Appendices

Appendix A Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Analysis

Appendices

This page intentionally left blank.

Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Appendix

Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Background and Modeling Data

AIR QUALITY

Air Quality Regulations

The proposed project has the potential to release gaseous emissions of criteria pollutants and dust into the ambient air; therefore, it falls under the ambient air quality standards (AAQS) promulgated at the local, state, and federal levels. The project site is in the Sacramento Valley Air Basin (SVAB) and is subject to the rules and regulations imposed by the Sacramento Metro Air Quality Management District (SMAQMD), as well as the California AAQS adopted by the California Air Resources board (CARB), and national AAQS adopted by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Federal, state, regional, and local laws, regulations, plans, or guidelines that are potentially applicable to the proposed project are summarized below. The discussion also identifies the natural factors in the air basin that affect air pollution.

AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS

The Clean Air Act (CAA) was passed in 1963 by the US Congress and has been amended several times. The 1970 Clean Air Act amendments strengthened previous legislation and laid the foundation for the regulatory scheme of the 1970s and 1980s. In 1977, Congress again added several provisions, including nonattainment requirements for areas not meeting National AAQS and the Prevention of Significant Deterioration program. The 1990 amendments represent the latest in a series of federal efforts to regulate the protection of air quality in the United States. The CAA allows states to adopt more stringent standards or to include other pollution species. The California Clean Air Act (CCAA), signed into law in 1988, requires all areas of the state to achieve and maintain the California AAQS by the earliest practical date. The California AAQS tend to be more restrictive than the National AAQS, based on even greater health and welfare concerns.

These National AAQS and California AAQS are the levels of air quality considered to provide a margin of safety in the protection of the public health and welfare. They are designed to protect "sensitive receptors" most susceptible to further respiratory distress, such as asthmatics, the elderly, very young children, people already weakened by other disease or illness, and persons engaged in strenuous work or exercise. Healthy adults can tolerate occasional exposure to air pollutant concentrations considerably above these minimum standards before adverse effects are observed.

Both California and the federal government have established health-based AAQS for seven air pollutants. As shown in Table 1, *Ambient Air Quality Standards for Criteria Pollutants*, these pollutants include ozone (O₃), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), carbon monoxide (CO), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), coarse inhalable particulate matter

 (PM_{10}) , fine inhalable particulate matter $(PM_{2.5})$, and lead (Pb). In addition, the state has set standards for sulfates, hydrogen sulfide, vinyl chloride, and visibility-reducing particles. These standards are designed to protect the health and welfare of the populace with a reasonable margin of safety.

Pollutant	Averaging Time	California Standard ¹	Federal Primary Standard ²	Major Pollutant Sources	
Ozone (O ₃) ³	1 hour	0.09 ppm	*	Motor vehicles, paints, coatings, and solvents.	
	8 hours	0.070 ppm	0.070 ppm		
Carbon Monoxide	1 hour	20 ppm	35 ppm	Internal combustion engines, primarily gasoline-powered	
(CO)	8 hours	9.0 ppm	9 ppm	motor vehicles.	
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂)	Annual Arithmetic Mean	0.030 ppm	0.053 ppm	Motor vehicles, petroleum-refining operations, industrial sources, aircraft, ships, and railroads.	
	1 hour	0.18 ppm	0.100 ppm		
Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂)	Annual Arithmetic Mean	*	0.030 ppm	Fuel combustion, chemical plants, sulfur recovery plants, and metal processing.	
	1 hour	0.25 ppm	0.075 ppm		
	24 hours	0.04 ppm	0.14 ppm		
Respirable Coarse Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	Annual Arithmetic Mean	20 µg/m ³	*	Dust and fume-producing construction, industrial, and agricultural operations, combustion, atmospheric photochemical reactions, and natural activities (e.g., w	
	24 hours	50 µg/m³	150 µg/m³	raised dust and ocean sprays).	
Respirable Fine Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5}) ⁴	Annual Arithmetic Mean	12 µg/m ³	12 µg/m ³	Dust and fume-producing construction, industrial, and agricultural operations, combustion, atmospheric	
	24 hours	*	35 µg/m³	photochemical reactions, and natural activities (e.g., wind raised dust and ocean sprays).	
Lead (Pb)	30-Day Average	1.5 µg/m³	*	Present source: lead smelters, battery manufacturing &	
	Calendar Quarter	*	1.5 µg/m ³	recycling facilities. Past source: combustion of leaded gasoline.	
	Rolling 3-Month Average	*	0.15 µg/m³		
Sulfates (SO ₄) ⁵	24 hours	25 µg/m³	*	Industrial processes.	
Visibility Reducing Particles	8 hours	ExCo =0.23/km visibility of 10≥ miles	No Federal Standard	Visibility-reducing particles consist of suspended particulate matter, which is a complex mixture of tiny particles that consists of dry solid fragments, solid cores with liquid coatings, and small droplets of liquid. These particles vary greatly in shape, size and chemical composition, and can be made up of many different materials such as metals, soot, soil, dust, and salt.	

 Table 1
 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Criteria Pollutants

Pollutant	Averaging Time	California Standard ¹	Federal Primary Standard ²	Major Pollutant Sources
Hydrogen Sulfide	1 hour	0.03 ppm	No Federal Standard	Hydrogen sulfide (H ₂ S) is a colorless gas with the odor of rotten eggs. It is formed during bacterial decomposition of sulfur-containing organic substances. Also, it can be present in sewer gas and some natural gas and can be emitted as the result of geothermal energy exploitation.
Vinyl Chloride 24 hours		0.01 ppm No Federal Standard		Vinyl chloride (chloroethene), a chlorinated hydrocarbon, is a colorless gas with a mild, sweet odor. Most vinyl chloride is used to make polyvinyl chloride (PVC) plastic and vinyl products. Vinyl chloride has been detected near landfills, sewage plants, and hazardous waste sites, due to microbial breakdown of chlorinated solvents.

Table 1 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Criteria Pollutan

Source: CARB 2016.

Notes: ppm: parts per million; µg/m3: micrograms per cubic meter

* Standard has not been established for this pollutant/duration by this entity.

1 California standards for O₃, CO (except 8-hour Lake Tahoe), SO₂ (1 and 24 hour), NO₂, and particulate matter (PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, and visibility reducing particles), are values that are not to be exceeded. All others are not to be equaled or exceeded. California ambient air quality standards are listed in the Table of Standards in Section 70200 of Title 17 of the California Code of Regulations.

- 2 National standards (other than O₃, PM, and those based on annual arithmetic mean) are not to be exceeded more than once a year. The O₃ standard is attained when the fourth highest 8-hour concentration measured at each site in a year, averaged over three years, is equal to or less than the standard. For PM₁₀, the 24-hour standard is attained when the expected number of days per calendar year with a 24-hour average concentration above 150 µg/m³ is equal to or less than one. For PM₂₅, the 24-hour standard is attained when 98 percent of the daily concentrations, averaged over three years, are equal to or less than the standard.
- 3 On October 1, 2015, the national 8-hour ozone primary and secondary standards were lowered from 0.075 to 0.070 ppm.
 4 On December 14, 2012, the national annual PM_{2.5} primary standard was lowered from 15 μg/m³ to 12.0 μg/m³. The existing national 24-hour PM_{2.5} standards (primary and secondary) were retained at 35 μg/m³, as was the annual secondary standard of 15 μg/m³. The existing 24-hour PM₁₀ standards (primary and secondary) of 150 μg/m³ also were retained. The form of the annual primary and secondary standards is the annual mean, averaged over 3 years.

5 On June 2, 2010, a new 1-hour SO₂ standard was established and the existing 24-hour and annual primary standards were revoked. The 1-hour national standard is in units of parts per billion (ppb). California standards are in units of parts per million (ppb). To directly compare the 1-hour national standard to the California standard to the california standard of 75 ppb is identical to 0.075 ppm.

California has also adopted a host of other regulations that reduce criteria pollutant emissions, including:

- AB 1493: Pavley Fuel Efficiency Standards
- Title 20 California Code of Regulations (CCR): Appliance Energy Efficiency Standards
- Title 24, Part 6, CCR: Building and Energy Efficiency Standards
- Title 24, Part 11, CCR: Green Building Standards Code

CRITERIA AIR POLLUTANTS

The air pollutants emitted into the ambient air by stationary and mobile sources are regulated by federal and state law. Air pollutants are categorized as primary or secondary pollutants. Primary air pollutants are those that are emitted directly from sources and include CO, VOC, NO₂, SO_x, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, and Pb. Of these, CO, SO₂, NO₂, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} are "criteria air pollutants," which means that ambient air quality standards (AAQS) have been established for them. VOC and oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) are air pollutant precursors that form secondary criteria pollutants through chemical and photochemical reactions in the atmosphere. Ozone (O₃) and NO₂ are the principal secondary pollutants. A description of each of the primary and secondary criteria air pollutants and their known health effects is presented below.

Carbon Monoxide (CO) is a colorless, odorless, toxic gas produced by incomplete combustion of carbon substances, such as gasoline or diesel fuel. CO is a primary criteria air pollutant. CO concentrations tend to be the highest during winter mornings with little to no wind, when surface-based inversions trap the pollutant at ground levels. Because CO is emitted directly from internal combustion, engines and motor vehicles operating at slow speeds are the primary source of CO in the SVAB. The highest ambient CO concentrations are generally found near traffic-congested corridors and intersections. The primary adverse health effect associated with CO is interference with normal oxygen transfer to the blood, which may result in tissue oxygen deprivation (US EPA 2022a).

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) are compounds composed primarily of atoms of hydrogen and carbon. Internal combustion associated with motor vehicle usage is the major source of hydrocarbons. Other sources of ROCs include evaporative emissions associated with the use of paints and solvents, the application of asphalt paving, and the use of household consumer products such as aerosols. Adverse effects on human health are not caused directly by VOCs, but rather by reactions of VOCs to form secondary pollutants such as O₃. There are no ambient air quality standards established for VOCs. However, because they contribute to the formation of ozone (O₃), SMAQMD has established a significance threshold for this pollutant (SMAQMD 2020a).

Nitrogen Oxides (NO_x) are a byproduct of fuel combustion and contribute to the formation of O_3 , PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5}. The two major forms of NO_x are nitric oxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂). The principal form of NO₂ produced by combustion is NO, but NO reacts with oxygen to form NO₂, creating the mixture of NO and NO₂ commonly called NO_x. NO₂ acts as an acute irritant and, in equal concentrations, is more injurious than NO. At atmospheric concentrations, however, NO₂ is only potentially irritating. There is some indication of a relationship between NO₂ and chronic pulmonary fibrosis. Some increase in bronchitis in children (two and three years old) has also been observed at concentrations below 0.3 part per million (ppm). NO₂ absorbs blue light; the result is a brownish-red cast to the atmosphere and reduced visibility. NO is a colorless, odorless gas formed from atmospheric nitrogen and oxygen when combustion takes place under high temperature and/or high pressure (US EPA 2022a).

Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂) is a colorless, pungent, irritating gas formed by the combustion of sulfurous fossil fuels. It enters the atmosphere as a result of burning high-sulfur-content fuel oils and coal and chemical processes at plants and refineries. Gasoline and natural gas have very low sulfur content and do not release significant quantities of SO₂. When sulfur dioxide forms sulfates (SO₄) in the atmosphere, together these pollutants are referred to as sulfur oxides (SO_x). Thus, SO₂ is both a primary and secondary criteria air pollutant. At sufficiently high concentrations, SO₂ may irritate the upper respiratory tract. Current scientific evidence links short-term exposures to SO₂, ranging from 5 minutes to 24 hours, with an array of adverse respiratory effects, including bronchoconstriction and increased asthma symptoms. These effects are particularly adverse for asthmatics at elevated ventilation rates (e.g., while exercising or playing) at lower concentrations and when combined with particulates, SO₂ may do greater harm by injuring lung tissue. Studies also show a connection between short-term exposure and increased visits to emergency facilities and hospital admissions for respiratory illnesses, particularly in at-risk populations such as children, the elderly, and asthmatics (US EPA 2022a).

Suspended Particulate Matter (PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}) consists of finely divided solids or liquids such as soot, dust, aerosols, fumes, and mists. Two forms of fine particulates are now recognized and regulated. Inhalable coarse particles, or PM₁₀, include the particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns (i.e., 10 millionths of a meter or 0.0004 inch) or less. Inhalable fine particles, or PM_{2.5}, have an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5 microns (i.e., 2.5 millionths of a meter or 0.0001 inch) or less. Particulate discharge into the atmosphere results primarily from industrial, agricultural, construction, and transportation activities. However, wind action on arid landscapes also contributes substantially to local particulate loading (i.e., fugitive dust). Both PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} may adversely affect the human respiratory system, especially in people who are naturally sensitive or susceptible to breathing problems (US EPA 2022a).

The US Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) scientific review concluded that PM_{2.5}, which penetrates deeply into the lungs, is more likely than PM₁₀ to contribute to health effects and at concentrations that extend well below those allowed by the current PM₁₀ standards. These health effects include premature death and increased hospital admissions and emergency room visits (primarily the elderly and individuals with cardiopulmonary disease); increased respiratory symptoms and disease (children and individuals with cardiopulmonary disease such as asthma); decreased lung functions (particularly in children and individuals with asthma); and alterations in lung tissue and structure and in respiratory tract defense mechanisms (US EPA 2022a). There has been emerging evidence that even smaller particulates with an aerodynamic diameter of <0.1 microns or less (i.e., ≤ 0.1 millionths of a meter or <0.000004 inch), known as ultrafine particulates (UFPs), have human health implications, because UFPs toxic components may initiate or facilitate biological processes that may lead to adverse effects to the heart, lungs, and other organs (US EPA 2022a). However, the EPA or CARB have yet to adopt AAQS to regulate these particulates. Diesel particulate matter (DPM) is classified by the CARB as a carcinogen (CARB 1998). Particulate matter can also cause environmental effects such as visibility impairment,¹ environmental damage,² and damage³ (US EPA 2022a).

Ozone (O₃) is commonly referred to as "smog" and is a gas that is formed when VOCs and NO_x, both byproducts of internal combustion engine exhaust, undergo photochemical reactions in the presence of sunlight. O₃ is a secondary criteria air pollutant. O₃ concentrations are generally highest during the summer months when direct sunlight, light winds, and warm temperatures create favorable conditions for the formation of this pollutant. O₃ poses a health threat to those who already suffer from respiratory diseases as well as to healthy people. Breathing O₃ can trigger a variety of health problems, including chest pain, coughing, throat irritation, and congestion. It can worsen bronchitis, emphysema, and asthma. Ground-level O₃ also can reduce lung function and inflame the linings of the lungs. Repeated exposure may permanently scar lung tissue. O₃ also affects sensitive vegetation and ecosystems, including forests, parks, wildlife refuges, and wilderness areas. In particular, O₃ harms sensitive vegetation during the growing season (US EPA 2022a).

Lead (Pb) is a metal found naturally in the environment as well as in manufactured products.

 $^{^{1}}$ PM_{2.5} is the main cause of reduced visibility (haze) in parts of the United States.

² Particulate matter can be carried over long distances by wind and then settle on ground or water, making lakes and streams acidic; changing the nutrient balance in coastal waters and large river basins; depleting the nutrients in soil; damaging sensitive forests and farm crops; and affecting the diversity of ecosystems.

³ Particulate matter can stain and damage stone and other materials, including culturally important objects such as statues and monuments.

The major sources of lead emissions have historically been mobile and industrial sources. As a result of the phasing out of leaded gasoline, metal processing is currently the primary source of lead emissions. The highest levels of lead in air are generally found near lead smelters. Other stationary sources are waste incinerators, utilities, and lead-acid battery manufacturers. Because emissions of lead are found only in projects that are permitted by the SMAQMD, lead is not an air quality of concern for the proposed project.

TOXIC AIR CONTAMINANTS

The public's exposure to air pollutants classified as toxic air contaminants (TACs) is a significant environmental health issue in California. In 1983, the California Legislature enacted a program to identify the health effects of TACs and to reduce exposure to these contaminants to protect the public health. The California Health and Safety Code defines a TAC as "an air pollutant which may cause or contribute to an increase in mortality or in serious illness, or which may pose a present or potential hazard to human health." A substance that is listed as a hazardous air pollutant (HAP) pursuant to Section 112(b) of the federal Clean Air Act (42 United States Code §7412[b]) is a toxic air contaminant. Under state law, the California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA), acting through CARB, is authorized to identify a substance as a TAC if it determines that the substance is an air pollutant that may cause or contribute to an increase in mortality or to an increase in serious illness, or may pose a present or potential hazard to human health.

California regulates TACs primarily through Assembly Bill (AB) 1807 (Tanner Air Toxics Act) and AB 2588 (Air Toxics "Hot Spot" Information and Assessment Act of 1987). The Tanner Air Toxics Act sets forth a formal procedure for CARB to designate substances as TACs. Once a TAC is identified, CARB adopts an "airborne toxics control measure" for sources that emit designated TACs. If there is a safe threshold for a substance (i.e., a point below which there is no toxic effect), the control measure must reduce exposure to below that threshold. If there is no safe threshold, the measure must incorporate toxics best available control technology to minimize emissions. To date, CARB has established formal control measures for 11 TACs, all of which are identified as having no safe threshold.

Air toxics from stationary sources are also regulated in California under the Air Toxics "Hot Spot" Information and Assessment Act of 1987. Under AB 2588, toxic air contaminant emissions from individual facilities are quantified and prioritized by the air quality management district or air pollution control district. High priority facilities are required to perform a health risk assessment and, if specific thresholds are exceeded, are required to communicate the results to the public in the form of notices and public meetings.

By the last update to the TAC list in December 1999, CARB had designated 244 compounds as TACs (CARB 1999). Additionally, CARB has implemented control measures for a number of compounds that pose high risks and show potential for effective control. The majority of the estimated health risks from TACs can be attributed to relatively few compounds, the most important being particulate matter from diesel-fueled engines.

Diesel Particulate Matter

In 1998, CARB identified particulate emissions from diesel-fueled engines (diesel PM) as a TAC. Previously, the individual chemical compounds in diesel exhaust were considered TACs. Almost all diesel exhaust particle

mass is 10 microns or less in diameter. Because of their extremely small size, these particles can be inhaled and eventually trapped in the bronchial and alveolar regions of the lung.

CARB has promulgated the following specific rules to limit TAC emissions:

- 13 CCR Chapter 10, Section 2485, Airborne Toxic Control Measure to Limit Diesel-Fueled Commercial Motor Vehicle Idling
- 13 CCR Chapter 10, Section 2480, Airborne Toxic Control Measure to Limit School Bus Idling and Idling at Schools
- 13 CCR Section 2477 and Article 8, Airborne Toxic Control Measure for In-Use Diesel-Fueled Transport Refrigeration Units (TRU) and TRU Generator Sets and Facilities Where TRUs Operate

Community Risk

In addition, to reduce exposure to TACs, CARB developed and approved the *Air Quality and Land Use Handbook: A Community Health Perspective* (2005) to provide guidance regarding the siting of sensitive land uses in the vicinity of freeways, distribution centers, rail yards, ports, refineries, chrome-plating facilities, dry cleaners, and gasoline-dispensing facilities. This guidance document was developed to assess compatibility and associated health risks when placing sensitive receptors near existing pollution sources. CARB's recommendations on the siting of new sensitive land uses were based on a compilation of recent studies that evaluated data on the adverse health effects from proximity to air pollution sources. The key observation in these studies is that proximity to air pollution sources exposure and the potential for adverse health effects. There are three carcinogenic toxic air contaminants that constitute the majority of the known health risks from motor vehicle traffic, DPM from trucks, and benzene and 1,3-butadiene from passenger vehicles. CARB recommendations are based on data that show that localized air pollution exposures can be reduced by as much as 80 percent by following CARB minimum distance separations.

Air Quality Management Planning

The SMAQMD is the agency responsible for improving air quality in the SVAB and ensuring that the National and California AAQS are attained and maintained. The Sacramento region was designated nonattainment for two out of the six criteria air pollutants, ozone and particulate matter (SMAQMD 2017). Consequently, the regional air districts developed the *Sacramento Regional 8-Hour Ozone Attainment and Reasonable Further Progress Plan* to address how the region would attain the 1997 8-hour standard, which was approved by the EPA in 2015. The region also prepared the *PM*_{2.5} *Maintenance Plan and Redesignation Request* (2013) to address how the region attain the 24-hour PM_{2.5} standard and the *PM*₁₀ *Implementation/Maintenance Plan and Redesignation Request for Sacramento County* (2010). The federal Clean Air Act (CAA) requires plans to identify how nonattainment areas will attain the NAAQS by the attainment date and EPA reviews the air quality plans to ensure that they are consistent with the requirements of the CAA (SMAQMD 2017).

Ozone Attainment Plan

The Sacramento Area Regional Ozone Attainment Plan (1994) is the current federal ozone plan (SIP) for the SMAQMD and sets out stationary source control programs and statewide mobile source control programs for attainment of the 1-hour ozone standard. The districts of the Sacramento Region have also prepared the Sacramento Regional 8-Hour Ozone Milestone Report (2011), which shows how existing control strategies have provided emission reductions needed to meet the federal CAA requirements toward attainment of the 1997 8-hour NAAQS.

The USEPA's June 2005 revocation of the 1-hour ozone standard and enacting the 8-hour ozone standard required the Sacramento air districts and CARB to prepare a new attainment demonstration SIP. Consequently, the Sacramento ozone planning region adopted the *Sacramento Regional 8-Hour Ozone Attainment and Reasonable Further Progress Plan* to address how the region would attain the 1997 8-hour standard, which was approved by

Particulate Matter Planning

In order to show attainment of the 24-hour PM_{2.5} standard, an area must demonstrate that it has met the standard during three consecutive years. The Sacramento region was able to show that the standard had been achieved during the 2009-2011 period. The SMAQMD and the other air districts of the Sacramento region subsequently prepared a $PM_{2.5}$ Maintenance Plan and Redesignation Request (2013) to address how the region attain the 24-hour PM_{2.5} standard. The plan was submitted to CARB, but before it could be forwarded to USEPA, there were some PM_{2.5} exceedances in late 2012 that postponed the submittal of the plan. However, on May 10, 2017, USEPA found that the area attained the 2006 24-hour PM_{2.5} NAAQS by the attainment date of December 31, 2015 (82 Federal Register 21711). Therefore, the $PM_{2.5}$ Maintenance Plan and Redesignation Request will be updated and submitted in the future based on the clean data finding made by the EPA. The particulate matter planning region includes all of Sacramento County, the eastern portion of Yolo County, the western portions of El Dorado and Placer counties and the northeast portion of Solano County.

