

#### RECORDING REQUESTED WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

County of Sacramento Planning and Environmental Review 827 Seventh Street, Room 225 Sacramento, CA 95814 CONTACT PERSON: Joelle Inman TELEPHONE: (916) 874-6141

SPACE ABOVE RESERVED FOR RECORDER'S USE

## NOTICE OF EXEMPTION

#### **Project Title:**

Fulton Avenue Auto Sales Use Permit

#### **Control Number:**

PLNP2021-00116

#### **Project Location:**

The project site is located at 2760 Fulton Avenue, on the east side of Fulton Avenue and approximately 800-feet south of Marconi Avenue, in the Arden Arcade community of unincorporated Sacramento County.

#### APN:

268-0210-085

#### **Description of Project:**

The project is a request for the following planning entitlement:

1. A Use Permit to allow for automobile sales at 2760 Fulton Avenue on approximately 1.21± acres in the Fulton Avenue Special Planning Area.

This property is located within the Fulton Avenue Special Planning Area (SPA) with a designation of Avenue Thoroughfare. According to the permitted and restricted uses within the SPA, auto sales requires the approval of a Conditional Use Permit along with meeting specific use standards. It is a requirement that an office building of not less than 600 square feet be placed on the subject property and no outdoor loud speakers are permitted. The project consists of new landscaping along the Fulton Avenue street frontage. Previously, a commercial building of approximately 10,000 square feet was located on the site and was demolished in 2017. Therefore, the property is completely paved and no sensitive resources will be impacted as a result of this project.

#### Name of public agency approving project:

Sacramento County - ceqa@saccounty.net

#### Person or agency carrying out project:

Mueller 1 Trust, Attn: William Merkel 2804 Fulton Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95821 (916) 481-1962 wmase@pacbell.net

#### Exempt Status:

Categorical Exemption (PRC Section 21084; CEQA Guidelines Sections 15300 -15333):

CEQA Guidelines Section 15303, Class 3 (c) – New Construction of Small Structures

#### Reasons why project is exempt:

The project consists of a fully developed parcel and no resources will be affected, see Appendix A for Initial Study checklist. The project consists of the new construction of a 600 square foot office building. The building does not exceed 2500 square feet in floor area, is located in an urban area zoned for such use where all necessary public services and facilities are available, does not involve the use of significant amounts of hazardous materials, and

the surrounding area is not environmentally sensitive. The project is therefore exempt from the provisions of CEQA.

#### Section 15300.2-Exceptions

Section 15300.2 of the CEQA Guidelines, Exceptions, provides conditions under which categorical exemptions are inapplicable. Review of the Project indicates that it will not violate any of the exceptions, as described in the following section.

1. The project will occur in certain specified sensitive environments or locations;

The project is located on a fully developed commercial site along a major commercial corridor within unincorporated Sacramento County. The proposed office will be installed on the slab of a former commercial building that was demolished in 2017. The project would not impact sensitive environments.

2. Cumulative impacts will be considerable because successive projects of the same type will occur at the same place overtime.

The installation of an office building and the utilization of the property for auto sales would not have any potentially significant environmental impacts. Successive projects of the same type and at the same place over time would not introduce a cumulative impact.

3. There is a reasonable possibility that the activity will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances;

There are no unusual circumstances that will have a significant effect on the environment.

4. The project may result in damage to scenic resources, including but not limited to trees, historic buildings, rock outcroppings, or similar resources within a highway officially designated as a scenic highway;

The project is not located in the vicinity of a highway officially designated as a scenic highway or any scenic corridors. The project is located along an urban corridor. Therefore, the project will not result in damage to scenic resources or similar resources within a highway that is officially designated as a scenic highway.

5. The project is located on a site which is included on any list compiled pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the Government Code; or

The Project site is not included on any list compiled pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the Government Code. Therefore, the Project will not have an impact related to being located on a hazardous waste site.

6. The project may cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historic resource.

The project site does not contain known historical resources.

Joelle Anman

**Joelle Inman** ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATOR OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

☑ Copy To:
 County of Sacramento
 County Clerk
 600 Eighth Street, Room 101
 Sacramento, CA 95814
 ☑ OPR:
 State Clearinghouse
 1400 Tenth Street
 Sacramento, CA 95814

# COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW INITIAL STUDY

### **PROJECT INFORMATION**

### CONTROL NUMBER: PLNP2021-00116

NAME: Fulton Avenue Auto Sales Use Permit

**LOCATION:** The project site is located at 2760 Fulton Avenue, on the east side of Fulton Avenue and approximately 800-feet south of Marconi Avenue, in the Arden Arcade community of unincorporated Sacramento County.

