BAY POINT STORAGE YARD AIR QUALITY EMISSIONS ASSESSMENT

Contra Costa County, California

November 16, 2021

Prepared for:

B. Reid Settlemier Redwood Property Investors, LLC 510/339-9905/Work Email: <u>reid@redwood-property.com</u>

Prepared by:

James Reyff

ILLINGWORTH & RODKIN, INC.

Acoustics • Air Quality 429 East Cotati Avenue Cotati, CA 94931 (707) 794-0400

Project: #21-149

INTRODUCTION

Redwood Property Investors proposes construction of an equipment storage yard on a 10-acre parcel that would include a detention basin for water filtration. A construction air quality analysis has been requested by the County:

Air Quality Analysis report assessing the emissions created by the construction, i.e., grading, site improvement installation, and truck trips delivering to and from project site for construction related activities. Staff assumes traffic trips for business operations cannot be assessed as a client has yet to be determined.

The purpose of this report is to predict air pollutant emissions during construction and predict the health risk impacts to nearby sensitive receptors. This analysis addresses those issues following the guidance provided by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD).¹

Setting

The project is located in Contra Costa County, which is in the San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin. Ambient air quality standards have been established at both the State and federal level. The Bay Area meets all ambient air quality standards with the exception of ground-level ozone, respirable particulate matter (PM_{10}), and fine particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$).

Air Pollutants of Concern

High ozone levels are caused by the cumulative emissions of reactive organic gases (ROG) and nitrogen oxides (NO_X). These precursor pollutants react under certain meteorological conditions to form high ozone levels. Controlling the emissions of these precursor pollutants is the focus of the Bay Area's attempts to reduce ozone levels. The highest ozone levels in the Bay Area occur in the eastern and southern inland valleys that are downwind of air pollutant sources. High ozone levels aggravate respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, reduce lung function, and increase coughing and chest discomfort.

Particulate matter is another problematic air pollutant of the Bay Area. Particulate matter is assessed and measured in terms of respirable particulate matter or particles that have a diameter of 10 micrometers or less (PM_{10}) and fine particulate matter where particles have a diameter of 2.5 micrometers or less ($PM_{2.5}$). Elevated concentrations of PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ are the result of both region-wide (or cumulative) emissions and localized emissions. High particulate matter levels aggravate respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, reduce lung function, increase mortality (e.g., lung cancer), and result in reduced lung function growth in children.

¹ Bay Area Air Quality Management District, CEQA Air Quality Guidelines, May 2017.

Toxic Air Contaminants

TACs are a broad class of compounds known to cause morbidity or mortality (usually because they cause cancer) and include, but are not limited to, the criteria air pollutants. TACs are found in ambient air, especially in urban areas, and are caused by industry, agriculture, fuel combustion, and commercial operations (e.g., dry cleaners). TACs are typically found in low concentrations, even near their source (e.g., diesel particulate matter [DPM] near a freeway). Because chronic exposure can result in adverse health effects, TACs are regulated at the regional, State, and federal level.

Diesel exhaust is the predominant TAC in urban air and is estimated to represent about threequarters of the cancer risk from TACs (based on the Bay Area average). According to the California Air Resources Board (CARB), diesel exhaust is a complex mixture of gases, vapors, and fine particles. This complexity makes the evaluation of health effects of diesel exhaust a complex scientific issue. Some of the chemicals in diesel exhaust, such as benzene and formaldehyde, have been previously identified as TACs by the CARB, and are listed as carcinogens either under the State's Proposition 65 or under the Federal Hazardous Air Pollutants programs. The most recent Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) risk assessment guidelines were published in February of 2015.²

Regulatory Setting

Federal Regulations

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets nationwide emission standards for mobile sources, which include on-road (highway) motor vehicles such trucks, buses, and automobiles, and non-road (off-road) vehicles and equipment used in construction, agricultural, industrial, and mining activities (such as bulldozers and loaders). The EPA also sets nationwide fuel standards. California also has the ability to set motor vehicle emission standards and standards for fuel used in California, as long as they are the same or more stringent than the Federal standards.

In the past decade the EPA has established a number of emission standards for on- and non-road heavy-duty diesel engines used in trucks and other equipment. This was done in part because diesel engines are a significant source of nitrogen oxides, or NO_X, and particulate matter (PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}) and because the EPA has identified diesel particulate matter as a probable carcinogen. Implementation of the heavy-duty diesel on-road vehicle standards and the non-road diesel engine standards are estimated to reduce PM and NO_X emissions from diesel engines up to 95 percent in 2030 when the heavy-duty vehicle fleet is completely replaced with newer heavy-duty vehicles that comply with these emission standards.³

² OEHHA, 2015. Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Risk Assessment Guidelines, The Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. February.

³ USEPA, 2000. *Regulatory Announcement, Heavy-Duty Engine and Vehicle Standards and Highway Diesel Fuel Sulfur Control Requirements*. EPA420-F-00-057. December.

In concert with the diesel engine emission standards, the EPA has also substantially reduced the amount of sulfur allowed in diesel fuels. The sulfur contained in diesel fuel is a significant contributor to the formation of particulate matter in diesel-fueled engine exhaust. The new standards reduced the amount of sulfur allowed by 97 percent for highway diesel fuel (from 500 parts per million by weight [ppmw] to 15 ppmw), and by 99 percent for off-highway diesel fuel (from about 3,000 ppmw to 15 ppmw). The low sulfur highway fuel (15 ppmw sulfur), also called ultra-low sulfur diesel (ULSD) is currently required for use by all vehicles in the U.S.

All of the above Federal diesel engine and diesel fuel requirements have been adopted by California, in some cases with modifications making the requirements more stringent or the implementation dates sooner.

State Regulations

To address the issue of diesel emissions in the state, CARB developed the Risk Reduction Plan to Reduce Particulate Matter Emissions from Diesel-Fueled Engines and Vehicles⁴. In addition to requiring more stringent emission standards for new on-road and off-road mobile sources and stationary diesel-fueled engines to reduce particulate matter emissions by 90 percent, a significant component of the plan involves application of emission control strategies to existing diesel vehicles and equipment. Many of the measures of the Diesel Risk Reduction Plan have been approved and adopted, including the Federal on-road and non-road diesel engine emission standards for new engines, as well as adoption of regulations for low sulfur fuel in California.