The Sacramento region was classified as attainment for the 1997 PM_{10} 24-hour NAAQS of 150 µg/m³. In October 2010, the Sac Metro Air District prepared the PM_{10} Implementation/Maintenance Plan and Redesignation Request for Sacramento County (2010). EPA approved the PM_{10} Plan, which allowed EPA to proceed with the redesignation of Sacramento County as attainment for the PM_{10} NAAQS.

A second plan must provide for maintenance of the NAAQS for 10 more years after expiration of the first 10year maintenance period. The SMAQMD adopted and submitted the *Second 10-Year PM*₁₀ *Maintenance Plan for Sacramento County* in August 2021 to demonstrate maintenance of the PM₁₀ standard through 2033.

AB 617, COMMUNITY AIR PROTECTION PROGRAM

Assembly Bill (AB) 617 (C. Garcia, Chapter 136, Statutes of 2017) requires local air districts to monitor and implement air pollution control strategies that reduce localized air pollution in communities that bear the greatest burdens. In response to AB 617, CARB has established the Community Air Protection Program.

Air districts are required to host workshops to help identify disadvantaged communities disproportionately affected by poor air quality. Once the criteria for identifying the highest priority locations have been identified and the communities have been selected, new community monitoring systems would be installed to track and monitor community-specific air pollution goals. In 2018, CARB prepared an air monitoring plan (Community Air Protection Blueprint), that evaluates the availability and effectiveness of air monitoring technologies and existing community air monitoring networks. Under AB 617, the Blueprint is required to be updated every five years.

Under AB 617, CARB is also required to prepare a statewide strategy to reduce TACs and criteria pollutants in impacted communities; provide a statewide clearinghouse for best available retrofit control technology; adopt new rules requiring the latest best available retrofit control technology for all criteria pollutants for which an area has not achieved attainment of California AAQS; and provide uniform, statewide reporting of emissions inventories. Air districts are required to adopt a community emissions reduction program to achieve reductions for the communities impacted by air pollution that CARB identifies.

Existing Conditions

CLIMATE/METEOROLOGY

California is divided geographically into air basins for the purpose of managing the air resources of the State on a regional basis. An air basin generally has similar meteorological and geographic conditions throughout. The State is divided into 15 air basins. As described above, the project is in the SVAB. The discussion below identifies the natural factors in the SVAB that affect air pollution. Air pollutants of concern are criteria air pollutants and TACs. Federal, State, and local air districts have adopted laws and regulations intended to control and improve air quality.

Sacramento Valley Air Basin

The project site lies in the SVAB, which encompasses eleven counties including all of Shasta, Tehama, Glenn, Colusa, Butte, Sutter, Yuba, Sacramento, and Yolo Counties, the westernmost portion of Placer County and the northeastern half of Solano County. The SVAB is bounded by the North Coast Ranges on the west and Northern Sierra Nevada Mountains on the east. The intervening terrain is relatively flat.

Topography and Meteorology

Hot dry summers and mild rainy winters characterize the Mediterranean climate of the SVAB. During the year the temperature may range from 20 to 115 degrees Fahrenheit with summer highs usually in the 90s and winter lows occasionally below freezing. Average annual rainfall is about 20 inches, and the rainy season generally

occurs from November through March. The prevailing winds are moderate in strength and vary from moist clean breezes from the south to dry land flows from the north (SMAQMD 2020c).

The mountains surrounding the SVAB create a barrier to airflow, which can trap air pollutants under certain meteorological conditions. The highest frequency of air stagnation occurs in the autumn and early winter when large high-pressure cells collect over the Sacramento Valley. The lack of surface wind during these periods and the reduced vertical flow caused by less surface heating reduces the influx of outside air and allows air pollutants to become concentrated in a stable volume of air. The surface concentrations of pollutants are highest when these conditions are combined with temperature inversions that trap pollutants near the ground (SMAQMD 2020c).

The ozone season (May through October) in the Sacramento Valley is characterized by stagnant morning air or light winds with the delta sea breeze arriving in the afternoon out of the southwest. Usually the evening breeze transports the airborne pollutants to the north out of the Sacramento Valley. During about half of the days from July to September, however, a phenomenon called the "Schultz Eddy" prevents this from occurring. Instead of allowing for the prevailing wind patterns to move north carrying the pollutants out, the Schultz Eddy causes the wind pattern to circle back to the south. This phenomenon has the effect of exacerbating the pollution levels in the area and increases the likelihood of violating federal or state standards. (SMAQMD 2020c).

AREA DESIGNATIONS

The AQMP provides the framework for air quality basins to achieve attainment of the state and federal ambient air quality standards through the State Implementation Plan (SIP). Areas are classified as attainment or nonattainment areas for particular pollutants, depending on whether they meet ambient air quality standards. Severity classifications for ozone nonattainment range in magnitude from marginal, moderate, and serious to severe and extreme.

- Unclassified: a pollutant is designated unclassified if the data are incomplete and do not support a designation of attainment or nonattainment.
- Attainment: a pollutant is in attainment if the CAAQS for that pollutant was not violated at any site in the area during a three-year period.
- Nonattainment: a pollutant is in nonattainment if there was at least one violation of a state AAQS for that pollutant in the area.
- **Nonattainment/Transitional:** a subcategory of the nonattainment designation. An area is designated nonattainment/transitional to signify that the area is close to attaining the AAQS for that pollutant.

The attainment status for the SVAB is shown in Table 2, Attainment Status of Criteria Pollutants in the Sacramento Valley Air Basin.

Pollutant	State	Federal
Ozone – 1-hour	Nonattainment	No Federal Standard
Ozone – 8-hour	Nonattainment	Nonattainment
PM10	Nonattainment	Attainment
PM _{2.5}	Attainment	Nonattainment
CO	Attainment	Unclassified/Attainment
NO ₂	Attainment	Unclassified/Attainment
SO ₂	Attainment	Unclassified/Attainment
Lead	Attainment	Unclassified/Attainment

Table 2	Attainment Status of Criteria Pollutants in the Sacramento Valley	Air Basin

EXISTING AMBIENT AIR QUALITY

Existing levels of ambient air quality and historical trends and projections in the vicinity of the project site are best documented by measurements taken by the SMAQMD. The air quality monitoring station closest to the proposed project is the Sacramento-T Street Monitoring Station. Data from this station includes O₃, NO₂, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} and is summarized in Table 3, *Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Summary*. The data show that the area regularly exceeds the state and federal one-hour and eight-hour O₃ standards within the last five recorded years. Additionally, the area has regularly exceeded the state and federal PM₁₀ standards and federal PM_{2.5} standard.

Table 3	Ambient Air Quality	Monitoring Summary

	Number of Days Threshold Were Exceeded and Maximum Levels during Such Violations ¹				
Pollutant/Standard	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Ozone (O ₃)					
State 1-Hour \ge 0.09 ppm (days exceed threshold)	1	1	1	1	0
State & Federal 8-hour \geq 0.070 ppm (days exceed threshold)	3	1	1	3	1
Max. 1-Hour Conc. (ppm)	0.107	0.097	0.100	0.112	0.091
Max. 8-Hour Conc. (ppm)	0.077	0.084	0.074	0.076	0.080
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂)					
State 1-Hour \ge 0.18 ppm (days exceed threshold)	0	0	0	0	0
Federal 1-Hour \geq 0.100 ppm (days exceed threshold)	0	0	0	0	0
Max. 1-Hour Conc. (ppb)	0.0587	0.0663	0.0619	0.0541	0.0558
Coarse Particulates (PM ₁₀)					
State 24-Hour > 50 µg/m³ (days exceed threshold)	21	22	24	59	12
Federal 24-Hour > 150 µg/m ³ (days exceed threshold)	0	6	1	4	0
Max. 24-Hour Conc. (µg/m ³)	150.3	309.5	179.7	298.7	142.6
Fine Particulates (PM _{2.5})					
Federal 24-Hour > 35 µg/m ³ (days exceed threshold)	2	3	0	6	4
	44.5	149.9	32.3	111.0	89.1

SENSITIVE RECEPTORS

Some land uses are considered more sensitive to air pollution than others due to the types of population groups or activities involved. Sensitive population groups include children, the elderly, the acutely ill, and the chronically ill, especially those with cardio-respiratory diseases.

Residential areas are also considered to be sensitive receptors to air pollution because residents (including children and the elderly) tend to be at home for extended periods of time, resulting in sustained exposure to any pollutants present. Schools are also considered sensitive receptors, as children are present for extended durations and engage in regular outdoor activities. Recreational land uses are considered moderately sensitive to air pollution. Although exposure periods are generally short, exercise places a high demand on respiratory functions, which can be impaired by air pollution. In addition, noticeable air pollution can detract from the enjoyment of recreation. Industrial and commercial areas are considered the least sensitive to air pollution. Exposure periods are relatively short and intermittent, as the majority of the workers tend to stay indoors most of the time. In addition, the working population is generally the healthiest segment of the public. The nearest sensitive receptors to the proposed project site are the surrounding single-family residences and Edward Kemble Park to the south.

Methodology

Projected construction-related air pollutant emissions are calculated using the California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod), Version 2022.1. CalEEMod compiles an emissions inventory of construction (fugitive dust, off-gas emissions, on-road emissions, and off-road emissions), area sources, indirect emissions from energy use, mobile sources, indirect emissions from waste disposal (annual only), and indirect emissions from water/wastewater (annual only) use. The calculated emissions of the project are compared to thresholds of significance for individual projects available as part of SMAQMD's *Guide to Air Quality Assessment in Sacramento County* (CEQA Guide).

Thresholds of Significance

CEQA allows the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district to be used to assess impacts of a project on air quality. The SMAQMD has adopted significance thresholds as presented in the CEQA Guide to provide methods for review of air quality impacts from land use development projects within the region, which includes screening approaches and specific methods for calculating emissions. Furthermore, the Guide provides mitigation strategies developers can integrated into their projects to reduce air quality impacts (SMAQMD 2020c). SMAQMD requires Basic Construction Emission Control Practices (known as Best Management Practices [BMPs]) and Tier 1/2 BMPs to reduce operational GHG emissions. The analysis of the proposed project's air quality impacts follows the guidance and methodologies found in the SMAQMD's CEQA Guide.

REGIONAL SIGNIFICANCE THRESHOLDS

The SMAQMD has adopted regional construction and operational emissions thresholds to determine a project's cumulative impact on air quality in the SVAB. Table 4, *SMAQMD Significance Thresholds*, lists SMAQMD's regional significance threshold that are applicable for all projects uniformly regardless of size or scope for both construction and operational emissions. Any proposed project that would individually have a significant air quality impact would also be considered to have a significant cumulative impact.

Table 4 SMAQMD Significance	Inresholds	
Air Pollutant	Construction Phase (Ibs/day)	Operational Phase (lbs/day)
Reactive Organic Gases (ROGs)/ Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)	NA	65 lbs/day
Nitrogen Oxides (NO _X)	85 lbs/day	65 lbs/day
Particulates (PM ₁₀)	0 lbs/day. If all feasible BACT/BMPs are applied, then 80 lbs/day and 16 tons/year.	0 lbs/day. If all feasible BACT/BMPs are applied, then 80 lbs/day and 16 tons/year.
Particulates (PM _{2.5})	0 lbs/day. If all feasible BACT/BMPs are applied, then 82 lbs/day and 15 tons/year.	0 lbs/day. If all feasible BACT/BMPs are applied, then 82 lbs/day and 15 tons/year.
Source: SMAOMD 2020d		•

Table 4 SMAQMD Significance Thresholds

Health Effects of Exceeding the Criteria Air Pollutant Thresholds

If projects exceed the emissions in Table 4, emissions would cumulatively contribute to the nonattainment status and would contribute in elevating health effects associated to these criteria air pollutants. Known health effects related to ozone include worsening of bronchitis, asthma, and emphysema and a decrease in lung function. Health effects associated with particulate matter include premature death of people with heart or lung disease, nonfatal heart attacks, irregular heartbeat, decreased lung function, and increased respiratory symptoms. Reducing emissions would further contribute to reducing possible health effects related to criteria air pollutants.

However, for projects that exceed the emissions in Table 4, it is speculative to determine how exceeding the regional thresholds would affect the number of days the region is in nonattainment since mass emissions are not correlated with concentrations of emissions or how many additional individuals in the air basin would be affected by the health effects cited above. The SMAQMD is the primary agency responsible for ensuring the health and welfare of sensitive individuals to elevated concentrations of air quality in the SVAB.

The SMAQMD also released its *Guidance to Address the Friant Ranch Ruling for CEQA Projects in the Sac Metro Air District* in October 2020 (SMAQMD 2020b). This guidance document was developed with input from Yolo-Solano AQMD, Placer County Air Pollution Control District, El Dorado County Air Quality Management District, and Feather River Air Quality Management District. These air districts, in addition to SMAQMD, comprises the SFNA and the Five-Air-District Region. The Friant Ranch guidance document provides insight on the health effects that may result from a project emitting at the maximum thresholds of significance (TOS) levels in the Five-Air-District Region for NO_X, VOCs, PM, CO, and SO_X. It includes two look-up tables for estimating health effects for strategic areas where growth exceeding the TOS level is anticipated. For purposes of the look-up tables, a TOS level of 82 lbs/day, which represents the highest TOS level between the thresholds established by the SFNA air districts, is utilized. The Minor Project Health Effects Screening Tool uses the location of a project to estimate interpolated health effects based on the TOS level of 82 lbs/day and the health effects of 41 hypothetical sources. The Strategic Area Project Screening Modeling tool uses the NO_X , VOC, and $PM_{2.5}$ emissions of a project to interpolate health effects based on the health effects of six potential strategic area project locations at levels two and eight times the 82 lbs/day TOS level. The health effects of criteria pollutant emissions at the TOS level are conservative estimates that can be used in environmental documents.

CO HOTSPOTS

Areas of vehicle congestion have the potential to create pockets of CO called hot spots. These pockets have the potential to exceed the state one-hour standard of 20 ppm or the eight-hour standard of 9 ppm. Because CO is produced in greatest quantities from vehicle combustion and does not readily disperse into the atmosphere, adherence to ambient air quality standards is typically demonstrated through an analysis of localized CO concentrations. Hot spots are typically produced at intersections, where traffic congestion is highest because vehicles queue for longer periods and are subject to reduced speeds. With the turnover of older vehicles, introduction of cleaner fuels, and implementation of control technology on industrial facilities, CO concentrations in the SVAB and in the state have steadily declined. The SVAB has been designated attainment under both the national and California AAQS for CO, and CO concentrations in the SVAB have steadily declined (SMAQMD 2017). Thus, for purposes of this analysis, because CO concentrations have improved, the screening criteria developed by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) is used to assess potential CO hotspot impacts. Per BAAQMD's methodology, under existing and future vehicle emission rates, a project would have to increase traffic volumes at a single intersection by more than 44,000 vehicles per hour or 24,000 vehicles per hour where vertical and/or horizontal air does not mix—in order to generate a significant CO impact (BAAQMD 2017).

Odors

While offensive odors rarely cause any physical harm, they can be very unpleasant, leading to considerable distress among the public and often generating citizen complaints to local governments and the SMAQMD. The SMAQMD has recommended odor screening distances for certain land use types and regulate odors under SMAQMD's Regulation 402, *Public Nuisance* (SMAQMD 2016). Regulation 402 states that no person shall discharge from any source whatsoever such quantities of air contaminants or other material which cause injury, detriment, nuisance or annoyance to any considerable number of persons or the public; or which endangers the comfort, repose, health or safety of any such persons or the public, or which causes, or has a natural tendency to cause, injury or damage to business or property.

Health Risk

The SMAQMD does not require a health risk assessment to be conducted for short-term emissions from construction equipment and has not established a quantitative threshold of significance for construction-related TAC emissions (SMAQMD 2020e). Therefore, the SMAQMD recommends that lead agencies address this issue on a case-by-case basis. Emissions from construction equipment primarily consist of diesel particulate matter (DPM) and the estimated risk from breathing DPM is greater than the risk from all other airborne TACs combined.

Demolition or renovation of existing buildings are subject to SMAQMD's Rule 902, *Asbestos*, to limit asbestos emissions and the associated disturbance of regulated asbestos containing material. Additionally, the siting of new stationary sources of TACs is subject to the rules under SMAQMD's Regulation 2, *Permit*, where each new stationary source is evaluated to determine whether it has the potential to emit TACs. SMAQMD assesses the impact based on its guidance document, Supplemental Risk Assessment Guidelines for New and Modified Sources, and guidance from the OEHHA, ARB, and the California Pollution Control Officers Association. The SMAQMD requires emission controls, called Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT) for certain sources. New stationary sources of TACs would not be able to operate if it would result in exceeding the TAC thresholds shown in Table 5, *Toxic Air Contaminants Incremental Risk Thresholds*.

 Table 5
 Toxic Air Contaminants Incremental Risk Thresholds

Maximum Incremental Cancer Risk	≥ 10 in 1 million
Hazard Index (project increment)	≥ 1.0
Source: SMAQMD 2020e.	

The purpose of this environmental evaluation is to identify the significant effects of the proposed project on the environment. CEQA does not require CEQA-level environmental document to analyze the environmental effects of attracting development and people to an area (*California Building Industry Association v. Bay Area Air Quality Management District (2015) 62 Cal.4th 369 (Case No. S213478)*). However, the environmental document must analyze the impacts of environmental hazards on future users, when a proposed project exacerbates an existing environmental hazard or condition. Residential, commercial, and office uses do not use substantial quantities of TACs and typically do not exacerbate existing hazards, so these thresholds are typically applied to new industrial projects.

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

Scientists have concluded that human activities are contributing to global climate change by adding large amounts of heat-trapping gases, known as GHG, to the atmosphere. Climate change is the variation of Earth's climate over time, whether due to natural variability or as a result of human activities. The primary source of these GHG is fossil fuel use. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has identified four major GHG—water vapor,⁴ carbon (CO₂), methane (CH₄), and ozone (O₃)—that are the likely cause of an increase in global average temperatures observed within the 20th and 21st centuries. Other GHG identified by the IPCC that contribute to global warming to a lesser extent include nitrous oxide (N₂O), sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆), hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, and chlorofluorocarbons (IPCC 2001).⁵ The major GHG are briefly described below.

- Carbon dioxide (CO₂) enters the atmosphere through the burning of fossil fuels (oil, natural gas, and coal), solid waste, trees and wood products, and respiration, and also as a result of other chemical reactions (e.g. manufacture of cement). Carbon dioxide is removed from the atmosphere (sequestered) when it is absorbed by plants as part of the biological carbon cycle.
- Methane (CH₄) is emitted during the production and transport of coal, natural gas, and oil. Methane emissions also result from livestock and other agricultural practices and from the decay of organic waste in municipal landfills and water treatment facilities.
- Nitrous oxide (N₂O) is emitted during agricultural and industrial activities as well as during combustion of fossil fuels and solid waste.
- Fluorinated gases are synthetic, strong GHGs that are emitted from a variety of industrial processes. Fluorinated gases are sometimes used as substitutes for ozone-depleting substances. These gases are typically emitted in smaller quantities, but because they are potent GHGs, they are sometimes referred to as high global-warming-potential (GWP) gases.
 - *Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs*) are GHGs covered under the 1987 Montreal Protocol and used for refrigeration, air conditioning, packaging, insulation, solvents, or aerosol propellants. Since they are not destroyed in the lower atmosphere (troposphere, stratosphere), CFCs drift into the upper atmosphere where, given suitable conditions, they break down ozone. These gases are also ozone-depleting gases

⁴ Water vapor (H₂O) is the strongest GHG and the most variable in its phases (vapor, cloud droplets, ice crystals). However, water vapor is not considered a pollutant, but part of the feedback loop rather than a primary cause of change.

⁵ Black carbon contributes to climate change both directly, by absorbing sunlight, and indirectly, by depositing on snow (making it melt faster) and by interacting with clouds and affecting cloud formation. Black carbon is the most strongly light-absorbing component of particulate matter (PM) emitted from burning fuels such as coal, diesel, and biomass. Reducing black carbon emissions globally can have immediate economic, climate, and public health benefits. California has been an international leader in reducing emissions of black carbon, with close to 95 percent control expected by 2020 due to existing programs that target reducing PM from diesel engines and burning activities (CARB 2017a). However, state and national GHG inventories do not yet include black carbon due to ongoing work resolving the precise global warming potential of black carbon. Guidance for CEQA documents does not yet include black carbon.

and are therefore being replaced by other compounds that are GHGs covered under the Kyoto Protocol.

- **Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)** are a group of human-made chemicals composed of carbon and fluorine only. These chemicals (predominantly perfluoromethane [CF₄] and perfluoroethane [C₂F₆]) were introduced as alternatives, along with HFCs, to the ozone-depleting substances. In addition, PFCs are emitted as by-products of industrial processes and are used in manufacturing. PFCs do not harm the stratospheric ozone layer, but they have a high global warming potential.
- **Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF**₆) is a colorless gas soluble in alcohol and ether, slightly soluble in water. SF₆ is a strong GHG used primarily in electrical transmission and distribution systems as an insulator.
- *Hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs)* contain hydrogen, fluorine, chlorine, and carbon atoms. Although ozone-depleting substances, they are less potent at destroying stratospheric ozone than CFCs. They have been introduced as temporary replacements for CFCs and are also GHGs.
- *Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)* contain only hydrogen, fluorine, and carbon atoms. They were introduced as alternatives to ozone-depleting substances to serve many industrial, commercial, and personal needs. HFCs are emitted as by-products of industrial processes and are also used in manufacturing. They do not significantly deplete the stratospheric ozone layer, but they are strong GHGs (IPCC 2001; USEPA 2022).

GHGs are dependent on the lifetime or persistence of the gas molecule in the atmosphere. Some GHGs have stronger greenhouse effects than others. These are referred to as high GWP gases. The GWP of GHG emissions are shown in Table 6, *GHG Emissions and Their Relative Global Warming Potential Compared to CO*₂. The GWP is used to convert GHGs to CO₂-equivalence (CO₂e) to show the relative potential that different GHGs have to retain infrared radiation in the atmosphere and contribute to the greenhouse effect. For example, under IPCC's Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) GWP values for CH₄, a project that generates 10 MT of CH₄ would be equivalent to 280 MT of CO₂.

