lec. NO. 06-10132022-021 FMP8



Print Form

OCT 1 3 2022

Notice of Determinati	on R	OSE GALLO-VASQUEZ	Appendix D
To:	(0)	USA COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER From:	
Office of Planning and Resear	rch	Public Agency: Sac River V	V Side Levee Distrig
U.S. Mail:	Street Address:	Address: P.Ó. Box 50	
P.O. Box 3044	1400 Tenth St., Rm 113	Grimes, CA 95950	
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044		Contact: Meegan Nagy	
Pro- Billion	The second	Phone: 530-812-6269	
County Clerk County of: Colusa Address: 546 Jay Street, Sui	ite 200	Lead Agency (if different from	above):
Colusa, CA 95932	NG 200	Address:	
		Contact:	
		Phone:	
SUBJECT: Filing of Notice of D Resources Code. State Clearinghouse Number (if s Project Title: Grimes Floodplain I	submitted to State Clearing	nghouse): <u>2022080630</u>	
Project Applicant: Sacramento R			
Project Location (include county):		No. of the contract of the con	
Project Description:	A, 1, 1	-	
of Water Resources (DWR), is pro 1.8-mile-long slurry cutoff wall in the encroachment remediation, water and restoration of approximately of This is to advise that the Sacram	the existing Sacramento rside hardening, establis 11 acres of floodplain for	River West Bank Levee Syste ment of an operations and ma salmonids. DWR investigation wee District has ap	m (SRWBLS), aintenance area, as have
described project on October 12, 2 (date) described project.	2022 and has made the	following determinations rega	rding the above
. The project [will will not] h . An Environmental Impact Rep A Negative Declaration was p . Mitigation measures [were . . A mitigation reporting or monitoring . A statement of Overriding Consideration of the were . Findings [were were not] not .	port was prepared for thi prepared for this project p were not] made a cond ng plan [was was derations [was was	s project pursuant to the provis oursuant to the provisions of C lition of the approval of the pro not] adopted for this project. s not] adopted for this project.	EQA. ject.
his is to certify that the final EIR wi egative Declaration, is available to SRWSLD: 975 Wilson Bend Rd, G gnature (Public Agency): 11 ate: October 12, 2022	the General Public at:		

Mitigated Negative Declaration Grimes Floodplain Restoration and Levee Resiliency Project

The Sacramento River West Side Levee District (SRWSLD), acting as the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) lead agency and project proponent, has reviewed the proposed project described below to determine whether substantial evidence supports a finding that project implementation could have a significant effect on the environment. "Significant effect on the environment" means a substantial, or potentially substantial, adverse change in any of the physical conditions within the area affected by the project, including land use, air, water, minerals, flora, fauna, ambient noise, and objects of historic or aesthetic significance.

Name of Project: Grimes Floodplain Restoration and Levee Resiliency Project

Project Location: The proposed project is located along approximately 1.8 miles of the Sacramento River west levee, directly adjacent to and north and south of the town of Grimes in Colusa County, California. The right (west) bank of the Sacramento River in the project area is a Sacramento River Flood Control Project (SRFCP) levee. The project area includes the levee itself, and areas on both the landside and waterside of the levee.

Project Description: SRWSLD, with funding from the California Department of Water Resources (DWR), is proposing this project, which would consist of constructing a 1.8-mile-long slurry cutoff wall in the existing Sacramento River West Bank Levee System (SRWBLS), encroachment remediation, waterside hardening, establishment of an operations and maintenance area, and restoration of approximately 11 acres of floodplain for salmonids. DWR investigations have determined that the section of the SRWBLS north and south of, and directly adjacent to, the town of Grimes in Colusa County is vulnerable to seepage. Currently, Grimes is not mapped within a Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) special flood hazard area; however, FEMA has initiated a remapping process for Colusa County. Initial results indicate that without remediation of the Sacramento River levees, FEMA will model the area assuming no levees are present. The goal of the proposed project is to increase flood resiliency a 100-year level of flood protection to the town of Grimes in a manner consistent with the 2012 Central Valley Flood Protection Plan and its 2017 update, including investments in multi-benefit flood projects (e.g., improvement of salmonid habitat). The construction phase will begin once the SRWSLD secures a State or Federal implementation grant. Construction is anticipated to begin no earlier than 2024.

