# PRELIMINARY HYDROLOGY REPORT

for

## PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK

South of East Avenue P Between Sierra Highway and 8<sup>th</sup> Street East City of Palmdale, CA 93550

**Prepared For:** 

Covington Development Partners, LLC 3 Corporate Plaza, Suite 230 Newport Beach, CA 92660

**Prepared By:** 

Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, Inc. 515 South Flower Street, Suite 1060 Los Angeles, CA 90071

> Michael Golias Professional Engineer License No. 91029

> > Prepared: March 2023 Langan Project No. 722010601



515 South Flower Street, Suite 1060 Los Angeles, CA 90071 T: 213.314.8100 F: 213.314.8101 www.langan.com

New Jersey • New York • Connecticut • Massachusetts • Pennsylvania • Ohio • Illinois • North Carolina • Virginia • Washington, DC California • Texas • Arizona • Utah • Colorado • Washington • Florida I Athens • Calgary • Dubai • London • Panama

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	INTRODUCTION1
2.0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION1
	2.1 Existing Site Description1
	2.2 Proposed Site Description1
3.0	PROJECT ANALYSIS
	3.1 Methodology2
	3.2 Hydrology Results & Analysis2
	3.3 Chamber Analysis
	3.4 Hydraulic Analysis5
4.0	CONCLUSION
5.0	REFERENCES

#### FIGURES

Figure 1	Site Vicinity Map
Figure 2	Proposed Site Plan
Figure 3	Pre-Development Drainage Map
Figure 4	Post-Development Drainage Map

### APPENDICES

- Appendix A LA County Hydrology Map 50-Year, 24-Hour Storm
- Appendix B LA County Hydrology Map Soils 2004
- Appendix C FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map
- Appendix D Pre-Development HydroCalc Calculations
- **Appendix E Post-Development HydroCalc Calculations**
- Appendix F Infiltration Chamber Calculations and Details
- **Appendix G Infiltration Report**

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this hydrology report is to analyze the existing and proposed surface-water hydrology and identify the impacts that are associated with the proposed development. This report provides analysis for designing the proposed on-site drainage systems and on-site proposed below grade infiltration chambers.

According to the analysis, the proposed development will not create and additional impacts to the downstream storm drain infrastructure. Furthermore, the proposed development will be protected against flooding in a 25-year, 24-hour storm event.

### 2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

### 2.1 Existing Site Description

The project site is approximately 18.11 acres and is located within the City of Palmdale, Los Angeles County in the State of California. The site is located south of East Avenue P, and is bounded by an existing railroad to the north, Sierra Highway to the west, 8<sup>th</sup> Street to the east and an existing drainage channel to the south. The site is currently undeveloped the site has been previously cleared and graded. See Figure 1 for the site vicinity map.

The site is generally flat, flowing from the western edge towards several low points along the eastern boundary. The existing drainage channel running along the southern boundary captures off-site runoff from the west and flows through the site towards the east where it discharges to an existing channel across 8<sup>th</sup> Street. No groundwater wells exist on site. Per the Geotechnical Report provided, groundwater was not found within 25' of existing grade. See Figure 3 for the Pre-Development Hydrology Map.

### 2.2 Proposed Site Description

The proposed development consists of a 380,000 square foot industrial warehouse facility with loading docks, trailer and car parking, and landscaped areas. See Figure 2 for the proposed site plan. On-site stormwater will be captured through a series of catch basins and storm drains which are routed to various underground infiltration chambers located along the northern and southern areas of the Site. The captured stormwater will be pre-treated through a hydrodynamic separator prior to entering the chambers. During significant rain events, stormwater will by-pass the hydrodynamic separator and flow directly into the chambers. The underground infiltration chambers will discharge directly into the proposed culverts beneath 8<sup>th</sup> Street.

The existing drainage channel running along the southern border of the site will be reconstructed to an earthen channel per the Palmdale MDP. It will maintain its existing flow path, which flows from west to east. The earthen channel will collect off-site flows from the west and flow through the site where it will discharge into the proposed culverts. The earthen channel will have stabilized side slopes to prevent erosion. The proposed culverts will discharge on the east side of East 8<sup>th</sup> Street and a headwall and rip rap will be installed to decrease the velocity of the flows and reduce the potential for significant erosion downstream of the improvements.



### 3.0 PROJECT ANALYSIS

#### 3.1 Methodology

The Los Angeles County Hydrology Manual for the 25-year storm was used as a basis for the hydrologic analysis. The Modified Rational (MODRAT) method was utilized to calculate the quantity and rate of stormwater runoff for the pre- and post-development conditions. The HydroCalc software provided by LA County was then used to compute the calculations for the MODRAT method. As required by City guidelines, the pre- and post-development peak flows and volumes were also calculated for the 0.75-inch, 2-year, 10-year, and 50-year storms, and are provided in Appendices D & E. The following parameters were required as inputs for the HydroCalc calculations.

- 0.75-inch, 24-hour Depth
- 50-Year Rainfall Depth = 3.5 inches (See Appendix A LA County Hydrology Map)
- Soil Classification = 134 (See Appendix B LA County Hydrology Map Soils 2004)

### 3.2 Hydrology Results & Analysis

The existing conditions at the Site were analyzed to determine drainage areas and flow patterns. Based on existing flow patterns, the Site was subdivided into 6 drainage areas (Areas A-F), and flow lengths and slopes within each area were determined (see Figure 3). The Site is currently undeveloped and the runoff generally flowed from the western property line towards the east. The pre-development condition analyzed for the 25-year storm generates a total flow of 1.54 cubic feet/second, and a volume of 21,638 cubic feet. The table below summarizes the drainage areas and their respective flows and volumes.

AREA ID	AREA (SF)	AREA (AC)	IMPERVIOUS RATIO	Q25 (CFS)	V25 (CF)
А	260,328	5.98	0	0.51	7,145
В	201,574	4.63	0	0.39	5,532
С	131,776	3.03	0	0.26	3,620
D	62,407	1.43	0	0.12	1,709
Ш	72,686	1.67	0	0.14	1,995
F	59,891	1.37	0	0.12	1,637
TOTAL	784,965	18.11	-	1.54	21,638

 Table 3.2.1 Pre-Development Flows for 25-Year Storm

The same process was performed for the post-development condition and is summarized in the table below (see Figure 4). Drainage Areas A and B were considered as areas that would contribute to runoff on-site. The runoff from Areas A and B will be captured through the onsite storm drain system, pre-treated through a hydrodynamic separator, and then routed to the proposed underground infiltration chambers. Area C, which is predominantly landscaped area (pervious) sheet flows offsite to 8<sup>th</sup> Street. Area D covers the area where the existing channel will be reconstructed to an earthen channel. The earthen channel will convey offsite flows from the west along with runoff generated within its extents, to the proposed culverts that will run under 8<sup>th</sup> Street and drain to the existing channel northeast of the site. When analyzed for the 25-year storm, the proposed development generates a total flow of 13.77 cubic feet/second and a volume of 144,585 cubic feet.



AREA ID	AREA (SF)	AREA (AC)	IMPERVIOUS RATIO	Q25 (CFS)	V25 (CF)
A1	180,022	4.13	0.83	2.83	34,907
A2	38,776	0.89	0.84	1.03	7,606
A3	15,946	0.37	0.51	0.33	2,087
A4	214,613	4.93	1.00	4.58	49,086
B1	52,363	1.20	0.93	1.07	11,204
B2	7,885	0.18	0.98	0.29	1,761
B3	165,823	3.81	1	3.64	37,934
TOTAL	675,411	15.51	-	13.77	144,585
AREA ID	AREA (SF)	AREA (AC)	IMPERVIOUS RATIO	Q25 (CFS)	V25 (CF)
С	68,708	1.58	0.00	0.13	1,888
D	44,593	1.02	0.14	0.24	2,392
TOTAL	113,301	2.60	-	0.37	4,280

Table 3.2.2 Post-Development Flows for 25-Year Storm

The table below shows a comparison of the total flows and volumes generated on-site between the pre- and post-development. The proposed development increases flows to 13.77 cubic feet/second and generates a total of 144,585 cubic feet of runoff. The total flow and volume quantities will be utilized to design the proposed storm drain system and underground infiltration chambers to ensure stormwater is captured, stored and conveyed appropriately.

 Table 3.2.3 Pre and Post-Development Flows for 25-Year Storm

CONDITION	Q25 (CFS)	V25 (CF)
PRE-DEVELOPMENT	1.54	21,638
POST DEVELOPMENT	13.77	144,585
DIFFERENCE	12.23	122,947

### 3.3 Chamber Analysis

The infiltration report prepared by Southern California Geotechnical, dated on March 9<sup>th</sup>, 2022, recorded two design infiltration rates of 0.2 inches/hour and 0.4 inches/hour. The 0.2 inches/hour and 0.4 inches/hour rates were located in the southeastern and northeastern area of the site, respectively (see Appendix G). Because the minimum feasible infiltration rate per LA County is 0.3 inches/hour, additional tests should be explored to confirm rates and explore other areas where infiltration may be feasible.

Two underground infiltration chambers (Chambers A and B) were proposed and designed to fully retain the 25-year storm volumes from each of their respective drainage areas. The stormwater will be treated to remove partial sediments, trash and debris prior to entering the chambers. The chambers were designed taking into account a drawdown of 96 hours. Chamber A was designed with the infiltration rate of 0.4 inches/hour, and Chamber B was based on the rate of 0.2 inches/hour.



The total 25-year storm volume resulted in 144,585 cubic feet. Areas A1-A4 generated approximately 93,685 cubic feet of runoff and is stored in Chamber A, which has a capacity of 94,960 cubic feet. Chamber B holds a capacity of 52,017 cubic feet, which sufficiently stores the 50,899 cubic feet of runoff from their respective drainage areas. All chambers provide storage to retain the 25-year storm event, and also provide storage for water quality before outflowing to the proposed culverts. The total outflow from the chambers, Areas C and D resulted in a flow of 1.25 cubic feet/second. The total does not exceed 1.31 cubic feet/second, which is 85% of the pre-development flows from the 25-year storm. Refer to the tables below and Appendix F for calculations and details.

AREA ID	DRAINAGE AREA (AC)	IMPERVIOUS RATIO	Q25 (CFS)	V25 (CF)	BASIN DEPTH (FT)	BASIN VOLUME PROVIDED (CF)	CHAMBER DESIGNATION
A1	4.13	0.83	2.83	34906.91			А
A2	0.89	0.84	1.03	7605.50	5	04 060	А
A3	0.37	0.51	0.33	2087.19	5	5 94,900	А
A4	4.93	1.00	4.58	49085.80			А
B1	1.20	0.93	1.07	11204.44			В
B2	0.18	0.98	0.29	1760.57	10	52,017	В
B3	3.81	1	3.64	37934.46			В
С	1.58	0.00	0.13	1887.78	-	-	OFFSITE
D	1.02	0.14	0.24	2392.23	-	-	OFFSITE

### Table 3.3.1 Chamber Analysis Summary

### Table 3.3.2 Chamber A Drawdown/Outlet Summary

DRAWDOWN/OUTLET VOLUME CALCULATIONS			
DESIGN INFILTRATION RATE (IN/HR)	0.4		
DRAWDOWN TIME (HR)	96		
DRAWDOWN IN 96 HRS (FT)	1.6		
CMP TOTAL FOOTPRINT (SF)	37,500		
DRAWDOWN VOLUME IN 96 HR	120,000		
VOLUME TO OUTLET (CF)			
AVERAGE DISCHARGE PER ELEVATION (CFS)	0.30		
AVERAGE DISCHARGE PER ELEVATION (CF/HR)	1,076		
DESIGN OUTLET VOLUME (CF IN 24 HR)	25,816		
OUTLET PIPE CENTROID ELEVATION	1.17		
PIPE DIAMETER (IN)	3		
PEAK OUTFLOW (CFS)	0.43		

DRAWDOWN/OUTLET VOLUME CALCULATIONS				
DESIGN INFILTRATION RATE (IN/HR)	0.2			
DRAWDOWN TIME (HR)	96			
DRAWDOWN IN 96 HRS (FT)	1.6			
CMP TOTAL FOOTPRINT (SF)	8568			
DRAWDOWN VOLUME IN 96 HR	13709			
VOLUME TO OUTLET (CF)				
AVERAGE DISCHARGE PER ELEVATION (CFS)	0.31			
AVERAGE DISCHARGE PER ELEVATION (CF/HR)	1104			
DESIGN OUTLET VOLUME (CF IN 24 HR)	26497			
OUTLET PIPE CENTROID ELEVATION	2.5			
PIPE DIAMETER (IN)	3			
PEAK OUTFLOW (CFS)	0.45			

### Table 3.3.3 Chamber B Drawdown/Outlet Summary

### 3.4 Hydraulic Analysis

Preliminary hydraulic calculations will be performed with the Hydraflow Express in Autodesk Civil 3D. Inlet and storm drain sizing were analyzed to determine if the proposed sizes would be adequate to support flows resulting from the 25-year storm event. The preliminary storm pipes were sized to assuming full capacity with a minimum slope of 0.50%. A roughness coefficient of 0.012 was assumed for HDPE pipes.

### 4.0 CONCLUSION

Based on the preliminary hydrology and hydraulic analyses performed for the proposed development, the following points have been concluded:

- The proposed storm drain system will adequately capture and convey flows during the 25-year storm.
- The proposed underground chambers will provide sufficient storage capacity for the 25year storm.
- The total outflow from the site does not exceed 85% of the pre-development flows from the 25-year storm.

### 5.0 REFERENCES

- 1. Los Angeles County Department of Public Works Hydrology Manual, January 2006.
- 2. Los Angeles County Flood Control Design Manual, 1982.
- 3. City of Palmdale Contents of Preliminary Hydrology and Hydraulic Study.
- 4. City of Palmdale Master Plan of Drainage Update, August 1996.
- 5. City of Palmdale Master Plan of Drainage Anaverde Watershed Facility Map.

Figure 1 Site Vicinity Map



Date: 3/20/2023 Time: 14:13 User: byamase Style Table: Langan.stb Layout: Fig1 Document Code: 722010601-0201-CA104-0103

Figure 2 Proposed Site Plan



			LA Lar Envir	NEAN ngan Engineering and ronmental Services, Inc.	Project PAI INDUS
Description	No		1 F	11801 Pierce Street Riverside, CA 92505	8
Description	NO.	MICHAEL GOLIAS	T: 951.710.3000	www.langan.com	CIT
Revisions		PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER CA LICENSE NO. C91029		_	LOS ANGELES COUNTY

# Figure 3 Pre-Development Drainage Map





Date: 3/27/2023 Time: 16:31 User: byamase Style Table: Langan.stb Layout: Fig. 4 Document Code: 722010601-0201-CA104-0101

LANGAN

# Figure 4 Post-Development Drainage Map



# LEGEND

SUB-AREA BOUNDARY ---- FLOW PATH Х

XXX

100.00 • EG

- SUB-DRAINAGE AREA ID

PROJECT DRAINAGE BOUNDARY

SUB-DRAINAGE AREA IDENTIFIER

- SUB-DRAINAGE SURFACE AREA (ACRE)

SURFACE FLOW NODE

APPROXIMATE EXISTING GROUND ELEVATION

# **PROJECT SUMMARY**

SITE AREA (GROSS): SITE AREA (NET):	18.11 ACRES 17.90 ACRES
IMPERVIOUS COVERAGE:	80% (POST-DEVELOPME
SOIL GROUP:	134
ISOHYETALS:	3.5-INCHES (50-YEAR,
FREQUENCY:	25-YEAR (FOR STORM
METHOD:	LOS ANGELES COUNTY I

	*		*			
				<b>3</b> (2611. EG	3)	
		PROPOSED UNDERG INFILTRATION CHAM FOOTPRINT: 37,500 STORAGE: 94,960	SROUND IBER A O SF CF			
A1 4.13					2609	<b>2</b> 2606.8
2610					PROPOSI	ED CATCH BASIN
6 <sup>5</sup> )	2614		2613	A4	2612	
				4.93		
×				B3 3.81		PROPOSED UNDERGROUN
	X					INFILIRATION CHAMBER FOOTPRINT: 8,568 S STORAGE: 52,017 C 9 2611.4 FS
	2613		B1 1.20			
L=787.2'			2612 C 1.58 PROP	OSED EARTHEN		<u>2610.0</u> -260 <b>8</b> FS
2613	2612		2610 2	2609		<u>2606</u>
		7				
		<b>GENERAL NO</b> 1. SEE PRELIMINA	DTES: RY HYDROLOGY REPORT	I PREPARED BY LAN	GAN EG	BREVIATIONS: – EXISTING GRADE

- ENT)
- 24-HOUR)
- 1 DRAIN ANALYSIS)
- HYDROLOGY MANUAL

ENGINEERING FOR THE COMPLETE POST DEVELOPMENT HYDROLOGY CALCULATIONS.

