Appendix I Stormwater Quality Management Plan



STORM WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN

PREPARED FOR JPI DEVELOPMENT COMPANY 12250 EL CAMINO REAL, SUITE 380 SAN DIEGO, CA 92130

> PREPARED BY: FUSCOE ENGINEERING, INC. 6390 GREENWICH DR. STE: 170 SAN DIEGO, CA 92122

> > PROJECT MANAGER BRYAN D. SMITH

DATE PREPARED: FEBRUARY 2022

full circle thinking"

(PERMIT APPLICATION #: D20-00004, CUP20-00005)



PREPARED FOR:

JPI 12250 El Camino Real, Suite 380 San Diego, CA 92130 (858) 369-5679

PREPARED BY:

Fuscoe Engineering, Inc. 6390 Greenwich Drive, Ste170 San Diego, CA 92122 (858)554-1500





ROFESSIO

75822

RECISIER

BP,

R9-2013-001, Version February 16, 2016

How to Use This Template

This template, assembled by GHD Inc. on behalf of the City of Oceanside, is for the development of Storm Water Quality Management Plans (SWQMPs) for Priority Development Projects (PDPs) proposed within Oceanside, CA. It is based on requirements set forth in the Regional Water Quality Control Board's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System MS4 Permit that covers the San Diego Region (Order No. R9-2013-0001).

All references within the template refer to the City of Oceanside BMP Design Manual dated February 2016 (Manual). Use of this template in conjunction with the Manual is intended to help a project applicant develop a SWQMP compliant with City of Oceanside and MS4 Permit requirements.

Template Date: February 16, 2016

Assembled By:





Quick Reference Guide

Item	Project Information
Project Name	Jefferson - Oceanside
Application Number(s)	D20-00004, CUP20-00005
Project Address	SW corner of Oceanside Blvd and Crouch St.
Total Parcel Area	Property: 27 acres, Project/Disturbed Area: 9.91 Acres
Project Description	The Jefferson Oceanside project is a proposed mixed use, Transit- Oriented Development (TOD) project. The site is approximately 27 acres of which, a previously graded 12.89 acre pad is proposed for the mixed use development. 10.63 acres of this site is developable, once accounting for setbacks from Loma Alta Creek and the extension of Oceanside Boulevard from the west through the project site. The site is surrounded by commercial/ office and commercial/retail to the west, commercial/retail to the north, and single family residential to the south. The mixed-use project proposes the construction of 287 Residential Apartments units, 3,000 commercial/retail space, the extension of South Oceanside Boulevard between Union Plaza and Crouch Street and by request of the City of Oceanside, conduct sidewalk resurfacing maintenance of the existing sidewalk on Crouch Street to the south of the project to improve the sidewalk's degraded condition. This sidewalk maintenance is exempt from PDP requirements as it qualifies as "Resurfacing existing roads" per table 1-2 of the City of Oceanside BMP Design Manual. This exempt resurfacing is referred to as 'offsite' work in this plan. In pre-construction conditions, the site is undeveloped and has a pre-graded pad where the proposed apartment buildings will be constructed
Proposed Disturbed Area	431,680 sq. ft.
Created or Replaced Impervious	302,587 sf. (onsite), 17,266 sf (offsite) Total: 320,414 sf (7.36 ac)
Project Hydrologic Unit Watershed	 □ Santa Maria □ San Luis Rey ⊠ Carlsbad
Required to implement HMP	⊠ Yes □ No



Quick Reference Guide

Item	Project Information	
Project Name	Jefferson - Oceanside	
Application Number(s)	D20-00004, CUP20-00005	
Project Address	SW corner of Oceanside Blvd and Crouch St.	
Total Parcel Area	Property: 27 acres, Project/Disturbed Area: 11.34 Acres	
Project Description	The Jefferson Oceanside project is a proposed mixed use, Transit- Oriented Development (TOD) project. The site is approximately 27 acres of which, a previously graded 12.89 acre pad is proposed for the mixed use development. 10.63 acres of this site is developable, once accounting for setbacks from Loma Alta Creek and the extension of Oceanside Boulevard from the west through the project site. The site is surrounded by commercial/ office and commercial/retail to the west, commercial/retail to the north, and single family residential to the south. The mixed-use project proposes the construction of 287 Residential Apartments units, 3,000 commercial/retail space, the extension of South Oceanside Boulevard between Union Plaza and Crouch Street and remove and remediate a historic landslide area on the southern slopes of the project site, along existing Crouch Street. In pre-construction conditions, the site is undeveloped and has a pre-graded pad where the proposed apartment buildings will be constructed and an existing slope on the south side of the site, north of Crouch Street that will remain undisturbed with the exception of the remediation of the historic landslide area.	
Proposed Disturbed Area	494,215sq. ft.	
Created or Replaced Impervious	327,957sq. ft.	
Project Hydrologic Unit Watershed	□ Santa Maria □ San Luis Rey ⊠ Carlsbad	
Required to implement HMP	⊠ Yes □ No	



