



Oleander & Santa Ana Avenue Warehouse

ENERGY ANALYSIS

CITY OF FONTANA

PREPARED BY:

Haseeb Qureshi
hqureshi@urbanxroads.com

Ali Dadabhoy
adadabhoy@urbanxroads.com

DECEMBER 2, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	I
APPENDICES.....	II
LIST OF EXHIBITS.....	II
LIST OF TABLES	II
LIST OF ABBREVIATED TERMS	III
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
ES.1 Summary of Findings.....	1
ES.2 Project Requirements	1
1 INTRODUCTION.....	3
1.1 Site Location.....	3
1.2 Project Description.....	3
2 EXISTING CONDITIONS	7
2.1 Overview	7
2.2 Electricity.....	9
2.3 Natural Gas	11
2.4 Transportation Energy Resources	14
3 REGULATORY BACKGROUND.....	17
3.1 Federal Regulations.....	17
3.2 California Regulations	17
4 PROJECT ENERGY DEMANDS AND ENERGY EFFICIENCY MEASURES	23
4.1 Evaluation Criteria.....	23
4.2 Methodology.....	23
4.3 Construction Energy Demands	24
4.4 Operational Energy Demands	32
4.5 Summary	33
5 CONCLUSIONS.....	37
6 REFERENCES.....	40
7 CERTIFICATIONS	43

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 4.1: CALEEMOD CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS MODEL OUTPUTS

APPENDIX 4.2: EMFAC2021

LIST OF EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT 1-A: LOCATION MAP	4
EXHIBIT 1-B: SITE PLAN.....	5

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE ES-1: SUMMARY OF CEQA SIGNIFICANCE FINDINGS.....	1
TABLE 2-1: TOTAL ELECTRICITY SYSTEM POWER (CALIFORNIA 2020)	8
TABLE 2-2: SCE 2021 POWER CONTENT MIX	11
TABLE 4-1: CONSTRUCTION DURATION.....	24
TABLE 4-2: CONSTRUCTION POWER COST	25
TABLE 4-3: CONSTRUCTION ELECTRICITY USAGE	25
TABLE 4-4: CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT ASSUMPTIONS.....	26
TABLE 4-5: CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT FUEL CONSUMPTION ESTIMATES	27
TABLE 4-6: CONSTRUCTION TRIPS AND VMT	28
TABLE 4-7: CONSTRUCTION WORKER FUEL CONSUMPTION ESTIMATES	29
TABLE 4-8: CONSTRUCTION VENDOR FUEL CONSUMPTION ESTIMATES (1 OF 3).....	30
TABLE 4-9: TOTAL PROJECT-GENERATED TRAFFIC ANNUAL FUEL CONSUMPTION	32
TABLE 4-10: PROJECT ANNUAL OPERATIONAL NATURAL GAS DEMAND SUMMARY	33

LIST OF ABBREVIATED TERMS

%	Percent
(1)	Reference
AGSP	Airport Gateway Specific Plan
AQIA	<i>Oleander & Santa Ana Avenue Warehouse Air Quality Impact Analysis</i>
BACM	Best Available Control Measures
BTU	British Thermal Units
CalEEMod	California Emissions Estimator Model
CAPCOA	California Air Pollution Control Officers Association
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CCR	California Code of Regulations
CEC	California Energy Commission
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
City	City of Fontana
CPEP	Clean Power and Electrification Pathway
CPUC	California Public Utilities Commission
DMV	Department of Motor Vehicles
EIA	Energy Information Administration
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EMFAC	EMissions FACtor
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
GWh	Gigawatt Hour
HHDT	Heavy-Heavy Duty Trucks
hp-hr-gal	Horsepower Hours Per Gallon
IEPR	Integrated Energy Policy Report
ISO	Independent Service Operator
ISTEA	Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act
ITE	Institute of Transportation Engineers
kBTU	Thousand-British Thermal Units
kWh	Kilowatt Hour
LDA	Light Duty Auto
LDT1/LDT2	Light-Duty Trucks
LHDT1/LHDT2	Light-Heavy Duty Trucks
MARB/IPA	March Air Reserve Base/Inland Port Airport
MDV	Medium Duty Trucks

MHDT	Medium-Heavy Duty Trucks
MMcfd	Million Cubic Feet Per Day
mpg	Miles Per Gallon
MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organization
PG&E	Pacific Gas and Electric
Project	Oleander & Santa Ana Avenue Warehouse
PV	Photovoltaic
SCAB	South Coast Air Basin
SCE	Southern California Edison
SDAB	San Diego Air Basin
sf	Square Feet
SoCalGas	Southern California Gas
TEA-21	Transportation Equity Act for the 21 st Century
TRUs	Transportation Refrigeration Units
U.S.	United States
VMT	Vehicle Miles Traveled

This page intentionally left blank

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES.1 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The results of this *Oleander & Santa Ana Avenue Warehouse Energy Analysis* is summarized below based on the significance criteria in Section 5 of this report consistent with Appendix G of the 2020 California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Statute and Guidelines (*CEQA Guidelines*) (1). Table ES-1 shows the findings of significance for potential energy impacts under CEQA.

TABLE ES-1: SUMMARY OF CEQA SIGNIFICANCE FINDINGS

Analysis	Report Section	Significance Findings	
		Unmitigated	Mitigated
Energy Impact #1: Would the Project result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation?	5.0	<i>Less Than Significant</i>	<i>n/a</i>
Energy Impact #2: Would the Project conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency?	5.0	<i>Less Than Significant</i>	<i>n/a</i>

ES.2 PROJECT REQUIREMENTS

The Project would be required to comply with regulations imposed by the federal and state agencies that regulate energy use and consumption through various means and programs. Those that are directly and indirectly applicable to the Project and that would assist in the reduction of energy usage include:

- Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA)
- The Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21)
- Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR)
- State of California Energy Plan
- California Code Title 24, Part 6, Energy Efficiency Standards
- California Code Title 24, Part 11, California Green Building Standards Code (CALGreen)
- AB 1493 Pavley Regulations and Fuel Efficiency Standards
- California's Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS)
- Clean Energy and Pollution Reduction Act of 2015 (SB 350)

Consistency with the above regulations is discussed in detail in section 5 of this report.

This page intentionally left blank

1 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the energy analysis prepared by Urban Crossroads, Inc., for the proposed Oleander & Santa Ana Avenue Warehouse Project (Project). The purpose of this report is to ensure that energy implication is considered by the City of Fontana (Lead Agency), as the lead agency, and to quantify anticipated energy usage associated with construction and operation of the proposed Project, determine if the usage amounts are efficient, typical, or wasteful for the land use type, and to emphasize avoiding or reducing inefficient, wasteful, and unnecessary consumption of energy.

1.1 SITE LOCATION

The proposed project is located north of Santa Ana Avenue and on either side of Oleander Avenue as well as the northeast corner of Citrus Avenue at Santa Ana Avenue in the City of Fontana as shown on Exhibit 1-A.

1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION


The proposed Project is to consist of the development of 540,849 square feet of warehouse use between 3 warehouse buildings:

- Warehouse building 1: 151,618 square feet
- Warehouse building 2: 196,336 square feet
- Warehouse building 3: 192,895 square feet

The Project is anticipated to be constructed by the year 2025. The preliminary site plan for the proposed Project is shown on Exhibit 1-B.

This analysis is intended to describe energy usage associated with the expected operational activities at the Project site. This report assumes the Project will operate 24-hours daily for seven days per week.

EXHIBIT 1-A: LOCATION MAP



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS

EXHIBIT 1-B: SITE PLAN



This page intentionally left blank

2 EXISTING CONDITIONS

This section provides an overview of the existing energy conditions in the Project region.

2.1 OVERVIEW

The most recent data for California's estimated total energy consumption and natural gas consumption is from 2019, released by the United States (U.S.) Energy Information Administration's (EIA) California State Profile and Energy Estimates in 2021 and included (2):

- As of 2019, approximately 7,802 trillion British Thermal Unit (BTU) of energy was consumed
- As of 2019, approximately 662 million barrels of petroleum
- As of 2019, approximately 2,144 billion cubic feet of natural gas
- As of 2019, approximately 1 million short tons of coal

The California Energy Commission's (CEC) Transportation Energy Demand Forecast 2018-2030 was released in order to support the 2017 Integrated Energy Policy Report. The Transportation energy Demand Forecast 2018-2030 lays out graphs and data supporting their projections of California's future transportation energy demand. The projected inputs consider expected variable changes in fuel prices, income, population, and other variables. Predictions regarding fuel demand included:

- Gasoline demand in the transportation sector is expected to decline from approximately 15.8 billion gallons in 2017 to between 12.3 billion and 12.7 billion gallons in 2030 (3)
- Diesel demand in the transportation sector is expected to rise, increasing from approximately 3.7 billion diesel gallons in 2015 to approximately 4.7 billion in 2030 (3)
- Data from the Department of Energy states that approximately 3.9 billion gallons of diesel fuel were consumed in 2019 (4)

The most recent data provided by the EIA for energy use in California by demand sector is from 2019 and is reported as follows:

- Approximately 39.4% transportation;
- Approximately 23.1% industrial;
- Approximately 18.7% residential; and
- Approximately 18.8% commercial (5)

In 2020, total system electric generation for California was 272,576 gigawatt hours (GWh). California's massive electricity in-state generation system generated approximately 190,913 GWh which accounted for approximately 70% of the electricity it uses; the rest was imported from the Pacific Northwest (15%) and the U.S. Southwest (15%) (6). Natural gas is the main source for electricity generation at 42.97% of the total in-state electric generation system power as shown in Table 2-1.

TABLE 2-1: TOTAL ELECTRICITY SYSTEM POWER (CALIFORNIA 2020)

Fuel Type	California In-State Generation (GWh)	Percent of California In-State Generation	Northwest Imports (GWh)	Southwest Imports (GWh)	Total Imports (GWh)	Percent of Imports	Total California Energy Mix	Total California Power Mix
Coal	317	0.17%	194	6,963	7,157	8.76%	7,474	2.74%
Natural Gas	92,298	48.35%	70	8,654	8,724	10.68%	101,022	37.06%
Oil	30	0.02%	-	-	0	0.00%	30	0.01%
Other (Waste Heat/Petroleum Coke)	384	0.20%	125	9	134	0.16%	518	0.19%
Nuclear	16,280	8.53%	672	8,481	9,154	11.21%	25,434	9.33%
Large Hydro	17,938	9.40%	14,078	1,259	15,337	18.78%	33,275	12.21%
Unspecified	-	0.00%	12,870	1,745	14,615	17.90%	14,615	5.36%
Non-Renewable and Unspecified Totals	127,248	66.65%	28,009	27,111	55,120	67.50%	182,368	66.91%
Biomass	5,680	2.97%	975	25	1,000	1.22%	6,679	2.45%
Geothermal	11,345	5.94%	166	1,825	1,991	2.44%	13,336	4.89%
Small Hydro	3,476	1.82%	320	2	322	0.39%	3,798	1.39%
Solar	29,456	15.43%	284	6,312	6,596	8.08%	36,052	13.23%
Wind	13,708	7.18%	11,438	5,197	16,635	20.37%	30,343	11.13%
Renewable Totals	63,665	33.35%	13,184	13,359	26,543	32.50%	90,208	33.09%
System Totals	190,913	100.00%	41,193	40,471	81,663	100.00%	272,576	100.00%

Source: California Energy Commission's 2020 Total System Electric Generation

An updated summary of, and context for energy consumption and energy demands within the State is presented in “U.S. Energy Information Administration, California State Profile and Energy Estimates, Quick Facts” excerpted below (7):

- California was the seventh-largest producer of crude oil among the 50 states in 2019, and, as of January 2020, it ranked third in oil refining capacity. Foreign suppliers, led by Saudi Arabia, Iraq, Ecuador, and Colombia, provided more than half of the crude oil refined in California in 2019.
- California is the largest consumer of both jet fuel and motor gasoline among the 50 states and accounted for 17% of the nation’s jet fuel consumption and 11% of motor gasoline consumption in 2019. The state is the second-largest consumer of all petroleum products combined, accounting for 10% of the U.S. total. In 2018, California’s energy consumption was the second highest among the states, but its per capita energy consumption was the fourth-lowest due in part to its mild climate and its energy efficiency programs.
- In 2019, California was the nation’s top producer of electricity from solar, geothermal, and biomass energy and the state was second in the nation in conventional hydroelectric power generation.
- In 2019, California was the fourth largest electricity producer in the nation, but the state was also the nation’s largest importer of electricity and received about 28% of its electricity supply from generating facilities outside of California, including imports from Mexico.

As indicated above, California is one of the nation’s leading energy-producing states, and California’s per capita energy use is among the nation’s most efficient. Given the nature of the Project, the remainder of this discussion will focus on the three sources of energy that are most relevant to the project—namely, electricity, natural gas, and transportation fuel for vehicle trips associated with the uses planned for the Project.

2.2 ELECTRICITY

The usage associated with electricity use were calculated using the California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod) Version 2022.1. The Southern California region’s electricity reliability has been of concern for the past several years due to the planned retirement of aging facilities that depend upon once-through cooling technologies, as well as the June 2013 retirement of the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (San Onofre). While the once-through cooling phase-out has been ongoing since the May 2010 adoption of the State Water Resources Control Board’s once-through cooling policy, the retirement of San Onofre complicated the situation. California ISO studies revealed the extent to which the South California Air Basin (SCAB) and the San Diego Air Basin (SDAB) region were vulnerable to low-voltage and post-transient voltage instability concerns. A preliminary plan to address these issues was detailed in the 2013 Integrative Energy Policy Report (IEPR) after a collaborative process with other energy agencies, utilities, and air districts (8). Similarly, the subsequent 2021 IEPR’s identify broad strategies that are aimed at maintaining electricity system reliability.