GHGs	Second Assessment Report (SAR) Global Warming Potential Relative to CO ₂ ¹	Fourth Assessment Report (AR4) Global Warming Potential Relative to CO ₂ ¹	Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) Global Warming Potential Relative to CO ₂ 1
Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	1	1	1
Methane ² (CH ₄)	21	25	28
Nitrous Oxide (N ₂ O)	310	298	265

Table 6 GHG Emissions and Their Relative Global Warming Potential Compared to CO2

Source: IPCC 1995, 2007, 2013.

Notes: The IPCC published updated GWP values in its Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) that reflect new information on atmospheric lifetimes of GHGs and an improved calculation of the radiative forcing of CO₂. However, GWP values identified in AR4 are used to maintain consistency in statewide GHG emissions modeling. In addition, the 2017 Scoping Plan Update was based on the GWP values in AR4.

¹ Based on 100-year time horizon of the GWP of the air pollutant compared to CO₂.

² The methane GWP includes direct effects and indirect effects due to the production of tropospheric ozone and stratospheric water vapor. The indirect effect due to the production of CO₂ is not included.

California's Greenhouse Gas Sources and Relative Contribution

In 2021, the statewide GHG emissions inventory was updated for 2000 to 2019 emissions using the GWPs in IPCC's AR4 (IPCC 2013). Based on these GWPs, California produced 418.2 MMTCO₂e GHG emissions in 2019. California's transportation sector was the single largest generator of GHG emissions, producing 39.7 percent of the state's total emissions. Industrial sector emissions made up 21.1 percent, and electric power generation made up 14.1 percent of the state's emissions inventory. Other major sectors of GHG emissions include commercial and residential (10.5 percent), agriculture and forestry (7.6 percent), high GWP (4.9 percent), and recycling and waste (2.1 percent) (CARB 2021).

California's GHG emissions have followed a declining trend since peak levels in 2004. In 2019, emissions from routine GHG-emitting activities statewide were 418.2 MMTCO₂e, 7.1 MMTCO₂e lower than 2018 levels and almost 13 MMTCO₂e below the 2020 GHG Limit of 431 MMTCO₂e. In 2016, statewide GHG emissions have dropped below the 2020 GHG Limit and have remained below the Limit. During the 2000 to 2019 period, per capita GHG emissions in California have continued to drop from a peak in 2001 of 14.0 MTCO₂e per capita to 10.5 MTCO₂e per capita in 2019, a 25 percent decrease. Overall trends in the inventory also demonstrate that the carbon intensity of California's economy (the amount of carbon pollution per million dollars of gross domestic product) has declined 45 percent since the 2001 peak, while the state's gross domestic product has grown 63 percent during the same period. For the first time since California started to track GHG emissions, California uses more electricity from zero-GHG sources (hydro, solar, wind, and nuclear energy) (CARB 2021).

Human Influence on Climate Change

For approximately 1,000 years before the Industrial Revolution, the amount of GHGs in the atmosphere remained relatively constant. During the 20th century, however, scientists observed a rapid change in the climate and the quantity of climate change pollutants in the Earth's atmosphere that is attributable to human activities. The amount of CO₂ in the atmosphere has increased by more than 35 percent since preindustrial times and has increased at an average rate of 1.4 parts per million per year since 1960, mainly due to combustion of fossil fuels and deforestation (IPCC 2007). These recent changes in the quantity and concentration of climate change pollutants far exceed the extremes of the ice ages, and the global mean temperature is warming at a rate that cannot be explained by natural causes alone. Human activities are directly altering the chemical composition of the atmosphere through the buildup of climate change pollutants (CAT 2006). In the past, gradual changes in the earth's temperature changed the distribution of species, availability of water, etc. However, human activities are accelerating this process so that environmental impacts associated with climate change no longer occur in a geologic time frame but within a human lifetime (IPCC 2007).

Like the variability in the projections of the expected increase in global surface temperatures, the environmental consequences of gradual changes in the Earth's temperature are hard to predict. Projections of climate change depend heavily upon future human activity. Therefore, climate models are based on different emission scenarios that account for historical trends in emissions and on observations of the climate record that assess the human influence of the trend and projections for extreme weather events. Climate-change scenarios are affected by varying degrees of uncertainty. For example, there are varying degrees of certainty on the magnitude of the trends for:

- Warmer and fewer cold days and nights over most land areas.
- Warmer and more frequent hot days and nights over most land areas.
- An increase in frequency of warm spells/heat waves over most land areas.
- An increase in frequency of heavy precipitation events (or proportion of total rainfall from heavy falls) over most areas.
- Larger areas affected by drought.
- Intense tropical cyclone activity increases.
- Increased incidence of extreme high sea level (excluding tsunamis).

Potential Climate Change Impacts for California

Observed changes over the last several decades across the western United States reveal clear signs of climate change. Statewide, average temperatures increased by about 1.7°F from 1895 to 2011, and warming has been greatest in the Sierra Nevada (CCCC 2012). The years from 2014 through 2016 have shown unprecedented temperatures with 2014 being the warmest (OEHHA 2018). By 2050, California is projected to warm by approximately 2.7°F above 2000 averages, a threefold increase in the rate of warming over the last century. By 2100, average temperatures could increase by 4.1 to 8.6°F, depending on emissions levels (CCCC 2012).

In California and western North America, observations of the climate have shown: 1) a trend toward warmer winter and spring temperatures; 2) a smaller fraction of precipitation falling as snow; 3) a decrease in the amount of spring snow accumulation in the lower and middle elevation mountain zones; 4) advanced shift in the timing of snowmelt of 5 to 30 days earlier in the spring; and 5) a similar shift (5 to 30 days earlier) in the timing of spring flower blooms (CAT 2006). Overall, California has become drier over time, with five of the eight years of severe to extreme drought occurring between 2007 and 2016, with unprecedented dry years occurring in 2014 and 2015 (OEHHA 2018). Statewide precipitation has become increasingly variable from year to year, with the driest consecutive four years occurring from 2012 to 2015 (OEHHA 2018). According to the California Climate Action Team—a committee of state agency secretaries and the heads of agencies, boards, and departments, led by the Secretary of the California Environmental Protection Agency—even if actions could be taken to immediately curtail climate change emissions, the potency of emissions that have already built up, their long atmospheric lifetimes (see Table 5), and the inertia of the Earth's climate system could produce as much as 0.6°C (1.1°F) of additional warming. Consequently, some impacts from climate change are now considered unavoidable. Global climate change risks to California are shown in Table 6 and include impacts to public health, water resources, agriculture, coastal sea level, forest and biological resources, and energy.

Impact Category	Potential Risk
Public Health Impacts	Heat waves will be more frequent, hotter, and longer Fewer extremely cold nights Poor air quality made worse Higher temperatures increase ground-level ozone levels
Water Resources Impacts	Decreasing Sierra Nevada snow pack

Table 6 Summary of GHG Emissions Risks to California

Challenges in securing adequate water supply	
Potential reduction in hydropower Loss of winter recreation	
Increasing temperature Increasing threats from pests and pathogens Expanded ranges of agricultural weeds Declining productivity Irregular blooms and harvests	
Accelerated sea level rise Increasing coastal floods Shrinking beaches Worsened impacts on infrastructure	
Increased risk and severity of wildfires Lengthening of the wildfire season Movement of forest areas Conversion of forest to grassland Declining forest productivity Increasing threats from pest and pathogens Shifting vegetation and species distribution Altered timing of migration and mating habits Loss of sensitive or slow-moving species	
Potential reduction in hydropower Increased energy demand	
	Loss of winter recreation Increasing temperature Increasing threats from pests and pathogens Expanded ranges of agricultural weeds Declining productivity Irregular blooms and harvests Accelerated sea level rise Increasing coastal floods Shrinking beaches Worsened impacts on infrastructure Increased risk and severity of wildfires Lengthening of the wildfire season Movement of forest areas Conversion of forest to grassland Declining forest productivity Increasing threats from pest and pathogens Shifting vegetation and species distribution Altered timing of migration and mating habits Loss of sensitive or slow-moving species Potential reduction in hydropower

Table 6 Summary of GHG Emissions Risks to California

Regulatory Settings

REGULATION OF GHG EMISSIONS ON A NATIONAL LEVEL

The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) announced on December 7, 2009, that GHG emissions threaten the public health and welfare of the American people and that GHG emissions from on-road vehicles contribute to that threat. The EPA's final findings respond to the 2007 U.S. Supreme Court decision that GHG emissions fit within the Clean Air Act definition of air pollutants. The findings do not in and of themselves impose any emission reduction requirements but allow the EPA to finalize the GHG standards proposed in 2009 for new light-duty vehicles as part of the joint rulemaking with the Department of Transportation (USEPA 2009).

To regulate GHGs from passenger vehicles, EPA was required to issue an endangerment finding. The finding identifies emissions of six key GHGs—CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, and SF₆—that have been the subject of scrutiny and intense analysis for decades by scientists in the United States and around the world. The first three are applicable to the project's GHG emissions inventory because they constitute the

majority of GHG emissions and are the GHG emissions that should be evaluated as part of a project's GHG emissions inventory.

US Mandatory Report Rule for GHGs (2009)

In response to the endangerment finding, the EPA issued the Mandatory Reporting of GHG Rule that requires substantial emitters of GHG emissions (large stationary sources, etc.) to report GHG emissions data. Facilities that emit 25,000 MT or more of CO₂ per year are required to submit an annual report.

Update to Corporate Average Fuel Economy Standards (2021 to 2026)

The federal government issued new Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) standards in 2012 for model years 2017 to 2025, which required a fleet average of 54.5 miles per gallon in 2025. On March 30, 2020, the EPA finalized an updated CAFE and GHG emissions standards for passenger cars and light trucks and established new standards covering model years 2021 through 2026, known as the Safer Affordable Fuel Efficient (SAFE) Vehicles Final Rule for Model Years 2021 to 2026. On December 21, 2021, under direction of Executive Order 13990 issued by President Biden, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) repealed SAFE Vehicles Rule Part One, which had preempted State and local laws related to fuel economy standards. In addition, on March 31, 2022, the NHTSA finalized new fuel standards which will increase fuel efficiency 8 percent annually for model years 2024 to 2025 and 10 percent annually for model year 2026. Overall, the new CAFE standards require a fleet average of 49 MPG for passenger vehicles and light trucks for model year 2026, which will be a 10 MPG increase relative to model year 2021 (NHTSA 2022).

EPA Regulation of Stationary Sources Under the Clean Air Act (Ongoing)

Pursuant to its authority under the Clean Air Act, the EPA has been developing regulations for new, large stationary sources of emissions such as power plants and refineries. Under former President Obama's 2013 Climate Action Plan, the EPA was directed to develop regulations for existing stationary sources as well. On June 19, 2019, the EPA issued the final Affordable Clean Energy (ACE) rule which became effective on August 19, 2019. The ACE rule was crafted under the direction of President Trump's Energy Independence Executive Order. It officially rescinds the Clean Power Plan rule issued during the Obama Administration and sets emissions guidelines for states in developing plans to limit CO₂ emissions from coal-fired power plants.

REGULATION OF GHG EMISSIONS ON A STATE LEVEL

Current State of California guidance and goals for reductions in GHG emissions are generally embodied in EO S-03-05 and EO B-30-15, Assembly Bill 32 (AB 32), AB 1279, Senate Bill 32 (SB 32), and SB 375.

Executive Order S-3-05

Executive Order S-3-05, signed June 1, 2005. Executive Order S-3-05 set the following GHG reduction targets for the State:

- 2000 levels by 2010
- 1990 levels by 2020
- 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050

Assembly Bill 32, the Global Warming Solutions Act (2006)

AB 32 was passed by the California state legislature on August 31, 2006, to place the state on a course toward reducing its contribution of GHG emissions. AB 32 follows the 2020 tier of emissions reduction targets established in EO S-03-05. CARB prepared the 2008 Scoping Plan to outline a plan to achieve the GHG emissions reduction targets of AB 32.

Executive Order B-30-15

EO B-30-15, signed April 29, 2015, set a goal of reducing GHG emissions within the state to 40 percent of 1990 levels by year 2030. EO B-30-15 also directed CARB to update the Scoping Plan to quantify the 2030 GHG reduction goal for the state and requires state agencies to implement measures to meet the interim 2030 goal as well as the long-term goal for 2050 in EO S-03-05. It also requires the Natural Resources Agency to conduct triennial updates of the California adaption strategy, "Safeguarding California", in order to ensure climate change is accounted for in state planning and investment decisions.

Senate Bill 32 and Assembly Bill 197

In September 2016, Governor Brown signed SB 32 and AB 197 into law, making the Executive Order goal for year 2030 into a statewide mandated legislative target. AB 197 established a joint legislative committee on climate change policies and requires the CARB to prioritize direction emissions reductions rather than the market-based cap-and-trade program for large stationary, mobile, and other sources.

2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan Update

EO B-30-15 and SB 32 required CARB to prepare another update to the Scoping Plan to address the 2030 target for the state. On December 24, 2017, CARB adopted the 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan Update, which outlined potential regulations and programs, including strategies consistent with AB 197 requirements, to achieve the 2030 target. The 2017 Scoping Plan established a new emissions limit of 260 MMTCO₂e for the year 2030, which corresponds to a 40 percent decrease in 1990 levels by 2030 (CARB 2017b).

California's climate strategy will require contributions from all sectors of the economy, including enhanced focus on zero- and near-zero emission (ZE/NZE) vehicle technologies; continued investment in renewables such as solar roofs, wind, and other types of distributed generation; greater use of low carbon fuels; integrated land conservation and development strategies; coordinated efforts to reduce emissions of short-lived climate pollutants (methane, black carbon, and fluorinated gases); and an increased focus on integrated land other lands. Requirements for GHG reductions at stationary sources complement local air pollution control efforts by the local air districts to tighten criteria air pollutants and toxic air contaminants emissions limits on across a broad spectrum of industrial sources. Major elements of the 2017 Scoping Plan framework include:

- Implementing and/or increasing the standards of the Mobile Source Strategy, which include increasing ZEV buses and trucks;
- Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS), with an increased stringency (18 percent by 2030).

- Implementation of SB 350, which expands the Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS) to 50 percent RPS and doubles energy efficiency savings by 2030.
- California Sustainable Freight Action Plan, which improves freight system efficiency, utilizes near-zero emissions technology, and deployment of ZEV trucks.
- Implementing the Short-Lived Climate Pollutant Strategy (SLPS), which focuses on reducing methane and hydrofluorocarbon emissions by 40 percent and anthropogenic black carbon emissions by 50 percent by year 2030.
- Post-2020 Cap-and-Trade Program that includes declining caps.
- Continued implementation of SB 375.
- Development of a Natural and Working Lands Action Plan to secure California's land base as a net carbon sink.

In addition to the statewide strategies listed above, the 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan also identified local governments as essential partners in achieving the State's long-term GHG reduction goals and identified local actions to reduce GHG emissions. As part of the recommended actions, CARB recommends statewide targets of no more than 6 MTCO₂e or less per capita by 2030 and 2 MTCO₂e or less per capita by 2050. CARB recommends that local governments evaluate and adopt robust and quantitative locally-appropriate goals that align with the statewide per capita targets and the State's sustainable development objectives and develop plans to achieve the local goals. The statewide per capita goals were developed by applying the percent reductions necessary to reach the 2030 and 2050 climate goals (i.e., 40 percent and 80 percent, respectively) to the State's 1990 emissions limit established under AB 32. For CEQA projects, CARB states that lead agencies have discretion to develop evidenced-based numeric thresholds (mass emissions, per capita, or per service population)—consistent with the Scoping Plan and the state's long-term GHG goals. To the degree a project relies on GHG mitigation measures, CARB recommends that lead agencies prioritize on-site design features that reduce emissions, especially from VMT, and direct investments in GHG reductions within the project's region that contribute potential air quality, health, and economic co-benefits. Where further project design or regional investments are infeasible or not proven to be effective, CARB recommends mitigating potential GHG impacts through purchasing and retiring carbon credits.

The 2017 Scoping Plan scenario is set against what is called the business-as-usual (BAU) yardstick—that is, what would the GHG emissions look like if the State did nothing at all beyond the existing policies that are required and already in place to achieve the 2020 limit, as shown in Table 7, 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan Emissions Reductions Gap. It includes the existing renewables requirements, advanced clean cars, the "10 percent" Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS), and the SB 375 program for more vibrant communities, among others. However, it does not include a range of new policies or measures that have been developed or put into statute over the past two years. Also shown in the table, the known commitments are expected to result in emissions that are 60 MMTCO₂e above the target in 2030. If the estimated GHG reductions from the known commitments are not realized due to delays in implementation or technology deployment, the post-2020 Cap-

and-Trade Program would deliver the additional GHG reductions in the sectors it covers to ensure the 2030 target is achieved.

Modeling Scenario	2030 GHG Emissions MMTCO ₂ e	
Reference Scenario (Business-as-Usual)	389	
With Known Commitments	320	
2030 GHG Target	260	
Gap to 2030 Target	60	
Source: CARB 2017b.		

Table 7	2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan Emissions Reductions Gap
---------	---

Table 8, 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan Emissions Change by Sector, provides estimated GHG emissions by sector at 1990 levels, and the range of emissions for each sector estimated for 2030. The following sectors would be applicable to the proposed project: residential and commercial, electric power, recycling and waste, and transportation.

Scoping Plan Sector	1990 MMTCO₂e	2030 Proposed Plan Ranges MMTCO₂e	% Change from 1990
Agricultural	26	24-25	-8% to -4%
Residential and Commercial	44	38-40	-14% to -9%
Electric Power	108	30-53	-72% to -51%
High GWP	3	8-11	267% to 367%
Industrial	98	83-90	-15% to -8%
Recycling and Waste	7	8-9	14% to 29%
Transportation (including TCU)	152	103-111	-32% to -27%
Net Sink ¹	-7	TBD	TBD
Sub Total	431	294-339	-32% to -21%
Cap-and-Trade Program	NA	24-79	NA
Total	431	260	-40%

 Table 8
 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan Emissions Change by Sector

Source: CARB 2017b.

Notes: TCU = Transportation, Communications, and Utilities; TBD: To Be Determined.

¹ Work is underway through 2017 to estimate the range of potential sequestration benefits from the natural and working lands sector.

Executive Order B-55-18

Executive Order B-55-18, signed September 10, 2018, set a goal "to achieve carbon neutrality as soon as possible, and no later than 2045, and achieve and maintain net negative emissions thereafter." Executive Order B-55-18 directs CARB to work with relevant state agencies to ensure that future Scoping Plans identify and recommend measures to achieve the carbon neutrality goal. The goal of carbon neutrality by 2045 is in addition to other statewide goals, meaning that not only should emissions be reduced to 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050, but that, by no later than 2045, the remaining emissions should be offset by equivalent net removals of CO₂e from the atmosphere, including through sequestration in forests, soils, and other natural landscapes.

2022 Climate Change Scoping Plan

CARB adopted the 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality (2022 Scoping Plan) on December 15, 2022, which lays out a path to achieve carbon neutrality by 2045 or earlier and to reduce the State's anthropogenic GHG emissions (CARB 2022). The Scoping Plan was updated to address the carbon neutrality goals of EO B-55-18 (discussed below) and the ambitious GHG reduction target as directed by AB 1279. Previous Scoping Plans focused on specific GHG reduction targets for our industrial, energy, and transportation sectors—to meet 1990 levels by 2020, and then the more aggressive 40 percent below that for the 2030 target. This plan expands upon earlier Scoping Plans with a target of reducing anthropogenic emissions to 85 percent below 1990 levels by 2045. Carbon neutrality takes it one step further by expanding actions to capture and store carbon including through natural and working lands and mechanical technologies, while drastically reducing anthropogenic sources of carbon pollution at the same time.

The path forward was informed by the recent Sixth Assessment Report (AR6) of the IPCC and the measures would achieve 85 percent below 1990 levels by 2045 in accordance AB 1279. CARB's 2022 Scoping Plan identifies strategies as shown in Table 9, *Priority Strategies for Local Government Climate Action Plans*, that would be most impactful at the local level for ensuring substantial process towards the State's carbon neutrality goals (see Table 4.8-4, *Priority Strategies for Local Government Climate Action Plans*).

Priority Area	Priority Strategies
Transportation Electrification	Convert local government fleets to zero-emission vehicles (ZEV) and provide EV charging at public sites.
	Create a jurisdiction-specific ZEV ecosystem to support deployment of ZEVs statewide (such as building standards that exceed state building codes, permit streamlining, infrastructure siting, consumer education, preferential parking policies, and ZEV readiness plans).
	Reduce or eliminate minimum parking standards.
VMT Reduction	Implement Complete Streets policies and investments, consistent with general plan circulation element requirements.
	Increase access to public transit by increasing density of development near transit, improving transit service by increasing service frequency, creating bus priority lanes, reducing or eliminating fares, microtransit, etc.
	Increase public access to clean mobility options by planning for and investing in electric shuttles, bike share, car share, and walking.
	Implement parking pricing or transportation demand management pricing strategies.
	Amend zoning or development codes to enable mixed-use, walkable, transit-oriented, and compact infill development (such as increasing allowable density of the neighborhood).
	Preserve natural and working lands by implementing land use policies that guide development toward infill areas and do not convert "greenfield" land to urban uses (e.g., green belts, strategic conservation easements)
Building Decarbonization	Adopt all-electric new construction reach codes for residential and commercial uses.
	Adopt policies and incentive programs to implement energy efficiency retrofits for existing buildings, such as weatherization, lighting upgrades, and replacing energy-intensive appliances and equipment with more efficient systems (such as Energy Star-rated equipment and equipment controllers).
	Adopt policies and incentive programs to electrify all appliances and equipment in existing buildings such as appliance rebates, existing building reach codes, or time of sale electrification ordinances

 Table 9
 Priority Strategies for Local Government Climate Action Plans

Priority Area	Priority Strategies	
	Facilitate deployment of renewable energy production and distribution and energy storage on privately owned land uses (e.g., permit streamlining, information sharing)	
	Deploy renewable energy production and energy storage directly in new public projects and on existing public facilities (e.g., solar photovoltaic systems on rooftops of municipal buildings and on canopies in public parking lots, battery storage systems in municipal buildings).	

Table 9 Priority Strategies for Local Government Climate Action Plans

For residential and mixed-use development projects, CARB recommends this first approach to demonstrate that these land use development projects are aligned with State climate goals based on the attributes of land use development that reduce operational GHG emissions while simultaneously advancing fair housing. Attributes that accommodate growth in a manner consistent with the GHG and equity goals of SB 32 have all the following attributes:

Transportation Electrification

 Provide EV charging infrastructure that, at a minimum, meets the most ambitious voluntary standards in the California Green Building Standards Code at the time of project approval.