Table of Contents

CERTIFICATION PAGE	Section 1
SUBMITTAL RECORD	Section 2
PROJECT VICINITY MAP	Section 3
FORM I-1: APPLICABILITY OF PERMANENT STORM Water BMP REQUIREMENTS	Section 4
FORM I-2: PROJECT TYPE DETERMINATION CHECKLIST	Section 5
FORM I-3B: SITE INFORMATION CHECKLIST	Section 6
FORM I-4: SOURCE CONTROL BMP CHECKLIST	Section 7
FORM I-5: SITE DESIGN BMP CHECKLIST	Section 8
FORM I-6: SUMMARY OF PDP STRUCTURAL BMPS	Section 9
BMP CONSTRUCTION SELF CERTIFICATION FORM	Section 10
ATTACHMENT 1: BACKUP FOR PDP POLLUTANT CONTROL BMPS	Section 11
ATTACHMENT 1a: DMA Exhibit	Section 11
ATTACHMENT 1b: Tabular Summary of DMAs	Section 11
ATTACHMENT 1c: Design Capture Volume Worksheet	Section 11
ATTACHMENT 1d: FORM I-7: Harvest and Use Feasibility Screening Checklist	Section 11
ATTACHMENT 1e: FORM I-8: Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition	Section 11
ATTACHMENT 1f: Pollutant Control BMP Design Worksheets/Calculations	Section 11
ATTACHMENT 2: BACKUP FOR PDP HYDROMODIFICATION CONTROL MEASURES	Section 12
ATTACHMENT 2a: Hydromodification Management Exhibit	Section 12
ATTACHMENT 2b: Management of Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas	Section 12
ATTACHMENT 2c: Geomorphic Assessment of Receiving Channels	Section 12
ATTACHMENT 2d: Flow Control Facility Design and BMP Drawdown Calculations	Section 12
ATTACHMENT 2e: Vector Control Plan	Section 12
ATTACHMENT 3: STRUCTURAL BMP MAINTENANCE INFORMATION	Section 13
ATTACHMENT 3a: Structural BMP Maintenance Thresholds and Actions	Section 13
ATTACHMENT 3b: Management of Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas	Section 13



ATTACHMENT 4: STORM WATER BMP PLAN SHEETS	Section 14
ATTACHMENT 5: DRAINAGE REPORT	Section 15
ATTACHMENT 6: GEOTECHNICAL AND GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION REPORT	Section 16
ATTACHMENT 7: STORM WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT FORM	Section 17
ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION	Section 18



CERTIFICATION PAGE

Project Name: Jefferson Oceanside Permit Application Number: DS20-00004, CUP20-00005

I hereby declare that I am the Engineer in Responsible Charge of design of storm water BMPs for this project, and that I have exercised responsible charge over the design of the project as defined in Section 6703 of the Business and Professions Code, and that the design is consistent with the requirements of the City of Oceanside BMP Design Manual, which is based on the requirements of San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board Order No. R9-2013-0001 (MS4 Permit).

I have read and understand that the City has adopted minimum requirements for managing urban runoff, including storm water, from land development activities, as described in the BMP Design Manual. I certify that this SWQMP has been completed to the best of my ability and accurately reflects the project being proposed and the applicable source control and site design BMPs proposed to minimize the potentially negative impacts of this project's land development activities on water quality. I understand and acknowledge that the plan check review of this SWQMP by City staff is confined to a review and does not relieve me, as the Engineer in Responsible Charge of design of storm water BMPs for this project, of my responsibilities for project design.

As Engineer of Work, I agree to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless the City of Oceanside, its officers, agents, and employees from any and all liability, claims, damages, or injuries to any person or property which might arise from the negligent acts, errors, or omissions of the Engineer of Work, my employees, agents or consultants.

Engineer of Work's Signature, RCE 75822 Exp 06/30/2022

Bryan D. Smith

Print Name

Fuscoe Engineering, Inc.