CARB has adopted and implemented a number of regulations for stationary and mobile sources to reduce emissions of DPM. Several of these regulatory programs affect medium and heavy-duty diesel trucks that represent the bulk of DPM emissions from California highways. CARB regulations require on-road diesel trucks to be retrofitted with particulate matter controls or replaced to meet 2010 or later engine standards that have much lower DPM and PM_{2.5} emissions. This regulation will substantially reduce these emissions between 2013 and 2023. While new trucks and buses will meet strict federal standards, this measure is intended to accelerate the rate at which the fleet either turns over so there are more cleaner vehicles on the road, or is retrofitted to meet similar standards. With this regulation, older, more polluting trucks would be removed from the roads sooner.

CARB has also adopted and implemented regulations to reduce DPM and NO_X emissions from inuse (existing) and new off-road heavy-duty diesel vehicles (e.g., loaders, tractors, bulldozers, backhoes, off-highway trucks, etc.). The regulations apply to diesel-powered off-road vehicles with engines 25 horsepower (hp) or greater. The regulations are intended to reduce particulate matter and NO_X exhaust emissions by requiring owners to turn over their fleet (replace older equipment with newer equipment) or retrofit existing equipment in order to achieve specified fleetaveraged emission rates. Implementation of this regulation, in conjunction with stringent Federal off-road equipment engine emission limits for new vehicles, will significantly reduce emissions of DPM and NO_X.

⁴ California Air Resources Board, 2000. *Risk Reduction Plan to Reduce Particulate Matter Emissions from Diesel-Fueled Engines and Vehicles*. October.

Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD)

BAAQMD has jurisdiction over an approximately 5,600-square mile area, commonly referred to as the San Francisco Bay Area (Bay Area). The District's boundary encompasses the nine San Francisco Bay Area counties, including Alameda County, Contra Costa County, Marin County, San Francisco County, San Mateo County, Santa Clara County, Napa County, southwestern Solano County and southern Sonoma County.

BAAQMD is the lead agency in developing plans to address attainment and maintenance of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards and California Ambient Air Quality Standards. The District also has permit authority over most types of stationary equipment utilized for the proposed project. The BAAQMD is responsible for permitting and inspection of stationary sources; enforcement of regulations, including setting fees, levying fines, and enforcement actions; and ensuring that public nuisances are minimized.

BAAQMD's Community Air Risk Evaluation (CARE) program was initiated in 2004 to evaluate and reduce health risks associated with exposures to outdoor TACs in the Bay Area.⁵ The program examines TAC emissions from point sources, area sources, and on-road and off-road mobile sources with an emphasis on diesel exhaust, which is a major contributor to airborne health risk in California. The CARE program is an on-going program that encourages community involvement and input. The technical analysis portion of the CARE program is being implemented in three phases that includes an assessment of the sources of TAC emissions, modeling and measurement programs to estimate concentrations of TAC, and an assessment of exposures and health risks. Throughout the program, information derived from the technical analyses will be used to focus emission reduction measures in areas with high TAC exposures and high density of sensitive populations. Risk reduction activities associated with the CARE program are focused on the most at-risk communities in the Bay Area. Overburdened communities are areas located (i) within a census tract identified by the California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool (CalEnviroScreen), Version 4.0 implemented by OEHHA, as having an overall CalEnviroScreen score at or above the 70th percentile, or (ii) within 1,000 feet of any such census tract.⁶ The BAAQMD has identified six communities as impacted: Concord, Richmond/San Pablo, Western Alameda County, San José, Redwood City/East Palo Alto, and Eastern San Francisco. The project site is not within a CARE area but is within a BAAQMD overburdened area with a percentile score of 84 as identified by CalEnviroScreen.

The BAAQMD *California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Air Quality Guidelines*⁷ were prepared to assist in the evaluation of air quality impacts of projects and plans proposed within the Bay Area. The guidelines provide recommended procedures for evaluating potential air impacts during the environmental review process consistent with CEQA requirements including thresholds

⁵ See BAAQMD: <u>https://www.baaqmd.gov/community-health/community-health-protection-program/community-air-risk-evaluation-care-program</u>, accessed 2/18/2021.

⁶ See BAAQMD: <u>https://www.baaqmd.gov/~/media/dotgov/files/rules/reg-2-permits/2021-</u>

<u>amendments/documents/20210722 01 appendixd mapsofoverburdenedcommunities-pdf.pdf?la=en</u>, accessed 10/1/2021.

⁷ Bay Area Air Quality Management District, 2011. *CEQA Air Quality Guidelines*. May. (Updated May 2017)

of significance, mitigation measures, and background air quality information. They also include assessment methodologies for air toxics, odors, and greenhouse gas emissions.

Sensitive Receptors

There are groups of people more affected by air pollution than others. CARB has identified the following persons who are most likely to be affected by air pollution: children under 16, the elderly over 65, athletes, and people with cardiovascular and chronic respiratory diseases. These groups are classified as sensitive receptors. Locations that may contain a high concentration of these sensitive population groups include residential areas, hospitals, daycare facilities, elder care facilities, and elementary schools. For cancer risk assessments, infants and children are the most sensitive receptors, since they are more susceptible to cancer causing TACs. Residential locations are assumed to include infants and small children. The closest sensitive receptors to the project site are the residents in single-and multi-family homes about 200 to 1,000 feet to the west and southwest across Port Chicago Highway.

Significance Thresholds

In June 2010, BAAQMD adopted thresholds of significance to assist in the review of projects under CEQA and these significance thresholds were contained in the District's 2011 *CEQA Air Quality Guidelines*. These thresholds were designed to establish the level at which BAAQMD believed air pollution emissions would cause significant environmental impacts under CEQA. The thresholds were challenged through a series of court challenges and were mostly upheld. BAAQMD updated the *CEQA Air Quality Guidelines* in 2017 to include the latest significance thresholds that were used in this analysis are summarized in Table 1. Impacts above these thresholds are considered potentially significant.

	Construction Thresholds	Operationa	l Thresholds							
Criteria Air Pollutant	Average Daily Emissions (lbs./day)	Average Daily Emissions (lbs./day)	Annual Average Emissions (tons/year)							
ROG	54	54	10							
NO _x	54	54	10							
PM ₁₀	82 (Exhaust)	82	15							
PM _{2.5}	54 (Exhaust)	54	10							
СО	Not Applicable	9.0 ppm (8-hour average) or 20.0 ppm (1-hou average)								
Fugitive Dust	Construction Dust Ordinance or other Best Management Practices	Not Ap	pplicable							
Note: ROG = reactive organic gases, NOx = nitrogen oxides, PM_{10} = course particulate matter or particulates with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 micrometers (µm) or less, $PM_{2.5}$ = fine particulate matter or particulates with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5µm or less.										