VMT Reduction

- Is located on infill sites that are surrounded by existing urban uses and reuses or redevelops previously undeveloped or underutilized land that is presently served by existing utilities and essential public services (e.g., transit, streets, water, sewer).
- Does not result in the loss or conversion of the State's natural and working lands;
- Consists of transit-supportive densities (minimum of 20 residential dwelling units/acre), or is in proximity
 to existing transit stops (within a half mile), or satisfies more detailed and stringent criteria specified in the
 region's Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS);
- Reduces parking requirements by:
 - Eliminating parking requirements or including maximum allowable parking ratios (i.e., the ratio of parking spaces to residential units or square feet); or
 - Providing residential parking supply at a ratio of <1 parking space per dwelling unit; or
 - For multifamily residential development, requiring parking costs to be unbundled from costs to rent or own a residential unit.

- At least 20 percent of the units are affordable to lower-income residents;
- Result in no net loss of existing affordable units.

Building Decarbonization

 Use all electric appliances without any natural gas connections and does not use propane or other fossil fuels for space heating, water heating, or indoor cooking.

The second approach to project-level alignment with State climate goals is net zero GHG emissions, especially for new residential development. The third approach to demonstrating project-level alignment with State climate goals is to align with GHG thresholds of significance, which many local air quality management (AQMDs) and air pollution control districts (APCDs) have developed or adopted (CARB 2022).

Assembly Bill 1279

On August 31, 2022, the California Legislature passed AB 1279, which requires California to achieve net-zero GHG emissions no later than 2045 and to achieve and maintain negative GHG emissions thereafter. Additionally, AB 1279 also establishes a GHG emissions reduction goal of 85 percent below 1990 levels by 2045. CARB will be required to update the scoping plan to identify and recommend measures to achieve the net-zero and GHG emissions-reduction goals.

Senate Bill 375

In 2008, SB 375, the Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act, was adopted to connect the GHG emissions reductions targets established in the 2008 Scoping Plan for the transportation sector to local land use decisions that affect travel behavior. Its intent is to reduce GHG emissions from light-duty trucks and automobiles (excludes emissions associated with goods movement) by aligning regional long-range transportation plans, investments, and housing allocations to local land use planning to reduce VMT and vehicle trips. Specifically, SB 375 required CARB to establish GHG emissions reduction targets for each of the 18 metropolitan planning organizations (MPO).

Pursuant to the recommendations of the Regional Transportation Advisory Committee, CARB adopted per capita reduction targets for each of the MPOs rather than a total magnitude reduction target. SCAG's targets are an 8 percent per capita reduction from 2005 GHG emission levels by 2020 and a 13 percent per capita reduction from 2005 GHG emission levels by 2035 (CARB 2010). The 2020 targets are smaller than the 2035 targets because a significant portion of the built environment in 2020 is defined by decisions that have already been made. In general, the 2020 scenarios reflect that more time is needed for large land use and transportation infrastructure changes. Most of the reductions in the interim are anticipated to come from improving the efficiency of the region's transportation network. The targets would result in 3 MMTCO₂e of reductions by 2020 and 15 MMTCO₂e of reductions by 2035. Based on these reductions, the passenger vehicle target in CARB's Scoping Plan (for AB 32) would be met (CARB 2010).

2017 Update to the SB 375 Targets

CARB is required to update the targets for the MPOs every eight years. CARB adopted revised SB 375 targets for the MPOs in March 2018. The updated targets became effective in October2018. All SCSs adopted after October 1, 2018, are subject to these new targets. CARB's updated SB 375 targets for the SCAG region were an 8 percent per capita GHG reduction in 2020 from 2005 levels (unchanged from the 2010 target) and a 19 percent per capita GHG reduction in 2035 from 2005 levels (compared to the 2010 target of 13 percent) (CARB 2018).

The targets consider the need to further reduce VMT, as identified in the 2017 Scoping Plan Update (for SB 32), while balancing the need for additional and more flexible revenue sources to incentivize positive planning and action toward sustainable communities. Like the 2010 targets, the updated SB 375 targets are in units of "percent per capita" reductions in GHG emissions from automobiles and light trucks relative to 2005; this excludes reductions anticipated from implementation of state technology and fuels strategies and any potential future state strategies, such as statewide road user pricing. The proposed targets call for greater per-capita GHG emission reductions from SB 375 than are currently in place, which for 2035 translate into proposed targets that either match or exceed the emission reduction levels in the MPOs' currently adopted SCSs to achieve the SB 375 targets. CARB foresees that the additional GHG emissions reductions in 2035 may be achieved from land use changes, transportation investment, and technology strategies (CARB 2018).

Transportation Sector Specific Regulations

Assembly Bill 1493

California vehicle GHG emission standards were enacted under AB 1493 (Pavley I). Pavley I is a clean-car standard that reduces GHG emissions from new passenger vehicles (light-duty auto to medium-duty vehicles) from 2009 through 2016 and is anticipated to reduce GHG emissions from new passenger vehicles by 30 percent in 2016. California implements the Pavley I standards through a waiver granted to California by the EPA. In 2012, the EPA issued a Final Rulemaking that sets even more stringent fuel economy and GHG emissions standards for model years 2017 through 2025 light-duty vehicles. (See also the discussion on the update to the Corporate Average Fuel Economy standards at the beginning of this Section 5.5.2 under "Federal.") In January 2012, CARB approved the Advanced Clean Cars program (formerly known as Pavley II) for model years 2017 through 2025. The program combines the control of smog, soot, and GHGs with requirements for greater numbers of ZE vehicles into a single package of standards. Under California's Advanced Clean Car program, by 2025 new automobiles will emit 34 percent less GHG emissions and 75 percent less smog-forming emissions.

Executive Order S-01-07

On January 18, 2007, the state set a new LCFS for transportation fuels sold in the state. Executive Order S-01-07 sets a declining standard for GHG emissions measured in CO_{2e} gram per unit of fuel energy sold in California. The LCFS required a reduction of 2.5 percent in the carbon intensity of California's transportation fuels by 2015 and a reduction of at least 10 percent by 2020. The standard applies to refiners, blenders, producers, and importers of transportation fuels, and uses market-based mechanisms to allow these

providers to choose how they reduce emissions during the "fuel cycle" using the most economically feasible methods.

Executive Order B-16-2012

On March 23, 2012, the state identified that CARB, the California Energy Commission (CEC), the Public Utilities Commission, and other relevant agencies worked with the Plug-in Electric Vehicle Collaborative and the California Fuel Cell Partnership to establish benchmarks to accommodate ZE vehicles in major metropolitan areas, including infrastructure to support them (e.g., electric vehicle charging stations). The executive order also directed the number of ZE vehicles in California's state vehicle fleet to increase through the normal course of fleet replacement so that at least 10 percent of fleet purchases of light-duty vehicles are ZE by 2015 and at least 25 percent by 2020. The executive order also establishes a target for the transportation sector of reducing GHG emissions to 80 percent below 1990 levels.

Executive Order N-79-20

On September 23, 2020, Governor Newsom signed Executive Order N-79-20, whose goal is that 100 percent of in-state sales of new passenger cars and trucks will be ZE by 2035. Additionally, the fleet goals for trucks are that 100 percent of drayage trucks are ZE by 2035, and 100 percent of medium- and heavy-duty vehicles in the state are ZE by 2045, where feasible. The Executive Order's goal for the State is to transition to 100 percent ZE off-road vehicles and equipment by 2035, where feasible. On August 25, 2022, CARB adopted the Advanced Clean Cars II (ACC II) regulations that codifies the EO goal of 100 percent of in-state sales of new passenger vehicles and trucks be ZE by 2035. Starting in year 2026, ACC II requires that 35 percent of new vehicles sold be ZE or plug-in hybrids.

Renewables Portfolio: Carbon Neutrality Regulations

Senate Bills 1078, 107, and X1-2 and Executive Order S-14-08

A major component of California's Renewable Energy Program is the renewables portfolio standard established under Senate Bills 1078 (Sher) and 107 (Simitian). Under the RPS, certain retail sellers of electricity were required to increase the amount of renewable energy each year by at least 1 percent in order to reach at least 20 percent by December 30, 2010. Executive Order S-14-08, signed in November 2008, expanded the state's renewable energy standard to 33 percent renewable power by 2020. This standard was adopted by the legislature in 2011 (SB X1-2). Renewable sources of electricity include wind, small hydropower, solar, geothermal, biomass, and biogas. The increase in renewable sources for electricity production will decrease indirect GHG emissions from development projects because electricity production from renewable sources is generally considered carbon neutral.

Senate Bill 350

Senate Bill 350 (de Leon) was signed into law September 2015 and establishes tiered increases to the RPS—40 percent by 2024, 45 percent by 2027, and 50 percent by 2030. SB 350 also set a new goal to double the energy-efficiency savings in electricity and natural gas through energy efficiency and conservation measures.

Senate Bill 100

On September 10, 2018, Governor Brown signed SB 100. Under SB 100, the RPS for public-owned facilities and retail sellers consist of 44 percent renewable energy by 2024, 52 percent by 2027, and 60 percent by 2030. SB 100 also established a new RPS requirement of 50 percent by 2026. Furthermore, the bill establishes an overall state policy that eligible renewable energy resources and zero-carbon resources supply 100 percent of all retail sales of electricity to California end-use customers and 100 percent of electricity procured to serve all state agencies by December 31, 2045. Under the bill, the state cannot increase carbon emissions elsewhere in the western grid or allow resource shuffling to achieve the 100 percent carbon-free electricity target.

Senate Bill 1020

SB 1020 was signed into law on September 16, 2022. It requires renewable energy and zero-carbon resources to supply 90 percent of all retail electricity sales by 2035 and 95 percent by 2040. Additionally, SB 1020 requires all state agencies to procure 100 percent of electricity from renewable energy and zero-carbon resources by 2035.

Energy Efficiency Regulations

California Building Code: Building Energy Efficiency Standards

Energy conservation standards for new residential and nonresidential buildings were adopted by the California Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission (now the CEC) in June 1977 (Title 24, Part 6, of the California Code of Regulations [CCR]). Title 24 requires the design of building shells and building components to conserve energy. The standards are updated periodically to allow for consideration and possible incorporation of new energy efficiency technologies and methods. The 2019 Building Energy Efficiency Standards were adopted on May 9, 2018, and went into effect on January 1, 2020.

The 2019 standards move toward cutting energy use in new homes by more than 50 percent and require installation of solar photovoltaic systems for single-family homes and multifamily buildings of three stories and less. The 2019 standards focus on four key areas: 1) smart residential photovoltaic systems; 2) updated thermal envelope standards (preventing heat transfer from the interior to exterior and vice versa); 3) residential and nonresidential ventilation requirements; 4) and nonresidential lighting requirements (CEC 2018a). Under the 2019 standards, nonresidential buildings are 30 percent more energy efficient than under the 2016 standards, and single-family homes are 7 percent more energy efficient (CEC 2018b). When accounting for the electricity generated by the solar photovoltaic system, single-family homes would use 53 percent less energy compared to homes built to the 2016 standards (CEC 2018a).

Furthermore, on August 11, 2021, the CEC adopted the 2022 Building Energy Efficiency Standards, which were subsequently approved by the California Building Standards Commission in December 2021. The 2022 standards become effective and replace the existing 2019 standards on January 1, 2023. The 2022 standards would require mixed-fuel single-family homes to be electric-ready to accommodate replacement of gas appliances with electric appliances. In addition, the new standards also include prescriptive photovoltaic system and battery requirements for high-rise, multifamily buildings (i.e., more than three stories) and noncommercial

buildings such as hotels, offices, medical offices, restaurants, retail stores, schools, warehouses, theaters, and convention centers (CEC 2021).

California Building Code: CALGreen

On July 17, 2008, the California Building Standards Commission adopted the nation's first green building standards. The California Green Building Standards Code (24 CCR, Part 11, known as "CALGreen") was adopted as part of the California Building Standards Code. CALGreen established planning and design standards for sustainable site development, energy efficiency (in excess of the California Energy Code requirements), water conservation, material conservation, and internal air contaminants.⁶ The mandatory provisions of CALGreen became effective January 1, 2011, and were last updated in 2019. The 2019 CALGreen standards became effective January 1, 2022 standards become effective and replace the existing 2019 standards on January 1, 2023.

Section 5.408 of CALGreen also requires that at least 65 percent of the nonhazardous construction and demolition waste from nonresidential construction operations be recycled and/or salvaged for reuse.

2006 Appliance Efficiency Regulations

The 2006 Appliance Efficiency Regulations (20 CCR §§ 1601–1608) were adopted by the CEC on October 11, 2006, and approved by the California Office of Administrative Law on December 14, 2006. The regulations include standards for both federally regulated appliances and non–federally regulated appliances. Though these regulations are now often viewed as "business as usual," they exceed the standards imposed by all other states, and they reduce GHG emissions by reducing energy demand.

Solid Waste Diversion Regulations

AB 939: Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989

California's Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939, Public Resources Code §§ 40050 et seq.) set a requirement for cities and counties throughout the state to divert 50 percent of all solid waste from landfills by January 1, 2000, through source reduction, recycling, and composting. In 2008, the requirements were modified to reflect a per capita requirement rather than tonnage. To help achieve this, the act requires that each city and county prepare and submit a source reduction and recycling element. AB 939 also established the goal for all California counties to provide at least 15 years of ongoing landfill capacity.

AB 341

AB 341 (Chapter 476, Statutes of 2011) increased the statewide goal for waste diversion to 75 percent by 2020 and requires recycling of waste from commercial and multifamily residential land uses. Section 5.408 of CALGreen also requires that at least 65 percent of the nonhazardous construction and demolition waste from nonresidential construction operations be recycled and/or salvaged for reuse.

⁶ The green building standards became mandatory in the 2010 edition of the code.

AB 1327

The California Solid Waste Reuse and Recycling Access Act (AB 1327, Public Resources Code §§ 42900 et seq.) requires areas to be set aside for collecting and loading recyclable materials in development projects. The act required the California Integrated Waste Management Board to develop a model ordinance for adoption by any local agency requiring adequate areas for collection and loading of recyclable materials as part of development projects. Local agencies are required to adopt the model or an ordinance of their own.

AB 1826

In October of 2014, Governor Brown signed AB 1826 requiring businesses to recycle their organic waste on and after April 1, 2016, depending on the amount of waste they generate per week. This law also requires that on and after January 1, 2016, local jurisdictions across the state implement an organic waste recycling program to divert organic waste generated by businesses and multifamily residential dwellings with five or more units. Organic waste means food waste, green waste, landscape and pruning waste, nonhazardous wood waste, and food-soiled paper waste that is mixed with food waste.

Water Efficiency Regulations

SBX7-7

The 20x2020 Water Conservation Plan was issued by the Department of Water Resources (DWR) in 2010 pursuant to Senate Bill 7, which was adopted during the 7th Extraordinary Session of 2009–2010 and therefore dubbed "SBX7-7." SBX7-7 mandated urban water conservation and authorized the DWR to prepare a plan implementing urban water conservation requirements (20x2020 Water Conservation Plan). In addition, it required agricultural water providers to prepare agricultural water management plans, measure water deliveries to customers, and implement other efficiency measures. SBX7-7 required urban water providers to adopt a water conservation target of 20 percent reduction in urban per capita water use by 2020 compared to 2005 baseline use.

AB 1881: Water Conservation in Landscaping Act

The Water Conservation in Landscaping Act of 2006 (AB 1881) requires local agencies to adopt the updated DWR model ordinance or an equivalent. AB 1881 also requires the CEC to consult with the DWR to adopt, by regulation, performance standards and labeling requirements for landscape irrigation equipment, including irrigation controllers, moisture sensors, emission devices, and valves to reduce the wasteful, uneconomic, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy or water.

Short-Lived Climate Pollutant Reduction Strategy

Senate Bill 1383

On September 19, 2016, the governor signed SB 1383 to supplement the GHG reduction strategies in the Scoping Plan to consider short-lived climate pollutants, including black carbon and methane. Black carbon is the light-absorbing component of fine particulate matter produced during incomplete combustion of fuels. SB 1383 required the state board, no later than January 1, 2018, to approve and begin implementing that comprehensive strategy to reduce emissions of short-lived climate pollutants—to reduce methane by 40

percent, hydrofluorocarbon gases by 40 percent, and anthropogenic black carbon by 50 percent below 2013 levels by 2030. The bill also established targets for reducing organic waste in landfills, which includes a 50 percent reduction in statewide organic waste disposal from 2014 levels by 2020 and a 75 percent reduction from 2014 levels by 2025. Under SB 1383, jurisdictions are required to implement organic waste collection services for all residents and businesses by January 1, 2022. On March 14, 2017, CARB adopted the "Final Proposed Short-Lived Climate Pollutant Reduction Strategy," which identifies the state's approach to reducing anthropogenic and biogenic sources of short-lived climate pollutants. Anthropogenic sources of black carbon include on- and off-road transportation, residential wood burning, fuel combustion (charbroiling), and industrial processes. According to CARB, ambient levels of black carbon in California are 90 percent lower than in the early 1960s despite the tripling of diesel fuel use (CARB 2017b). In-use on-road rules were expected to reduce black carbon emissions from on-road sources by 80 percent between 2000 and 2020.

Regional Regulations

Thresholds of Significance

The CEQA Guidelines recommend that a lead agency consider the following when assessing the significance of impacts from GHG emissions on the environment:

- 1. The extent to which the project may increase (or reduce) GHG emissions as compared to the existing environmental setting;
- 2. Whether the project emissions exceed a threshold of significance that the lead agency determines applies to the project;
- 3. The extent to which the project complies with regulations or requirements adopted to implement an adopted statewide, regional, or local plan for the reduction or mitigation of GHG emissions.⁷

SACRAMENTO METROPOLITAN AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

SMAQMD has created a tiered approach in evaluating operation-related GHG emissions impacts (SMAQMD 2021). Per its CEQA Guide, a project may be evaluated for consistency with a qualified CAP. If a project is determined to be consistent with the qualified CAP, it is considered to result in a less than significant GHG emissions impact. However, if a project is not consistent with an applicable qualified CAP, or there is no existing applicable qualified CAP, a project may be evaluated against the GHG operational screening levels. The screening levels represent the size of development that would not result in generating operation emissions exceeding 1,100 MTCO₂e/yr. If a project does not exceed the screening levels or generate emissions less than

⁷ The Governor's Office of Planning and Research recommendations include a requirement that such a plan must be adopted through a public review process and include specific requirements that reduce or mitigate the project's incremental contribution of GHG emissions. If there is substantial evidence that the possible effects of a particular project are still cumulatively considerable, notwithstanding compliance with the adopted regulations or requirements, an EIR must be prepared for the project.

or equal to 1,100 MTCO₂e/yr and implements the Tier 1 GHG Best Management Practices (BMP), it is determined to result in a less than significant GHG emissions impact. The Tier 1 BMPs prohibit use of natural gas and require a project to be designed and constructed without natural gas infrastructure (BMP 1) and require a project to meet the current CALGreen Tier 2 electric vehicle ready standards (BMP 2). If a project exceeds 1,100 MTCO₂e/yr with the Tier 1 BMPs, it would be required to incorporate the Tier 2 BMPs, which consists of BMP 3. A project would meet BMP 3 requirements if it reduces its VMT by 15 percent for residential and/or worker compared to the existing average VMT per capita in the county. Additionally, if applicable, the retail component of a project must achieve a no net increase in GHG production.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD). 2017, May. California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Guidelines. https://www.baaqmd.gov/~/media/files/planning-andresearch/ceqa_guidelines_may2017-pdf.pdf?la=en.
- California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA). 2022. California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod). Version 2022.1.0. Prepared by: ICF in collaboration with Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District.
- California Air Resources Board (CARB). 1998, April 22. The Report on Diesel Exhaust. http://www.arb.ca.gov/toxics/dieseltac/de-fnds.htm.
- ———. 1999. California Air Resources Board (CARB). Final Staff Report: Update to the Toxic Air Contaminant List. https://www.arb.ca.gov/toxics/finalreport.pdf
- ———. 2010, September. Staff Report Proposed Regional Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction Targets for Automobiles and Light Trucks Pursuant to Senate Bill 375. https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/board/res/2010/res10-31.pdf.
- ———. 2016, October 1. Ambient Air Quality Standards. https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-07/aaqs2.pdf.
- ———. 2017a, March 14. Final Proposed Short-Lived Climate Pollutant Reduction Strategy. https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2018-12/final_slcp_report%20Final%202017.pdf.
- 2017b, November. California's 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan: The Strategy for Achieving California's 2030 Greenhouse Gas Target. https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/scopingplan/scoping_plan_2017.pdf.
- ——. 2018, February. Proposed Update to the SB 375 Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction Targets. https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/sb375/sb375_target_update_final_staff_report_feb2018.pdf.
- ——. 2021, July 28. California Greenhouse Gas 2000-2019 Emissions Trends and Indicators Report. https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/pubs/reports/2000_2019/ghg_inventory_trends_00-19.pdf.
- . 2022. 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality, https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-12/2022-sp.pdf, accessed December 7, 2022.
- ——. 2023a, January 3 (accessed). Maps of State and Federal Area Designations. https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/maps-state-and-federal-area-designations.
- _____. 2023b, January 3 (accessed). Air Pollution Data Monitoring Cards (2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, and 2021). https://www.arb.ca.gov/adam/topfour/topfour1.php

- California Climate Action Team (CAT). 2006, March. Climate Action Team Report to Governor Schwarzenegger and the Legislature.
- California Energy Commission (CEC). 2006. Our Changing Climate: Assessing the Risks to California. 2006 Biennial Report. CEC-500-2006-077.
- ———. 2009, May. The Future Is Now: An Update on Climate Change Science, Impacts, and Response Options for California. CEC-500-2008-0077.
- ———. 2018a. News Release: Energy Commission Adopts Standards Requiring Solar Systems for New Homes, First in Nation. https://www.energy.ca.gov/news/2018-05/energy-commission-adoptsstandards-requiring-solar-systems-new-homes-first.
- ———.2018b. 2019 Building Energy and Efficiency Standards Frequently Asked Questions. https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-03/Title_24_2019_Building_Standards_FAQ_ada.pdf.
- ———. 2021, May 19. Amendments to the Building Energy Efficiency Standards (2022 Energy Code) Draft Environmental Report. CEC-400-2021-077-D.
- California Climate Change Center (CCCC). 2012, July. Our Changing Climate 2012: Vulnerability and Adaptation to the Increasing Risks from Climate Change in California.
- California Natural Resources Agency (CNRA). 2014, July. Safeguarding California: Reducing Climate Risk: An Update to the 2009 California Climate Adaptation Strategy. https://resources.ca.gov/CNRALegacyFiles/docs/climate/Final_Safeguarding_CA_Plan_July_31_2 014.pdf.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). 1995. Second Assessment Report: Climate Change 1995. https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/ipcc_sar_wg_I_full_report.pdf.
- ———. 2001. Third Assessment Report: Climate Change 2001. New York: Cambridge University Press. https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/03/WGI_TAR_full_report.pdf.
 - 2007. Fourth Assessment Report: Climate Change 2007. New York: Cambridge University Press. https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/ar4_syr_full_report.pdf.
 - —. 2013. Fifth Assessment Report: Climate Change 2013. New York: Cambridge University Press. https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/WG1AR5_all_final.pdf.
- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA). 2022, April 1. USDOT Announces New Vehicle Fuel Economy Standards for Model year 2024-2026. https://www.nhtsa.gov/press-releases/usdot-announces-new-vehicle-fuel-economy-standards-model-year-2024-2026.
- Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA). 2015, February. Air Toxics Hot Spots Program, Risk Assessment Guidelines: Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk

Assessments, https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/crnr/2015guidancemanual.pdf, accessed December 20, 2022.

