Appendix F Transportation Analysis





1535-1575 Industrial Avenue

Transportation Analysis



ĥ

Prepared for:

Dudek

September 8, 2022



ķ

ò

Hexagon Transportation Consultants, Inc.

Hexagon Office: 4 North Second Street, Suite 400 San Jose, CA 95113 Hexagon Job Number: 21DC05 Phone: 408.971.6100 Client Name: Dudek

San Jose · Gilroy · Pleasanton · Phoenix

www.hextrans.com

Areawide Circulation Plans Corridor Studies Pavement Delineation Plans Traffic Handling Plans Impact Fees Interchange Analysis Parking Transportation Planning Traffic Calming Traffic Control Plans Traffic Simulation Traffic Impact Analysis Traffic Signal Design Travel Demand Forecasting

Table of Contents

Execu	utive Summary	i
1.	Introduction	1
2.	Existing Conditions	8
3.	CEQA Transportation Analysis	13
4.	Local Transportation Analysis	21
5.	Conclusions	36

Appendices

- Appendix A San Jose VMT Evaluation Tool Output Sheet
- Appendix B Turning Movement Counts
- Appendix C Level of Service Calculations
- Appendix D Signal Warrants
- Appendix E Truck Turning Templates

List of Tables

Table ES-	I Intersection Level of Service Summary	ii
Table 1	Unsignalized Intersection Level of Service Denfinitions Based on Control Delay	6
Table 2	CEQA VMT Analysis Screening Criteria for Development Projects	14
Table 3	CEQA VMT Analysis Significant Impact Criteria for Development Projects	16
Table 4	Project Trip Generation Estimates	22
Table 5	Intersection Level of Service Summary	27
Table 6	Queuing Analysis	30
Table 7	I-880 Northbound Ramps & Gish Road Operational Analysis	30
Table 8	Project Site Trip Generation	32
Table 9	Vehicle Parking Requirement	35

List of Figures

Figure 1	Site Location and Study Intersections	2
Figure 2	Site Plan	3
Figure 3	Existing Lane Configurations	10
Figure 4	Existing Bicycle Facilities	11
Figure 5	Existing Transit Services	12
Figure 6	VMT Analysis	20
Figure 7	Trip Distribution	23
Figure 8	Trip Assignment	24
Figure 9	Existing Traffic Volumes	25
Figure 10	Existing Plus Project Traffic Volumes	26
i iguio io		

Executive Summary

This report presents the results of the transportation analysis (TA) conducted for the proposed development at 1535-1575 Industrial Avenue in San Jose, California. The project, as proposed, would demolish the existing buildings on-site and construct a 71,550 square foot (s.f.) storage and distribution facility and ancillary office space. Access to the site would be provided via two driveways along Industrial Avenue.

The transportation analysis of the project was evaluated following the standards and methodologies set forth in the City of San Jose's Transportation Analysis Policy (Council Policy 5-1), the City of San Jose's *Transportation Analysis Handbook 2018*, the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) Congestion Management Program's *Transportation Impact Guidelines* (October 2014), and by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Per the requirements of the City of San Jose's Transportation Policy and *Transportation Analysis Handbook 2018*, the TA report for the project consists of a CEQA vehicle-miles-traveled (VMT) analysis and a supplemental Local Transportation Analysis (LTA). The LTA includes an evaluation of weekday AM and PM peak-hour traffic conditions for two unsignalized intersections. The LTA also includes analyses of vehicle queuing at selected intersections, site access and on-site circulation, parking, and potential effects to transit, bicycle, and pedestrian facilities.

CEQA Transportation Analysis

Project-Level VMT Impact Analysis

The results of the VMT evaluation, using the City's VMT Evaluation Tool, indicate that the proposed project is projected to generate 14.69 VMT per employee. The project exceeds the 14.37 VMT per employee threshold by 2.2%. Therefore, the project would result in a significant transportation impact on VMT, and mitigation measures are required to reduce the VMT impact.

The following mitigation measures can be implemented to reduce the significant VMT impact:

Option 1:

- <u>Traffic Calming Measures (Roadway Narrowing)</u>: City staff have indicated that the project could mitigate its VMT impact by reducing the roadway width along Industrial Avenue from 44 feet to 40 feet.
 - <u>AND</u>
- <u>Commute Trip Reduction Marketing/Education</u>: Alternative commute information should be provided to future employees. Alternative commute education can include, but is not limited to bike maps, carpooling options, transit maps, etc. Providing information for alternative commute methods can encourage employees to commute to work by walking, bicycling, or transit.

The implementation of the above mitigation measures would reduce the project VMT to 14.11 per employee, which is below the threshold of 14.37 per employee, reducing the project impact to less than significant.

Option 2:

- <u>Commute Trip Reduction Marketing/Education</u>: Alternative commute information should be provided to future employees. Alternative commute education can include, but is not limited to bike maps, carpooling options, transit maps, etc. Providing information for alternative commute methods can encourage employees to commute to work by walking, bicycling, or transit. <u>AND</u>
- <u>Implement Ride-Sharing Programs</u>: Organize a program to match individuals interested in carpooling who have similar commutes for at least 1% of the project employees. This measure promotes the use of carpooling and reduces the number of drive-alone trips.

The implementation of the above mitigation measures would reduce the project VMT to 14.32 per employee, which is below the threshold of 14.37 per employee, reducing the project impact to less than significant.

Local Transportation Analysis

Project Trip Generation

Based on trip generation rates published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers, and after subtracting trips generated by the existing use on site, the proposed project is estimated to generate 91 net new daily vehicle trips, with 24 net new trips (18 inbound and 6 outbound) occurring during the AM peak hour and 26 net new trips (8 inbound and 18 outbound) occurring during the PM peak hour.

Intersection Traffic Operations

The operations of two unsignalized intersections were evaluated during the AM and PM peak hours. Since the City of San Jose does not have a formally-adopted level of service standard, this analysis is presented for informational purposes only. The analysis finds that the intersection of I-880 Northbound Ramps and Gish Road would operate at an unacceptable level of service during both AM and PM peak hours both with and without the proposed project. The other study intersection at Industrial Avenue and Gish Road would operate with moderate delay equivalent to LOS C during the AM and PM peak hours both with and without the proposed project.

Table ES-1 Intersection Level of Service Summary

			Existing								
			No Pro	ject		wit	h Project				
#	Intersection	Peak Hour	Worst- Movemen Delay (sec)	t LOS	Worst- Movement Delay (sec)	LOS	Incr. in Critical Delay (sec)	Incr. in Critical V/C			
1	I-880 Northbound Ramps & Gish Road ^{1,2}	AM PM	 120+	F F	 120+	F F	 36.5	0.013 0.222			
2	Industrial Avenue & Gish Road ¹	AM PM	15.3 17.8	C C	15.6 18.1	C C	0.2 0.3	0.012 0.020			
Bold <u>Note:</u> ¹ Deno	indicates a substandard level of service. tes a one-way or two-way stop-controlled inters	ection. Wo	orst leg dela	y is repo	orted.						

An operational analysis of a traffic signal and a roundabout were evaluated for the intersection of I-880 Northbound Ramps and Gish Road. Based on the results of the analysis, both options would allow the intersection to operate at LOS B. Vehicular queues along the south leg (northbound approach) would extend to near the upstream intersection at Old Bayshore Highway and Gish Road during the busiest signal cycles. Therefore, a roundabout would be the preferential traffic control at the I-880 Northbound Ramps & Gish Road intersection.

Other Transportation Items

The project would not have an adverse effect on the existing pedestrian, bicycle, or transit facilities in the area. The proposed site plan shows adequate site access and on-site circulation, and no significant operational issues are expected to occur as a result of the project.

Recommendations:

- The proposed project is estimated to add four vehicle trips to the US 101/Oakland Road interchange during the PM peak hour. Therefore, the project will be required to pay the US 101/Oakland/Mabury Transportation Development Policy traffic impact fee.
- The results of the signal warrant analysis indicates that the I-880 Northbound Ramps/Gish Road
 intersection currently meets the peak-hour signal warrant and would continue to do so with the
 project. The project applicant should coordinate with City of San Jose staff to determine if there
 are any plans to signalize this intersection or install a roundabout. If so, it would be appropriate
 for the project to make a fair share monetary contribution toward the planned intersection
 improvements.
- The project applicant should coordinate with City staff to paint 25 feet of red curb on both sides of each driveway along Industrial Avenue
- The project applicant should revise the site plan to provide adequate long-term bicycle parking spaces.
- The project applicant should estimate the employment on site after completion of the proposed project to allow City Staff to determine whether the provided bicycle parking is adequate.

1. Introduction

This report presents the results of the transportation analysis (TA) conducted for the proposed development at 1535-1575 Industrial Avenue in San Jose, California (see Figure 1). The project, as proposed, would demolish the existing buildings on-site and construct a 71,550 square foot (s.f.) storage and distribution facility and ancillary office space. Access to the site would be provided via two driveways along Industrial Avenue. The proposed site plan is shown on Figure 2.

The transportation analysis of the project was evaluated following the standards and methodologies set forth in the City of San Jose's Transportation Analysis Policy (Council Policy 5-1), the City of San Jose's *Transportation Analysis Handbook 2018*, the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) Congestion Management Program's *Transportation Impact Guidelines* (October 2014), and by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Per the requirements of the City of San Jose's Transportation Policy and *Transportation Analysis Handbook 2018*, the TA report for the project consists of a CEQA vehicle-miles-traveled (VMT) analysis and a supplemental Local Transportation Analysis (LTA).

CEQA Transportation Analysis Policy

Historically, transportation analysis has utilized delay and congestion on the roadway system as the primary metric for the identification of traffic impacts and potential roadway improvements to relieve traffic congestion that may result due to proposed/planned growth. However, the State of California has recognized the limitations of measuring and mitigating only vehicle delay at intersections and in 2013 passed Senate Bill (SB) 743, which requires jurisdictions to stop using congestion and delay metrics, such as Level of Service (LOS), as the measurement for CEQA transportation analysis. With the adoption of SB 743 legislation, public agencies are required to base the determination of transportation impacts on vehicle miles traveled (VMT) rather than level of service.

In adherence to SB 743, the City of San Jose has adopted a new Transportation Analysis Policy, Council Policy 5-1. The policy replaces its predecessor (Policy 5-3) and establishes the thresholds for transportation impacts under the CEQA based on VMT instead of LOS. The intent of this change is to shift the focus of transportation analysis under CEQA from vehicle delay and roadway auto capacity to a reduction in vehicle emissions, and the creation of robust multimodal networks that support integrated land uses. The new transportation policy aligns with the currently adopted General Plan, which seeks to focus new development growth within Planned Growth Areas, bringing together office, residential, and supporting service land uses to internalize trips and reduce VMT. All new development projects are required to analyze transportation impacts using the VMT metric and conform to Council Policy 5-1.

HEXAGON



Figure 1 Site Location and Study Intersections







The Circulation Element of the *Envision San José 2040 General Plan* includes a set of balanced, longrange, multi-modal transportation goals and policies that provide for a transportation network that is safe, efficient and sustainable (minimizes environmental, financial, and neighborhood impacts). These transportation goals and policies are intended to improve multi-modal accessibility to all land uses and create a city where people are less reliant on driving to meet their daily needs. The Envision San Jose 2040 General Plan contains the following policies to encourage the use of non-automobile transportation modes to minimize vehicle trip generation and reduce VMT:

- Accommodate and encourage the use of non-automobile transportation modes to achieve San Jose's mobility goals and reduce vehicle trip generation and VMT (TR-1.1).
- Consider impacts on overall mobility and all travel modes when evaluating transportation impacts of new developments or infrastructure projects (TR-1.2).
- Through the entitlement process for new development, projects shall be required to fund or construct needed transportation improvements for all transportation modes, giving first consideration to improvement of bicycling, walking and transit facilities and services that encourage reduced vehicle travel demand (TR-1.4).
- Require new development where feasible to provide on-site facilities such as bicycle storage and showers, provide connections to existing and planned facilities, dedicate land to expand existing facilities or provide new facilities such as sidewalks and/or bicycle lanes/paths, or share in the cost of improvements (TR-2.8).
- As part of the development review process, require that new development along existing and planned transit facilities consist of land use and development types and intensities that contribute towards transit ridership, and require that new development is designed to accommodate and provide direct access to transit facilities (TR-3.3).
- Balance business viability and land resources by maintaining an adequate supply of parking to serve demand while avoiding excessive parking supply that encourages automobile use (TR-8.2).
- Discourage, as part of the entitlement process, the provision of parking spaces significantly above the number of spaces required by code for a given use (TR-8.4).
- Within new development, create and maintain a pedestrian-friendly environment by connecting the internal components with safe, convenient, accessible, and pleasant pedestrian facilities and by requiring pedestrian connections between building entrances, other site features, and adjacent public streets (CD-3.3).
- Create a pedestrian-friendly environment by connecting new residential development with safe, convenient, accessible, and pleasant pedestrian facilities. Provide such connections between new development, its adjoining neighborhood, transit access points, schools, parks, and nearby commercial areas (LU-9.1).

CEQA Transportation Analysis Scope

The CEQA transportation analysis for the project consists of a project-level VMT impact analysis using the City's VMT tool and a cumulative impact analysis that demonstrates the project's consistency with the Envision San Jose 2040 General Plan.

The City of San Jose's Transportation Analysis Policy establishes procedures for determining project impacts on VMT based on project description, characteristics, and/or location. The City's VMT methodology also includes screening criteria that are used to identify types, characteristics, and/or



locations of projects that would not exceed the CEQA thresholds of significance. If a project meets the screening criteria, it is then presumed that the project would result in a less-than-significant VMT impact and a VMT analysis is not required.

To determine whether a project would result in CEQA transportation impacts related to VMT, the City has developed the San Jose VMT Evaluation Tool to streamline the analysis for development projects. For non-residential or non-office projects, very large projects, or projects that can potentially shift travel patterns, the City's Travel Demand Forecasting (TDF) model can be used to determine project VMT. The City's VMT tool was used to estimate VMT for employment uses proposed by the project. Because the proposed project is relatively small and would not significantly alter existing traffic patterns, the VMT evaluation tool was used to estimate the project VMT and determine whether the project would result in a significant VMT impact. A CEQA-level transportation analysis that evaluates the project's effects on VMT is required for the project and is presented in Chapter 3.

Local Transportation Analysis Scope

A local transportation analysis (LTA) supplements the CEQA VMT analysis and identifies transportation and traffic operational issues that may arise due to a development project. The LTA includes an evaluation of the effects of the project on transportation, access, circulation, and related safety elements in the proximate area of the project.

The LTA includes the evaluation of weekday AM and PM peak hour operations at a limited number of intersections for the purpose of identifying operational issues (queuing and potential multi-modal issues) at intersections in the general vicinity of the project site. However, the determination of project impacts per CEQA requirements is based solely on the VMT analysis.

The LTA comprises an analysis of AM and PM peak-hour traffic conditions for two intersections in the vicinity of the project site.

Study Intersections

- 1. I-880 Northbound On/Off Ramps and E. Gish Road (unsignalized)
- 2. Industrial Avenue and E. Gish Road (unsignalized)

Traffic conditions at the study intersections were analyzed for both the weekday AM and PM peak hours of adjacent street traffic. The AM peak hour typically occurs between 7:00 AM and 9:00 AM and the PM peak hour typically occurs between 4:00 PM and 6:00 PM on a regular weekday. These are the peak commute hours during which most weekday traffic congestion occurs on the roadways in the study area.

Intersection operations conditions were evaluated for the following scenarios:

- **Existing Conditions**. Existing AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes at all signalized study intersections were obtained from the City of San Jose. For intersections where count data was more than two years old, a compounded growth factor of 1% per year was applied.
- **Existing Plus Project Conditions**. Existing plus project conditions reflect projected traffic volumes on the planned roadway network with completion of the project.

The LTA also includes a vehicle queuing analysis, an evaluation of potential project impacts on bicycle, pedestrian, and transit facilities, and a review of site access, on-site circulation, and parking demand.

Intersection Operations Analysis Methodology

This section presents the methods used to determine the traffic conditions at the study intersections and the potential adverse operational effects due to the project. It includes descriptions of the data requirements, the analysis methodologies, the applicable intersection level of service standards, and the criteria used to determine adverse effects on intersection operations.

Data Requirements

The data required for the analysis were obtained from prior transportation studies in the area and field observations. The following data were collected from these sources:

- existing traffic volumes
- existing lane configurations

Intersection Level of Service Standards and Analysis Methodologies

Traffic conditions at the study intersections were evaluated using level of service (LOS). *Level of Service* is a qualitative description of operating conditions ranging from LOS A, or free-flow conditions with little or no delay, to LOS F, or jammed conditions with excessive delays. The various analysis methods are described below.

Unsignalized Intersections

Level of service analysis at unsignalized intersections is generally used to determine the need for modification in the type of intersection control (i.e., all-way stop or signalization). As part of the evaluation, traffic volumes, delays, and traffic signal warrants are evaluated to determine if the existing intersection control is appropriate.

The study analyzes two unsignalized intersections. The intersections were analyzed using the TRAFFIX software. TRAFFIX evaluates unsignalized intersections on the basis of average stopped delay for all-way stop controlled intersections, and for the worst-case approach for one-way and two-way stop-controlled intersections.

The City of San Jose does not have a formally-adopted level of service standard for unsignalized intersections. For the purposes of analyses, a standard of LOS D or better is considered acceptable. Table 1 shows the level of service definitions for unsignalized intersections.