Table 1.	BAAQMD	Air Quality	Emission	Significance	Thresholds
		~~~~~		~- <u>B</u>	

# CONSTRUCTION PERIOD EMISSIONS

The California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod) Version 2020.4.0 was used to estimate emissions from on-site construction activity, construction vehicle trips, and evaporative emissions. The project land use types and size, and anticipated construction schedule were input to CalEEMod. The model incorporates CARB EMission FACtors 2017 (EMFAC2017) model to predict emissions from construction traffic, which includes worker travel, vendor trucks, and haul trucks.⁸ The CalEEMod model output and construction inputs are included in *Attachment 1*.

## CalEEMod Inputs

## Land Use Inputs

The proposed project land uses were entered into CalEEMod as described in Table 2.

Table 1. Summary of Frojee	t Lanu Use	Inputs	Tuble 1. Summary of Froject Land Ose inputs													
Project Land Uses	Size	Units	Square Feet (sf)	Acreage												
Industrial Park	1.44	1,000 square feet	Office $= 1,440$	9.7												

## Table 1.Summary of Project Land Use Inputs

CalEEMod computes annual emissions for construction that are based on the project type, size, and acreage. The model provides emission estimates for both on-site and off-site construction activities. On-site activities are primarily made up of construction equipment emissions, while off-site activity includes worker, hauling, and vendor traffic. The construction build-out scenario, including equipment list and schedule, were based on construction information provided by the project applicant.

The CalEEMod construction equipment worksheet provided for this project included the schedule for each phase. Within each phase, the quantity of equipment to be used along with the average hours per day and total number of workdays was provided. Since different equipment would have different estimates of the working days per phase, the hours per day for each phase was computed by dividing the total number of hours that the equipment would be used by the total number of days in that phase. The construction schedule assumed that the earliest possible start date would be January 2022 and the project would be built out over a period of approximately two to three months, or 59 construction workdays.

## Construction Truck Traffic Emissions

Construction would produce traffic in the form of worker trips and truck traffic. The traffic-related emissions are based on worker and vendor trip estimates produced by CalEEMod and haul trips that were computed based on the estimate of demolition material to be exported, soil material imported and/or exported to the site, and the estimate of cement and asphalt truck trips. CalEEMod provides daily estimates of worker and vendor trips for each applicable phase. The total trips for those were computed by multiplying the daily trip rate by the number of days in that phase. Haul trips for demolition and grading were estimated from the provided demolition and grading volumes

⁸ See CARB's EMFAC2017 Web Database at <u>https://www.arb.ca.gov/emfac/2017/</u>

by assuming each truck could carry 10 tons per load. The number of concrete and asphalt total round haul trips were provided for the project and converted to total one-way trips, assuming two trips per round-trip delivery.

## Summary of Computed Construction Period Emissions

CalEEMod provided the total construction emissions. Average daily emissions were computed by dividing the total construction emissions by the number of active workdays during that year. Table 3 shows average daily construction emissions of ROG,  $NO_X$ ,  $PM_{10}$  exhaust, and  $PM_{2.5}$  exhaust during construction of the project. As indicated in Table 3, predicted construction period emissions would not exceed the BAAQMD significance thresholds.

Year	ROG	NOx	PM ₁₀ Exhaust	PM _{2.5} Exhaust
Construc	ction Emissions H	Per Year (Tons)		
Project construction and hauling in 2021	0.014	0.143	0.001	0.001
Annualized Dail	y Construction E	Emissions (pound.	s/day)	
Average daily emissions ¹	0.5	4.8	0.2	0.2
BAAQMD Thresholds (pounds per day)	54 lbs./day	54 lbs./day	82 lbs./day	54 lbs./day
Exceed Threshold?	No	No	No	No
Notes: ¹ Assumes 59 construction workdays.				

## Table 3.Construction Period Emissions

Construction activities, particularly during site preparation and grading, would temporarily generate fugitive dust in the form of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}. Sources of fugitive dust would include disturbed soils at the construction site and trucks carrying uncovered loads of soils. Unless properly controlled, vehicles leaving the site would deposit mud on local streets, which could be an additional source of airborne dust after it dries. The BAAQMD CEQA Air Quality Guidelines consider these impacts to be less-than-significant if best management practices are implemented to reduce these emissions. *Mitigation Measure AQ-1 would implement BAAQMD-recommended best management practices*.

# *Mitigation Measure AQ-1:* Include basic measures to control dust and exhaust during construction.

Construction contractors shall implement the following Best Management Practices that are recommended by the BAAQMD CEQA Air Quality Guidelines for all projects:

- 1. All exposed surfaces (e.g., parking areas, staging areas, soil piles, graded areas, and unpaved access roads) shall be watered two times per day.
- 2. All haul trucks transporting soil, sand, or other loose material off-site shall be covered.
- 3. All visible mud or dirt track-out onto adjacent public roads shall be removed using wet power vacuum street sweepers at least once per day. The use of dry power sweeping is prohibited.

- 4. All vehicle speeds on unpaved roads shall be limited to 15 miles per hour (mph).
- 5. All roadways, driveways, and sidewalks to be paved shall be completed as soon as possible. Building pads shall be laid as soon as possible after grading unless seeding or soil binders are used.
- 6. Idling times shall be minimized either by shutting equipment off when not in use or reducing the maximum idling time to 5 minutes (as required by the California airborne toxics control measure Title 13, Section 2485 of California Code of Regulations [CCR]). Clear signage shall be provided for construction workers at all access points.