Findings: The attached initial study identifies one or more potentially significant effects on the environment that are listed in the table below. After consideration of the analysis contained in the initial study, SRWSLD finds that the proposed project as described above would not have a significant effect on the environment following implementation of mitigation measures described therein and listed below.

CEQA ffect Finding		Finding with Mitigation	Mitigation Measure		
3.3 Hydrology and Water Qualit	y atawa a				
Impact HYD-1: Degradation of surface water quality	Significant	Less than Significant	Mitigation Measure HYD-MM-1: Implement a Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure Plan Mitigation Measure HYD-MM-2: Implement Construction Best Managemen Practices		

Effect	CEQA Finding	Finding with Mitigation	Mitigation Measure
	roma yilan a	Y LIB PHIL	Mitigation Measure HYD-MM-3: Turbidity Monitoring
			Mitigation Measure HYD-MM-4: Implement a Bentonite Slurry Spill Contingency Plan
Impact HYD-2: Site Erosion	Significant	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure HYD-MM-1 (described above)
			Mitigation Measure HYD-MM-2 (described above)
			Mitigation Measure HYD-MM-3 (described above)
3.5 Biological Resources			
Impact BIO-1: Potential mortality or disturbance of valley elderberry longhorn beetle	Significant	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1a: Conduct Mandatory Biological Resources Awareness Training Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1b: Implement General Measures to Avoid and Minimize Effects on Sensitive Biological
			Resources
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1c: Conduct Surveys for Suitable Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle Habitat
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1d: Fence Elderberry Shrubs to be Protected
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1e: Transplant Permanently Affected Elderberry Shrubs and Compensate for Loss of Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle and Its Habitat
in any two or to all the saw and organized as the saw			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1f: Protect Special-Status Invertebrates and Their Host and Food Plants from Herbicide and Pesticide Use
Impact BIO-2: Potential mortality or disturbance of monarch	Significant	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1a (described above)
outterfly and Crotch bumble bee			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1b (described above)
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1f (described above)
			(described above)
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-16a: Protect Valley Oak Trees during Construction
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-16b: Compensate for Loss of Valley Oak Woodland
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-17a: Minimize Effects on Riparian Vegetation and Compensate for Loss of Riparian Habitat

Effect	CEQA Finding	Finding with Mitigation	Mitigation Measure
Impact BIO-3: Potential mortality or disturbance of western pond turtle	Significant	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1a (described above) Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1b (described above) Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-3: Conduct Pre-construction Surveys for Western Pond Turtle and Monitor Construction Activities if Turtles are Observed
Impact BIO-4: Potential mortality or disturbance of and loss of suitable habitat for giant garter snake	Significant	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1a (described above) Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1b (described above) Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-4: Avoid and Minimize Impacts on Giant Garter Snake
Impact BIO-5: Potential mortality or disturbance of and loss of suitable nesting and foraging habitat for Swainson's hawk and white-tailed kite	Significant	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1a (described above) Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1b (described above) Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-5a: Conduct Focused Surveys for Nesting Swainson's Hawk, White-tailed Kite, and Other Raptors Prior to Construction and Implement Protective Measures during Construction Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-5b: Compensate for the Permanent Loss of Nesting Habitat for Swainson's Hawk and White-tailed Kite Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-16a (described above) Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-16b (described above) Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-17a (described above)
mpact BIO-6: Potential S listurbance of western yellow- illed cuckoo	ignificant	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1a (described above) Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1b (described above) Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-5b (described above) Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-7a: Conduct
			Vegetation Removal during the Non- Breeding Season of Nesting Migratory Birds Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-16a (described above)
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-16b (described above)

