Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: UP-22;6-4 & GPA -22;6-1 Everest Infrastructure Partners

Lead Agency: Amador County

Contact Name: Ruslan Bratan

Email: rbratan@amadorgov.org Phone Number: 209-223-6380

Project Location: Jackson, Amador
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

Request for a Use Permit (UP-22;6-4) to install a 150-foot-tall monopine design wireless communication tower with associated tower and ground equipment, along with a General Plan Amendment (GPA-22;6-1) from OR, Open Recreation to C, Commercial for ±3 .6 acres of APN 033-010-089.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Impacts to Aesthetics, Biological, Cultural, and Tribal Cultural Resources would be significant unless mitigated. Therefore, Mitigation Measures AES-1, BIO-1, CULTR-1, LUP-1, and TRI-1 are required of the project.
The implementation of the Mitigation Measures identified above would result in less than significant impacts to the chapters mentioned above. Therefore, the project will not degrade the quality of the environment and no habitat, wildlife populations, and plant and animal communities would not be greatly impacted. All environmental topics are either considered to have "No Impact," "Less Than Significant Impact," or "Less than Significant Impacts with Mitigation Incorporated."
Implementing of the biological mitigation measures during potential construction would reduce impacts to wildlife, plants, and water resources. Potential construction would not result in impacts to fish or wildlife species, or associated habitats. If construction occurs during the nesting season. Mitigation Measure BIO-1 would reduce these impacts to less than significant to nesting birds.
Implementation of LUP-1 would solve the inconstancy between the zoning designation and General Plan designation on the project site.
Due to the limited ground disturbance, the proposed project would not be expected to impact any cultural or historic resources with Mitigation Measures CULTR-1 and TRI-1 incorporated.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

NA

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

NA