2. CALCULATIONS WERE BASED ON THE CITY AND COUNTY REQUIREMENTS FOR THE 25-YEAR STORM.

- 3. PROPOSED ON-SITE DRAINAGE SYSTEM LAYOUT IS PRELIMINARY.
- 4. ALL EXISTING ELEVATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

LANGAN Project Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, Inc. 11801 Pierce Street Riverside, CA 92505 No. Description Signature Date T: 951.710.3000 www.langan.com Revisions LOS ANGELES COUNTY

L – LENGTH OF FLOW



Appendix A LA County Hydrology Map – 50-Year, 24-Hour Storm



# ■ LA County Hydrology Map



LANGAN

# Appendix B LA County Hydrology Map – 2004 Soils Map





LA County Hydrology Map

Appendix C FEMA Flood Insurance Map



Date: 3/26/2023 Time: 11:41 User: byamase Style Table: Langan.stb Layout: Fig. 6 Document Code: 722010601-0201-CA104-0106

Project No. 722010601

Langan

2022

**Appendix D** 

Pre-Development HydroCalc Calculations 0.75-Inch, 24-Hour, 2-Year, 10-Year, 25-Year and 50-Year

Input Parameters			
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK		
Subarea ID	A		
Area (ac)	5.98		
Flow Path Length (ft)	1062.56		
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0082		
0.75-inch Rainfall Depth (in)	0.75		
Percent Impervious	0.01		
Soil Type	134		
Design Storm Frequency	0.75 inch storm		
Fire Factor	0		
LID	True		
Output Results			
Modeled (0.75 inch storm) Rainfall Depth (in)	0.75		
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.0781		
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1		
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108		
Time of Concentration (min)	205.0		
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.0505		
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.0505		
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0401		
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	1744.8233		
0.06 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUS	TRIAL PARK: A)		
0.05 -			
0.04 -			
0.02			
0.01			
0.00 200 400 600 900 1000			
0 200 400 600 800 1000 Time (minutes)	1200 1400 1000 1800		

Innut Paramotors	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	В
Area (ac)	4.63
Flow Path Length (ft)	668.43
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0141
0 75-inch Rainfall Denth (in)	0.75
Dorcont Imporvious	0.01
	124
Soli Type Design Oterres Frequency	
Design Storm Frequency	0.75 Inch storm
Fire Factor	0
LID	Irue
Output Results	0.75
wodeled (0.75 inch storm) Raintall Depth (in)	0.75
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.0941
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108
Time of Concentration (min)	138.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.047
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.047
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.031
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	1350 4608
	1000.4000
Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUS	STRIAL PARK B)
	$\sim$
0.04 -	
0.02	
0.03	
Cts	
ž	
<u> </u>	
0.01	
	$\mathbf{i}$
	$\setminus$
	$\setminus$
	$\mathbf{X}$
$0.00 \times 100 \times 100$	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (minutes)	
(fillitutes)	

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	C	
Area (ac)	3.03	
Flow Path Length (ft)	760.03	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0142	
0.75-inch Rainfall Depth (in)	0.75	
Percent Impervious	0.01	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	0.75 inch storm	
Fire Factor	0	
LID	True	
Output Results		
Modeled (0.75 inch storm) Rainfall Depth (in)	0.75	
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.0905	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108	
Lime of Concentration (min)	150.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.0296	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.0296	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0203	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	883.8231	
Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK <sup>+</sup> C)		
0.030		
0.025 -		
0.020 -		
() 2 2 0.015 -		
Flov		
0.010 -		
0.005 -		
0.000 0 200 400 600 800 Time (minutes	1000 1200 1400 1600	

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	D
Area (ac)	1.43
Flow Path Length (ft)	1105.54
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0091
0.75-inch Rainfall Depth (in)	0.75
Percent Impervious	0.01
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	0.75 inch storm
Fire Factor	0
LID	True
Output Results	
Modeled (0.75 inch storm) Rainfall Depth (in)	0.75
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.0779
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108
Time of Concentration (min)	206.0
Clear Peak Flow Rale (CIS) Burnad Daak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.012
24 Hr Cloar Pupoff Volume (as ft)	0.002
24-FIT Clear Runoff Volume (ac-it)	0.0090
	417.243
0.014 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDU	ISTRIAL PARK: D)
0.012	
0.012	
0.010 -	
<u>م</u> 0.008	
<u>)</u>	
0.004 -	
0.001	
0.002	$\backslash$
	$\backslash$
	$\mathbf{X}$
Time (minutes)	

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	E
Area (ac)	1.67
Flow Path Length (ft)	1059.41
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0009
0.75-inch Rainfall Depth (in)	0.75
	0.01
Soli Type Design Storm Fraguency	134 0.75 inch storm
Eiro Eactor	
LID	1100
Output Results	
Modeled (0.75 inch storm) Rainfall Depth (in)	0.75
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.065
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108
Time of Concentration (min)	303.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.0117
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.0117
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0112
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	487.6661
Hydrograph (BALMDALE INDU	
0.010 -	
0.008 -	
<pre>cts)</pre>	
° 0.006 -	-
음	
0.004 -	\ -
0.002 -	
	$\mathbf{X}$
	$\mathbf{X}$
0.000	
U 200 400 600 800 1000 Time (minutes)	1200 1400 1600 1800
nine (ninutes)	

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	F	
Area (ac)	1.37	
Flow Path Length (ft)	786.5	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0109	
0.75-inch Rainfall Depth (in)	0.75	
Percent Impervious	0.01	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	0.75 inch storm	
Fire Factor	0	
LID	True	
Output Results		
Modeled (0.75 inch storm) Rainfall Depth (in)	0.75	
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.0878	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108	
Time of Concentration (min)	160.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.013	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.013	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0092	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	399.6345	
0.014 Hydrograph (PALMDALE IND)	JSTRIAL PARK: F)	
0.012 -	$\frown$	
0.010 -		
-0.008		
Cts		
0.004 -		
0.002 -		
0.000	1000 1200 1400 1600	
0 200 400 600 800 Time (minutes	1000 1200 1400 1600 )	

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	A	
Area (ac)	5.98	
Flow Path Length (ft)	1062.56	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0082	
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	0.01	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	2-yr	
Fire Factor	0	
LID	False	
Output Depute		
Madalad (2 vr) Deinfall Denth (in)	1 0545	
Nodeled (2-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	0.2491	
Peak Intensity (In/nr)	0.4	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.1	
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0	
Clear Peak Flow Pate (cfs)	0.2248	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.2240	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0723	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	31/0 2812	
0.25 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: A)		
0.20 -	_	
0.15 - (\$2) 80	-	
Ē 0.10 -		
0.05 -		
0.00 0 200 400 600 8 Time (r	00 1000 1200 1400 1600 ninutes)	

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	B
Area (ac)	4.63
Flow Path Length (ft)	668.43
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0141
50-vr Rainfall Depth (in)	35
Percent Impervious	0.01
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	2-vr
Fire Factor	0
	False
Output Results	
Modeled (2-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	1.3545
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.3481
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.1741
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.1741
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.056
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	2438.323
Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDU	STRIAL PARK: B)
0.18	Δ
0.16 -	
0.14 -	1
0.12 -	-
<b>2</b> 0 10	
ê 0.08 -	-
0.06 -	
0.04 -	
0.02	
0.00	
0.00 200 400 600 800 Time (minutes)	1000 1200 1400 1600
•	

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	C
Area (ac)	3.03
Flow Path Length (ft)	760.03
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0142
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.01
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	2-yr
Fire Factor	0
LID	False
Output Results	
Modeled (2-vr) Rainfall Depth (in)	1 35/15
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0 3481
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0 1139
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0 1139
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0366
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	1595,706
Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUS	STRIAL PARK: C)
0.12	
	Λ
0.10	
0.08 -	-
(s	
8 0.00	
Ē	
0.04	
0.02	
0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (minutes)	

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	D
Area (ac)	1.43
Flow Path Length (ft)	1105.54
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0091
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.01
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	2-yr
Fire Factor	0
LID	False
Output Results	
Modeled (2-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	1.3545
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.3481
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.0538
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.0538
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0173
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	753.089
0.06 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDU	STRIAL PARK: D)
	٨
0.05 -	-
0.04	
0.04	
(cts	
2 0.03 -	
E	
0.02 -	
0.01-	
0.00 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (minutes)	

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	E	
Area (ac)	1.67	
Flow Path Length (ft)	1059.41	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0009	
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	0.01	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	2-yr	
Fire Factor	0	
LID	False	
<b>Output Results</b> Modeled (2-vr) Rainfall Depth (in)	1.3545	
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.3481	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108	
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.0628	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.0628	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0202	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	879.4815	
0.07 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: E)		
	٨	
0.06 -	-	
0.05		
0.00 -		
$\overline{a}$ 0.04		
(cfs		
MC		
표 0.03 -		
0.02 -		
0.01		
0.00 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600	

Innut Paramotors	
input Farameters	_
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	F
Area (ac)	1.37
Flow Path Longth (ft)	786.5
Flow Fall Lenger (if)	700.5
Flow Path Slope (Vtt/ntt)	0.0109
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.01
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	2-vr
Eiro Eastor	2-yi
LID	Faise
Output Results	
Modeled (2 vr) Painfall Denth (in)	1 2545
Noueleu (Z-yr) Kaimai Deptri (in)	1.3040
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.3481
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.0515
Burned Book Elow Rote (ofe)	0.0515
	0.0315
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0166
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	721.4908
Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUS	STRIAL PARK: F)
0.06	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	0
0.05 -	-
0.04	
0.04 -	-
(s	
0.02	
0.02	
0.01	
0.01	
0.00	
0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (minutes)	

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	Α
Area (ac)	5.98
Flow Path Length (ft)	1062.56
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0082
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.01
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	10-yr
Fire Factor	0
LID	False
Output Results	
Modeled (10-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	2.499
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.6423
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (CIS) Burnad Daak Flow Rate (cfa)	0.4148
24 Hr Clear Pupeff Volume (ca ft)	0.4140
24-FIL Clear Runoff Volume (ac-it)	0.1334
	3610.3017
0.45 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDU	STRIAL PARK: A)
0.40	٨
0.10	
0.25	
0.35 -	
0.30 -	
୍ରିଜୁ 0.25 -	
) w	
<u>6</u> 0.20	
-	
0.15	
0.10	
0.10	
0.05	
0.00 -	$\sim$ 1
0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (minutes)	

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	В	
Area (ac)	4.63	
Flow Path Length (ft)	668.43	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0141	
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	0.01	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	10-yr	
Fire Factor	0	
LID	False	
Output Results	2 400	
Peak Intensity (in/br)	0.6423	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.0423	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108	
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.3212	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.3212	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.1033	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	4498.6115	
0.35 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: B)		
0.30 -		
0.25 -		
<u></u> 0.20	-	
(ct		
ш. 0.15 -		
0.10		
0.10		
0.05		
0.00 /		
0 200 400 000 000 1000 1200 1400 1000 Time (minutes)		
Input Parameters		
--	--------------------------	--
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	C.	
Area (ac)	3 03	
Flow Path Length (ft)	760.03	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0142	
50-vr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	0.01	
Soil Type	13/	
Design Storm Frequency	10-yr	
Fire Factor	0	
	False	
	1 4150	
Output Results		
Modeled (10-yr) Rainfall Denth (in)	2 /00	
Peak Intensity (in/br)	0.6423	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.0423	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108	
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.2102	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.2102	
21-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0676	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	29/1 0157	
	2344.0137	
0.25 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: C)		
0.20 -	-	
0.15 - (sc) >	-	
은 0.10 -		
0.05		
0.00 0 200 400 600 800 10 Time (minutes)	000 1200 1400 1600	

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	D
Area (ac)	1.43
Flow Path Length (ft)	1105.54
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0091
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.01
Soli Type Docian Storm Fraguency	104 10 yr
Fire Factor	0
	False
	1 4100
Output Results	
Modeled (10-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	2.499
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.6423
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.0992
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.0992
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0319
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	1389.42
0.10 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDU	STRIAL PARK: D)
0.08 -	-
0.05	
	-
(cts	
NO	
0.04	
0.02	
0.00 0 200 400 600 200	1000 1200 1400 1600
U 200 400 000 800	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (fillinutes)	

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	E
Area (ac)	1.67
Flow Path Length (ft)	1059.41
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0009
50-vr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.01
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	10-vr
Fire Factor	0
	False
	. 4.00
Output Results	
Modeled (10-vr) Rainfall Depth (in)	2 499
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.6423
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.0423
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0 1158
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.1158
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0372
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	1622 6093
	1022.0035
0.12 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUS	STRIAL PARK: E)
	Λ
0.10	
0.10	
0.08 -	-
(s)	
<sup>2</sup> 0.06 -	-
<u>o</u>	
ш.	
0.04	
0.04 -	
0.02 -	
0.00	
0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (minutes)	

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	F	
	1 37	
Flow Path Length (ft)	786.5	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0109	
50-vr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	0.01	
Soil Type	13/	
Design Storm Frequency	10-yr	
Fire Factor	0	
	Falso	
	1 0.50	
Output Results		
Modeled (10-vr) Rainfall Depth (in)	2.499	
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.6423	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108	
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.095	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.095	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0306	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	1331.1226	
0.10 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUS	STRIAL PARK: F)	
0.08 - (sj) mol 0.04 - 0.02 -		
0.00 0 200 400 600 800 Time (minutes)	1000 1200 1400 1600	

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID		
Area (ac)	5.98	
Flow Path Length (ft)	1062 56	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0082	
50-vr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	0.01	
Soil Type	13/	
Design Storm Frequency	25-vr	
Fire Factor	0	
	False	
Output Results		
Modeled (25-vr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.073	
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.7898	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108	
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.5101	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.5101	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.164	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	7144.8808	
0.6 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: A)		
0.5 -	-	
0.4 - 	-	
50 0.3 E		
0.2 -		
0.1		
0.0 0 200 400 600 800 Time (min	1000 1200 1400 1600 nutes)	

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	В	
Area (ac)	4.63	
Flow Path Length (ft)	668.43	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0141	
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	0.01	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	25-yr	
Fire Factor	0	
LID	False	
Output Results		
Modeled (25-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.073	
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.7898	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108	
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.3949	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.3949	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.127	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	5531.906	
0.40 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDO	STRIAL PARK. B)	
0.35 -	-	
0.30 -	-	
0.05		
0.25 -		
(is the second sec		
<u> </u>		
0.15 -		
0.10		
0.05		
0.05 -		
0.00		
	1000 1200 1400 1600	
nime (minutes)		

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	C	
Area (ac)	3.03	
Flow Path Length (ft)	760.03	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0142	
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	0.01	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	25-yr	
Fire Factor	0	
LID	False	
Output Results		
Modeled (25 vr) Painfall Depth (in)	2 072	
Peak Intensity (in/br)	0.7898	
Lindeveloped Rupoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1090	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108	
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.2585	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.2585	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0831	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	3620.2322	
0.30 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: C)		
0.25 -	-	
0.20 -	-	
(sp) 80.15 - 91 10.15 -	-	
0.10 -		
0.05 -		
0.00 0 200 400 600 800 Time (minutes)	1000 1200 1400 1600	

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID		
	1 43	
Flow Path Length (ft)	1059.41	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0 0001	
50-vr Painfall Denth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	0.01	
Soil Type	13/	
Design Storm Frequency	25-vr	
Fire Factor	0	
	False	
	1 4150	
Output Results		
Modeled (25-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.073	
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.7898	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1	
Developed Runott Coefficient (Cd)	0.108	
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.122	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.122	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0392	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	1708.5584	
Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: D)		
0.14		
0.10		
0.12		
0.10		
<sub>∞</sub> 0.08 -	-	
(ct		
No I		
正 0.06		
0.04		
0.04 -		
0.02		
0.02 -		
0 200 400 600 800		
	1000 1200 1400 1600	

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	E	
Area (ac)	1.67	
Flow Path Length (ft)	1059.41	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0009	
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	0.01	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	25-yr	
Fire Factor	0	
LID	False	
Output Results		
Modeled (25-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.073	
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.7898	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108	
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (CIS)	0.1425	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (CIS)	0.0459	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0458	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-π)	1995.3095	
0.16 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: E)		
0.14 -	Λ -	
0.12		
0.12		
0.10	-	
(s)		
8 0.00		
Ē		
0.06 -		
0.04		
0.04		
0.02 -		
0.00		
0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600	
Time (minut	ies)	

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	F
Area (ac)	1.37
Flow Path Length (ft)	786.5
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0109
50-vr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.01
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	25-vr
Fire Factor	0
	False
Output Results	
Modeled (25-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3 073
Peak Intensity (in/br)	0 7808
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0 1169
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.1169
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0376
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	1636 8707
	1050.0707
0.12 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUS	STRIAL PARK: F)
	Λ
0.10	
0.10	
0.08	
(s)	
<sup>2</sup> 0.06 -	
<u>No</u>	
ш.	
0.04 -	
0.02 -	
0.00	
0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (minutes)	

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID		
Area (ac)	5.98	
Flow Path Length (ft)	1062 56	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0082	
50-vr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	0.01	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	50-vr	
Fire Factor	0	
LID	False	
Output Results		
Modeled (50-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.8996	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108	
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.581	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.581	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.1868	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	8137.6774	
Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: A)		
	Ϋ́Λ΄΄΄΄	
0.5 -	-	
0.4		
(s		
8 0.3		
Ē		
0.2		
0.1		
0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600	
Time (minute	(20	

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	B
Area (ac)	4.63
Flow Path Length (ft)	668.43
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0141
50-vr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.01
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	50-vr
Fire Factor	0
LID	False
Output Results	
Modeled (50-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.8996
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.4498
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.4498
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.1446
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	6300.5763
0.45 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUS	TRIAL PARK: B)
0.40 -	
0.25	
0.35 -	
0.30 -	-
<u>ග</u> 0.25 –	-
<u></u> 8 0.20 -	
0.15 -	
0.10 -	
0.05 -	
0 200 400 600 600 1000 1200 1400 1600 Time (minutes)	

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	C	
Area (ac)	3.03	
Flow Path Length (ft)	760.03	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0142	
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	0.01	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	50-yr	
Fire Factor	0	
LID	False	
Output Results		
Modeled (50-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.8996	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.0000	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108	
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0 2944	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.2944	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0947	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	4123.2713	
Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: C)		
0.30		
0.25 -	-	
0.20		
0.20 -		
≥ 0.15 -		
0.10		
0.05		
0.00 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600	
Time (minutes)		

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	D	
Area (ac)	1.43	
Flow Path Length (ft)	1105.54	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0091	
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	0.01	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	50-yr	
Fire Factor	0	
LID	False	
Output Results		
Modeled (50-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.8996	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108	
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.1389	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.1389	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0447	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	1945.9663	
0.14 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: D)		
0.40		
0.12	-	
0.10		
0.10		
(cts		
M		
표 0.06 -		
0.04 -		
0.02		
0.02 -		
0.00		
0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600	
Time (minu	tes)	

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	E
Area (ac)	1.67
Flow Path Length (ft)	1059.41
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0009
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.01
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	50-yr
Fire Factor	0
LID	False
Output Results	
Modeled (50-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.8996
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.1622
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.1622
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0522
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	2272.5621
0.18 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDU	JSTRIAL PARK: E)
0.16 -	Λ
0.14 -	-
0.12 -	-
ନ୍ତ୍ର 0.10 -	-
0.06 -	
0.04	
0.02	
0.00 200 400 600 900	
Time (minutes)	1000 1200 1400 1000

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	F	
Area (ac)	1.37	
Flow Path Length (ft)	786.5	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0109	
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	0.01	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	50-yr	
Fire Factor	0	
LID	False	
Output Poculto		
Madalad (50 yr) Deinfall Danth (in)	25	
Modeled (50-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Peak Intensity (In/In)	0.8990	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.1	
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0 1331	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.1331	
21-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0428	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	1864 3174	
Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: E)		
0.14		
0.12 -	-	
0.10 -	-	
	-	
Ĕ 0.06 -		
0.04 -		
0.02		
0.00 0 200 400 600 800 Time (minutes)	1000 1200 1400 1600	

Appendix E Post-Development HydroCalc Calculations 0.75-Inch, 24-Hour, 2-Year, 10-Year, 25-Year and 50-Year

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	A1
Area (ac)	4.13
Flow Path Length (ft)	1226.18
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0075
0.75-inch Rainfall Depth (in)	0.75
Percent Impervious	0.83
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	0.75 inch storm
Fire Factor	0
ID	True
Output Results	
Modeled (0.75 inch storm) Rainfall Depth (in)	0.75
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0 1403
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0 764
Time of Concentration (min)	59.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0 4426
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.4426
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.4420
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	8510 7/06
	0519.7490
0.45 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDU	STRIAL PARK: A1)
0.40	
0.35 -	-
0.30	
<b>a</b> 0.25	
5 0.25 -	
No. and the second seco	
은 0.20 -	
0.15	
0 10	
	$\mathbf{X}$
0.05	
0.05	$\uparrow$ 1
0.00 0 200 400 600 200	1000 1200 1400 1600
0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600
nine (minutes)	

Input Parameters		
Proiect Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	A2	
Area (ac)	0.89	
Flow Path Length (ft)	293.67	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0168	
0.75-inch Rainfall Depth (in)	0.75	
Percent Impervious	0.84	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	0.75 inch storm	
Fire Factor	0	
LID	True	
Output Results		
Modeled (0.75 inch storm) Rainfall Depth (in)	0.75	
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.2279	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.772	
Time of Concentration (min)	21.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.1566	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.1566	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0426	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	1855.1264	
Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: A2)		
0.16		
0.14 -	-	
0.12		
0.12	1	
0.10 -	-	
(s		
Ē		
0.06 -		
0.01		
0.04 -		
0.02		
0.00 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600	
Time (minutes)		