Effect	CEQA Finding	Finding with Mitigation	Mitigation Measure
Effect	rinding	Mitigation	Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-17a
			(described above)
I DIO 7 Debendel mentality	Cianificant	Less than	Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1a
Impact BIO-7: Potential mortality or disturbance of and loss of	Significant	significant	(described above)
suitable nesting and foraging		316.IIII.cairc	Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1b
habitat for special-status and non-			(described above)
special-status migratory birds			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-7a: Condu
			Vegetation Removal during the Non-
			Breeding Season of Nesting Migratory
			Birds
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-7b: Condu
			Pre-construction Surveys for Non-Raptor
			Nesting Migratory Birds and Implement
			Protective Measures if Found
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-7c: Condu
			Surveys for Western Burrowing Owl Prior to Construction and Implement Avoidance
			and Minimization Measures if Found
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-16a
			(described above)
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-16b
			(described above)
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-17a
			(described above)
Impact BIO-8: Potential	Less than	N/A	N/A
disturbance of greater sandhill	significant	11/11	NA
crane and other foraging			
waterbirds			
Impact BIO-9: Potential injury,	Significant	Less than	Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1a
mortality, or disturbance of tree-		significant	(described above)
roosting bats and removal of			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1b
roosting habitat			(described above)
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-9: Conduct
But day and the state of the			Surveys and Implement Protection
			Measures for Special-Status Bat Species
			Prior to Tree Trimming and Removal
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-16a
			(described above)
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-16b
			(described above)
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-17a
Import PIO 10: Accepting Section		T	(described above)
Impact BIO-10: Acoustic effects		Less than	Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-10a:
on candidate, sensitive, or special- status fish species		significant	Implement Seasonal and Daily In- and Near-Water Work Restrictions
of all all and a			
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-10b: Implement Measures to Minimize
			Exceedance of Interim Threshold Sound
			Levels during Pile Driving
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-10c:

. . .

Effect	CEQA Finding	Finding with Mitigation	Mitigation Measure
A TOTAL OF THE STATE OF THE STA	13. 1 P		Develop and Implement a Hydroacoustic Monitoring Plan
Impact BIO-11: Direct mortality of candidate, sensitive, or special-	Significant	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-10a (described above)
status fish species			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-11: Implement Fish Exclusion Devices on Temporary Water Intakes.
Impact BIO-12: Water quality impacts on candidate, sensitive, or	Significant	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-10a (described above)
special-status fish species			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-12: Protect Water Quality and Prevent Erosion and Sedimentation in Drainages and Wetlands
Impact BIO-13: Loss of riparian vegetation (including SRA cover) and potential for increased water temperature	Significant	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-13: Implement Onsite and Offsite Compensation Measures to Replace Riparian and SRA Cover Losses Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-17a (described above)
Impact BIO-14: Increases in	Less than	N/A	N/A
aquatic habitat associated with lowered floodplain area	significant	AT NOT THE	and the same of the control of the c
Impact BIO-15: Introduction or spread of invasive aquatic animal or plant species	Significant	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-15: Prevent the Spread or Introduction of Aquatic Invasive Species
Impact BIO-16: Loss of valley oak woodland	Significant	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1a (described above) Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1b (described above) Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-16a:
			Protect Valley Oak Trees during Construction
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-16b: Compensate for Loss of Valley Oak Woodland
Impact BIO-17: Loss of riparian habitat	Significant	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1a (described above) Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-1b (described above)
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-13 (described above)
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-17a: Minimize Effects on Riparian Vegetation and Compensate for Loss of Riparian Habitat
			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-17b: Avoid the Introduction and Spread of Invasive Plants during Construction
mpact BIO-18: Loss of waters of sharpers o	Significant	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure HYD-MM-1 (described above)

