or ground disturbance would occur outside of the proposed clearing limits.

<sup>1</sup> CEQA is codified in the California Public Resources Code in section 21000 et seq. The "CEQA Guidelines" are found in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, commencing with section 15000.

**Location:** The Project is located in an unincorporated area of Napa County at 3600 White Sulfur Springs Road, approximately 1.1 miles west of the City of St. Helena, on Assessor Parcel Number 027-010-033, at approximately Latitude 38.491524, Longitude 122.511842.

#### REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

#### **California Endangered Species Act**

Please be advised that a CESA Incidental Take Permit (ITP) must be obtained if the Project has the potential to result in "take" of plants or animals listed under CESA, either during construction or over the life of the Project. The Project has the potential to impact Northern spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis caurina*, NSO), a CESA listed as threatened species, as further described below. Issuance of an ITP is subject to CEQA documentation; the CEQA document must specify impacts, mitigation measures, and a mitigation monitoring and reporting program. If the Project will impact CESA listed species, early consultation is encouraged, as significant modification to the Project and mitigation measures may be required in order to obtain an ITP.

CEQA requires a Mandatory Finding of Significance if a project is likely to substantially restrict the range or reduce the population of a threatened or endangered species. (Pub. Resources Code, §§ 21001, subd. (c) & 21083; CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15380, 15064, & 15065). Impacts must be avoided or mitigated to less-than-significant levels unless the CEQA Lead Agency makes and supports Findings of Overriding Consideration (FOC). The CEQA Lead Agency's FOC does not eliminate the Project proponent's obligation to comply with CESA.

#### **COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

CDFW offers the comments and recommendations below to assist the County in adequately identifying and/or mitigating the Project's significant, or potentially significant, direct and indirect impacts on fish and wildlife (biological) resources. Based on the Project's avoidance of significant impacts on biological resources with implementation of mitigation measures, including those CDFW recommends in **Attachment 1**, CDFW concludes that an MND is appropriate for the Project.

Mandatory Findings of Significance: Does the Project have the potential to substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of an endangered, rare, or threatened species?

# **COMMENT 1: Northern Spotted Owl – Environmental Setting Shortcoming, MND Pages 15-16**

**Issue:** Mitigation Measure (MM) BR-1 may not reduce impacts to NSO to less-than-significant. The measure requires surveys for nesting birds including NSO within 500

feet of the Project site if work is scheduled to occur from April 1 to October 15. However, the NSO nesting season in Napa County is from March 15 through July 31, and nesting NSO may be impacted by construction activities within 0.25 miles of the nest site. According to the MND, NSO have been reported approximately 1,629 feet southeast of the Project site.

Specific impacts and why they may occur and be significant: If suitable NSO nesting habitat occurs within a 0.25-mile radius of the Project site and active NSO nests are not detected by the proposed surveys in MM BR-1, the Project may result in audio or visual disturbances to nesting NSO, which may cause nest abandonment and loss of eggs or reduced health and vigor and loss of young, thereby, substantially reducing the number of the species. NSO is a CESA listed as threatened species and therefore, is considered to be a threatened species pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15380. Therefore, if an active NSO nest is disturbed by the Project, the Project may result in a substantial reduction in the number of a threatened species, which is considered a Mandatory Finding of Significance pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15065, subdivision (a)(1).

**Recommended Mitigation Measure:** To reduce impacts to NSO to less-than-significant, CDFW recommends including the following mitigation measure in the MND.

MM BR-3: Northern Spotted Owl Habitat Assessment and Surveys. A qualified biologist shall provide an assessment of potential NSO nesting habitat within the Project site and a 0.25-mile radius and obtain CDFW's written acceptance of the assessment. Alternatively, if the assessment is not completed, or if it concludes that NSO nesting habitat is present, then no Project activities shall occur between March 15 and July 31 unless a qualified biologist conducts NSO surveys within a 0.25-mile radius around the Project site following the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Protocol for Surveying Proposed Management Activities That May Impact Northern Spotted Owls, dated (revised) January 9, 2012 and no nesting NSO are detected. Surveys shall be conducted in accordance with Section 9 of the survey protocol, Surveys for Disturbance-Only Projects. If nesting NSO are detected during surveys, a 0.25 mile no-disturbance buffer zone shall be implemented around the nest until the end of the breeding season, or a qualified biologist determines that the nest is no longer active, unless otherwise approved in writing by CDFW. The Project shall obtain CDFW's written acceptance of the qualified biologist and survey report prior to Project construction occurring between March 15 and July 31 for each year, unless otherwise approved in writing by CDFW.

