Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #:20221103023	
Project Title: Renewable Energy Permit 2022-02/Barker	
Lead Agency: Inyo County Planning Department	
Contact Name: Cynthia Draper	
Email: cdraper@inyocounty.us	Phone Number: 760-878-0265
City	Gounty —
Project Location: TRONA	Inyo

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The Project is located approximately 3 miles north of the unincorporated community of Trona, California on Assessor parcels 038-330-32;33;34.

The applicant is applying for a Renewable Energy Permit to construct a 3 Megawatt (MW) photovoltaic solar facility using approximately 6,000 fixed single-axis tracker solar panels. The project site is on 15 acres that are highly disturbed with no natural vegetation or structures on the site. The project is also devoid of natural habitat and the parcels have previously been graded.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect. The limited impact to resources in the Project Area can be mitigated to less than significant levels.

*Minimization measures have been written into the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for the permits and include: Pre-activity surveys; avoidance buffers for desert kit fox; noise control measures subject to MM NOI-2 for the portions of the Project Area within 500 feet of residential structures, dust mitigation measures during construction to control air quality issues, and the monitoring efforts of a representative from local native American tribes in case native artifacts or human remains are uncovered.

This is a recirculated ISMND. The first circulation did not include a BIO study. The project had comments from the public concerning this. The owner/ developer decided to do a bio report along with more extensive noise and dust control measure data and requested that the ISMND be recirculated to address some resident concerns. The first circulation had no comments or issues by agencies.
Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.
None