Effect	CEQA Finding	Finding with Mitigation	Mitigation Measure
the state			Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-18a: Minimize and Compensate for Loss of Perennial Drainage Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-18b: Minimize and Compensate for Loss of Seasonal Wetland Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-18c: Minimize and Compensate for Loss of Ditch
Impact BIO-19: Substantial interference with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish species	Significant	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-10a (described above) Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-10b (described above)
Impact BIO-20: Conflict with local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources	Significant	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-16a (described above) Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-16b (described above) Mitigation Measure BIO-MM-17a (described above)
3.7 Greenhouse Gas Emissions	MARIE	AVAN A	control of the second of the second
Impact GHG-1: Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment	Significant	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure GHG-MM-1: Implement Best Management Practices to Mitigate Tree Loss and Reduce Construction Generated Greenhouse Gas Emissions
Impact GHG-2: Conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases	Significant	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure GHG-MM-1 (described above)
3.8 Noise	man T		A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
Impact NOI-1: Generate a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in a local general plan or noise ordinance or applicable standards of other agencies	Significant	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure NOI-MM-1: Implement Best Noise Control Practices During Construction
3.10 Cultural Resources	Personal from India	K 2	
Impact CUL-1: Change in the significance of an archaeological resource	Significant	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure CUL-MM-1: Implement measures to protect previously unidentified cultural resources
Impact CUL-2: Potential to disturb human remains from ground-disturbing construction activities	Significant	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure CUL-MM-2: Implement measures if construction activities inadvertently discover or disturb human remains
			$\label{eq:continuous} \mathcal{F} = \{ \{ \{ \{ \} \} \} \mid \{ \{ \} \} \} \} \}$

			17 pt 5 h M			
Effect	CEQA Finding	Finding with Mitigation	Mitigation Measure Mitigation Measure TCR-MM-1: Implement measures to protect previously unidentified tribal cultural resources			
3.11 Tribal Cultural Resources		1. 34% - 23.41				
Impact TCR-1: Potential to cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources	Significant	Less than significant				
Impact TCR-2: Potential to cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource determined by the lead agency to be significant	Significant	Less than significant	Mitigation Measure TCR-MM-1 (described above)			

Public Review Period: The proposed project's Initial Study and proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) was available for review and comment from August 30, 2022 to September 28, 2022. The IS/MND was available for public review at the following locations:

- SRWSLD: 975 Wilson Bend Road, Grimes, CA 95950
- Grimes Library: 240 Main Street, Grimes, CA 95950
- Online at www.rd108.org.

Public Comment: SRWSLD received one comment letter on the Draft IS/MND during the CEQA public review period. The comment letter described regulatory compliance matters that the project may need to adhere to before or during implementation and did not require any changes to the IS/MND. Therefore, no changes were made that meet the definition of "substantial revision" pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15073.5.

Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program: A Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) is included in the Final IS/MND as Appendix G. The MMRP will be adopted upon approval of the project.

projecu			
		Name:	Meegan Nagy
		Title:	Deputy Manager
Circulated on:	August 30, 2022	Signed:	Museu De
Adopted on:	October 12, 202	2_	

RESOLUTION NO. 22-08 OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF SACRAMENTO RIVER WEST SIDE LEVEE DISTRICT

IN THE MATTER OF: Adopting Mitigated Negative Declaration, Approving Notice of Determination, and Giving Final Approval for the Grimes Floodplain Restoration and Levee Resiliency Project

WHEREAS, the Sacramento River west levee near the town of Grimes in Colusa County has experienced seepage during high water events in the Sacramento River, including high water events in 2017 and 2019; and

WHEREAS, the Sacramento River West Side Levee District ("District") plans to construct a slurry cutoff wall, encroachment remediation, waterside hardening, establishment of an operations and maintenance area, and floodplain restoration for salmonids (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the District is serving as the lead agency for the Project because it is the public agency that will approve and carry out the Project; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to CEQA and CEQA Guidelines Section 15063, the District, as the lead agency for the Project, conducted an initial evaluation of the potential effects of the Project on the environment (the "Initial Study"); and