- 7. All construction equipment shall be maintained and properly tuned in accordance with manufacturer's specifications. All equipment shall be checked by a certified mechanic and determined to be running in proper condition prior to operation.
- 8. Post a publicly visible sign with the telephone number and person to contact at the Lead Agency regarding dust complaints. This person shall respond and take corrective action within 48 hours. The Air District's phone number shall also be visible to ensure compliance with applicable regulations.

## **OPERATION EMISSIONS**

Operational emissions would be primarily the result of truck deliveries and worker trips to the site. Since this is a storage yard, there would not be deliveries every day. According to the applicant, there would be about 3 deliveries per week. This activity would result in negligible emissions of less than one per day of any criteria air pollutant or precursor pollutants. Negligible emissions in this case are considered emissions of less than one per day.

## SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

Attachment 1 includes the CalEEMod output for project construction emissions.

**Attachment 1: CalEEMod Modeling Output** 

#### EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

BayPointe Construciton Yard

Contra Costa County, Annual

## **1.0 Project Characteristics**

#### 1.1 Land Usage

Lar	d Uses	Size		Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
Indus	trial Park	1.44		1000sqft	9.70	1,440.00	0
1.2 Other Proje	ect Characteristic	S					
Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	2.2	Precipitation Freq (Days	<b>5</b> 8		
Climate Zone	4			Operational Year	2023		
Utility Company	Pacific Gas and Electri	c Company					
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	203.98	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.033	N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.004		
1.3 User Enter	ed Comments & N	Ion-Default Data					
Project Characte	ristics -						
Land Use - Build	ng space is 1.44 ksf						
Construction Pha	ise - Default using e	quipment list					
Off-road Equipme	ent - Trenching phas	e - Construction worksheet					
Off-road Equipme	ent - Construction wo	orksheet					
Off-road Equipme	ent - Construction wo	orksheet					
Off-road Equipme	ent - Construction wo	orksheet					
Off-road Equipme	ent - Construction wo	orksheet					
Grading -							
Fleet Mix - Assur	ne half light trucks (p	bickups) and half heavy truck	s (HMDT and H⊦	IDT)			

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	20.00	5.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	230.00	20.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	20.00	10.00

#### EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

PhaseEndDate	1/27/2023	3/11/2022
	0/44/0000	0/11/0000
PhaseEndDate	3/11/2022	2/11/2022
PhaseEndDate	2/24/2023	3/24/2023
PhaseEndDate	2/11/2022	1/14/2022
PhaseStartDate	3/12/2022	2/12/2022
PhaseStartDate	2/12/2022	1/15/2022
PhaseStartDate	1/28/2023	3/12/2023
PhaseStartDate	1/29/2022	1/3/2022
HHD	7.0280e-003	0.25
LDA	0.56	0.00
LDT1	0.06	0.50
LDT2	0.18	0.00
LHD1	0.02	0.00
LHD2	5.4800e-003	0.00
MCY	0.03	0.00
MDV	0.13	0.00
МН	3.4810e-003	0.00
MHD	7.0160e-003	0.25
OBUS	5.5100e-004	0.00
SBUS	1.2310e-003	0.00
UBUS	3.4300e-004	0.00
LotAcreage	0.03	9.70
OffRoadEquipmentType		Excavators
OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	3.00	0.00
OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	2.00	1.00
OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	2.00	1.00
OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	2.00	1.00
OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	3.00	1.00
OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
	PhaseEndDate PhaseEndDate PhaseEndDate PhaseStartDate PhaseStartDate PhaseStartDate PhaseStartDate PhaseStartDate HHD LDA LDT1 LDT2 LHD1 LHD2 MCY MDV MH MHD OBUS SBUS UBUS SBUS UBUS LotAcreage OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	PhaseEndDate 1/27/2023   PhaseEndDate 3/11/2022   PhaseEndDate 2/24/2023   PhaseEndDate 2/11/2022   PhaseStartDate 3/12/2022   PhaseStartDate 2/12/2022   PhaseStartDate 1/28/2023   PhaseStartDate 1/28/2023   PhaseStartDate 1/28/2022   HHD 7.0280e-003   LDA 0.56   LDT1 0.06   LDT2 0.18   LHD1 0.02   LHD2 5.4800e-003   MCY 0.03   MDV 0.13   MH 3.4810e-003   MHD 7.0160e-003   OBUS 5.5100e-004   SBUS 1.2310e-003   UBUS 3.4300e-004   UBUS 3.4300e-004   OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount 1.00   OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount 1.00   OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount 2.00   OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount 2.00   OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount 2.00

#### EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	3.00	1.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	2.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	UsageHours	8.00	2.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	UsageHours	8.00	2.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	UsageHours	8.00	11.20
tblOffRoadEquipment	UsageHours	8.00	2.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	UsageHours	8.00	4.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	UsageHours	7.00	1.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	UsageHours	8.00	2.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	UsageHours	8.00	4.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	UsageHours	8.00	6.00

## 2.0 Emissions Summary

#### 2.1 Overall Construction

Unmitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year	tons/yr									MT/yr						
2022	0.0144	0.1430	0.1066	2.1000e-004	0.0689	6.7800e- 003	0.0757	0.0344	6.2300e- 003	0.0406	0.0000	18.2833	18.2833	5.4300e- 003	5.0000e- 005	18.4335
2023	2.9900e- 003	0.0288	0.0411	6.0000e-005	3.2000e- 004	1.4500e- 003	1.7700e-003	8.0000e-005	1.3400e- 003	1.4200e-003	0.0000	5.7176	5.7176	1.7800e- 003	1.0000e- 005	5.7641
Maximum	0.0144	0.1430	0.1066	2.1000e-004	0.0689	6.7800e- 003	0.0757	0.0344	6.2300e- 003	0.0406	0.0000	18.2833	18.2833	5.4300e- 003	5.0000e- 005	18.4335