Level of Service	Description	Average Delay Per Vehicle (Sec.)
A	Little or no traffic delay	10.0 or less
в	Short traffic delays	10.1 to 15.0
С	Average traffic delays	15.1 to 25.0
D	Long traffic delays	25.1 to 35.0
E	Very long traffic delays	35.1 to 50.0
F	Extreme traffic delays	greater than 50.0

 Table 1

 Unsignalized Intersection Level of Service Denfinitions Based on Control Delay

Intersection Vehicle Queuing Analysis

The analysis of intersection operations was supplemented with a vehicle queuing analysis at intersections where the project would add a substantial number of trips to the left-turn movements or stop-controlled approaches. The queuing analysis is presented for informational purposes only, since the City of San Jose has not defined a policy related to queuing. Vehicle queues were calculated using a Poisson probability distribution, which estimates the probability of "n" vehicles for a vehicle movement using the following formula:

$$P(x=n) = \frac{\lambda^n e^{-(\lambda)}}{n!}$$

Where:

P (x=n) = probability of "n" vehicles in queue per lane

n = number of vehicles in the queue per lane

 λ = average # of vehicles in the queue per lane (vehicles per hr per lane/average delay per hr)

The basis of the analysis is as follows: (1) the Poisson probability distribution is used to estimate the 95th percentile maximum number of queued vehicles for a particular movement; (2) the estimated maximum number of vehicles in the queue is translated into a queue length, assuming 25 feet per vehicle; and (3) the estimated maximum queue length is compared to the existing or planned available storage capacity for the movement. This analysis thus provides a basis for estimating future turn pocket storage requirements at intersections. Vehicle queuing at unsignalized intersections is evaluated based on the delay experienced by the specific study turn movement.

Report Organization

This report has a total of five chapters. Chapter 2 describes the existing roadway network, transit service, bicycle and pedestrian facilities. Chapter 3 describes the CEQA transportation analysis, including VMT analysis methodology, baseline and potential project VMT impacts, mitigation measures to reduce the VMT impact, and potential cumulative transportation impacts. Chapter 4 describes the local transportation analysis including the method by which project traffic is estimated, intersection operations analysis, any adverse intersection traffic effects caused by the project, intersection vehicle queuing analysis, site access and on-site circulation review, effects on bicycle, pedestrian, and transit facilities, and parking. Chapter 5 presents the conclusions of the transportation analysis.

2. Existing Conditions

This chapter describes the existing conditions of the transportation system within the project study area. It describes the roadway network, transit service, and pedestrian and bicycle facilities in the vicinity of the project site.

Existing Roadway Network

Regional access to the project site is provided by Interstate 880 (I-880) and US 101. Local access to the project site is provided via Oakland Road, Old Bayshore Highway, Gish Road, and Industrial Avenue. These facilities are described below.

I-880 is a north-south freeway that extends through the Bay Area, connecting Oakland to San Jose. Near the vicinity of the project site, I-880 is eight lanes wide with three mixed-flow lanes and one HOV lane in each direction. I-880 provides site access via a full interchange at Old Bayshore Highway.

US 101 is a ten-lane freeway (four mixed-flow lanes and one HOV lane in each direction) in the vicinity of the site. US 101 extends northward through San Francisco and southward through Gilroy. Access to and from the site is provided via full interchanges at Oakland Road and I-880.

Oakland Road is a six-lane, north-south arterial street that services the surrounding commercial and residential uses. In the immediate vicinity of the proposed project, Oakland Road contains three mixed-flow lanes in each direction with a center turn lane. Oakland Road transitions from 13th Street at Hedding Street, and extends north to Montague Expressway, where it transitions into Main Street. Access to the project site from Oakland Road is provided via Gish Road

Old Bayshore Highway is an east-west arterial street extending from 13th Street and Commercial Street to Zanker Road. East of 13th Street, Old Bayshore Highway transitions to Commercial Street. Old Bayshore Highway is a four-lane roadway. Access to the project site from Old Bayshore Highway is provided via Gish Road.

Gish Road is a two-lane roadway that extends westward from Oakland Road and then turns southward to intersect Old Bayshore Highway near I-880. Access to the project site from Gish Road is via Industrial Avenue.

Industrial Avenue is a two-lane roadway that extends northward from Gish Road to a dead-end near the project site. On street parking is permitted along both sides of Industrial Avenue and the posted speed limit is 25 mph. The project proposes two driveways located along Industrial Avenue.



Existing Intersection Lane Configurations

The existing lane configurations at the study intersections were determined by observations in the field and are shown on Figure 3.

Existing Pedestrian, Bicycle, and Transit Facilities

San Jose desires to provide a safe, efficient, fiscally, economically, and environmentally sensitive transportation system that balances the needs of bicyclists, pedestrians, and public transit riders with those of automobiles and trucks. The existing pedestrian, bicycle, and transit facilities in the study area are described below.

Existing Pedestrian Facilites

Pedestrian facilities consist of sidewalks, crosswalks, and pedestrian signals at signalized intersections. In the vicinity of the project site, sidewalks exist only on the west side of Industrial Avenue from Gish Road to Kings Row, while sidewalks exist along both sides of Industrial Avenue between Kings Row and the dead end. Sidewalks are also present along both sides of Gish Road for a distance of about 700 feet west of Oakland Road. Beyond that point, sidewalks continue along the north side of Gish to I-880 with a short gap in the sidewalk between Industrial Avenue and the railroad tracks. There are no sidewalks along the segment of Gish Road between I-880 and Old Bayshore Highway or along Old Bayshore Highway in the vicinity of Gish Road.

The overall network of sidewalks and crosswalks in the study area provides limited connectivity. There are gaps in the pedestrian routes between the project site and the nearest bus route on Oakland Road. Furthermore, there are few commercial services (restaurants, banks, shops, etc), parks or trails within walking distance of the project site.

Existing Bicycle Facilites

Class II bikeways are located along several streets within the study area. Class II bikeways are striped bike lanes on roadways that are marked by signage and pavement markings. Within the vicinity of the project site, striped bike lanes are present on the following roadway segments:

- Oakland Road, between Gish Road and Commercial Street
- Old Bayshore Highway, between 10th Street and Zanker Road
- Berger Drive, between Oakland Road and Gish Road

In addition, buffered bike lanes with a designated buffer space separating the bicycle lane from the adjacent motor vehicle travel lane are present on the following roadway segment:

• Oakland Road, between Gish Road and Montague Expressway

Although Industrial Avenue and Gish Road do not provide bike lanes and are not designated as bike routes, due to their low traffic volume and low speeds, they are conducive to bicycle usage. The existing bicycle facilities within the study area are shown on Figure 4.

Existing Transit Services

Existing transit services near the project site are provided by the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) (See Figure 5). The project site is not accessible by transit since there are no transit routes within normal walking distance (one-quarter mile). The study area has one local bus route, Route 66. The nearest bus stop is located approximately 0.6 miles from the project site at the intersection of Gish Road and Oakland Road. Route 66 runs from Kaiser Permanente Medical Center in South San Jose to Milpitas from 5:14 AM to 12:08 AM with a headway of 15 to 20 minutes during peak commute hours.







Figure 3 Existing Lane Configurations









Figure 4 Existing Bicycle Facilities











3. CEQA Transportation Analysis

This chapter describes the CEQA transportation analysis, including the VMT analysis methodology and significance criteria, potential project impacts on VMT, mitigation measures recommended to reduce significant impacts, and an evaluation of consistency with the City of San Jose's General Plan.

CEQA Transportation Analysis Screening Criteria

The City of San Jose *Transportation Analysis Handbook* identifies screening criteria that determine whether a CEQA transportation analysis would be required for development projects. The criteria are based on the type of project, characteristics, and/or location. If a project meets the City's screening criteria, it is presumed that the project would result in a less-than-significant transportation impact and a detailed VMT analysis is not required. The type of development projects that may meet the screening criteria include the following:

- (1) small infill projects
- (2) local-serving retail
- (3) local-serving public facilities
- (4) projects located in *Planned Growth Areas* with low VMT and *High-Quality Transit*
- (5) deed-restricted affordable housing located in Planned Growth Areas with High-Quality Transit

Table 2 summarizes the screening criteria for each type of development project as identified in the City of San Jose Transportation Analysis Handbook.

Evaluation of Screening Criteria

Since the project is industrial in nature, the only screening criteria the project would be eligible for is small infill projects. However, since the proposed project exceeds the screening criteria of 30,000 square feet, a CEQA level VMT analysis is required.



Table 2 CEQA VMT Analysis Screening Criteria for Development Projects

Туре	Screening Criteria
Small Infill Projects	 Single-family detached housing of 15 units or less; <u>OR</u> Single-family attached or multi-family housing of 25 units or less; <u>OR</u> Office of 10,000 square feet of gross floor area or less; <u>OR</u> Industrial of 30,000 square feet of gross floor area or less
Local-Serving Retail	100,000 square feet of total gross floor area or less without drive-through operations
Local-Serving Public Facilities	Local-serving public facilities
Residential/Office Projects or Components	 Planned Growth Areas: Located within a Planned Growth Area as defined in the Envision San José 2040 General Plan; <u>AND</u> High-Quality Transit: Located within ½ a mile of an existing major transit stop or an existing stop along a high-quality transit corridor; <u>AND</u> Low VMT: Located in an area in which the per capita VMT is less than or equal to the CEQA significance threshold for the land use; <u>AND</u> Transit-Supporting Project Density: Minimum Gross Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of 0.75 for office projects or components; Minimum of 35 units per acre for residential projects or components; If located in a Planned Growth Area that has a maximum density below 0.75 FAR or 35 units per acre, the maximum density allowed in the Planned Growth Area must be met; <u>AND</u> Parking: No more than the minimum number of parking spaces required; If located in Urban Villages or Downtown, the number of parking spaces must be adjusted to the lowest amount allowed; however, if the parking is shared, publicly available, and/or "unbundled", the number of parking spaces can be up to the zoned minimum; <u>AND</u> Active Transportation: Not negatively impact transit, bike or pedestrian infrastructure.
Restricted Affordable Residential Projects or Components	 Affordability: 100% restricted affordable units, excluding unrestricted manager units; affordability must extend for a minimum of 55 years for rental homes or 45 years for for-sale homes; <u>AND</u> Planned Growth Areas: Located within a Planned Growth Area as defined in the Envision San José 2040 General Plan; <u>AND</u> High Quality Transit: Located within ½ a mile of an existing major transit stop or an existing stop along a high quality transit corridor; <u>AND</u> Transit-Supportive Project Density: Minimum of 35 units per acre for residential projects or components; If located in a Planned Growth Area that has a maximum density below 35 units per acre, the maximum density allowed in the Planned Growth Area must be met; <u>AND</u> Transportation Demand Management (TDM): If located in an area in which the per capita VMT is higher than the CEQA significance threshold, a robust TDM plan must be included; <u>AND</u> Parking: No more than the minimum number of parking spaces required; If located in Urban Villages or Downtown, the number of parking spaces must be adjusted to the lowest amount allowed; however, if the parking is shared, publicly available, and/or "unbundled", the number of parking spaces can be up to the zoned minimum; <u>AND</u> Active Transportation: Not negatively impact transit, bike or pedestrian infrastructure.
Source: City of San Jos	sé Transportation Analysis Handbook, April 2018.

VMT Evaluation Methodology and Criteria

Per Council Policy 5-1, the effects of the proposed project on VMT is evaluated using the methodology outlined in the City's *Transportation Analysis Handbook*. The City of San Jose defines VMT as the total miles of travel by personal motorized vehicles a project is expected to generate in a day. VMT is calculated using the Origin-Destination VMT method, which measures the full distance of personal motorized vehicle-trips with one end within the project. A project's VMT is compared to established thresholds of significance based on the project location and type of development.

Typically, development projects that are farther from other, complementary land uses (such as a business park far from housing) and in areas without transit or active transportation infrastructure (bike lanes, sidewalks, etc.) generate more driving than development near complementary land uses with more robust transportation options. Therefore, developments located in a central business district with high density and diversity of complementary land uses and frequent transit services are expected to internalize trips and generate shorter and fewer vehicle trips than developments located in a suburban area with low density of residential developments and no transit service in the project vicinity.

When assessing a residential project, the project's VMT is divided by the number of residents expected to occupy the project to determine the VMT per capita. When assessing an office or industrial project, the project's VMT is divided by the number of employees. Non-residential and non-employment uses, such as retail and hotel uses are assessed based on their effects on total VMT.

VMT Evaluation Tool

To determine whether a project would result in CEQA transportation impacts related to VMT, the City has developed the San Jose VMT Evaluation Tool to streamline the analysis for development projects. Based on the assessor's parcel number (APN) of a project, the VMT evaluation tool identifies the existing average VMT per capita and employee for the project area. Based on the project location, type of development, project description, and proposed trip reduction measures, the VMT evaluation tool calculates the project VMT.

Projects located in areas where the existing VMT is greater than the established threshold are referred to as being in "high-VMT areas". Projects in high-VMT areas are required to include a set of VMT reduction measures that would reduce the project VMT to the greatest extent possible. The VMT Evaluation tool evaluates a list of selected VMT reduction measures that can be applied to a project to reduce the project VMT. There are four strategy tiers whose effects on VMT can be calculated with the VMT Evaluation tool:

- 1. Project characteristics (e.g. density, diversity of uses, design, and affordability of housing) that encourage walking, biking and transit uses.
- 2. Multimodal network improvements that increase accessibility for transit users, bicyclists, and pedestrians,
- 3. Parking measures that discourage personal motorized vehicle-trips, and
- 4. Transportation demand management (TDM) measures that provide incentives and services to encourage alternatives to personal motorized vehicle-trips.

The first three strategies – land use characteristics, multimodal network improvements, and parking – are physical design strategies that can be incorporated into the project design. TDM includes programmatic measures that aim to reduce VMT by decreasing personal motorized vehicle mode share and by encouraging more walking, biking, and riding transit. TDM measures should be enforced through annual trip monitoring to assess the project's status in meeting the VMT reduction goals.



Baseline VMT Estimates

The thresholds of significance for industrial projects, as established in the Transportation Analysis Policy, are based on the existing regional average VMT level for industrial uses. The regional average VMT level for industrial uses is 14.37 VMT per employee.

Thresholds of Significance

If a project is found to have a significant impact on VMT, the impact must be reduced by modifying the project to reduce its VMT to an acceptable level (below the established thresholds of significance applicable to the project) and/or mitigating the impact through multimodal transportation improvements or establishing a Trip Cap. Table 3 shows the VMT thresholds of significance for development projects, as established in the Transportation Analysis Policy.

Table 3

CEQA VMT Analysis Significant Impact Criteria for Development Projects

Project Types	Significance Criteria	Current Level	Threshold
	Project VMT per capita exceeds existing citywide	11.91	10.12
Residential Uses	regional average VMT per capita minus 15 percent, <u>or</u> existing whichever is lower.	VMT per capita (Citywide Average)	VMT per capita
General Employment	Project VMT per employee exceeds existing regional	14.37	12.21
Uses	average VMT per employee minus 15 percent.	VMT per employee (Regional Average)	VMT per employee
Industrial Employment	Project V/MT per employee exceeds existing regional	14.37	14.37
Uses	average VMT per employee.	VMT per employee (Regional Average)	VMT per employee
Retail / Hotel / School Uses	Net increase in existing regional total VMT.	Regional Total VMT	Net Increase
Public / Quasi-Public Uses	In accordance with most appropriate type(s) as determined by Public Works Director.	Appropriate levels listed above	Appropriate thresholds listed above
Mixed-Uses	Evaluate each land use component of a mixed-use project independently, and apply the threshold of significance for each land use type included.	Appropriate levels listed above	Appropriate thresholds listed above
Change of Use / Additions to Existing Development	Evaluate the full site with the change of use or additions to existing development, and apply the threshold of significance for each project type included.	Appropriate levels listed above	Appropriate thresholds listed above
Area Plans	Evaluate each land use component of the Area Plan independently, and apply the threshold of significance for each land use type included.	Appropriate levels listed above	Appropriate thresholds listed above
Source: City of San Jose, 2018	Transportation Analysis Handbook , Table 2.		

The applicable impact criteria for the project are as follows:

• Projects that include industrial uses are said to create a significant adverse impact when the estimated project-generated VMT exceeds the existing regional average VMT per employee. Currently, the reported regional average is 14.37 VMT per employee.



Projects that trigger a VMT impact can assess a variety of the four strategies described above to reduce impacts. A significant impact is said to be satisfactorily mitigated when the strategies and VMT reductions implemented render the VMT impact less than significant.

VMT of Existing Land Uses

The results of the VMT analysis using the VMT Evaluation Tool indicate that the existing VMT for industrial employment uses in the project area is 15.00 per employee. As shown in Table 3, the current regional average VMT for industrial employment uses is 14.37 per employee. Therefore, the existing VMT levels for industrial employment uses in the project area currently exceed the regional average VMT levels. Appendix A presents the VMT Evaluation Tool summary report for the project.

Project-Level VMT Impact Analysis

The City's Transportation Policy identifies an impact threshold exceeding the industrial employment regional average. Thus, the proposed project would result in a significant impact if it results in a project VMT of 14.37 VMT per employee.

The results of the VMT evaluation, using the City's VMT Evaluation Tool, indicate that the proposed project is estimated to generate 14.69 VMT per employee. The project-generated VMT per employee is lower than the average VMT per employee in this area (15.00) due to the project size and design features. The project would exceed the 14.37 VMT per employee threshold by 2.2%. Therefore, the proposed project would have an impact on the transportation system based on the City's VMT impact criteria. Figure 6 shows the VMT evaluation summary generated by the City of San Jose's VMT Evaluation Tool.

Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Project Impact: Since the VMT generated by the project (14.69 per employee) would exceed the threshold of 14.37 VMT per employee, the project would result in a significant transportation impact on VMT, and mitigation measures are required to reduce the VMT impact. According to the *Transportation Analysis Handbook*, projects located in areas where the existing VMT is above the established threshold are referred to as being in "high-VMT areas", and projects in high-VMT areas are required to include a set of VMT reduction measures that would reduce the project VMT to the greatest extent possible.

Mitigation Measures

The following mitigation measures can be implemented to reduce the significant VMT impact:

Option 1:

 <u>Traffic Calming Measures (Roadway Narrowing)</u>: City staff have indicated that the project could mitigate its VMT impact by reducing the roadway width along Industrial Avenue from 44 feet to 40 feet.

<u>AND</u>

• <u>Commute Trip Reduction Marketing/Education</u>: Alternative commute information should be provided to future employees. Alternative commute education can include, but is not limited to bike maps, carpooling options, transit maps, etc. Providing information for alternative commute methods can encourage employees to commute to work by walking, bicycling, or transit.



The implementation of the above mitigation measures would reduce the VMT generated by the project by providing traffic calming to reduce vehicle speeds and encourage pedestrians to walk. Additionally, it would encourage employees to use alternative modes or carpooling to work. The implementation of the above mitigation measures would reduce the project VMT to 14.11 per employee, which is below the threshold of 14.37 per employee, reducing the project impact to less than significant. Appendix A presents the VMT Evaluation Tool summary report for the project with the mitigation measures.