WHEREAS, based on the Initial Study, the District determined that there is no substantial evidence, in light of the whole record before the agency, that the Project may have a significant effect on the environment; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15070, based on its determination that there is no substantial evidence that the Project may have a significant effect on the environment, the District prepared a proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Project; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Sections 15072 and 15105, the District provided a public review period for the proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration that ran from August 30, 2022 to September 28, 2022; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15097, the District has prepared a Mitigation Monitoring & Reporting Plan for the Project; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15074, the District has considered the Initial Study, proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration, and public comments, and finds, on the basis of the record before it, that there is no substantial evidence that the Project will have a significant effect on the environment and the Mitigated Negative Declaration reflects the District's independent judgment and analysis;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

The Board of Commissioners of the Sacramento River West Side Levee District hereby adopts the final Mitigated Negative Declaration and the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan prepared for the Project and approves the Project.

The documents which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the District's decision is based are located at the District's main office at 975 Wilson Bend Road, Grimes, California, and the District's General Manager is the custodian of such documents; and

The Deputy Manager and District staff are hereby instructed to execute the Notice of Determination, a copy of which is attached hereto, and are directed to file it promptly, in accordance with applicable law.

Passed and Adopted by the Board of Commissioners of Sacramento River West Side Levee District on the 12th day of October 2022.

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

Lewis Bail, Secretary

CERTIFICATION OF DISTRICT SECRETARY

I, Lewis Bair, hereby certify that I am, and at all times mentioned herein was, the duly-elected, qualified and acting Secretary of Sacramento River West Side Levee District, organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California; the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of a Resolution duly adopted at a Regular Meeting of the District's Board of Commissioners held on October 12, 2022, a quorum of the members of the Board of Commissioners being present and voting in favor of the Resolution as specified above; and the Resolution has not been modified, altered, or amended.

ewis Bair, Secretary

- 10 mg		Print		StartOver	Save
		RECEIPT N			
		06 — 10/13/2022 — 021			
70				JMBER (If applicable)	
TYPE OF PRINTER SAFEY		202208			
SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE. TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY. LEAD AGENCY	LEADAGENCY EMAIL			DATE	X 2
Sacramento River West Side Levee District	EDADAGENOT ENAIL			10/13/20	022
COUNTY/STATE AGENCY OF FILING				DOCUMENT	NUMBER
Colusa				N/A	
PROJECT TITLE	7				
Grimes Floodplain Restoration and Levee Resi	liency Project				
PROJECT APPLICANT NAME	PROJECT APPLICANT	EMAIL	1,40,11	PHONE NUM	MBER
Sacramento River West Side Levee District	100			(530) 812	2-6269
PROJECT APPLICANT ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	- 0	ZIP CODE	the state of the s
PO Box 50	Grimes	CA		95950	
PROJECT APPLICANT (Check appropriate box)		A.			
☐ Local Public Agency ☐ School District	✓ Other Special District	☐ Sta	te Ag	ency	Private Entity
	10				
CHECK APPLICABLE FEES:					0.00
☐ Environmental Impact Report (EIR)		\$3,539.25			
✓ Mitigated/Negative Declaration (MND)(ND)		\$2,548.00	\$ -		0.00
Certified Regulatory Program (CRP) document - payment due	directly to CDFW	\$1,203.25	a -	-	0.00
D Summation to					
☐ Exempt from fee☐ Notice of Exemption (attach)					
CDFW No Effect Determination (attach)					
Fee previously paid (attach previously issued cash receipt cop	y)				
	-				0.00
☐ Water Right Application or Petition Fee (State Water Resource	es Control Board only)	\$850.00	\$.		10 100000
□ County documentary handling fee			\$.		50.00
Other			\$		
PAYMENT METHOD:					2,598.00
☐ Cash ☐ Credit ☐ Check ☐ Other	TOTAL	RECEIVED	\$		2,330.00
lage.	NCY OF FILING PRINTED	NAME AND TI	TLE	. 30	
SIGNATURE	NOT OF TILINOT KINTLED				
X Mouse x both Mo	rissa Lobato, Dep	uty Clerk			

COPY - LEAD AGENCY

ORIGINAL - PROJECT APPLICANT