- ———. 2018, May. Indicators of Climate Change in California. https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/climatechange/report/2018caindicatorsreportmay2018.pdf.
- Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (SMAQMD). 2009. Guide to Air Quality Assessments in Sacramento County (CEQA Guide), https://www.airquality.org/businesses/ceqaland-use-planning/ceqa-guidance-tools, accessed December 20, 2022.
 - ———. 2016. CEQA Guide Chapter 7: Odors, https://www.airquality.org/LandUseTransportation/Documents/Ch7Odors%20FINAL6-2016.pdf, accessed January 3, 2023.
 - 2017. Federal Planning, https://www.airquality.org/residents/air-quality-plans/federal-planning#:~:text=standard%20through%202033. ,Carbon%20Monoxide,hour%20standard%20of%209%20ppm., accessed January 3, 2023.
 - —. 2020a. CEQA Guide Chapter 2: Environmental Review and Thresholds, https://www.airquality.org/LandUseTransportation/Documents/Ch2EnvRevThresholds4-25-2020.pdf, accessed January 3, 2023.
 - ——. 2020b, October. Guidance to Address the Friant Ranch Ruling for CEQA Projects in the Sac Metro Air District. https://www.airquality.org/LandUseTransportation/Documents/SMAQMDFriant RanchFinalOct2020.pdf
 - ——. 2020c, CEQA Guide Chapter 1: Introduction, https://www.airquality.org/LandUseTransportation/Documents/Ch1IntroAq4-25-2020.pdf, accessed January 3, 2023.
 - —. 2020d, CEQA Guide SMAQMD Thresholds of Significance Table, https://www.airquality.org/LandUseTransportation/Documents/CH2ThresholdsTable4-2020.pdf accessed January 3, 2023.
 - ——. 2020e. CEQA Guide Chapter 5: Toxic Air Contaminants, https://www.airquality.org/LandUseTransportation/Documents/Ch5TAC4-2020.pdf, accessed December 19, 2022.
 - ———. 2021. CEQA Guide Chapter 6: Greenhouse Gases, https://www.airquality.org/LandUseTransportation/Documents/Ch6GHG2-26-2021.pdf, accessed December 19, 2022.
- US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2009, December. EPA: Greenhouse Gases Threaten Public Health and the Environment. Science overwhelmingly shows greenhouse gas concentrations at

unprecedented levels due to human activity.

https://archive.epa.gov/epapages/newsroom_archive/newsreleases/08d11a451131bca58525768500 5bf252.html.

-. 2022, October 21 (accessed). Overview of Greenhouse Gases. http://www3.epa.gov/climatechange/ghgemissions/gases.html. **Assumptions Worksheet**

CalEEMod Inputs - Kimble-Chavez Elementary School Project, Construction P1

Name:	Kimble-Chavez Elementary School Project, Construction		
Land Use Scale:	Project/site		
Land Use Subtypes:	Educational Elementary School		
Project Location:	7495 29th Street		
County:	Sacramento		
Land Use Setting:	Suburban		
TAZ:	773		
Operational Year:	2023		
Electric Utility:	Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD)		
Gas Utility:	Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E)		
Air Basin:	Sacramento Valley		
Air District:	Sacramento Metropolitant AQMD		

Proiect Site Acreage	5.50
Disturbed Site Acreage	4.00

Project Components				
Demolition	Building Square Feet (SQFT)	Tons		
Building Demolition	9,600	442		
Asphalt Demolition	43,000	637		
New Construction	Building Square Feet (SQFT)	Building Footprint (BSF)	Acres	Stories/Levels
Temporary Buildings	31,600	31,600	0.73	1
Other Land Uses	SQFT	Building Footprint	Acres	Number of Stalls
Parking Lot	1,000	NA	0.02	2
Total Non-Parking Asphalt	139,140	NA	3.19	
Total Hardscape	2,500	NA	0.06	

CalEEMod Land Use Inputs

					Building Square	Landscape Area	Special Landscape
Land Use Type	Land Use Subtype	Size Metric	Size	Lot Acreage	Feet	Square Feet	Area Square Feet
Educational	Elementary School	1000 sqft	31.60	0.73	31,600	0	0
Parking	Parking Lot	1000 sqft	1.00	0.02	1,000	0	0
Parking	Other Asphalt Surfaces	1000 sqft	139.14	3.19	139,140	0	0
Parking	Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	1000 sqft	2.50	0.06	2,500	0	0
				4.00	174240	0	0

	Amount to be Demolished		Haul Distance			
Component	(Tons)	Haul Truck Capacity (Tons) ¹	(miles) ¹	Total Trip Ends	Duration (days)	Trip Er
Building Demolition Debris Haul	442	20	20	46	5	(
Asphalt Demolition Debris Haul	637	20	20	64	5	1
Total	1,079			110		2
Notes:						
1	CalEEMod default used.					
Architectural Coating ¹						
	Non-Residential					
Interior Painted (%):	100%					
Exterior Painted (%):	100%]				
SMAQMD Rule 1113	< 50 flat / <u><</u> 100 nonflat					
CalEEMod Default						
Interior Paint VOC content:		1				
Exterior Paint VOC content:	75					
Notes:		-				
1	CalEEMod default used.					
			Total Paintable		Paintable Exterior	
Structures	Land Use Square Feet	CalEEMod Factor ¹	Surface Area	Paintable Interior Area ²	Area ²	

Land Use Square Feet	CalEEMod Factor ¹	Surface Area	Paintable Interior Area ²	Area ²
31,600	2.0	63,200	0	0
			0	0
1,000			-	8,558
	31,600	31,600 2.0	31,600 2.0 63,200	31,600 2.0 63,200 0 0

Notes:

¹ CalEEMod assumes the total surface for painting equals 2.0 times the floor square footage for non-residential use.

² CalEEMod methodology calculates the paintable interior and exterior areas by multiplying the total paintable surface area by 75 and 25 percent, respectively. However, prefabricated buildings typically do not require painting on the interior or exterior.

³ Architectural coatings for the parking lot is based on CalEEMod default.

CalEEMod Construction Measures/Required Basic Construction Emission Control Practices (BMPs)

C-10-A	Water Exposed Surfaces	Frequency per day:	2	
		PM10:	55	% Reduction
		PM2.5:	55	% Reduction
	Limit Vehicle Speeds on			
C-11	Unpaved Roads	Miles per hour speed limit:	25	
		PM10:	44	% Reduction
		PM25:	44	% Reduction
C-12	Sweep Paved Roads	PM10:	9	% Reduction
		PM25:	9	% Reduction

SMUD Carbon Intensity Factors

	lbs/MWH
CO ₂ : ¹	327.00
CH_4 : ¹	0.0129
N_2O ¹	0.0017
Notes:	

¹ CalEEMod default values.

Demo Haul Trip Calculation P1

Source: CalEEMod User's Guide Version 2022.1, Appendix C

Conversion factors

0.046 ton/SF 1.2641662 tons/cy 20 tons 15.82070459 CY 0.791035229 CY/ton

Building	BSF Demo	Tons/SF	Tons ¹	Haul Truck (CY)	Haul Truck (Ton) ²	Round Trips	Total Trip Ends
Combined Building Demo	9,600	0.046	442	16	20	22	44

Notes:

¹ Tonnage of building demolition debris to be hauled offsite provided by Applicant.

² CalEEMod default haul truck capacity used.

Pavement Volume to Weight Conversion P1

					Weight of		
			Assumed		Crushed		
		Total SF of	Thickness	Debris Volume	Asphalt	AC Mass	
_	Component	Area ¹	(foot) ²	(cu. ft)	(lbs/cf) ³	(lbs)	AC Mass (tons)
	Asphalt Demo	43,000	0.333	14,333	89	1,274,074	637.04

¹ Based on information provided by applicant.

² Pavements and Surface Materials. Nonpoint Education for Municipal Officials, Technical Paper Number 8. University of Connecticut Cooperative Extension System, 1999.

³ https://www.calrecycle.ca.gov/swfacilities/cdi/Tools/Calculations

Construction Activities and Schedule Assumptions: Kimble-Chavez Elementary School Project P1

*based on overall construction duration provided by the Applicant

Construction Activities	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	CalEEMod Duration (Workday)			
Demolition	Demolition	6/1/2023	6/29/2023	21			
Site Preparation	Site Preparation	6/30/2023	7/7/2023	6			
Rough Grading	Rough Grading	7/8/2023	7/19/2023	8			
Building Construction	Building Construction	7/20/2023	6/6/2024	231			
Asphalt Paving	Paving	5/14/2024	6/6/2024	18			
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	5/14/2024	6/6/2024	18			

Default Construction Schedule

Normalization Calculations

CalEEMod Default Duration		Constructio	Construction Duration		
6/1/2023	6/6/2024	6/1/2023	9/1/2023		
days of construction	371	days of construction	92		
years of construction	1.02	years of construction	0		
months of construction	12.20	months of construction	3		

Normalization Factor: 0.25

P3 I	ation 6/8/2023 6/9/2023 2			
Construction Activities	Start Data	End Data		
Demolition			5	
Site Preparation	6/8/2023	6/9/2023	2	
Rough Grading	6/10/2023	6/13/2023	2	
Building Construction	6/14/2023	9/1/2023	58	
Asphalt Paving	8/28/2023	9/1/2023	5	
Architectural Coating	8/28/2023	9/1/2023	5	

Overlapping Construction Schedule (CalEEMod)						
Construction Activities	Start Date	End Date	CalEEMod Duration (Workday)			
Demolition	6/1/2023	6/7/2023	5			
Site Preparation	6/8/2023	6/9/2023	2			
Rough Grading	6/10/2023	6/13/2023	2			
Building Construction	6/14/2023	8/29/2023	55			
Building Construction, Asphalt Paving, and						
Architectural Coating	8/30/2023	9/1/2023	3			

CalEEMod Construction Off-Road Equipment Inputs P1

*Used CalEEMod default equipment.

General Construction Hours: Water Truck Vendor Trin Calculation Mon-Fri and 8:00 AM to 7:00 PM (with 1 hr break)

water Truck Vendor Trip Calculation	
	Water Truck
	Capacity
Amount of Water (gal/acre/day) ¹	(gallons) ²
10,000	4,000

Notes:

¹ Based on data provided in Guidance for Application for Dust Control Permit

Maricopa County Air Quality Department. 2005, June. Guidance for Application of Dust Control Permit.

https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2019-04/documents/mr_guidanceforapplicationfordustcontrolpermit.pdf)

² Based on standard water truck capacity:

McLellan Industries. 2022, January (access). Water Trucks. https://www.mclellanindustries.com/trucks/water-trucks/

³ Assumes that dozers, tractors/loaders/backhoes, and graders can disturb 0.50 acres per day and scrapers can disturb 1 acre per day.

Construction Equipment Details							
CalEEMod Equipment	# of Equipment	hr/day	hp	load factor	total trips/Day		
Demolition							
Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	8	33	0.73			
Rubber Tired Dozers	2	8	367	0.4			
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	8	84	0.37			
Worker Trips/Day					15		
Vendor Trips					0		
Hauling Trips (TOTAL TRIPS)					22		
Water Trucks		Acres Disturbed:	2.5		14		
Site Preparation							
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	4	8	84	0.37			
Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8	367	0.4			
Worker Trips/Day					18		
Vendor Trips					0		
Hauling Trips (TOTAL TRIPS)					0		
Water Trucks		Acres Disturbed:	3.50		18		
Rough Grading							
Graders	1	8	148	0.41			
Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8	367	0.4			
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	8	84	0.37			
Excavators	1	8	36	0.38			
Worker Trips					15		
Vendor Trips					0		
Hauling Trips (TOTAL TRIPS)					0		
Water Trucks		Acres Disturbed:	2.50		14		

Building Construction 2023 ¹								
Forklifts	3	8	82	0.2				
Worker Trips	Worker Trips							
Vendor Trips					5			
Hauling Trips (TOTAL TRIPS)					0			
Asphalt Paving								
Cement and Mortar Mixers	2	6	10	0.56				
Pavers	1	8	81	0.42				
Rollers	2	6	36	0.38				
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	8	84	0.37				
Paving Equipment	2	6	89	0.36				
Worker Trips					20			
Vendor Trips					0			
Hauling Trips (TOTAL TRIPS)					0			
Architectural Coating								
Air Compressors	1	6	37	0.48				
Worker Trips	Worker Trips							
Vendor Trips	Vendor Trips							
Hauling Trips (TOTAL TRIPS)					0			

Notes:

¹ Prefabricated buildings would only require forklifts for placement on campus.

Construction Trips Worksheet P1

Worker Trip Ends Vendor Trip Ends Total Haul Truck

Phase Name	Per Day	Per Day	Trip Ends	Start Date	End Date	Workdays
Demolition	15	14	22	6/1/2023	6/7/2023	5
Site Preparation	18	18	0	6/8/2023	6/9/2023	2
Rough Grading	15	14	0	6/10/2023	6/13/2023	2
Building Construction	13	5	0	6/14/2023	9/1/2023	58
Asphalt Paving	20	0	0	8/28/2023	9/1/2023	5
Architectural Coating	3	0	0	8/28/2023	9/1/2023	5

	Worker Trip Ends	Vendor Trip Ends	Total Trip Ends			
Construction Activity (Overlapping)	Per Day	Per Day	Per Day	Start Date	End Date	Workdays
Demolition	15	14	22	6/1/2023	6/7/2023	5
Site Preparation	18	18	0	6/8/2023	6/9/2023	2
Rough Grading	15	14	0	6/10/2023	6/13/2023	2
Building Construction	13	5	0	6/14/2023	8/29/2023	55
Building Construction, Asphalt Paving, and Architectural Coating	36	5	0	8/30/2023	9/1/2023	3
Maximum Daily Trips	36	18	0			

CalEEMod Inputs - Kimble-Chavez Elementary School Project, Construction P2 (referred to as P2.1 in DEIR)

Name:	Kimble-Chavez Elementary School Project, Construction
Land Use Scale:	Project/site
Land Use Subtypes:	Educational Elementary School
Project Location:	7495 29th Street
County:	Sacramento
Land Use Setting:	Suburban
TAZ:	773
Operational Year:	2023
Electric Utility:	Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD)
Gas Utility:	Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E)
Air Basin:	Sacramento Valley
Air District:	Sacramento Metropolitant AQMD

Proiect Site Acreage	10.00
Disturbed Site Acreage	4.00

Project Components				
New Construction	Building Square Feet (SQFT)	Building Footprint (BSF)	Acres	Stories/Levels
Admin/Library Building	7,935	7,935	0.18	1
Classroom Building	48,940	24,470	0.56	2
Multi-Purpose Building (Gym/Stage/Kitchen)	12,470	12,470	0.29	1
Other Land Uses	SQFT	Building Footprint	Acres	Number of Stalls
Parking Lot	15,000	NA	0.34	15
Total Hardscape	114,365	NA	2.63	

CalEEMod Land Use Inputs

					Building Square	Landscape Area	Special Landscape
Land Use Type	Land Use Subtype	Size Metric	Size	Lot Acreage	Feet	Square Feet	Area Square Feet
Educational	Elementary School	1000 sqft	69.35	1.03	69,345	0	0
Parking	Parking Lot	1000 sqft	15.00	0.34	15,000	0	0
Parking	Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	1000 sqft	114.37	2.63	114,365	0	0
				4.00	198710	0	0

Architectural Coating¹

Non-Residential
100%
100%

SMAQMD Rule 1113	< 50 flat / <u><</u> 100 nonflat
CalEEMod Default	grams/liter
Interior Paint VOC content:	75
Exterior Paint VOC content:	75
Notes:	

¹ CalEEMod default used.

			Total Paintable		Paintable Exterior
Structures	Land Use Square Feet	CalEEMod Factor ¹	Surface Area	Paintable Interior Area ²	Area ²
Residential Structures					
Educational	69,345	2.0	138,690	104,018	34,673
				104,018	34,673
Parking ³					
Parking Lot (Striping)	15,000			-	7,762

Notes:

¹ CalEEMod assumes the total surface for painting equals 2.0 times the floor square footage for non-residential use.

² CalEEMod methodology calculates the paintable interior and exterior areas by multiplying the total paintable surface area by 75 and 25 percent, respectively.

³ Architectural coatings for the parking lot is based on CalEEMod default.

CalEEMod Construction Measures/Required Basic Construction Emission Control Practices (BMPs)

C-10-A	Water Exposed Surfaces	Frequency per day:	2	
		PM10:	55	% Reduction
		PM2.5:	55	% Reduction
	Limit Vehicle Speeds on			
C-11	Unpaved Roads	Miles per hour speed limit:	25	
		PM10:	44	% Reduction
		PM25:	44	% Reduction
C-12	Sweep Paved Roads	PM10:	9	% Reduction
		PM25:	9	% Reduction
SMUD Carbon Intensity Factors				

	lbs/MWH	
CO ₂ : ¹	295.00	
CH ₄ : ¹	0.0129	
N ₂ O: ¹	0.0017	

Notes:

¹ CalEEMod default values.

Construction Activities and Schedule Assumptions: Kimble-Chavez Elementary School Project P2

*based on overall construction duration provided by the Applicant

Delault Construction Schedule						
Construction Activities	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	CalEEMod Duration (Workday)		
Demolition	Demolition	9/1/2023	9/29/2023	21		
Site Preparation	Site Preparation	9/30/2023	10/7/2023	5		
Rough Grading	Rough Grading	10/8/2023	10/19/2023	9		
Building Construction	Building Construction	10/20/2023	9/6/2024	231		
Asphalt Paving	Paving	8/14/2024	9/6/2024	18		
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	8/14/2024	9/6/2024	18		

Default Construction Schedule

Normalization Calculations

CalEEMod Default Duration		Construction Duration		
9/1/2023	9/6/2024	9/1/2023	6/1/2025	
days of construction	371	days of construction	639	
years of construction	1.02	years of construction	2	
months of construction	12.20	months of construction	21	

Normalization Factor: 1.72

P2 New Construction Schedule (CalEEMod)						
Construction Activities	Start Date	End Date	CalEEMod Duration (Workday)			
Site Preparation	9/1/2023	11/2/2023	45			
Rough Grading	11/3/2023	11/25/2023	16			
Building Construction	11/26/2023	6/1/2025	395			
Asphalt Paving	5/7/2025	6/1/2025	18			
Architectural Coating	5/7/2025	6/1/2025	18			

Overlapping Construction Schedule (CalEEMod)						
Construction Activities	Start Date	End Date	CalEEMod Duration (Workday)			
Site Preparation	9/1/2023	11/2/2023	45			
Rough Grading	11/3/2023	11/25/2023	16			
Building Construction	11/26/2023	5/6/2025	377			
Building Construction, Asphalt Paving, and						
Architectural Coating	5/7/2025	6/1/2025	18			

CalEEMod Construction Off-Road Equipment Inputs P2

*Used CalEEMod default equipment.

General Construction Hours: Water Truck Vendor Trip Calculation Mon-Fri and 8:00 AM to 7:00 PM (with 1 hr break)

water Truck Vendor Trip Calculation	
	Water Truck
	Capacity
Amount of Water (gal/acre/day) ¹	(gallons) ²
10,000	4,000

Notes:

¹ Based on data provided in Guidance for Application for Dust Control Permit

Maricopa County Air Quality Department. 2005, June. Guidance for Application of Dust Control Permit.

https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2019-04/documents/mr_guidanceforapplicationfordustcontrolpermit.pdf)

² Based on standard water truck capacity:

McLellan Industries. 2022, January (access). Water Trucks. https://www.mclellanindustries.com/trucks/water-trucks/

³ Assumes that dozers, tractors/loaders/backhoes, and graders can disturb 0.50 acres per day and scrapers can disturb 1 acre per day.