#### Mitigated Construction

#### BayPointe Construciton Yard - Contra Costa County, Annual

#### EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year	tons/yr									MT/yr						
2022	0.0144	0.1430	0.1066	2.1000e-004	0.0689	6.7800e- 003	0.0757	0.0344	6.2300e- 003	0.0406	0.0000	18.2833	18.2833	5.4300e- 003	5.0000e- 005	18.4335
2023	2.9900e- 003	0.0288	0.0411	6.0000e-005	3.2000e- 004	1.4500e- 003	1.7700e-003	8.0000e-005	1.3400e- 003	1.4200e-003	0.0000	5.7176	5.7176	1.7800e- 003	1.0000e- 005	5.7641
Maximum	0.0144	0.1430	0.1066	2.1000e-004	0.0689	6.7800e- 003	0.0757	0.0344	6.2300e- 003	0.0406	0.0000	18.2833	18.2833	5.4300e- 003	5.0000e- 005	18.4335

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Quarter	St	art Date	End	Date	Maxim	um Unmitig	ated ROG + N	Maximum Mitigated ROG + NOX (tons/quarter)								
1	1	-3-2022	4-2-	-2022			0.1434					0.1434				
5	1	-3-2023	4-2-	-2023			0.0295					0.0295				
			Hig	lhest			0.1434					0.1434				

## 2.2 Overall Operational

**Unmitigated Operational** 

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category tons/yr										MT/yr						
Area	6.3800e- 003	0.0000	1.0000e-005	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 005
Energy	1.3000e- 004	1.1400e-003	9.6000e-004	1.0000e-005		9.0000e- 005	9.0000e-005		9.0000e- 005	9.0000e-005	0.0000	3.5325	3.5325	3.9000e- 004	7.0000e-005	3.5625
Mobile	1.1800e- 003	0.0176	0.0136	1.0000e-004	4.5400e- 003	1.1000e- 004	4.6500e-003	1.2600e-003	1.1000e- 004	1.3700e-003	0.0000	9.2532	9.2532	2.3000e- 004	1.1500e-003	9.6020
Waste						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.3634	0.0000	0.3634	0.0215	0.0000	0.9002
Water						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.1057	0.1667	0.2724	0.0109	2.6000e-004	0.6216

#### BayPointe Construciton Yard - Contra Costa County, Annual

#### EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Total	7.6900e-	0.0187	0.0145	1.1000e-004	4.5400e-	2.0000e-	4.7400e-003	1.2600e-003	2.0000e-	1.4600e-003	0.4690	12.9524	13.4214	0.0330	1.4800e-003	14.6863
		0.0.0.	0.0						2.00000		0			0.0000		
	002				002	004			004							
	003				003	004			004							

#### Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Area	6.3800e- 003	0.0000	1.0000e-005	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 005
Energy	1.3000e- 004	1.1400e-003	9.6000e-004	1.0000e-005		9.0000e- 005	9.0000e-005		9.0000e- 005	9.0000e-005	0.0000	3.5325	3.5325	3.9000e- 004	7.0000e-005	3.5625
Mobile	1.1800e- 003	0.0176	0.0136	1.0000e-004	4.5400e- 003	1.1000e- 004	4.6500e-003	1.2600e-003	1.1000e- 004	1.3700e-003	0.0000	9.2532	9.2532	2.3000e- 004	1.1500e-003	9.6020
Waste						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.3634	0.0000	0.3634	0.0215	0.0000	0.9002
Water						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.1057	0.1667	0.2724	0.0109	2.6000e-004	0.6216
Total	7.6900e- 003	0.0187	0.0145	1.1000e-004	4.5400e- 003	2.0000e- 004	4.7400e-003	1.2600e-003	2.0000e- 004	1.4600e-003	0.4690	12.9524	13.4214	0.0330	1.4800e-003	14.6863

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

## **3.0 Construction Detail**

#### **Construction Phase**

Phase	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days	Num Days	Phase Description
Number					Week		
1	demolition	Demolition	1/3/2022	1/7/2022	5	5	
		Demonitori	170/2022	IIII COLL	Ŭ	Ű	
2	Site Preparation	Site Preparation	1/3/2022	1/14/2022	5	10	
3	Grading	Grading	1/15/2022	2/11/2022	5	20	

#### EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

4	Trenching/Utilities	Building Construction	2/12/2022	3/11/2022	5	20	
5	Paving	Paving	3/12/2023	3/24/2023	5	10	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 7.5

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 5

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 0; Non-Residential Outdoor: 0; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating -

#### OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Trenching/Utilities	Excavators	1	1.00	158	0.38
demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	0	8.00	81	0.73
Trenching/Utilities	Cranes	0	7.00	231	0.29
demolition	Excavators	1	6.00	158	0.38
Grading	Excavators	1	2.00	158	0.38
Trenching/Utilities	Forklifts	0	8.00	89	0.20
Trenching/Utilities	Generator Sets	0	8.00	84	0.74
Grading	Graders	1	2.00	187	0.41
Paving	Pavers	1	8.00	130	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	1	8.00	132	0.36
Paving	Rollers	1	11.20	80	0.38
demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	0	8.00	247	0.40
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	2.00	247	0.40
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	4.00	247	0.40
Trenching/Utilities	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	1.00	97	0.37
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	2.00	97	0.37
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	4	4.00	97	0.37
Trenching/Utilities	Welders	0	8.00	46	0.45

Trips and VMT

#### EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
demolition	1	3.00	0.00	0.00	10.80	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Site Preparation	7	18.00	0.00	0.00	10.80	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	10.80	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Trenching/Utilities	2	1.00	0.00	0.00	10.80	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Paving	3	8.00	0.00	0.00	10.80	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

## **3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction**

#### 3.2 demolition - 2022

#### Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Off-Road	3.8000e- 004	3.3300e-003	6.1000e-003	1.0000e-005		1.6000e- 004	1.6000e-004		1.5000e- 004	1.5000e-004	0.0000	0.8505	0.8505	2.8000e- 004	0.0000	0.8574
Total	3.8000e- 004	3.3300e-003	6.1000e-003	1.0000e-005		1.6000e- 004	1.6000e-004		1.5000e- 004	1.5000e-004	0.0000	0.8505	0.8505	2.8000e- 004	0.0000	0.8574

#### **Unmitigated Construction Off-Site**

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

#### EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Worker	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e-005	1.8000e-004	0.0000	6.0000e- 005	0.0000	6.0000e-005	2.0000e-005	0.0000	2.0000e-005	0.0000	0.0481	0.0481	0.0000	0.0000	0.0485
Total	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e-005	1.8000e-004	0.0000	6.0000e- 005	0.0000	6.0000e-005	2.0000e-005	0.0000	2.0000e-005	0.0000	0.0481	0.0481	0.0000	0.0000	0.0485