Electricity is currently provided to the Project by Southern California Edison (SCE). SCE provides electric power to more than 15 million persons in 15 counties and in 180 incorporated cities, within a service area encompassing approximately 50,000 square miles. Based on SCE's 2018 Power Content Label Mix, SCE derives electricity from varied energy resources including: fossil fuels, hydroelectric generators, nuclear power plants, geothermal power plants, solar power generation, and wind farms. SCE also purchases from independent power producers and utilities, including out-of-state suppliers (9).

California's electricity industry is an organization of traditional utilities, private generating companies, and state agencies, each with a variety of roles and responsibilities to ensure that electrical power is provided to consumers. The California Independent Service Operator (ISO) is a nonprofit public benefit corporation and is the impartial operator of the State's wholesale power grid and is charged with maintaining grid reliability, and to direct uninterrupted electrical energy supplies to California's homes and communities. While utilities still own transmission assets, the ISO routes electrical power along these assets, maximizing the use of the transmission system and its power generation resources. The ISO matches buyers and sellers of electricity to ensure that enough power is available to meet demand. To these ends, every five minutes the ISO forecasts electrical demands, accounts for operating reserves, and assigns the lowest cost power plant unit to meet demands while ensuring adequate system transmission capacities and capabilities (10).

Part of the ISO's charge is to plan and coordinate grid enhancements to ensure that electrical power is provided to California consumers. To this end, utilities file annual transmission expansion/modification plans to accommodate the State's growing electrical needs. The ISO reviews and either approves or denies the proposed additions. In addition, and perhaps most importantly, the ISO works with other areas in the western United States electrical grid to ensure that adequate power supplies are available to the State. In this manner, continuing reliable and affordable electrical power is assured to existing and new consumers throughout the State.

Tables 2-2 identifies SCE's specific proportional shares of electricity sources in 2021. As indicated in Table 2-2, the 2021 SCE Power Mix has renewable energy at 31.4% of the overall energy resources. Geothermal resources are at 5.7%, wind power is at 10.2%, large hydroelectric sources are at 2.3%, solar energy is at 14.9%, and coal is at 0% (11).

TABLE 2-2: SCE 2021 POWER CONTENT MIX

Energy Resources	2021 SCE Power Mix
Eligible Renewable	31.4%
Biomass & Waste	0.1%
Geothermal	5.7%
Eligible Hydroelectric	0.5%
Solar	14.9%
Wind	10.2%
Coal	0.0%
Large Hydroelectric	2.3%
Natural Gas	22.3%
Nuclear	9.2%
Other	0.2%
Unspecified Sources of power*	34.6%
Total	100%

* "Unspecified sources of power" means electricity from transactions that are not traceable to specific generation sources

2.3 NATURAL GAS

The following summary of natural gas customers and volumes, supplies, delivery of supplies, storage, service options, and operations is excerpted from information provided by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC).

"The CPUC regulates natural gas utility service for approximately 10.8 million customers that receive natural gas from Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E), Southern California Gas (SoCalGas), San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E), Southwest Gas, and several smaller natural gas utilities. The CPUC also regulates independent storage operators: Lodi Gas Storage, Wild Goose Storage, Central Valley Storage and Gill Ranch Storage.

California's natural gas utilities provide service to over 11 million gas meters. SoCalGas and PG&E provide service to about 5.9 million and 4.3 million customers, respectively, while SDG&E provides service to over 800, 000 customers. In 2018, California gas utilities forecasted that they would deliver about 4740 million cubic feet per day (MMcfd) of gas to their customers, on average, under normal weather conditions.

The overwhelming majority of natural gas utility customers in California are residential and small commercial customers, referred to as "core" customers. Larger volume gas customers, like electric generators and industrial customers, are called "noncore" customers. Although very small in number relative to core customers, noncore customers consume about 65% of the natural gas delivered by the state's natural gas utilities, while core customers consume about 35%.

A significant amount of gas (about 19%, or 1131 MMcf, of the total forecasted California consumption in 2018) is also directly delivered to some California large volume consumers, without being transported over the regulated utility pipeline system. Those customers, referred to as "bypass" customers, take service directly from interstate pipelines or directly from California producers.

SDG&E and Southwest Gas' southern division are wholesale customers of SoCalGas, i.e., they receive deliveries of gas from SoCalGas and in turn deliver that gas to their own customers. (Southwest Gas also provides natural gas distribution service in the Lake Tahoe area.) Similarly, West Coast Gas, a small gas utility, is a wholesale customer of PG&E. Some other wholesale customers are municipalities like the cities of Palo Alto, Long Beach, and Vernon, which are not regulated by the CPUC.

Natural gas from out-of-state production basins is delivered into California via the interstate natural gas pipeline system. The major interstate pipelines that deliver out-of-state natural gas to California gas utilities are Gas Transmission Northwest Pipeline, Kern River Pipeline, Transwestern Pipeline, El Paso Pipeline, Ruby Pipeline, Mojave Pipeline, and Tuscarora. Another pipeline, the North Baja - Baja Norte Pipeline takes gas off the El Paso Pipeline at the California/Arizona border and delivers that gas through California into Mexico. While the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) regulates the transportation of natural gas on the interstate pipelines, and authorizes rates for that service, the California Public Utilities Commission may participate in FERC regulatory proceedings to represent the interests of California natural gas consumers.

The gas transported to California gas utilities via the interstate pipelines, as well as some of the California-produced gas, is delivered into the PG&E and SoCalGas intrastate natural gas transmission pipelines systems (commonly referred to as California's "backbone" pipeline system). Natural gas on the utilities' backbone pipeline systems is then delivered to the local transmission and distribution pipeline systems, or to natural gas storage fields. Some large volume noncore customers take natural gas delivery directly off the high-pressure backbone and local transmission pipeline systems, while core customers and other noncore customers take delivery off the utilities' distribution pipeline systems. The state's natural gas utilities operate over 100,000 miles of transmission and distribution pipelines, and thousands more miles of service lines.

Bypass customers take most of their deliveries directly off the Kern/Mojave pipeline system, but they also take a significant amount of gas from California production.

PG&E and SoCalGas own and operate several natural gas storage fields that are located within their service territories in northern and southern California, respectively. These storage fields, and four independently owned storage utilities - Lodi Gas Storage, Wild Goose Storage, Central Valley Storage, and Gill Ranch Storage - help meet peak seasonal and daily natural gas demand and allow California natural gas customers to secure natural gas supplies more efficiently. PG&E is a 25% owner of the Gill Ranch Storage field. These storage fields provide a significant amount of infrastructure capacity to help meet

California's natural gas requirements, and without these storage fields, California would need much more pipeline capacity in order to meet peak gas requirements.

Prior to the late 1980s, California regulated utilities provided virtually all natural gas services to all their customers. Since then, the Commission has gradually restructured the California gas industry in order to give customers more options while assuring regulatory protections for those customers that wish to, or are required to, continue receiving utility-provided services.

The option to purchase natural gas from independent suppliers is one of the results of this restructuring process. Although the regulated utilities procure natural gas supplies for most core customers, core customers have the option to purchase natural gas from independent natural gas marketers, called "core transport agents" (CTA). Contact information for core transport agents can be found on the utilities' web sites. Noncore customers, on the other hand, make natural gas supply arrangements directly with producers or with marketers.

Another option resulting from the restructuring process occurred in 1993, when the Commission removed the utilities' storage service responsibility for noncore customers, along with the cost of this service from noncore customers' transportation rates. The Commission also encouraged the development of independent storage fields, and in subsequent years, all the independent storage fields in California were established. Noncore customers and marketers may now take storage service from the utility or from an independent storage provider (if available), and pay for that service, or may opt to take no storage service at all. For core customers, the Commission assures that the utility has adequate storage capacity set aside to meet core requirements, and core customers pay for that service.

In a 1997 decision, the Commission adopted PG&E's "Gas Accord", which unbundled PG&E's backbone transmission costs from noncore transportation rates. This decision gave customers and marketers the opportunity to obtain pipeline capacity rights on PG&E's backbone transmission pipeline system, if desired, and pay for that service at rates authorized by the Commission. The Gas Accord also required PG&E to set aside a certain amount of backbone transmission capacity in order to deliver gas to its core customers. Subsequent Commission decisions modified and extended the initial terms of the Gas Accord. The "Gas Accord" framework is still in place today for PG&E's backbone and storage rates and services and is now simply referred to as PG&E Gas Transmission and Storage (GT&S).

In a 2006 decision, the Commission adopted a similar gas transmission framework for Southern California, called the "firm access rights" system. SoCalGas and SDG&E implemented the firm access rights (FAR) system in 2008, and it is now referred to as the backbone transmission system (BTS) framework. As under the PG&E backbone transmission system, SoCalGas backbone transmission costs are unbundled from noncore transportation rates. Noncore customers and marketers may obtain, and pay for, firm backbone transmission capacity at various receipt points on the SoCalGas system. A

certain amount of backbone transmission capacity is obtained for core customers to assure meeting their requirements.

Many if not most noncore customers now use a marketer to provide for several of the services formerly provided by the utility. That is, a noncore customer may simply arrange for a marketer to procure its supplies, and obtain any needed storage and backbone transmission capacity, in order to assure that it will receive its needed deliveries of natural gas supplies. Core customers still mainly rely on the utilities for procurement service, but they have the option to take procurement service from a CTA. Backbone transmission and storage capacity is either set aside or obtained for core customers in amounts to assure very high levels of service.

In order properly operate their natural gas transmission pipeline and storage systems, PG&E and SoCalGas must balance the amount of gas received into the pipeline system and delivered to customers or to storage fields. Some of these utilities' storage capacity is dedicated to this service, and under most circumstances, customers do not need to precisely match their deliveries with their consumption. However, when too much or too little gas is expected to be delivered into the utilities' systems, relative to the amount being consumed, the utilities require customers to more precisely match up their deliveries with their consumption. And, if customers do not meet certain delivery requirements, they could face financial penalties. The utilities do not profit from these financial penalties - the amounts are then returned to customers as a whole. If the utilities find that they are unable to deliver all the gas that is expected to be consumed, they may even call for a curtailment of some gas deliveries. These curtailments are typically required for just the largest, noncore customers. It has been many years since there has been a significant curtailment of core customers in California." (12)

As indicated in the preceding discussions, natural gas is available from a variety of in-state and out-of-state sources and is provided throughout the state in response to market supply and demand. Complementing available natural gas resources, biogas may soon be available via existing delivery systems, thereby increasing the availability and reliability of resources in total. The CPUC oversees utility purchases and transmission of natural gas to ensure reliable and affordable natural gas deliveries to existing and new consumers throughout the State.

2.4 TRANSPORTATION ENERGY RESOURCES

The Project would generate additional vehicle trips with resulting consumption of energy resources, predominantly gasoline and diesel fuel. The Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) identified 36.2 million registered vehicles in California (13), and those vehicles consume an estimated 17.2 billion gallons of fuel each year¹. Gasoline (and other vehicle fuels) are commercially provided commodities and would be available to the Project patrons and employees via commercial outlets.

¹ Fuel consumptions estimated utilizing information from EMFAC2021.

California's on-road transportation system includes 396,616 lane miles, more than 26.6 million passenger vehicles and light trucks, and almost 9.0 million medium- and heavy-duty vehicles (13). While gasoline consumption has been declining since 2008 it is still by far the dominant fuel. California is the second-largest consumer of petroleum products, after Texas, and accounts for 10% of the nation's total consumption. The state is the largest U.S. consumer of motor gasoline and jet fuel, and 85% of the petroleum consumed in California is used in the transportation sector (14).

California accounts for less than 1% of total U.S. natural gas reserves and production. As with crude oil, California's natural gas production has experienced a gradual decline since 1985. In 2019, about 37% of the natural gas delivered to consumers went to the state's industrial sector, and about 28% was delivered to the electric power sector. Natural gas fueled more than two-fifths of the state's utility-scale electricity generation in 2019. The residential sector, where two-thirds of California households use natural gas for home heating, accounted for 22% of natural gas deliveries. The commercial sector received 12% of the deliveries to end users and the transportation sector consumed the remaining 1% (14).

This page intentionally left blank

3 REGULATORY BACKGROUND

Federal and state agencies regulate energy use and consumption through various means and programs. On the federal level, the United States Department of Transportation, the United States Department of Energy, and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) are three federal agencies with substantial influence over energy policies and programs. On the state level, the CPUC and the CEC are two agencies with authority over different aspects of energy. Relevant federal and state energy-related laws and plans are summarized below.

3.1 FEDERAL REGULATIONS

3.1.1 INTERMODAL SURFACE TRANSPORTATION EFFICIENCY ACT OF 1991 (ISTEA)

The ISTEA promoted the development of inter-modal transportation systems to maximize mobility as well as address national and local interests in air quality and energy. ISTEA contained factors that Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) were to address in developing transportation plans and programs, including some energy-related factors. To meet the new ISTEA requirements, MPOs adopted explicit policies defining the social, economic, energy, and environmental values guiding transportation decisions.

3.1.2 THE TRANSPORTATION EQUITY ACT FOR THE 21ST CENTURY (TEA-21)

The TEA-21 was signed into law in 1998 and builds upon the initiatives established in the ISTEA legislation, discussed above. TEA-21 authorizes highway, highway safety, transit, and other efficient surface transportation programs. TEA-21 continues the program structure established for highways and transit under ISTEA, such as flexibility in the use of funds, emphasis on measures to improve the environment, and focus on a strong planning process as the foundation of good transportation decisions. TEA-21 also provides for investment in research and its application to maximize the performance of the transportation system through, for example, deployment of Intelligent Transportation Systems, to help improve operations and management of transportation systems and vehicle safety.