Input Parameters Project Name Subarea ID Area (ac) Flow Path Length (ft) Flow Path Slope (vft/hft) 0.75-inch Rainfall Depth (in) Percent Impervious Soil Type Design Storm Frequency Fire Factor LID	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK A3 0.37 150.86 0.0107 0.75 0.51 134 0.75 inch storm 0 True	
Output Results Modeled (0.75 inch storm) Rainfall Depth (in) Peak Intensity (in/hr) Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu) Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd) Time of Concentration (min) Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs) Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs) 24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft) 24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	0.75 0.2389 0.1 0.508 19.0 0.0449 0.0449 0.0449 0.0117 507.4943	
Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: A3)		
0.040		
0.035 -		
0.030 -		
<u>ග</u> 0.025 -		
0.020 -		
0.015 -		
0.010 -		
0.005 -		
0.000 0 200 400 600 800 Time (minutes)	1000 1200 1400 1600	

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	A4	
Area (ac)	4.93	
Flow Path Length (ft)	857.05	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.005	
0.75-inch Rainfall Depth (in)	0.75	
Percent Impervious	1.0	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	0.75 inch storm	
Fire Factor	0	
ID	True	
Output Results Medeled (0.75 inch storm) Deinfell Denth (in)	0.75	
Nouelea (0.75 inch storm) Raintali Depth (IN)	0.75	
Peak Intensity (In/nr)	0.1593	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.9	
Time of Concentration (min)	45.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.7069	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.7069	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.275	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	11980.2125	
Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: A4)		
0.7		
0.7		
0.6 -	-	
0.5 -	-	
(g)		
5 04		
<u>None contractions and the con</u>		
μ		
0.3 -		
0.2		
0.2 -		
0.1		
0.0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600	
Time (minutes)		

Innut Paramotors		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	B1	
Area (ac)	12	
Flow Path Longth (ft)	787.18	
Flow Path Clane (14/164)	0.0075	
Flow Path Slope (Vit/nit)	0.0075	
0.75-inch Rainfall Depth (in)	0.75	
Percent Impervious	0.93	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	0.75 inch storm	
Fire Factor	0	
	Truc	
	The	
Outrast Desults		
	0.75	
Modeled (0.75 inch storm) Rainfall Depth (in)	0.75	
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.1646	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.844	
Time of Concentration (min)	42.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0 1667	
Durnad Dack Flow Date (cfs)	0.1007	
Duffied Peak Flow Rale (CIS)	0.1007	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0628	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	2734.622	
0.16 -		
0.14 -	-	
0.12 -	-	
<u>ශ</u> ු 0.10 -	-	
80.08 -		
0.06 -		
0.04 -		
0.02		
0.00 0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600	
Time (minutes)		

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	B2	
	0.18	
Flow Doth Longth (ft)	170.1	
Flow Path Length (It)	170.1	
Flow Pain Slope (VI/NII)	0.0109	
	0.75	
Percent Impervious	0.98	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	0.75 inch storm	
Fire Factor	0	
LID	True	
Output Results		
Modeled (0.75 inch storm) Rainfall Depth (in)	0.75	
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.2758	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.884	
Time of Concentration (min)	14.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.0439	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.0439	
24-Hr Cloar Pupoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0433	
24-FIL Clear Runoff Volume (auft)	420,6251	
24-Fit Clear Runoil Volume (cu-it)	429.0201	
	STRIAL PARK. BZ)	
0.040		
0.040		
0.035 -	-	
0.030 -	-	
(9) 0.025 - (5)	-	
<sup>8</sup> 0.020 -	-	
0.015		
	/   1	
0.010 -		
0.005 -		
0,000		
0 200 400 600 800 Time (minutes)	1000 1200 1400 1600	

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	B3
Area (ac)	3.81
Flow Path Length (ft)	849.93
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0075
0.75-inch Rainfall Depth (in)	0.75
Percent Impervious	1.0
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	0.75 inch storm
Fire Factor	0
LID	True
Output Results	
Modeled (0.75 inch storm) Rainfall Depth (in)	0.75
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.1646
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.9
Time of Concentration (min)	42.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.5643
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.5643
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.2125
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	9258.51
0.6 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUS	STRIAL PARK: B3)
0.5	
0.0	
0.4	-
(su	
	-
0.2	
0.2 -	
0.1-	
	$\langle      $
0.0	
0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (minutes)	

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	C	
Area (ac)	1.58	
Flow Path Length (ft)	881.01	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0068	
0.75-inch Rainfall Depth (in)	0.75	
Percent Impervious	0.01	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	0.75 inch storm	
FIRE FACTOR		
LID	True	
<b>Output Results</b> Modeled (0.75 inch storm) Rainfall Depth (in)	0.75	
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.0814	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108	
Time of Concentration (min)	188.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.0139	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.0139	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0106	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	460.9596	
0.014 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: C)		
0.010 -		
<u>ଜ</u> 0.006 -		
0.004 -		
0.002 -		
0.000 0 200 400 600 800 1000 Time (minutes)	1200 1400 1600 1800	

### **Peak Flow Hydrologic Analysis** File location: //langan.com/data/IEM/data6/722010601/Project Data/\_Discipline/Site Civil/Reports/Prelim Hydrology Report/Exhibits & Figures/Post-Devel Version: HydroCalc 1.0.3 **Input Parameters Project Name** PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK Subarea ID D Area (ac) 1.02 Flow Path Length (ft) 181.13 Flow Path Slope (vft/hft) 0.0136 0.75-inch Rainfall Depth (in) 0.75 **Percent Impervious** 0.14 Soil Type 134 **Design Storm Frequency** 0.75 inch storm Fire Factor 0 LID True **Output Results** Modeled (0.75 inch storm) Rainfall Depth (in) 0.75 Peak Intensity (in/hr) 0.1725 Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu) 0.1 Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd) 0.212 Time of Concentration (min) 38.0 Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs) 0.0373 Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs) 0.0373 24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft) 0.0134 24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft) 583.8588 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: D) 0.040 0.035 0.030 0.025 Flow (cfs) 0.020 0.015 0.010 0.005 0.000 400 600 1000 200 800 1200 1400 1600 0

Time (minutes)

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	A1
Area (ac)	4.13
Flow Path Length (ft)	1226.18
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0075
50-vr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.83
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	2-yr
Fire Factor	0
LID	False
Vulput Results Modeled (2 yr) Painfall Death (in)	1 2545
Noueleu (2-yr) Kallilali Deptii (in) Book Intonsity (in/hr)	0.2491
Feak Intensity (III/III)	0.3401
Developed Rupoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.1
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	1 0985
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	1.0985
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0 3532
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	15386 148
	10000.140
1.2 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDU	STRIAL PARK: A1)
	Λ
1.0 -	-
0.8 -	
0.0	
(cts	
0.0 -	
E E	
0.4 -	
0.2	
0.0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (minutes)	

Input Parameters	
Proiect Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	A2
Area (ac)	0.89
Flow Path Length (ft)	293.67
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0168
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.84
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	2-yr
Fire Factor	0
LID	False
Output Results	
Modeled (2-vr) Rainfall Depth (in)	1.3545
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.4981
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.772
Time of Concentration (min)	14.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.3422
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.3422
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0769
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	3350.3478
Hydrograph (PALMDALE IN	IDUSTRIAL PARK: A2)
0.35	
0.30	
0.25 -	-
<sub>σ</sub> 0.20	-
(ct	
NO	
正 0.15	
0.10	
0.10 -	
0.05	
0.05 -	
0.00	
0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (minutes)	

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	A3
Area (ac)	0.37
Flow Path Length (ft)	150.86
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0107
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.51
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	2-yr
Fire Factor	0
LID	False
Output Results	
Modeled (2-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	1.3545
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.5158
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.508
Time of Concentration (min)	13.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.0969
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.0969
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.021
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	916.5325
0.10 Hydrograph (PALMD	ALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: A3)
0.08 -	_
- 0.00 (cts) M	
ш. 0.04 –	
0.02 -	
0.00 0 200 400 600 Tir	800 1000 1200 1400 1600 ne (minutes)

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	A4
Area (ac)	4.93
Flow Path Length (ft)	857.05
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.005
50-vr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	1.0
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	2-yr
Fire Factor	0
LID	False
Output Results	
Modeled (2-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	1.3545
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.3481
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.9
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	1.5447
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	1.5447
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.4967
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	21635.9478
Hydrograph (PALMDALE INI	DUSTRIAL PARK: A4)
1.6	
1.4 -	-
12	
1.2	
1.0 -	-
<u>,</u>	
E	
0.6 -	
0.4	
0.7	
0.2 -	
0.0	
0 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600	
Time (minutes)	

Innut Parameters	
Project Name	
Subarea ID	B1
Area (ac)	1.2
Flow Path Length (ft)	787.18
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0075
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.93
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	2-yr
Fire Factor	0
LID	False
Output Results	4 05 45
Modeled (2-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	1.3545
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.3596
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.844
Time of Concentration (min)	28.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.3642
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.3642
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.1134
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	4938.6647
Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUS	
0.35 -	-
0.00	
0.30 -	
0.25	
CL	
	-
0.15	
0.15	
0.10	
0.05 -	
0.00	
0.00 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (minutes)	

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	B2
Area (ac)	0.18
Flow Path Length (ft)	170 1
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0109
50-vr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.98
Soil Type	13/
Design Storm Frequency	2-vr
Fire Factor	0
	False
Output Results	
Modeled (2-vr) Rainfall Depth (in)	1 3545
Peak Intensity (in/br)	0.6131
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.884
Time of Concentration (min)	0.004
Clear Peak Flow Pate (cfs)	0.0076
Burnod Book Flow Poto (cfs)	0.0970
24 Hr Clear Pupoff Volume (ac ft)	0.0970
24-Fit Clear Runoff Volume (auft)	775 0017
	115.9017
0.10 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: B2)	
0.08 -	_
- 00.0 (cts)	_
0.04 -	_
0.02 -	
0.00	
0 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 Time (minutes)	

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	B3
Area (ac)	3.81
Flow Path Length (ft)	849.93
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0075
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	1.0
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	2-yr
Fire Factor	0
LID	False
<b>Output Results</b> Modeled (2-vr) Rainfall Depth (in)	1.3545
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.3596
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.9
Time of Concentration (min)	28.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	1.2331
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	1.2331
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.3839
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	16720.6568
1.4 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: B3)	
1.2 -	
1.0 -	_
	-
<u>ぎ</u> 山 0.6 -	
0.4 -	
0.2	
	1000 1200 1400 1600

Input Parameters	
Proiect Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	С
Area (ac)	1.58
Flow Path Length (ft)	881.01
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0068
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.01
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	2-yr
Fire Factor	0
LID	False
Output Results	
Modeled (2-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	1.3545
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.3481
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.0594
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.0594
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0191
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	832.0843
0.06 Hydrograph (PALMDALE I	NDUSTRIAL PARK: C)
0.05 -	_
0.04 -	-
- E0.03 - E0.03 -	
0.02 -	
0.01-	
0.00 0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (minutes)	

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMOALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	
Area (ac)	1 02
Flow Path Length (ft)	181 13
Flow Path Slope (vft/bft)	0.0136
50-vr Rainfall Denth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.14
Soil Type	1.34
Design Storm Frequency	2-vr
Fire Factor	
	False
Output Results	
Modeled (2-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	1.3545
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.3793
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.212
Time of Concentration (min)	25.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.082
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cts)	0.082
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0242
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	1054.4379
0.09 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDU	STRIAL PARK: D)
0.03	
0.08	Λ
0.07 -	-
0.05	
0.06 -	
<u>ن</u> 0.05	
C S	
휸 0.04 -	-
0.02	
0.03 -	
0.02 -	
0.01	
0.00	
0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (minutes)	

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	A1
Area (ac)	4.13
Flow Path Length (ft)	1226.18
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0075
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.83
	134
Design Storm Frequency	10-yr
Fire Factor	0
LID	False
Output Results Modeled (10-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	2 / 99
Peak Intensity (in/br)	0.687
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.007
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.1
Time of Concentration (min)	26.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	2.1677
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	2.1677
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.6517
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	28386.765
Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: A1)	
2.0 -	-
1.5 - (stj)	-
ق ۳ 1.0 -	
0.5	
0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	
Input Parameters	
-------------------------------------	--------------------------
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	A2
Area (ac)	0.89
Flow Path Longth (ft)	203.67
Flow Fall Lenger (It)	293.07
Flow Pain Slope (VIVIII)	0.0106
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.84
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	10-yr
Fire Factor	0
LID	False
Output Results	
Modeled (10-vr) Rainfall Depth (in)	2,499
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	1 1311
Undeveloped Pupoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.1
Developed Runoil Coefficient (Cd)	0.772
Time of Concentration (min)	9.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.7771
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.7771
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.1419
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	6181.2528
Hydrograph (PALMDALE IND	USTRIAL PARK: A2)
07	
0.1	
0.6	-
0.5 -	-
≥ 0.4	1
0.2	
0.5	
0.2	
0.1	
0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (minute	s)
	-,

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	A3
Area (ac)	0.37
Flow Path Length (ft)	150.86
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0107
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.51
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	10-yr
Fire Factor	0
LID	False
Output Results	
Modeled (10-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	2.499
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	1.1955
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.508
Time of Concentration (min)	8.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (CIS)	0.2247
Burned Peak Flow Rate (CIS)	0.0299
24-FIT Clear Runoff Volume (ac-it)	0.0388
24-ni Clear Runon Volume (cu-it)	1090.9047
0.25 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUS	STRIAL PARK: A3)
0.20	
0.20	
0.15	-
(s)	
<sup>LL</sup> 0.10	-
0.05 -	
	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (minutes)	
Time (finitues)	

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	A4
Area (ac)	4.93
Flow Path Length (ft)	857.05
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.005
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	1.0
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	10-yr
Fire Factor	0
LID	False
Output Results	
Modeled (10-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	2.499
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.7771
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.9
Time of Concentration (min)	20.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (CIS)	3.4482
24 Hr Clear Pupeff Volume (as ft)	0.0164
24-FIT Clear Runoff Volume (ac-it)	0.9104
	39911.2292
3.5 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUS	TRIAL PARK: A4)
3.0 -	-
0.5	
2.5 -	1
~ 20	
SD 2.0	
×	
윤 1.5 -	
1.0 -	
0.5 -	
0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (minutes)	

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	B1
Area (ac)	12
Flow Path Longth (ft)	787 18
Flow Fall Length (It)	101.10 0.007E
Flow Pain Slope (VIVIII)	0.0075
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.93
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	10-yr
Fire Factor	0
ID	False
Output Results	
Modeled (10-vr) Rainfall Depth (in)	2,499
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.8166
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.1
Time of Concentration (min)	0.044
Time of Concentration (min)	18.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.827
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.827
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.2092
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	9111.5913
Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUS	TRIAL PARK B1)
0.8	A contract of the second se
0.0 -	
0.7 -	-
0.6	
0.0 -	
<u>ଜ</u> ୁ 0.5 -	-
0	
0.3	
0.2	
0.2	
0.1-	
0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (minutes)	
- (	

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	B2
$\Delta rea (ac)$	0.18
Flow Doth Longth (ft)	170.1
Flow Pain Lengin (II)	170.1
Flow Path Slope (vtt/nft)	0.0109
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.98
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	10-vr
Fire Factor	0
	Falso
	1 0.50
Output Results	0.400
wodeled (10-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	2.499
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	1.3685
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1505
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.885
Time of Concentration (min)	6.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.218
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.218
24 Hr Cloar Pupoff Volume (ac ft)	0.0220
24-HI Clear Duroff Volume (au-ft)	0.0329
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	1431.55
Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUS	STRIAL PARK: B2)
0.20	
0.20	-
0.15	
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	1
Ct.	
š	
<sup>LL</sup> 0.10	-
0.05	
0.00	/\ 1
0.00	
0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (minutes)	

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	B3	
Area (ac)	3.81	
Flow Path Length (ft)	849 93	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0075	
50-vr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	1.0	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	10-vr	
Fire Factor		
	Falso	
LID	1 4150	
Output Results		
Madalad (10 yr) Bainfall Danth (in)	2 400	
Noucleu (10-yr) Kallial Depti (III) Dook Intoncity (in/br)	2.433 0.8166	
reak Intensity (III/III) Undeveloped Rupoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.0100	
Dideveloped Runoll Coefficient (Cu)	0.1	
Developed Runoll Coefficient (Cd)	0.9	
Time of Concentration (min)	18.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (CIS)	2.8001	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (CIS)	2.8001	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.7082	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	30848.7821	
3.0 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: B3)		
2.5 -	_	
2.0 -	-	
3 1.5 - 8	1	
Ē.		
1.0 -		
0.5		
0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600	
Time (minutes)		

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	C
Area (ac)	1.58
Flow Path Length (ft)	881.01
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0068
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.01
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	10-yr
Fire Factor	0
LID	False
Output Results	0.400
Niodeled (10-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	2.499
Peak Intensity (In/Ir)	0.6423
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.1
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0 1096
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.1090
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0352
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	1535 1633
	1000.1000
0.12 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDU	STRIAL PARK: C)
	Λ
0.10	_
0.08	
0.00	
(cts	
8 0.00 -	
0.04 -	
0.02 -	
0.00	
0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (minutes)	

Input Parameters Project Name Subarea ID Area (ac) Flow Path Length (ft) Flow Path Slope (vft/hft) 50-yr Rainfall Depth (in) Percent Impervious Soil Type Design Storm Frequency Fire Factor LID	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK D 1.02 181.13 0.0136 3.5 0.14 134 10-yr 0 False	
Output Results Modeled (10-yr) Rainfall Depth (in) Peak Intensity (in/hr) Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu) Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd) Time of Concentration (min) Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs) Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs) 24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft) 24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	2.499 0.8388 0.1 0.212 17.0 0.1814 0.1814 0.0447 1945.3886	
0.20 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: D)		
0.15 - (st) MOL 0.10 -		
0.05 -		
0.00 0 200 400 600 800 Time (min	1000 1200 1400 1600 utes)	

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PAI MDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	A1	
Area (ac)	4.13	
Flow Path Length (ft)	1226.18	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0075	
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	0.83	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	25-yr	
Fire Factor	0	
LID	False	
Output Results		
Modeled (25-vr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3 073	
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0 8949	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.764	
Time of Concentration (min)	23.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	2.8237	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	2.8237	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.8014	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	34906.9086	
3.0 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: A1)		
2.5 -	-	
2.0 -		
(j) 1.5 -		
1.0 -		
0.5 -		
0.0 0 0 200 400 600 800 Time (min	1000 1200 1400 1600 utes)	