Company

2/24/2022

Date



Jefferon Oceanside (Permit Application Number: D20-00004, CUP20-00005) Priority Development Project - Storm Water Mitigation Plan **P**

Section 1

Engineer's Seal:

SUBMITTAL RECORD

Use this Table to keep a record of submittals of this SWQMP. Each time the SWQMP is re-submitted, provide the date and status of the project. In last column indicate changes that have been made or indicate if response to plancheck comments is included. When applicable, insert response to plancheck comments behind this page.

Submittal Number	Date	Project Status	Changes
1	03/06/2020	Preliminary Design/ Planning/ CEQAFinal Design	Initial Submittal
2	04/09/2021	Preliminary Design/ Planning/ CEQAFinal Design	2nd Submittal
3	09/01/2021	Preliminary Design/ Planning/ CEQAFinal Design	3rd Submittal
4	02/23/2022	Preliminary Design/ Planning/ CEQAFinal Design	4th Submittal







Section 3

Applicability of Permanent, Post	-Constructio)n			
Storm Water BMP Require	Form I-1				
(Storm Water Intake Form for all Developmen					
Project I	dentification				
Project Name: Jefferson Oceanside					
Permit Application Number: D20-00004, CUP20-00005			Date: 02/24/2022		
Determination	of Requirement	s			
The purpose of this form is to identify permanent, post-co serves as a short <u>summary</u> of applicable requirements, in so backup for the determination of requirements.	The purpose of this form is to identify permanent, post-construction requirements that apply to the project. This form serves as a short <u>summary</u> of applicable requirements, in some cases referencing separate forms that will serve as the backup for the determination of requirements.				
Answer each step below, starting with Step 1 and progressi Refer to the manual sections and/or separate forms referen	ng through each s nced in each step b	tep until read below.	ching "Stop".		
Step	Answer	Progressi	on		
Step 1: Is the project a "development project"? See Section 1.3 of the manual for guidance.	⊠Yes	Go to Step	o 2.		
	□No	Stop. Permanent apply. No Provide di	t BMP requirements do not SWQMP will be required. scussion below.		
Step 2: Is the project a Standard Project, PDP, or	□Standard	Stop.			
exception to PDP definitions? To answer this item, see Section 1.4 of the manual <i>in its</i>	Project	Standard F including S	Project requirements apply, Standard Project SWQMP.		
<i>entirety</i> for guidance, AND complete Form I-2, Project Type Determination.	⊠PDP	PDP requi SWQMP. Go to Step	rements apply, including PDP		
	Exception to PDP	Stop. Standard F	Project requirements apply.		
	definitions	Provide di requiremen Project SW	nts below. Prepare Standard 7QMP.		
Discussion / justification, and additional requirements for	exceptions to PD	P definitions	, if applicable:		



Form I-1	Page 2 of 2	
Step	Answer	Progression
Step 3. Is the project subject to earlier PDP	□Yes	Consult the [City Engineer] to
requirements due to a prior lawful approval?		determine requirements.
See Section 1.10 of the manual for guidance.		Provide discussion and identify
		requirements below.
		Go to Step 4.
	⊠No	BMP Design Manual PDP
		requirements apply.
		Go to Step 4.
Discussion / justification of prior lawful approval, an <i>does not apply</i>):	d identify requir	ements (<i>not required if prior lawful approval</i>
Step 4. Do hydromodification control requirements	XVes	PDP structural BMPs required for
apply?	L 1 C 3	pollutant control (Chapter 5) and
See Section 1.6 of the manual for guidance.		hydromodification control (Chapter
0		6).
		Go to Step 5.
	□No	Stop.
		PDP structural BMPs required for
		pollutant control (Chapter 5) only.
		Provide brief discussion of exemption
		to hydromodification control below.
Discussion / justification if hydromodification contro	ol requirements o	do <u>not</u> apply:
Step 5. Does protection of critical coarse sediment	□Yes	Management measures required for
yield areas apply?		protection of critical coarse sediment
See Section 6.2 of the manual for guidance.		yield areas (Chapter 6.2).
		Stop.
	⊠No	Management measures not required
		for protection of critical coarse
		sediment yield areas.
		Provide brief discussion below.
		Stop.