Option 2:

- <u>Commute Trip Reduction Marketing/Education</u>: Alternative commute information should be provided to future employees. Alternative commute education can include, but is not limited to bike maps, carpooling options, transit maps, etc. Providing information for alternative commute methods can encourage employees to commute to work by walking, bicycling, or transit. <u>AND</u>
- <u>Implement Ride-Sharing Programs</u>: Organize a program to match individuals interested in carpooling who have similar commutes for at least 1% of the project employees. This measure promotes the use of carpooling and reduces the number of drive-alone trips.

The implementation of the above mitigation measures would reduce the VMT generated by the project by encouraging employees to use alternative modes or carpooling to work. The implementation of the above mitigation measures would reduce the project VMT to 14.32 per employee, which is below the threshold of 14.37 per employee, reducing the project impact to less than significant. Appendix A presents the VMT Evaluation Tool summary report for the project with the mitigation measures.

Cumulative (GP Consistency) Evaluation

Projects must demonstrate consistency with the *Envision San José 2040 General Plan* to address cumulative impacts. Consistency with the City's General Plan is based on the project's density, design, and conformance to the General Plan goals and policies. If a project is determined to be inconsistent with the General Plan, a cumulative impact analysis is required per the City's *Transportation Analysis Handbook*.

The project site is located within the East Gish Employment Area. The area was designated as a planned growth area in the *Envision San José 2040 General Plan*. The general plan designates employment areas for significant job growth.

The project site is located within the Heavy Industrial zone. Heavy Industrial developments can develop at a FAR of up to 1.5. Based on the existing lot area of 156,950 square feet, the project is allowed to develop up to 235,425 square feet (477,580 s.f. x 1.5 FAR = 235,425 s.f.).

The project as proposed would construct a light industrial, one-story building comprised of 71,550 gross square feet of warehouse space. This equates to a FAR of 0.46 (71,550 s.f. \div 156,950 s.f. = 0.46).

The project is consistent with the General Plan goals and policies for the following reasons:

- The project site is near bicycle lanes on Oakland Road.
- The project would provide bicycle parking on the ground level near the project entrance to encourage employee use of alternative transportation modes.
- The project would implement a TDM plan that includes commute trip reduction marketing and education aimed at reducing VMT.
- The project promotes economic development and completion of the General Plan transportation network through the US-101/Mabury Transportation Development Policy (TDP)



- The project maintains, enhances, and develops the employment lands within an identified key employment area (the East Gish and Mabury industrial area) (FS-4.2)
- The proposed project site would increase the intensity of employment.

Therefore, based on the project description, the proposed project would be consistent with the *Envision San José 2040 General Plan*. Thus, the project would be considered as part of the cumulative solution to meet the General Plan's long-range transportation goals and would result in a less-than-significant cumulative impact.

CITY OF SAN JOSE VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED EVALUATION TOOL SUMMARY REPORT

EMPLOYMENT ONLY

The tool estimates that the project would generate per non-industrial worker VMT below the City's threshold. There are selected strategies that require coordination with the City of San Jose to implement.



Figure 6 VMT Analysis





4. Local Transportation Analysis

This chapter describes the local transportation analysis (LTA) including the method by which project traffic is estimated, intersection operations analysis, any adverse effects to intersection level of service caused by the project, site access and on-site circulation review, parking, and effects on bicycle, pedestrian and transit facilities.

Intersection Operations Analysis

The intersection operations analysis is intended to quantify the operations of intersections in the project vicinity and to identify potential negative effects due to the addition of project traffic. Information required for the intersection operations analysis related to project trip generation, trip distribution, and trip assignment are presented in this section. The study intersections are evaluated based on the intersection level of service analysis methodology and standards described in Chapter 1.

Project Trip Estimates

The magnitude of traffic produced by a new development and the locations where that traffic would appear are estimated using a three-step process: (1) trip generation, (2) trip distribution, and (3) trip assignment. In determining project trip generation, the magnitude of traffic entering and exiting the site is estimated for the AM and PM peak hours. As part of the project trip distribution, the directions to and from which the project trips would travel are estimated. In the project trip assignment, the project trips are assigned to specific streets and intersections. These procedures are described below.

Proposed Project Trips

Through empirical research, data have been collected that indicate the amount of traffic that can be expected to be generated by common land uses. Project trip generation was estimated by applying to the size and uses of the development the appropriate trip generation rates. The fitted curve equation rates for Warehousing (Land Use 150) as published in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) *Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition* (2017) were applied to the proposed use.

Trip Reductions

In accordance with San Jose's *Transportation Analysis Handbook* (April 3018, Section 4.8, "Intersection Operations Analysis"), the project is eligible for adjustments and reductions from the baseline (gross) trip generation described above. Based on the 2018 San Jose guidelines, the project also qualifies for a location-based adjustment. The location-based adjustment reflects the project's vehicle mode share based on the place type in which the project is located per the San Jose Travel Demand Model. The project's place type was obtained from the *San Jose VMT Evaluation Tool*. Based on the Tool, the project site is located within a suburb area with multi-family homes. Therefore, the baseline project trips



were adjusted to reflect a suburb area mode share. Suburb with multi-family homes is characterized as an area with average accessibility, vacancy, and low single-family homes.

Industrial developments located in suburb with multi-family home areas have a vehicle mode share of 92%. Thus, an 8% reduction was applied to the trips generated by the proposed project. Additionally, trip credits were taken for the existing industrial uses on site. The average rates for Industrial Park (Land Use 130) were utilized for the existing use on site.

Net Project Trips

After applying the ITE trip rates, the proposed project is estimated to generate 91 net new daily vehicle trips, with 24 net new trips (18 inbound and 6 outbound) occurring during the AM peak hour and 26 net new trips (8 inbound and 18 outbound) occurring during the PM peak hour (see Table 4).

Table 4

Project Trip Generation Estimates

						AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour				
	ITE Land R	eductior	1	Da	ily		_	Trip			_	Trip	
Land Use	Use Code	%	Size	Rate	Trip	Rate	In	Out	Total	Rate	In	Out	Total
Proposed Land Uses													
Warehousing ¹	150		71,550 Square Feet	2.216	159	0.474	26	8	34	0.509	10	26	36
Location-Based Adjustment ²		8 %			-13		-2	-1	-3		-1	-2	-3
Total Project Trips					146		24	7	31		9	24	33
Existing Land Uses													
Industrial Park ³	130		16,400 Square Feet	3.370	55	0.400	-6	-1	-7	0.400	-1	-6	-7
Net Project Trips					91		18	6	24		8	18	26

Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition 2017

Notes: ¹Rates per 1,000 s.f. (square feet) based on fitted curve equation for Land Use 150 (Warehousing) from the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition. ²Trip reduction percentages obtained from the City of San Jose *Transportation Analysis Handbook* (2018). Place type from the City of San Jose VMT Evaluation Tool, 2019

³Existing use trip generation estimated based on rates per 1,000 .s.f based on average rates for Land Use 130 (Industrial Park) from the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition.

Trip Distribution and Assignment

The trip distribution pattern for the project was estimated based on existing travel patterns on the surrounding roadway system and the locations of complementary land uses. The peak hour vehicle trips generated by the project were assigned to the roadway network in accordance with the trip distribution pattern. Figure 7 shows the trip distribution pattern for the project site. Figure 8 shows the trip assignment for the project site.

Traffic Volumes Under All Scenarios

Existing Traffic Volumes

Existing traffic volumes were obtained from previous transportation studies in the area. Because the count data is from 2018 and is older than two years, a 1% compounded annual growth factor was used to escalate traffic volumes to existing conditions. Turning movement counts from 2018 can be found in Appendix B.

The existing peak-hour intersection volumes after applying the growth factor are shown on Figure 9.

Existing Plus Project Traffic Volumes

Project trips were added to existing traffic volumes to obtain project traffic volumes (see Figure 10).

















Figure 9 Existing Traffic Volumes







Figure 10 Existing Plus Project Traffic Volumes





Intersection Traffic Operations

Study intersections were evaluated for levels of service during the AM and PM peak hours. Since the City of San Jose does not have a formally-adopted level of service standard for unsignalized intersections, this analysis is presented for informational purposes only. Additionally, a discussion on vehicle queuing and a signal warrant analysis is provided below to determine whether the existing control at study intersections are appropriate.

Table 5 shows the results of the level of service analysis. The detailed intersection level of service calculation sheets are included in Appendix C. The analysis finds that the intersection of I-880 Northbound Ramps & Gish Road currently operates at an unacceptable level of service during both AM and PM peak hours. The northbound movement at the intersection operates at an unacceptable LOS F during the AM peak hour. The westbound movement operates at an unacceptable LOS F during both the AM and PM peak hours. Additionally, the westbound movement at the intersection exceeds capacity during the AM peak hour. The trips generated by the proposed project would exacerbate the existing congestion at this intersection. The other study intersection is expected to operate with moderate levels of delay equivalent to LOS C during both the AM and PM peak hours.

ntersection	Level	of Se	rvice	Sumn	nary

Table 5

		Existing									
			No Proj	ect		wit	h Project				
#	Intersection	Peak Hour	Worst- Movement Delay (sec)	LOS	Worst- Movement Delay (sec)	LOS	Incr. in Critical Delay (sec)	Incr. in Critical V/C			
1	I-880 Northbound Ramps & Gish Road ^{1,2}	AM PM	 120+	F F	 120+	F		0.013			
2	Industrial Avenue & Gish Road ¹	AM PM	15.3 17.8	C C	15.6 18.1	C C	0.2 0.3	0.012			
Bold <u>Note:</u> ¹ Deno ² Delay	Bold indicates a substandard level of service. Note: ¹ Denotes a one-way or two-way stop-controlled intersection. Worst leg delay is reported. ² Delay cannot be calculated because intersection exceeds capacity during the AM Peak Hour.										

Signal Warrant Analysis

The unsignalized study intersections were analyzed to determine whether a traffic signal is warranted based on the Peak-Hour Signal Warrant, described in the California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), 2014 Edition. This method provides an indication whether peak-hour traffic volumes are, or would be, sufficient to justify installation of a traffic signal. Intersections that meet the peak hour warrant are subject to further analysis before determining that a traffic signal is necessary. Additional analysis may include unsignalized intersection level of service analysis and/or operational analysis such as evaluating vehicle queuing and delay. Other options such as traffic control devices, signage, or deometric changes may be preferable based on existing field conditions. The signal warrant analysis sheets can be found in Appendix D.

I-880 Northbound Ramps and Gish Road

For the intersection of I-880 Northbound Ramps and Gish Road, the I-880 off ramp is considered the major (uncontrolled) road and Gish Road (northbound and westbound approaches) is considered the minor (stop-controlled) road. The intersection meets all three parts of Part A of the Peak-Hour Signal



Warrant under existing conditions, with and without the project. It should be noted that the highest minor street average delay cannot be calculated for the AM peak hour because it exceeds capacity. It is assumed that the minor street total delay would exceed more than 5 vehicle-hours during the AM peak hour.

<u>Recommendation</u>: The project applicant should coordinate with City of San Jose staff to determine if there are any plans to signalize this intersection or install a roundabout. If so, it would be appropriate for the project to make a fair share monetary contribution toward the planned intersection improvements.

Industrial Avenue and Gish Road

For the intersection of Industrial Avenue and Gish Road, Gish Road is the major road and Industrial Avenue is the minor road. The analysis revealed that the signal warrant is not met under existing conditions. The project would add several trips to both the major and minor roads but would not change traffic operations at this intersection in any noticeable way and would not result in the need for signalization or other traffic control changes.

Vehicle Queuing Analysis

The analysis of intersection operations was supplemented with a vehicle queuing analysis at intersections where the project would add a substantial number of trips to left-turn movements. The queuing analysis is presented for informational purposes only, since the City of San Jose has not defined a policy related to queuing. Vehicle queues were estimated using a Poisson probability distribution, which estimates the probability of "n" vehicles for a vehicle movement using the following formula:

 $P(x=n) = \frac{\lambda^n e^{-(\lambda)}}{n!}$

Where:

P (x=n) = probability of "n" vehicles in queue per lane

n = number of vehicles in the queue per lane

 λ = average # of vehicles in the queue per lane (vehicles per hr per lane/average delay)

The basis of the analysis is as follows: (1) the Poisson probability distribution is used to estimate the 95th percentile maximum number of queued vehicles for a particular left-turn movement; (2) the estimated maximum number of vehicles in the queue is translated into a queue length, assuming 25 feet per vehicle; and (3) the estimated maximum queue length is compared to the existing or planned available storage capacity for the left-turn movement. This analysis thus provides a basis for estimating future turn pocket storage requirements at intersections.

The 95th percentile queue length value indicates that during the peak hour, a queue of this length or less would occur on 95 percent of the time. Thus, turn pocket storage designs based on the 95th percentile queue length would ensure that storage space would be exceeded only 5 percent of the time for a movement. Vehicle queuing at unsignalized intersections is evaluated based on the delay experienced at the specific study turn movement. The operations analysis is based on vehicle queuing for high-demand movements at intersections (see Table 6).

I-880 Northbound Ramps and Gish Road

At the intersection of the I-880 Northbound Ramps and Gish Road, the southbound left-turn movement has a one lane with approximately 160 feet of queue storage, which can accommodate about 6 vehicles seeking to turn left from the I-880 off ramp onto eastbound Gish Road. This left-turn movement is uncontrolled while traffic from the south and east approaches are under stop control. Thus, the delay for traffic turning left from the freeway off-ramp onto Gish Road is quite low. As a result, the 95th



percentile queue length is estimated to be only one vehicle during the AM and PM peak hours under existing conditions. The project would add three trips during the AM peak hour and one trip during the PM peak hour to the southbound left-turn movement, which would have a negligible effect on delay and queue length on the freeway off ramp.

The northbound right-turn movement is channelized and controlled with a yield pavement marking. There is approximately 100 feet of queue space in the channelized right-turn lane. For the purposes of determining control delay, it is assumed that the right-turn movements are controlled by a stop sign. The estimated 95th percentile queue is calculated to be two and three vehicles during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. The project would add 12 trips and 6 trips to the northbound right turn movement during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. The project would add 12 trips and 6 trips to the northbound right turn movement during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. The project generated trips would not lengthen the 95th percentile queue for this movement.

The queue lengths for the westbound movement cannot be analyzed using the Poisson methodology because the traffic volumes exceed the movement capacity. On the westbound Gish Road approach, the project is expected to add four vehicles during the AM peak hour and twelve vehicles during the PM peak hour. It should be noted that field observations at the intersection in 2018 found that the westbound queue length often extends past the Industrial Avenue/Gish Road intersection. The addition of project generated trips would exacerbate the westbound queue length. A signal warrant analysis performed for this study determined that peak-hour traffic volumes warrant signalization, which may alleviate the queuing issues at this intersection.

Gish Road and Industrial Avenue

At the intersection of Gish Road and Industrial Avenue, the southbound movement contains one leftturning lane and one de-facto right-turning lane. The approach storage length of 100 feet is the distance to the first driveway. The queuing analysis shows that the approach storage is adequate and that the addition of project generated trips would not extend the queue for the southbound movements. However, it should be noted that during the AM peak hour, the Industrial Avenue and Gish Road intersection could be affected by queues that spillback from the adjacent intersections at the I-880 Ramps and at Berger Drive.

The eastbound Gish Road approach has one lane, which is shared by left-turn and through traffic. The approach storage length of 550 feet is the distance to the upstream intersection at the I-880 Northbound On/Off Ramps and Gish Road. The queuing analysis shows that the approach storage is adequate and that the addition of project generated trips would not extend the queue for the eastbound movements. However, it should be noted that during the AM peak hour, the Industrial Avenue and Gish Road intersection could be affected by queues that spillback from the adjacent intersections at the I-880 Ramps and at Berger Drive.

Table 6 Queuing Analysis

	I-8 Northl Ramps <u>Ro</u> SE	I-880 I-880 Northbound Northbound Industrial Ramps & Gish Ramps & Gish Avenue & <u>Road Road Gish Road</u> SBL NBR SBL		Industrial Avenue & Gish Road SBR		Indu Aven Gish I	strial lue & Road ³ 3L			
Measurement	AM	РМ	AM	РМ	AM	РМ	AM	РМ	AM	РМ
Existing										
Control Delay ¹ (sec)	7.8	7.5	11.2	11.4	21	24.7	11.9	12	8.7	8.4
Volume (vphpl)	329	192	133	293	38	80	65	94	412	493
95th %. Queue (veh/ln.)	2	2	2	3	1	2	1	1	3	3
95th %. Queue (ft./ln) ²	50	50	50	75	25	50	25	25	75	75
Storage (ft./ In.)	160	160	100	100	100	100	100	100	160	160
Adequate (Y/N)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Existing Plus Project										
Control Delay ¹ (sec)	7.8	7.5	11.3	11.5	22.2	25.8	12	12.2	8.7	8.5
Volume (vphpl)	332	193	145	299	39	83	70	109	427	500
95th %. Queue (veh/ln.)	2	2	2	3	1	2	1	2	3	3
95th %. Queue (ft./ln) ²	50	50	50	75	25	50	25	50	75	75
Storage (ft./ In.)	160	160	100	100	100	100	100	100	160	160
Adequate (Y/N)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Notes:

SBL = southbound left movement, NBR = northbound right movement, SBR = southbound right movement, EBL = eastbound left movement.

¹ Vehicle queue calculations based on control delay for unsignalized intersections.

² Assumes 25 Feet Per Vehicle Queued.

² Eastbound movement at Industrial Avenue & Gish Road is a shared through-left. Volume represent left-turning and through traffic.

Operational Analysis at I-880 Northbound Ramps & Gish Road

Since the intersection of I-880 Northbound Ramps & Gish Road warrants signalization, an operational analysis of a traffic signal and a roundabout was completed to determine the best control option. The intersection control delay for a roundabout was calculated using Synchro software. The result of the analysis is summarized in Table 7.