3.2 CALIFORNIA REGULATIONS

3.2.1 INTEGRATED ENERGY POLICY REPORT (IEPR)

Senate Bill 1389 (Bowen, Chapter 568, Statutes of 2002) requires the CEC to prepare a biennial integrated energy policy report that assesses major energy trends and issues facing the state's electricity, natural gas, and transportation fuel sectors and provides policy recommendations to conserve resources; protect the environment; ensure reliable, secure, and diverse energy supplies; enhance the state's economy; and protect public health and safety (Public Resources Code § 25301[a]). The CEC prepares these assessments and associated policy recommendations every two years, with updates in alternate years, as part of the Integrated Energy Policy Report.

The 2021 IEPR was adopted February 22, 2022, and continues to work towards improving electricity, natural gas, and transportation fuel energy use in California. The 2021 IEPR provides

the results of the CEC's assessments of a variety of energy issues facing California. Many of these issues will require action if the state is to meet its climate, energy, air quality, and other environmental goals while maintaining reliability and controlling costs. (15).

3.2.2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA ENERGY PLAN

The CEC is responsible for preparing the State Energy Plan, which identifies emerging trends related to energy supply, demand, conservation, public health and safety, and the maintenance of a healthy economy. The Plan calls for the state to assist in the transformation of the transportation system to improve air quality, reduce congestion, and increase the efficient use of fuel supplies with the least environmental and energy costs. To further this policy, the plan identifies several strategies, including assistance to public agencies and fleet operators and encouragement of urban designs that reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) and accommodate pedestrian and bicycle access.

3.2.3 CALIFORNIA CODE TITLE 24, PART 6, ENERGY EFFICIENCY STANDARDS

California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 24 Part 6: The California Energy Code was first adopted in 1978 in response to a legislative mandate to reduce California's energy consumption.

The standards are updated periodically to allow consideration and possible incorporation of new energy efficient technologies and methods. CCR, Title 24, Part 11: California Green Building Standards Code (CALGreen) is a comprehensive and uniform regulatory code for all residential, commercial, and school buildings that went in effect on August 1, 2009, and is administered by the California Building Standards Commission.

CALGreen is updated on a regular basis, with the most recent approved update consisting of the 2022 California Green Building Code Standards that will be effective on January 1, 2023. The CEC anticipates that the 2022 energy code will provide \$1.5 billion in consumer benefits and reduce GHG emissions by 10 million metric tons (16). The Project would be required to comply with the applicable standards in place at the time building permit document submittals are made. These require, among other items (17):

NONRESIDENTIAL MANDATORY MEASURES

- Short-term bicycle parking. If the new project or an additional alteration is anticipated to generate visitor traffic, provide permanently anchored bicycle racks within 200 feet of the visitors' entrance, readily visible to passers-by, for 5% of new visitor motorized vehicle parking spaces being added, with a minimum of one two-bike capacity rack (5.106.4.1.1).
- Long-term bicycle parking. For new buildings with tenant spaces that have 10 or more tenant-occupants, provide secure bicycle parking for 5% of the tenant-occupant vehicular parking spaces with a minimum of one bicycle parking facility (5.106.4.1.2).
- Designated parking for clean air vehicles. In new projects or additions to alterations that add 10 or more vehicular parking spaces, provide designated parking for any combination of low-emitting, fuel-efficient and carpool/van pool vehicles as shown in Table 5.106.5.2 (5.106.5.2).

- EV charging stations. New construction shall facilitate the future installation of EV supply equipment. The compliance requires empty raceways for future conduit and documentation that the electrical system has adequate capacity for the future load. The number of spaces to be provided for is contained in Table 5.106. 5.3.3 (5.106.5.3). Additionally, Table 5.106.5.4.1 specifies requirements for the installation of raceway conduit and panel power requirements for medium- and heavy-duty electric vehicle supply equipment for warehouses, grocery stores, and retail stores.
- Outdoor light pollution reduction. Outdoor lighting systems shall be designed to meet the backlight, uplight and glare ratings per Table 5.106.8 (5.106.8).
- Construction waste management. Recycle and/or salvage for reuse a minimum of 65% of the nonhazardous construction and demolition waste in accordance with Section 5.408.1.1. 5.405.1.2, or 5.408.1.3; or meet a local construction and demolition waste management ordinance, whichever is more stringent (5.408.1).
- Excavated soil and land clearing debris. 100% of trees, stumps, rocks and associated vegetation and soils resulting primarily from land clearing shall be reuse or recycled. For a phased project, such material may be stockpiled on site until the storage site is developed (5.408.3).
- Recycling by Occupants. Provide readily accessible areas that serve the entire building and are identified for the depositing, storage, and collection of non-hazardous materials for recycling, including (at a minimum) paper, corrugated cardboard, glass, plastics, organic waste, and metals or meet a lawfully enacted local recycling ordinance, if more restrictive (5.410.1).
- Water conserving plumbing fixtures and fittings. Plumbing fixtures (water closets and urinals) and fittings (faucets and showerheads) shall comply with the following:
 - Water Closets. The effective flush volume of all water closets shall not exceed 1.28 gallons per flush (5.303.3.1)
 - Urinals. The effective flush volume of wall-mounted urinals shall not exceed 0.125 gallons per flush (5.303.3.2.1). The effective flush volume of floor-mounted or other urinals shall not exceed 0.5 gallons per flush (5.303.3.2.2).
 - Showerheads. Single showerheads shall have a minimum flow rate of not more than 1.8 gallons per minute and 80 psi (5.303.3.3.1). When a shower is served by more than one showerhead, the combine flow rate of all showerheads and/or other shower outlets controlled by a single valve shall not exceed 1.8 gallons per minute at 80 psi (5.303.3.3.2).
 - Faucets and fountains. Nonresidential lavatory faucets shall have a maximum flow rate of not more than 0.5 gallons per minute at 60 psi (5.303.3.4.1). Kitchen faucets shall have a maximum flow rate of not more than 1.8 gallons per minute of 60 psi (5.303.3.4.2). Wash fountains shall have a maximum flow rate of not more than 1.8 gallons per minute (5.303.3.4.3). Metering faucets shall not deliver more than 0.20 gallons per cycle (5.303.3.4.4). Metering faucets for wash fountains shall have a maximum flow rate not more than 0.20 gallons per cycle (5.303.3.4.5).
- Outdoor potable water uses in landscaped areas. Nonresidential developments shall comply with a local water efficient landscape ordinance or the current California Department of Water Resources' Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance (MWELO), whichever is more stringent (5.304.1).

- Water meters. Separate submeters or metering devices shall be installed for new buildings or additions in excess of 50,000 sf or for excess consumption where any tenant within a new building or within an addition that is project to consume more than 1,000 gallons per day (GPD) (5.303.1.1 and 5.303.1.2).
- Outdoor water uses in rehabilitated landscape projects equal or greater than 2,500 sf. Rehabilitated landscape projects with an aggregate landscape area equal to or greater than 2,500 sf requiring a building or landscape permit (5.304.3).
- Commissioning. For new buildings 10,000 sf and over, building commissioning shall be included in the design and construction processes of the building project to verify that the building systems and components meet the owner's or owner representative's project requirements (5.410.2).

3.2.4 AB 1493 PAVLEY REGULATIONS AND FUEL EFFICIENCY STANDARDS

California AB 1493, enacted on July 22, 2002, required CARB to develop and adopt regulations that reduce GHGs emitted by passenger vehicles and light duty trucks. Under this legislation, CARB adopted regulations to reduce GHG emissions from non-commercial passenger vehicles (cars and light-duty trucks). Although aimed at reducing GHG emissions, specifically, a co-benefit of the Pavley standards is an improvement in fuel efficiency and consequently a reduction in fuel consumption.

3.2.5 CALIFORNIA'S RENEWABLE PORTFOLIO STANDARD (RPS)

First established in 2002 under Senate Bill (SB) 1078, California's Renewable Portfolio Standards (RPS) requires retail sellers of electric services to increase procurement from eligible renewable resources to 33% of total retail sales by 2020 (18).

3.2.6 CLEAN ENERGY AND POLLUTION REDUCTION ACT OF 2015 (SB 350)

In October 2015, the legislature approved, and the Governor signed SB 350, which reaffirms California's commitment to reducing its GHG emissions and addressing climate change. Key provisions include an increase in the renewables portfolio standard (RPS), higher energy efficiency requirements for buildings, initial strategies towards a regional electricity grid, and improved infrastructure for electric vehicle charging stations. Specifically, SB 350 requires the following to reduce statewide GHG emissions:

- Increase the amount of electricity procured from renewable energy sources from 33% to 50% by 2030, with interim targets of 40% by 2024, and 25% by 2027.
- Double the energy efficiency in existing buildings by 2030. This target will be achieved through the California Public Utility Commission (CPUC), the California Energy Commission (CEC), and local publicly owned utilities.
- Reorganize the Independent System Operator (ISO) to develop more regional electrify transmission markets and to improve accessibility in these markets, which will facilitate the growth of renewable energy markets in the western United States (California Leginfo 2015).

3.2.7 100 PERCENT CLEAN ENERGY ACT OF 2018 (SB 100)

In September 2018, the legislature approved, and the Governor signed SB 100, which builds on the targets established in SB 1078 and SB 350. Most notably, SB 100 sets a goal of powering all retail electricity sold in California with renewable and zero-carbon resources. Additionally, SB 100 updates the interim renewables target from 50% to 60% by 2030.

This page intentionally left blank

4 PROJECT ENERGY DEMANDS AND ENERGY EFFICIENCY MEASURES

4.1 EVALUATION CRITERIA

Per Appendix F of the *State CEQA Guidelines* (19), states that the means of achieving the goal of energy conservation includes the following:

- Decreasing overall per capita energy consumption;
- Decreasing reliance on fossil fuels such as coal, natural gas, and oil; and
- Increasing reliance on renewable energy sources.

In compliance with Appendix G of the *State CEQA Guidelines* (20), this report analyzes the project's anticipated energy use during construction and operations to determine if the Project would:

- Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation; or
- Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency

4.2 METHODOLOGY

Information from the CalEEMod Version 2021.1. outputs for the *Oleander & Santa Ana Avenue Warehouse Air Quality Impact Analysis* (AQIA) (21) was utilized in this analysis, detailing Project related construction equipment, transportation energy demands, and facility energy demands.

4.2.1 CAL EEMOD

In May 2022 California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA) in conjunction with other California air districts, including SCAQMD, released the latest version of the CalEEMod Version 2022.1. The purpose of this model is to calculate construction-source and operational-source criteria pollutants and GHG emissions from direct and indirect sources as well as energy usage (22). Accordingly, the latest version of CalEEMod has been used to determine the proposed Project's anticipated transportation and facility energy demands. Outputs from the annual model runs are provided in Appendices 4.1.

4.2.2 EMISSION FACTORS MODEL

On May 2, 2022, the EPA approved the 2021 version of the EMISSIONS FACTOR model (EMFAC) web database for use in State Implementation Plan and transportation conformity analyses. EMFAC2021 is a mathematical model that was developed to calculate emission rates, fuel consumption, VMT from motor vehicles that operate on highways, freeways, and local roads in California and is commonly used by the CARB to project changes in future emissions from on-road mobile sources (23). This energy study utilizes the different fuel types for each vehicle class from the annual EMFAC2021 emission inventory in order to derive the average vehicle fuel economy which is then used to determine the estimated annual fuel consumption associated with vehicle usage during Project construction and operational activities. For purposes of

analysis, the 2024 through 2025 analysis years were utilized to determine the average vehicle fuel economy used throughout the duration of the Project. Output from the EMFAC2021 model run is provided in Appendix 4.2.

4.3 CONSTRUCTION ENERGY DEMANDS

The focus within this section is the energy implications of the construction process, specifically the power cost from on-site electricity consumption during construction of the proposed Project.

4.3.1 CONSTRUCTION POWER COST

The total Project construction power costs is the summation of the products of the area (sf) by the construction duration and the typical power cost.

CONSTRUCTION DURATION

Construction is anticipated to begin in January 2024 and will last through June 2025 (21). The construction schedule utilized in the analysis, shown in Table 4-1, represents a “worst-case” analysis scenario. The duration of construction activity and associated equipment represents a reasonable approximation of the expected construction fleet as required per *CEQA Guidelines* (24).

TABLE 4-1: CONSTRUCTION DURATION

Construction Activity	Start Date	End Date	Days
Demolition	1/1/2024	1/29/2024	20
Site Preparation	1/30/2024	3/11/2024	30
Grading	3/12/2024	4/22/2024	30
Building Construction	4/23/2024	6/16/2025	300
Paving	2/11/2025	6/16/2025	90
Architectural Coating	3/25/2025	6/16/2025	60

PROJECT CONSTRUCTION POWER COST

The *2022 National Construction Estimator* identifies a typical power cost per 1,000 sf of construction per month of \$2.41, which was used to calculate the Project’s total construction power cost (25).

As shown on Table 4-2, the total power cost of the on-site electricity usage during the construction of the Project is estimated to be approximately \$37,060.48.

TABLE 4-2: CONSTRUCTION POWER COST

Land Use	Power Cost (per 1,000 SF)	Size (1,000 SF)	Construction Duration (months)	Project Construction Power Cost
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	\$2.41	540.849	17	\$22,158.58
Parking Lot	\$2.41	155.074	17	\$6,353.38
Other Asphalt Surfaces	\$2.41	208.653	17	\$8,548.51
CONSTRUCTION POWER COST				\$37,060.48

4.3.2 CONSTRUCTION ELECTRICITY USAGE

The total Project construction electricity usage is the summation of the products of the power cost (estimated in Table 4-2) by the utility provider cost per kilowatt hour (kWh) of electricity.