Discussion / justification if protection of critical coarse sediment yield areas does not apply:

There are mapped CCSYAs within the property limits. The file that delineates CCSYAs is not accurate. This is an existing graded pad. The proposed development area is flat and does not have slope grades over 8%. Therefore, this portion of the site (shown below) does not meet any of the criteria that would qualify it as a CCYSA per the County of San Diego BMP Handbook Table H.6-3 shown here:

GLU	Geology	Land Cover	Slope (%)
CB-Agricultural/Grass-3	Coarse Bedrock	Agricultural/Grass	20%5 - 40%5
CB-Agricultural/Grass-4	Coarse Bedrock	Agricultural/Grass	>40%
CB-Forest-2	Coarse Bedrock	Forest	10 - 20%
CB-Forest-3	Coarse Bedrock	Forest	205% + 40%**
CB-Forest-4	Course Bedrock	Forest	>40%
CB-Scrub/Shrub-4	Coarse Bedinck	Serub/Shrub	>40%
CB-Unknown-4	Coarse Bedrock	Unknown	>40%
CSI-Agricultural/Grass-2	Coarse Sedimentary Impermeable	Agricultural/Grass	10-20%
CSI-Agricultural/Grass-3	Coarse Sedimentary Impermeable	Agricultural/Grass	20% - 40%
CSI-Agricultural/Grass-4	Coarse Sedimentary Impermeable	Agricultural/Grass	>40%
CSP-Agricultural/Grass-4	Coarse Sedimentary Permeable	Agaicultural/Grass	>40%
CSP-Forest-3	Coarse Sedimentary Permeable	Forest	20% 40%
CSP-Forest-4	Course Sedimentary Permeable	Forest	>40%
CSP-Scrub/Shrub-4	Coarse Sedunentary Permeable	Scrub/Shuub	>40%

The CCSYAs apply to the slope area south of the project. The slope south of the project will not be disturbed and flow from areas in qualified CCSYAs will be directed around the site, bypassing treatment BMPs, to ensure critical coarse sediment flows to downstream waters. See Attachment 5 Hydrology Study "Appendix 9: CCSYA Bypass Velocity Study" for CCSYA Bypass Velocity Calculation.





	Pro	oject	Type Determination Checklist	Form I-2	
			Project Information		
Projec	ct Nam	e: Jeff	Ferson Oceanside		
Permi	it Appli	catior	n Number: D20-00004, CUP20-00005		
			Project Type Determination: Standard Pro	ject or PDP	
The p	roject i	s (sele	ect one): 🛛 New Development 🔹 Redevelopment		
The to	otal pro	posec	l newly created or replaced impervious area is: 320,	<u>414 ft² (7.36)</u> acres	
Is the	projec	t in an	y of the following categories, (a) through (f)?		
Yes	No	(a)	New development projects that create 10,000 squar	e feet or more of impervious surfaces	
\boxtimes			(collectively over the entire project site). This include	des commercial, industrial, residential,	
			mixed-use, and public development projects on pub	lic or private land.	
Yes	No	(b)	Redevelopment projects that create and/or repl	ace 5,000 square feet or more of	
	\boxtimes		impervious surface (collectively over the entire pro	ject site on an existing site of 10,000	
			square feet or more of impervious surfaces). I	his includes commercial, industrial,	
37	NT		residential, mixed-use, and public development proj	ects on public or private land.	
Yes	No	(C)	New and redevelopment projects that create 5,000 square feet or more of impervious		
	\boxtimes		surface (collectively over the entire project site), and support one or more of the		
	tollowing uses:				
			 (i) Restaurants. This category is defined as a drinks for consumption, including station stands selling prepared foods and drinks f 5812). 	facility that sells prepared foods and ary lunch counters and refreshment or immediate consumption SIC code	
			(ii) Hillside development projects. This cate natural slope that is twenty-five percent or g	gory includes development on any greater.	
			(iii) Parking lots. This category is defined as a parking or storage of motor vehicles us commerce.	land area or facility for the temporary sed personally, for business, or for	
			(iv) Streets, roads, highways, freeways, and driv paved impervious surface used for the tr motorcycles, and other vehicles.	reways. This category is defined as any ransportation of automobiles, trucks,	