Assessor's Parcel Number: 268-0210-085

### **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The project requests the following entitlements from the County of Sacramento:

1. A Use Permit to allow for automobile sales at 2760 Fulton Avenue on approximately 1.21± acres in the Fulton Avenue Special Planning Area.

This property is located within the Fulton Avenue Special Planning Area (SPA) with a designation of Avenue Thoroughfare. According to the permitted and restricted uses within the SPA, auto sales requires the approval of a Conditional Use Permit along with meeting specific use standards. It is a requirement that an office building of not less than 600 square feet be placed on the subject property and no outdoor loud speakers are permitted. The project consists of new landscaping along the Fulton Avenue street frontage. Previously, a commercial building of approximately 10,000 square feet was located on the site and was demolished in 2017. Therefore, the property is completely paved and no sensitive resources will be impacted as a result of this project.

### INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST AND ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS

Appendix G of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) provides guidance for assessing the significance of potential environmental impacts. Based on this guidance, Sacramento County has developed the following Initial Study Combined Checklist. The Checklist identifies a range of potential significant effects by topical area followed with a detailed evaluation of environmental impacts. The words "significant" and "significance" used throughout the following checklist are related to impacts as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act as follows:

1. Potentially Significant Impact is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect MAY be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant" entries an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) is required. Further research of a potentially significant impact may reveal that the impact is actually less than significant or less than significant with mitigation.

2. Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation applies where an impact could be significant but specific mitigation has been identified that reduces the impact to a less than significant level.

3. Less than Significant Impact applies where the project does not create an impact that exceeds a stated significance threshold.

4. No Impact applies where a project does not create an impact in that category. A No Impact answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards.

## EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- 1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a Lead Agency cites in the pa- rentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including offsite as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3) Once the Lead Agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially signifi- cant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Sig- nificant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect is sig- nificant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less than Significant Impact." The Lead Agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they

reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section XVII, "Earlier Analyses," may be cross- referenced).

- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or an- other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
  - a) Earlier Analyses Used. Identify and state where they are available for re- view.
  - b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analy- sis.
  - c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitiga- tion Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to in- formation sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropri- ate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

- 7) Supporting Information Sources. A source list should be attached, and other sources used, or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9) The explanation of each issue should identify:
  - a) the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
  - b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

Environmental Issues & Supporting Information:	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
1. LAND USE AND PLANNING - Would the proj	ect:	_			
a. Cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				Х	
The project is consistent with environmental policies of the Sacramento County General Plan, Arden Arcade Community Plan, Fulton Avenue Special Planning Area (SPA) and Sacramento County Zoning Code. This property is located within the Fulton Avenue Special Planning Area (SPA) with a designation of Avenue Thoroughfare. According to the permitted and restricted uses within the SPA, auto sales requires the approval of a Conditional Use Permit along with meeting specific use standards. It is a requirement that an office building of not less than 600 square feet be placed on the subject property and no outdoor loud speakers are permitted. The project consists of new landscaping along the Fulton Avenue street frontage.					
b. Physically disrupt or divide an established community?				Х	
The project will not create physical barriers the the community.	at substantially	limit movem	ent within or t	hrough	
2. <b>POPULATION/HOUSING -</b> Would the project:					
a. Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area either directly (e.g., by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (e.g., through extension of infrastructure)?				X	
The project does not propose new businesses existing commercial shopping building on the					
b. Displace substantial amounts of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				х	
The project will not result in the removal of existing housing.	sting housing,	and thus will	not displace		
3. AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES - Would the p	project:				
a. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, Farmland of Statewide Importance or areas containing prime soils to uses not conducive to agricultural production?				X	
The project site is not designated as Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance on the current Sacramento County Important Farmland Map published by the California Department of Conservation. The site does not contain prime soils.					