#### **Mitigated Construction On-Site**

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Off-Road	3.8000e- 004	3.3300e-003	6.1000e-003	1.0000e-005		1.6000e- 004	1.6000e-004		1.5000e- 004	1.5000e-004	0.0000	0.8505	0.8505	2.8000e- 004	0.0000	0.8574
Total	3.8000e- 004	3.3300e-003	6.1000e-003	1.0000e-005		1.6000e- 004	1.6000e-004		1.5000e- 004	1.5000e-004	0.0000	0.8505	0.8505	2.8000e- 004	0.0000	0.8574

#### **Mitigated Construction Off-Site**

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e-005	1.8000e-004	0.0000	6.0000e- 005	0.0000	6.0000e-005	2.0000e-005	0.0000	2.0000e-005	0.0000	0.0481	0.0481	0.0000	0.0000	0.0485
Total	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e-005	1.8000e-004	0.0000	6.0000e- 005	0.0000	6.0000e-005	2.0000e-005	0.0000	2.0000e-005	0.0000	0.0481	0.0481	0.0000	0.0000	0.0485

#### BayPointe Construciton Yard - Contra Costa County, Annual

#### EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

#### 3.3 Site Preparation - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.0491	0.0000	0.0491	0.0253	0.0000	0.0253	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	7.9300e- 003	0.0827	0.0492	1.0000e-004		4.0300e- 003	4.0300e-003		3.7100e- 003	3.7100e-003	0.0000	8.3599	8.3599	2.7000e- 003	0.0000	8.4274
Total	7.9300e- 003	0.0827	0.0492	1.0000e-004	0.0491	4.0300e- 003	0.0532	0.0253	3.7100e- 003	0.0290	0.0000	8.3599	8.3599	2.7000e- 003	0.0000	8.4274

#### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	2.5000e- 004	1.8000e-004	2.1800e-003	1.0000e-005	7.1000e- 004	0.0000	7.2000e-004	1.9000e-004	0.0000	1.9000e-004	0.0000	0.5769	0.5769	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.5824
Total	2.5000e- 004	1.8000e-004	2.1800e-003	1.0000e-005	7.1000e- 004	0.0000	7.2000e-004	1.9000e-004	0.0000	1.9000e-004	0.0000	0.5769	0.5769	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.5824

#### BayPointe Construciton Yard - Contra Costa County, Annual

#### EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.0491	0.0000	0.0491	0.0253	0.0000	0.0253	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	7.9300e- 003	0.0827	0.0492	1.0000e-004		4.0300e- 003	4.0300e-003		3.7100e- 003	3.7100e-003	0.0000	8.3598	8.3598	2.7000e- 003	0.0000	8.4274
Total	7.9300e- 003	0.0827	0.0492	1.0000e-004	0.0491	4.0300e- 003	0.0532	0.0253	3.7100e- 003	0.0290	0.0000	8.3598	8.3598	2.7000e- 003	0.0000	8.4274

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	2.5000e- 004	1.8000e-004	2.1800e-003	1.0000e-005	7.1000e- 004	0.0000	7.2000e-004	1.9000e-004	0.0000	1.9000e-004	0.0000	0.5769	0.5769	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.5824
Total	2.5000e- 004	1.8000e-004	2.1800e-003	1.0000e-005	7.1000e- 004	0.0000	7.2000e-004	1.9000e-004	0.0000	1.9000e-004	0.0000	0.5769	0.5769	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.5824

3.4 Grading - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					tor	s/yr							MT.	/yr		

#### EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Fugitive Dust	,				0.0177	0.0000	0.0177	8.5600e-003	0.0000	8.5600e-003	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	4.8700e- 003	0.0521	0.0382	7.0000e-005		2.3500e- 003	2.3500e-003		2.1600e- 003	2.1600e-003	0.0000	6.5137	6.5137	2.1100e- 003	0.0000	6.5664
Total	4.8700e- 003	0.0521	0.0382	7.0000e-005	0.0177	2.3500e- 003	0.0201	8.5600e-003	2.1600e- 003	0.0107	0.0000	6.5137	6.5137	2.1100e- 003	0.0000	6.5664

#### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	4.2000e- 004	3.0000e-004	3.6400e-003	1.0000e-005	1.1900e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.2000e-003	3.2000e-004	1.0000e- 005	3.2000e-004	0.0000	0.9615	0.9615	3.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005	0.9707
Total	4.2000e- 004	3.0000e-004	3.6400e-003	1.0000e-005	1.1900e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.2000e-003	3.2000e-004	1.0000e- 005	3.2000e-004	0.0000	0.9615	0.9615	3.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005	0.9707

#### **Mitigated Construction On-Site**

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.0177	0.0000	0.0177	8.5600e-003	0.0000	8.5600e-003	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	4.8700e- 003	0.0521	0.0382	7.0000e-005		2.3500e- 003	2.3500e-003		2.1600e- 003	2.1600e-003	0.0000	6.5137	6.5137	2.1100e- 003	0.0000	6.5664
Total	4.8700e- 003	0.0521	0.0382	7.0000e-005	0.0177	2.3500e- 003	0.0201	8.5600e-003	2.1600e- 003	0.0107	0.0000	6.5137	6.5137	2.1100e- 003	0.0000	6.5664

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	4.2000e- 004	3.0000e-004	3.6400e-003	1.0000e-005	1.1900e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.2000e-003	3.2000e-004	1.0000e- 005	3.2000e-004	0.0000	0.9615	0.9615	3.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005	0.9707
Total	4.2000e- 004	3.0000e-004	3.6400e-003	1.0000e-005	1.1900e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.2000e-003	3.2000e-004	1.0000e- 005	3.2000e-004	0.0000	0.9615	0.9615	3.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005	0.9707

3.5 Trenching/Utilities - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Off-Road	4.6000e- 004	4.3200e-003	6.8700e-003	1.0000e-005		2.2000e- 004	2.2000e-004		2.0000e- 004	2.0000e-004	0.0000	0.9086	0.9086	2.9000e- 004	0.0000	0.9160
Total	4.6000e- 004	4.3200e-003	6.8700e-003	1.0000e-005		2.2000e- 004	2.2000e-004		2.0000e- 004	2.0000e-004	0.0000	0.9086	0.9086	2.9000e- 004	0.0000	0.9160

#### EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT.	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	3.0000e- 005	2.0000e-005	2.4000e-004	0.0000	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	8.0000e-005	2.0000e-005	0.0000	2.0000e-005	0.0000	0.0641	0.0641	0.0000	0.0000	0.0647
Total	3.0000e- 005	2.0000e-005	2.4000e-004	0.0000	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	8.0000e-005	2.0000e-005	0.0000	2.0000e-005	0.0000	0.0641	0.0641	0.0000	0.0000	0.0647

#### Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Off-Road	4.6000e- 004	4.3200e-003	6.8700e-003	1.0000e-005		2.2000e- 004	2.2000e-004		2.0000e- 004	2.0000e-004	0.0000	0.9086	0.9086	2.9000e- 004	0.0000	0.9160
Total	4.6000e- 004	4.3200e-003	6.8700e-003	1.0000e-005		2.2000e- 004	2.2000e-004		2.0000e- 004	2.0000e-004	0.0000	0.9086	0.9086	2.9000e- 004	0.0000	0.9160

#### **Mitigated Construction Off-Site**

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		

#### EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	3.0000e- 005	2.0000e-005	2.4000e-004	0.0000	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	8.0000e-005	2.0000e-005	0.0000	2.0000e-005	0.0000	0.0641	0.0641	0.0000	0.0000	0.0647
Total	3.0000e- 005	2.0000e-005	2.4000e-004	0.0000	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	8.0000e-005	2.0000e-005	0.0000	2.0000e-005	0.0000	0.0641	0.0641	0.0000	0.0000	0.0647

### 3.6 Paving - 2023

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Off-Road	2.8900e- 003	0.0287	0.0402	6.0000e-005		1.4500e- 003	1.4500e-003		1.3400e- 003	1.3400e-003	0.0000	5.4678	5.4678	1.7700e- 003	0.0000	5.5120
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	2.8900e- 003	0.0287	0.0402	6.0000e-005		1.4500e- 003	1.4500e-003		1.3400e- 003	1.3400e-003	0.0000	5.4678	5.4678	1.7700e- 003	0.0000	5.5120

#### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT.	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	1.0000e- 004	7.0000e-005	9.0000e-004	0.0000	3.2000e- 004	0.0000	3.2000e-004	8.0000e-005	0.0000	9.0000e-005	0.0000	0.2498	0.2498	1.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	0.2521

#### BayPointe Construciton Yard - Contra Costa County, Annual

#### EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Total	1.0000e-	7.0000e-005	9.0000e-004	0.0000	3.2000e-	0.0000	3.2000e-004	8.0000e-005	0.0000	9.0000e-005	0.0000	0.2498	0.2498	1.0000e-	1.0000e-	0.2521
	004				004									005	005	
																1

#### Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					tons	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Off-Road	2.8900e- 003	0.0287	0.0402	6.0000e-005		1.4500e- 003	1.4500e-003		1.3400e- 003	1.3400e-003	0.0000	5.4678	5.4678	1.7700e- 003	0.0000	5.5120
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	2.8900e- 003	0.0287	0.0402	6.0000e-005		1.4500e- 003	1.4500e-003		1.3400e- 003	1.3400e-003	0.0000	5.4678	5.4678	1.7700e- 003	0.0000	5.5120

#### **Mitigated Construction Off-Site**

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	1.0000e- 004	7.0000e-005	9.0000e-004	0.0000	3.2000e- 004	0.0000	3.2000e-004	8.0000e-005	0.0000	9.0000e-005	0.0000	0.2498	0.2498	1.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	0.2521
Total	1.0000e- 004	7.0000e-005	9.0000e-004	0.0000	3.2000e- 004	0.0000	3.2000e-004	8.0000e-005	0.0000	9.0000e-005	0.0000	0.2498	0.2498	1.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	0.2521

#### EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

#### 4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

#### 4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					tor	is/yr							MT	/yr		
Mitigated	1.1800e- 003	0.0176	0.0136	1.0000e-004	4.5400e- 003	1.1000e- 004	4.6500e-003	1.2600e-003	1.1000e- 004	1.3700e-003	0.0000	9.2532	9.2532	2.3000e- 004	1.1500e-003	9.6020
Unmitigated	1.1800e- 003	0.0176	0.0136	1.0000e-004	4.5400e- 003	1.1000e- 004	4.6500e-003	1.2600e-003	1.1000e- 004	1.3700e-003	0.0000	9.2532	9.2532	2.3000e- 004	1.1500e-003	9.6020

#### 4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Ave	erage Daily Trip Ra	ite	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Industrial Park	4.85	3.66	1.79	11,127	11,127
Total	4.85	3.66	1.79	11,127	11,127

## 4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
Industrial Park	9.50	7.30	7.30	59.00	28.00	13.00	79	19	2

## 4.4 Fleet Mix

Land Use	LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
Industrial Park	0.000000	0.500000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.250000	0.250000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000

## 5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

#### EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

## 5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Electricity Mitigated						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	2.2876	2.2876	3.7000e- 004	4.0000e-005	2.3103
Electricity Unmitigated						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	2.2876	2.2876	3.7000e- 004	4.0000e-005	2.3103
NaturalGas Mitigated	1.3000e- 004	1.1400e- 003	9.6000e-004	1.0000e-005		9.0000e- 005	9.0000e-005		9.0000e- 005	9.0000e-005	0.0000	1.2449	1.2449	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e-005	1.2523
NaturalGas Unmitigated	1.3000e- 004	1.1400e- 003	9.6000e-004	1.0000e-005		9.0000e- 005	9.0000e-005		9.0000e- 005	9.0000e-005	0.0000	1.2449	1.2449	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e-005	1.2523

## 5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

#### **Unmitigated**

	NaturalGas Use	ROG	NOx CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr				ton	is/yr							MT	/yr		
Industrial Park	23328	1.3000e- 004	1.1400e-003 9.6000e-004	1.0000e- 005		9.0000e-005	9.0000e-005		9.0000e- 005	9.0000e-005	0.0000	1.2449	1.2449	2.0000e-005	2.0000e- 005	1.2523
Total		1.3000e- 004	1.1400e-003 9.6000e-004	1.0000e- 005		9.0000e-005	9.0000e-005		9.0000e- 005	9.0000e-005	0.0000	1.2449	1.2449	2.0000e-005	2.0000e- 005	1.2523

#### EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

	NaturalGas Use	ROG	NOx	CÓ	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Industrial Park	23328	1.3000e- 004	1.1400e-003 S	9.6000e-004	1.0000e- 005		9.0000e-005	9.0000e-005		9.0000e- 005	9.0000e-005	0.0000	1.2449	1.2449	2.0000e-005	2.0000e- 005	1.2523
Total		1.3000e- 004	1.1400e-003 S	9.6000e-004	1.0000e- 005		9.0000e-005	9.0000e-005		9.0000e- 005	9.0000e-005	0.0000	1.2449	1.2449	2.0000e-005	2.0000e- 005	1.2523

## 5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

## <u>Unmitigated</u>

	Electricity Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kWh/yr		MT	⊺/yr	
Industrial Park	24724.8	2.2876	3.7000e-004	4.0000e-005	2.3103
Total		2.2876	3.7000e-004	4.0000e-005	2.3103

#### Mitigated

	Electricity Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kWh/yr		MT	/yr	
Industrial Park	24724.8	2.2876	3.7000e-004	4.0000e-005	2.3103
Total		2.2876	3.7000e-004	4.0000e-005	2.3103

#### EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

## 6.0 Area Detail

#### 6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Mitigated	6.3800e- 003	0.0000	1.0000e-005	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 005
Unmitigated	6.3800e- 003	0.0000	1.0000e-005	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 005