Alternate buffer zones may be proposed to CDFW after conducting an auditory and visual disturbance analysis following the USFWS guidance, *Estimating the Effects of Auditory and Visual Disturbance to Northern Spotted Owls and Marbled Murrelets in Northwestern California*, dated October 1, 2020. Alternative buffers must be approved in writing by CDFW.

If take of NSO cannot be avoided, the Project shall consult with CDFW pursuant to CESA and obtain an ITP, and also consult with USFWS pursuant to the federal Endangered Species Act (ESA).

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL DATA**

CEQA requires that information developed in environmental impact reports and negative declarations be incorporated into a database which may be used to make subsequent or supplemental environmental determinations. (Pub. Resources Code, § 21003, subd. (e)). Accordingly, please report any special-status species and natural communities detected during Project surveys to the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB). The CNDDB field survey form can be filled out and submitted online at the following link: <a href="https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDB/Submitting-Data">https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDB can be found at the following link: https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDB/Plants-and-Animals.</a>

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT FILING FEES**

The Project, as proposed, would have an impact on fish and/or wildlife, and assessment of environmental document filing fees is necessary. Fees are payable upon filing of the Notice of Determination by the Lead Agency and serve to help defray the cost of environmental review by CDFW. Payment of the environmental document filing fee is required in order for the underlying project approval to be operative, vested, and final. (Cal. Code Regs, tit. 14, § 753.5; Fish & G. Code, § 711.4; Pub. Resources Code, § 21089).

#### CONCLUSION

CDFW appreciates the opportunity to comment on the MND to assist the County in identifying and mitigating Project impacts on biological resources. Questions regarding this letter or further coordination should be directed to Melanie Day, Senior Environmental Scientist (Supervisory), at (707) 210-4415 or Melanie.Day@wildlife.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

-DocuSigned by:

Erin Chappell

Erin Chappell Regional Manager Bay Delta Region

Attachment 1: Draft Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

ec: Office of Planning and Research, State Clearinghouse (SCH No. 2023050169)

### **ATTACHMENT 1**

## **Draft Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP)**

CDFW provides the following language to be incorporated into the MMRP for the Project.

Biological Resources (BIO)			
Mitigation Measure (MM)	Description	Timing	Responsible Party
MM BR-3:	NSO Habitat Assessment and Surveys. A qualified biologist shall provide an assessment of potential NSO nesting habitat within the Project site and a 0.25-mile radius and obtain CDFW's written acceptance of the assessment. Alternatively, if the assessment is not completed, or if it concludes that NSO nesting habitat is present, then no Project activities shall occur between March 15 and July 31 unless a qualified biologist conducts NSO surveys within a 0.25-mile radius around the Project site following the USFWS Protocol for Surveying Proposed Management Activities That May Impact Northern Spotted Owls, dated (revised) January 9, 2012 and no nesting NSO are detected. Surveys shall be conducted in accordance with Section 9 of the survey protocol, Surveys for Disturbance-Only Projects. If nesting NSO are detected during surveys, a 0.25-mile no-disturbance buffer zone shall be implemented around the nest until the end of the breeding season, or a qualified biologist determines that the nest is no longer active, unless otherwise approved in writing by CDFW. The Project shall obtain CDFW's written acceptance of the qualified biologist and survey report prior to Project construction occurring between March 15 and July 31 for each year, unless otherwise approved in writing by CDFW.  Alternate buffer zones may be proposed to CDFW after conducting an auditory and visual disturbance analysis following the USFWS guidance, Estimating the Effects of Auditory and Visual Disturbance to Northern Spotted Owls and Marbled Murrelets in Northwestern California, dated October 1, 2020. Alternative buffers must be approved in writing by CDFW.  If take of NSO cannot be avoided, the Project shall consult with CDFW pursuant to CESA and obtain an ITP, and also consult with USFWS pursuant to the federal ESA.	Prior to Ground Disturbance	Project Applicant