Construction Equipment Details					
CalEEMod Equipment	# of Equipment	hr/day	hp	load factor	total trips/Day
Site Preparation					
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	4	8	84	0.37	
Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8	367	0.4	
Worker Trips/Day					18
Vendor Trips					0
Hauling Trips (TOTAL TRIPS)					0
Water Trucks		Acres Disturbed:	3.50		18
Rough Grading					
Graders	1	8	148	0.41	
Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8	367	0.4	
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	8	84	0.37	
Excavators	1	8	36	0.38	
Worker Trips					15
Vendor Trips					0
Hauling Trips (TOTAL TRIPS)	Hauling Trips (TOTAL TRIPS)				
Water Trucks		Acres Disturbed:	2.50		14

Building Construction 2023/2024/2025					
Cranes	1	7	367	0.29	
Forklifts	3	8	82	0.2	
Generator Sets	1	8	14	0.74	
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	7	84	0.37	
Welders	1	8	46	0.45	
Worker Trips					29
Vendor Trips					11
Hauling Trips (TOTAL TRIPS)					0
Asphalt Paving					
Cement and Mortar Mixers	2	6	10	0.56	
Pavers	1	8	81	0.42	
Paving Equipment	2	6	89	0.36	
Rollers	2	6	36	0.38	
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	8	84	0.37	
Worker Trips	-				20
Vendor Trips					0
Hauling Trips (TOTAL TRIPS)	Hauling Trips (TOTAL TRIPS)				
Architectural Coating					
Air Compressors	1	6	37	0.48	
Worker Trips	Worker Trips				
Vendor Trips	Vendor Trips				
Hauling Trips (TOTAL TRIPS)	Hauling Trips (TOTAL TRIPS)				0

Construction Trips Worksheet P2

Worker Trip Ends Vendor Trip Ends Total Haul Truck

Phase Name	Per Day	Per Day	Trip Ends	Start Date	End Date	Workdays
Site Preparation	18	18	0	9/1/2023	11/2/2023	45
Rough Grading	15	14	0	11/3/2023	11/25/2023	16
Building Construction	29	11	0	11/26/2023	6/1/2025	395
Asphalt Paving	20	0	0	5/7/2025	6/1/2025	18
Architectural Coating	6	0	0	5/7/2025	6/1/2025	18

	Worker Trip Ends	Vendor Trip Ends	Total Trip Ends			
Construction Activity (Overlapping)	Per Day	Per Day	Per Day	Start Date	End Date	Workdays
Site Preparation	18	18	0	9/1/2023	11/2/2023	45
Rough Grading	15	14	0	11/3/2023	11/25/2023	16
Building Construction	29	11	0	11/26/2023	5/6/2025	377
Building Construction, Asphalt Paving, and Architectural Coating	55	11	0	5/7/2025	6/1/2025	18
Maximum Daily Trips	55	18	0			

CalEEMod Inputs - Kimble-Chavez Elementary School Project, Construction P3 (referred to as P2.2 in DEIR)

Name:	Kimble-Chavez Elementary School Project, Construction			
Land Use Scale:	Project/site			
Land Use Subtypes:	Educational	Elementary School		
Project Location:	7495 29th Street			
County:	Sacramento			
Land Use Setting:	Suburban			
TAZ:	773			
Operational Year:	2025			
Electric Utility:	Sacramento Municipal Utility Dis	strict (SMUD)		
Gas Utility:	Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E)			
Air Basin:	Sacramento Valley			
Air District:	Sacramento Metropolitant AQN	1D		

Project Site Acreage6Disturbed Site Acreage6

Project Components			_	
Demolition	Building Square Feet (SQFT)	Tons		
Building Demolition	66,100	3,041		
Asphalt Demolition	105,000	1,556		
Other Land Uses	SQFT	Building Footprint	Acres	Number of Stalls
Parking Lot	62,000	NA	1.42	100
Total Non-Parking Asphalt	57,000	NA	1.31	
Total Hardscape	20,000	NA	0.46	

CalEEMod Land Use Inputs

Land Use Type	Land Use Subtype	Size Metric	Size	Lot Acreage	Building Square Feet	Landscape Area Square Feet	Special Landscape Area Square Feet
Parking	Parking Lot	1000 sqft	62.00	1.42	62,000	0	0
Parking	Other Asphalt Surfaces	1000 sqft	57.00	1.31	57,000	0	0
Parking	Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	1000 sqft	20.00	4.13	20,000	160,000	0
				6.86	139000	160000	0

Demolition

	Amount to be Demolished		Haul Distance			/_
Component	(Tons)	Haul Truck Capacity (Tons) ¹	(miles) ¹	Total Trip Ends	Duration (days)	Trip Ends/Day
Phase 3						
Building Demolition Debris Haul	3041	20	20	306	5	61
Asphalt Demolition Debris Haul	1556	20	20	156	5	31
Total	4,596			462		92
Note	es:	_				
	¹ CalEEMod default used.					
Architectural Coating ¹		_				
	Non-Residential					
Interior Painted (%	100%					
Exterior Painted (%): 100%					
		_				
SMAQMD Rule 11	13 < 50 flat / <u><</u> 100 nonflat					
CalEEMod Defau	ılt grams/liter					
Interior Paint VOC conten	<i>t:</i> 75	7				
Exterior Paint VOC conten	<i>t:</i> 75	1				
Note	25:	_				
	¹ CalEEMod default used.					
	Callenioù deladit useu.					

Structures	Land Use Square Feet	Paintable Exterior Area
Parking ¹		
Parking Lot (Striping)	62,000	14,981

Notes:

¹ Architectural coatings for the parking lot is based on CalEEMod default.

CalEEMod Construction Measures/Required Basic Construction Emission Control Practices (BMPs)

C-10-A	Water Exposed Surfaces	Frequency per day:	2	
		PM10:	55	% Reduction
		PM2.5:	55	% Reduction
	Limit Vehicle Speeds on			
C-11	Unpaved Roads	Miles per hour speed limit:	25	
		PM10:	44	% Reduction
		PM25:	44	% Reduction
C-12	Sweep Paved Roads	PM10:	9	% Reduction
		PM25:	9	% Reduction

SMUD Carbon Intensity Factors

	lbs/MWH
CO	2 ^{:1} 295.00
СН	4 ^{:1} 0.0129
N ₂ 0	D: ¹ 0.0017
Note	es:

¹ CalEEMod default values.

Demo Haul Trip Calculation P3

Source: CalEEMod User's Guide Version 2022.1, Appendix C

Conversion factors

0.046 ton/SF 1.2641662 tons/cy 20 tons 15.82070459 CY 0.791035229 CY/ton

Building	BSF Demo	Tons/SF	Tons ¹	Haul Truck (CY)	Haul Truck (Ton) ²	Round Trips	Total Trip Ends
P3 Building Demo	66,100	0.046	3041	16	20	152	304
Total	66,100					152	304

Notes:

¹ Tonnage of building demolition debris to be hauled offsite provided by Applicant.

² CalEEMod default haul truck capacity used.

Pavement Volume to Weight Conversion P1

				Weight of		
		Assumed		Crushed		
Component	Total SF of Area ¹	Thickness (foot) ²	Debris Volume (cu. ft)	Asphalt (lbs/cf) ³	AC Mass (lbs)	AC Mass (tons)
P3 Asphalt Demo	105,000	0.333	35,000	89	3,111,111	1555.56
TOTAL	105,000					1555.56

¹ Based on information provided by applicant.

² Pavements and Surface Materials. Nonpoint Education for Municipal Officials, Technical Paper Number 8. University of Connecticut Cooperative Extension System, 1999.

³ https://www.calrecycle.ca.gov/swfacilities/cdi/Tools/Calculations

Construction Activities and Schedule Assumptions: Kimble-Chavez Elementary School Project P3

*based on overall construction duration provided by the Applicant

	Default construction schedule							
Construction Activities	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	CalEEMod Duration (Workday)				
Demolition	Demolition	6/1/2025	6/29/2025	20				
Site Preparation	Site Preparation	6/30/2025	7/14/2025	11				
Rough Grading	Rough Grading	7/15/2025	8/12/2025	21				
Building Construction	Building Construction	8/13/2025	7/1/2026	231				
Asphalt Paving	Paving	6/4/2026	7/1/2026	20				
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	6/4/2026	7/1/2026	20				

Default Construction Schedule

Normalization Calculations

CalEEMod Default Duration	Construction Duration		
6/1/2025	7/1/2026	6/1/2025	9/1/2025
days of construction	395	days of construction	92
years of construction	1.08	years of construction	0
months of construction	12.99	months of construction	3

Normalization Factor: 0.23

P3 New Construction Schedule (CalEEMod)						
Construction Activities	Start Date	End Date	CalEEMod Duration (Workday)			
Demolition	6/1/2025	6/8/2025	5			
Site Preparation	6/9/2025	6/11/2025	3			
Rough Grading	6/12/2025	6/18/2025	5			
Asphalt Paving	6/19/2025	9/1/2025	53			
Architectural Coating	8/26/2025	9/1/2025	5			

Overlapping Construction Schedule (CalEEMod)						
Construction Activities	Start Date	End Date	CalEEMod Duration (Workday)			
Demolition	6/1/2025	6/8/2025	5			
Site Preparation	6/9/2025	6/11/2025	3			
Rough Grading	6/12/2025	6/18/2025	5			
Asphalt Paving	6/19/2025	9/1/2025	53			
Asphalt Paving and Architectural Coating	8/26/2025	9/1/2025	5			

Construction Trips Worksheet P3

Worker Trip Ends Vendor Trip Ends Total Haul Truck

Phase Name	Per Day	Per Day	Trip Ends	Start Date	End Date	Workdays
Demolition	15	10	92	6/1/2025	6/8/2025	5
Site Preparation	18	18	0	6/9/2025	6/11/2025	3
Rough Grading	15	14	0	6/12/2025	6/18/2025	5
Asphalt Paving	15	0	0	6/19/2025	9/1/2025	53
Architectural Coating	0	0	0	8/26/2025	9/1/2025	5

	Worker Trip Ends	Vendor Trip Ends	Total Trip Ends			
Construction Activity (Overlapping)	Per Day	Per Day	Per Day	Start Date	End Date	Workdays
Demolition	15	10	92	6/1/2025	6/8/2025	5
Site Preparation	18	18	0	6/9/2025	6/11/2025	3
Rough Grading	15	14	0	6/12/2025	6/18/2025	5
Asphalt Paving	15	0	0	6/19/2025	9/1/2025	53
Asphalt Paving and Architectural Coating	15	0	0	8/26/2025	9/1/2025	5
Maximum Daily Trips	18	18	0			

CalEEMod Construction Off-Road Equipment Inputs P3

*Used CalEEMod default equipment.

General Construction Hours: Water Truck Vendor Trin Calculation Mon-Fri and 8:00 AM to 7:00 PM (with 1 hr break)

water fruck vendor frip Calculation	
	Water Truck
	Capacity
Amount of Water (gal/acre/day) ¹	(gallons) ²
10,000	4,000

Notes:

¹ Based on data provided in Guidance for Application for Dust Control Permit

Maricopa County Air Quality Department. 2005, June. Guidance for Application of Dust Control Permit.

https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2019-04/documents/mr_guidanceforapplicationfordustcontrolpermit.pdf)² Based on standard water truck capacity:

McLellan Industries. 2022, January (access). Water Trucks. https://www.mclellanindustries.com/trucks/water-trucks/

³ Assumes that dozers, tractors/loaders/backhoes, and graders can disturb 0.50 acres per day and scrapers can disturb 1 acre per day.

	Cor	nstruction Equipme	ent Details			
CalEEMod Equipment	# of Equipment	hr/day	hp	load factor	total trips/Day	
olition						
Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	8	33	0.73		
Excavators	3	8	36	0.38		
Rubber Tired Dozers	4	8	367	0.4		
Worker Trips/Day					15	
Vendor Trips					0	
Hauling Trips (TOTAL TRIPS)					92	
Water Trucks		Acres Disturbed:	2		10	
Preparation						
Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8	367	0.4		
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	4	8	84	0.37		
Worker Trips/Day					18	
Vendor Trips					0	
Hauling Trips (TOTAL TRIPS)					0	
Water Trucks		Acres Disturbed:	3.50		18	
gh Grading						
Excavators	1	8	36	0.38		
Graders	1	8	148	0.41		
Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8	367	0.4		
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	8	84	0.37		
Worker Trips					15	
Vendor Trips					0	
Hauling Trips (TOTAL TRIPS)					0	
Water Trucks		Acres Disturbed:	2.50		14	
halt Paving						
Pavers	2	8	81	0.42		
Paving Equipment	2	8	89	0.36		
Rollers	2	8	36	0.38		
Worker Trips		•		•	15	
Vendor Trips					0	
Hauling Trips (TOTAL TRIPS)						
nitectural Coating					0	
Air Compressors	1	6	37	0.48		
Worker Trips					0	
Vendor Trips					0	
Hauling Trips (TOTAL TRIPS)					0	

Emissions Worksheet

Average Daily Emissions (P1-2) - Construction Unmitigated

Phase 1	Total Construction Days	2023					Calendar Days
	67	67					93
Phase 1: Un	migated Run - with Best Control	Measures for F	ugitive Dust				
	average lbs/day (max)	ROG	NOx	Exhaust PM10	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM2.5	Fugitive PM2.5
	Unmit.	0	1	0.06	0.23	0.06	0.09
	SMAQMD Threshold	54	54	82	BMP	54	BMP
	Exceeds Threshold	No	No	No	NA	No	NA

Phase 2.1	Total Construction Days	2023	2024	2025	_		Calendar Days
	456	86	262	108			640
Phase 2.1: U	nmigated Run - with Best Contro	ol Measures for	Fugitive Dust				
	average lbs/day	ROG	NOx	Exhaust PM10	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM2.5	Fugitive PM2.5
	Unmit.	2	9	0.36	2.81	0.33	1.41
	SMAQMD Threshold	54	54	82	BMP	54	BMP
	Exceeds Threshold	No	No	No	NA	No	NA

Phase 2.2	Total Construction Days	2025					Calendar Days
	66	66					93
Phase 2.2: U	nmigated Run - with Best Contr	ol Measures for	Fugitive Dust				
	average lbs/day	ROG	NOx	Exhaust PM10	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM2.5	Fugitive PM2.5
	Unmit.	0	0	0.01	0.09	0.58	0.08
	SMAQMD Threshold	54	54	82	BMP	54	BMP
	Exceeds Threshold	No	No	No	NA	No	NA

Notes:

¹ P1 includes demolition of the existing building/asphalt and construction of interim housing, P2.1 includes the new building construction and associated site preparation, site work underground utilities and grading, and P2.2 includes demolition of the existing buildings/asphalt and installation of the fields/parking lot.

GHG Emissions Inventory

Proposed Project Buildout

Construction ¹		
Phase 1		MTCO ₂ e
	2023	46
	Total Construction	46
	30-Year Amortization ²	2
	-	
Phase 2.1		MTCO ₂ e
	2023	186
	2024	364
	2025	165
	Total Construction	715
	30-Year Amortization ²	24
	-	
Phase 2.2		MTCO ₂ e
	2025	82
	Total Construction	82
	30-Year Amortization²	3
	Notes:	
	¹ c	CalEEMod, Version 2022.1. Full buildout modeled

 $^{2}\,$ Total construction emissions are amortized over 30 years per SMAQMD methodology. 3

P1 includes demolition of the existing building/asphalt and construction of interim housing, P2.1 includes the new building construction and associated site preparation, site work underground utilities and grading, and P2.2 includes demolition of the existing buildings/asphalt and installation of the fields/parking lot.

Phase 1 Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Start Date	End Date	CalEEMod Days	Total Days
Demolition	6/1/2023	6/7/2023	5	6
Site Preparation	6/8/2023	6/9/2023	2	1
Grading	6/10/2023	6/13/2023	2	3
Building Construction	6/14/2023	9/1/2023	58	79
Paving	8/28/2023	9/1/2023	5	4
Architectural Coating	8/28/2023	9/1/2023	5	4

Number of Construction Days Per Year			
2023	6/1/2023	9/1/2023	67
	TOTAL	CONSTRUCTION DAYS	67

Tota	al Days Per Year	
1/1/2023	12/31/2023	260
	TOTAL DAYS	260

Phase 2.1 Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Start Date	End Date	CalEEMod Days	Total Days
Site Preparation	9/1/2023	11/2/2023	45	62
Rough Grading	11/3/2023	11/25/2023	16	22
Building Construction	11/26/2023	6/1/2025	395	553
Asphalt Paving	5/7/2025	6/1/2025	18	25
Architectural Coating	5/7/2025	6/1/2025	18	25

	Number of Construction	on Days Per Year	
2023	9/1/2023	12/31/2023	86
2024	1/1/2024	12/31/2024	262
2025	1/1/2025	6/1/2025	108
	TOTAL C	CONSTRUCTION DAYS	456

Total Days Per Year					
1/1/2023	12/31/2023	260			
1/1/2024	12/31/2024	262			
1/1/2025	12/31/2025	261			
	TOTAL DAYS	783			

Phase 2.2 Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Start Date	End Date	CalEEMod Days	Total Days
Demolition	6/1/2025	6/8/2025	5	7
Site Preparation	6/9/2025	6/11/2025	3	2
Rough Grading	6/12/2025	6/18/2025	5	6
Asphalt Paving	6/19/2025	9/1/2025	53	74
Architectural Coating	8/26/2025	9/1/2025	5	6

	Number of Construction	on Days Per Year	
2025	6/1/2025	9/1/2025	66
	66		

Tota	l Days Per Year	
1/1/2025	12/31/2025	261
	TOTAL DAYS	261

CalEEMod Construction Model P1

SCUS-03 P1 Custom Report

Table of Contents

- 1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.2. Land Use Types
 - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
- 2. Emissions Summary
 - 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
- 3. Construction Emissions Details
 - 3.1. Demolition (2023) Unmitigated
 - 3.3. Site Preparation (2023) Unmitigated
 - 3.5. Grading (2023) Unmitigated
 - 3.7. Building Construction (2023) Unmitigated
 - 3.9. Paving (2023) Unmitigated
 - 3.11. Architectural Coating (2023) Unmitigated

5. Activity Data

- 5.1. Construction Schedule
- 5.2. Off-Road Equipment
 - 5.2.1. Unmitigated
- 5.3. Construction Vehicles
 - 5.3.1. Unmitigated
- 5.4. Vehicles
 - 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies
- 5.5. Architectural Coatings
- 5.6. Dust Mitigation
 - 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities
 - 5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies
- 5.7. Construction Paving
- 5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors
- 8. User Changes to Default Data

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	SCUS-03 P1
Lead Agency	_
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	3.00
Precipitation (days)	36.6
Location	7495 29th St, Sacramento, CA 95822, USA
County	Sacramento
City	Sacramento
Air District	Sacramento Metropolitan AQMD
Air Basin	Sacramento Valley
TAZ	773
EDFZ	13
Electric Utility	Sacramento Municipal Utility District
Gas Utility	Pacific Gas & Electric

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)		Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
Elementary School	31.6	1000sqft	0.73	31,600	0.00	0.00	—	_
Parking Lot	1.00	1000sqft	0.02	0.00	0.00	—	—	_
Other Asphalt Surfaces	139	1000sqft	3.19	0.00	0.00			—

	Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	2.50	1000sqft	0.06	0.00	0.00			
--	-------------------------------	------	----------	------	------	------	--	--	--

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

Sector	#	Measure Title
Water	W-4	Require Low-Flow Water Fixtures

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

			· · · · ·	.,, . . , .		,	(,,,	· • •	,							
Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—
Unmit.	4.86	11.0	40.9	37.1	0.06	1.81	20.0	21.8	1.67	10.2	11.8	—	6,042	6,042	0.34	0.36	5.30	6,089
Average Daily (Max)	—		_			_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Unmit.	0.17	0.27	1.36	1.45	< 0.005	0.06	0.25	0.32	0.06	0.09	0.15	—	274	274	0.01	0.01	0.12	278
Annual (Max)	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Unmit.	0.03	0.05	0.25	0.26	< 0.005	0.01	0.05	0.06	0.01	0.02	0.03	_	45.4	45.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	46.0

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

		\	<i>.</i>			/	· · ·				/							
Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e

Daily - Summer (Max)			_			_	_	_	-			_	_	_	-	_	_	_
2023	4.86	11.0	40.9	37.1	0.06	1.81	20.0	21.8	1.67	10.2	11.8	—	6,042	6,042	0.34	0.36	5.30	6,089
Daily - Winter (Max)	—	_	_	_		-	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_
Average Daily	—	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—
2023	0.17	0.27	1.36	1.45	< 0.005	0.06	0.25	0.32	0.06	0.09	0.15	—	274	274	0.01	0.01	0.12	278
Annual	_	—	—	-	-	_	_	_	_	—	-	—	_	_	_	_	_	—
2023	0.03	0.05	0.25	0.26	< 0.005	0.01	0.05	0.06	0.01	0.02	0.03	_	45.4	45.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	46.0

3. Construction Emissions Details

3.1. Demolition (2023) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	_	_		_					_	_	_	_	_	_	—
Off-Road Equipmen		2.84	27.3	23.5	0.03	1.20	-	1.20	1.10	—	1.10	-	3,425	3,425	0.14	0.03	-	3,437
Demolitio n		—	—	—	—	—	4.74	4.74		0.72	0.72	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—				_							_	—	_	_	_	_	—

Average Daily	_	_	-	-	-	—	-	—	_	-	-	-	-	—	-	—	—	_
Off-Road Equipmer		0.04	0.37	0.32	< 0.005	0.02	-	0.02	0.02	-	0.02	-	46.9	46.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	47.1
Demolitio n	-	_	-	-	-	-	0.06	0.06	-	0.01	0.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmer		0.01	0.07	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	7.77	7.77	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	7.79
Demolitio n	_	_	-	-	_	_	0.01	0.01	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	—	-	-	_	_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	-	—	—	—	-	—	-	—	_	—	_	—	_	_	—	-	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	0.08	0.07	0.05	1.05	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	177	177	0.01	0.01	0.77	180
Vendor	0.05	0.02	0.84	0.30	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	_	420	420	0.03	0.06	1.06	440
Hauling	0.22	0.05	3.28	1.18	0.02	0.03	0.13	0.16	0.03	0.04	0.07	_	1,696	1,696	0.17	0.27	3.47	1,783
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-		_		_		_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_
Average Daily	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	2.21	2.21	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	2.24
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	5.75	5.75	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	6.02
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	23.2	23.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	24.4
Annual	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.37	0.37	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.37

Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.95	0.95	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.85	3.85	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	4.04

3.3. Site Preparation (2023) - Unmitigated

		(,	.,			01100 (1		i aany, n		annaan							
Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	_
Off-Road Equipment		3.95	39.7	35.5	0.05	1.81	-	1.81	1.66	—	1.66	—	5,295	5,295	0.21	0.04	—	5,314
Dust From Material Movement	 :	—	_	_	_	_	19.7	19.7	—	10.1	10.1	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average Daily		-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_		_	_
Off-Road Equipment		0.02	0.22	0.19	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	_	0.01	_	29.0	29.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	29.1
Dust From Material Movemen:			_	_	_	_	0.11	0.11	_	0.06	0.06		_	_				_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Off-Road Equipmer		< 0.005	0.04	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	-	4.80	4.80	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	4.82
Dust From Material Movemen		_	_	_		_	0.02	0.02	_	0.01	0.01	_	-	_	_	_		_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	-				—	-	—		—	_		_		—		—
Worker	0.09	0.08	0.06	1.22	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	206	206	0.01	0.01	0.90	210
Vendor	0.07	0.02	1.07	0.38	< 0.005	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.02	—	540	540	0.04	0.08	1.36	566
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	—
Average Daily	—	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	1.03	1.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.04
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	2.96	2.96	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	3.10
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	-	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	-	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.17	0.17	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.17
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.49	0.49	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.51
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.5. Grading (2023) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