### 6.2 Area by SubCategory

#### <u>Unmitigated</u>

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Architectural Coating	7.5000e- 004					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	5.6200e- 003					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	0.0000	0.0000	1.0000e-005	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 005
Total	6.3700e- 003	0.0000	1.0000e-005	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 005

#### BayPointe Construciton Yard - Contra Costa County, Annual

#### EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

#### **Mitigated**

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Architectural Coating	7.5000e- 004					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	5.6200e- 003					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	0.0000	0.0000	1.0000e-005	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 005
Total	6.3700e- 003	0.0000	1.0000e-005	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 005

## 7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category		M	Г/yr	
Mitigated	0.2724	0.0109	2.6000e-004	0.6216
Unmitigated	0.2724	0.0109	2.6000e-004	0.6216

#### BayPointe Construciton Yard - Contra Costa County, Annual

#### EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

	Indoor/Out door Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	Mgal		MT	/yr	
Industrial Park	0.333 / 0	0.2724	0.0109	2.6000e-004	0.6216
Total		0.2724	0.0109	2.6000e-004	0.6216

#### **Mitigated**

	Indoor/Out door Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	Mgal		МТ	/yr	
Industrial Park	0.333 / 0	0.2724	0.0109	2.6000e-004	0.6216
Total		0.2724	0.0109	2.6000e-004	0.6216

## 8.0 Waste Detail

#### 8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

## Category/Year



#### EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

		М	T/yr	
Mitigated	0.3634	0.0215	0.0000	0.9002
Unmitigated	0.3634	0.0215	0.0000	0.9002

#### 8.2 Waste by Land Use

**Unmitigated** 

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	tons		MT	/yr	
Industrial Park	1.79	0.3634	0.0215	0.0000	0.9002
Total		0.3634	0.0215	0.0000	0.9002

#### **Mitigated**

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	tons		MT	/yr	
Industrial Park	1.79	0.3634	0.0215	0.0000	0.9002
Total		0.3634	0.0215	0.0000	0.9002

#### EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type			
10.0 Stationary Equipment									
Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators									
Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Hours/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type			
Boilers									
Equipment Type	Number	Heat Input/Day	Heat Input/Year	Boiler Rating	Fuel Type				
User Defined Equipment									
Equipment Type	Number								
11.0 Vegetation									

Air Quality/Noise Construction Information Data Request								
Project Name: Bay Poiinte Construction 5		Storage Yard				Complete ALL Portions in Yellow		
	See Equipment Type TAB for type,	horsepower an	d load factor					
	Project Size	0 Dwelling Units		9.69	total project	acres disturbed		
		0 s.f. residential						Pile Driving? Y/N? No
		0	s.f. retail					
			•					Project include on-site GENERATOR OR FIRE PUMP during project OPERATION?
		1440 SF	s.f. office/commercial					Y/N?No_
-		10	acres. other, specify:	Construction Storag	e Yard			IF TES (IT BOTH separate values)>
		0	s.f. parking garage		spaces			Kilowatts/Horsepower:
		0	s.f. parking lot		spaces			Fuel Type:
								Location in project (Plans Desired if Available):
	Construction Hours	8am	to 4pm					
								DO NOT MULTIPLY EQUIPMENT HOURS/DAY BY THE QUANTITY OF EQUIPMENT
Quantity	Description	HP	Load Factor	Hours/day	l otal Work Days	Avg. Hours per day	HP Annual Hours	Comments
	Demolition	Start Date:	1/3/2022	Total phase:	5			Overall Import/Export Volumes
	Concrete/Industrial Saws	End Date: 81	0.73		0	0	0	Demolition Volume
1	Excavators Rubber-Tired Dozers	158	0.38	6	5	6	1801	Square footage of buildings to be demolished
	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	97	0.37		0	0	0	
	Other Equipment?							Hauling volume (tons) Any pavement demolished and hauled? 0 tons
	Site Preparation	Start Date:		Total phase:	10			
	Graders	End Date: 187	0.41			0	0	
3	Rubber Tired Dozers	247	0.4	8	5	4	11856	
4	Other Equipment?	97	0.37	8	5	4	5742	
	Grading / Excavation	Start Data:		Total phace:	20			
	Grading / Excavation	End Date:		Total pliase.	20			Soil Hauling Volume
1	Excavators	158	0.38	8	5	2	2402	Export volume = 0 cubic yards?
1	Rubber Tired Dozers	247	0.41	8	5	2	3067	Import volume = <u>u</u> cubic yards?
2	Concrete/Industrial Saws	81	0.73	0	5	0	0	
<u> </u>	Other Equipment?	51	0.37	8	J	2	4307	
	Trenching/Foundation	Start Date:		Total phase:	20			
	incheming, roundation	End Date:						
1	Tractor/Loader/Backhoe	97 158	0.37	8	2	0.8	574 961	
	Other Equipment?	100	0.00			0.0		
	Building - Exterior	Start Date:		Total phase:	0			Cement Trucks? 0_Total Round-Trips
		End Date:				1500 (/A)		
	Forklifts	231 89	0.29		0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0!	0	Liquid Propane (LPG)? (Y/N) Otherwise Assumed diesel
	Generator Sets	84 97	0.74		0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0!	0	Or temporary line power? (Y/N)
	Welders	46	0.45		0	#DIV/0!	0	
	Outer Equipment?				0	#UIV/0!		
Building - Int	erior/Architectural Coating	Start Date:		Total phase:	0			
	Air Compressors	78	0.48		0	#DIV/0!	0	
	Aerial Lift Other Equipment?	62	0.31		0	#DIV/0!	0	
	Paving	Start Date: Start Date:		Total phase:	10			
	Cement and Mortar Mixers	9	0.56		0	0	0	
4	Pavers Paving Equipment	130	0.42	0	0	0	0	Asphalt? _5_ cubic yards or round trips? For AC Entry Driveway only
1	Rollers	80	0.38	8	10	0 11.2	3405	
	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes Other Equipment?	97	0.37			0	0	
	Additional Phases	Start Date: Start Date:		lotal phase:	0			
						#DIV/0!	0	
						#DIV/0! #DIV/0!	0	
						#DIV/0!	0	
						#D1V/U:	0	
Equipment ty	iquipment types listed in "Equipment Types" worksheet tab.							
Equipment lis	ed in this sheet is to provide an examp	ole of inputs		Complete	e one :	sneet to	r eac	n project component
It is assumed that water trucks would be used during grading Add or subtract phases and equipment, as appropriate				+				
Modify horse	power or load factor, as appropriate							