Environmental Issues & Supporting Information:	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact			
b. Conflict with any existing Williamson Act contract?				х			
No Williamson Act contracts apply to the project site.							
c. Introduce incompatible uses in the vicinity of existing agricultural uses?				Х			
The project does not occur in an area of agric	ultural production	on.					
4. <b>AESTHETICS -</b> Would the project:							
a. Substantially alter existing viewsheds such as scenic highways, corridors or vistas?				Х			
The project does not occur in the vicinity of an	y scenic highw	ays, corridor	s, or vistas.				
<ul> <li>In non-urbanized area, substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surroundings?</li> </ul>				Х			
The project is not located in a non-urbanized a	area.						
c. If the project is in an urbanized area, would the project conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality?				Х			
It is acknowledged that aesthetic impacts are various affected individuals. Nonetheless, g project is proposed, it is concluded that the pr character or quality of the project site or vicinit	given the urba oject would no	nized enviro	nment in wh	ich the			
d. Create a new source of substantial light, glare, or shadow that would result in safety hazards or adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				Х			
The project will result in a new source of lighting for the proposed automobile display area. The project is proposing two new light poles, spaced evenly apart on the subject property. Each light pole will contain four lights. The proposed light poles are over 300-feet to the nearest residential homes located to the east of the subject property. Therefore, the Fulton Avenue Special Planning Area (SPA) does not have any additional restrictions regarding the lighting. Compliance with development and lighting standards contained in the Sacramento County Zoning Code should insure that impacts are less than significant.							
5. AIRPORTS - Would the project:				Y			
a. Result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the vicinity of an airport/airstrip?				Х			
The project occurs outside of any identified pu	ıblic or private a	The project occurs outside of any identified public or private airport/airstrip safety zones.					

Environmental Issues & Supporting Information:	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
b. Expose people residing or working in the project area to aircraft noise levels in excess of applicable standards?				х	
The project occurs outside of any identified pu contours.	ıblic or private a	airport/airstrip	o noise zones	or	
c. Result in a substantial adverse effect upon the safe and efficient use of navigable airspace by aircraft?				Х	
The project does not affect navigable airspace	Э.				
d. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?				Х	
The project does not involve or affect air traffic movement.					
6. <b>PUBLIC SERVICES -</b> Would the project:					
a. Have an adequate water supply for full buildout of the project?				Х	
Previously, a commercial building of approxim and was demolished in 2017. Therefore, the completely developed with all necessary infra- is not anticipated to substantially impact existi	site has existing structure. Place	g water supplement of the	ly facilities an	d is	
b. Have adequate wastewater treatment and disposal facilities for full buildout of the project?				Х	
The project site has existing wastewater disposal a Sewer District. Project development can be sustain			Sacramento Ai	ea	
c. Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				Х	
The Kiefer Landfill has capacity to accommod	ate solid waste	until the yea	r 2050.		
d. Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the construction of new water supply or wastewater treatment and disposal facilities or expansion of existing facilities?				Х	
The project will not require construction or exp treatment, or wastewater disposal facilities.	pansion of new	water supply	, wastewater		
e. Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of storm water drainage facilities?				х	

Environmental Issues & Supporting Information:	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
Project construction would not require the add	ition of new sto	ormwater drai	nage facilitie	S.	
f. Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of electric or natural gas service?				х	
Existing electric service will adequately support the proposed project.					
g. Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of emergency services?				Х	
The project would incrementally increase dema substantial adverse physical impacts as a resu				t cause	
<ul> <li>Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of public school services?</li> </ul>				Х	
The project will not require the use of public se	chool services.				
<ul> <li>Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of park and recreation services?</li> </ul>				Х	
The project will not require park and recreation	n services.				
7. <b>TRANSPORTATION</b> - Would the project:					
<ul> <li>a. Conflict with or be inconsistent with CEQA Guidelines section 15064.3, subdivision (b) – measuring transportation impacts individually or cumulatively, using a vehicles miles traveled standard established by the County?</li> </ul>				X	
The project is within ½ mile of an existing maje therefore presumed to have a less than signifi	•	• • •	ansit corridor	and is	
b. Result in a substantial adverse impact to access and/or circulation?				Х	
No changes to existing access and/or circulati project.	on patterns wo	uld occur as	a result of the	9	
c. Result in a substantial adverse impact to public safety on area roadways?				х	
No changes to existing access and/or circulati project; therefore no impacts to public safety o				9	
d. Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?				Х	