Table 7 I-880 Northbound Ramps & Gish Road Operational Analysis

		Existing										
			N	lo Project			with Project					
	Peak	Average Delay	LOS	95th Pe	ercentile (veh)	Queue	Average Delay	LOS	95th Pe	ercentile (veh)	Queue	
Intersection Control	Hour	(sec)		WB	WB NB SB		(sec)		WB	NB	SB	
Signal (60s cycle)	AM	17.5	В	12	12	13	17.5	В	12	12	13	
	PM	15.0	В	14	11	9	15.1	В	14	11	9	
Poundahout	AM	10.9	В	3	3	3	11.1	В	4	3	3	
Roundabout	PM	10.8	В	4	4	2	11.1	В	4	4	2	

Both a traffic signal and a roundabout would allow the intersection to operate at an acceptable level of service. As reported in TRAFFIX, the northbound movement would have a 95th percentile queue of 12 vehicles with a 60 second signal cycle. Longer signal cycles would result in longer queues as vehicles would need to wait at a red light for longer. The northbound movement has approximately 300 feet of


queuing space to the upstream intersection, which is enough space for about 12 vehicles. Similarly, the southbound left-turn movement would have a 95th percentile queue of 13 vehicles in the AM peak hour. Due to the larger number of left-turning vehicles relative to through vehicles, the left-turn queue may occasionally block vehicles wanting to proceed through the intersection towards Old Bayshore Highway. The southbound queue would extend along the freeway ramp but would not extend onto the freeway mainline.

Since the northbound queue would extend to near the upstream intersection at Old Bayshore Highway and Gish Road under signal control, a roundabout would be the preferential traffic control at the I-880 Northbound Ramps & Gish Road intersection.

US 101/Oakland/Mabury Transportation Development Policy

The City of San Jose has identified operational problems along the Oakland Road corridor at the US 101 interchange that are due primarily to the capacity constraints of the interchange. As a result, the City has identified two key capital improvement projects: 1) modification of the US 101/Oakland Road interchange, including improvements to the Oakland Road/Commercial Street intersection, and 2) construction of a new US 101/Mabury Road interchange. To fund these interchange improvements, the City has developed the US 101/Oakland/Mabury Transportation Development Policy (TDP).

As part of the Policy, a fee to fund the planned interchange improvements has been adopted. Any project that would add traffic to the US 101/Oakland Road interchange is required to participate in the TDP program. The fee for the US 101/Oakland/Mabury TDP is based on the number of PM peak hour vehicular trips that a project would add to the US 101/Oakland Road interchange. The TDP traffic impact fee (as of August 2022) is \$43,696 per each new PM peak hour vehicle trip that would be added to the US 101/Oakland Road interchange. The signalized intersections of Oakland Road/US 101 (South), Oakland Road/US 101 (North), and Oakland Road/Commercial Street make up the US 101/Oakland Road interchange.

Based on the net project trip assignment, it is estimated that the proposed project will add four vehicle trips to the US 101/Oakland Road interchange during the PM peak hour. Therefore, the project will be required to pay the US 101/Oakland/Mabury TDP traffic impact fee.

Vehicular Access and Circulation

The site access and circulation evaluation is based on the May 2021 site plan prepared by RGA Office of Architectural Design (see Figure 2 in Chapter 1). Site access was evaluated to determine the adequacy of the site's driveways with regard to the following: traffic volume, geometric design, sight distance and operations (e.g., queuing and delay). On-site vehicular circulation was reviewed in accordance with generally accepted traffic engineering standards.

Site Access

Vehicular access to the project site would be provided via two driveways along Industrial Avenue. The northern driveway accesses the vehicle parking area. The southern driveway accesses the loading docks. According to the City of San Jose Department of Transportation (DOT) Geometric Design Guidelines (Addendum Drawing No. R-7), the typical width for a driveway that serves a commercial development is 16 to 32 feet wide. The northern driveway along Industrial Avenue is shown to be 26 feet wide, thus, would meet the City guidelines. The southern driveway, which is designated for larger freight trucks, measures 40 feet in width. The project should discuss with city staff to determine whether the driveway width is appropriate. Truck access will be discussed below.

Traffic Operations at Project Driveways

The project-generated trips that are estimated to occur at the project driveways are 24 inbound trips and 7 outbound trips during the AM peak hour, and 9 inbound trips and 24 outbound trips during the PM peak hour (see Table 8). Assuming a worst-case scenario where only one driveway is open, this would equate to one vehicle entering and leaving the project site every 2.5 minutes. It is unlikely any significant operational issues would occur due to vehicular queuing. Some minor on-site vehicle queuing may occur due to the random occurrence of gaps in traffic along Industrial Avenue.

Table 8Project Site Trip Generation

						A	/I Peak	Hour		Р	M Peal	(Hour	
	ITE Land R	eduction		Da	ily			Trip				Trip	
Land Use	Use Code	%	Size	Rate	Trip	Rate	In	Out	Total	Rate	In	Out	Total
Proposed Land Uses													
Warehousing ¹	150		71,550 Square Feet	2.216	159	0.474	26	8	34	0.509	10	26	36
Location-Based Adjustment ²		8 %			-13		-2	-1	-3		-1	-2	-3
Total Project Trips					146		24	7	31		9	24	33
Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual Notes: ¹ Rates per 1,000 s.f. (square feet	I, 10 th Edition 20 t) based on fitte)17 ed curve e	equation for Land Use	150 (Wa	arehousir	ng) from the	e ITE Ti	rip Ge	neration	Manual, 10	Oth Edit	ion.	

Sight Distance at Project Driveways

The project driveways should be free and clear of any obstructions to provide adequate sight distance, thereby ensuring that exiting vehicles can see pedestrians on the sidewalk and vehicles and bicycles traveling on Industrial Avenue. Any landscaping and signage should be located in such a way to ensure an unobstructed view for drivers exiting the site. Providing the appropriate sight distance reduces the likelihood of a collision at a driveway and provides drivers with the ability to locate sufficient gaps in traffic and exit a driveway.

The minimum acceptable sight distance is considered the Caltrans stopping sight distance. Sight distance requirements vary depending on roadway speeds. For the project driveways along Industrial Avenue, which has a posted speed limit of 25 mph, the Caltrans stopping sight distance is 200 feet (based on a design speed of 30 mph). Thus, a driver must be able to see 200 feet in both directions to locate a sufficient gap to turn out of the driveway.

Since on-street parking is allowed along Industrial Avenue and red curb is lacking at driveways, parked vehicles may block exiting drivers view of oncoming vehicles. City staff have indicated that the project will be required to reconstruct the curb, gutter, and sidewalk along the project frontage. The project applicant should coordinate with the City to paint 25 feet of red curb on both sides of each driveway.

<u>Recommendation</u>: The project applicant should coordinate with City staff to paint 25 feet of red curb on both sides of each driveway along Industrial Avenue

On-Site Circulation

On-site vehicular circulation was reviewed in accordance with the City of San Jose Zoning Code and generally accepted traffic engineering standards. In general, the current proposed site plan would provide vehicle traffic with adequate connectivity through the parking areas. The project would provide 90-degree parking stalls throughout the surface lot. The City's standard minimum width for two-way drive aisles is 26 feet wide where 90-degree parking is provided. This allows sufficient room for vehicles to back out of the parking spaces. According to the current site plan, the two-way drive aisles with



parking available on either side are 26 feet wide throughout the parking areas. Therefore, the current site plan adheres to the City's standards.

The site plan shows an access road connecting the parking area on the north side of the project building to the loading dock area on the south side of the project building. The access road measures 26 feet in width and provides adequate width for vehicles and freight trucks to navigate the site. The site plan also shows a swinging gate that would separate the parking area on the north side of the project from the loading docks on the south side of the building. The site plan shows the gate would swing outward, from the loading dock area in the south towards the parking area on the north. Therefore, any vehicles headed from the parking area towards the south would need to queue several feet in advance in order for the gate to swing outwards. The site plan also shows an 8-foot-tall sliding gate, approximately 120 feet from the inside edge of sidewalk at the southern driveway. The space between the sidewalk and the gate would be sufficient for a standard trailer truck to queue in front of the gate without blocking the sidewalk or extending onto the street.

Parking Stall Dimensions

The City of San Jose Off-Street Parking Design Standards for Uniform Car Spaces require that standard 90-degree parking stalls be a minimum of 8.5 feet wide by 17 feet long and compact parking stalls be a minimum of 8 feet wide by 16 feet long. The site plan indicates the parking stalls would meet these requirements. The ADA accessible stalls are shown to be 9 feet wide by 18 feet long and include van accessibility. One ADA accessible stall is shown to be 12 feet wide by 18 feet long.

Truck Access and Loading

The project site plan shows nine loading docks on the south side of the proposed warehouse building. The loading docks can be accessed from the southernmost proposed driveway along Industrial Avenue. City staff have indicated that trucks should access the site via the southernmost driveway only. Signage should be posted near the southernmost driveway entrance to direct trucks to the appropriate driveway. The project civil site plans show truck turning templates for on-site circulation for a WB-65 truck. The turning templates show that trucks are able to circulate within the project site and reverse into the loading bay area.

Turning templates have been created to show site access (truck ingress and egress) at the southern project driveway. The turning templates show that larger trailer trucks can turn left into the site at the southern driveway without any problems. The turning templates show that larger trailer trucks turning out of the site would swing into the opposite traveled way and may momentarily block the entire street while maneuvering out of the project site. Truck turning templates for trailer truck ingress and egress can be found in Appendix E.

Garbage Collection

The site plan shows a trash enclosure near the southwest corner of the project site. Garbage vehicles can easily come onto the site for garbage collection activities and then turn around on site before exiting from the same driveway or circulate through the site and exit from the other driveway.

Emergency Vehicle Access

Emergency vehicle access (EVA) would be provided along the drive aisles around the building and at the project driveways. The City of San Jose Fire Code requires driveways to provide at least 20 feet for fire access. The project driveway and drive aisles measure at least 20 feet wide, and therefore would comply with the City's fire code.



The City of San Jose Fire Department requires that all portions of the buildings be within 150 feet of a fire department access road and requires a minimum of 6 feet clearance from the property line along all sides of the buildings. The project would meet the requirements.

Pedestrian, Bicycle and Transit Facilities

All new development projects in San Jose should encourage multi-modal travel, consistent with the goals of the City's General Plan. It is the goal of the General Plan that all development projects accommodate and encourage the use of non-automobile transportation modes to achieve San Jose's mobility goals and reduce vehicle trip generation and vehicle miles traveled. In addition, the adopted City Bike Master Plan establishes goals, policies and actions to make bicycling a daily part of life in San Jose. The Master Plan includes designated bike lanes along all City streets, as well as on designated bike corridors. In order to further the goals of the City, pedestrian and bicycle facilities should be encouraged with new development projects.

Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities

Pedestrian facilities consist of sidewalks along the streets in the immediate vicinity of the project site. Some sidewalks are missing along parts of Gish Road. Overall, the existing network of sidewalks is lacking. Since the project is industrial in nature and will consist of warehousing and building materials sales, few pedestrians are expected to travel to the site. As previously mentioned, city staff have indicated that the project will be required to reconstruct the sidewalk along its frontage on Industrial Avenue.

The project site plan indicates that it would provide four bicycle parking spaces on bike racks located near the main entrance to the building. The project would not remove any existing bicycle facilities, nor would it conflict with any adopted plans or policies for new bicycle facilities. According to the City of San Jose Bike Plan 2025, Class IV protected bike lanes are proposed along Berger Drive. Additionally, a path or trail is proposed along Gish Road. By providing bicycle parking, the project aligns with the City's mobility goals for bicycle travel.

Transit Services

The VTA Local Route 66 serves the project area with approximate 15 to 20-minute headways, during the AM and PM peak commute hours. The closest bus stop is located along Oakland Road, approximately 0.6 mile east of the project site. The project site is not accessible by transit since there are no transit routes within normal walking distance (one-quarter mile). As previously mentioned, city staff have recommended that the project should contribute towards improvements at the Gish Road railroad crossing, which would improve pedestrian connectivity from the project site to transit options along Oakland Road.

Parking

Parking provided on the site was evaluated based on the City of San Jose off-street parking requirements (San Jose Municipal Code Chapter 20.90, Table 20-190). The project proposes to construct approximately 71,550 s.f. of warehousing. The parking requirements for the warehousing project is shown on Table 9.



Table 9Vehicle Parking Requirement

	Proposed I	Project	Required Parking	Provided Parking
Parking Type	Size	Parking Ratio	Spaces	Spaces
Vehicle	71,550 s.f.	1 space per 5,000 s.f.	15	41
Motorcycle	71,550 s.f.	1 space per 10 code-required auto spaces	2	2
Bicycle	71,550 s.f.	1 space per 10 employees		4
Notes: Parking Requireme	nts based on City of	San Jose Zoning Ordinance Section	n 20.90.	

The project site would be required to provide at least 15 vehicular parking spaces. The project site plan shows 41 parking spaces near the northern driveway, which exceeds the City's parking requirement.

The motorcycle parking requirement for a warehouse is one space per 10 code-required auto parking spaces. The site plan indicates it will provide 2 motorcycle parking spaces, which meets the City's requirement for motorcycle parking spaces.

The bicycle parking requirement for a warehouse is one space per 10 full-time employees. The City's Zoning Code requires that when bike parking is calculated per employee, all bike parking spaces must be provided in long-term bicycle spaces. The site plan indicates that there would be 4 bicycle parking spaces for staff. The proposed bicycle racks are considered short-term bicycle parking spaces. The requirement for long-term bicycle parking spaces would not be met. Thus, the project site plan should be revised to ensure the project plans comply with the City's Bicycle Parking Standards.

Since the applicant has not provided information on the number of employees that would be working at the project site, it is not known if the number of provided spaces meets the City's parking requirement. The applicant should estimate the employment on site after completion of the proposed project to allow the City to confirm whether the provided bicycle parking is adequate.

<u>Recommendation</u>: The project applicant should revise the site plan to provide adequate long-term bicycle parking spaces.

<u>Recommendation</u>: The project applicant should estimate the employment on site after completion of the proposed project to allow City Staff to determine whether the provided bicycle parking is adequate.

Construction Activities

Typical activities related to the construction of any development could include lane narrowing and/or lane closures and sidewalk closures. In the event of any type of street closure, clear signage (e.g., closure and detour signs) must be provided to ensure vehicles, pedestrians and bicyclists are able to adequately reach their intended destinations safely. The project would be required to submit a construction management plan for City approval that addresses schedule, closures/detours, staging, parking, and truck routes.



5. Conclusions

This study was conducted for the purpose of identifying potential transportation impacts and operational issues related to the proposed development. The transportation impacts of the project were evaluated following the standards and methodologies established in the City of San Jose and the VTA's Congestion Management Program (CMP). Per the requirements of the City of San Jose's Transportation Policy and *Transportation Analysis Handbook 2018*, the TA report for the project consists of a CEQA vehicle-miles-traveled (VMT) analysis and a supplemental Local Transportation Analysis (LTA). The LTA includes an evaluation of weekday AM and PM peak-hour traffic conditions for two unsignalized intersections. The LTA also includes analyses of vehicle queuing at study intersections, site access and on-site circulation, parking, and potential effects to transit, bicycle, and pedestrian facilities.

CEQA Transportation Analysis

Project-Level VMT Impact Analysis

The results of the VMT evaluation, using the City's VMT Evaluation Tool, indicate that the proposed project is projected to generate 14.69 VMT per employee. The project exceeds the 14.37 VMT per employee threshold by 2.2%. Therefore, the project would result in a significant transportation impact on VMT, and mitigation measures are required to reduce the VMT impact.

The following mitigation measures can be implemented to reduce the significant VMT impact:

Option 1:

• <u>Traffic Calming Measures (Roadway Narrowing)</u>: City staff have indicated that the project could mitigate its VMT impact by reducing the roadway width along Industrial Avenue from 44 feet to 40 feet.

<u>AND</u>

• <u>Commute Trip Reduction Marketing/Education</u>: Alternative commute information should be provided to future employees. Alternative commute education can include, but is not limited to bike maps, carpooling options, transit maps, etc. Providing information for alternative commute methods can encourage employees to commute to work by walking, bicycling, or transit.

The implementation of the above mitigation measures would reduce the project VMT to 14.11 per employee, which is below the threshold of 14.37 per employee, reducing the project impact to less than significant.

Option 2:



- <u>Commute Trip Reduction Marketing/Education</u>: Alternative commute information should be provided to future employees. Alternative commute education can include, but is not limited to bike maps, carpooling options, transit maps, etc. Providing information for alternative commute methods can encourage employees to commute to work by walking, bicycling, or transit.
 <u>AND</u>
- <u>Implement Ride-Sharing Programs</u>: Organize a program to match individuals interested in carpooling who have similar commutes for at least 1% of the project employees. This measure promotes the use of carpooling and reduces the number of drive-alone trips.

The implementation of the above mitigation measures would reduce the project VMT to 14.32 per employee, which is below the threshold of 14.37 per employee, reducing the project impact to less than significant.

Cumulative (GP Consistency) Evaluation

The project site is located within the East Gish Employment Area. The area was designated as a planned growth area in the *Envision San José 2040 General Plan*. The general plan designates employment areas for significant job growth.

The project site is located within the Heavy Industrial zone. Heavy Industrial developments can develop at a FAR of up to 1.5. Based on the existing lot area of 156,950 square feet, the project is allowed to develop up to 235,425 square feet (477,580 s.f. x 1.5 FAR = 235,425 s.f.).

The project as proposed would construct a light industrial, one-story building comprised of 71,550 gross square feet of warehouse space. This equates to a FAR of 0.46 (71,550 s.f. \div 156,950 s.f. = 0.46).

The project is consistent with the General Plan goals and policies for the following reasons:

- The project site is near bicycle lanes on Oakland Road.
- The project would provide bicycle parking on the ground level near the project entrance to encourage employee use of alternative transportation modes.
- The project would implement a TDM plan that includes commute trip reduction marketing and education aimed at reducing VMT.
- The project promotes economic development and completion of the General Plan transportation network through the US-101/Mabury Transportation Development Policy (TDP)
- The project maintains, enhances, and develops the employment lands within an identified key employment area (the East Gish and Mabury industrial area) (FS-4.2)
- The proposed project site would increase the intensity of employment.

Therefore, based on the project description, the proposed project would be consistent with the *Envision San José 2040 General Plan*. Thus, the project would be considered as part of the cumulative solution to meet the General Plan's long-range transportation goals and would result in a less-than-significant cumulative impact.