PROJECT CONSTRUCTION ELECTRICITY USAGE

The SCE's general service rate schedule was used to determine the Project's electrical usage. As of January 1, 2022, SCE's general service rate is \$0.13 per kilowatt hours (kWh) of electricity for industrial services (26). As shown on Table 4-3, the total electricity usage from on-site Project construction related activities is estimated to be approximately 281,358 kWh.

TABLE 4-3: CONSTRUCTION ELECTRICITY USAGE

Land Use	Cost per kWh	Project Construction Electricity Usage (kWh)
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	\$0.13	168,225
Parking Lot	\$0.13	48,234
Other Asphalt Surfaces	\$0.13	64,899
CONSTRUCTION ELECTRICITY USAGE		281,358

4.3.3 CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT FUEL ESTIMATES

Fuel consumed by construction equipment would be the primary energy resource expended over the course of Project construction.

CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT

Consistent with industry standards and typical construction practices, each piece of equipment listed in Table 4-4 will operate up to a total of eight (8) hours per day, or more than two-thirds of the period during which construction activities are allowed pursuant to the code. It should be noted that most pieces of equipment would likely operate for fewer hours per day. A summary of construction equipment assumptions by phase is provided at Table 4-4.

TABLE 4-4: CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT ASSUMPTIONS

Construction Activity	Equipment	Amount	Hours Per Day
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	8
	Excavators	3	8
	Rubber Tired Dozers	2	8
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8
	Crawler Tractors	4	8
Grading	Excavators	2	8
	Graders	1	8
	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8
	Scrapers	2	8
	Crawler Tractors	2	8
Building Construction	Cranes	1	8
	Forklifts	6	8
	Generator Sets	2	8
	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	6	8
	Welders	2	8
Paving	Pavers	2	8
	Paving Equipment	2	8
	Rollers	2	8
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	8

PROJECT CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT FUEL CONSUMPTION

Project construction activity timeline estimates, construction equipment schedules, equipment power ratings, load factors, and associated fuel consumption estimates are presented in Table 4-5. The aggregate fuel consumption rate for all equipment is estimated at 18.5 horsepower hour per gallon (hp-hr-gal.), obtained from CARB 2018 Emissions Factors Tables and cited fuel consumption rate factors presented in Table D-24 of the Moyer guidelines (27). For the purposes of this analysis, the calculations are based on all construction equipment being diesel-powered which is consistent with industry standards. Diesel fuel would be supplied by existing commercial fuel providers serving the Project area and region². As presented in Table 4-5, Project construction activities would consume an estimated 85,533 gallons of diesel fuel. Project construction would represent a “single-event” diesel fuel demand and would not require ongoing or permanent commitment of diesel fuel resources for this purpose.

² Based on Appendix A of the CalEEMod User's Guide, Construction consists of several types of off-road equipment. Since the majority of the off-road construction equipment used for construction projects are diesel fueled, CalEEMod assumes all of the equipment operates on diesel fuel.

TABLE 4-5: CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT FUEL CONSUMPTION ESTIMATES

Construction Activity	Duration (Days)	Equipment	HP Rating	Quantity	Usage Hours	Load Factor	HP-hrs/day	Total Fuel Consumption
Demolition	20	Concrete/Industrial Saws	33	1	8	0.73	193	208
		Excavators	36	3	8	0.38	328	355
		Rubber Tired Dozers	367	2	8	0.4	2,349	2,539
Site Preparation	30	Rubber Tired Dozers	367	3	8	0.4	3,523	5,713
		Crawler Tractors	87	4	8	0.43	1,197	1,941
Grading	30	Excavators	36	2	8	0.38	219	355
		Graders	148	1	8	0.41	485	787
		Rubber Tired Dozers	367	1	8	0.4	1,174	1,904
		Scrapers	423	2	8	0.48	3,249	5,268
		Crawler Tractors	87	2	8	0.43	599	971
Building Construction	300	Cranes	367	1	8	0.29	851	13,807
		Forklifts	82	6	8	0.2	787	12,765
		Generator Sets	14	2	8	0.74	166	2,688
		Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	84	6	8	0.37	1,492	24,192
		Welders	46	2	8	0.45	331	5,371
Paving	90	Pavers	81	2	8	0.42	544	2,648
		Paving Equipment	89	2	8	0.36	513	2,494
		Rollers	36	2	8	0.38	219	1,065
Architectural Coating	60	Air Compressors	37	1	8	0.48	142	461
CONSTRUCTION FUEL DEMAND (GALLONS DIESEL FUEL)								85,533

4.3.3 CONSTRUCTION TRIPS AND VMT

Construction generates on-road vehicle emissions from vehicle usage for workers, hauling, and vendors commuting to and from the site. The number of workers, hauling, and vendor trips are presented below in Table 4-6. It should be noted that for Vendor Trips, specifically, CalEEMod only assigns Vendor Trips to the Building Construction phase. Vendor trips would likely occur during all phases of construction. As such, the CalEEMod defaults for Vendor Trips have been adjusted based on a ratio of the total vendor trips to the number of days of each subphase of activity.

TABLE 4-6: CONSTRUCTION TRIPS AND VMT

Construction Activity	Worker Trips Per Day	Vendor Trips Per Day	Hauling Trips Per Day
Demolition	15	5	16
Site Preparation	18	7	0
Grading	20	7	0
Building Construction	227	70	0
Paving	15	0	0
Architectural Coating	45	0	0

4.3.4 CONSTRUCTION WORKER FUEL ESTIMATES

With respect to estimated VMT for the Project, the construction worker trips would generate an estimated 1,346,373 VMT during the 17 months of construction (21). Based on CalEEMod methodology, it is assumed that 50% of all vendor trips are from light-duty-auto vehicles (LDA), 25% are from light-duty-trucks (LDT1³), and 25% are from light-duty-trucks (LDT2⁴). Data regarding Project related construction worker trips were based on CalEEMod defaults utilized within the AQIA.

Vehicle fuel efficiencies for LDA, LDT1, and LDT2 were estimated using information generated within the 2021 version of the EMFAC developed by CARB. EMFAC2021 is a mathematical model that was developed to calculate emission rates, fuel consumption, and VMT from motor vehicles that operate on highways, freeways, and local roads in California and is commonly used by the CARB to project changes in future emissions from on-road mobile sources (23). EMFAC2021 was run for the LDA, LDT1, and LDT2 vehicle class within the California sub-area for the 2024 through 2025 calendar years. Data from EMFAC2021 is shown in Appendix 4.2.

Table 4-7 provides an estimated annual fuel consumption resulting from Project construction worker trips. Based on Table 4-7, it is estimated that 49,106 gallons of fuel will be consumed related to construction worker trips during full construction of the Project.

³ Vehicles under the LDT1 category have a gross vehicle weight rating (GVWR) of less than 6,000 lbs. and equivalent test weight (ETW) of less than or equal to 3,750 lbs.

⁴ Vehicles under the LDT2 category have a GVWR of less than 6,000 lbs. and ETW between 3,751 lbs. and 5,750 lbs.

TABLE 4-7: CONSTRUCTION WORKER FUEL CONSUMPTION ESTIMATES

Year	Construction Activity	Duration (Days)	Worker Trips/Day	Trip Length (miles)	VMT	Average Vehicle Fuel Economy (mpg)	Estimated Fuel Consumption (gallons)
2024	LDA						
	Demolition	21	8	18.5	3,108	31.57	98
	Site Preparation	30	9	18.5	4,995	31.57	158
	Grading	30	10	18.5	5,550	31.57	176
	Building Construction	181	114	18.5	381,729	31.57	12,090
	LDT1						
	Demolition	21	4	18.5	1,554	24.59	63
	Site Preparation	30	5	18.5	2,775	24.59	113
	Grading	30	5	18.5	2,775	24.59	113
	Building Construction	181	57	18.5	190,865	24.59	7,761
	LDT2						
	Demolition	21	4	18.5	1,554	24.51	63
	Site Preparation	30	5	18.5	2,775	24.51	113
	Grading	30	5	18.5	2,775	24.51	113
	Building Construction	181	57	18.5	190,865	24.51	7,789
2025	LDA						
	Building Construction	119	114	18.5	250,971	32.57	7,706
	Paving	90	8	18.5	13,320	32.57	409
	Architectural Coating	60	23	18.5	25,530	32.57	784
	LDT1						
	Building Construction	119	57	18.5	125,486	25.11	4,997
	Paving	90	4	18.5	6,660	25.11	265
	Architectural Coating	60	12	18.5	13,320	25.11	530
	LDT2						
	Building Construction	119	57	18.5	125,486	25.24	4,972
	Paving	90	4	18.5	6,660	25.24	264
	Architectural Coating	60	12	18.5	13,320	25.24	528
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION WORKER FUEL CONSUMPTION							49,106

It should be noted that construction worker trips would represent a “single-event” gasoline fuel demand and would not require on-going or permanent commitment of fuel resources for this purpose.

4.3.5 CONSTRUCTION VENDOR/HAULING FUEL ESTIMATES

With respect to estimated VMT, the construction vendor trips (vehicles that deliver materials to the site during construction) would generate an estimated 227,101 VMT along area roadways for the Project over the duration of construction activity (21). It is assumed that 50% of all vendor trips are from medium-heavy duty trucks (MHDT), 50% of vendor trips are from heavy-heavy duty trucks (HHDT), and 100% of hauling trips are from HHDTs. These assumptions are consistent with the CalEEMod defaults utilized within the within the AQIA (21). Vehicle fuel efficiencies for MHDTs and HHDTs were estimated using information generated within EMFAC2021. EMFAC2021 was run for the MHDT and HHDT vehicle classes within the California sub-area for the 2024 through 2025 calendar years. Data from EMFAC2021 is shown in Appendix 4.2.

Based on Table 4-8, it is estimated that 32,423 gallons of fuel will be consumed related to construction vendor trips during full construction of the Project.

TABLE 4-8: CONSTRUCTION VENDOR FUEL CONSUMPTION ESTIMATES (1 OF 3)

Year	Construction Activity	Duration (Days)	Vendor/Hauling Trips/Day	Trip Length (miles)	VMT	Average Vehicle Fuel Economy (mpg)	Estimated Fuel Consumption (gallons)
2024	MHDT						
	Demolition	21	3	10.2	643	8.34	77
	Site Preparation	30	4	10.2	1,224	8.34	147
	Grading	30	4	10.2	1,224	8.34	147
	Building Construction	181	35	10.2	64,617	8.34	7,747
	HHDT (Vendor)						
	Demolition	21	3	10.2	643	6.03	107
	Site Preparation	30	4	10.2	1,224	6.03	203
	Grading	30	4	10.2	1,224	6.03	203
	Building Construction	181	35	10.2	64,617	6.03	10,724
	HHDT (Hauling)						
	Demolition	21	16	20	6,720	6.03	1,115
2025	MHDT						
	Building Construction	119	35	10.2	42,483	8.46	5,023
	HHDT (Vendor)						
	Building Construction	119	35	10.2	42,483	6.13	6,930
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION VENDOR/HAULING FUEL CONSUMPTION							32,423

It should be noted that Project construction vendor trips would represent a “single-event” diesel fuel demand and would not require on-going or permanent commitment of diesel fuel resources for this purpose.

4.3.6 CONSTRUCTION ENERGY EFFICIENCY/CONSERVATION MEASURES

Starting in 2014, CARB adopted the nation's first regulation aimed at cleaning up off-road construction equipment such as bulldozers, graders, and backhoes. These requirements ensure fleets gradually turnover the oldest and dirtiest equipment to newer, cleaner models and prevent fleets from adding older, dirtier equipment. As such, the equipment used for Project construction would conform to CARB regulations and California emissions standards. It should also be noted that there are no unusual Project characteristics or construction processes that would require the use of equipment that would be more energy intensive than is used for comparable activities; or equipment that would not conform to current emissions standards (and related fuel efficiencies). Equipment employed in construction of the Project would therefore not result in inefficient wasteful, or unnecessary consumption of fuel.

Construction contractors would be required to comply with applicable CARB regulation regarding retrofitting, repowering, or replacement of diesel off-road construction equipment. Additionally, CARB has adopted the Airborne Toxic Control Measure to limit heavy-duty diesel motor vehicle idling in order to reduce public exposure to diesel particulate matter and other Toxic Air Contaminants. Compliance with anti-idling and emissions regulations would result in a more efficient use of construction-related energy and the minimization or elimination of wasteful or unnecessary consumption of energy. Idling restrictions and the use of newer engines and equipment would result in less fuel combustion and energy consumption.

Additional construction-source energy efficiencies would occur due to required California regulations and best available control measures (BACM). For example, CCR Title 13, Motor Vehicles, section 2449(d)(3) Idling, limits idling times of construction vehicles to no more than five minutes, thereby precluding unnecessary and wasteful consumption of fuel due to unproductive idling of construction equipment. Section 2449(d)(3) requires that grading plans shall reference the requirement that a sign shall be posted on-site stating that construction workers need to shut off engines at or before five minutes of idling.” In this manner, construction equipment operators are required to be informed that engines are to be turned off at or prior to five minutes of idling. Enforcement of idling limitations is realized through periodic site inspections conducted by City building officials, and/or in response to citizen complaints.