Environmental Issues & Supporting Information:	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact
The project does not conflict with alternative to General Plan, with the Sacramento Regional plans or programs supporting alternative trans	Transit Master			
8. <b>AIR QUALITY -</b> Would the project:				
a. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is in non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard?				X
The project does not exceed the screening the Metropolitan Air Quality Management District net increase of any criteria pollutant for which	and will not res	ult in a cumu	latively consi	derable
b. Expose sensitive receptors to pollutant concentrations in excess of standards?				Х
There are no sensitive receptors (i.e., schools etc.) adjacent to the project site. See Response 8.a.	, nursing home	s, hospitals,	daycare cent	ers,
<ul> <li>c. Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?</li> </ul>				Х
The project will not generate objectionable od	ors.			
9. NOISE - Would the project:				
a. Result in generation of a temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established by the local general plan, noise ordinance or applicable standards of other agencies?				Х
The project is not in the vicinity of any uses th completed project generate substantial noise. persons to, or generation of, noise levels in e	The project wi	ill not result ir	n exposure of	
b. Result in a substantial temporary increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity?				Х
Project construction will result in a temporary vicinity. This impact is less than significant du limits on the duration of noise, and evening a Noise Ordinance (Chapter 6.68 of the County	ie to the tempo nd nighttime re	rary nature of	f the these ac	tivities,
<ul> <li>Generate excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels.</li> </ul>				Х
The project will not involve the use of pile driving or other methods that would produce excessive groundborne vibration or noise levels at the property boundary.				

Environmental Issues & Supporting Information:	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
10. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY - Wou	ld the project:				
a. Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or substantially interfere with groundwater recharge?				х	
The project will not substantially increase water demand over the existing use.					
b. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the project area and/or increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site?				х	
The project does not involve any modification drainage pattern and or/increase the rate or a lead to flooding.					
c. Develop within a 100-year floodplain as mapped on a federal Flood Insurance Rate Map or within a local flood hazard area?				Х	
The project is not within a 100-year floodplain Map, nor is the project within a local flood haz		a federal Flo	od Insurance	Rate	
d. Place structures that would impede or redirect flood flows within a 100-year floodplain?				Х	
The project site is not within a 100-year floodp	lain.				
e. Develop in an area that is subject to 200 year urban levels of flood protection (ULOP)?				Х	
The project is not located in an area subject to 2	200-year urban	levels of floo	d protection (	ULOP).	
f. Expose people or structures to a substantial risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				Х	
The project will not expose people or structu involving flooding, including flooding as a resu				r death	
g. Create or contribute runoff that would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems?				Х	
The project does not propose any physical changes that would affect runoff from the site. Adequate on- and/or off-site drainage improvements will be required pursuant to the Sacramento County Floodplain Management Ordinance and Improvement Standards.					
h. Create substantial sources of polluted runoff or otherwise substantially degrade ground or surface water quality?				Х	

Environmental Issues & Supporting Information:	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
Compliance with the Stormwater Ordinance and Land Grading and Erosion Control Ordinance (Chapters 15.12 and 14.44 of the County Code respectively) will ensure that the project will not create substantial sources of polluted runoff or otherwise substantially degrade ground or surface water quality.					
11. GEOLOGY AND SOILS - Would the project:		-			
a. Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including risk of loss, injury or death involving rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault?				Х	
Sacramento County is not within an Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone. Although there are no known active earthquake faults in the project area, the site could be subject to some ground shaking from regional faults. The Uniform Building Code contains applicable construction regulations for earthquake safety that will ensure less than significant impacts.					
b. Result in substantial soil erosion, siltation or loss of topsoil?				х	
Compliance with the County's Land Grading amount of construction site erosion and mi stabilization and protection of disturbed areas other pollutants during the course of construct	nimize water o s, and by contr	quality degra	dation by pr	oviding	
c. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, soil expansion, liquefaction or collapse?				x	
The project is not located on an unstable geol	ogic or soil unit	t.			
d. Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available?				Х	
A public sewer system is available to serve the	e project.				
e. Result in a substantial loss of an important mineral resource?				Х	
The project is not located within an Aggregate Resource Area as identified by the Sacramento County General Plan Land Use Diagram, nor are any important mineral resources known to be located on the project site.					