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID		
	0.89	
Flow Path Length (ft)	293.67	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0168	
50-vr Rainfall Denth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	0.84	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	25-vr	
Fire Factor	0	
	False	
Output Results		
Modeled (25-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3 073	
Peak Intensity (in/br)	1 /7	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0 1809	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.785	
Time of Concentration (min)	8.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	1 027	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	1 027	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0 1746	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	7605 504	
	7003.004	
1.2 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: A2)		
1.0 -	-	
0.8 -	-	
cts)		
	-	
0.4		
0.4		
0.2 -		
0.0 L	1000 1200 1400 1600	
	,	

File location: //langan.com/data/IEM/data6/722010601/Project Data/\_Discipline/Site Civil/Reports/Prelim Hydrology Report/Exhibits/Post-Development 25

Input Parameters	
Drojost Nomo	
Project Name	
	A3
Area (ac)	0.37
Flow Path Length (ft)	150.86
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0107
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.51
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	25-vr
Fire Factor	0
	False
210	1 0.00
Output Results Modeled (25-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.073
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	1.5653
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.2095
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.5616
Time of Concentration (min)	7.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.3253
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0 3253
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0479
24-III Clear Runoff Volume (au-ft)	0.0479
	2007.1007
0.35 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INI 0.30	DUSTRIAL PARK: A3)
0.25 -	_
( <u>s</u> ) 0.20 -	-
Ê 0.15 -	-
0.10 -	
0.05 -	
0.00	
0 200 400 600 800 Time (minut	1000 1200 1400 1600 tes)

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	A4	
Area (ac)	4.93	
Flow Path Length (ft)	857.05	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.005	
50-vr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	10	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	25-vr	
Fire Factor	0	
LID	False	
Output Results	0.070	
iviodeled (25-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.073	
Peak Intensity (In/nr)	1.0315	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.9	
Time of Concentration (min)	17.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (CIS)	4.5768	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (CIS)	4.5768	
24-Fil Clear Runoff Volume (ac-it)	1.1209	
24-mi Clear Runon Volume (cu-il)	49003.023	
5 Hydrograph (PALMDALE IND	USTRIAL PARK: A4)	
0 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 Time (minutes)		

File location: //langan.com/data/IEM/data6/722010601/Project Data/\_Discipline/Site Civil/Reports/Prelim Hydrology Report/Exhibits/Post-Development 25

Input Parameters		
Project Name PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL P	ARK	
Subarea ID B1		
Area (ac)		
Flow Path Length (ft) 787 18		
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft) 0.0075		
50-vr Rainfall Denth (in)		
Percent Impervious 0.93		
Soil Type 134		
Design Storm Frequency 25-vr		
Fire Factor 0		
LID False		
Output Results		
Modeled (25-yr) Rainfall Depth (in) 3.073		
Peak Intensity (in/hr) 1.0613		
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu) 0.1		
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd) 0.844		
Time of Concentration (min) 16.0		
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs) 1.0749		
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs) 1.0749		
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft) 0.2572		
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft) 11204.4401		
12 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: B1)		
1.0 -		
0.8 -		
(s)		
<u>o</u>		
0.4 -		
0.2		
0 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600		
Time (minutes)		

File location: //langan.com/data/IEM/data6/722010601/Project Data/\_Discipline/Site Civil/Reports/Prelim Hydrology Report/Exhibits/Post-Development 25

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMOALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	B2
	0.18
Flow Path Length (ft)	170 1
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0109
50-vr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.98
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	25-vr
Fire Factor	0
	False
	1 0.00
Output Results	
Modeled (25-vr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.073
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	1.8334
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.2793
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.8876
Time of Concentration (min)	5.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.2929
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.2929
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0404
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	1760.5677
0.30 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUS	TRIAL PARK: B2)
0.05	
0.25 -	1
0.20 -	-
(s	
5 0 15 -	
Ē.	
0.10	
0.05 -	
0.00	
0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (minutes)	

Input Parameters			
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK		
Subarea ID	B3		
Area (ac)	3.81		
Flow Path Length (ft)	849.93		
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0075		
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5		
Percent Impervious	1.0		
Soil Type	134		
Design Storm Frequency	25-yr		
Fire Factor	0		
LID	False		
Output Results			
Modeled (25-vr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.073		
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	1.0613		
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1		
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.9		
Time of Concentration (min)	16.0		
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	3.6393		
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	3.6393		
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.8709		
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	37934.464		
4.0 Hydrograph (PALMDALE IND	USTRIAL PARK: B3)		
25			
3.5 -	1		
3.0 -	-		
25			
2.3 -			
cls)			
S 2.0 -	-		
15			
1.5 -			
1.0 -			
0.5			
0.0			
0.0 200 400 600 900			
0 200 400 000 800 Time (minute	1000 1200 1400 1000 as)		
	50j		

File location: //langan.com/data/IEM/data6/722010601/Project Data/\_Discipline/Site Civil/Reports/Prelim Hydrology Report/Exhibits/Post-Development 25

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	C
Area (ac)	1.58
Flow Path Length (ft)	881.01
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0068
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.01
Soli Type Docian Storm Fraguency	134 25 yr
Fire Factor	0
	False
Output Results	
Modeled (25-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.073
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	0.7898
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.108
Time of Concentration (min)	30.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (CIS) Burnad Dock Flow Pate (cfa)	0.1348
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.1340
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	1887 7779
	1001.1110
0.14 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUS	STRIAL PARK: C)
	Λ
0.12	
0.12 -	
0.10	_
<u>م</u> 0.08	-
0.04 -	
0.02	
0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (minutes)	

File location: //langan.com/data/IEM/data6/722010601/Project Data/\_Discipline/Site Civil/Reports/Prelim Hydrology Report/Exhibits/Post-Development 25

langet Devenue (eve	
Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	D
Area (ac)	1 02
Flow Path Length (ft)	181 13
Flow Dath Slope (vft/hft)	0.0126
Flow Pain Slope (VI/III)	0.0130
50-yr Raintall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	0.14
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	25-yr
Fire Factor	0
LID	False
Output Posults	
	0.070
iviodeled (25-yr) Kaintali Depth (in)	3.073
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	1.1301
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.212
Time of Concentration (min)	14.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0 2444
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.2444
24 Hr Cloar Pupoff Volume (as ft)	0.0540
24-TI Clear Runoff Volume (ac-it)	0.0049
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	2392.2258
Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUS	STRIAL PARK: D)
0.25	
0.20 -	-
0.45	
0.15	
CI CI	
ž	
۳ 0.10 -	
0.05	
0.00	
0.00	
0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600
Time (minutes)	

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	A1	
Area (ac)	4.13	
Flow Path Length (ft)	1226.18	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0075	
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	0.83	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	50-yr	
Fire Factor	0	
LID	False	
Output Results		
Modeled (50-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	1.0638	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.764	
Time of Concentration (min)	21.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	3.3565	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	3.3565	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.9127	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	39757.2544	
3.5 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: A1)		
3.0 -	-	
2.5 -	-	
<u>ن چي</u> 2.0 –	-	
80 ⊑ 1.5 -		
1.0 -		
0.5 -		
0.0 0 200 400 600 800 Time (minutes	1000 1200 1400 1600 s)	

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	A2	
Area (ac)	0.89	
Flow Path Length (ft)	293.67	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0168	
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	0.84	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	50-yr	
Fire Factor	0	
LID	False	
Output Results		
Modeled (50-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	1.7828	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.2705	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.7993	
Time of Concentration (min)	7.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	1.2682	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	1.2682	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.199	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	8669.7655	
1.4 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: A2)		
1.2 -	-	
1.0 -	-	
- 8.0 E	-	
<sup>8</sup> □ 0.6 -	-	
0.4 -		
0.2 -		
0.0 0 200 400 600 800 Time (mir	1000 1200 1400 1600 nutes)	

Innut Parameters		
Drojost Nomo		
Project Name		
Subarea ID	A3	
Area (ac)	0.37	
Flow Path Length (ft)	150.86	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0107	
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	0.51	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	50-vr	
Fire Factor	0	
	False	
	1 4.00	
Output Results		
Modeled (50-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	1.9167	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.2938	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.6029	
Time of Concentration (min)	6.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.4276	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.4276	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0548	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	2386 431	
	2000.401	
0.40 -	-	
0.35 -	-	
0.30 -	-	
<u>ଜ</u> ୍ 0.25 -	-	
0.20 -	-	
0.15 -	-	
0.10 -		
0.05 -		
0.00		
0 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 Time (minutes)		

Input Parameters	
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK
Subarea ID	A4
Area (ac)	4.93
Flow Path Length (ft)	857.05
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.005
50-vr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Percent Impervious	1.0
Soil Type	134
Design Storm Frequency	50-vr
Fire Factor	0
	False
	1 0.50
Output Results	
Modeled (50-vr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	1.2088
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1026
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.9
Time of Concentration (min)	16.0
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	5.3634
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	5 3634
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	1 2834
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	55006 3800
	55500.5605
0 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 Time (minutes)	

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	B1	
Area (ac)	12	
Flow Path Length (ft)	787.18	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0075	
50-vr Rainfall Denth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	0.0	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	50-vr	
Fire Factor		
	False	
	1 4100	
Output Results		
Modeled (50-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	1.246	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1138	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.845	
Time of Concentration (min)	15.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	1,2634	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	1 2634	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.293	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	12761 6808	
	1210110000	
Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: B1)		
1.2 -	-	
10		
1.0 -		
$\sim 0.8$		
CC CC		
ž		
윤 <sub>0.6</sub> –		
0.4 -		
0.2		
U 200 400 600 80 T∷	vo 1000 1200 1400 1600	
lime (n	iiiiutes)	

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	B2	
$\Delta rea (ac)$	0.18	
Flow Path Length (ft)	170 1	
Flow Path Slope (vft/bft)	0.0100	
50-vr Painfall Donth (in)	3.5	
Dercont Importuique	0.08	
Soil Type	124	
Docian Storm Fraguency	50 yr	
Eiro Egotor	0 0	
	U Foloo	
LID	Faise	
Output Results Modeled (50-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5	
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	2.0882	
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.3235	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.8885	
Time of Concentration (min)	5.0	
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.334	
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.334	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.046	
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	2005.3142	
0.35 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: B2)		
0.30 -	-	
0.25 -	-	
$\sim 0.20$		
ci el		
) w		
윤 0.15 -	_	
0.10		
0.05		
0.00	1000 1200 1400 1600	
	1000 1200 1400 1600	
Time (minutes)		

Input Parameters		
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK	
Subarea ID	B3	
Area (ac)	3 81	
Flow Path Length (ft)	849 93	
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0075	
50-vr Rainfall Denth (in)	3.5	
Percent Impervious	1.0	
Soil Type	134	
Design Storm Frequency	50-vr	
Fire Factor	0	
	False	
210		
Output Results		
Modeled (50-yr) Painfall Dopth (in)	3.5	
Noueleu (50-yr) Kallilali Deptii (III) Dook Intonsity (in/br)	1.246	
Feak Intensity (III/III)	1.240 0.1138	
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.1130	
Time of Concentration (min)	15.0	
Clear Deak Flow Pote (ofe)	15.0	
Diedi Fedk Flow Rate (CIS) Burnad Daak Flow Pata (afa)	4.2720	
24 Hr Clear Pupoff Valuma (ap ft)	4.2720	
24-FIT Clear Runoff Volume (ac-it)	0.9919	
24-Fit Clear Runoit Volume (cu-it)	43205.5228	
4.5 Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK: B3)		
4.0 -	-	
3.5 -	-	
30		
0.0 -		
÷ 25		
Mo a c		
₽ 2.0		
1.5 -		
1.0 -		
0.5		
0.0 -		
0.0 200 400 600 800	1000 1200 1400 1600	
Time (minutes)		

Input Parameters				
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK			
Subarea ID	C			
Area (ac)	1.58			
Flow Path Length (ft)	881.01			
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0068			
50-yr Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5			
Percent Impervious	0.01			
Soil Type	134			
Design Storm Frequency	50-yr			
Fire Factor	0			
LID	False			
Output Results	0.5			
Modeled (50-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5			
Peak Intensity (In/nr)	0.8996			
Dideveloped Runoff Coefficient (CU)	0.1			
Time of Concentration (min)	20.0			
Clear Deak Elew Pate (cfc)	0 1525			
Burnod Book Flow Pate (cls)	0.1535			
21-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.1555			
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (au-ft)	2150 0887			
	2100.0007			
Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDUS	STRIAL PARK: C)			
0.10	Δ			
0.14 -				
0.12				
0.40				
0.10 -	-			
(its)				
<sup>3</sup> 0.08 −	-			
0.00 -				
0.04				
0.02				
0.00 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600				
Time (minutes)				
- (				

Input Parameters						
Project Name	PALMDALE INDUSTRIAL PARK					
Subarea ID						
	1 02					
Flow Path Length (ft)	181 13					
Flow Path Slope (vft/hft)	0.0136					
50-vr Painfall Denth (in)	3.5					
Percent Impervious	0.1/					
Soil Type	13/					
Design Storm Frequency	50-yr					
Fire Factor	0					
	Falso					
	1 4150					
Output Results						
Modeled (50-yr) Rainfall Depth (in)	3.5					
Peak Intensity (in/hr)	1.4416					
Undeveloped Runoff Coefficient (Cu)	0.1724					
Developed Runoff Coefficient (Cd)	0.2743					
Time of Concentration (min)	11.0					
Clear Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.4033					
Burned Peak Flow Rate (cfs)	0.4033					
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (ac-ft)	0.0633					
24-Hr Clear Runoff Volume (cu-ft)	2756.7005					
Hydrograph (PALMDALE INDU	STRIAL PARK: D)					
0.45						
0.40						
0.35 -	-					
0.30						
0.30 -						
<u>ଜ୍</u> ରୁ 0.25 -	-					
5) 2						
은 0.20 -	-					
0 15						
0.10						
0.05						
0.00						
0 200 400 600 800 Time (minutes)	1000 1200 1400 1600					

# Appendix F INFILTRATION CHAMBER CALCULATIONS AND DETAILS

# CHAMBER A

CHAMBER A STORAGE					
ELEVATION (FT)	STORAGE (CF)	CUMULATIVE STORAGE (CF)	DISCHARGE PER ELEVEATION (CFS)		
0.00	0	0	0		
0.17	2,500	2,500	0		
0.33	2,500	5,000	0		
0.50	2,500	7,500	0		
0.67	3,263	10,763	0		
0.83	3,857	14,619	0		
1.00	4,203	18,823	0		
1.17	4,451	23,274	0		
1.33	4,636	27,910	0.10		
1.50	4,772	32,682	0.15		
1.67	4,870	37,552	0.18		
1.83	4,933	42,485	0.21		
2.00	4,963	47,448	0.23		
2.17	4,963	52,411	0.26		
2.33	4,933	57,344	0.28		
2.50	4,870	62,214	0.30		
2.67	4,772	66,986	0.31		
2.83	4,636	71,622	0.33		
3.00	4,451	76,073	0.35		
3.17	4,203	80,277	0.36		
3.33	3,857	84,133	0.38		
3.50	3,263	87,396	0.39		
3.67	2,500	89,896	0.40		
3.83	2,500	92,396	0.42		
4.00	2,500	94,896	0.43		

DRAWDOWN/OUTLET VOLUME CALCULATIONS				
DESIGN INFILTRATION RATE (IN/HR)	0.4			
DRAWDOWN TIME (HR)	96			
DRAWDOWN IN 96 HRS (FT)	1.6			
CMP TOTAL FOOTPRINT (SF)	37,500			
DRAWDOWN VOLUME IN 96 HR	120,000			
VOLUME TO OUTLET (CF)	0			
AVERAGE DISCHARGE PER ELEVATION (CFS)	0.30			
AVERAGE DISCHARGE PER ELEVATION (CF/HR)	1,076			
DESIGN OUTLET VOLUME (CF IN 24 HR)	25,816			
OUTLET PIPE CENTROID ELEVATION	1.17			
PIPE DIAMETER (IN)	3			
PEAK OUTFLOW (CFS)	0.43			

# CHAMBER B

CHAMBER B STORAGE					
ELEVATION (FT)	STORAGE (CF)	CUMULATIVE STORAGE (CF)	DISCHARGE PER ELEVEATION (CFS)		
0.00	0	0	0.00		
0.17	571	571	0		
0.33	571	1,142	0		
0.50	571	1,714	0		
0.67	678	2,391	0		
0.83	764	3,155	0		
1.00	818	3,973	0		
1.17	860	4,834	0		
1.33	896	5,729	0		
1.50	926	6,655	0		
1.67	952	7,607	0		
1.83	975	8,583	0		
2.00	996	9,579	0		
2.17	1,015	10,594	0		
2.33	1,031	11,625	0		
2.50	1,046	12,672	0		
2.67	1,060	13,732	0.07		
2.83	1,072	14,803	0.10		
3.00	1,082	15,886	0.13		
3.17	1,092	16,978	0.14		
3.33	1,100	18,078	0.16		
3.50	1,107	19,185	0.18		
3.67	1,113	20,298	0.19		
3.83	1,118	21,416	0.20		
4.00	1,122	22,538	0.22		
4.17	1,125	23,663	0.23		
4.33	1,127	24,790	0.24		
4.50	1,120	25,910	0.25		
4.07	1,120	27,040	0.20		
5.00	1,127	20,173	0.28		
5.00	1,120	30 419	0.20		
5.33	1 118	31 538	0.30		
5 50	1 113	32 651	0.31		
5.67	1,107	33,758	0.32		
5.83	1,100	34.858	0.32		
6.00	1.092	35,950	0.33		
6.17	1,082	37,032	0.34		
6.33	1,072	38,104	0.35		
6.50	1,060	39,164	0.35		
6.67	1,046	40,210	0.36		
6.83	1,031	41,242	0.37		
7.00	1,015	42,257	0.38		
7.17	996	43,253	0.38		
7.33	975	44,228	0.39		
7.50	952	45,180	0.40		
7.67	926	46,106	0.40		
7.83	896	47,002	0.41		
8.00	860	47,862	0.42		
8.17	818	48,680	0.42		
8.33	764	49,444	0.43		
8.50	678	50,122	0.43		
8.67	571	50,693	0.44		
8.83	571	51,264	0.45		
9.00	571	51.836	0.45		

DRAWDOWN/OUTLET VOLUME CALCULATIONS				
DESIGN INFILTRATION RATE (IN/HR)	0.2			
DRAWDOWN TIME (HR)	96			
DRAWDOWN IN 96 HRS (FT)	1.6			
CMP TOTAL FOOTPRINT (SF)	8568			
DRAWDOWN VOLUME IN 96 HR	13709			
VOLUME TO OUTLET (CF)	38127			
AVERAGE DISCHARGE PER ELEVATION (CFS)	0.31			
AVERAGE DISCHARGE PER ELEVATION (CF/HR)	1104			
DESIGN OUTLET VOLUME (CF IN 24 HR)	26497			
OUTLET PIPE CENTROID ELEVATION	2.5			
PIPE DIAMETER (IN)	3			
PEAK OUTFLOW (CFS)	0.45			

### PROJECT SUMMARY

### CALCULATION DETAILS • LOADING = HS20/HS25

• APPROX. LINEAR FOOTAGE = 8,243 LF

### STORAGE SUMMARY

• STORAGE VOLUME REQUIRED = 93,685 CF

- PIPE STORAGE VOLUME = 58,266 CF
- BACKFILL STORAGE VOLUME = 36,693 CF
- TOTAL STORAGE PROVIDED = 94,960 CF

PIPE DETAILS

- DIAMETER = 36"
- CORRUGATION = 2 2/3x1/2
- GAGE = 16
- COATING = ALT2
- WALL TYPE = PERFORATED
- BARREL SPACING = 18"

### BACKFILL DETAILS

- WIDTH AT ENDS = 12"
- ABOVE PIPE = 6"
- WIDTH AT SIDES = 12"
- BELOW PIPE = 6"



ASSEMBLY

SCALE: 1" = 70'

<u>NOTES</u>

- ALL RISER AND STUB DIMENSIONS ARE TO CENTERLINE. ALL ELEVATIONS, DIMENSIONS, AND LOCATIONS OF RISERS AND INLETS, SHALL BE VERIFIED BY THE ENGINEER OF RECORD PRIOR TO RELEASING FOR FABRICATION.
- ALL FITTINGS AND REINFORCEMENT COMPLY WITH ASTM A998.
- ALL RISERS AND STUBS ARE  $2\frac{2}{3}$ " x  $\frac{1}{2}$ " CORRUGATION AND 16 GAGE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. • RISERS TO BE FIELD TRIMMED TO GRADE.
- RISERS TO BE FIELD TRIMMED TO GRADE. • QUANTITY OF PIPE SHOWN DOES NOT PROVIDE
- QUANTITY OF PIPE SHOWN DOES NOT PROVIDE EXTRA PIPE FOR CONNECTING THE SYSTEM TO EXISTING PIPE OR DRAINAGE STRUCTURES. OUR SYSTEM AS DETAILED PROVIDES NOMINAL INLET AND/OR OUTLET PIPE STUB FOR CONNECTION TO EXISTING DRAINAGE FACILITIES. IF ADDITIONAL PIPE IS NEEDED IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR.
- BAND TYPE TO BE DETERMINED UPON FINAL DESIGN.
  THE PROJECT SUMMARY IS REFLECTIVE OF THE DYODS DESIGN, QUANTITIES ARE APPROX. AND SHOULD BE VERIFIED UPON FINAL DESIGN AND APPROVAL. FOR EXAMPLE, TOTAL EXCAVATION DOES
- APPROVAL. FOR EXAMPLE, TOTAL EXCAVATION DOES NOT CONSIDER ALL VARIABLES SUCH AS SHORING AND ONLY ACCOUNTS FOR MATERIAL WITHIN THE ESTIMATED EXCAVATION FOOTPRINT. • THESE DRAWINGS ARE FOR CONCEPTUAL PURPOSES
- THESE DRAWINGS ARE FOR CONCEPTUAL PURPOSES AND DO NOT REFLECT ANY LOCAL PREFERENCES OR REGULATIONS. PLEASE CONTACT YOUR LOCAL CONTECH REP FOR MODIFICATIONS.