A full analysis related to the energy needed to form construction materials is not included in this analysis due to a lack of detailed Project-specific information on construction materials. At this time, an analysis of the energy needed to create Project-related construction materials would be extremely speculative and thus has not been prepared.

In general, the construction processes promote conservation and efficient use of energy by reducing raw materials demands, with related reduction in energy demands associated with raw materials extraction, transportation, processing, and refinement. Use of materials in bulk reduces energy demands associated with preparation and transport of construction materials as well as

the transport and disposal of construction waste and solid waste in general, with corollary reduced demands on area landfill capacities and energy consumed by waste transport and landfill operations.

4.4 OPERATIONAL ENERGY DEMANDS

Energy consumption in support of or related to Project operations would include transportation energy demands (energy consumed by passenger car and truck vehicles accessing the Project site) and facilities energy demands (energy consumed by building operations and site maintenance activities).

4.4.1 TRANSPORTATION ENERGY DEMANDS

Energy that would be consumed by Project-generated traffic is a function of total VMT and estimated vehicle fuel economies of vehicles accessing the Project site. The VMT per vehicle class can be determined by evaluated in the vehicle fleet mix and the total VMT. As with worker and vendors trips, operational vehicle fuel efficiencies were estimated using information generated within EMFAC2021 developed by CARB (23). EMFAC2021 was run for the San Bernardino County area for the 2025 calendar year. Data from EMFAC2021 is shown in Appendix 4.2.

As summarized on Table 4-9 the Project will result in 3,593,663 annual VMT and an estimated annual fuel consumption of 396,865 gallons of fuel.

TABLE 4-9: TOTAL PROJECT-GENERATED TRAFFIC ANNUAL FUEL CONSUMPTION

Vehicle Type	Annual VMT	Average Vehicle Fuel Economy (mpg)	Estimated Annual Fuel Consumption (gallons)
LDA	508,864	32.57	15,625
LDT1	41,158	25.11	1,639
LDT2	207,411	25.24	8,218
MDV	156,311	20.54	7,610
MCY	21,414	42.15	508
LHDT1	356,884	16.22	22,008
LHDT2	97,007	15.27	6,354
MHDT	551,153	8.46	65,167
HHDT	1,653,460	6.13	269,737
TOTAL (ALL VEHICLES)	3,593,663		396,865

4.4.2 FACILITY ENERGY DEMANDS

Project building operations activities would result in the consumption of electricity. Electricity would be supplied to the Project by SCE. Electricity demands of the Project are summarized in Table 4-10 and provided in Appendix 4.1.

TABLE 4-10: PROJECT ANNUAL OPERATIONAL NATURAL GAS DEMAND SUMMARY

Land Use	Electricity Demand (kWh/year)
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	2,498,021
Parking Lot	135,997
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0
TOTAL PROJECT ENERGY DEMAND	2,634,018

kBTU – kilo-British Thermal Units

4.4.3 OPERATIONAL ENERGY EFFICIENCY/CONSERVATION MEASURES

Energy efficiency/energy conservation attributes of the Project would be complemented by increasingly stringent state and federal regulatory actions addressing vehicle fuel economies and vehicle emissions standards; and enhanced building/utilities energy efficiencies mandated under California building codes (e.g., Title24, California Green Building Standards Code).

ENHANCED VEHICLE FUEL EFFICIENCIES

Project annual fuel consumption estimates presented previously in Table 4-9 represent likely potential maximums that would occur for the Project. Under subsequent future conditions, average fuel economies of vehicles accessing the Project site can be expected to improve as older, less fuel-efficient vehicles are removed from circulation, and in response to fuel economy and emissions standards imposed on newer vehicles entering the circulation system.

Enhanced fuel economies realized pursuant to federal and state regulatory actions, and related transition of vehicles to alternative energy sources (e.g., electricity, natural gas, biofuels, hydrogen cells) would likely decrease future gasoline fuel demands per VMT. Location of the Project proximate to regional and local roadway systems tends to reduce VMT within the region, acting to reduce regional vehicle energy demands.

The Property Owner/Developer would comply with the City's transportation demand management ordinance (see Chapter 17.78 of the Development Code).

4.5 SUMMARY**4.5.1 CONSTRUCTION ENERGY DEMANDS**

The estimated power cost of on-site electricity usage during the construction of the Project is assumed to be approximately \$37,060.48. Additionally, based on the assumed power cost, it is estimated that the total electricity usage during construction, after full Project build-out, is calculated to be approximately 281,358 kWh.

Construction equipment used by the Project would result in single event consumption of approximately 85,533 gallons of diesel fuel. Construction equipment use of fuel would not be atypical for the type of construction proposed because there are no aspects of the Project's proposed construction process that are unusual or energy-intensive, and Project construction

equipment would conform to the applicable CARB emissions standards, acting to promote equipment fuel efficiencies.

CCR Title 13, Title 13, Motor Vehicles, section 2449(d)(3) Idling, limits idling times of construction vehicles to no more than 5 minutes, thereby precluding unnecessary and wasteful consumption of fuel due to unproductive idling of construction equipment. BACMs inform construction equipment operators of this requirement. Enforcement of idling limitations is realized through periodic site inspections conducted by City building officials, and/or in response to citizen complaints.

Construction worker trips for full construction of the Project would result in the estimated fuel consumption of 49,106 gallons of fuel. Additionally, fuel consumption from construction vendor trips (MHDTs and HHDTs) will total approximately 32,423 gallons. Diesel fuel would be supplied by City and regional commercial vendors. Indirectly, construction energy efficiencies and energy conservation would be achieved using bulk purchases, transport and use of construction materials. The 2021 IEPR released by the CEC has shown that fuel efficiencies are getting better within on and off-road vehicle engines due to more stringent government requirements (28). As supported by the preceding discussions, Project construction energy consumption would not be considered inefficient, wasteful, or otherwise unnecessary.

4.5.2 OPERATIONAL ENERGY DEMANDS

TRANSPORTATION ENERGY DEMANDS

Annual vehicular trips and related VMT generated by the operation of the Project would result in a fuel demand of 396,865 gallons of fuel.

Fuel would be provided by current and future commercial vendors. Trip generation and VMT generated by the Project are consistent with other industrial uses of similar scale and configuration, as reflected respectively in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual ((11th Ed., 2021); and CalEEMod. As such, Project operations would not result in excessive and wasteful vehicle trips and VMT, nor excess and wasteful vehicle energy consumption compared to other industrial uses.

It should be noted that the state strategy for the transportation sector for medium and heavy-duty trucks is focused on making trucks more efficient and expediting truck turnover rather than reducing VMT from trucks. This is in contrast to the passenger vehicle component of the transportation sector where both per-capita VMT reductions and an increase in vehicle efficiency are forecasted to be needed to achieve the overall state emissions reductions goals.

Heavy duty trucks involved in goods movements are generally controlled on the technology side and through fleet turnover of older trucks and engines to newer and cleaner trucks and engines. The first battery-electric heavy-heavy duty trucks are being tested this year and SCAQMD is looking to integrate this new technology into large-scale truck operations. The following state strategies reduce GHG emissions from the medium and heavy-duty trucks:

- CARB's Mobile Source Strategy focuses on reducing GHGs through the transition to zero and low emission vehicles and from medium-duty and heavy-duty trucks.

- CARB's Sustainable Freight Action Plan establishes a goal to improve freight efficiency by 25 percent by 2030, deploy over 100,000 freight vehicles and equipment capable of zero emission operation and maximize both zero and near-zero emission freight vehicles and equipment powered by renewable energy by 2030.
- CARB's Emissions Reduction Plan for Ports and Goods Movement (Goods Movement Plan) in California focuses on reducing heavy-duty truck-related emissions focus on establishment of emissions standards for trucks, fleet turnover, truck retrofits, and restriction on truck idling (CARB 2006). While the focus of Goods Movement Plan is to reduce criteria air pollutant and air toxic emissions, the strategies to reduce these pollutants would also generally have a beneficial effect in reducing GHG emissions.
- CARB's On-Road Truck and Bus Regulation (2010) requires diesel trucks and buses that operate in California to be upgraded to reduce emissions. Newer heavier trucks and buses must meet particulate matter filter requirements beginning January 1, 2012. Lighter and older heavier trucks must be replaced starting January 1, 2015. By January 1, 2023, nearly all trucks and buses will need to have 2010 model year engines or equivalent (29).
- CARB's Heavy-Duty (Tractor-Trailer) GHG Regulation requires SmartWay tractor trailers that include idle-reduction technologies, aerodynamic technologies, and low-rolling resistant tires that would reduce fuel consumption and associated GHG emissions.

The proposed Project would implement project design features that would facilitate the accessibility, parking, and loading of trucks on site.

Enhanced fuel economies realized pursuant to federal and state regulatory actions, and related transition of vehicles to alternative energy sources (e.g., electricity, natural gas, biofuels, hydrogen cells) would likely decrease future gasoline fuel demands per VMT. Location of the Project proximate to regional and local roadway systems tends to reduce VMT within the region, acting to reduce regional vehicle energy demands. The Project would implement sidewalks, facilitating and encouraging pedestrian access. Facilitating pedestrian and bicycle access would reduce VMT and associated energy consumption. In compliance with the California Green Building Standards Code and City requirements, the Project would promote the use of bicycles as an alternative mean of transportation by providing short-term and/or long-term bicycle parking accommodations. As supported by the preceding discussions, Project transportation energy consumption would not be considered inefficient, wasteful, or otherwise unnecessary.

FACILITY ENERGY DEMANDS

Project facility operational energy demands are estimated at: 2,634,018 kWh/year of electricity. Electricity would be supplied by SCE. The Project proposes conventional industrial uses reflecting contemporary energy efficient/energy conserving designs and operational programs. The Project does not propose uses that are inherently energy intensive and the energy demands in total would be comparable to other industrial uses of similar scale and configuration.

Lastly, the Project will comply with the applicable Title 24 standards. Compliance itself with applicable Title 24 standards will ensure that the Project energy demands would not be inefficient, wasteful, or otherwise unnecessary.

This page intentionally left blank

5 CONCLUSIONS

5.1 ENERGY IMPACT 1

Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation.

As supported by the preceding analyses, Project construction and operations would not result in the inefficient, wasteful, or unnecessary consumption of energy. The Project would therefore not cause or result in the need for additional energy producing or transmission facilities. The Project would not engage in wasteful or inefficient uses of energy and aims to achieve energy conservations goals within the State of California.

5.2 ENERGY IMPACT 2

Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency.

The Project's consistency with the applicable state and local plans is discussed below.

CONSISTENCY WITH ISTE A

Transportation and access to the Project site is provided by the local and regional roadway systems. The Project would not interfere with, nor otherwise obstruct intermodal transportation plans or projects that may be realized pursuant to the ISTE A because SCAG is not planning for intermodal facilities on or through the Project site.

CONSISTENCY WITH TEA-21

The Project site is located along major transportation corridors with proximate access to the Interstate freeway system. The site selected for the Project facilitates access, acts to reduce vehicle miles traveled, takes advantage of existing infrastructure systems, and promotes land use compatibilities through collocation of similar uses. The Project supports the strong planning processes emphasized under TEA-21. The Project is therefore consistent with, and would not otherwise interfere with, nor obstruct implementation of TEA-21.

CONSISTENCY WITH IEPR

Electricity would be provided to the Project by SCE. SCE's *Clean Power and Electrification Pathway* (CPEP) white paper builds on existing state programs and policies. As such, the Project is consistent with, and would not otherwise interfere with, nor obstruct implementation the goals presented in the 2021 IEPR.

Additionally, the Project will comply with the applicable Title 24 standards which would ensure that the Project energy demands would not be inefficient, wasteful, or otherwise unnecessary. As such, development of the proposed Project would support the goals presented in the 2021 IEPR.

CONSISTENCY WITH STATE OF CALIFORNIA ENERGY PLAN

The Project site is located along major transportation corridors with proximate access to the Interstate freeway system. The site selected for the Project facilitates access and takes advantage of existing infrastructure systems. The Project therefore supports urban design and planning processes identified under the State of California Energy Plan, is consistent with, and would not otherwise interfere with, nor obstruct implementation of the State of California Energy Plan.

CONSISTENCY WITH CALIFORNIA CODE TITLE 24, PART 6, ENERGY EFFICIENCY STANDARDS

The 2022 version of Title 24 was adopted by the CEC and will become effective on January 1, 2023. The Project would be required to comply with the applicable standards in place at the time building permit document submittals are made. Therefore, the Project would not result in a significant impact on energy resources (30). The proposed Project would be subject to Title 24 standards.

CONSISTENCY WITH CALIFORNIA CODE TITLE 24, PART 11, CALGREEN

As previously stated, CCR, Title 24, Part 11: CALGreen is a comprehensive and uniform regulatory code for all residential, commercial, and school buildings that went in effect on January 1, 2009, and is administered by the California Building Standards Commission. CALGreen is updated on a regular basis, with the most recent approved update consisting of the 2022 California Green Building Code Standards which will become effective January 1, 2023. The proposed Project would be required to comply with the applicable standards in place at the time building permit document submittals are made.

CONSISTENCY WITH AB 1493

AB 1493 is not applicable to the Project as it is a statewide measure establishing vehicle emissions standards. No feature of the Project would interfere with implementation of the requirements under AB 1493.

CONSISTENCY WITH RPS

California's RPS is not applicable to the Project as it is a statewide measure that establishes a renewable energy mix. No feature of the Project would interfere with implementation of the requirements under RPS.

CONSISTENCY WITH SB 350

The proposed Project would use energy from SCE, which have committed to diversify their portfolio of energy sources by increasing energy from wind and solar sources. No feature of the Project would interfere with implementation of SB 350. Additionally, the Project would be designed and constructed to implement the energy efficiency measures for new industrial developments and would include several measures designed to reduce energy consumption.