Local Transportation Analysis

Project Trip Generation

Based on trip generation rates published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers, and after subtracting trips generated by the existing use on site, the proposed project is estimated to generate 91 net new daily vehicle trips, with 24 net new trips (18 inbound and 6 outbound) occurring during the AM peak hour and 26 net new trips (8 inbound and 18 outbound) occurring during the PM peak hour.



Intersection Traffic Operations

The operations of two unsignalized intersections were evaluated during the AM and PM peak hours. Since the City of San Jose does not have a formally-adopted level of service standard, this analysis is presented for informational purposes only. The analysis finds that the intersection of I-880 Northbound Ramps and Gish Road would operate at an unacceptable level of service during both AM and PM peak hours both with and without the proposed project. The other study intersection at Industrial Avenue and Gish Road would operate with moderate delay equivalent to LOS C during the AM and PM peak hours both with and without the proposed project.

An operational analysis of a traffic signal and a roundabout were evaluated for the intersection of I-880 Northbound Ramps and Gish Road. Based on the results of the analysis, both options would allow the intersection to operate at LOS B. Vehicular queues along the south leg (northbound approach) would extend to near the upstream intersection at Old Bayshore Highway and Gish Road during the busiest signal cycles. Therefore, a roundabout would be the preferential traffic control at the I-880 Northbound Ramps & Gish Road intersection.

Other Transportation Items

The project would not have an adverse effect on the existing pedestrian, bicycle, or transit facilities in the area. The proposed site plan shows adequate site access and on-site circulation, and no significant operational issues are expected to occur as a result of the project.

Recommendations:

- The proposed project is estimated to add four vehicle trips to the US 101/Oakland Road interchange during the PM peak hour. Therefore, the project will be required to pay the US 101/Oakland/Mabury Transportation Development Policy traffic impact fee.
- The results of the signal warrant analysis indicates that the I-880 Northbound Ramps/Gish Road
 intersection currently meets the peak-hour signal warrant and would continue to do so with the
 project. The project applicant should coordinate with City of San Jose staff to determine if there
 are any plans to signalize this intersection or install a roundabout. If so, it would be appropriate
 for the project to make a fair share monetary contribution toward the planned intersection
 improvements.
- The project applicant should coordinate with City staff to paint 25 feet of red curb on both sides of each driveway along Industrial Avenue.
- The project applicant should revise the site plan to provide adequate long-term bicycle parking spaces.
- The project applicant should estimate the employment on site after completion of the proposed project to allow City Staff to determine whether the provided bicycle parking is adequate.

1535-1575 Industrial Avenue Technical Appendices

Appendix A

San Jose VMT Evaluation Tool Output

PROJECT:						
Name: Locatior Parcel:	1535-15 1535-15 237300	575 Industrial 575 Industrial 25 Parc	Avenue Avenue el Type: Suburl	o with Multifamily Housing	Tool Version: Date:	2/29/2019 9/8/2022
Propose	d Parking S	paces V	ehicles: 41	Bicycles: 4		
LAND USE:						
Residen Sing Mul Sub	tial: Jle Family ti Family total	0 DU 0 DU 0 DU	Percer Ex Ve Lc	t of All Residential Units tremely Low Income (\leq 30% ery Low Income (> 30% MFI, ow Income (> 50% MFI, \leq 809	MFI) <u><</u> 50% MFI) % MFI)	0 % Affordable 0 % Affordable 0 % Affordable
Office:		0 KSF				
Retail:		0 KSF				
Industria	Industrial: 71.55 KSF					
VMT REDU	CTION STR	ATEGIES				
Tier 1 -	Project Cha	aracteristics				
Incr	ease Reside	ntial Density				
	Existing De	nsity (DU/Resi	dential Acres ir	half-mile buffer)		8
	With Projec	t Density (DU,	Residential Ac	res in half-mile buffer)	•••••	8
Incr	ease Develo	pment Diversi	ty			
	Existing Act	tivity Mix Inde	X		•••••	0.85
	With Projec		Index			0.85
Inte	grate Afford	able and Belo	w Market Rate			0.04
	Extremely L		VIR UNITS			0%
		e BMR units	1115			0%
Incr		umont Doncity				0 /0
IIICI	Ease Employ Existing De	nsity (Jobs/Co	mmercial Acres	; in half-mile buffer)		15
	With Projec	t Density (Job	s/Commercial	Acres in half-mile buffer)		15
Tier 2 -	Multimoda	l Infrastructu	re			
Traf	fic Calming	Measures (In (Coordination w	ith SJ)		
	Are improv	ements provic	led beyond the	development frontage?	•••••	Yes
Tier 3 -	Parking					
Tier 4 -	TDM Progr	ams				
Cor	nmute Trip I	Reduction Mar	keting/ Educat	ion		
	Percent of I	Eligible Emplo	yees		•••••	100 %

EMPLOYMENT ONLY

The tool estimates that the project would generate per non-industrial worker VMT below the City's threshold. There are selected strategies that require coordination with the City of San Jose to implement.



PR	OJECT:					
	Name: Location: Parcel:	1535-1575 Indu 1535-1575 Indu 23730025	ustrial Avenue ustrial Avenue Parcel Type: Su	burb with Multifamily Housing	Tool Version: Date:	2/29/2019 9/8/2022
	Proposed P	25750025	Vohiclos: 41	Bicyclos: 4		
ΙA		arking spaces	Venicies: 41	Dicycles. 4		
64	Residential		Po	rcent of All Residential Units		
	Single	Family 0	DU	Extremely I ow Income (< 30% N	MFI)	0 % Affordable
	Multi F	amily 0	DU	Very Low Income (> 30% MFI, <	< 50% MFI)	0 % Affordable
	Subtot	al 0	DU	Low Income (> 50% MFI, <u><</u> 80%	5 MFI)	0 % Affordable
	Office:	0	KSF			
	Retail:	0	KSF			
	Industrial:	71.55	KSF			
VI	IT REDUCTI		S			
	Tier 1 - Pro					
	Increas	e Residential De	nsity			
	Exi	isting Density (D	U/Residential Acr	es in half-mile buffer)		8
	Wi	ith Project Densit	ty (DU/Residentia	Acres in half-mile buffer)	•••••	8
	Increas	e Development	Diversity			
	Exi	isting Activity Mi	x Index		•••••	0.85
	Wi	ith Project Activit	ty Mix Index			0.85
	Integra	ite Affordable an	d Below Market F	Rate		0.00
	EX		MP units	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		0%
	ve Io	w Income BMR I	inits			0%
	Increas	e Employment Γ				0,0
	Exi	isting Density (Jc	bs/Commercial A	cres in half-mile buffer)		15
	Wi	ith Project Densit	ty (Jobs/Commer	cial Acres in half-mile buffer)		15
	Tier 2 - Mu	ultimodal Infras	tructure			
	Tier 3 - Pa	rking				
	Tier 4 - TD	M Programs				
	Comm	ute Trip Reductio	on Marketing/ Edu	ucation		
	Pe	rcent of Eligible	Employees		•••••	100 %
	Ride-S Pe	haring Programs rcent of Eligible	Eemployees			1 %

EMPLOYMENT ONLY

The tool estimates that the project would generate per non-industrial worker VMT below the City's threshold.



Appendix B

Turning Movement Counts



Location: 1 INDUSTRIAL AVE & GISH RD AM Date: Wednesday, December 5, 2018 Peak Hour: 07:45 AM - 08:45 AM Peak 15-Minutes: 08:00 AM - 08:15 AM

(303) 216-2439 www.alltrafficdata.net

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles in Crosswalk





Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Traffic Counts

			GISH	l RD			GISH RD						INI	DUSTR	IAL AV	Έ						
	Interval		Eastb	ound			Westb	ound			Northb	ound		South	bound			Rolling	Peo	lestriar	n Crossin	igs
_	Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Hour	West	East	South I	North
	7:00 AM	0	31	89	0	0	0	56	16				0	7	0	28	227	912	5	4		0
	7:15 AM	2	25	71	0	0	0	46	10				0	9	0	33	196	964	7	0		0
	7:30 AM	0	14	81	0	0	0	61	12				0	6	0	41	215	994	3	0		0
	7:45 AM	0	18	110	0	0	0	105	14				0	10	0	17	274	997	0	0		0
	8:00 AM	0	23	93	0	0	0	125	12				0	13	0	13	279	923	0	0		0
	8:15 AM	0	15	60	0	0	0	110	16				0	6	0	19	226		0	0		0
	8:30 AM	0	23	58	0	0	0	106	9				0	8	0	14	218		0	0		1
	8:45 AM	1	25	54	0	0	0	90	17				0	3	0	10	200		0	0		1

		East	bound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound		
Vehicle Type	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total
Articulated Trucks	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	1					0	1	0	0	6
Lights	0	70	310	0	0	0	428	49					0	32	0	54	943
Mediums	0	9	10	0	0	0	15	1					0	4	0	9	48
Total	0	79	321	0	0	0	446	51					0	37	0	63	997



Location: 3 I-880 & GISH RD AM Date: Wednesday, December 5, 2018 Peak Hour: 07:15 AM - 08:15 AM Peak 15-Minutes: 08:00 AM - 08:15 AM

(303) 216-2439 www.alltrafficdata.net

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles in Crosswalk



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Traffic Counts

						GISH	RD			1-88	80			1-8	30							
	Interval		Eastb	ound		Westb	ound			Northb	ound			South	bound			Rolling	Peo	destriar	rossi	ings
_	Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Hour	West	East	South	North
	7:00 AM				0	63	0	22	0	0	70	38	0	79	52	0	324	1,318		0	0	0
	7:15 AM				0	62	0	26	0	0	71	33	0	66	61	0	319	1,384		0	0	0
	7:30 AM				0	73	0	31	0	0	77	25	0	72	37	0	315	1,377		0	0	0
	7:45 AM				0	66	0	59	0	0	78	27	0	103	27	0	360	1,354		0	0	0
	8:00 AM				0	75	0	75	0	0	84	44	0	78	34	0	390	1,297		0	0	0
	8:15 AM				0	64	0	56	0	0	77	30	0	51	34	0	312			0	0	0
	8:30 AM				0	82	0	42	0	0	64	28	0	51	25	0	292			0	0	0
	8:45 AM				0	73	0	34	0	0	93	42	0	40	21	0	303			0	0	0

	Eastbound								Northb	ound			South	bound		
Vehicle Type	U-Turn Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total
Articulated Trucks				0	15	0	1	0	0	5	2	0	0	10	0	33
Lights				0	230	0	171	0	0	262	117	0	314	129	0	1,223
Mediums				0	31	0	19	0	0	43	10	0	5	20	0	128
Total				0	276	0	191	0	0	310	129	0	319	159	0	1,384



Location: 1 INDUSTRIAL AVE & GISH RD PM Date: Tuesday, December 4, 2018 Peak Hour: 05:00 PM - 06:00 PM Peak 15-Minutes: 05:00 PM - 05:15 PM

(303) 216-2439 www.alltrafficdata.net

Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles in Crosswalk





Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Traffic Counts

		GISH	l RD			GISH RD						INI	DUSTR	IAL AV	Έ						
Interval		Eastb	ound			Westb	ound			Northb	ound		South	bound			Rolling	Pec	lestriar	n Crossin	igs
Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Hour	West	East	South 1	North
4:00 PM	0	35	63	0	0	0	103	12				0	15	0	35	263	1,003	0	0		0
4:15 PM	0	20	68	0	0	0	71	9				0	24	0	25	217	1,038	1	0		0
4:30 PM	0	30	68	0	0	0	105	7				0	26	0	26	262	1,087	0	0		1
4:45 PM	0	12	105	0	0	0	81	9				0	24	0	30	261	1,085	0	0		0
5:00 PM	0	16	105	0	0	0	107	7				0	29	0	34	298	1,105	0	0		0
5:15 PM	0	7	111	0	0	0	99	10				0	23	0	16	266		0	0		0
5:30 PM	0	15	103	0	0	0	103	9				0	10	0	20	260		0	0		0
5:45 PM	0	13	108	0	0	0	115	8				0	16	0	21	281		0	0		0

		East	bound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound		
Vehicle Type	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					0	0	0	0	0
Lights	0	44	413	0	0	0	420	31					0	77	0	86	1,071
Mediums	0	7	14	0	0	0	4	3					0	1	0	5	34
Total	0	51	427	0	0	0	424	34					0	78	0	91	1,105



(303) 216-2439

www.alltrafficdata.net

Location: 3 I-880 & GISH RD PM Date: Tuesday, December 4, 2018 Peak Hour: 04:45 PM - 05:45 PM Peak 15-Minutes: 05:00 PM - 05:15 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles in Crosswalk



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Traffic Counts

						GISH	RD			1-88	30			1-8	80							
Interval		Eastb	ound			Westb	ound			Northb	ound			South	bound			Rolling	Pec	lestriar	rossi	ings
Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru F	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru I	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Hour	West	East	South	North
4:00 PM					0	105	0	25	0	0	52	49	0	47	35	0	313	1,265		0	0	0
4:15 PM					0	86	0	20	0	0	70	42	0	42	32	0	292	1,298		0	0	0
4:30 PM					0	94	0	23	0	0	73	51	0	46	30	0	317	1,338		0	0	0
4:45 PM					0	100	0	32	0	0	72	69	0	41	29	0	343	1,351		0	0	0
5:00 PM					0	106	0	21	0	0	78	78	0	45	18	0	346	1,330		0	0	0
5:15 PM					0	95	0	21	0	0	80	68	0	52	16	0	332			0	0	0
5:30 PM					0	101	0	37	0	0	58	69	0	48	17	0	330			0	0	0
5:45 PM					0	95	0	27	0	0	58	75	0	54	13	0	322			0	0	0

	Eas	stbound			West	ound			Northk	ound			South	nbound		
Vehicle Type	U-Turn Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total
Articulated Trucks				0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	2	3	0	11
Lights				0	395	0	108	0	0	280	269	0	173	66	0	1,291
Mediums				0	7	0	3	0	0	2	15	0	11	11	0	49
Total				0	402	0	111	0	0	288	284	0	186	80	0	1,351

Appendix C

Level of Service Calculations

Existing AM

Existing AM Thu Jul 22, 2021 12:01:20 Page 1-1

_____ Scenario Report Scenario: Existing AM Command: Existing AM

Volume:	Existing AM										
Geometry:	Existing AM										
Impact Fee:	Default Impact Fee										
Trip Generation:	Default Trip Generation										
Trip Distribution:	Default Trip Distribution										
Paths:	Default Path										
Routes:	Default Route										
Configuration:	Existing AM										

Existing AM			T}	nu Jul	22, 2	2021 12	2:01:20	0			Page	2-1
2(000 H	CM Un	Level (signal:	Of Servized Me	vice (Computa (Futu	ation 1 re Volu	Reportume A	t t ternat			
Intersection	#1 I·	-880	& GISH	RD		~ ~ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^			~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	~ ~ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^		
* * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	*****	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	******
Average Dela	y (se	c/veh): OVEI	RFLOW		Worst	Case 1	Level	Of Ser	rvice:	F[xxx	(XX
* * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * * * *	******	*****	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	*****	******
Street Name:			I-8	880					G	ISH		
Approach:	No	rth_B	ound	Soi	ith Bo	ound	E a	ast Bo	ound	- We	est_Bo	ound
Movement:	ь. '	- T	- R	ь - 	- '1'	- R	ь. 	- T	- R	ь - 	- T	- R
Control.	 Un		allod				·۱		i an	 ده		 an
Bights:	0110	Tncl	ude	0110	Tncl	ude	5	Tncli	uda		Chanr	nol
Lanes.	0	0 0	0 0	0 -		0 1	0	1 1 1	0 1	1 (
Volume Module	: >>	Coun	t Date	: 5 Dec	201	8 << 7	:15 - ;	8:15 2	AM			
Base Vol:	0	0	0	329	164	0	0	319	133	284	0	197
Growth Adj:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Initial Bse:	0	0	0	329	164	0	0	319	133	284	0	197
Added Vol:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PasserByVol:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Initial Fut:	0	0	0	329	164	0	0	319	133	284	0	197
User Adj:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PHF Adj:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PHF Volume:	0	0	0	329	164	0	0	319	133	284	0	197
Reduct Vol:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FinalVolume:	0	0	0	329	164	0	0	319	133	284	0	197
Critical Gap	Modu.	le:		4 1				C F	C 0	7 1		C 0
Critical Gp::	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	4.1	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	6.5	6.2	/.⊥ 2 ⊑	XXXX	6.2
FOTTOMODITU	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	2.2	XXXX	XXXXX		4.0	3.3	3.5	XXXX	3.3
Capacity Mod	1											
Capacity Mout Caflict Vol:	ure. vvvv	~~~~	~~~~	0	~~~~	~~~~~	~~~~	822	164	1048	~~~~	0
Potent Cap :	××××	××××	*****	1636	××××	*****	 	311	886	208	XXXX	1091
Move Cap.:	****	****	*****	1636	****	*****	****	235	886	200	****	1091
Volume/Cap:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX	0.20	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX	1.36	0.15	XXXX	XXXX	0.18
Level Of Serv	vice I	Modul	e:									
2Way95thQ:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	0.8	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	17.4	0.5	XXXX	XXXX	0.7
Control Del:	xxxxx	XXXX	XXXXX	7.8	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	227	9.8	XXXXX	XXXX	9.0
LOS by Move:	*	*	*	A	*	*	*	F	A	*	*	A
Movement:	LT ·	- LTR	- RT	LT -	- LTR	- RT	LT ·	- LTR	- RT	LT -	- LTR	- RT
Shared Cap.:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
SharedQueue:	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	0.8	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
Shrd ConDel:	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	7.8	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
Shared LOS:	*	*	*	A	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ApproachDel:	X	XXXXX		XX	XXXXX			163.4			+Inf	
ApproachLOS:	المرابعات والمراط	····	ا ، ، ا ، ، ا ر بان بان بان	اد داد داو بله بله بل	*	اد داد دار بله بله بل	المحاد بالروان والروا	F	اد داد دار بل بل بل بل	ا ، ا ، ا ، ا و بل و بل و	F	المراجبان والمروان والمروا
Noto: Oucure	~ ~ ~ ~ * *	+ 0	a + b = -	~ ^ ^ * * * *	of c	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	~ ^ ^ * * * *	~ ~ ~ ~ * '	~ ^ ^ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	~ ^ ^ ~ ~ * *	~ ^ ^ ~ ~ 7	~ ~ <i>~ ~ ~ ~</i> *
**************************************	***** repor	.eu ⊥: *****	5 LIIU ******		UL Co	******* ***	∟ ⊥ane ******	• * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	******