PM2.5E PM2.5D PM2.5T BCO2 PM10T Location TOG ROG NOx CO SO2 PM10E PM10D NBCO2 CO2T CH4 N20 CO2e R

Onaita																		
Onsite	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	
Off-Road Equipmen		2.04	20.0	19.7	0.03	0.94	_	0.94	0.87	_	0.87	_	2,958	2,958	0.12	0.02	_	2,968
Dust From Material Movement							7.08	7.08		3.42	3.42							
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	-	-				_					_		_		-
Average Daily	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen		0.01	0.11	0.11	< 0.005	0.01	_	0.01	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	16.2	16.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	16.3
Dust From Material Movemen	 t	_	_	_		_	0.04	0.04	_	0.02	0.02	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_
Off-Road Equipmen		< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	2.68	2.68	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	2.69
Dust From Material Movemen	 :	-	_			-	0.01	0.01	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	-	_	-	_	_	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite				_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_						

Daily, Summer (Max)		_	-	-	-	_	-	_			-	_	-	-	_	_		_
Worker	0.08	0.07	0.05	1.05	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	177	177	0.01	0.01	0.77	180
Vendor	0.05	0.02	0.84	0.30	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	-	420	420	0.03	0.06	1.06	440
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	-	-	—	—	-	—		—	—		-	_				
Average Daily	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	-	-	—	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.88	0.88	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.90
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.30	2.30	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	2.41
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.15	0.15	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.15
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.38	0.38	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.40
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.7. Building Construction (2023) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	-	_	_						_			-			—
Off-Road Equipmen		0.27	2.59	3.15	< 0.005	0.16	—	0.16	0.15	—	0.15	—	457	457	0.02	< 0.005	—	459
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_
Average Daily		—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—		_
Off-Road Equipmen		0.04	0.41	0.50	< 0.005	0.03	-	0.03	0.02	-	0.02	-	72.7	72.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	72.9
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen		0.01	0.08	0.09	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	12.0	12.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	12.1
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	_	_			_		_		-		_		-		_	
Worker	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.93	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	157	157	0.01	0.01	0.68	159
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.31	0.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	-	155	155	0.01	0.02	0.39	163
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_	-		_	-	_	—	-	—	_	_	-
Average Daily	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.11	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	22.6	22.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	23.0
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	24.7	24.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	25.8
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	—	_	—	_	-	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.75	3.75	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	3.80
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	4.08	4.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	4.28

Hauling 0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
-----------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	---	------	------	------	------	------	------

3.9. Paving (2023) - Unmitigated

••	(,	.,, .e,.					,, ,		•							
TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	_	—	-
	-	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-
0.95	0.79	7.13	8.89	0.01	0.35	-	0.35	0.32	—	0.32	—	1,351	1,351	0.05	0.01	—	1,356
—	1.69	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	_	_	-	_	_	—	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_		_	-
_	_	—	-	-	—	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	-
0.01	0.01	0.10	0.12	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	18.5	18.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	18.6
	0.02	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	_	_	_
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
_	—	—	_	_	—	—	_	_	—	_	-	_	_	_	-	—	-
< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	3.06	3.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	3.07
	< 0.005	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
	 0.95 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.005 		0.95 0.79 7.13 1.69 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.69 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.10 0.01 0.02 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.02 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.005 0.02 <-	TOG ROG NOx CO $ 0.95$ 0.79 7.13 8.89 $ 1.69$ $ 0.00$ 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 $ 0.01$ 0.10 0.12 $ 0.01$ 0.10 0.12 $ 0.02$ $ 0.00$ 0.00 0.00 0.00 $ 0.00$ 0.00 0.00 0.00 $ -$ <t< td=""><td>TOGROGNOxCOSO2$0.95$$0.79$$7.13$$8.89$$0.01$$1.69$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.01$$0.10$$0.12$$< 0.005$$0.02$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.005$$0.02$$0.02$$< 0.005$$-$</td><td>TOGROGNOXCOSO2PM10E$0.95$$0.79$$7.13$$8.89$$0.01$$0.35$$1.69$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.01$$0.10$$0.12$$< 0.005$$< 0.005$$0.02$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$0.00$$< 0.005$$0.025$$0.02$$0.02$$< 0.005$$< 0.005$$0.02$$0.02$$< 0.005$$< 0.005$</td><td>TOG ROG NOx CO SO2 PM10E PM10D 0.95 0.79 7.13 8.89 0.01 0.35 1.69 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.10 0.12 <0.005</td> <0.005</t<>	TOGROGNOxCOSO2 $ 0.95$ 0.79 7.13 8.89 0.01 $ 1.69$ $ 0.00$ 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 $ 0.00$ 0.00 0.00 0.00 $ 0.01$ 0.10 0.12 < 0.005 $ 0.02$ $ 0.00$ 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 $ 0.00$ 0.005 0.02 0.02 < 0.005 $ -$	TOGROGNOXCOSO2PM10E $ 0.95$ 0.79 7.13 8.89 0.01 0.35 $ 1.69$ $ 0.00$ 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 $ 0.01$ 0.10 0.12 < 0.005 < 0.005 $ 0.02$ $ 0.00$ 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 $ < 0.005$ 0.025 0.02 0.02 < 0.005 < 0.005 0.02 0.02 < 0.005 < 0.005	TOG ROG NOx CO SO2 PM10E PM10D 0.95 0.79 7.13 8.89 0.01 0.35 1.69 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.10 0.12 <0.005	TOG ROG NOx CO SO2 PM10E PM10D PM10T $ 0.95$ 0.79 7.13 8.89 0.01 0.35 $ 0.35$ $ 1.69$ $ 0.00$ 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.005 $ -$	TOG ROG NOx CO SO2 PM10E PM10D PM10T PM2.5E <td>TOG NOX CO SO2 PM10E PM10D PM10T PM2.5E PM2.5D - <</td> <td>TOG ROG NOx CO SO2 PM10E PM10D PM10T PM2.5E PM2.5D PM2.5T - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 0.30 0.30</td> <td>TOG NOX CO SO2 PM10E PM10D PM10T PM2.5C PM2.5D PM2.5T BCO2 -</td> <td>TOG ROG NOx CO SO2 PM10E PM10D PM10T PM2.5E PM2.5D PM2.5T BCO2 NBCO2 -<!--</td--><td>TOG ROG NOX CO SO2 PM10E PM10F PM25D PM25D<td>NOG NOX CO SO2 PM10E PM10D PM10T PM2.5E PM2.5D PM2.5T BCO2 NBC02 CO2T CH4 </td><td>TOG NO CO SO2 PM10E PM10D PM10E PM2.5E PM2.5E PM2.5E PM2.5E PM2.5E BCO2 NBCO2 CO2T CH4 N2O -<!--</td--><td>NO. CO SO2 PM 10E PM 10D PM 25.5 PM 2.57 BCO2 NBCO2 CO2T CH4 N2O R </td></td></td></td>	TOG NOX CO SO2 PM10E PM10D PM10T PM2.5E PM2.5D - <	TOG ROG NOx CO SO2 PM10E PM10D PM10T PM2.5E PM2.5D PM2.5T - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 - 0.32 0.30 0.30	TOG NOX CO SO2 PM10E PM10D PM10T PM2.5C PM2.5D PM2.5T BCO2 -	TOG ROG NOx CO SO2 PM10E PM10D PM10T PM2.5E PM2.5D PM2.5T BCO2 NBCO2 - </td <td>TOG ROG NOX CO SO2 PM10E PM10F PM25D PM25D<td>NOG NOX CO SO2 PM10E PM10D PM10T PM2.5E PM2.5D PM2.5T BCO2 NBC02 CO2T CH4 </td><td>TOG NO CO SO2 PM10E PM10D PM10E PM2.5E PM2.5E PM2.5E PM2.5E PM2.5E BCO2 NBCO2 CO2T CH4 N2O -<!--</td--><td>NO. CO SO2 PM 10E PM 10D PM 25.5 PM 2.57 BCO2 NBCO2 CO2T CH4 N2O R </td></td></td>	TOG ROG NOX CO SO2 PM10E PM10F PM25D PM25D <td>NOG NOX CO SO2 PM10E PM10D PM10T PM2.5E PM2.5D PM2.5T BCO2 NBC02 CO2T CH4 </td> <td>TOG NO CO SO2 PM10E PM10D PM10E PM2.5E PM2.5E PM2.5E PM2.5E PM2.5E BCO2 NBCO2 CO2T CH4 N2O -<!--</td--><td>NO. CO SO2 PM 10E PM 10D PM 25.5 PM 2.57 BCO2 NBCO2 CO2T CH4 N2O R </td></td>	NOG NOX CO SO2 PM10E PM10D PM10T PM2.5E PM2.5D PM2.5T BCO2 NBC02 CO2T CH4	TOG NO CO SO2 PM10E PM10D PM10E PM2.5E PM2.5E PM2.5E PM2.5E PM2.5E BCO2 NBCO2 CO2T CH4 N2O - </td <td>NO. CO SO2 PM 10E PM 10D PM 25.5 PM 2.57 BCO2 NBCO2 CO2T CH4 N2O R </td>	NO. CO SO2 PM 10E PM 10D PM 25.5 PM 2.57 BCO2 NBCO2 CO2T CH4 N2O R

Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	-			_	-	_	_		_	_		_
Worker	0.11	0.09	0.07	1.40	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	236	236	0.01	0.01	1.03	240
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	_	-	_	_	-	-		—	_	-	—	-	—	_	_		-
Average Daily	—	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	—	-	—	-	—	-	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	2.94	2.94	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	2.98
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	—	-	—	-	_	_	—	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.49	0.49	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.49
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.11. Architectural Coating (2023) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG		со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_		_				_				—		_	_		—
Off-Road Equipmen		0.15	0.93	1.15	< 0.005	0.04		0.04	0.03	—	0.03	—	134	134	0.01	< 0.005		134
Architect ural Coatings		7.94	_															—

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Average Daily	_	_	_	—	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	—	_	_	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen		< 0.005	0.01	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	-	1.83	1.83	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.84
Architect ural Coatings	_	0.11	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen		< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	_	0.30	0.30	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.30
Architect ural Coatings		0.02	-	—		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	_	_	—	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.19	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	31.3	31.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.14	31.8
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_
Average Daily		_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—

Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.39	0.39	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.40
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Demolition	Demolition	6/1/2023	6/7/2023	5.00	5.00	—
Site Preparation	Site Preparation	6/8/2023	6/9/2023	5.00	2.00	—
Grading	Grading	6/10/2023	6/13/2023	5.00	2.00	—
Building Construction	Building Construction	6/14/2023	9/1/2023	5.00	58.0	—
Paving	Paving	8/28/2023	9/1/2023	5.00	5.00	—
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	8/28/2023	9/1/2023	5.00	5.00	<u> </u>

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	33.0	0.73
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	367	0.40

Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	4.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	82.0	0.20
Paving	Cement and Mortar Mixers	Diesel	Average	2.00	6.00	10.0	0.56
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	2.00	6.00	36.0	0.38
Paving	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	37.0	0.48
Demolition	Excavators	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	367	0.40
Grading	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Average	2.00	6.00	89.0	0.36

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Тгір Туре	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Demolition	—		—	
Demolition	Worker	15.0	14.3	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor	14.0	8.80	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	22.0	20.0	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Site Preparation	_		_	—

Site Preparation	Worker	17.5	14.3	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	18.0	8.80	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Grading	—	—	—	_
Grading	Worker	15.0	14.3	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	14.0	8.80	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck	—	_	HHDT
Building Construction	—	—	—	_
Building Construction	Worker	13.3	14.3	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	5.18	8.80	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Paving	—	—	_	_
Paving	Worker	20.0	14.3	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	—	8.80	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Architectural Coating	—	—	_	_
Architectural Coating	Worker	2.65	14.3	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	—	8.80	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	—	_	HHDT

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction				
Water unpaved roads twice daily	55%	55%				
Limit vehicle speeds on unpaved roads to 25 mph	44%	44%				
Sweep paved roads once per month	9%	9%				

5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
Architectural Coating	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8,558

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (cy)	Material Exported (cy)		Material Demolished (Ton of Debris)	Acres Paved (acres)
Demolition	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,079	_
Site Preparation	—	—	3.00	0.00	_
Grading	—	—	2.00	0.00	_
aving 0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	3.27

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt				
Elementary School	0.00	0%				

Parking Lot	0.02	100%				
Other Asphalt Surfaces	3.19	100%				
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.06	0%				

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2023	0.00	375	0.01	< 0.005

8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Land Use	Based on District info., see assumptions file
Construction: Construction Phases	Based on District info., see assumptions file
Construction: Off-Road Equipment	Prefabricated buildings would only require forklifts for placement on campus, see assumptions file
Construction: Trips and VMT	See assumptions file for calculation on hauling and water truck trips added to vendor.
Construction: Architectural Coatings	Prefabricated buildings do not require painting on the interior or exterior, see assumptions file
Operations: Vehicle Data	No new net trips, see assumptions file
Operations: Architectural Coatings	Pre-fabricated buildings typically do not require painting on the exterior/interior, see assumptions file.

CalEEMod Construction Model P2.1

SCUS-03 P2 Custom Report

Table of Contents

- 1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.2. Land Use Types
 - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
- 2. Emissions Summary
 - 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
- 3. Construction Emissions Details
 - 3.1. Site Preparation (2023) Unmitigated
 - 3.3. Grading (2023) Unmitigated
 - 3.5. Building Construction (2023) Unmitigated
 - 3.7. Building Construction (2024) Unmitigated
 - 3.9. Building Construction (2025) Unmitigated
 - 3.11. Paving (2025) Unmitigated

3.13. Architectural Coating (2025) - Unmitigated

5. Activity Data

- 5.1. Construction Schedule
- 5.2. Off-Road Equipment
 - 5.2.1. Unmitigated
- 5.3. Construction Vehicles
 - 5.3.1. Unmitigated
- 5.4. Vehicles
 - 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies
- 5.5. Architectural Coatings
- 5.6. Dust Mitigation
 - 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities
 - 5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies
- 5.7. Construction Paving
- 5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors
- 8. User Changes to Default Data

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	SCUS-03 P2
Lead Agency	
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	3.00
Precipitation (days)	36.6
Location	7495 29th St, Sacramento, CA 95822, USA
County	Sacramento
City	Sacramento
Air District	Sacramento Metropolitan AQMD
Air Basin	Sacramento Valley
TAZ	773
EDFZ	13
Electric Utility	Sacramento Municipal Utility District
Gas Utility	Pacific Gas & Electric

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	iize Unit		Lot Acreage Building Area (sq ft) L		Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
Elementary School	69.3	1000sqft	1.59	69,345	0.00	0.00	—	—
Parking Lot	15.0	1000sqft	0.34	0.00	0.00	—	—	—
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	114	1000sqft	2.63	0.00	0.00			—

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

Sector	#	Measure Title
Water	W-4	Require Low-Flow Water Fixtures

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

		,	-	<i>J j</i>		,	· · ·	-		-	,							
Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	_	-	—	_	-	-	-	-	_		_	—	_	-	-
Unmit.	4.86	31.1	40.9	37.1	0.05	1.81	20.0	21.8	1.67	10.2	11.8	—	6,042	6,042	0.26	0.13	3.25	6,089
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_				_	—	-	_	_	-
Unmit.	4.84	4.04	41.0	36.8	0.05	1.81	20.0	21.8	1.67	10.2	11.8	—	6,018	6,018	0.26	0.13	0.06	6,063
Average Daily (Max)	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Unmit.	1.15	1.84	8.59	10.6	0.02	0.36	2.81	3.11	0.33	1.41	1.69	—	2,177	2,177	0.09	0.06	0.69	2,197
Annual (Max)	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		-	-	_	_
Unmit.	0.21	0.34	1.57	1.93	< 0.005	0.07	0.51	0.57	0.06	0.26	0.31	_	360	360	0.02	0.01	0.11	364

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

	Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
_																			4

Daily - Summer (Max)	_	_	_	_		_		-	-		-	_			_		_	_
2023	4.86	4.05	40.9	37.1	0.05	1.81	20.0	21.8	1.67	10.2	11.8	—	6,042	6,042	0.26	0.13	2.26	6,089
2024	1.61	1.35	12.0	15.2	0.03	0.50	0.38	0.88	0.46	0.09	0.55	—	3,070	3,070	0.14	0.08	2.24	3,100
2025	2.64	31.1	18.6	26.6	0.04	0.75	0.64	1.39	0.69	0.15	0.84	—	4,834	4,834	0.19	0.10	3.25	4,873
Daily - Winter (Max)	_	—	—	_		_	_	_	_		-	—			—		_	
2023	4.84	4.04	41.0	36.8	0.05	1.81	20.0	21.8	1.67	10.2	11.8	—	6,018	6,018	0.26	0.13	0.06	6,063
2024	1.60	1.33	12.0	14.7	0.03	0.50	0.38	0.88	0.46	0.09	0.55	—	3,032	3,032	0.13	0.08	0.06	3,059
2025	1.50	1.25	11.2	14.6	0.03	0.44	0.38	0.82	0.40	0.09	0.49	—	3,020	3,020	0.13	0.08	0.06	3,047
Average Daily	—	—	-	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	-	—	-	—
2023	0.83	0.69	6.86	6.50	0.01	0.30	2.81	3.11	0.28	1.41	1.69	_	1,113	1,113	0.05	0.03	0.23	1,122
2024	1.15	0.95	8.59	10.6	0.02	0.36	0.27	0.63	0.33	0.06	0.40	_	2,177	2,177	0.09	0.06	0.69	2,197
2025	0.50	1.84	3.69	4.89	0.01	0.15	0.12	0.27	0.13	0.03	0.16	_	987	987	0.04	0.02	0.30	996
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_
2023	0.15	0.13	1.25	1.19	< 0.005	0.06	0.51	0.57	0.05	0.26	0.31	_	184	184	0.01	< 0.005	0.04	186
2024	0.21	0.17	1.57	1.93	< 0.005	0.07	0.05	0.11	0.06	0.01	0.07	_	360	360	0.02	0.01	0.11	364
2025	0.09	0.34	0.67	0.89	< 0.005	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.03	_	163	163	0.01	< 0.005	0.05	165

3. Construction Emissions Details

3.1. Site Preparation (2023) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	_	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)		_	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	—	-	-	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen		3.95	39.7	35.5	0.05	1.81	_	1.81	1.66	-	1.66	_	5,295	5,295	0.21	0.04	_	5,314
Dust From Material Movemen	 [_	_	-	-		19.7	19.7	-	10.1	10.1	_			-		_	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	-	—	-	_	—	—	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen		3.95	39.7	35.5	0.05	1.81	-	1.81	1.66	—	1.66	-	5,295	5,295	0.21	0.04	-	5,314
Dust From Material Movemen	 :	_	-	-		_	19.7	19.7	-	10.1	10.1	-	_			_	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.49	4.90	4.37	0.01	0.22	-	0.22	0.20	_	0.20	_	653	653	0.03	0.01	-	655
Dust From Material Movemen	 t	_	-	-			2.42	2.42	-	1.25	1.25	-	-		_	_	_	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen		0.09	0.89	0.80	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.04		0.04		108	108	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	108

Dust From Material Movemen	 .:	_	_	-		_	0.44	0.44	_	0.23	0.23	_	-	-	-		_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	-	-	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	-	—	-	—	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	_	_	-	-	—	_	_	_	_	—	-	_	—	-	_	-	-
Worker	0.09	0.08	0.06	1.22	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	206	206	0.01	0.01	0.90	210
Vendor	0.07	0.02	1.07	0.38	< 0.005	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.02	_	540	540	0.04	0.08	1.36	566
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	_	-	-	_		_			-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	183	183	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	185
Vendor	0.06	0.02	1.15	0.39	< 0.005	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.02	_	539	539	0.04	0.08	0.04	564
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.11	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	23.2	23.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	23.5
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.14	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	66.5	66.5	< 0.005	0.01	0.07	69.7
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	3.84	3.84	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	3.89
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	11.0	11.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	11.5
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.3. Grading (2023) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	-	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	_		_	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	—		_	-		-	_	—	-	—			-				-
Off-Road Equipmer		2.04	20.0	19.7	0.03	0.94	_	0.94	0.87	—	0.87	_	2,958	2,958	0.12	0.02	-	2,968
Dust From Material Movemen	 r:	_	—	_	_	—	7.08	7.08	_	3.42	3.42	—	—	_	_		—	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	—	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmer		0.09	0.88	0.86	< 0.005	0.04	-	0.04	0.04	_	0.04	_	130	130	0.01	< 0.005	-	130
Dust From Material Movemen		-	-	-		-	0.31	0.31	-	0.15	0.15	-	-	-	_			_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmer		0.02	0.16	0.16	< 0.005	0.01	_	0.01	0.01	_	0.01	_	21.5	21.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	21.5
Dust From Material Movemen	 r:	_	_	_		_	0.06	0.06	_	0.03	0.03	_	_					-

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-		_	_	_		_	_				-		_	_		
Worker	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	157	157	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	159
Vendor	0.05	0.02	0.90	0.30	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	—	419	419	0.03	0.06	0.03	439
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	-	—	_	—	—	-	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	7.06	7.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	7.16
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	18.4	18.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	19.3
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	1.17	1.17	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.19
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	3.05	3.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	3.19
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.5. Building Construction (2023) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	_	_	_			_								_	

Daily, Winter (Max)		_		_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Off-Road Equipmen		1.26	11.8	13.2	0.02	0.55	—	0.55	0.51	-	0.51	-	2,397	2,397	0.10	0.02	-	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.09	0.83	0.93	< 0.005	0.04	-	0.04	0.04	-	0.04	—	169	169	0.01	< 0.005	-	169
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen		0.02	0.15	0.17	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	-	28.0	28.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	28.1
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Worker	0.13	0.12	0.14	1.50	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	305	305	0.01	0.01	0.04	308
Vendor	0.04	0.01	0.73	0.25	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	-	341	341	0.02	0.05	0.02	356
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily		_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.11	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	22.0	22.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	22.4
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	24.0	24.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	25.1
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Annual	—	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	3.65	3.65	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	3.70
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.97	3.97	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	4.16
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.7. Building Construction (2024) - Unmitigated