As shown above, the Project would not conflict with any of the state or local plans. As such, a less than significant impact is expected.

This page intentionally left blank

6 REFERENCES

1. Association of Environmental Professionals. *2020 CEQA California Environmental Quality Act*. 2020.
2. Administration, U.S. Energy Information. California State Profile and Energy Estimates. [Online] <https://www.eia.gov/state/data.php?sid=CA#ConsumptionExpenditures>.
3. California Energy Commission. *Transportation Energy Demand Forecast 2018-2030*. 2018.
4. Alternate Fuels Data Center. *U.S. Department of Energy*. [Online] <https://afdc.energy.gov/states/ca>.
5. U.S. Energy Information Administration. California Energy Consumption by End-Use Sector 2019. *California State Profile and Energy Estimates*. [Online] <https://www.eia.gov/state/?sid=CA#tabs-2>.
6. California Energy Commission. 2020 Total System Electric Generation. *CA.gov*. [Online] <https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/california-electricity-data/2020-total-system-electric-generation>.
7. U.S. Energy Information Administration. California State Profile and Energy Estimates . [Online] <https://www.eia.gov/state/?sid=CA>.
8. California Energy Commission. 2013 Integrated Energy Policy Report. [Online] 2013. <http://www.energy.ca.gov/2013publications/CEC-100-2013-001/CEC-100-2013-001-CMF.pdf>.
9. —. California Energy Almanac. *Utility Energy Supply Plans from 2013*. [Online] https://www.energy.ca.gov/almanac/electricity_data/s-2_supply_forms_2013/.
10. California ISO. Understanding the ISO. [Online] <http://www.caiso.com/about/Pages/OurBusiness/UnderstandingtheISO/default.aspx>.
11. California Energy Commission. 2021 Power Content Label - Southern California Edison. [Online] <https://www.sce.com/sites/default/files/custom-files/Web%20files/2021%20Power%20Content%20Label.pdf>.
12. California Public Utilities Commission. Natural Gas and California. [Online] <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/general.aspx?id=4802>.
13. Department of Motor Vehicles. *State of California Department of Motor Vehicles Statistics For Publication January Through December 2021*. 2021.
14. United States Energy Information Administration. California Analysis. *Energy Information Administration*. [Online] <https://www.eia.gov/beta/states/states/ca/analysis>.
15. California Energy Commission Staff. 2020 Integrated Energy Policy Report Update. [Online] 2020. <https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/reports/integrated-energy-policy-report/2020-integrated-energy-policy-report-update>.
16. California Energy Commission. Energy Commission Adopts Updated Building Standards to Improve Efficiency, Reduce Emissions from Homes and Businesses. [Online] August 11, 2021. <https://www.energy.ca.gov/news/2021-08/energy-commission-adopts-updated-building-standards-improve-efficiency-reduce-0>.
17. California Department of General Services. 2022 CALGreen Code. *CALGreen*. [Online] <https://codes.iccsafe.org/content/CAGBC2022P1>.
18. California Energy Commission. Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS). [Online] 2002. <http://www.energy.ca.gov/portfolio/>.
19. State of California. *California Environmental Quality Act Guideline, California Public Resources Code, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3*.

20. Association of Environmental Professionals. *2019 CEQA California Environmental Quality Act*. 2019.
21. Urban Crossroads, Inc. *Oleander & Santa Ana Avenue Warehouse Air Quality Impact Analysis*. 2022.
22. California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA). California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod). [Online] May 2022. www.caleemod.com.
23. California Department of Transportation. EMFAC Software. [Online] <http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/air/pages/emfac.htm>.
24. State of California. *2019 CEQA California Environmental Quality Act*. 2019.
25. Pray, Richard. *2022 National Construction Estimator*. Carlsbad : Craftsman Book Company, 2022.
26. Southern California Edison. Schedule GS-1 General Service. *Regulatory Information - Rates Pricing*. [Online] https://library.sce.com/content/dam/sce-doclib/public/regulatory/tariff/electric/schedules/general-service-&-industrial-rates/ELECTRIC_SCHEDULES_GS-1.pdf.
27. California Air Resources Board. *Methods to Find the Cost-Effectiveness of Funding Air Quality Projects For Evaluating Motor Vehicle Registration Fee Projects And Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Projects, Emission Factor Tables*. 2018.
28. California Energy Commission Staff. 2021 Integrated Energy Policy Report Update. [Online] 2021. <https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/reports/integrated-energy-policy-report/2021-integrated-energy-policy-report>.
29. California Air Resources Board. Truck and Bus Regulation. [Online] <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/truck-and-bus-regulation>.
30. The California Energy Commission. 2022 Building Energy Efficiency Standards. *California Energy Commission*. [Online] 2022. <https://www.energy.ca.gov/programs-and-topics/programs/building-energy-efficiency-standards/2022-building-energy-efficiency>.

This page intentionally left blank

7 CERTIFICATIONS

The contents of this energy analysis report represent an accurate depiction of the environmental impacts associated with the proposed Oleander & Santa Ana Avenue Warehouse. The information contained in this energy analysis report is based on the best available data at the time of preparation. If you have any questions, please contact me directly at hqureshi@urbanxroads.com.

Haseeb Qureshi
Associate Principal
Urban Crossroads, Inc.
hqureshi@urbanxroads.com

EDUCATION

Master of Science in Environmental Studies
California State University, Fullerton • May 2010

Bachelor of Arts in Environmental Analysis and Design
University of California, Irvine • June 2006

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

AEP – Association of Environmental Planners
AWMA – Air and Waste Management Association
ASTM – American Society for Testing and Materials

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATIONS

Planned Communities and Urban Infill – Urban Land Institute • June 2011
Indoor Air Quality and Industrial Hygiene – EMSL Analytical • April 2008
Principles of Ambient Air Monitoring – California Air Resources Board • August 2007
AB2588 Regulatory Standards – Trinity Consultants • November 2006
Air Dispersion Modeling – Lakes Environmental • June 2006

This page intentionally left blank

APPENDIX 4.1:

CALEEMOD CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS MODEL OUTPUTS

14581 Oleander and Santa Ana Detailed Report

Table of Contents

- 1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.2. Land Use Types
 - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
- 2. Emissions Summary
 - 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
 - 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated
- 3. Construction Emissions Details
 - 3.1. Demolition (2024) - Unmitigated
 - 3.3. Site Preparation (2024) - Unmitigated
 - 3.5. Grading (2024) - Unmitigated
 - 3.7. Building Construction (2024) - Unmitigated

3.9. Building Construction (2025) - Unmitigated

3.11. Paving (2025) - Unmitigated

3.13. Architectural Coating (2025) - Unmitigated

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.2. Unmitigated

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.2. Unmitigated

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.2. Unmitigated

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

5.5. Architectural Coatings

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

5.7. Construction Paving

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

5.16.2. Process Boilers

5.17. User Defined

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

8. User Changes to Default Data

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	14581 Oleander and Santa Ana
Lead Agency	—
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	2.80
Precipitation (days)	6.80
Location	34.05711223969459, -117.45159767923579
County	San Bernardino-South Coast
City	Fontana
Air District	South Coast AQMD
Air Basin	South Coast
TAZ	5310
EDFZ	10
Electric Utility	Southern California Edison
Gas Utility	Southern California Gas

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	541	1000sqft	12.4	540,849	159,626	—	—	—
Parking Lot	396	Space	3.56	0.00	0.00	—	—	—

Other Asphalt Surfaces	4.79	Acre	4.79	0.00	0.00	—	—	—
User Defined Industrial	541	User Defined Unit	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	—	—

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

No measures selected

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	5.29	49.0	37.9	60.4	0.07	1.78	4.36	5.56	1.64	1.06	2.69	—	12,172	12,172	0.58	0.52	21.1	12,362
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	5.47	48.9	42.9	54.9	0.07	2.25	5.95	8.21	2.07	2.76	4.83	—	11,836	11,836	0.58	0.52	0.55	12,005
Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	3.05	8.62	20.1	27.8	0.04	0.86	2.57	3.43	0.79	0.75	1.54	—	6,058	6,058	0.30	0.26	4.30	6,148
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.56	1.57	3.67	5.07	0.01	0.16	0.47	0.63	0.14	0.14	0.28	—	1,003	1,003	0.05	0.04	0.71	1,018

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	4.83	4.05	37.9	45.9	0.06	1.78	3.57	4.77	1.64	1.06	2.69	—	9,735	9,735	0.48	0.47	19.2	9,908
2025	5.29	49.0	31.3	60.4	0.07	1.20	4.36	5.56	1.11	1.05	2.15	—	12,172	12,172	0.58	0.52	21.1	12,362
Daily - Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	5.47	4.59	42.9	41.2	0.06	2.25	5.95	8.21	2.07	2.76	4.83	—	9,464	9,464	0.49	0.47	0.50	9,618
2025	5.20	48.9	31.5	54.9	0.07	1.20	4.36	5.56	1.11	1.05	2.15	—	11,836	11,836	0.58	0.52	0.55	12,005
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	3.05	2.52	20.1	27.8	0.04	0.86	2.57	3.43	0.79	0.75	1.54	—	6,058	6,058	0.30	0.26	4.30	6,148
2025	1.55	8.62	9.50	16.6	0.02	0.36	1.31	1.66	0.33	0.31	0.64	—	3,622	3,622	0.18	0.16	2.79	3,678
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	0.56	0.46	3.67	5.07	0.01	0.16	0.47	0.63	0.14	0.14	0.28	—	1,003	1,003	0.05	0.04	0.71	1,018
2025	0.28	1.57	1.73	3.04	< 0.005	0.07	0.24	0.30	0.06	0.06	0.12	—	600	600	0.03	0.03	0.46	609

2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	9.31	19.6	31.1	54.7	0.28	0.47	5.45	5.92	0.46	1.23	1.68	514	34,520	35,034	54.7	4.97	92.8	37,975
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	4.99	15.6	32.4	29.3	0.28	0.44	5.45	5.89	0.42	1.23	1.64	514	34,226	34,739	54.7	4.98	2.41	37,593

Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	6.50	17.5	24.1	37.8	0.21	0.34	3.99	4.33	0.33	0.90	1.23	514	26,028	26,542	54.0	3.81	29.3	29,058
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	1.19	3.20	4.40	6.91	0.04	0.06	0.73	0.79	0.06	0.16	0.22	85.0	4,309	4,394	8.94	0.63	4.86	4,811

2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	5.12	2.69	30.9	31.2	0.28	0.44	5.45	5.89	0.42	1.23	1.64	—	31,081	31,081	2.38	4.35	92.8	32,530
Area	4.18	16.9	0.20	23.5	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.04	—	0.04	—	96.7	96.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	97.1
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	2,516	2,516	0.24	0.03	—	2,530
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	240	826	1,066	24.7	0.59	—	1,859
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	274	0.00	274	27.4	0.00	—	959
Total	9.31	19.6	31.1	54.7	0.28	0.47	5.45	5.92	0.46	1.23	1.68	514	34,520	35,034	54.7	4.97	92.8	37,975
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	4.99	2.55	32.4	29.3	0.28	0.44	5.45	5.89	0.42	1.23	1.64	—	30,884	30,884	2.39	4.36	2.41	32,244
Area	—	13.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	2,516	2,516	0.24	0.03	—	2,530
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	240	826	1,066	24.7	0.59	—	1,859
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	274	0.00	274	27.4	0.00	—	959
Total	4.99	15.6	32.4	29.3	0.28	0.44	5.45	5.89	0.42	1.23	1.64	514	34,226	34,739	54.7	4.98	2.41	37,593

Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	3.64	1.86	23.9	21.7	0.21	0.32	3.99	4.31	0.31	0.90	1.20	—	22,619	22,619	1.75	3.19	29.3	23,643
Area	2.86	15.7	0.14	16.1	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.03	—	0.03	—	66.3	66.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	66.5
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	2,516	2,516	0.24	0.03	—	2,530
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	240	826	1,066	24.7	0.59	—	1,859
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	274	0.00	274	27.4	0.00	—	959
Total	6.50	17.5	24.1	37.8	0.21	0.34	3.99	4.33	0.33	0.90	1.23	514	26,028	26,542	54.0	3.81	29.3	29,058
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.66	0.34	4.37	3.97	0.04	0.06	0.73	0.79	0.06	0.16	0.22	—	3,745	3,745	0.29	0.53	4.86	3,914
Area	0.52	2.86	0.02	2.94	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	—	11.0	11.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	11.0
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	417	417	0.04	< 0.005	—	419
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	39.7	137	176	4.08	0.10	—	308
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	45.4	0.00	45.4	4.53	0.00	—	159
Total	1.19	3.20	4.40	6.91	0.04	0.06	0.73	0.79	0.06	0.16	0.22	85.0	4,309	4,394	8.94	0.63	4.86	4,811

3. Construction Emissions Details

3.1. Demolition (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Off-Road Equipment	3.12	2.62	24.9	21.7	0.03	1.06	—	1.06	0.98	—	0.98	—	3,425	3,425	0.14	0.03	—	3,437
Demolition	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.88	0.88	—	0.13	0.13	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.17	0.14	1.36	1.19	< 0.005	0.06	—	0.06	0.05	—	0.05	—	188	188	0.01	< 0.005	—	188
Demolition	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.05	0.05	—	0.01	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.03	0.03	0.25	0.22	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	31.1	31.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	31.2
Demolition	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	198	198	0.01	0.01	0.02	200
Vendor	0.02	< 0.005	0.19	0.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	—	157	157	0.01	0.02	0.01	164
Hauling	0.15	0.02	1.43	0.77	0.01	0.02	0.08	0.10	0.01	0.03	0.04	—	1,113	1,113	0.12	0.18	0.06	1,169
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	11.0	11.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	11.2
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	8.59	8.59	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	9.00
Hauling	0.01	< 0.005	0.08	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	61.0	61.0	0.01	0.01	0.06	64.1
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	1.82	1.82	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.85
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.42	1.42	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.49
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	10.1	10.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	10.6