The design and information shown on this drawing is provided as a service to the project owner, engineer and contractor by Contech Engineered Solutions LLC ("Contech"). Neither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may bused, reproduced or modified in any manner without the prior written consent of				<b>C</b> MNTECH	<b>C</b> INTECH®	DYO29422 Palmdale
Contech. Failure to comply is done at the user's own risk and Contech expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for such use.				ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS LLC	CMP DETENTION SYSTEMS	Chamber A
If discrepancies between the supplied information upon which				www.ContechES.com	CONTECH	Palmdale, CA
as site work progresses, these discrepancies must be reported to Contech immediately for re-evaluation of the design. Contech				9025 Centre Pointe Dr., Suite 400, West Chester, OH 45069		DETENTION SYS
accepts no liability for designs based on missing, incomplete or inaccurate information supplied by others.	DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION	BY	800-336-1122 513-043-7000 513-043-7993 FAX		

	PROJECT No.:	SEQ. I	No.:	DATE:
e Basins	19757	294	422	3/29/2023
	DESIGNED:		DRAW	'N:
	DYO			DYO
Λ	CHECKED:		APPR	OVED:
7	DYO			DYO
STEM	SHEET NO .:			
				1





#### CONSTRUCTION LOADS

FOR TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION VEHICLE LOADS, AN EXTRA AMOUNT OF COMPACTED COVER MAY BE REQUIRED OVER THE TOP OF THE PIPE. THE HEIGHT-OF-COVER SHALL MEET THE MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS SHOWN IN THE TABLE BELOW. THE USE OF HEAVY CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT NECESSITATES GREATER PROTECTION FOR THE PIPE THAN FINISHED GRADE COVER MINIMUMS FOR NORMAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC.

PIPE SPAN,	AXLE LOADS (kips)			
INCHES	18-50	50-75	75-110	110-150
	MI	NIMUM C	OVER (F	-T)
12-42	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0
48-72	3.0	3.0	3.5	4.0
78-120	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.0
126-144	3.5	4.0	4.5	4.5

\*MINIMUM COVER MAY VARY, DEPENDING ON LOCAL CONDITIONS. THE CONTRACTOR MUST PROVIDE THE ADDITIONAL COVER REQUIRED TO AVOID DAMAGE TO THE PIPE. MINIMUM COVER IS MEASURED FROM THE TOP OF THE PIPE TO THE TOP OF THE MAINTAINED CONSTRUCTION ROADWAY SURFACE.

### CONSTRUCTION LOADING DIAGRAM

### SCALE: N.T.S.

#### SPECIFICATION FOR DESIGNED DETENTION SYSTEM:

#### SCOPE

THIS SPECIFICATION COVERS THE MANUFACTURE AND INSTALLATION OF THE DESIGNED DETENTION SYSTEM DETAILED IN THE PROJECT PLANS.

#### MATERIA

THE MATERIAL SHALL CONFORM TO THE APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS LISTED BELOW

ALUMINIZED TYPE 2 STEEL COILS SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO M-274 OR ASTM A-92.

THE GALVANIZED STEEL COILS SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO M-218 OR ASTM A-929.

THE POLYMER COATED STEEL COILS SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO M-246 OR ASTM A-742.

THE ALUMINUM COILS SHALL CONFORM TO THE APPLICABLE OF AASHTO M-197 OR ASTM B-744.

#### CONSTRUCTION LOADS

CONSTRUCTION LOADS MAY BE HIGHER THAN FINAL LOADS. FOLLOW THE MANUFACTURER'S OR NCSPA GUIDELINES.

NOTE:
THESE DRAWINGS ARE FOR CONCEPTUAL
PURPOSES AND DO NOT REFLECT ANY LOCAL
PREFERENCES OR REGULATIONS. PLEASE
CONTACT YOUR LOCAL CONTECH REP FOR
MODIFICATIONS.

5	accepts no liability for designs based on missing, incomplete or inaccurate information supplied by others.	DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION
Š	the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these discrepancies must be reported to Contech immediately for re-evaluation of the design. Contech		
5	If discrepancies between the supplied information upon which		
1	such use.		
	Contech expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for		
3	Contech. Failure to comply is done at the user's own risk and		
2	modified in any manner without the prior written consent of		
í	drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or		
2	Contech Engineered Solutions LLC ("Contech"). Neither this		
	as a service to the project owner, engineer and contractor by		
	The design and information shown on this drawing is provided		

THE PIPE SHALL BE MANUFACTURED IN ACCORDANCE TO THE APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS LISTED BELOW:

ALUMINIZED TYPE 2: AASHTO M-36 OR ASTM A-760

GALVANIZED: AASHTO M-36 OR ASTM A-760

AFFOLIZATELE COATED: AASHTO M-245 OR ASTM A-762

ALUMINUM: AASHTO M-196 OR ASTM B-745

APPLICABLE HANDLING AND ASSEMBLY

SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH NCSP'S (NATIONAL CORRUGATED STEEL AFPRECABSECIATION) FOR ALUMINIZED TYPE 2. GALVANIZED OR POLYMER COATED STEEL. SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ALUMINUM PIPE.

REQUIREMENTS

INSTALLATION SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AASHTO STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR HIGHWAY BRIDGES, SECTION 26, DIVISION II DIVISION II OR ASTM A-798 (FOR ALUMINIZED TYPE 2, GALVANIZED OR POLYMER COATED STEEL) OR ASTM B-788 (FOR ALUMINUM PIPE) AND IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE PROJECT PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS. IF THERE ARE ANY INCONSISTENCIES OR CONFLICTS THE CONTRACTOR SHOULD DISCUSS AND RESOLVE WITH THE SITE ENGINEER.

IT IS ALWAYS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO FOLLOW OSHA GUIDELINES FOR SAFE PRACTICES.

> ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS LLC www.ContechES.com

9025 Centre Pointe Dr., Suite 400, West Chester, OH 45069

513-645-7993 FAX

513-645-7000

800-338-1122

BY



### SECTION VIEW



REINFORCING TABLE							
Ø CMP RISER	A	ØB	REINFORCING	**BEARING PRESSURE (PSF)			
24"	⊘ 4' 4'X4'	26"	#5 @ 12" OCEW #5 @ 12" OCEW	2,410 1,780			
30"	∞ 4'-6" 4'-6" X 4'-6"	32"	#5 @ 12" OCEW #5 @ 12" OCEW	2,120 1,530			
36"	∞ 5' 5' X 5'	38"	#5 @ 10" OCEW #5 @ 10" OCEW	1,890 1,350			
42"	∅ 5'-6" 5'-6" X 5'-6"	44"	#5 @ 10" OCEW #5 @ 9" OCEW	1,720 1,210			
48"	∞ 6' 6' X 6'	50"	#5 @ 9" OCEW #5 @ 8" OCEW	1,600 1,100			

\*\* ASSUMED SOIL BEARING CAPACITY

### **CMP DETENTION INSTALLATION GUIDE**

PROPER INSTALLATION OF A FLEXIBLE UNDERGROUND DETENTION SYSTEM WILL ENSURE LONG-TERM PERFORMANCE. THE CONFIGURATION OF THESE SYSTEMS OFTEN REQUIRES SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION PRACTICES THAT DIFFER FROM CONVENTIONAL FLEXIBLE PIPE CONSTRUCTION. CONTECH ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS STRONGLY SUGGESTS SCHEDULING A PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING WITH YOUR LOCAL SALES ENGINEER TO DETERMINE IF ADDITIONAL MEASURES, NOT COVERED IN THIS GUIDE, ARE APPROPRIATE FOR YOUR SITE.

### FOUNDATION

CONSTRUCT A FOUNDATION THAT CAN SUPPORT THE DESIGN LOADING APPLIED BY THE PIPE AND ADJACENT BACKFILL WEIGHT AS WELL AS MAINTAIN ITS INTEGRITY DURING CONSTRUCTION.

IF SOFT OR UNSUITABLE SOILS ARE ENCOUNTERED, REMOVE THE POOR SOILS DOWN TO A SUITABLE DEPTH AND THEN BUILD UP TO THE APPROPRIATE FLEVATION WITH A COMPETENT BACKEILL MATERIAL. THE STRUCTURAL FILL MATERIAL GRADATION SHOULD NOT ALLOW THE MIGRATION OF FINES, WHICH CAN CAUSE SETTLEMENT OF THE DETENTION SYSTEM OR PAVEMENT ABOVE. IF THE STRUCTURAL FILL MATERIAL IS NOT COMPATIBLE WITH THE UNDERLYING SOILS AN ENGINEERING FABRIC SHOULD BE USED AS A SEPARATOR IN SOME CASES, USING A STIFE REINFORCING GEOGRIF REDUCES OVER EXCAVATION AND REPLACEMENT FILL QUANTITIES.



GRADE THE FOUNDATION SUBGRADE TO A UNIFORM OR SLIGHTLY SLOPING GRADE. IF THE SUBGRADE IS CLAY OR RELATIVELY NON-POROUS AND THE CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE WILL LAST FOR AN EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME. IT IS BEST TO SLOPE THE GRADE TO ONE END OF THE SYSTEM. THIS WILL ALLOW EXCESS WATER TO DRAIN QUICKLY, PREVENTING SATURATION OF THE SUBGRADE

### **GEOMEMBRANE BARRIER**

The design and information shown on this drawing is provide as a service to the project owner, engineer and contractor by Contech Engineered Solutions LLC ("Contech"). Neither this

e drawing is based and actual field conditions are end as site work progresses, these discrepancies must be n to Contech immediately for re-evaluation of the design. accepts no liability for designs based on missing, incom naccurate information supplied by others.

ween the supplied information upon which

rawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, repro modified in any manner without the prior written consent of Contech. Failure to comply is done at the user's own risk an Contech expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for

A SITE'S RESISTIVITY MAY CHANGE OVER TIME WHEN VARIOUS TYPES OF SALTING AGENTS ARE USED, SUCH AS ROAD SALTS FOR DEICING AGENTS. IF SALTING AGENTS ARE USED ON OR NEAR THE PROJECT SITE, A GEOMEMBRANE THE ENTIRE WIDTH OF THE SYSTEM IS REACHED, ADVANCE THE EQUIPMENT BARRIER IS RECOMMENDED WITH THE SYSTEM. THE GEOMEMBRANE LINER IS INTENDED TO HELP PROTECT THE SYSTEM FROM THE POTENTIAL ADVERSE EFFECTS THAT MAY RESULT FROM THE USE OF SUCH AGENTS INCLUDING PREMATURE CORROSION AND REDUCED ACTUAL SERVICE LIFE.

THE PROJECT'S ENGINEER OF RECORD IS TO EVALUATE WHETHER SALTING AGENTS WILL BE USED ON OR NEAR THE PROJECT SITE, AND USE HIS/HER BEST JUDGEMENT TO DETERMINE IF ANY ADDITIONAL PROTECTIVE MEASURES ARE REQUIRED. BELOW IS A TYPICAL DETAIL SHOWING THE PLACEMENT OF A GEOMEMBRANE BARRIER FOR PROJECTS WHERE SALTING AGENTS ARE USED ON OR NEAR THE PROJECT SITE

### **IN-SITU TRENCH WALL**

IF EXCAVATION IS REQUIRED, THE TRENCH WALL NEEDS TO BE CAPABLE OF SUPPORTING THE LOAD THAT THE PIPE SHEDS AS THE SYSTEM IS LOADED. IF SOILS ARE NOT CAPABLE OF SUPPORTING THESE LOADS, THE PIPE CAN DEFLECT PERFORM A SIMPLE SOIL PRESSURE CHECK USING THE APPLIED LOADS TO DETERMINE THE LIMITS OF EXCAVATION BEYOND THE SPRING LINE OF THE OUTER MOST PIPES

IN MOST CASES THE REQUIREMENTS FOR A SAFE WORK ENVIRONMENT AND PROPER BACKFILL PLACEMENT AND COMPACTION TAKE CARE OF THIS CONCERN.



### **BACKFILL PLACEMENT**

MATERIAL SHALL BE WORKED INTO THE PIPE HAUNCHES BY MEANS OF SHOVEL-SLICING, RODDING, AIR TAMPER, VIBRATORY ROD, OR OTHER EFFECTIVE METHODS



IF AASHTO T99 PROCEDURES ARE DETERMINED INFEASIBLE BY THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER OF RECORD. COMPACTION IS CONSIDERED ADEQUATE WHEN NO FURTHER YIELDING OF THE MATERIAL IS OBSERVED. UNDER THE COMPACTOR, OR UNDER FOOT, AND THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER OF RECORD (OR REPRESENTATIVE THEREOF) IS SATISFIED WITH THE LEVEL OF COMPACTION.

FOR LARGE SYSTEMS, CONVEYOR SYSTEMS, BACKHOES WITH LONG REACHES OR DRAGLINES WITH STONE BUCKETS MAY BE USED TO PLACE BACKFILL, ONCE MINIMUM COVER FOR CONSTRUCTION LOADING ACROSS TO THE END OF THE RECENTLY PLACED FILL, AND BEGIN THE SEQUENCE AGAIN UNTIL THE SYSTEM IS COMPLETELY BACKFILLED. THIS TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE PROVIDES ROOM FOR STOCKPILED BACKFILL DIRECTLY BEHIND THE BACKHOE AS WELL AS THE MOVEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC, MATERIAL STOCKPILES ON TOP OF THE BACKFILLED DETENTION SYSTEM SHOULD BE LIMITED TO 8- TO 10-FEET HIGH AND MUST PROVIDE BALANCED LOADING ACROSS ALL BARRELS. TO DETERMINE THE PROPER COVER OVER THE PIPES TO ALLOW THE MOVEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT SEE TABLE 1, OR CONTACT YOUR LOCAL CONTECH SALES ENGINEER.

WHEN FLOWABLE FILL IS USED, YOU MUST PREVENT PIPE FLOATATION TYPICALLY, SMALL LIFTS ARE PLACED BETWEEN THE PIPES AND THEN ALLOWED TO SET-UP PRIOR TO THE PLACEMENT OF THE NEXT LIFT. THE ALLOWABLE THICKNESS OF THE CLSM LIFT IS A FUNCTION OF A PROPER BALANCE BETWEEN THE UPLIFT FORCE OF THE CLSM, THE OPPOSING WEIGHT OF THE PIPE, AND THE EFFECT OF OTHER RESTRAINING MEASURES. THE PIPE CAN CARRY LIMITED FLUID PRESSURE WITHOUT PIPE DISTORTION OR DISPLACEMENT, WHICH ALSO AFFECTS THE CLSM LIFT THICKNESS. YOUR LOCAL CONTECH SALES ENGINEER CAN HELP DETERMINE THE PROPER LIFT THICKNESS.



### **CONSTRUCTION LOADING**

ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT AND TRASH CAN TYPICALLY BE EVACUATED TYPICALLY, THE MINIMUM COVER SPECIFIED FOR A PROJECT ASSUMES H-20 THROUGH THE MANHOLE OVER THE OUTLET ORIFICE. IF MAINTENANCE IS NOT LIVE LOAD. BECAUSE CONSTRUCTION LOADS OFTEN EXCEED DESIGN LIVE PERFORMED AS RECOMMENDED, SEDIMENT AND TRASH MAY ACCUMULATE IN LOADS, INCREASED TEMPORARY MINIMUM COVER REQUIREMENTS ARE FRONT OF THE OUTLET ORIFICE. MANHOLE COVERS SHOULD BE SECURELY SEATED FOLLOWING CLEANING ACTIVITIES. CONTECH SUGGESTS THAT ALL NECESSARY. SINCE CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT VARIES FROM JOB TO JOB, SYSTEMS BE DESIGNED WITH AN ACCESS/INSPECTION MANHOLE SITUATED AT IT IS BEST TO ADDRESS EQUIPMENT SPECIFIC MINIMUM COVER OR NEAR THE INLET AND THE OUTLET ORIFICE. SHOULD IT BE NECESSARY TO REQUIREMENTS WITH YOUR LOCAL CONTECH SALES ENGINEER DURING GET INSIDE THE SYSTEM TO PERFORM MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES, ALL APPROPRIATE PRECAUTIONS REGARDING CONFINED SPACE ENTRY AND OSHA YOUR PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING. REGULATIONS SHOULD BE FOLLOWED.

### ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

BECAUSE MOST SYSTEMS ARE CONSTRUCTED BELOW-GRADE, RAINFALL AS PART OF THE MAINTENANCE PROGRAM FOR THE SYSTEM CAN RAPIDLY FILL THE EXCAVATION; POTENTIALLY CAUSING FLOATATION MAINTAINING AN UNDERGROUND DETENTION OR INFILTRATION SYSTEM IS AND MOVEMENT OF THE PREVIOUSLY PLACED PIPES. TO HELP MITIGATE EASIEST WHEN THERE IS NO FLOW ENTERING THE SYSTEM. FOR THIS POTENTIAL PROBLEMS, IT IS BEST TO START THE INSTALLATION AT THE REASON. IT IS A GOOD IDEA TO SCHEDULE THE CLEANOUT DURING DRY DOWNSTREAM END WITH THE OUTLET ALREADY CONSTRUCTED TO ALLOW WEATHER A ROUTE FOR THE WATER TO ESCAPE. TEMPORARY DIVERSION MEASURES MAY BE REQUIRED FOR HIGH FLOWS DUE TO THE RESTRICTED NATURE OF THE OUTLET PIPE







			<b>OWENITEOU</b>	Ask Niteali®
			<b>U</b> I E U A	Vini i Lyn
			ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS LLC	CMP DETENTION SYSTEMS
			www.ContechES.com	CONTECH
			9025 Centre Pointe Dr., Suite 400, West Chester, OH 45069	DYODS
DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION	BY	800-338-1122 513-645-7000 513-645-7993 FAX	DRAWING

DYO29422 Palmdale Chamber A Palmdale, CA DETENTION SYS

### **CMP DETENTION SYSTEM INSPECTION AND** MAINTENANCE

UNDERGROUND STORMWATER DETENTION AND INFILTRATION SYSTEMS MUST BE INSPECTED AND MAINTAINED AT REGULAR INTERVALS FOR PURPOSES OF PERFORMANCE AND LONGEVITY.

### INSPECTION

INSPECTION IS THE KEY TO EFFECTIVE MAINTENANCE OF CMP DETENTION SYSTEMS AND IS EASILY PERFORMED. CONTECH RECOMMENDS ONGOING. ANNUAL INSPECTIONS. SITES WITH HIGH TRASH LOAD OR SMALL OUTLET CONTROL ORIFICES MAY NEED MORE FREQUENT INSPECTIONS. THE RATE AT WHICH THE SYSTEM COLLECTS POLLUTANTS WILL DEPEND MORE ON SITE SPECIFIC ACTIVITIES RATHER THAN THE SIZE OR CONFIGURATION OF THE SYSTEM.

INSPECTIONS SHOULD BE PERFORMED MORE OFTEN IN EQUIPMENT WASHDOWN AREAS. IN CLIMATES WHERE SANDING AND/OR SALTING OPERATIONS TAKE PLACE AND IN OTHER VARIOUS INSTANCES IN WHICH ONE WOULD EXPECT HIGHER ACCUMULATIONS OF SEDIMENT OR ABRASIVE/ CORROSIVE CONDITIONS. A RECORD OF EACH INSPECTION IS TO BE MAINTAINED FOR THE LIFE OF THE SYSTEM

### MAINTENANCE

CMP DETENTION SYSTEMS SHOULD BE CLEANED WHEN AN INSPECTION REVEALS ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT OR TRASH IS CLOGGING THE DISCHARGE ORIFICE

ANNUAL INSPECTIONS ARE BEST PRACTICE FOR ALL UNDERGROUND SYSTEMS. DURING THIS INSPECTION, IF EVIDENCE OF SALTING/DE-ICING AGENTS IS OBSERVED WITHIN THE SYSTEM, IT IS BEST PRACTICE FOR THE SYSTEM TO BE RINSED, INCLUDING ABOVE THE SPRING LINE SOON AFTER THE SPRING THAW

THE FOREGOING INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE EFFORTS HELP ENSURE UNDERGROUND PIPE SYSTEMS USED FOR STORMWATER STORAGE CONTINUE TO FUNCTION AS INTENDED BY IDENTIFYING RECOMMENDED REGULAR INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE PRACTICES. INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE RELATED TO THE STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY OF THE PIPE OR THE SOUNDNESS OF PIPE JOINT CONNECTIONS IS BEYOND THE SCOPE OF THIS GUIDE.

	PROJECT No.:	SEQ.	No.:	DATE:	
e Basins	19757	294	422	3/29/202	3
	DESIGNED:		DRAWN:		
	DYO			DYO	
<b>^</b>	CHECKED:		APPR	OVED:	
<b>¬</b>	DYO			DYO	
STEM	SHEET NO .:				
. =					1

### PROJECT SUMMARY

### CALCULATION DETAILS • LOADING = HS20/HS25

• APPROX. LINEAR FOOTAGE = 702 LF

### STORAGE SUMMARY

• STORAGE VOLUME REQUIRED = 52,000 CF

- PIPE STORAGE VOLUME = 35,286 CF
- BACKFILL STORAGE VOLUME = 16,730 CF
- TOTAL STORAGE PROVIDED = 52,017 CF

PIPE DETAILS

- DIAMETER = 96"
- CORRUGATION = 5x1
- GAGE = 16
- COATING = ALT2
- WALL TYPE = PERFORATED
- BARREL SPACING = 36"

### BACKFILL DETAILS

### • WIDTH AT ENDS = 36"

- ABOVE PIPE = 6"
- WIDTH AT SIDES = 36"
- BELOW PIPE = 6"



- ALL RISER AND STUB DIMENSIONS ARE TO CENTERLINE. ALL ELEVATIONS, DIMENSIONS, AND LOCATIONS OF RISERS AND INLETS, SHALL BE VERIFIED BY THE ENGINEER OF RECORD PRIOR TO RELEASING FOR FABRICATION.
- ALL FITTINGS AND REINFORCEMENT COMPLY WITH ASTM A998.
- ALL RISERS AND STUBS ARE  $2\frac{2}{3}$ " x  $\frac{1}{2}$ " Corrugation AND 16 GAGE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. • RISERS TO BE FIELD TRIMMED TO GRADE.
- QUANTITY OF PIPE SHOWN DOES NOT PROVIDE EXTRA PIPE FOR CONNECTING THE SYSTEM TO EXISTING PIPE OR DRAINAGE STRUCTURES. OUR SYSTEM AS DETAILED PROVIDES NOMINAL INLET AND/OR OUTLET PIPE STUB FOR CONNECTION TO EXISTING DRAINAGE FACILITIES. IF ADDITIONAL PIPE IS NEEDED IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR.
- BAND TYPE TO BE DETERMINED UPON FINAL DESIGN. • THE PROJECT SUMMARY IS REFLECTIVE OF THE DYODS DESIGN, QUANTITIES ARE APPROX. AND SHOULD BE VERIFIED UPON FINAL DESIGN AND APPROVAL. FOR EXAMPLE, TOTAL EXCAVATION DOES
- NOT CONSIDER ALL VARIABLES SUCH AS SHORING AND ONLY ACCOUNTS FOR MATERIAL WITHIN THE ESTIMATED EXCAVATION FOOTPRINT. • THESE DRAWINGS ARE FOR CONCEPTUAL PURPOSES
- AND DO NOT REFLECT ANY LOCAL PREFERENCES OR REGULATIONS. PLEASE CONTACT YOUR LOCAL CONTECH REP FOR MODIFICATIONS.

The design and information shown on this drawing is provided as a service to the project owner, engineer and contractor by Contech Engineered Solutions LLC ("Contech") Neither this				<b>OWNITEOU</b>		
drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or						D102943
Contech. Failure to comply is done at the user's own risk and						C
Contech expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for such use				ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS LLC	CMP DETENTION SYSTEMS	L L
				www.ContechES.com		Pa
It discrepancies between the supplied information upon which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered				9025 Centre Pointe Dr., Suite 400, West Chester, OH 45069		16
as site work progresses, these discrepancies must be reported to Contech immediately for re-evaluation of the design. Contech						DETEN
accepts no liability for designs based on missing, incomplete or inaccurate information supplied by others.	DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION	BY	800-338-1122 513-645-7000 513-645-7993 FAX	DRAWING	DETER

۰ <sup>0</sup>

- 232'-0"

ASSEMBLY SCALE: 1" = 30'

> 30 Palmdale Chamber B almdale, CA NTION SYS

	PROJECT No.:	SEQ. I	No.:	DATE:	
e Basins	19757	294	430	3/29/202	23
	DESIGNED:		DRAW	'N:	
	DYO			DYO	
N [	CHECKED:		APPR	OVED:	
1	DYO			DYO	
STEM	SHEET NO .:				
· · <b>—</b> ····	1				1

-





#### CONSTRUCTION LOADS

FOR TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION VEHICLE LOADS, AN EXTRA AMOUNT OF COMPACTED COVER MAY BE REQUIRED OVER THE TOP OF THE PIPE. THE HEIGHT-OF-COVER SHALL MEET THE MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS SHOWN IN THE TABLE BELOW. THE USE OF HEAVY CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT NECESSITATES GREATER PROTECTION FOR THE PIPE THAN FINISHED GRADE COVER MINIMUMS FOR NORMAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC.

PIPE SPAN,	AXLE LOADS (kips)				
INCHES	18-50	50-75	75-110	110-150	
	MINIMUM COVER (FT)				
12-42	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	
48-72	3.0	3.0	3.5	4.0	
78-120	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	
126-144	3.5	4.0	4.5	4.5	

\*MINIMUM COVER MAY VARY, DEPENDING ON LOCAL CONDITIONS. THE CONTRACTOR MUST PROVIDE THE ADDITIONAL COVER REQUIRED TO AVOID DAMAGE TO THE PIPE. MINIMUM COVER IS MEASURED FROM THE TOP OF THE PIPE TO THE TOP OF THE MAINTAINED CONSTRUCTION ROADWAY SURFACE.

### CONSTRUCTION LOADING DIAGRAM

### SCALE: N.T.S.

#### SPECIFICATION FOR DESIGNED DETENTION SYSTEM:

#### SCOPE

THIS SPECIFICATION COVERS THE MANUFACTURE AND INSTALLATION OF THE DESIGNED DETENTION SYSTEM DETAILED IN THE PROJECT PLANS.

#### MATERIA

THE MATERIAL SHALL CONFORM TO THE APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS LISTED BELOW

ALUMINIZED TYPE 2 STEEL COILS SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO M-274 OR ASTM A-92.

THE GALVANIZED STEEL COILS SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO M-218 OR ASTM A-929.

THE POLYMER COATED STEEL COILS SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO M-246 OR ASTM A-742.

THE ALUMINUM COILS SHALL CONFORM TO THE APPLICABLE OF AASHTO M-197 OR ASTM B-744.

### CONSTRUCTION LOADS

CONSTRUCTION LOADS MAY BE HIGHER THAN FINAL LOADS. FOLLOW THE MANUFACTURER'S OR NCSPA GUIDELINES.

NOTE:
THESE DRAWINGS ARE FOR CONCEPTUAL
PURPOSES AND DO NOT REFLECT ANY LOCA
PREFERENCES OR REGULATIONS. PLEASE
CONTACT YOUR LOCAL CONTECH REP FOR
MODIFICATIONS.

-	ine deeignane mennene ene and ing ie presidee		
3	as a service to the project owner, engineer and contractor by		
8	Contech Engineered Solutions LLC ("Contech"). Neither this		
ш	drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or		
¥.	modified in any manner without the prior written consent of		
2	Contech. Failure to comply is done at the user's own risk and		
室	Contech expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for		
Ξ	such use.		
5			
ĔΙ	If discrepancies between the supplied information upon which		
ЖI	the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered		
۲Ľ	as site work progresses, these discrepancies must be reported		
ЖI	to Contech immediately for re-evaluation of the design. Contech		
2	accepts no liability for designs based on missing, incomplete or		
0	inaccurate information supplied by others.	DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION

THE PIPE SHALL BE MANUFACTURED IN ACCORDANCE TO THE APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS LISTED BELOW:

ALUMINIZED TYPE 2: AASHTO M-36 OR ASTM A-760

GALVANIZED: AASHTO M-36 OR ASTM A-760

AFFOLIZATELE COATED: AASHTO M-245 OR ASTM A-762

ALUMINUM: AASHTO M-196 OR ASTM B-745

APPLICABLE HANDLING AND ASSEMBLY

SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH NCSP'S (NATIONAL CORRUGATED STEEL AFPRECABSECIATION) FOR ALUMINIZED TYPE 2. GALVANIZED OR POLYMER COATED STEEL. SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ALUMINUM PIPE.

REQUIREMENTS

INSTALLATION SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AASHTO STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR HIGHWAY BRIDGES, SECTION 26, DIVISION II DIVISION II OR ASTM A-798 (FOR ALUMINIZED TYPE 2, GALVANIZED OR POLYMER COATED STEEL) OR ASTM B-788 (FOR ALUMINUM PIPE) AND IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE PROJECT PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS. IF THERE ARE ANY INCONSISTENCIES OR CONFLICTS THE CONTRACTOR SHOULD DISCUSS AND RESOLVE WITH THE SITE ENGINEER.

IT IS ALWAYS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO FOLLOW OSHA GUIDELINES FOR SAFE PRACTICES.

> ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS LLC www.ContechES.com

9025 Centre Pointe Dr., Suite 400, West Chester, OH 45069

513-645-7993 FAX

513-645-7000

800-338-1122

BY



### SECTION VIEW



REINFORCING TABLE							
Ø CMP RISER	A	ØB	REINFORCING	**BEARING PRESSURE (PSF)			
24"	⊘ 4' 4'X4'	26"	#5 @ 12" OCEW #5 @ 12" OCEW	2,410 1,780			
30"	∞ 4'-6" 4'-6" X 4'-6"	32"	#5 @ 12" OCEW #5 @ 12" OCEW	2,120 1,530			
36"	∞ 5' 5' X 5'	38"	#5 @ 10" OCEW #5 @ 10" OCEW	1,890 1,350			
42"	∅ 5'-6" 5'-6" X 5'-6"	44"	#5 @ 10" OCEW #5 @ 9" OCEW	1,720 1,210			
48"	∞ 6' 6' X 6'	50"	#5 @ 9" OCEW #5 @ 8" OCEW	1,600 1,100			

\*\* ASSUMED SOIL BEARING CAPACITY
#### **CMP DETENTION INSTALLATION GUIDE**

PROPER INSTALLATION OF A FLEXIBLE UNDERGROUND DETENTION SYSTEM WILL ENSURE LONG-TERM PERFORMANCE. THE CONFIGURATION OF THESE SYSTEMS OFTEN REQUIRES SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION PRACTICES THAT DIFFER FROM CONVENTIONAL FLEXIBLE PIPE CONSTRUCTION. CONTECH ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS STRONGLY SUGGESTS SCHEDULING A PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING WITH YOUR LOCAL SALES ENGINEER TO DETERMINE IF ADDITIONAL MEASURES, NOT COVERED IN THIS GUIDE, ARE APPROPRIATE FOR YOUR SITE.

#### FOUNDATION

CONSTRUCT A FOUNDATION THAT CAN SUPPORT THE DESIGN LOADING APPLIED BY THE PIPE AND ADJACENT BACKFILL WEIGHT AS WELL AS MAINTAIN ITS INTEGRITY DURING CONSTRUCTION.

IF SOFT OR UNSUITABLE SOILS ARE ENCOUNTERED, REMOVE THE POOR SOILS DOWN TO A SUITABLE DEPTH AND THEN BUILD UP TO THE APPROPRIATE FLEVATION WITH A COMPETENT BACKEILL MATERIAL. THE STRUCTURAL FILL MATERIAL GRADATION SHOULD NOT ALLOW THE MIGRATION OF FINES, WHICH CAN CAUSE SETTLEMENT OF THE DETENTION SYSTEM OR PAVEMENT ABOVE. IF THE STRUCTURAL FILL MATERIAL IS NOT COMPATIBLE WITH THE UNDERLYING SOILS AN ENGINEERING FABRIC SHOULD BE USED AS A SEPARATOR IN SOME CASES, USING A STIFE REINFORCING GEOGRIF REDUCES OVER EXCAVATION AND REPLACEMENT FILL QUANTITIES.



GRADE THE FOUNDATION SUBGRADE TO A UNIFORM OR SLIGHTLY SLOPING GRADE. IF THE SUBGRADE IS CLAY OR RELATIVELY NON-POROUS AND THE CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE WILL LAST FOR AN EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME. IT IS BEST TO SLOPE THE GRADE TO ONE END OF THE SYSTEM. THIS WILL ALLOW EXCESS WATER TO DRAIN QUICKLY, PREVENTING SATURATION OF THE SUBGRADE

#### **GEOMEMBRANE BARRIER**

A SITE'S RESISTIVITY MAY CHANGE OVER TIME WHEN VARIOUS TYPES OF SALTING AGENTS ARE USED, SUCH AS ROAD SALTS FOR DEICING AGENTS. IF SALTING AGENTS ARE USED ON OR NEAR THE PROJECT SITE, A GEOMEMBRANE BARRIER IS RECOMMENDED WITH THE SYSTEM. THE GEOMEMBRANE LINER IS INTENDED TO HELP PROTECT THE SYSTEM FROM THE POTENTIAL ADVERSE EFFECTS THAT MAY RESULT FROM THE USE OF SUCH AGENTS INCLUDING PREMATURE CORROSION AND REDUCED ACTUAL SERVICE LIFE.

THE PROJECT'S ENGINEER OF RECORD IS TO EVALUATE WHETHER SALTING AGENTS WILL BE USED ON OR NEAR THE PROJECT SITE, AND USE HIS/HER BEST JUDGEMENT TO DETERMINE IF ANY ADDITIONAL PROTECTIVE MEASURES ARE REQUIRED. BELOW IS A TYPICAL DETAIL SHOWING THE PLACEMENT OF A GEOMEMBRANE BARRIER FOR PROJECTS WHERE SALTING AGENTS ARE USED ON OR NEAR THE PROJECT SITE

#### **IN-SITU TRENCH WALL**

IF EXCAVATION IS REQUIRED, THE TRENCH WALL NEEDS TO BE CAPABLE OF SUPPORTING THE LOAD THAT THE PIPE SHEDS AS THE SYSTEM IS LOADED. IF SOILS ARE NOT CAPABLE OF SUPPORTING THESE LOADS, THE PIPE CAN DEFLECT PERFORM A SIMPLE SOIL PRESSURE CHECK USING THE APPLIED LOADS TO DETERMINE THE LIMITS OF EXCAVATION BEYOND THE SPRING LINE OF THE OUTER MOST PIPES

IN MOST CASES THE REQUIREMENTS FOR A SAFE WORK ENVIRONMENT AND PROPER BACKFILL PLACEMENT AND COMPACTION TAKE CARE OF THIS CONCERN.