Environmental Issues & Supporting Information:	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
f. Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				х	
No known paleontological resources (e.g. foss	sil remains) or s	sites occur at	the project lo	ocation.	
12. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES - Would the proje	ect:				
a. Have a substantial adverse effect on any special status species, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, or threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community?				Х	
Previously, a commercial building of approxim and was demolished in 2017. Therefore, the resources will be impacted as a result of this exist on or utilize the project site, nor would the species populations.	e property is co project. No sp	mpletely pavecial status s	ed and no se pecies are kr	ensitive nown to	
b. Have a substantial adverse effect on riparian habitat or other sensitive natural communities?				Х	
Previously, a commercial building of approxim and was demolished in 2017. Therefore, the resources will be impacted as a result of this p on the project site, nor is the project expected	property is com project. No sen	pletely paved sitive natural	d and no sens communities	sitive	
c. Have a substantial adverse effect on streams, wetlands, or other surface waters that are protected by federal, state, or local regulations and policies?				Х	
Previously, a commercial building of approxim and was demolished in 2017. Therefore, the resources will be impacted as a result of this p on or adjacent to the project site.	property is com	pletely paved	d and no sens	sitive	
d. Have a substantial adverse effect on the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species?				Х	
Previously, a commercial building of approximately 10,000 square feet was located on the site and was demolished in 2017. Therefore, the property is completely paved and no sensitive resources will be impacted as a result of this project. The project site is already developed. Project implementation would not affect native resident or migratory species.					

Environmental Issues & Supporting Information:	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
e. Adversely affect or result in the removal of native or landmark trees?				х	
Previously, a commercial building of approximately 10,000 square feet was located on the site and was demolished in 2017. Therefore, the property is completely paved and no sensitive resources will be impacted as a result of this project. No native and/or landmark trees occur on the project site, nor is it anticipated that any native and/or landmark trees would be affected by off-site improvement required as a result of the project.					
f. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources?				Х	
The project is consistent with local policies/ord	linances proteo	ting biologica	al resources.		
g. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan or other approved local, regional, state or federal plan for the conservation of habitat?				Х	
There are no known conflicts with any approve	ed plan for the	conservation	of habitat.		
13. CULTURAL RESOURCES - Would the project	t:				
a. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource?				Х	
No historical resources would be affected by t	he proposed pr	oject.			
b. Have a substantial adverse effect on an archaeological resource?				Х	
No known archaeological resources occur on-	site.				
c. Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				Х	
The project site is located outside any area coundiscovered human remains.	nsidered sensi	tive for the ex	kistence of		
14. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES - Would the	ne project:				
a. Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource as defined in Public Resources Code 21074?				х	
Previously, a commercial building of approximately 10,000 square feet was located on the site and was demolished in 2017. Therefore, the property is completely paved and the project will not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of tribal cultural resources.					
15. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	- Would the proje	ect:			
a. Create a substantial hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				Х	
The project does not involve the transport, use, and/or disposal of hazardous material.					

Environmental Issues & Supporting Information:	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact
b. Expose the public or the environment to a substantial hazard through reasonably foreseeable upset conditions involving the release of hazardous materials?				Х
The project does not involve the transport, use	e, and/or dispo	sal of hazard	ous material.	
c. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				Х
The project does not involve the use or handli	ng of hazardou	s material.		
d. Be located on a site that is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5, resulting in a substantial hazard to the public or the environment?				х
The project is not located on a known hazardo	ous materials si	te.	-	
e. Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response or emergency evacuation plan?				Х
The project would not interfere with any known	n emergency re	esponse or ev	acuation pla	n.
f. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires including where wildlands are adjacent to or intermixed with urbanized areas?				Х
The project is within the urbanized area of the risk of loss, injury, or death to people or struct				nificant
16. ENERGY – Would the project:				
a. Result in potentially significant environmental impacts due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction?				Х
While the project will introduce a 600 squ consumption, compliance with Title 24, Green efficiency requirements are net resulting in les	Building Code,	will ensure th		
b. Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency?				Х
The project will comply with Title 24, Green Building Code, for all project efficiency requirements.				
17. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS - Would the	e project:			
a. Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?				х

Environmental Issues & Supporting Information:	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact
The project will fully implement BMP 1 and BMP 2 of the 2020 GHG significance thresholds; therefore, the climate change impact of the project is considered less than significant.				
b. Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation for the purpose of reducing the emission of greenhouse gases?				Х
The project is consistent with County policies adopted for the purpose or reducing the emission of greenhouse gases.				

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

LAND USE CONSISTENCY	Current Land Use Designation	Consistent	Not Consistent	Comments
General Plan	Commercial Office	Х		
Community Plan	Arden Arcade	Х		
Land Use Zone	Fulton Ave SPA	Х		

## **INITIAL STUDY PREPARERS**

Environmental Coordinator: Joelle Inman Senior Environmental Analyst: Meg De Courcy Associate Environmental Analyst: Rebecca Boschee Office Manager: Belinda Wekesa-Batts Administrative Support: Justin Maulit