3.3. Site Preparation (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	5.35	4.49	42.5	35.3	0.05	2.25	—	2.25	2.07	—	2.07	—	5,529	5,529	0.22	0.04	—	5,548
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.66	5.66	—	2.69	2.69	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.44	0.37	3.49	2.90	< 0.005	0.19	—	0.19	0.17	—	0.17	—	454	454	0.02	< 0.005	—	456

Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.47	0.47	—	0.22	0.22	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.08	0.07	0.64	0.53	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	75.2	75.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	75.5
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.04	0.04	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.10	0.09	0.10	1.12	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	231	231	0.01	0.01	0.03	234
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.26	0.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	—	220	220	0.02	0.03	0.02	230
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.10	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	19.2	19.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	19.5
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	18.0	18.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	18.9
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	3.19	3.19	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	3.23
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.99	2.99	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	3.13

Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
---------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	---	------	------	------	------	------	------

3.5. Grading (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	4.69	3.94	37.6	31.4	0.06	1.77	—	1.77	1.63	—	1.63	—	6,715	6,715	0.27	0.05	—	6,738
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.67	2.67	—	0.98	0.98	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	4.69	3.94	37.6	31.4	0.06	1.77	—	1.77	1.63	—	1.63	—	6,715	6,715	0.27	0.05	—	6,738
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.67	2.67	—	0.98	0.98	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.39	0.32	3.09	2.58	0.01	0.15	—	0.15	0.13	—	0.13	—	552	552	0.02	< 0.005	—	554

Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.22	0.22	—	0.08	0.08	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.07	0.06	0.56	0.47	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.02	—	0.02	—	91.4	91.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	91.7
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.04	0.04	—	0.01	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.11	0.10	0.10	1.69	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	288	288	0.01	0.01	1.15	292
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.25	0.13	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	—	219	219	0.02	0.03	0.61	230
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.11	0.10	0.11	1.28	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	264	264	0.01	0.01	0.03	267
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.26	0.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	—	220	220	0.02	0.03	0.02	230
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.11	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	22.0	22.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	22.3
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	18.0	18.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	18.9
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	3.64	3.64	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	3.69
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.99	2.99	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	3.13
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.7. Building Construction (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	2.63	2.20	20.3	25.3	0.04	0.91	—	0.91	0.84	—	0.84	—	4,270	4,270	0.17	0.03	—	4,285
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	2.63	2.20	20.3	25.3	0.04	0.91	—	0.91	0.84	—	0.84	—	4,270	4,270	0.17	0.03	—	4,285
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.30	1.09	10.1	12.5	0.02	0.45	—	0.45	0.42	—	0.42	—	2,114	2,114	0.09	0.02	—	2,122
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.24	0.20	1.84	2.29	< 0.005	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	—	350	350	0.01	< 0.005	—	351

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	1.30	1.19	1.10	19.2	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	3,270	3,270	0.14	0.11	13.1	3,320
Vendor	0.23	0.06	2.52	1.35	0.02	0.03	0.13	0.16	0.03	0.05	0.08	—	2,195	2,195	0.17	0.33	6.12	2,303
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	1.24	1.12	1.30	14.5	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	2,997	2,997	0.14	0.11	0.34	3,035
Vendor	0.23	0.06	2.62	1.37	0.02	0.03	0.13	0.16	0.03	0.05	0.08	—	2,196	2,196	0.17	0.33	0.16	2,298
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.61	0.55	0.64	7.55	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	1,505	1,505	0.07	0.06	2.79	1,526
Vendor	0.11	0.03	1.31	0.67	0.01	0.02	0.06	0.08	0.02	0.02	0.04	—	1,087	1,087	0.08	0.16	1.30	1,138
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.11	0.10	0.12	1.38	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	249	249	0.01	0.01	0.46	253
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.24	0.12	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	—	180	180	0.01	0.03	0.22	188
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.9. Building Construction (2025) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	2.45	2.05	19.0	25.2	0.04	0.78	—	0.78	0.72	—	0.72	—	4,270	4,270	0.17	0.03	—	4,285
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	2.45	2.05	19.0	25.2	0.04	0.78	—	0.78	0.72	—	0.72	—	4,270	4,270	0.17	0.03	—	4,285
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.80	0.67	6.20	8.23	0.01	0.26	—	0.26	0.24	—	0.24	—	1,396	1,396	0.06	0.01	—	1,400
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.15	0.12	1.13	1.50	< 0.005	0.05	—	0.05	0.04	—	0.04	—	231	231	0.01	< 0.005	—	232
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	1.15	1.04	1.00	17.7	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	3,201	3,201	0.13	0.11	11.9	3,250
Vendor	0.21	0.06	2.40	1.30	0.02	0.03	0.13	0.16	0.03	0.05	0.08	—	2,159	2,159	0.17	0.33	6.08	2,267
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	1.09	0.98	1.10	13.3	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	2,935	2,935	0.14	0.11	0.31	2,972
Vendor	0.21	0.06	2.50	1.30	0.02	0.03	0.13	0.16	0.03	0.05	0.08	—	2,161	2,161	0.17	0.33	0.16	2,262
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.35	0.31	0.39	4.60	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	973	973	0.05	0.04	1.68	986
Vendor	0.07	0.02	0.82	0.42	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.05	0.01	0.02	0.03	—	706	706	0.05	0.11	0.86	740
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.84	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	161	161	0.01	0.01	0.28	163
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.15	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	117	117	0.01	0.02	0.14	123
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.11. Paving (2025) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.95	0.80	7.45	9.98	0.01	0.35	—	0.35	0.32	—	0.32	—	1,511	1,511	0.06	0.01	—	1,517
Paving	—	0.24	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.95	0.80	7.45	9.98	0.01	0.35	—	0.35	0.32	—	0.32	—	1,511	1,511	0.06	0.01	—	1,517
Paving	—	0.24	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.23	0.20	1.84	2.46	< 0.005	0.09	—	0.09	0.08	—	0.08	—	373	373	0.02	< 0.005	—	374
Paving	—	0.06	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.04	0.04	0.34	0.45	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.01	—	0.01	—	61.7	61.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	61.9
Paving	—	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.08	0.07	0.07	1.17	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	211	211	0.01	0.01	0.78	215
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.88	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	194	194	0.01	0.01	0.02	196

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.23	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	48.5	48.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	49.1
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	8.02	8.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	8.14
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.13. Architectural Coating (2025) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.21	0.17	1.18	1.52	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.03	—	0.03	—	178	178	0.01	< 0.005	—	179
Architect ural Coatings	—	44.3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.21	0.17	1.18	1.52	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.03	—	0.03	—	178	178	0.01	< 0.005	—	179

Architect Coatings	—	44.3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.03	0.03	0.19	0.25	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	29.3	29.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	29.4
Architect ural Coatings	—	7.29	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	4.85	4.85	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	4.86
Architect ural Coatings	—	1.33	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.23	0.21	0.20	3.54	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	640	640	0.03	0.02	2.37	650
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.22	0.20	0.22	2.67	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	587	587	0.03	0.02	0.06	594
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.46	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	97.8	97.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.17	99.2
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.08	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	16.2	16.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	16.4
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	2.13	2.01	0.80	14.1	0.03	0.01	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.04	0.05	—	2,626	2,626	0.14	0.09	9.23	2,664
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

User Defined Industrial	3.00	0.68	30.1	17.1	0.26	0.42	2.21	2.64	0.41	0.72	1.12	—	28,455	28,455	2.24	4.26	83.6	29,865
Total	5.12	2.69	30.9	31.2	0.28	0.44	2.33	2.77	0.42	0.75	1.17	—	31,081	31,081	2.38	4.35	92.8	32,530
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	2.01	1.89	0.88	12.2	0.02	0.01	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.04	0.05	—	2,423	2,423	0.15	0.09	0.24	2,454
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
User Defined Industrial	2.97	0.66	31.5	17.1	0.26	0.42	2.21	2.64	0.41	0.72	1.12	—	28,461	28,461	2.24	4.27	2.17	29,790
Total	4.99	2.55	32.4	29.3	0.28	0.44	2.33	2.77	0.42	0.75	1.17	—	30,884	30,884	2.39	4.36	2.41	32,244
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	0.27	0.25	0.12	1.69	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	—	297	297	0.02	0.01	0.48	302
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
User Defined Industrial	0.40	0.09	4.25	2.28	0.03	0.06	0.30	0.35	0.05	0.10	0.15	—	3,447	3,447	0.27	0.52	4.37	3,613
Total	0.66	0.34	4.37	3.97	0.04	0.06	0.31	0.37	0.06	0.10	0.16	—	3,745	3,745	0.29	0.53	4.86	3,914

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2,386	2,386	0.23	0.03	—	2,400
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	130	130	0.01	< 0.005	—	131
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2,516	2,516	0.24	0.03	—	2,530
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2,386	2,386	0.23	0.03	—	2,400
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	130	130	0.01	< 0.005	—	131

Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2,516	2,516	0.24	0.03	—	2,530
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	395	395	0.04	< 0.005	—	397
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	21.5	21.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	21.6
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	417	417	0.04	< 0.005	—	419

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.2. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	—	45.8	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer Products	—	11.6	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Landscape Equipment	4.18	3.86	0.20	23.5	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.04	—	0.04	—	96.7	96.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	97.1
Total	4.18	61.2	0.20	23.5	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.04	—	0.04	—	96.7	96.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	97.1
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	—	45.8	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Consumer	—	11.6	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	57.4	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	—	1.59	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer Products	—	2.12	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Landscape Equipment	0.52	0.48	0.02	2.94	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	—	11.0	11.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	11.0
Total	0.52	4.19	0.02	2.94	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	—	11.0	11.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	11.0

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.2. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	240	826	1,066	24.7	0.59	—	1,859
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	240	826	1,066	24.7	0.59	—	1,859
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	240	826	1,066	24.7	0.59	—	1,859
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	240	826	1,066	24.7	0.59	—	1,859
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	39.7	137	176	4.08	0.10	—	308
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	39.7	137	176	4.08	0.10	—	308

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.2. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	274	0.00	274	27.4	0.00	—	959
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	274	0.00	274	27.4	0.00	—	959
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	274	0.00	274	27.4	0.00	—	959
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	274	0.00	274	27.4	0.00	—	959
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	45.4	0.00	45.4	4.53	0.00	—	159
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	45.4	0.00	45.4	4.53	0.00	—	159

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetation	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Remove d	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequest ered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Remove d	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Demolition	Demolition	1/1/2024	1/29/2024	5.00	20.0	—
Site Preparation	Site Preparation	1/30/2024	3/11/2024	5.00	30.0	—
Grading	Grading	3/12/2024	4/22/2024	5.00	30.0	—
Building Construction	Building Construction	4/23/2024	6/16/2025	5.00	300	—
Paving	Paving	2/11/2025	6/16/2025	5.00	90.0	—
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	3/25/2025	6/16/2025	5.00	60.0	—

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	33.0	0.73
Demolition	Excavators	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	367	0.40
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	367	0.40
Grading	Excavators	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40
Grading	Scrapers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	423	0.48
Building Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	6.00	8.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	14.0	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	6.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	46.0	0.45
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	89.0	0.36
Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	37.0	0.48
Site Preparation	Crawler Tractors	Diesel	Average	4.00	8.00	87.0	0.43
Grading	Crawler Tractors	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	87.0	0.43

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Demolition	—	—	—	—
Demolition	Worker	15.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor	5.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	15.8	20.0	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Site Preparation	—	—	—	—
Site Preparation	Worker	17.5	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	7.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Grading	—	—	—	—
Grading	Worker	20.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	7.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Building Construction	—	—	—	—
Building Construction	Worker	227	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	70.0	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Paving	—	—	—	—
Paving	Worker	15.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	0.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Architectural Coating	—	—	—	—

Architectural Coating	Worker	45.4	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	0.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
Architectural Coating	0.00	0.00	827,649	275,883	21,834

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (cy)	Material Exported (cy)	Acres Graded (acres)	Material Demolished (Building Square Footage)	Acres Paved (acres)
Demolition	0.00	0.00	0.00	27,454	—
Site Preparation	—	—	105	0.00	—
Grading	—	—	120	0.00	—
Paving	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.35

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	Frequency (per day)	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction
Water Exposed Area	3	74%	74%

Water Demolished Area	2	36%	36%
-----------------------	---	-----	-----

5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	0.00	0%
Parking Lot	3.56	100%
Other Asphalt Surfaces	4.79	100%
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0%

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2024	0.00	349	0.03	< 0.005
2025	0.00	349	0.03	< 0.005

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMt/Weekday	VMt/Saturday	VMt/Sunday	VMt/Year
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	600	52.5	21.1	160,212	3,501	306	123	935,159
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
User Defined Industrial	328	28.7	11.4	87,537	9,954	871	345	2,658,504

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
0	0.00	827,649	275,883	21,834

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	250

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	2,498,021	349	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
Parking Lot	135,997	349	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	349	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
User Defined Industrial	0.00	349	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	125,071,331	2,563,454
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	508	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
---------------	----------------	-------------	-----	---------------	----------------------	-------------------	----------------

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
----------------	-----------	-------------	----------------	---------------	------------	-------------

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number per Day	Hours per Day	Hours per Year	Horsepower	Load Factor
----------------	-----------	----------------	---------------	----------------	------------	-------------

5.16.2. Process Boilers

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number	Boiler Rating (MMBtu/hr)	Daily Heat Input (MMBtu/day)	Annual Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)
----------------	-----------	--------	--------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------

5.17. User Defined

Equipment Type	Fuel Type
—	—

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--------------------------	----------------------	---------------	-------------

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--------------------	---------------	-------------

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

Tree Type	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)
-----------	--------	------------------------------	------------------------------

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	24.4	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	3.50	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	0.00	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	6.13	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about $\frac{3}{4}$ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 50 meters (m) by 50 m, or about 164 feet (ft) by 164 ft.

Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	3	0	0	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A

Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality	0	0	0	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	3	1	1	3
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2
Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality	1	1	1	2

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Exposure Indicators	—
AQ-Ozone	95.3
AQ-PM	93.5
AQ-DPM	78.3
Drinking Water	96.1
Lead Risk Housing	42.2
Pesticides	18.1
Toxic Releases	84.6
Traffic	79.6
Effect Indicators	—
CleanUp Sites	82.7
Groundwater	14.3
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	94.4
Impaired Water Bodies	0.00
Solid Waste	87.1
Sensitive Population	—
Asthma	44.4
Cardio-vascular	55.1
Low Birth Weights	20.3
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	—
Education	73.4
Housing	26.7
Linguistic	34.6
Poverty	51.4

Unemployment	51.3
--------------	------

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	—
Above Poverty	46.27229565
Employed	32.144232
Education	—
Bachelor's or higher	30.92518927
High school enrollment	27.47337354
Preschool enrollment	9.149236494
Transportation	—
Auto Access	75.69613756
Active commuting	25.30476068
Social	—
2-parent households	83.85730784
Voting	30.59155653
Neighborhood	—
Alcohol availability	69.20313102
Park access	26.03618632
Retail density	30.7583729
Supermarket access	43.14128064
Tree canopy	6.390350314
Housing	—
Homeownership	72.5009624
Housing habitability	80.9829334

Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	33.8380598
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	97.78005903
Uncrowded housing	24.76581548
Health Outcomes	—
Insured adults	19.91530861
Arthritis	67.1
Asthma ER Admissions	64.4
High Blood Pressure	71.3
Cancer (excluding skin)	74.5
Asthma	37.3
Coronary Heart Disease	66.7
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	53.7
Diagnosed Diabetes	40.6
Life Expectancy at Birth	53.2
Cognitively Disabled	21.0
Physically Disabled	18.0
Heart Attack ER Admissions	49.4
Mental Health Not Good	35.7
Chronic Kidney Disease	55.3
Obesity	33.9
Pedestrian Injuries	62.8
Physical Health Not Good	37.9
Stroke	58.2
Health Risk Behaviors	—
Binge Drinking	36.9
Current Smoker	40.0
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	38.5

Climate Change Exposures	—
Wildfire Risk	0.0
SLR Inundation Area	0.0
Children	32.5
Elderly	76.6
English Speaking	56.0
Foreign-born	61.6
Outdoor Workers	45.8
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	—
Impervious Surface Cover	67.8
Traffic Density	81.5
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	—
Hardship	66.3
Other Decision Support	—
2016 Voting	50.4

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	71.0
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	40.0
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	No
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	No
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health and Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Construction: Off-Road Equipment	All equipment will operate for 8 hours per day. Equipment based on data provided by the Project team.
Construction: Trips and VMT	Vendor trips adjusted based on construction phase length
Construction: Architectural Coatings	Rule 1113
Operations: Vehicle Data	Vehicle data based on Project traffic study
Operations: Fleet Mix	Fleet mix based on Project traffic study
Operations: Energy Use	Project will not use natural gas
Operations: Refrigerants	Project does not include cold storage
Construction: Construction Phases	Construction schedule based on data provided by the Project team.

This page intentionally left blank

APPENDIX 4.2:

EMFAC2021

Source: EMFAC2021 (v1.0.2) Emissions Inventory
Region Type: Sub-Area
Region: San Bernardino (SC)
Calendar Year: 2024
Season: Annual
Vehicle Classification: EMFAC2007 Categories
Units: miles/day for CVMT and EVMT, trips/day for Trips, kWh/day for Energy Consumption, tons/day for Emissions, 1000 gallons/day for Fuel Consumption

Region	Calendar Year	Vehicle Category	Model Year	Speed	Fuel	Population	Total VMT	Fuel_Consumption (1000 gal)	Fuel_Consumption (gal)	Total Fuel	VMT	Total VMT	Miles per Gallon	Vehicle Class
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	5.565987525	200.7100937	0.053685222	53.68522156	320576.6084	200.7100937	1931561.832	6.03	HHDT
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	14231.95658	1766161.303	294.8811952	294881.1952		1766161.303			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	48.62871821	4853.771805	0	0		4853.771805			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	2469.470738	160346.0479	25.64172796	25641.72796		160346.0479			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	459317.1397	20167734.04	678.0067332	678006.7332	689480.9135	20167734.04	21769357.53	31.57	LDA
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	1047.589492	37687.90898	0.878080701	878.0807006		37687.90898			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	19287.2826	922159.8322	0	0		922159.8322			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybrid	12500.45848	641775.7563	10.5960996	10596.0996		641775.7563			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	40725.35771	1412436.812	57.61437754	57614.37754	57660.77698	1412436.812	1417994.863	24.59	LDT1
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	10.72175816	158.8104429	0.006542477	6.542476778		158.8104429			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	58.29951204	2744.162081	0	0		2744.162081			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybrid	51.79076029	2655.077851	0.039856963	39.85696305		2655.077851			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	192654.7494	7946861.936	328.2807397	328280.7397	330225.39	7946861.936	8092457.996	24.51	LDT2
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	520.896721	23279.78377	0.7022627	702.2627003		23279.78377			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	1199.246991	43242.4945	0	0		43242.4945			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybrid	1594.625518	79073.78143	1.24238757	1242.38757		79073.78143			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	LHDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	17179.49082	637558.6823	46.93129933	46931.29933	68120.12603	637558.6823	1077239.622	15.81	LHDT1
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	LHDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	11382.09786	435758.4326	21.1888267	21188.8267		435758.4326			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	LHDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	52.7403112	3922.506902	0	0	19386.27641	3922.506902	290170.8153	14.97	LHDT2
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	LHDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	2883.702401	102543.2335	8.523099836	8523.099836		102543.2335			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	LHDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	4825.532255	186665.6444	10.86317658	10863.17658	2946.367925	186665.6444	123685.0541	41.98	MCY
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	LHDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	13.65084178	961.9374735	0	0	300889.4492	961.9374735	6007732.23	19.97	MDV
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	MCY	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	20751.92893	123685.0541	2.946367925	2946.367925		123685.0541			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	147141.1277	5830683.861	296.7902416	296790.2416		5830683.861			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	1910.88318	77417.93798	3.254905083	3254.905083		77417.93798			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	1327.48959	47850.30724	0	0	7364.77817	47850.30724	42284.2868	5.74	MH
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybrid	1028.690257	51780.12453	0.844302537	844.3025368		51780.12453			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	MH	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	3401.970527	30215.87901	6.184856876	6184.856876	88813.34178	30215.87901	740808.1193	8.34	MHDT
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	MH	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	1336.39751	12068.40778	1.179921294	1179.921294		12068.40778			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	1460.602089	78395.7093	15.05623591	15056.23591		78395.7093			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	14946.4736	650565.6856	72.65830331	72658.30331		650565.6856			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	46.13645649	2344.169726	0	0	5378.066575	2344.169726	33062.62008	6.15	OBUS
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	195.6757264	9502.554663	1.098802564	1098.802564		9502.554663			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	370.0192137	15806.92249	3.09514692	3095.14692		15806.92249			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	210.5519789	15196.96791	2.055015596	2055.015596	4951.794465	15196.96791	31836.21175	6.43	SBUS
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	0.809761934	65.22582716	0	0		65.22582716			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	32.78528924	1993.503854	0.227904058	227.9040581		1993.503854			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	297.8692006	14022.10243	1.564009513	1564.009513		14022.10243			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	373.2941498	7747.295585	1.052431626	1052.431626	8263.421636	7747.295585	40123.45681	4.86	UBUS
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	2.213199982	56.32019914	0	0		56.32019914			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	398.7600331	10010.49353	2.335353326	2335.353326		10010.49353			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	54.72012078	5253.853518	0.406450205	406.450205		5253.853518			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	4.556959009	449.8374364	0.043468777	43.46877693		449.8374364			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	7.328344802	1111.359033	0	0		1111.359033			
San Bernardino (SC)	2024	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	243.3602145	33308.40682	7.813502654	7813.502654		33308.40682			

Source: EMFAC2021 (v1.0.2) Emissions Inventory
Region Type: Sub-Area
Region: San Bernardino (SC)
Calendar Year: 2025
Season: Annual
Vehicle Classification: EMFAC2007 Categories
Units: miles/day for CVMT and EVMT, trips/day for Trips, kWh/day for Energy Consumption, tons/day for Emissions, 1000 gallons/day for Fuel Consumption

Region	Calendar Year	Vehicle Category	Model Year	Speed	Fuel	Population	Total VMT	Fuel_Consumption	Fuel_Consumption	Total Fuel	VMT	Total VMT	Miles per Gallon	Vehicle Class
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	3.869766832	177.2217014	0.044579975	44.57997511	322298.7048	177.2217014	1975659.248	6.13	HHDT
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	14693.60242	1799109.244	296.221758	296221.758		1799109.244			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	109.5985203	11409.19414	0	0		11409.19414			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	2560.5176	164963.5875	26.0323668	26032.3668		164963.5875			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	457374.7047	20012363.19	659.2303928	659230.3928	671181.1368	20012363.19	21858504.21	32.57	LDA
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	986.5858319	34821.96021	0.803361461	803.3614609		34821.96021			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	22921.29943	1119595.112	0	0		1119595.112			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybrid	13621.71468	691723.9558	11.14738256	11147.38256		691723.9558			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	39862.49619	1386010.237	55.44488475	55444.88475	55506.30461	1386010.237	1393998.156	25.11	LDT1
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	9.62153332	138.8700264	0.005712258	5.712257886		138.8700264			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	81.74409231	4029.090974	0	0		4029.090974			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybrid	75.22656194	3819.958249	0.055707597	55.70759743		3819.958249			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	197589.8024	8156000.659	328.0141754	328014.1754	330196.8511	8156000.659	8333682.032	25.24	LDT2
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	559.2848358	24877.85405	0.732985994	732.9859937		24877.85405			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	1637.444663	58171.59292	0	0		58171.59292			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybrid	1934.989022	94631.92591	1.449689627	1449.689627		94631.92591			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	LHDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	16963.11371	633447.7463	45.45107153	45451.07153	66469.9942	633447.7463	1077884.454	16.22	LHDT1
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	LHDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	11403.02981	434286.2222	21.01892267	21018.92267		434286.2222			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	LHDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	147.3648902	10150.48537	0	0		10150.48537			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	LHDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	2823.949841	99825.11713	8.149183621	8149.183621	18984.07565	99825.11713	289811.7154	15.27	LHDT2
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	LHDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	4888.887446	187525.0486	10.83489203	10834.89203		187525.0486			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	LHDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	37.58571717	2461.549606	0	0		2461.549606			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	MCY	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	20826.96994	123280.6812	2.925130919	2925.130919	2925.130919	123280.6812	123280.6812	42.15	MCY
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	147056.3511	5833561.643	289.7409456	289740.9456	293881.6654	5833561.643	6036663.747	20.54	MDV
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	1906.902909	76374.47974	3.151065928	3151.065928		76374.47974			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	1802.834782	63969.43971	0	0		63969.43971			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybrid	1256.812117	62758.18504	0.98965379	989.6537902		62758.18504			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	MH	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	3227.585522	28520.15334	5.836852659	5836.852659	6997.412696	28520.15334	40386.16204	5.77	MH
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	MH	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	1329.243498	11866.0087	1.160560036	1160.560036		11866.0087			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	1427.423114	76828.767	14.58515666	14585.15666	88952.25214	76828.767	752323.1368	8.46	MHDT
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	15347.54129	658670.5437	73.22420436	73224.20436		658670.5437			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	133.1585562	6928.399641	0	0		6928.399641			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	208.419151	9895.426472	1.142891124	1142.891124		9895.426472			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	358.2884481	15030.55432	2.914537526	2914.537526	5188.582188	15030.55432	32494.86271	6.26	OBUS
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	215.4704252	15216.87274	2.039111404	2039.111404		15216.87274			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	1.990200949	157.0570869	0	0		157.0570869			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	34.88313202	2090.378559	0.234933258	234.9332579		2090.378559			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	300.4577721	14124.28621	1.57111818	1571.11818	4959.876607	14124.28621	31963.06277	6.44	SBUS
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	363.8707141	7488.892183	1.014599014	1014.599014		7488.892183			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	4.690534617	132.2929048	0	0		132.2929048			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	411.4766102	10217.59148	2.374159413	2374.159413		10217.59148			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	54.83056931	5264.458034	0.406547565	406.5475652	8275.384496	5264.458034	40204.44305	4.86	UBUS
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	4.529432466	447.4667714	0.043317656	43.31765633		447.4667714			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	7.409987909	1124.502697	0	0		1124.502697			
San Bernardino (SC)	2025	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	243.8212922	33368.01555	7.825519274	7825.519274		33368.01555			

This page intentionally left blank