Level Of Service Computation Report 2000 HCM Unsignalized Method (Future Volume Alternative) metersection #2 INUUSTRIAL AVE & GISH RD metersection #3 Stop Sign Uncontrolled Uncontrolled Include Include Include Include Include metersection #3 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Existing AM			T1	nu Jul	22, 2	2021 12	2:01:2	0			Page	3-1
2000 HCM Unsignalized Method (Future Volume Alternative) nterection #2 INDUSTRIAL AVE & GISH RD verage Delay (sec/veh): 2.2 Worst Case Level Of Service: C[15.3] ttreet Name: Industrial Ave Gish Rd upproach: North Bound South Bound East Bound West Bound lowenent: L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R lowenent: L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R lowenent: L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R lowenent: L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R lowenent: L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R lowenent: L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R lowenent: North Bound Stop Sign Uncontrolled Uncontrolled anes: 0 0 0 0 10 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1.00 1.00				Level (Of Serv	vice (Computa	ation 1	Repor	 t			
<pre>ntersection #2 INDUSTRIAL AVE & GISH RD verage Delay (sec/veh): 2.2 Worst Case Level Of Service: C[15.3] treet Name: Industrial Ave Gish Rd Gish Rd Gish Rd industrial Ave Industrial Ave Gish Rd industrial Ave Industrial Ave Gish Rd industrial Ave I</pre>	20	000 H	CM Un	signal:	ized Me	ethod	(Futur	re Vol	ume A	lterna	tive)		
ntersection #2 INDUSTRIAL AVE & GISH RD verage Delay (scc/veh): 2.2 Worst Case Level of Service: C[15.3] treet Name: Industrial Ave Gish Rd pproach: North Bound South Bound East Bound West Bound Norment: L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R 	*****************	*****	* * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * * *	*****	* * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * * *
verage Delay (sec/veh): 2.2 Worst Case Level Of Service: C[15.3] ttreet Name: Industrial Ave Gish Rd upproach: North Bound South Bound East Bound West Bound lowement: L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R introl: Stop Sign Stop Sign Uncontrolled Include Include inghts: Include Include Include Include Include olume Module: >> Count Date: 5 Dec 2018 << 7:45-8:45 AM	Intersection	#2 I1	NDUSTI	RIAL AV	VE & G:	ISH RI	D 						
Worst Case Level Of Service: C[15.3] ttreet Name: Industrial Ave Gish Rd pproach: North Bound South Bound East Bound West Bound lowement: L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R	**********	*****	*****	******	******	* * * * * *	******	~ ~ ~ ~ ~	* * * * * '	******	*****	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	******
Treet Name: Industrial Ave Gish Rd upproach: North Bound South Bound East Bound West Bound lowement: L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R induction: Stop Sign Stop Sign Uncontrolled Include Include induction: 0 0 0 1 0 1 0	Average Dela	y (se	c/ven) :	Z • Z		Worst	Case .	Level	OI Se:	rvıce:	CLI	5.3]
Industrial Ave Out Bound South Bound East Bound West Bound lovement: L L T - R L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R L - T - T - R L - T - R L - T - R L - T - R Reference Include Incl		~ ~ ^ ^ ^			~ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ·		~ ^ ^ ^ ^ / ^ / / / / / /		~ ~ ~ ~ ~	C1		~ ~ ^ ^ ^	~ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ /
Description Wolff Bound Solid Bound West Bound West Bound West Bound	Street Name:	No	wth D	industi	riai Av	ve ith D.	aund		aat D	GISI	ii Ku	aat D	aund
Dotement: 1	Approach:	NO.	run Bo	ouna	501	JUN BO	Juna	т E.	ast B	ouna	T We	est Bo	ouna
Stop Sign Stop Sign Uncontrolled Uncontrolled idghts: Include Include Include Include idghts: Include Include Include Include idghts: Include Include Include Include idges Vol: 0 0 0 1 0	Movement:	· L	- T	- K	- L 	- T	- K	· ப	- T	- K	· ப	- T	- K
Stop of gin	Control.	 C+	+00 9	i an	۱۱ ا		i an	IIn.	contr		۱	contr	
Indiade Include Include Include Include ianes: 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 iolume Module: >> Count Date: 5 Dec 2018 << 7:45-8:45 AM	Diahta.	G	Tral.	rdo	6	Trali	rdu ido	011	Tnal	udo	UII	Tnal	JITEU
inites: 0 </td <td>KIGHUS:</td> <td>0 0</td> <td>TUCT</td> <td></td> <td>1 (</td> <td>TUCT</td> <td>0 1</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0 0</td> <td>1 0</td>	KIGHUS:	0 0	TUCT		1 (TUCT	0 1	0			0	0 0	1 0
<pre>'</pre>		1			· ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	. U		U .					
clase Vol: 0 0 38 0 65 81 331 0 0 460 53 irowth Adj: 1.00 <td>Volume Modula</td> <td></td> <td>Cour</td> <td>t Date</td> <td>• 5 De/</td> <td>~ 201</td> <td> R << 7.</td> <td>.45-8.</td> <td>45 AM</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Volume Modula		Cour	t Date	• 5 De/	~ 201	 R << 7.	.45-8.	45 AM				
Action 1.1.1 Action 1.00 1.00	Base Vol·	0	n courr	0 Date	- 5 DEC 38	 	65	81	331	Ο	Ω	460	53
Addie Adj: 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 11	Crowth Adi.	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00
Added Vol: 0	Initial Beer	т.00 Л	T.00	U. T. OO	700 T		1.00 25	1.00 Q1	1.00 7.21	U. T. O.O	00.T	160	1.00 50
Added vol: 0	Initial BSe:	0	0	0	30	0	00	01	221	0	0	400	0
asserbyvol: 0 <td< td=""><td>Added VOI:</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></td<>	Added VOI:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Milial Ful: 0 0 38 0 03 63 63 63 64 64 65	PasserByvol:	0	0	0	20	0	0 CE	01	221	0	0	10	5 D
Ster Adj: 1.00	Inicial Fuc:	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	460	1 00
HAF Adj: 1.00	User Adj:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
HF Volume: 0 0 0 38 0 65 81 331 0 0 460 53 teduct Vol: 0 <	PHF Adj:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Wednet Vol: 0 <td< td=""><td>PHF Volume:</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>38</td><td>0</td><td>65</td><td>81</td><td>331</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>460</td><td>53</td></td<>	PHF Volume:	0	0	0	38	0	65	81	331	0	0	460	53
InalVolume: 0 0 0 38 0 65 81 331 0 0 460 53 Initical Gap Module: Initical Gp:xxxx xxxx xxxx 6.4 xxxx 6.2 4.1 xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx x	Reduct Vol:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Critical Gap Module: Critical Gp:xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx CollowUpTim:xxxx xxxx xxxx CollowUpTim:xxxx xxxx xxxx Sapacity Module: Inflict Vol: xxxx xxxx xxxx Votent Cap:: xxxx xxxx 280 xxxx 290 xxx <td>FinalVolume:</td> <td>. 0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>38</td> <td>0</td> <td>65</td> <td>81</td> <td>331</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>460</td> <td>53</td>	FinalVolume:	. 0	0	0	38	0	65	81	331	0	0	460	53
Citical Gp Module: Citical Gp:xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx CilowUpTim:xxxx xxxx xxxx SollowUpTim:xxxx xxxx xxxx CilowUpTim:xxxx xxxx xxxx CilowUpTim:xxxx xxxx xxxx Sapacity Module: CiloxUpTim:xxxx xxxx xxxx Capacity Module: CiloxUpTim:xxxx xxxx xxxx Sapacity Module: CiloxUpTim:xxxx xxxx xxxx Sapacity Module: CiloxUpTim:xxxx xxxx xxxx Sapacity Module: CiloxUptic Cilox Sapacity Module: Sapacity Module: Cilox Sapacity Module: Sapacity Module: Sapacity Cap: Saxxx xxxx xxxx Cap: Say Module: Way95thQ: Xxxxx xxxx xxxx Cap:	Critical Car	Medu	10.										
CollowUpTim:xxxxx xxxx xxxxx xxxxx 3.5 xxxx 3.5 xxxx 3.5 xxxx 3.2 2.2 xxxx xxxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx	Critical Gap Critical Cri	Modu.	re:		C A		6.0	1 1					
<pre>oflowupplimi:xxxxx xxxx xxxxx xxxxx xxxxx xxxxx xxxxx</pre>	Criticai Gp:2	×××××	XXXX	XXXXX	0.4	XXXX	0.2	4.1	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
<pre>Capacity Module: Capacity Module: C</pre>	FOTTOMOBILIU:	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	3.5	XXXX	3.3	2.2	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
Apacity Module: Inflict Vol: xxxx xxxx xxxx 980 xxxx 487 513 xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx x	Canadity Made												
Antifiet vol: xxxx xxxx xxxx 980 xxxx 487 513 xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx Potent Cap.: xxxx xxxx xxxx 280 xxxx 585 1063 xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx Iove Cap.: xxxx xxx xxxx 262 xxxx 585 1063 xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx Iove Cap.: xxxx xxx xxx 262 xxxx 585 1063 xxxx xxxx xxxx xxx xxx xxxx Volume/Cap: xxxx xxx xxx 0.14 xxxx 0.11 0.08 xxxx xxxx xxx xxxx	Capacity Mode	uie:			000		107	E 1 0					
Jovent Cap.:xxxx xxxx xxxx260 xxxx585 1063 xxxx xxxxxxxx xxxx xxxx xxxxJove Cap.:xxxx xxxx xxxx262 xxxx585 1063 xxxx xxxxxxxx xxxx xxxx xxxxJolume/Cap:xxxx xxxx xxxx0.14 xxxx0.11 0.08 xxxx xxxxxxxx xxxx xxxxJovenen/Cap:xxxx xxxxxxxx0.14 xxxx0.11 0.08 xxxx xxxxxxxx xxxx xxxxJovenen/Cap:xxxx xxxxxxxx0.14 xxxx0.11 0.08 xxxx xxxxxxxx xxxx xxxxJovenen/Cap:xxxx xxxxxxxx0.14 xxxx0.11 0.08 xxxx xxxxxxxx xxxx xxxxJovenen/Cap:xxxx xxxxxxxx0.5 xxxx0.4 0.2 xxxx xxxxxxxx xxx xxxxJovene/Cap:xxxx xxxxxxxx11.98.7 xxxx xxxxxxxx xxxxJoontrol Del:xxxx xxxxxxxx21.0 xxxx11.98.7 xxxx xxxxxxxx xxxxJos by Move:******Jovement:LT - LTR - RTLT - LTR - RTLT - LTR - RTLT - LTR - RTLT - LTR - RTLhared Cap:xxxx xxxx xxxxxxxx xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxShared Queue:xxxxx xxxx xxxxxxxx xxxx8.7 xxxx xxxxxxxxxxxxxShared LOS:******Ibared LOS:******Ibared LOS:******Ibared LOS:******Ibared LOS:******	Chilict Vol:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	980	XXXX	487	1002	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
Nove Cap.:xxxx xxxx xxxx262 xxxx585 1003 xxxx xxxxxxxx xxxx xxxx xxxxVolume/Cap:xxxx xxxxxxxx0.14 xxxx0.110.08 xxxxxxxx xxxx xxxxxxxxNevel Of Service Module:	Potent Cap.:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	280	XXXX	202	1063	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
Ordine/Cap: xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx 0.14 xxxx 0.11 0.08 xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx	Move cap::				202		0 11	T 0 0 0					
<pre>devel Of Service Module: Way95thQ: xxxx xxxx xxxx 0.5 xxxx 0.4 0.2 xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx control Del:xxxx xxxx xxxx 21.0 xxxx 11.9 8.7 xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx MOS by Move: * * C * B A * * * * * * lovement: LT - LTR - RT LT - LTR - RT LT - LTR - RT LT - LTR - RT hared Cap.: xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx x</pre>	volume/cap:	XXXX	X		0.14	X	0.11	0.00	X		XXXX	X	
Way95thQ: xxxx xxxx xxxx 0.5 xxxx 0.4 0.2 xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx	Level Of Ser	u Vice M	Modul	. .	1 1								
Normal And	2Wav95+b0.	vice i	vvvv	~ •	0 5	~~~~	0 4	0 2	~~~~	~~~~	~~~~	~~~~	~~~~
AND DEFINITION AND ANALY	Control Dol.	~~~~~	AAAA VVVV	AAAAA VVVVV	21 0	~~~~	11 9	9.2 8 7	AAAA VVVV	AAAAA VVVVV	AAAA VVVVV	AAAA VVVV	AAAAA VYVVV
Nove:LT<	LOS by Move:	*	*	*	21.0	*	TT.9 D	0.7	*	*	*	*	*
Bit Bit Kit Bit Bit Kit Bit Bit Kit Kit Kit Kit Kit Kit Kit Kit Kit K	Movement.	тт.	- יייד	_ pm	тт.	יי סידיד –	ם ייים _	T T T	- סידיד	_ pm	тт.	יי סידיד –	_ pm
Thated Cap AAAA AAAA AAAA AAAA AAAA AAAA XXXXX XXXX XXXX XXXX XXXX XXXX XXXX XXXX	Sharod Can	ТТ ТТ	71TT	VVVVV	. TT	ATT TTT	1/1	. тт тт	71TT		ТТ .	ATT ATT	1/1
Inarequired.xxxxx xxxxx xxxxx xxxxx xxxxx xxxxx xxxx	Shared Cap.:	XXX	XX					XXXX 0 2					
Thared LOS: * * * * * * A * * * * * * * * * * * *	Shareuyueue:	~~~~~						0.2					
pproachDel: xxxxxx 15.3 xxxxxx xxxxx pproachLOS: * C * * * ****************************	Shared TOC-	×××××	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	XXXXX +	* * ××××	XXXX +	XXXXX +	0./	XXXX	XXXXX +	XXXXX +	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
pproachLOS: * C * * ******************************	SUIALEU LUS:		^	^	^	15 0	^	A	^	^		^	^
<pre>pproachLos:</pre>	Approachuel:	X	XXXXX			10.3		X	XXXXX		X	XXXXX	
Note: Queue reported is the number of cars per lane.	ApproachLOS:	ا . بار بار بار بار	* ··· ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	- ۱۰- باد باد باد باد با	- ۱۰- باد باد باد باد با	гттт С	ا ا- علت علت علت علت عل	ا- باب باب باب باب ب	*	ا. باد باد باد باد با	ا. باد باد باد باد با	* · ·· · · · ·	ا ۱- باد باد باد باد با
NOTE: Queue reported is the number of cars per lane.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	^ * * * * *	· · · · ·	~ ~ ~ * * * * *	~ * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	~ * * * * *	^ * * * * * * *		^ ~ ~ * * *	^ ~ ~ * * * * *	^ ~ ~ * * * * *	****	^ ~ ~ * * * * * *
***************************************	Note: Queue : *****	report *****	ted i: *****	s tne 1 ******	number ******	OI Ca	ars pe: ******	: ⊥ane	* * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * •	* * * * * * * 1

Existing PM Thu Jul 22, 2021 12:01:52 Page 1-1 -----

	Scenario Report
Scenario:	Existing PM
Command:	Existing PM
Volume:	Existing PM
Geometry:	Existing PM
Impact Fee:	Default Impact Fee
Trip Generation:	Default Trip Generation
Trip Distribution:	Default Trip Distribution
Paths:	Default Path
Routes:	Default Route
Configuration:	Existing PM

Existing PM			Tł	nu Jul	22, 2	2021 12	2:01:52	2			Page	2-1
			Level ()f Serv	vice (Computa	ation H	Report	 t			
20	ОО НО	CM Uns	signali	lzed Me	ethod	(Futu	re Volu	ume A	lternat	tive)		
* * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * * *	*****	* * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	******
Intersection	#1 I·	-880	& GISH	RD								
***********	*****	*****	* * * * * * * *	******	* * * * * *	******	*****	*****	*****	******	*****	******
Average Delag	y (se	c/veh): 5	03.3	Illll	Worst	Case 1	Level	Of Se:	rvice:	F[13()1.8]
**************************************	*****	* * * * * *	******		* * * * * *	*****	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	******	* * * * * * * *	*****	* * * * * * *
Approach:	No	rth D	und	500	ith D	aund	F -	act B	G.	LSH	at P	aund
Approach: Movement:	T .	сси во - т		501 T	исп во - т		т.	ist do - m		T -	- T	
							ىر ۱			ىر ۱۱	⊥ 	
Control:	Una	contro	olled	Una	contro	olled	St	top S	ian	St	.op S:	ian
Rights:		Inclu	ude		Inclu	ude	-	Incl	ude	-	Incli	ude
Lanes:	0 (0 0	0 0	0 3	1 0	0 1	0 () 1	0 1	1 () 0	0 1
Volume Module	e: >>	Count	t Date:	: 4 Dec	2018	8 << 4	:45-5:4	45				
Base Vol:	0	0	0	192	82	0	0	297	293	414	0	114
Growth Adj:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Initial Bse:	0	0	0	192	82	0	0	297	293	414	0	114
Added Vol:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PasserByVol:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Initial Fut:	0	0	0	192	82	0	0	297	293	414	0	114
User Adj:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PHF Adj:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PHF Volume:	0	0	0	192	82	0	0	297	293	414	0	114
Reduct Vol:	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Finalvolume:	, 0	0	0	192	82	0	0	297	293	414	0	114
Critical Can	Modu	10.										
Critical Gap	xxxxx	xxxx	xxxxx	4 1	xxxx	xxxxx	xxxxx	65	62	71	xxxx	62
FollowUpTim:	*****	XXXX	*****	2.2	XXXX	*****	*****	4.0	3.3	3.5	XXXX	3.3
Capacity Modu	le:											
Cnflict Vol:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	0	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	466	82	761	XXXX	0
Potent Cap.:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	1636	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	497	983	325	XXXX	1091
Move Cap.:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	1636	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	432	983	92	XXXX	1091
Volume/Cap:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX	0.12	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX	0.69	0.30	4.48	XXXX	0.10
Level Of Serv	vice 1	Module	e:									
2Way95thQ:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	0.4	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	5.1	1.3	43.7	XXXX	0.3
Control Del:	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	7.5	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	29.8	10.2	1658	XXXX	8.7
LOS by Move:	* * **	*	×	A	*	*	*	D	В	۲ س	*	A
Movement:	ГЛ	- LTR	– RT	LT	- LTR	– RT	LT -	- LTR	– RT	LT -	- L'I'R	– RT
shared Cap.:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
Shareuyueue:	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	0.4 7 5	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	AAAXX VVVVV
Shared LOG.	*****	****	*****	/.J	****	*****	*****	****	* *	*****	****	*****
ApproachDel.	y.	×××××		A V	xxxxy			20 1		1 '	301 8	
ApproachLOS.	252	*		~~~~	*			2001 C		1.	0.100 F	
***********	* * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * * *	*****	* * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	*****	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	- * * * * * *	* * * * * * *
Note: Queue i	report	ted is	s the r	number	of ca	ars pei	r lane	•				
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	* * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * * *	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	* * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * •	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * * *

Existing PM			Tł	nu Jul	22, 2	2021 12	2:01:5	2			Page	3-1
2 ***********	 000 но	CM Un:	Level (signal)f Serv Lzed Me	vice (ethod	Computa (Futur	ation 1 ce Volu	Report ume A	t lternat		*****	*****
Intersection	#2 I1	NDUST	RIAL AV	/E & G	ISH RI	C						
**************************************	***** y (sea	***** c/veh	* * * * * * * * *) : * * * * * * * *	*******	*****	******* Worst	Case 1	***** Level	****** Of Se: *****	****** rvice:	***** C[1'	******* 7.8] *******
Street Name.			Industi		70				Ciel	n Rd		
Approach: Movement:	No: L ·	rth Bo - T	ound - R	Sou L -	uth Bo - T	ound - R	Ea L ·	ast Bo - T	ound - R	L ·	est B - T	ound - R
Control:	St	top S:	ign 1de	St	top S:	ign ude	Une	contro	olled	Un	contro	olled
Lanes:	0 (0 0	0 0	1 (0 0	0 1	0	1 0	0 0	0	0 0	1 0
Base Vol.	e: >> ^	coun o	L DALE: N	. ч рес хл	0102 C	ο << ο: αΛ	ינס-טט. בפ	JU ДЛО	0	0	427	3 5
Growth Adi.	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00
Initial Rep.	1.00	1.00	00.1	00.1 80	1.00 0	1.00 94	±.00 53	440	1.00	1.00	437	1.00 35
Added Vol·	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.11	0	0	- J /	0
PasserByVol.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Initial Fut:	0	0	0	80	0	94	53	440	0	0	437	35
User Adi:	1 00	1 0 0	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00
PHF Adi:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PHF Volume:	0	0	0	80	0	94	53	440	0	0	437	35
Reduct Vol:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FinalVolume:	0	0	0	80	0	94	53	440	0	0	437	35
Critical Gan	Modu ¹	 1										
Critical Gp:	xxxxx	xxxx	*****	6.4	xxxx	6.2	4.1	xxxx	xxxxx	*****	xxxx	xxxxx
FollowUpTim:	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	3.5	XXXX	3.3	2.2	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
Capacity Mod												
Capacity Mou	uie.	~~~~	~~~~~	1001	~~~~	155	172	~~~~	~~~~~	~~~~	~~~~	~~~~~
Potent Can :	XXXX	~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	272	~~~~~	400 610	1100	~~~~~	~~~~~	 	XXXX XXXX	~~~~~
Move Can	XXXX	****	*****	261	XXXX	610	1100	XXXX	XXXXXX	XXXX	****	XXXXXX
Volume/Cap:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX	0.31	XXXX	0.15	0.05	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX
Level Of Ser	vice I	Module	∋:	1 0		0 5	0 0					
Zway95tnQ:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	1.3	XXXX	10.5	0.2	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
CONTROL DEL:	XXXXX *	XXXX +	XXXXX	24./	XXXX	12.U T	ø.4	XXXX	XXXXX +	XXXXX	XXXX +	XXXXX
TOS DA MOAGE:	т тт	_ T TT T	_ pm	T TTT	- T TTP	_ pm	A T TTT	- T TTT	- Dm	т тт	^	
Charad Car		лтк 	- r/T	·······	ш1К	- 1/1	. тт	лтк 	- 1/1	· ⊥ ⊥	лтк 	- KI
Shared Cap.:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX		XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
ShareuQueue:	NNNXX	XXXX	XXXXX VVVVV	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX VVVVV	0.2 g /	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX VVVVV	XXXX	XXXXX VVVVV
Shared LOG.	*****	****	*****	*****	****	*****	0.4 N	****	* *	* *	****	* *
AnnroachDel.		~~~~~			17 ⁹		A	~~~~~			~~~~~	
ApproachIOC:	X	*****			± / • 0		X	*****		х.	*****	
**************************************	* * * * * *	*****	* * * * * * *	*****	ل * * * * *	* * * * * * *	*****	*****	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * * * *
Note: Queue :	report	ted i:	s the r ******	number	of ca	ars pei ******	1ane	•	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	*****	*****

Existing+P AM Thu Jul 22, 2021 12:02:18 Page 1-1

	Scenario Report
Scenario:	Existing+P AM
Command:	Existing AM
Volume:	Existing+P AM
Geometry:	Existing AM
Impact Fee:	Default Impact Fee
Trip Generation:	Default Trip Generation
Trip Distribution:	Default Trip Distribution
Paths:	Default Path
Routes:	Default Route
Configuration:	Existing PM

Existing+P A	M		T]	hu Jul	22, 2	2021 12	2:02:1	8			Page	2-1
			Level (Of Serv	vice (Computa	ation 1	Repor	 t			
2	2000 H	CM Un	signal	ized Me	ethod	(Futu	re Vol	ume A	lterna	tive)		
* * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * * *
Intersection	n #1 I	-880	& GISH	RD								
* * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	*****	* * * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * * *
Average Dela *******	ıy (se	c/veh *****): OVE	RFLOW ******	* * * * * *	Worst *****	Case 1	Level *****	Of Se:	rvice:	F[xxx	XXX] ******
Street Name:			I-3	880					G	ISH		
Approach:	No	rth B	ound	Sou	ith Bo	ound	Εa	ast B	ound	We	est Bo	ound
Movement:	L	- т	– R	L -	- Т	- R	L ·	- т	– R	L ·	- T	- R
Control:	Un	contr	olled	Uno	contro	olled	S	top S	ign	St	top S:	ign
Rights:		Incl	ude		Incl	ude		Incl	ude		Chanı	nel
Lanes:	0	0 0	0 0	0 1	1 0	0 1	0 0	0 1	0 1	. 1 (0 C	0 1
	·											
vo⊥ume Modul	.e:	~	~	222	1.0.4	~	~	210	1 4 5	000	~	100
Base Vol:	1 00	1 00	1 00	332	1 00	1 00	1 00	319	145	288	1 00	1 00
Growth Adj:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Initial Bse:	0	0	0	332	164	0	0	319	145	288	0	198
Aadea Vol:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PasserByvol:	0	0	0	222	164	0	0	210	145	200	0	100
Inicial Ful:	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	288	1 00	1 00
User Adj:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PHF Adj:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00 1.00	164	1.00	1.00	210	145	1.00	1.00	100
PHF VOLUME:	0	0	0	332	164	0	0	319	145	288	0	198
FinalVolume.	0	0	0	332	164	0	0	319	145	288	0	198
Critical Gar	Modu	le:		1 1			1 1			1 1		Ĭ
Critical Gp:	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	4.1	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	6.5	6.2	7.1	XXXX	6.2
FollowUpTim:	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	2.2	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	4.0	3.3	3.5	XXXX	3.3
Capacity Mod	lule:											
Cnflict Vol:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	0	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	828	164	1060	XXXX	0
Potent Cap.:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	1636	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	309	886	204	XXXX	1091
Move Cap.:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	1636	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	232	886	0	XXXX	1091
Volume/Cap:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX	0.20	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX	1.38	0.16	XXXX	XXXX	0.18
Level Of Ser	vice 1	Modul	e:						-			e =
2Way95thQ:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	0.8	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	17.7	0.6	XXXX	XXXX	0.7
Control Del:	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	7.8	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	234	9.9	XXXXX	XXXX	9.0
LUS by Move:	*	*	*	A	*	*	*	F	A	*	*	A
Movement:	ĹT ·	– LTR	- RT	LT -	- LTR	- RT	· T1	- LTR	- RT	· T1	- LTR	– RT
Shared Cap.:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
ShareaQueue:	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	0.8	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
shared toga	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	/.8	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	* XXXX	XXXXX +	XXXXX +	XXXX	XXXXX *
ApproachDol:			^	A		^	Â.	161 2	^	^	⊥T∽f	~
Abbroachinel:	X	XXXXX +		XX	××××× +			104.3			1111	
**************************************	*****	*****	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	*****	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	ים *****	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	'۲ • * * * *	******
Note: Oueue	renor	ted i	s the r	number	ofc	ars not	r lano					
**************************************	*****	*****	******	******	*****	******	******	• * * * * * *	*****	* * * * * * *	*****	******

Existing+P AN	4		T1	nu Jul	22, 2	2021 12	2:02:1	8			Page	3-1
			Level (Of Serv	vice (Computa	ation 1	Repor				
20	оо но	CM Un	signal:	ized Me	ethod	(Futu)	re Volı	ume A	lterna	tive)		
**************************************	#2 II	* * * * * NDUST: * * * * *	****** RIAL A'	/E & GI	***** ISH RI *****	* * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * * * *
Average Delay	y (se	c/veh): ******	2.4	* * * * * *	Worst	Case :	Level	Of Se:	rvice:	C[1	5.6] ******
Street Name:			Industi	rial Av	7e				Gisl	h Rd		
Approach:	No	rth B	ound	Soi	ith Bo	ound	Εa	ast B	ound	We	est B	ound
Movement:	L ·	- т	– R	L ·	- т	– R	L ·	- т	– R	L ·	- т	- R
Control:	St	top S	ign	St	top S	ign	Un	contr	olled	Un	contro	olled
Rights:		Incl	ude		Incl	ıde		Incl	ude		Incl	ude
Lanes:	0 (0 0	0 0	1 (0 C	0 1	0	1 0	0 0	0	0 0	1 0
Volume Module	e:			_			_					_
Base Vol:	0	0	0	39	0	70	96	331	0	0	460	56
Growth Adj:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Initial Bse:	0	0	0	39	0	70	96	331	0	0	460	56
Added Vol:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PasserByVol:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Initial Fut:	0	0	0	39	0	/0	96	331	0	0	460	56
User Adj:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PHF Adj:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PHF Volume:	0	0	0	39	0	/0	96	331	0	0	460	56
Reduct Vol:	0	0	0	20	0	70	0	221	0	0	10	0
Fillaivoiume:	0	0	0	ود ۱	0	/0	90 	331	0	0	400	
Critical Gan	Modui	10.										
Critical Gap	xxxxx	xxxx xxxx	xxxxx	64	xxxx	62	4 1	xxxx	xxxxx	xxxxx	xxxx	xxxxx
FollowUpTim:	*****	****	*****	3.5	****	3.3	2.2	****	*****	*****	****	*****
Capacity Modu	le:											
Cnflict Vol:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	1011	XXXX	488	516	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
Potent Cap.:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	268	XXXX	584	1060	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
Move Cap.:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	248	XXXX	584	1060	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
Volume/Cap:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX	0.16	XXXX	0.12	0.09	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX
Level Of Serv	vice N	Modul	e:									
2Way95thQ:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	0.5	XXXX	0.4	0.3	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
Control Del:>	xxxxx	XXXX	XXXXX	22.2	XXXX	12.0	8.7	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
LOS by Move:	*	*	*	С	*	В	A	*	*	*	*	*
Movement:	LT ·	- LTR	- RT	LT ·	- LTR	- RT	LT ·	- LTR	- RT	LT ·	- LTR	- RT
Shared Cap.:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
SharedQueue:	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	0.3	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
Shrd ConDel:>	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	8.7	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
Shared LOS:	*	*	*	*	*	*	A	*	*	*	*	*
ApproachDel:	X	XXXXX			15.6		X	XXXXX		X	XXXXX	
ApproachLOS:		*			С			*			*	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * * * *
Note: Queue 1 ************	report	ted i *****	s the 1 ******	number ******	of ca *****	ars pe: ******	r lane ******	•	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * * * *

Existing+P PM Thu Jul 22, 2021 12:02:31 Page 1-1

Scenario:	Scenario Report Existing+P PM
Command:	Existing PM
Volume:	Existing+P PM
Geometry:	Existing PM
Impact Fee:	Default Impact Fee
Trip Generation:	Default Trip Generation
Trip Distribution:	Default Trip Distribution
Paths:	Default Path
Routes:	Default Route
Configuration:	Existing PM

Existing+P B	РМ 		T}	nu Jul	22, 2	2021 12	2:02:3	1			Page	2-1
2 *******	2000 H		Level (signal: ******	Df Serv ized Me	 vice (ethod	Computa (Futu: *****	ation H re Volu	Report ume A	 t lterna ******	tive)	****	*****
Intersectior	n #1 I *****	-880	& GISH	RD * * * * * * * *	*****	*****	* * * * * * *	* * * * * •	* * * * * * *	******	*****	* * * * * * *
Average Dela *******	ay (se *****	c/veh *****):	541.5	*****	Worst *****	Case]	Level *****	Of Se: *****	rvice: *****	F[138	85.4] ******
Street Name:			I-8	380					G	ISH		
Approach: Movement:	No L	rth B - T	ound - R	Sou L -	uth B0 - T	ound - R	Ea L -	ast Bo - T	ound - R	We L -	est Bo - T	ound - R
Control: Rights:	Un	contr Incl	olled ude	Unc	contro	olled 1de	St	top S: Incli	ign ude	St	top S: Incli	ign 1de
Lanes:	0	0 0	0 0	0 1	L O	0 1	0 () 1	0 1	1 (0 0	0 1
Volume Modul	.e:											
Base Vol: Growth Adj:	0	0 1.00	0 1.00	193 1.00	82 1.00	0 1.00	0 1.00	297 1.00	299 1.00	426 1.00	0 1.00	117 1.00 117
Added Vol: PasserByVol:	0	0	0	193 0 0	0	0	0	297 0	299 0	420 0 0	0	0
Initial Fut:	0	0	0	193	82	0	0	297	299	426	0	117
User Adj: PHF Adj:	1.00	1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00
PHF Volume:	0	0	0	193	82	0	0	297	299	426	0	117
Reduct Vol: FinalVolume:	0	0 0	0 0	0 193	0 82	0 0	0 0	0 297	0 299	0 426	0 0	0 117
 Critical Gap	Modu											
Critical Gp: FollowUpTim:	XXXXX XXXXX	XXXX XXXX	XXXXX XXXXX	4.1 2.2	xxxx xxxx	XXXXX XXXXX	XXXXX XXXXX	6.5 4.0	6.2 3.3	7.1 3.5	XXXX XXXX	6.2 3.3
Capacity Mod	lule:											
Cnflict Vol:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	0	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	468	82	766	XXXX	0
Potent Cap.:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	1636	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	496	983	322	XXXX	1091
Move Cap.: Volume/Cap:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX XXXX	0.12	XXXX XXXX	XXXXX XXXX	XXXX	430	983 0.30	4.71	XXXX XXXX	0.11
Level Of Ser	vice i	Modul	e:									
2Way95thQ:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	0.4	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	5.1	1.3	45.5	XXXX	0.4
Control Del: LOS by Move:	XXXXX *	* xxxx	XXXXX *	7.5 A	XXXX *	XXXXX *	XXXXX *	30.0 D	10.3 В	1763 F	XXXX *	8.7 A
Movement:	LT	- LTR	- RT	LT -	- LTR	- RT	LT ·	- LTR	- RT	LT ·	- LTR	- RT
Shared Cap.:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
Sharequeue:	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	0.4 7 5	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
Shared LOS:	*****	*	*****	,.J A	*	*****	*****	*	*****	*****	****	*
ApproachDel: ApproachLOS:	X	xxxxx *		XX	xxxxx *			20.1 C		13	385.4 F	
**************************************	***** repor	***** ted i	****** s the 1	****** number	***** of ca	****** ars pei	****** r lane	•	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * * *
* * * * * * * * * * * *	*****	*****	*****	* * * * * * *	*****	*****	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * *	*****	* * * * * * *

Existing+P 1	PM		T]	nu Jul	22,	2021 12	2:02:3	1			Page	3-1
			Level	Of Serv	vice (Computa	ation 1	Repor				
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	2000 H	CM Un	signal:	ized Me	ethod	(Futur)	re Voli	ume A.	lterna	tive)	+++++	++++++
Intersection	n #2 I	NDUST	RIAL A	VE & GI	ISH RI	D						
Average Dela *****	ay (se *****	c/veh): ******	3.4 *****	* * * * * *	Worst	Case 1	Level	Of Se:	rvice: *****	C[1	8.1] ******
Street Name	:		Indust	rial Av	ve				Gisl	h Rd		
Approach:	No	rth B	ound	Soi	ith Bo	ound	Εa	ast B	ound	We	est Bo	ound
Movement:	L	- T	- R	L -	- T	- R	L ·	- T	- R	L ·	- Т	- R
Control:	S	top S	ign	St	top Si	ign	Un	contr	olled	Un	contro	olled
Rights:		Incl	ude		Incl	ıde		Incl	ude		Incl	ude
Lanes:	0	0 0	0 0	1 (0 C	0 1	0	1 0	0 0	0 (0 C	1 0
Volume Modul	le:			1 1						1 1		
Base Vol:	0	0	0	83	0	109	60	440	0	0	437	36
Growth Adj:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Initial Bse	: 0	0	0	83	0	109	60	440	0	0	437	36
Added Vol:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PasserByVol	: 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Initial Fut	: 0	0	0	83	0	109	60	440	0	0	437	36
User Adj:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PHF Adj:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PHF Volume:	0	0	0	83	0	109	60	440	0	0	437	36
Reduct Vol:	• 0	0	0	0	0	109	0	110	0	0	0	0 36
Critical Gap	p Modu	le:										
Critical Gp	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	6.4	XXXX	6.2	4.1	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
FollowUpTim	:xxxxx	XXXX	XXXXX	3.5	XXXX	3.3	2.2	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
Capacity Mod	dule:											
Cnflict Vol	: xxxx	XXXX	XXXXX	1015	XXXX	455	473	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
Potent Cap.	: xxxx	XXXX	XXXXX	266	XXXX	609	1099	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
Move Cap.:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	255	XXXX	609	1099	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
Volume/Cap:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX	0.33	XXXX	0.18	0.05	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX
Level Of Sei	rvice	Modul	e:									
2Way95thQ:	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	1.4	XXXX	0.6	0.2	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
Control Del	xxxxx	XXXX	XXXXX	25.8	XXXX	12.2	8.5	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
LOS by Move	: *	*	*	D	*	В	A	*	*	*	*	*
Movement:	LT	- LTR	- RT	LT -	- LTR	- RT	LT ·	- LTR	- RT	LT ·	- LTR	- RT
Shared Cap.	: xxxx	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
SharedQueue	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	0.2	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
Shrd ConDel	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX	8.5	XXXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXXXX
Shared LOS:	*	*	*	*	*	*	A	*	*	*	*	*
ApproachDel	: x	XXXXX			18.1		X	XXXXX		X	XXXXX	
ApproachLOS	:	*			С			*			*	
* * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * *	*****	*****	* * * * * * *	*****	* * * * * * *	*****	* * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * * * *
Note: Queue ***********	repor *****	ted i	s the 1 ******	number *****	of ca *****	ars pei ******	1 lane	•	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	*****