#### **BACKFILL PLACEMENT**

MATERIAL SHALL BE WORKED INTO THE PIPE HAUNCHES BY MEANS OF SHOVEL-SLICING, RODDING, AIR TAMPER, VIBRATORY ROD, OR OTHER EFFECTIVE METHODS



IF AASHTO T99 PROCEDURES ARE DETERMINED INFEASIBLE BY THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER OF RECORD. COMPACTION IS CONSIDERED ADEQUATE WHEN NO FURTHER YIELDING OF THE MATERIAL IS OBSERVED. UNDER THE COMPACTOR, OR UNDER FOOT, AND THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER OF RECORD (OR REPRESENTATIVE THEREOF) IS SATISFIED WITH THE LEVEL OF COMPACTION.

FOR LARGE SYSTEMS, CONVEYOR SYSTEMS, BACKHOES WITH LONG REACHES OR DRAGLINES WITH STONE BUCKETS MAY BE USED TO PLACE BACKFILL, ONCE MINIMUM COVER FOR CONSTRUCTION LOADING ACROSS THE ENTIRE WIDTH OF THE SYSTEM IS REACHED. ADVANCE THE EQUIPMENT TO THE END OF THE RECENTLY PLACED FILL, AND BEGIN THE SEQUENCE AGAIN UNTIL THE SYSTEM IS COMPLETELY BACKFILLED. THIS TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE PROVIDES ROOM FOR STOCKPILED BACKFILL DIRECTLY BEHIND THE BACKHOE AS WELL AS THE MOVEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC, MATERIAL STOCKPILES ON TOP OF THE BACKFILLED DETENTION SYSTEM SHOULD BE LIMITED TO 8- TO 10-FEET HIGH AND MUST PROVIDE BALANCED LOADING ACROSS ALL BARRELS. TO DETERMINE THE PROPER COVER OVER THE PIPES TO ALLOW THE MOVEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT SEE TABLE 1, OR CONTACT YOUR LOCAL CONTECH SALES ENGINEER.

WHEN FLOWABLE FILL IS USED, YOU MUST PREVENT PIPE FLOATATION TYPICALLY, SMALL LIFTS ARE PLACED BETWEEN THE PIPES AND THEN ALLOWED TO SET-UP PRIOR TO THE PLACEMENT OF THE NEXT LIFT. THE ALLOWABLE THICKNESS OF THE CLSM LIFT IS A FUNCTION OF A PROPER BALANCE BETWEEN THE UPLIFT FORCE OF THE CLSM, THE OPPOSING WEIGHT OF THE PIPE, AND THE EFFECT OF OTHER RESTRAINING MEASURES. THE PIPE CAN CARRY LIMITED FLUID PRESSURE WITHOUT PIPE DISTORTION OR DISPLACEMENT, WHICH ALSO AFFECTS THE CLSM LIFT THICKNESS. YOUR LOCAL CONTECH SALES ENGINEER CAN HELP DETERMINE THE PROPER LIFT THICKNESS.



#### **CONSTRUCTION LOADING**

ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT AND TRASH CAN TYPICALLY BE EVACUATED TYPICALLY, THE MINIMUM COVER SPECIFIED FOR A PROJECT ASSUMES H-20 THROUGH THE MANHOLE OVER THE OUTLET ORIFICE. IF MAINTENANCE IS NOT LIVE LOAD. BECAUSE CONSTRUCTION LOADS OFTEN EXCEED DESIGN LIVE PERFORMED AS RECOMMENDED, SEDIMENT AND TRASH MAY ACCUMULATE IN FRONT OF THE OUTLET ORIFICE. MANHOLE COVERS SHOULD BE SECURELY LOADS, INCREASED TEMPORARY MINIMUM COVER REQUIREMENTS ARE SEATED FOLLOWING CLEANING ACTIVITIES. CONTECH SUGGESTS THAT ALL NECESSARY. SINCE CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT VARIES FROM JOB TO JOB, SYSTEMS BE DESIGNED WITH AN ACCESS/INSPECTION MANHOLE SITUATED AT IT IS BEST TO ADDRESS EQUIPMENT SPECIFIC MINIMUM COVER OR NEAR THE INLET AND THE OUTLET ORIFICE. SHOULD IT BE NECESSARY TO REQUIREMENTS WITH YOUR LOCAL CONTECH SALES ENGINEER DURING GET INSIDE THE SYSTEM TO PERFORM MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES, ALL APPROPRIATE PRECAUTIONS REGARDING CONFINED SPACE ENTRY AND OSHA YOUR PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING. REGULATIONS SHOULD BE FOLLOWED.

#### ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

BECAUSE MOST SYSTEMS ARE CONSTRUCTED BELOW-GRADE, RAINFALL AS PART OF THE MAINTENANCE PROGRAM FOR THE SYSTEM CAN RAPIDLY FILL THE EXCAVATION; POTENTIALLY CAUSING FLOATATION MAINTAINING AN UNDERGROUND DETENTION OR INFILTRATION SYSTEM IS AND MOVEMENT OF THE PREVIOUSLY PLACED PIPES. TO HELP MITIGATE EASIEST WHEN THERE IS NO FLOW ENTERING THE SYSTEM. FOR THIS POTENTIAL PROBLEMS, IT IS BEST TO START THE INSTALLATION AT THE REASON. IT IS A GOOD IDEA TO SCHEDULE THE CLEANOUT DURING DRY DOWNSTREAM END WITH THE OUTLET ALREADY CONSTRUCTED TO ALLOW WEATHER A ROUTE FOR THE WATER TO ESCAPE. TEMPORARY DIVERSION MEASURES MAY BE REQUIRED FOR HIGH FLOWS DUE TO THE RESTRICTED NATURE OF THE OUTLET PIPE.









DYO29430 Palmdale Chamber B Palmdale, CA DETENTION SYS

rawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, repro

tech expressly disclaims any liability or respo

e drawing is based and actual field conditions are en

as site work progresses, these discrepancies must be n to Contech immediately for re-evaluation of the design. accepts no liability for designs based on missing, incom naccurate information supplied by others.

#### **CMP DETENTION SYSTEM INSPECTION AND** MAINTENANCE

UNDERGROUND STORMWATER DETENTION AND INFILTRATION SYSTEMS MUST BE INSPECTED AND MAINTAINED AT REGULAR INTERVALS FOR PURPOSES OF PERFORMANCE AND LONGEVITY.

#### INSPECTION

INSPECTION IS THE KEY TO EFFECTIVE MAINTENANCE OF CMP DETENTION SYSTEMS AND IS EASILY PERFORMED. CONTECH RECOMMENDS ONGOING. ANNUAL INSPECTIONS. SITES WITH HIGH TRASH LOAD OR SMALL OUTLET CONTROL ORIFICES MAY NEED MORE FREQUENT INSPECTIONS. THE RATE AT WHICH THE SYSTEM COLLECTS POLLUTANTS WILL DEPEND MORE ON SITE SPECIFIC ACTIVITIES RATHER THAN THE SIZE OR CONFIGURATION OF THE SYSTEM.

INSPECTIONS SHOULD BE PERFORMED MORE OFTEN IN EQUIPMENT WASHDOWN AREAS. IN CLIMATES WHERE SANDING AND/OR SALTING OPERATIONS TAKE PLACE AND IN OTHER VARIOUS INSTANCES IN WHICH ONE WOULD EXPECT HIGHER ACCUMULATIONS OF SEDIMENT OR ABRASIVE/ CORROSIVE CONDITIONS. A RECORD OF EACH INSPECTION IS TO BE MAINTAINED FOR THE LIFE OF THE SYSTEM

#### MAINTENANCE

CMP DETENTION SYSTEMS SHOULD BE CLEANED WHEN AN INSPECTION REVEALS ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT OR TRASH IS CLOGGING THE DISCHARGE ORIFICE.

ANNUAL INSPECTIONS ARE BEST PRACTICE FOR ALL UNDERGROUND SYSTEMS. DURING THIS INSPECTION, IF EVIDENCE OF SALTING/DE-ICING AGENTS IS OBSERVED WITHIN THE SYSTEM, IT IS BEST PRACTICE FOR THE SYSTEM TO BE RINSED, INCLUDING ABOVE THE SPRING LINE SOON AFTER THE SPRING THAW

THE FOREGOING INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE EFFORTS HELP ENSURE UNDERGROUND PIPE SYSTEMS USED FOR STORMWATER STORAGE CONTINUE TO FUNCTION AS INTENDED BY IDENTIFYING RECOMMENDED REGULAR INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE PRACTICES. INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE RELATED TO THE STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY OF THE PIPE OR THE SOUNDNESS OF PIPE JOINT CONNECTIONS IS BEYOND THE SCOPE OF THIS GUIDE.

	PROJECT No.:	SEQ. I	No.:	D.: DATE:	
e Basins	19757	29430		3/29/2023	
	DESIGNED:		DRAWN:		
	DYO		DYO		
<b>N</b>	CHECKED:		APPROVED:		
<b>1</b>	DYO		DYO		
STEM	SHEET NO .:				
				1	

Appendix G Infiltration Report

# LANGAN

March 9, 2022



Covington Development Group, Inc. 3 Corporate Plaza, Suite 230 Newport Beach, California 92660

- Attention: Mr. Mark S. Milakovich President
- Project No.: **22G109-2**
- Subject: **Results of Infiltration Testing** Proposed Warehouse 8<sup>th</sup> Street, South of Rancho Vista Boulevard Palmdale, California
- Reference: <u>Geotechnical Investigation, Proposed Warehouse, 8<sup>th</sup> Street, South of Ranch</u> <u>Vista Boulevard, Palmdale, California</u>, Prepared by Southern California Geotechnical, Inc. (SCG) for Covington Development Group, Inc., SCG Project No. 22G109-1R, dated March 7, 2022.

Mr. Milakovich:

In accordance with your request, we have conducted infiltration testing at the subject site. We are pleased to present this report summarizing the results of the infiltration testing and our design recommendations.

#### Scope of Services

The scope of services performed for this project was in accordance with our Proposal No. 21P430, dated September 29, 2021. The scope of the infiltration testing consisted of site reconnaissance, subsurface exploration, field testing, and engineering analysis to determine the infiltration rates of the onsite soils. The infiltration testing was performed in general accordance with the guidelines published by the County of Los Angeles – Department of Public Works Geotechnical and Materials Engineering Division. These guidelines are dated June 30, 2021 and titled <u>Guidelines for Design</u>, <u>Investigation</u>, and <u>Reporting Low Impact Development Stormwater Infiltration</u>, <u>GS200.1</u>.

#### Site Description

The subject site is located on the west side of 8<sup>th</sup> Street, approximately 800 feet south of the intersection of 8<sup>th</sup> Street and Rancho Vista Boulevard in Palmdale, California. The site is bounded to the north and west by a railroad easement, to the south by a vacant lot, and to the east by 8<sup>th</sup> Street. The general location of the site is illustrated on the Site Location Map, enclosed as Plate 1 of this report.

The subject site consists of a roughly rectangular-shaped parcel,  $18\pm$  acres in size. The site is currently vacant and undeveloped. The ground surface cover for the site generally consists of exposed soil with sparse native grass and weed growth. Trash and debris are scattered

throughout the site. A drainage course is located along the southern property line trending east-west of the site.

Based on our review of readily available historical aerial photographs and Google Earth, most of the site appears to have been rough graded between the years of 2010 and 2011. It appears that a construction trailer along with rock and soil stockpiles were present at the site between the years of 2011 and 2013.

Detailed topographic information was not available at the time of this report. Based on elevations obtained from Google Earth, and visual observations made at the time of the subsurface investigation, the overall site topography gently slopes downward to the east at a gradient of approximately 1 percent. Areas located in the central and eastern regions of the site appear to have been cut 1 to  $3\pm$  feet below existing site grades. The depths of the drainage course range from 1 to  $9\pm$  feet lower than the surrounding elevations.

#### Proposed Development

A conceptual site plan, identified as Scheme 1 and prepared by HPA, Inc., for the proposed development was provided to our office by the client. Based on this plan, the subject site will be developed with a  $389,200 \pm ft^2$  warehouse, located in the central region of the site. Dock-high doors will be constructed along the northern and southern building walls. The proposed building is expected to be surrounded by AC pavements in the parking and drive areas, PCC pavements in the loading dock area, and concrete flatwork and landscaped planters throughout the site.

The proposed development will use on-site storm water infiltration. The infiltration system will consist of below-grade chambers located in the northeastern and southeastern regions of the site. The bottom of the infiltration chambers will be approximately  $10\pm$  feet below the existing site grades.

#### Concurrent Study

SCG conducted a geotechnical investigation at the subject site, referenced above. As a part of this study, five (5) borings advanced to depths of 20 to  $25\pm$  feet below the existing site grades.

Artificial fill soils were encountered at the ground surface at Boring No. B-3. These fill soils extend to a depth of  $3\pm$  feet below the existing site grades. The fill soils generally consist of medium dense fine sandy silts with a varying amount of clay. The fill soils possess a disturbed appearance and mottled appearance resulting in their classification as artificial fill. Native alluvium was encountered below the fill soils at Boring No. B-3 and at the ground surface of all of the remaining boring locations, extending to at least the maximum depth explored of  $25\pm$  feet below existing site grades. The alluvium generally consists of medium dense to dense fine to coarse sands and silty fine sands with varying gravel content. Boring No. B-4 encountered a layer of very dense clayey fine sands to fine sandy clays at depths of 17 to  $22\pm$  feet below the existing site grades.

#### <u>Groundwater</u>

Free water was not encountered during the drilling of any of the borings. Based on the lack of any water within the borings and the moisture contents of the recovered soil samples, the static



groundwater is considered to have existed at a depth in excess of  $25\pm$  feet at the time of the subsurface exploration.

As part of our research, we reviewed available groundwater data in order to determine the historic high groundwater level for the site. The primary reference used to determine the historic groundwater depths in this area is the California Geological Survey (CGS) Open File Report 105, the Seismic Hazard Zone Report for the Palmdale 7.5-Minute Quadrangle, which indicated that the historic high groundwater level for the site was greater than 40 feet below the ground surface.

Recent water level data was obtained from the California Department of Water Resources website, <u>http://www.water.ca.gov/waterdatalibrary/</u>. The nearest monitoring well is located approximately 0.3 miles northeast from the site. Water level readings within this monitoring well indicates a high groundwater level of 445 feet (April 1982) below the ground surface.

#### Subsurface Exploration

#### Scope of Exploration

The subsurface exploration for the infiltration testing consisted of two (2) infiltration test borings advanced to a depth of  $10\pm$  feet below the existing site grades. The borings were logged during drilling by a member of our staff and were advanced using a truck-mounted drilling rig, equipped with 8-inch-diameter hollow stem augers. The approximate locations of the infiltration test borings (identified as I-1 to I-2) are indicated on the Infiltration Test Location Plan, enclosed as Plate 2 of this report.

Upon the completion of the infiltration borings, the bottom of each test boring was covered with  $2\pm$  inches of clean 3/4-inch gravel. A sufficient length of 3-inch-diameter perforated PVC casing was then placed into each test hole so that the PVC casing extended from the bottom of the test hole to the ground surface. Clean 3/4-inch gravel was then installed in the annulus surrounding the PVC casing.

#### Geotechnical Conditions

Native alluvium was encountered at all infiltration test locations, extending to the maximum explored depth of  $10\pm$  feet below existing site grades. The alluvium generally consists of medium dense silty fine sands with varying sand and gravel content. Groundwater was not encountered at any of the infiltration borings. The Infiltration Test Logs, which illustrate the conditions encountered at each test location are included within this report.

#### Infiltration Testing

We understand that the results of the testing will be used to prepare a preliminary design for the storm water infiltration systems that will be used at the subject site. As previously mentioned, the infiltration testing was performed in general accordance with Guidelines for Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting Low Impact Development Stormwater Infiltration (GS200.1) published by Los Angeles County Public Works – Geotechnical Engineering and Materials Division, dated June 30, 2021.



#### Pre-soaking

The infiltration test boring was pre-soaked for at least 1 hour to ensure the sand around the annulus of the perforated pipe was fully saturated. The pre-soaking procedure consisted of filling each test boring with clean potable water to an elevation of at least  $12\pm$  inches above the bottom of each test boring. In accordance with the Los Angeles County guidelines, since the water in the infiltration test boring did not completely infiltrate within a 30-minute time period after filling each boring, a falling head test was the appropriate test method.

#### Infiltration Testing Procedure

After the completion of the pre-soaking process, SCG performed the infiltration testing. A sufficient amount of water was added to the test borings so that the water level was approximately 12± inches higher than the bottom of the borings and less than or equal to the water level used during the pre-soaking process. Readings were taken at 30-minute intervals for all infiltration tests. A stabilized rate of drop, where the highest and lowest readings from three consecutive readings are within 10 percent of each other, was obtained for each of the test borings. These water level readings are presented on the spreadsheets enclosed with this report. The infiltration rates for each of the timed intervals are also tabulated on the spreadsheets.

The infiltration rates for the tests are tabulated in inches per hour. In accordance with the typically accepted practice, it is recommended that the most conservative reading from the latter part of the infiltration tests be used for design. These rates are summarized below:

<u>Infiltration</u> <u>Test No.</u>	<u>Depth</u> (feet)	Soil Description	<u>Infiltration</u> <u>Rate</u> (inches/hour)
I-1	10	Silty fine to medium Sand, trace coarse Sand, trace fine Gravel	0.2
I-2	10	Silty fine to medium Sand, trace coarse Sand, trace fine Gravel	0.4

#### Laboratory Testing

#### Moisture Content

The moisture contents for the recovered soil samples within the borings were determined in accordance with ASTM D-2216 and are expressed as a percentage of the dry weight. These test results are presented on the Boring Logs.

#### Grain Size Analysis

The grain size distribution of selected soils collected from the base of each infiltration test boring have been determined using a range of wire mesh screens. These tests were performed in general accordance with ASTM D-422 and/or ASTM D-1140. The weight of the portion of the sample retained on each screen is recorded and the percentage finer or coarser of the total weight is calculated. The results of these tests are presented on Plates C-1 to C-2 of this report.



#### **Design Recommendations**

Two (2) infiltration tests were performed at the northeastern and southeastern region of the subject site. The measured infiltration rates at the infiltration test locations range from 0.6 to 1.2 inches per hour.

The <u>Guidelines for Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting Low Impact Development</u> <u>Stormwater Infiltration, GS200.1</u> prepared by the County of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works, Geotechnical and Materials Division (GMED) on June 30, 2021 dictate that a reduction factor be utilized in the design infiltration rate. The following reduction factors are considered in the design infiltration rate (DIR):

Reduction Factors								
Small Diameter Boring	$RF_t = 1$							
Site Variability, number of tests, and thoroughness of subsurface investigation	$RF_v = 1$							
Long-term siltation plugging and maintenance	$RF_s = 1$							
Total Reduction Factor, $RF = RF_t + RF_v + RF_s$	RF = 3							
Design Infiltration Rate (DIR) = Measured Percolation Rate/RF	DIR = See below							

Based on the results of the infiltration testing, the following infiltration rates should be used in the design of the infiltration systems in their respective locations and depths:

Infiltration System	<b>Design Infiltration Rate</b>
	(inches/hour)
Southeast	0.2
Northeast	0.4

The design of the proposed storm water infiltration system should be performed by the project civil engineer, in accordance with the City of Palmdale and/or County of Los Angeles guidelines. However, it is recommended that the system be constructed so as to facilitate removal of silt and clay, or other deleterious materials from any water that may enter the systems. The presence of such materials would decrease the effective infiltration rates. **It is recommended that the project civil engineer apply an appropriate factor of safety. The infiltration rate recommended above is based on the assumption that only clean water will be introduced to the subsurface profile. Any fines, debris, or organic materials could significantly impact the infiltration rate. It should be noted that the recommended infiltration rate is based on infiltration system could vary considerably.** 

#### **Infiltration Rate Considerations**

The infiltration rates presented herein was determined in accordance with the Los Angeles County guidelines and are considered valid only for the time and place of the actual test. Varying subsurface conditions will exist in other areas of the site, which could alter the



recommended infiltration rates presented above. The infiltration rates will decline over time between maintenance cycles as silt or clay particles accumulate on the BMP surface. The infiltration rate is highly dependent upon a number of factors, including density, silt and clay content, grainsize distribution throughout the range of particle sizes, and particle shape. Small changes in these factors can cause large changes in the infiltration rates.