			,	., .e., ji		/	91100 (10, 00, 10	i aany, n	i i / ji ioi	anniaan)							
Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)			—		—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—		—	—	—	—	
Off-Road Equipmen		1.20	11.2	13.1	0.02	0.50	—	0.50	0.46	—	0.46	_	2,398	2,398	0.10	0.02	—	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	-	-		-	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	-
Off-Road Equipmen		1.20	11.2	13.1	0.02	0.50	_	0.50	0.46	-	0.46	_	2,398	2,398	0.10	0.02	-	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	—	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.86	8.04	9.39	0.02	0.36	-	0.36	0.33	_	0.33	_	1,717	1,717	0.07	0.01	-	1,723
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen		0.16	1.47	1.71	< 0.005	0.07	_	0.07	0.06	_	0.06	_	284	284	0.01	< 0.005	-	285

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_			—	_		_						_		_		—	—
Worker	0.14	0.13	0.10	1.89	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	337	337	0.01	0.01	1.38	343
Vendor	0.04	0.01	0.64	0.23	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	-	335	335	0.02	0.05	0.86	351
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	-		_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	-	_	-	_	—	—
Worker	0.13	0.11	0.13	1.39	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	299	299	0.01	0.01	0.04	303
Vendor	0.04	0.01	0.68	0.24	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	-	335	335	0.02	0.05	0.02	350
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	_	_	-	—	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	—	-	-	_
Worker	0.09	0.08	0.08	1.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	220	220	< 0.005	0.01	0.43	223
Vendor	0.03	0.01	0.48	0.17	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	-	240	240	0.02	0.03	0.26	251
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	—	_	_	_
Worker	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.19	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	36.4	36.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	36.9
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.09	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	39.7	39.7	< 0.005	0.01	0.04	41.6
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.9. Building Construction (2025) - Unmitigated

			,	.,			•••••		••••,	, j								
Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	_	—	_	—	_

Daily, Summer (Max)					_	-	_	_	_		_					_		_
Off-Road Equipmen		1.13	10.4	13.0	0.02	0.43	-	0.43	0.40	-	0.40	-	2,398	2,398	0.10	0.02	-	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	-	-	-	_	—	-	-	_	_	-	—	_	—	_	_	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		1.13	10.4	13.0	0.02	0.43	-	0.43	0.40	-	0.40	-	2,398	2,398	0.10	0.02	-	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	—	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Off-Road Equipmen		0.34	3.11	3.88	0.01	0.13	-	0.13	0.12	-	0.12	-	713	713	0.03	0.01	-	716
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen		0.06	0.57	0.71	< 0.005	0.02	-	0.02	0.02	-	0.02	-	118	118	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	118
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	-	—
Worker	0.13	0.12	0.08	1.76	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	331	331	0.01	0.01	1.27	336
Vendor	0.04	0.01	0.60	0.22	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	_	329	329	0.02	0.05	0.85	345
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_				_	-	_	_	_	_	
Worker	0.12	0.11	0.11	1.29	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	294	294	0.01	0.01	0.03	297
Vendor	0.04	0.01	0.64	0.23	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	-	329	329	0.02	0.05	0.02	344
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	-	-	_	—	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	—	-	-	-
Worker	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	89.6	89.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.16	90.8
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.19	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	97.8	97.8	0.01	0.01	0.11	102
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	14.8	14.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	15.0
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	16.2	16.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	16.9
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.11. Paving (2025) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D		PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_		_									—				—
Off-Road Equipmen		0.71	6.52	8.84	0.01	0.29	—	0.29	0.26	—	0.26	—	1,351	1,351	0.05	0.01	—	1,355
Paving	_	0.05	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_		-	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	_	_		
Average Daily		—	_	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen		0.04	0.32	0.44	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	_	66.6	66.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	66.8
Paving	_	< 0.005	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen		0.01	0.06	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	11.0	11.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	11.1
Paving	_	< 0.005	-	_	—	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	-	—	—	—	—	_	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	—	-	-	—			-		_	-	-	—	—	-	_	-	_
Worker	0.09	0.08	0.06	1.21	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	227	227	< 0.005	0.01	0.87	230
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	-	-	_	-		_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_
Average Daily	_	_	-	-	—	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	—	-	—	-	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	10.2	10.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	10.3
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_

Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	1.69	1.69	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.71
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.13. Architectural Coating (2025) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T		PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	-	_	_	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	—	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.13	0.88	1.14	< 0.005	0.03	_	0.03	0.03	_	0.03	_	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	-	134
Architect ural Coatings		28.8	-	-			-	_	—	—	-	_	-	_	-		—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	_	-		_	-
Average Daily	_	—	—	—	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	—	_	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.01	0.04	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	_	6.58	6.58	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	6.61
Architect ural Coatings		1.42	_	_			_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Off-Road Equipmen		< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	1.09	1.09	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.09
Architect ural Coatings		0.26	_	_		_	-	-	-	_		_	_	—	_	-	_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	-	-	—	—	—	—	—	-	-	-	-	-	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.35	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	66.1	66.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.25	67.1
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	_		_	_	_	_	-	-	-
Average Daily	_	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.97	2.97	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	3.01
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.49	0.49	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.50
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Site Preparation	Site Preparation	9/1/2023	11/2/2023	5.00	45.0	—
Grading	Grading	11/3/2023	11/25/2023	5.00	16.0	—
Building Construction	Building Construction	11/26/2023	6/1/2025	5.00	395	—
Paving	Paving	5/7/2025	6/1/2025	5.00	18.0	—
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	5/7/2025	6/1/2025	5.00	18.0	—

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	4.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	367	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	14.0	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	3.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	46.0	0.45
Paving	Cement and Mortar Mixers	Diesel	Average	2.00	6.00	10.0	0.56
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Average	2.00	6.00	89.0	0.36
Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	2.00	6.00	36.0	0.38

Paving	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	37.0	0.48
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	367	0.40
Grading	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	36.0	0.38

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Тгір Туре	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Site Preparation	-	-	-	—
Site Preparation	Worker	17.5	14.3	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	18.0	8.80	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Grading	—	—	—	—
Grading	Worker	15.0	14.3	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	14.0	8.80	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Building Construction	—	—	—	—
Building Construction	Worker	29.1	14.3	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	11.4	8.80	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Paving	—	—	—	—
Paving	Worker	20.0	14.3	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	—	8.80	HHDT,MHDT

Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck		—	HHDT
Architectural Coating	—	—	-	—
Architectural Coating	Worker	5.82	14.3	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	—	8.80	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	_	_	HHDT

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction
Water unpaved roads twice daily	55%	55%
Limit vehicle speeds on unpaved roads to 25 mph	44%	44%
Sweep paved roads once per month	9%	9%

5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
Architectural Coating	0.00	0.00	104,018	34,673	7,762

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (cy)	Material Exported (cy)	Acres Graded (acres)	Material Demolished (sq. ft.)	Acres Paved (acres)
Site Preparation	—	—	67.5	0.00	—
Grading	_	—	16.0	0.00	_

Paving 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.97
-------------	------	------	------	------

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt			
Elementary School	0.00	0%			
Parking Lot	0.34	100%			
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	2.63	0%			

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2023	0.00	375	0.01	< 0.005
2024	0.00	375	0.01	< 0.005
2025	0.00	375	0.01	< 0.005

8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Land Use	Based on District info., see assumptions file
Construction: Construction Phases	Based on District info., see assumptions file
Operations: Vehicle Data	No new trips based on traffic study, see assumptions file

CalEEMod Construction Model P2.2

SCUS-03 P3 Custom Report

Table of Contents

- 1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.2. Land Use Types
 - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
- 2. Emissions Summary
 - 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
- 3. Construction Emissions Details
 - 3.1. Demolition (2025) Unmitigated
 - 3.3. Site Preparation (2025) Unmitigated
 - 3.5. Grading (2025) Unmitigated
 - 3.7. Paving (2025) Unmitigated
 - 3.9. Architectural Coating (2025) Unmitigated
- 5. Activity Data

- 5.1. Construction Schedule
- 5.2. Off-Road Equipment
 - 5.2.1. Unmitigated
- 5.3. Construction Vehicles
 - 5.3.1. Unmitigated
- 5.4. Vehicles
 - 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies
- 5.5. Architectural Coatings
- 5.6. Dust Mitigation
 - 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities
 - 5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies
- 5.7. Construction Paving
- 5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors
- 8. User Changes to Default Data

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	SCUS-03 P3
Lead Agency	_
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	3.00
Precipitation (days)	36.6
Location	7495 29th St, Sacramento, CA 95822, USA
County	Sacramento
City	Sacramento
Air District	Sacramento Metropolitan AQMD
Air Basin	Sacramento Valley
TAZ	773
EDFZ	13
Electric Utility	Sacramento Municipal Utility District
Gas Utility	Pacific Gas & Electric

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
Parking Lot	62.0	1000sqft	1.42	0.00	0.00	—	—	—
Other Asphalt Surfaces	57.0	1000sqft	1.31	0.00	0.00			—

Other Non-As	phalt	20.0	1000sqft	3.00	0.00	160,000	 	
Surfaces								

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

No measures selected

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	-	-	-		—	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	—
Unmit.	4.08	15.0	35.2	31.6	0.08	1.37	22.2	23.2	1.26	10.2	11.4	_	10,759	10,759	0.82	1.16	15.9	11,143
Average Daily (Max)	—	_	-	_	_		_		_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	
Unmit.	0.26	0.43	2.10	2.43	< 0.005	0.09	0.59	0.68	0.08	0.19	0.27	—	489	489	0.02	0.02	0.15	496
Annual (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Unmit.	0.05	0.08	0.38	0.44	< 0.005	0.02	0.11	0.12	0.01	0.03	0.05	_	80.9	80.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	82.1

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

		· · ·		<i>J</i> / <i>J</i>		/	· · ·				/							
Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	_			_	_	_	—		—				_	—	-	_	—	—
2025	4.08	15.0	35.2	31.6	0.08	1.37	22.2	23.2	1.26	10.2	11.4	—	10,759	10,759	0.82	1.16	15.9	11,143

Daily - Winter (Max)	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_			_	-	-
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			—	—	—
2025	0.26	0.43	2.10	2.43	< 0.005	0.09	0.59	0.68	0.08	0.19	0.27	—	489	489	0.02	0.02	0.15	496
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—
2025	0.05	0.08	0.38	0.44	< 0.005	0.02	0.11	0.12	0.01	0.03	0.05	_	80.9	80.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	82.1

3. Construction Emissions Details

3.1. Demolition (2025) - Unmitigated

		· · ·	-	<i>.</i> , ,							· · · · ·							
Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		_		_	_					_		_	_			_		—
Off-Road Equipmen		2.40	22.2	19.9	0.03	0.92		0.92	0.84	—	0.84	—	3,425	3,425	0.14	0.03	—	3,437
Demolitio n	_	—	—	-	—	—	20.2	20.2	—	3.05	3.05	-	-	—	-	-	—	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	—	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	_
Average Daily	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen		0.03	0.30	0.27	< 0.005	0.01	_	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	_	46.9	46.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	47.1

Demolitio n		_	_	_	—	_	0.28	0.28	—	0.04	0.04	_	—	_	-	-	_	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.01	0.06	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	7.77	7.77	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	7.79
Demolitio n	_	_	_	—	—	—	0.05	0.05	—	0.01	0.01	_	-	_	_	—	—	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	-		-	-	-				-	-	-	-	-		_
Worker	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	170	170	< 0.005	0.01	0.65	173
Vendor	0.04	0.02	0.63	0.23	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	-	347	347	0.02	0.05	0.90	364
Hauling	0.84	0.18	12.3	4.77	0.04	0.12	0.53	0.65	0.12	0.16	0.29	—	6,817	6,817	0.66	1.08	14.3	7,169
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	_	-	—	—	-	-	—	—	—	—	_	-	—	-	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	-	—	_	—	—	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	2.13	2.13	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	2.15
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	4.75	4.75	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	4.98
Hauling	0.01	< 0.005	0.18	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	93.4	93.4	0.01	0.01	0.09	98.1
Annual	—	-	—	—	-	—	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	—	—	-	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.35	0.35	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.36
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.79	0.79	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.82
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	15.5	15.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	16.2

3.3. Site Preparation (2025) - Unmitigated

		(, 101 aan	.,, .o., ,.					i aany, n	,	annaarj							
Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	-
Off-Road Equipmen		3.31	31.6	30.2	0.05	1.37	—	1.37	1.26	_	1.26	_	5,295	5,295	0.21	0.04	-	5,314
Dust From Material Movemen	 :	_	_	_	_	_	19.7	19.7	_	10.1	10.1	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	-	-
Average Daily		-	-	-	—	—	-	—	-	—	—	—	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.03	0.26	0.25	< 0.005	0.01	_	0.01	0.01	_	0.01	_	43.5	43.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	43.7
Dust From Material Movemen	 :		_	-	-	-	0.16	0.16	-	0.08	0.08	-	-	-				_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen		< 0.005	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	7.21	7.21	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	7.23

Dust From Material Movemen	 r:	_	_	_		—	0.03	0.03	_	0.02	0.02		-		_	_	_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	—	_		_	_	_	-	_
Worker	0.08	0.07	0.05	1.05	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	199	199	< 0.005	0.01	0.76	202
Vendor	0.06	0.02	1.00	0.37	< 0.005	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.02	_	550	550	0.04	0.08	1.43	576
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_		_		_	_	_	_	_	_		_				_
Average Daily	_	_	_		-	_	—	—	-	—	_	-	—	—	_	_	_	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	1.49	1.49	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.51
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	4.52	4.52	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	4.73
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.25	0.25	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.25
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.75	0.75	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.78
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.5. Grading (2025) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	_

Daily, Summer (Max)		_	-	-	-	_	_	-		-	_	-	_	-	_	_		_
Off-Road Equipmen		1.74	16.3	17.9	0.03	0.72	_	0.72	0.66	-	0.66	-	2,959	2,959	0.12	0.02	-	2,970
Dust From Material Movemen	 1	-	_				7.08	7.08		3.42	3.42			-	-			_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		—	-	—	-	_	—	-	_	—	-	—	-	_	_	_	_	-
Average Daily		—	-	—		—	-	—	—	-	—	_	—	_	—	-	-	
Off-Road Equipmen		0.02	0.22	0.25	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	-	40.5	40.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	40.7
Dust From Material Movemen	 :	-	-		_		0.10	0.10		0.05	0.05	-		-	-		_	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen		< 0.005	0.04	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	6.71	6.71	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	6.73
Dust From Material Movemen		-	_	_	_		0.02	0.02		0.01	0.01			-	-			-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-

Daily, Summer (Max)		_	-	-	_	_	-	_		_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
Worker	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	170	170	< 0.005	0.01	0.65	173
Vendor	0.04	0.02	0.73	0.27	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	-	405	405	0.03	0.06	1.05	424
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_	—		—	—	_	_	—	-	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.13	2.13	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	2.15
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	5.55	5.55	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	5.81
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.35	0.35	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.36
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.92	0.92	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.96
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.7. Paving (2025) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)														—				
Off-Road Equipmen		0.80	7.45	9.98	0.01	0.35	_	0.35	0.32	—	0.32	—	1,511	1,511	0.06	0.01	—	1,517
Paving	_	0.14	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	—	—	_	_	-	—	_	_	_
Average Daily	—	—	_	—	—	_	-	—	_	—	—	-	-	_	—	-	_	—
Off-Road Equipmen		0.12	1.08	1.45	< 0.005	0.05	-	0.05	0.05	—	0.05	-	219	219	0.01	< 0.005	-	220
Paving	_	0.02	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-
Off-Road Equipmen		0.02	0.20	0.26	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	_	0.01	-	36.3	36.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	36.5
Paving	_	< 0.005	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	-	-	-	-		_	_	-	_	_		-			_	-
Worker	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	170	170	< 0.005	0.01	0.65	173
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	_	-	-			-	-			_		-			_	-
Average Daily	—		_	—		_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	-
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.10	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	22.5	22.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	22.8
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	-	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	3.73	3.73	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	3.78
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.9. Architectural Coating (2025) - Unmitigated

	TOO				000	DIALOF	DIALOD	DIMOT			DIA ST	DOOD		OOOT			-	
Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_		_
Off-Road Equipmen		0.13	0.88	1.14	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	—	134
Architect ural Coatings	_	13.9	_	_	_	_		_	_									_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	-	_	_		_	_									_
Average Daily		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—		—	—			_	—		—
Off-Road Equipmen		< 0.005	0.01	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	1.83	1.83	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.84
Architect ural Coatings	_	0.19	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	_	_		_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Annual	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	—	—	—	_	—
Off-Road Equipmer		< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	0.30	0.30	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.30
Architect ural Coatings	_	0.03	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	-	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	_	_	-	—	—	—	—	_	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		_					—	_		-	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	—
Worker	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	
Average Daily	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	—	_	—	_	_	_
Worker	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Demolition	Demolition	6/1/2025	6/8/2025	5.00	5.00	—
Site Preparation	Site Preparation	6/9/2025	6/11/2025	5.00	3.00	—
Grading	Grading	6/12/2025	6/18/2025	5.00	5.00	—
Paving	Paving	6/19/2025	9/1/2025	5.00	53.0	—
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	8/26/2025	9/1/2025	5.00	5.00	_

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	33.0	0.73
Demolition	Excavators	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	367	0.40
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	367	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	4.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	89.0	0.36
Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	37.0	0.48

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Тгір Туре	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Demolition	-	—	-	-
Demolition	Worker	15.0	14.3	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor	12.0	8.80	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	92.0	20.0	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck	—	_	HHDT
Site Preparation	—	—	_	—
Site Preparation	Worker	17.5	14.3	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	19.0	8.80	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Grading	—	—	—	—
Grading	Worker	15.0	14.3	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	14.0	8.80	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Paving	—	—	—	—
Paving	Worker	15.0	14.3	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	—	8.80	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	_	—	HHDT
Architectural Coating	—	_	—	—
Architectural Coating	Worker	0.00	14.3	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	—	8.80	HHDT,MHDT

Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	—	-	HHDT

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction
Water unpaved roads twice daily	55%	55%
Limit vehicle speeds on unpaved roads to 25 mph	44%	44%
Sweep paved roads once per month	9%	9%

5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)		Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
Architectural Coating	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14,981

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (cy)	Material Exported (cy)		Material Demolished (Ton of Debris)	Acres Paved (acres)
Demolition	0.00	0.00	0.00	4,596	—
Site Preparation	—		4.50	0.00	_
Grading	—	—	5.00	0.00	_
Paving	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.73

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
Parking Lot	1.42	100%
Other Asphalt Surfaces	1.31	100%
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	3.00	0%

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2025	0.00	375	0.01	< 0.005

8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Land Use	Based on District info., see assumptions file
Construction: Construction Phases	Based on District info., see assumptions file
Construction: Trips and VMT	Calculated water truck trips and demo haul trips in assumptions file

Sac Metro Minor Health Screening Tool

AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

Minor Project Health Effects Tool

Latitude	38.579336	< Step 1: Input latitude (Please chose a value between 38.0 and 39.7)		
Longitude	-121.494119	< Step 2: Input longitude (Please chose a value between -122.5 and -120.0)		

PM2.5 Health Endpoint	Age Range ¹	Incidences Across the Reduced Sacramento 4- km Modeling Domain Resulting from Project Emissions (per year) ^{2,5} (Mean)	Incidences Across the 5-Air- District Region Resulting from Project Emissions (per year) ² (Mean)	Percent of Background Health Incidences Across the 5-Air-District Region ³	Total Number of Health Incidences Across the 5-Air- District Region (per year) ⁴
Respiratory					
Emergency Room Visits, Asthma	0 - 99	1.2	1.1	0.0060%	18419
Hospital Admissions, Asthma	0 - 64	0.081	0.074	0.0040%	1846
Hospital Admissions, All Respiratory	65 - 99	0.33	0.28	0.0014%	19644
Cardiovascular					
Hospital Admissions, All Cardiovascular (less Myocardial Infarctions)	65 - 99	0.18	0.16	0.00065%	24037
Acute Myocardial Infarction, Nonfatal	18 - 24	0.00012	0.000096	0.0025%	4
Acute Myocardial Infarction, Nonfatal	25 - 44	0.0098	0.0091	0.0030%	308
Acute Myocardial Infarction, Nonfatal	45 - 54	0.021	0.020	0.0027%	741
Acute Myocardial Infarction, Nonfatal	55 - 64	0.034	0.032	0.0026%	1239
Acute Myocardial Infarction, Nonfatal	65 - 99	0.11	0.10	0.0020%	5052
Mortality					
Mortality, All Cause	30 - 99	2.3	1.9	0.0042%	44766

Ozone Health Endpoint	Age Range ¹	Incidences Across the Reduced Sacramento 4- km Modeling Domain Resulting from Project Emissions (per year) ^{2,5}	Incidences Across the 5-Air- District Region Resulting from Project Emissions (per year) ²	Percent of Background Health Incidences Across the 5-Air-District Region ³	Total Number of Health Incidences Across the 5-Air- District Region (per year) ⁴
Descrimentaria		(Mean)	(Mean)		
Respiratory					
Hospital Admissions, All Respiratory	65 - 99	0.084	0.065	0.00033%	19644
Emergency Room Visits, Asthma	0 - 17	0.46	0.39	0.0066%	5859
Emergency Room Visits, Asthma	18 - 99	0.72	0.60	0.0048%	12560
Mortality					
Mortality, Non-Accidental	0 - 99	0.053	0.043	0.00014%	30386

1. Affected age ranges are shown. Other age ranges are available, but the endpoints and age ranges shown here are the ones used by the USEPA in their health assessments. The age ranges are consistent with the epidemiological study that is the basis of the health function.

2. Health effects are shown in terms of incidences of each health endpoint and how it compares to the base (2035 base year health effect incidences, or "background health incidence") values. Health effects are shown for the Reduced Sacramento 4-km Modeling Domain and the 5-Air-District Region.

3. The percent of background health incidence uses the mean incidence. The background health incidence is an estimate of the average number of people that are affected by the health endpoint in a given population over a given period of time. In this case, the background incidence rates cover the 5-Air-District Region (estimated 2035 population of

3,271,451 persons). Health incidence rates and other health data are typically collected by the government as well as the World Health Organization. The background incidence rates used here are obtained from BenMAP.

4. The total number of health incidences across the 5-Air-District Region is calculated based on the modeling data. The information is presented to assist in providing overall health context.

5. The technical specifications and map for the Reduced Sacramento 4-km Modeling Domain are included in Appendix A, Table A-1 and Appendix B, Figure B-2 of the *Guidance* to Address the Friant Ranch Ruling for CEQA Projects in the Sac Metro Air District.

Sac Metro Air District Minor Project Health Effects Tool, version 2, published June 2020