Appendix D

Signal Warrants

1535	Industrial	Avenue
------	------------	--------

TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANTS WORKSHEET

			Analyst:	DC	date: 7/2	20/21				
Major Street:	I-880 Off Ramp /		Critical Approach	Speed*	(mph)	25				
Minor Street:	Gish Road		Critical Approach	Speed*	(mph)	25				
				*/	Posted Sp	eed.				
Critical In built	speed of major street traffic > 50 mph (64 km/h up area of isolated community of < 10,000 pop	ı)	or Rural (R)							
			Urban (U)							
AM PEAK PERIOD										

Warrant 3 - Peak Hour

PART A

(All parts 1, 2, and 3 below must be satisfied)

Minor Street Approach Direction w/ Highest Delay Highest Minor Street Average Delay (sec/veh)WBWBMinor Street Approach Direction w/ Highest Delay Highest Minor Street Average Delay (sec/veh)300.0300.00Corresponding Minor Street Approach Volume (veh/hr)48148600Corresponding Minor Street Total Delay (veh-hrs)40.140.5001. The total delay experienced for traffic on one minor street approach controlled by a STOP sign equals or exceeds 4 vehicle-hours for a 1- lane approach and 5 vehicle-hours for a 2-lane approach; ANDYesYesYes2. The volume on the same minor street approach equals or exceeds 100 vph for 1 moving lane of traffic or 150 vph for 2 moving lanes; ANDYesYesYesYes3. The total entering volume serviced during the hour equals or exceeds 800 vph for intersections with 4 or more approaches or 650 vph for intersections with 3 approaches.YesYesYes			AM PEAK PERIOD								
Minor Street Approach Direction w/ Highest Delay WB WB Image: Minor Street Approach Olige (sec/veh) Highest Minor Street Average Delay (sec/veh) 300.0 300.0 300.0 Image: Minor Street Approach Volume (veh/hr) Corresponding Minor Street Approach Volume (veh/hr) 481 486 486 Image: Minor Street Total Delay (veh-hrs) Minor Street Total Delay (veh-hrs) 40.1 40.5 Image: Minor Street Total Delay (veh-hrs) 40.1 1. The total delay experienced for traffic on one minor street approach controlled by a STOP sign equals or exceeds 4 vehicle-hours for a 1-lane approach and 5 vehicle-hours for a 2-lane approach; AND Yes Yes Yes 2. The volume on the same minor street approach equals or exceeds 100 vph for 1 moving lane of traffic or 150 vph for 2 moving lanes; AND Yes Yes Yes 3. The total entering volume serviced during the hour equals or exceeds 800 vph for intersections with 4 or more approaches or 650 vph for intersections with 3 approaches. Yes Yes Yes			Existing	Existing+P							
Highest Minor Street Average Delay (sec/veh) 300.0 300.0 300.0 Corresponding Minor Street Approach Volume (veh/hr) 481 486 486 Minor Street Total Delay (veh-hrs) 40.1 40.5 40.1 1. The total delay experienced for traffic on one minor street approach controlled by a STOP sign equals or exceeds 4 vehicle-hours for a 1-lane approach and 5 vehicle-hours for a 2-lane approach; <u>AND</u> Yes Yes 2. The volume on the same minor street approach equals or exceeds 100 vph for 1 moving lane of traffic or 150 vph for 2 moving lanes; <u>AND</u> Yes Yes Yes 3. The total entering volume serviced during the hour equals or exceeds 800 vph for intersections with 4 or more approaches or 650 vph for intersections with 3 approaches. Yes Yes Yes		Minor Street Approach Direction w/ Highest Delay	WB	WB							
Corresponding Minor Street Approach Volume (veh/hr) 481 486 486 Minor Street Total Delay (veh-hrs) 40.1 40.5 40.5 1. The total delay experienced for traffic on one minor street approach controlled by a STOP sign equals or exceeds 4 vehicle-hours for a 1-lane approach and 5 vehicle-hours for a 2-lane approach; AND Yes Yes Yes 2. The volume on the same minor street approach equals or exceeds 100 vph for 1 moving lane of traffic or 150 vph for 2 moving lanes; AND Yes Yes Yes 3. The total entering volume serviced during the hour equals or exceeds 800 vph for intersections with 4 or more approaches or 650 vph for intersections with 3 approaches. Yes Yes Yes		Highest Minor Street Average Delay (sec/veh)	300.0	300.0							
Minor Street Total Delay (veh-hrs) 40.1 40.5 1. The total delay experienced for traffic on one minor street approach controlled by a STOP sign equals or exceeds 4 vehicle-hours for a 1-lane approach and 5 vehicle-hours for a 2-lane approach; <u>AND</u> Yes Yes 2. The volume on the same minor street approach equals or exceeds 100 vph for 1 moving lane of traffic or 150 vph for 2 moving lanes; <u>AND</u> Yes Yes Yes 3. The total entering volume serviced during the hour equals or exceeds 800 vph for intersections with 4 or more approaches or 650 vph for intersections with 3 approaches. Yes Yes Yes		Corresponding Minor Street Approach Volume (veh/hr)	481	486							
1. The total delay experienced for traffic on one minor street approach controlled by a STOP sign equals or exceeds 4 vehicle-hours for a 1-lane approach and 5 vehicle-hours for a 2-lane approach; <u>AND</u> Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Image: Stress of the s		Minor Street Total Delay (veh-hrs)	40.1	40.5							
2. The volume on the same minor street approach equals or exceeds 100 vph for 1 moving lane of traffic or 150 vph for 2 moving lanes; <u>AND</u> Yes Yes 3. The total entering volume serviced during the hour equals or exceeds 800 vph for intersections with 4 or more approaches or 650 vph for intersections with 3 approaches. Yes Yes	 The total delay experienced for traffic on one minor street approach controlled by a STOP sign equals or exceeds 4 vehicle-hours for a 1- lane approach and 5 vehicle-hours for a 2-lane approach; <u>AND</u> 			Yes							
3. The total entering volume serviced during the hour equals or exceeds 800 vph for intersections with 4 or more approaches or 650 vph for intersections with 3 approaches.	 The volume on the same minor street approach equals or exceeds 100 vph for 1 moving lane of traffic or 150 vph for 2 moving lanes; <u>AND</u> 		Yes	Yes							
	3. The total entering volume serviced during the hour equals or exceeds 800 vph for intersections with 4 or more approaches or 650 vph for intersections with 3 approaches.		Yes	Yes							
Signal Warranted based on Part A? Yes Yes		Signal Warranted based on Part A?	Yes	Yes							

PART B

				AM PEAK PERIOD										
		Approach Lanes 2 or One More		Existing	Existing+P									
Major Street - Both Approaches	I-880 Off Ramp /		X	493	496									
Minor Street - Highest Approach	Gish Road		X	481	486									
Signal Warranted based on Part B?			No	No										

The Warrant is satisfied if the plotted point for vehicles per hour on the major street (both approaches) and the corresponding per hour higher vehicle volume minor street approach (one direction only) for one hour (any four consecutive 15-minute periods) fall above the applicable curves in California MUTCD Figure 4C-3 or 4C-4.

Source: California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways (FHWA's MUTCD 2009 Edition, as amended for use in California). Notes:

applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with one lane.

as amended for use in California).

Warrant 3, Part B - Peak-Hour Vehicular Volume

			AM PEAK PERIOD								
	Appr Lai One	roach nes 2 or More	Existing	Existing+P							
Major Street - Both Approaches I-880 Off Ramp /		x	493	496							
Minor Street - Highest Approach Gish Road		x	481	486							
Signal Warranted Based on Part B - Peak-Hour Volumes?				No							

* Note: 150 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 100 vph

*Warrant is satisfied if plotted points fall above the appropriate curve in graph above.

7/21/2021



1535 Industrial Avenue I-880 & Gish Road

1535 Industrial Avenue

TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANTS WORKSHEET

		Analyst: DC date: 7/20/21	
Major Street:	I-880 Off Ramp /	Critical Approach Speed* (mph) 25	
Minor Street:	Gish Road	Critical Approach Speed* (mph) 25	
		*Posted Speed.	
Critical In built	speed of major street traffic > 50 mph (64 km/h) up area of isolated community of < 10,000 population	$ \stackrel{\square}{\frown} $ Rural (R)	
		✓ Urban (U)	
	PM PEAK HOUR		

Warrant 3 - Peak Hour

PART A

(All parts 1, 2, and 3 below must be satisfied)

		PM PEAK HOUR								
		Existing	Existing+P							
	Minor Street Approach Direction w/ Highest Delay	EB	EB							
	Highest Minor Street Average Delay (sec/veh)	1250.3	1330.2							
	Corresponding Minor Street Approach Volume (veh/hr)	590	596							
	Minor Street Total Delay (veh-hrs)	204.9	220.2							
1. The tota controlle lane app	al delay experienced for traffic on one minor street approach ed by a STOP sign equals or exceeds 4 vehicle-hours for a 1- broach and 5 vehicle-hours for a 2-lane approach; <u>AND</u>	Ves	Ves							
2. The volu 100 vph <u>AND</u>	ume on the same minor street approach equals or exceeds for 1 moving lane of traffic or 150 vph for 2 moving lanes;	Yes	Yes							
 The tota exceeds vph for 	al entering volume serviced during the hour equals or 8 800 vph for intersections with 4 or more approaches or 650 Intersections with 3 approaches.	Yes	Yes							
	Signal Warranted based on Part A?	Yes	Yes							

PART B

				PM PEAK HOUR									
		Approach Lanes 2 or One More		Existing	Existing+P								
Major Street - Both Approaches	I-880 Off Ramp /		X	274	275								
Minor Street - Highest Approach	Gish Road		X	590	596								
Signal Warranted based on Part B?				No	No								

The Warrant is satisfied if the plotted point for vehicles per hour on the major street (both approaches) and the corresponding per hour higher vehicle volume minor street approach (one direction only) for one hour (any four consecutive 15-minute periods) fall above the applicable curves in California MUTCD Figure 4C-3 or 4C-4.

Source: California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways (FHWA's MUTCD 2009 Edition, as amended for use in California). Notes:
Signal Warranted Based on Part B - Peak-Hour Volumes? No No *Warrant is satisfied if plotted points fall above the appropriate curve in graph above.

Source: Figure 4C-3 California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways (FHWA's MUTCD 2009 Edition, as amended for use in California).

* Note: 150 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with one lane.

Warrant 3, Part B - Peak-Hour Vehicular Volume

		Approach Lanes 2 or One More		Existing	Existing+P			
Major Street - Both Approaches	I-880 Off Ramp /	_	x	274	275			
Minor Street - Highest Approach	Gish Road		x	590	596			
Signal Warranted Based on Part B - Peak-Hour Volumes?					No			

PM PEAK HOUR



7/21/2021

PM PEAK HOUR

1535	Industrial	Avenue
------	------------	--------

TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANTS WORKSHEET

	AM PEAK PERIOD	
		✓ Urban (U)
In built	up area of isolated community of < 10,000 population	
Critical	speed of major street traffic > 50 mph (64 km/h)	or Fural (R)
		*Posted Speed.
Minor Street:	Industrial Avenue/	Critical Approach Speed* (mph)25
Major Street:	Gish Road	Critical Approach Speed* (mph)25
		Analyst: DC date: 7/20/21

Warrant 3 - Peak Hour

PART A

(All parts 1, 2, and 3 below must be satisfied)

		AM PEAK PERIOD									
		Existing	Existing+P								
	Minor Street Approach Direction w/ Highest Delay	SB	SB								
	Highest Minor Street Average Delay (sec/veh)										
	Corresponding Minor Street Approach Volume (veh/hr)	103	109								
	Minor Street Total Delay (veh-hrs)	0.4	0.5								
1. The total delay experienced for traffic on one minor street approach controlled by a STOP sign equals or exceeds 4 vehicle-hours for a 1-lane approach and 5 vehicle-hours for a 2-lane approach; <u>AND</u>		No	No								
 The volume on the same minor street approach equals or exceeds 100 vph for 1 moving lane of traffic or 150 vph for 2 moving lanes; <u>AND</u> 			Yes								
3. The total entering volume serviced during the hour equals or exceeds 800 vph for intersections with 4 or more approaches or 650 vph for intersections with 3 approaches.			Yes								
	Signal Warranted based on Part A?	No	No								

PART B

				AM PEAK PERIOD									
		Appr Lai One	roach nes 2 or More	Existing	Existing+P								
Major Street - Both Approaches	Gish Road	X		925	943								
Minor Street - Highest Approach	Industrial Avenue/		X	103	109								
Signal Warranted based on Part B?					No								

The Warrant is satisfied if the plotted point for vehicles per hour on the major street (both approaches) and the corresponding per hour higher vehicle volume minor street approach (one direction only) for one hour (any four consecutive 15-minute periods) fall above the applicable curves in California MUTCD Figure 4C-3 or 4C-4.

Source: California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways (FHWA's MUTCD 2009 Edition, as amended for use in California). Notes:

Major Street - Total of Both Approaches (vph) Source: Figure 4C-3 California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways (FHWA's MUTCD 2009 Edition, as amended for use in California).

1200

1300

2 or more lanes & 2 or more lanes

2 or more lanes & 1 lane

1400

1 lane & 1 lane

1500

1600

1700

* Note: 150 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with one lane.

Warrant 3, Part B - Peak-Hour Vehicular Volume

700

800

			AM PEAK PERIOD									
	Appr La One	roach nes 2 or More	Existing	Existing+P								
Major Street - Both Approaches Gish Road	x		925	943								
Minor Street - Highest Approach Industrial Avenue/		x	103	109								
Signal Warranted Based on Part B - Peak-Ho	No	No										

*Warrant is satisfied if plotted points fall above the appropriate curve in graph above.

*150

*100

1800



Existing Existing+P

xХ

1000

1100

900

1535 Industrial Avenue

500

400

300

200

100

0 600 1535 Industrial Avenue

TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANTS WORKSHEET

		Analyst: DC date: 7/20/21
Major Street:	Gish Road	Critical Approach Speed* (mph) 25
Minor Street:	Industrial Avenue/	Critical Approach Speed* (mph) 25
		*Posted Speed.
Critical In built	speed of major street traffic > 50 mph (64 km/h) up area of isolated community of < 10,000 population	$\left \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right $ Rural (R)
		✓ Urban (U)
	PM PEAK HOUR	

Warrant 3 - Peak Hour

PART A

(All parts 1, 2, and 3 below must be satisfied)

		PM PEAK HOUR									
		Existing	Existing+P								
	Minor Street Approach Direction w/ Highest Delay	SB	SB								
	Highest Minor Street Average Delay (sec/veh)	17.8	18.1								
	Corresponding Minor Street Approach Volume (veh/hr)	173	191								
	Minor Street Total Delay (veh-hrs)	0.9	1.0								
 The total delay experienced for traffic on one minor street approach controlled by a STOP sign equals or exceeds 4 vehicle-hours for a 1- lane approach and 5 vehicle-hours for a 2-lane approach; <u>AND</u> 			N								
 The volume on the same minor street approach equals or exceeds 100 vph for 1 moving lane of traffic or 150 vph for 2 moving lanes; <u>AND</u> 		Yes	Yes								
 The tota exceeds vph for i 	l entering volume serviced during the hour equals or 800 vph for intersections with 4 or more approaches or 650 ntersections with 3 approaches.	Yes	Yes								
	Signal Warranted based on Part A?	No	No								

PART B

				PM PEAK HOUR									
		Approach Lanes 2 or One More		Existing	Existing+P								
Major Street - Both Approaches	Gish Road	Х		956	964								
Minor Street - Highest Approach	Industrial Avenue/		X	173	191								
Signal Warranted based on Part B?					No								

The Warrant is satisfied if the plotted point for vehicles per hour on the major street (both approaches) and the corresponding per hour higher vehicle volume minor street approach (one direction only) for one hour (any four consecutive 15-minute periods) fall above the applicable curves in California MUTCD Figure 4C-3 or 4C-4.

Source: California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways (FHWA's MUTCD 2009 Edition, as amended for use in California). Notes:

7/21/2021

1535 Industrial Avenue

Industrial Avenue & Gish Road



Source: Figure 4C-3 California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways (FHWA's MUTCD 2009 Edition, as amended for use in California).

* Note: 150 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with one lane.

Warrant 3, Part B - Peak-Hour Vehicular Volume

			PM PEAK HOUR										
		Approach Lanes		sting	d+bu								
		One	2 or More	Exis	Existi								
Major Street - Both Approaches Gish Road		x		956	964								
Minor Street - Highest Approach Industrial Ave	enue/		x	173	191								
Signal Warranted Based on Part B - Peak-Hour Volumes?					No								

*Warrant is satisfied if plotted points fall above the appropriate curve in graph above.

PM PEAK HOUR

Appendix E

Truck Turning Templates