Infiltration rates are based on unsaturated flow. As water is introduced into soils by infiltration, the soils become saturated and the wetting front advances from the unsaturated zone to the saturated zone. Once the soils become saturated, infiltration rates become zero, and water can only move through soils by hydraulic conductivity at a rate determined by pressure head and soil permeability. Changes in soil moisture content will affect the infiltration rate. Infiltration rates should be expected to decrease until the soils become saturated. Soil permeability values will then govern groundwater movement. Permeability values may be on the order of 10 to 20 times less than infiltration rates. The system designer should incorporate adequate factors of safety and allow for overflow design into appropriate traditional storm drain systems, which would transport storm water off-site.

#### **Construction Considerations**

The infiltration rates presented in this report are specific to the tested locations and tested depths. Infiltration rates can be significantly reduced if the soils are exposed to excessive disturbance or compaction during construction. Compaction of the soils at the bottom of the infiltration system can significantly reduce the infiltration ability of the chambers. Therefore, the subgrade soils within proposed infiltration system areas should not be over-excavated, undercut or compacted in any significant manner. **It is recommended that a note to this effect be added to the project plans and/or specifications.** 

We recommend that a representative from the geotechnical engineer be on-site during the construction of the proposed infiltration systems to identify the soil classification at the base of each system. It should be confirmed that the soils at the base of the proposed infiltration systems correspond with those presented in this report to ensure that the performance of the systems will be consistent with the rates reported herein.

We recommend that scrapers and other rubber-tired heavy equipment not be operated on the chamber bottom, or at levels lower than 2 feet above the bottom of the system, particularly within basins. As such, the bottom 24 inches of the infiltration systems should be excavated with non-rubber-tired equipment, such as excavators.

#### Chamber Maintenance

The proposed project includes below-grade chamber systems. Water flowing into these systems will carry some level of sediment. Wind-blown sediments will also contribute to sediment deposition at the bottom of the chamber. This layer has the potential to significantly reduce the infiltration rate of the chamber subgrade soils. Therefore, a formal chamber maintenance program should be established to ensure that these silt and clay deposits are removed from the system on a regular basis.



#### Location of Infiltration Systems

The use of on-site storm water infiltration systems carries a risk of creating adverse geotechnical conditions. Increasing the moisture content of the soil can cause the soil to lose internal shear strength and increase its compressibility, resulting in a change in the designed engineering properties. Overlying structures and pavements in the infiltration area could potentially be damaged due to saturation of the subgrade soils. **The proposed infiltration systems for this site should be located at least 25 feet away from any structures, including retaining walls.** Even with this provision of locating the infiltration system at least 25 feet from the building(s), it is possible that infiltrating water into the subsurface soils could have an adverse effect on the proposed or existing structures. It should also be noted that utility trenches which happen to collect storm water can also serve as conduits to transmit storm water toward the structure, depending on the slope of the utility trench. Therefore, consideration should also be given to the proposed locations of underground utilities which may pass near the proposed infiltration system.

The infiltration system designer should also give special consideration to the effect that the proposed infiltration systems may have on nearby subterranean structures, open excavations, or descending slopes. In particular, infiltration systems should not be located near the crest of descending slopes, particularly where the slopes are comprised of granular soils. Such systems will require specialized design and analysis to evaluate the potential for slope instability, piping failures and other phenomena that typically apply to earthen dam design. This type of analysis is beyond the scope of this infiltration test report, but these factors should be considered by the infiltration system designer when locating the infiltration systems.

#### **General Comments**

This report has been prepared as an instrument of service for use by the client in order to aid in the evaluation of this property and to assist the architects and engineers in the design and preparation of the project plans and specifications. This report may be provided to the contractor(s) and other design consultants to disclose information relative to the project. However, this report is not intended to be utilized as a specification in and of itself, without appropriate interpretation by the project architect, structural engineer, and/or civil engineer. The design of the proposed storm water infiltration system is the responsibility of the civil engineer. The role of the geotechnical engineer is limited to determination of infiltration rate only. By using the design infiltration rate contained herein, the civil engineer agrees to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless the geotechnical engineer for all aspects of the design and performance of the proposed storm water infiltration system. The reproduction and distribution of this report must be authorized by the client and Southern California Geotechnical, Inc. Furthermore, any reliance on this report by an unauthorized third party is at such party's sole risk, and we accept no responsibility for damage or loss which may occur.

The analysis of this site was based on a subsurface profile interpolated from limited discrete soil samples. While the materials encountered in the project area are considered to be representative of the total area, some variations should be expected between boring locations and testing depths. If the conditions encountered during construction vary significantly from those detailed herein, we should be contacted immediately to determine if the conditions alter the recommendations contained herein.



This report has been based on assumed or provided characteristics of the proposed development. It is recommended that the owner, client, architect, structural engineer, and civil engineer carefully review these assumptions to ensure that they are consistent with the characteristics of the proposed development. If discrepancies exist, they should be brought to our attention to verify that they do not affect the conclusions and recommendations contained herein. We also recommend that the project plans and specifications be submitted to our office for review to verify that our recommendations have been correctly interpreted. The analysis, conclusions, and recommendations contained within this report have been promulgated in accordance with generally accepted professional geotechnical engineering practice. No other warranty is implied or expressed.

### <u>Closure</u>

We sincerely appreciate the opportunity to be of service on this project. We look forward to providing additional consulting services during the course of the project. If we may be of further assistance in any manner, please contact our office.

Respectfully Submitted,

## SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL, INC.

Oscar Sandoval Staff Engineer

Robert G. Trazo, GE 2655 Principal Engineer



Enclosures: Plate 1 - Site Location Map Plate 2 - Infiltration Test Location Plan Boring Log Legend and Logs (4 Pages) Infiltration Test Results Spreadsheets (2 Pages) Grain Size Analysis Graphs (2 Pages)





SOURCE: USGS TOPOGRAPHIC MAP OF THE RITTER RIDGE & PALMDALE QUADRANGLES, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, 2018.

PALMDALE, CALIFORNIA SoCalGeo CALIFORNIA

**SOUTHERN** 

GEOTECHNICAL

SCALE: 1" = 2000' DRAWN: MD CHKD: RGT SCG PROJECT 22G109-2 PLATE 1







# BORING LOG LEGEND

SAMPLE TYPE	GRAPHICAL SYMBOL	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION
AUGER		SAMPLE COLLECTED FROM AUGER CUTTINGS, NO FIELD MEASUREMENT OF SOIL STRENGTH. (DISTURBED)
CORE		ROCK CORE SAMPLE: TYPICALLY TAKEN WITH A DIAMOND-TIPPED CORE BARREL. TYPICALLY USED ONLY IN HIGHLY CONSOLIDATED BEDROCK.
GRAB	M	SOIL SAMPLE TAKEN WITH NO SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT, SUCH AS FROM A STOCKPILE OR THE GROUND SURFACE. (DISTURBED)
CS		CALIFORNIA SAMPLER: 2-1/2 INCH I.D. SPLIT BARREL SAMPLER, LINED WITH 1-INCH HIGH BRASS RINGS. DRIVEN WITH SPT HAMMER. (RELATIVELY UNDISTURBED)
NSR	$\bigcirc$	NO RECOVERY: THE SAMPLING ATTEMPT DID NOT RESULT IN RECOVERY OF ANY SIGNIFICANT SOIL OR ROCK MATERIAL.
SPT		STANDARD PENETRATION TEST: SAMPLER IS A 1.4 INCH INSIDE DIAMETER SPLIT BARREL, DRIVEN 18 INCHES WITH THE SPT HAMMER. (DISTURBED)
SH		SHELBY TUBE: TAKEN WITH A THIN WALL SAMPLE TUBE, PUSHED INTO THE SOIL AND THEN EXTRACTED. (UNDISTURBED)
VANE		VANE SHEAR TEST: SOIL STRENGTH OBTAINED USING A 4 BLADED SHEAR DEVICE. TYPICALLY USED IN SOFT CLAYS-NO SAMPLE RECOVERED.

#### **COLUMN DESCRIPTIONS**

DEPTH:	Distance in feet below the ground surface.
SAMPLE:	Sample Type as depicted above.
BLOW COUNT:	Number of blows required to advance the sampler 12 inches using a 140 lb hammer with a 30-inch drop. 50/3" indicates penetration refusal (>50 blows) at 3 inches. WH indicates that the weight of the hammer was sufficient to push the sampler 6 inches or more.
POCKET PEN.:	Approximate shear strength of a cohesive soil sample as measured by pocket penetrometer.
<b>GRAPHIC LOG</b> :	Graphic Soil Symbol as depicted on the following page.
DRY DENSITY:	Dry density of an undisturbed or relatively undisturbed sample in lbs/ft <sup>3</sup> .
MOISTURE CONTENT:	Moisture content of a soil sample, expressed as a percentage of the dry weight.
LIQUID LIMIT:	The moisture content above which a soil behaves as a liquid.
PLASTIC LIMIT:	The moisture content above which a soil behaves as a plastic.
PASSING #200 SIEVE:	The percentage of the sample finer than the #200 standard sieve.
UNCONFINED SHEAR:	The shear strength of a cohesive soil sample, as measured in the unconfined state.

# SOIL CLASSIFICATION CHART

м		ONS	SYM	BOLS	TYPICAL		
			GRAPH	LETTER	DESCRIPTIONS		
	GRAVEL AND	CLEAN GRAVELS		GW	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES		
	GRAVELLY SOILS	(LITTLE OR NO FINES)		GP	POORLY-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES		
COARSE GRAINED SOILS	MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE	GRAVELS WITH FINES		GM	SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - SILT MIXTURES		
	RETAINED ON NO. 4 SIEVE	(APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		GC	CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - CLAY MIXTURES		
MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS	SAND AND	CLEAN SANDS		SW	WELL-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES		
LARGER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	SANDY SOILS	SANDY SOILS (LITTLE OR NO FINES)		SP	POORLY-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SAND, LITTLE OR NO FINES		
	MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE	SANDS WITH FINES		SM	SILTY SANDS, SAND - SILT MIXTURES		
	PASSING ON NO. 4 SIEVE	(APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		SC	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND - CLAY MIXTURES		
				ML	INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROCK FLOUR, SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SANDS OR CLAYEY SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY		
FINE GRAINED SOILS	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT LESS THAN 50		CL	INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS, LEAN CLAYS		
				OL	ORGANIC SILTS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY		
MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS SMALLER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE				МН	INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS FINE SAND OR SILTY SOILS		
SIZE	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT GREATER THAN 50		СН	INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY		
				ОН	ORGANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY, ORGANIC SILTS		
н	GHLY ORGANIC S	SOILS		PT	PEAT, HUMUS, SWAMP SOILS WITH HIGH ORGANIC CONTENTS		

NOTE: DUAL SYMBOLS ARE USED TO INDICATE BORDERLINE SOIL CLASSIFICATIONS



JOB PRC	NO.: DJEC	22G T: Pr N: P	09-2 oposed	l Ware e. Cali	DRILLING DATE: 1/25/22 nouse DRILLING METHOD: Hollow Stem Auger fornia LOGGED BY: Oscar Sandoval		W. CA	ATER AVE D	DEPT EPTH: G TAK	H: Dr 	y At Con	npletion
FIELD RESULTS						LA	30R/	ATOF	RY R	ESUI	TS	
DEPTH (FEET)	SAMPLE	BLOW COUNT	POCKET PEN. (TSF)	<b>GRAPHIC LOG</b>	DESCRIPTION SURFACE ELEVATION: MSL	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PASSING #200 SIEVE (%)	ORGANIC CONTENT (%)	COMMENTS
					<u>ALLUVIUM:</u> Gray Brown Silty fine Sand, trace medium Sand, medium dense-damp	-						-
5		27			Light Brown Silty fine to medium Sand, trace coarse Sand, trace fine Gravel, medium dense-dry to damp		5					
	-					-						
	$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$	28				-	3			14		-
10				<u>~~^</u> *^*d~	Boring Terminated at 10'							
(G109-2.GPJ SOCALGEO.GDT 3/9/22												
R												



JOB NO.: 22G109-2 PROJECT: Proposed Warehouse				Ware	DRILLING DATE: 1/25/22 DRILLING METHOD: Hollow Stem Auger		W C/		DEPT EPTH:	H: Dr 	y At Com	polotion
FIEL		RESU	JLTS	e, call		LA	BOR/		RY RI			ipieuon
DEPTH (FEET)	SAMPLE	BLOW COUNT	POCKET PEN. (TSF)	<b>GRAPHIC LOG</b>	DESCRIPTION SURFACE ELEVATION: MSL	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID	PLASTIC LIMIT	PASSING #200 SIEVE (%)	ORGANIC CONTENT (%)	COMMENTS
	X	11			ALLUVIUM: Light Brown to Brown Silty fine to medium Sand, trace coarse Sand, medium dense-dry to damp Light Brown Silty fine to medium Sand, little coarse Sand, trace fine Gravel, medium dense-dry	-	3					
	X	10				-	2			13		
					Boring Terminated at 10'							
		_										

#### INFILTRATION CALCULATIONS

Project Project Project Enginee	Name Locati Numb er	on er	Proposed V Palmdale, 22G109-2 CB	Warehouse CA							
Test Ho Test De	ole Rad epth	dius	3.00 (in) 10.20 (ft)								
Infiltratio	on Tes	st Hole	I-1	]							
Start Time Start Time	e for Pro e for Sta	e-Soak andard	8:40am 9:10am	]	Water Remain Time Interal E	ning in Boring Between Readi	(Y/N) ngs	Y 30min			
Interval Number		Time	Time Interval (min)	Water Depth (ft)	Change in Water Level (ft)	Average Head Height (ft)	Measured Infiltration Rate Q (in/hr)	Reduction Factor (RF)	Design Infiltration Rate Q (in/hr)		
1	Initial Final	9:10 AM 9:40 AM	30.0	7.20 7.75	0.55	2.7	0.6	3.0	0.2		
2	Initial Final	9:40 AM 10:10 AM	30.0	7.20 7.76	0.56	2.7	0.6	3.0	0.2		
3	Initial Final	10:10 AM 10:40 AM	30.0	7.20 7.75	0.55	2.7	0.6	3.0	0.2		
4	Initial Final	10:40 AM 11:10 AM	30.0	7.20 7.76	0.6	3.0	0.2				
5	Initial Final	11:10 AM 11:40 AM	30.0	7.20 7.75	0.55	2.7	0.6	3.0	0.2		
6	Initial Final	11:40 AM 12:10 PM	30.0	7.20 7.76	0.56	2.7	0.6	3.0	0.2		

Design Infiltration Rate = (Measured Infiltration Rate)/(Reduction Factor) Reduction Factor (RF) =  $RF_t+RF_v+RF_s$ 

Reduction Factors								
Double-ring Infiltrometer								
Shallow Test Pit	PE = 1  to  3							
Small Diameter Boring	$R_{t} = 1000$							
Large Diameter Boring								
High Fow-rate	$RF_t = 3$							
Grain Size Analysis Method	$RF_t = 2 \text{ to } 3$							
Site variability, number of tests and	RF = 1 to 3							
thoroughness of subsurface investigation								
Long-term siltation, plugging, and maintenance	$RF_s = 1 \text{ to } 3$							

$$Q = \frac{\Delta H(60r)}{\Delta t(r+2H_{avg})}$$

- Where: Q = Measured Infiltration Rate (in inches per hour)
  - $\Delta H$  = Change in Height (Water Level) over the time interval
    - r = Test Hole (Borehole) Radius
  - $\Delta t = Time Interval$
  - $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{avg}}$  = Average Head Height over the time interval

#### INFILTRATION CALCULATIONS

Project Project Project Enginee	Name Locati Numb er	on er	Proposed \ Palmdale, 22G109-2 CB	Narehouse CA								
Test Ho Test De	ole Rad epth	dius	3.00 10.20	3.00 (in) 10.20 (ft)								
Infiltratio	on Tes	st Hole	I-2	]								
Start Time Start Time	e for Pro	e-Soak andard	9:00am 9:30am	]	Water Remain	ning in Boring Between Readi	(Y/N) ngs	Y 30min				
Interval Number		Time	Time Interval (min)	Water Depth (ft)	Change in Water Level (ft)	Average Head Height (ft)	Measured Infiltration Rate Q (in/hr)	Reduction Factor (RF)	Design Infiltration Rate Q (in/hr)			
1	Initial Final	9:30 AM 10:00 AM	30.0	8.00 8.80	0.80	1.8	1.2	3.0	0.4			
2	Initial Final	10:00 AM 10:30 AM	30.0	8.00 8.81	0.81	1.8	1.3	3.0	0.4			
3	Initial Final	10:30 AM 11:00 AM	30.0	8.00 8.80	0.80	1.8	1.2	3.0	0.4			
4	Initial Final	11:00 AM 11:30 AM	30.0	8.00 8.81	0.81	1.8	1.3	3.0	0.4			
5	Initial Final	11:30 AM 12:00 PM	30.0	8.00 8.81	0.81	1.8	1.3	3.0	0.4			
6	Initial Final	12:00 PM 12:30 PM	30.0	8.00 8.80	0.80	1.8	1.2	3.0	0.4			

Design Infiltration Rate = (Measured Infiltration Rate)/(Reduction Factor) Reduction Factor (RF) =  $RF_t+RF_v+RF_s$ 

Reduction Factors	
Double-ring Infiltrometer	$RF_t = 1$ to 3
Shallow Test Pit	
Small Diameter Boring	
Large Diameter Boring	
High Fow-rate	$RF_t = 3$
Grain Size Analysis Method	$RF_t = 2 \text{ to } 3$
Site variability, number of tests and	RF = 1 to 3
thoroughness of subsurface investigation	Na y = 1 10 0
Long-term siltation, plugging, and maintenance	$RF_s = 1 \text{ to } 3$

$$Q = \frac{\Delta H(60r)}{\Delta t(r+2H_{avg})}$$

- Where: Q = Measured Infiltration Rate (in inches per hour)
  - $\Delta H$  = Change in Height (Water Level) over the time interval
    - r = Test Hole (Borehole) Radius

 $\Delta t = Time Interval$ 

 $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{avg}}$  = Average Head Height over the time interval