			Form I-2 Page 2 of 2
Yes	No	(d)	New or redevelopment projects that create or replace 2,500 square feet or more of
	\boxtimes		impervious surface (collectively over the entire project site), and discharging directly to an
			Environmentally Sensitive Area (ESA). "Discharging directly to" includes flow that is
			conveyed overland a distance of 200 feet or less from the project to the ESA, or
			conveyed in a pipe or open channel any distance as an isolated flow from the project to
			the ESA (i.e. not commingled with flows from adjacent lands).
			Note: ESAs are areas that include but are not limited to all Clean Water Act Section
			303(d) impaired water bodies; areas designated as Areas of Special Biological
			Significance by the State Water Board and SDRWQCB; State Water Quality
			Protected Areas; water bodies designated with the RARE beneficial use by the State
			Water Board and SDRWQCB; and any other equivalent environmentally sensitive
			areas which have been identified by the Copermittees. See manual Section 1.4.2 for
			additional guidance.
Yes	No	(e)	New development projects that support one or more of the following uses:
	\boxtimes		
			(i) Automotive repair shops. This category is defined as a facility that is categorized
			in any one of the following SIC codes: 5015, 5014, 5541, 7552-7534, of 7550-
			/339.
			(ii) Retail gasoline outlets. This category includes retail gasoline outlets that meet the
			following criteria: (a) 5,000 square feet or more or (b) a projected Average Daily
			Traffic of 100 or more vehicles per day.
Yes	No	(f)	New or redevelopment projects that result in the disturbance of one or more acres of
\boxtimes		.,	land and are expected to generate pollutants post construction.
			Note: See manual Section 1.4.2 for additional guidance.
Does	the pro	ject n	neet the definition of one or more of the PDP categories (a) through (f) listed above?
\Box No	o – the	proje	ct is not a PDP (Standard Project).
XYe	es – the	nroie	ct is a PDP
	in the	proje	
The f	ollowin	o is fo	nr redevelopment PDPs only
1110 1	0110 11 11	8 10 10	
The a	rea of e	xistin	g (pre-project) impervious area at the project site is: 13.475 ft ² (A)
The t	otal pro	nosec	1 newly created or replaced impervious area is: 327.976 ft ² (B)
Perce	nt imne	rviou	s surface created or replaced (A/B)*100: 243 %
Then	ercent	imper	vious surface created or replaced is (select one based on the above calculation):
P		than 4	or equal to fifty percent $(50\%) = only new impervious areas are considered PDP$
	OR		st equal to firty percent (5076) - only new impervious areas are considered i Di
		tor +L	per fifty percent (50%) the entire project site is a DDD
	🖂 grea	aler tr	an my percent (5070) – the entire project site is a PDP



Site Information Check					
For PDPs	Form I-3B (PDPs)				
Project Summary Information					
Project Name	Jefferson Oceanside				
Project Address	SW corner of Oceansi Oceanside, CA	ide Blvd and Crouch Street			
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)	151-270-50, -52, -53,	-56			
Permit Application Number	D20-00004, CUP20-000	005			
Project Watershed (Hydrologic Unit)	Select One: □Santa Margarita 902 □San Luis Rey 903 ⊠Carlsbad 904	2			
Parcel Area (total area of Assessor's Parcel(s) associated with the project)	27 Acres				
Area to be disturbed by the project (Project Area)	9.91 Acres (431,680	Square Feet)			
Project Proposed Impervious Area (subset of Project Area)	7.36 Acres (320,4145	Square Feet)			
Project Proposed Pervious Area (subset of Project Area)	2.55 Acres (111,266	Square Feet)			
Note: Proposed Impervious Area + Proposed Perv This may be less than the Parcel Area.	ious Area = Area to be	Disturbed by the Project.			

Hydrologic Unit	Hydrologic Area	Hydrologic Sub-Area
Santa Margarita 902.00	□ Ysidora 902.10	□ Lower Ysidora 902.11
San Luis Boy 002.00		□ Mission 903.11
San Luis Key 905.00	Lower San Luis 903.10	□ Bonsall 903.12
	🛛 Loma Alta 904.10	Not Applicable
Carlohad 004.00		□ El Salto 904.21
Callsbad 904.00	Duena Vista Creek 904.20	□ Vista 904.22
	Agua Hedionda 4.30	□ Los Monos 904.31



Form I-3B Page 2 of 10
Description of Existing Site Condition and Drainage Patterns
Current Status of the Site (select all that apply):
Existing development
□Previously graded but not built out
Agricultural or other non-impervious use
⊠Vacant, undeveloped/natural
Description / Additional Information:
Previously graded pads.
Existing Land Cover Includes (select all that apply):
⊠Vegetative Cover
Non-Vegetated Pervious Areas
Impervious Areas
Description / Additional Information:
Underlying Soil belongs to Hydrologic Soil Crown (celect all that apply):
□NRCS Type A
DINKCS Type C
XINKCS Type D
Approximate Depth to Groundwater:
\Box Groundwater Depth < 5 feet
\Box 5 feet < Groundwater Depth < 10 feet
$\boxtimes 10$ feet < Groundwater Depth < 20 feet
\Box Groundwater Depth > 20 feet



Form I-3B Page 3 of 10

Description of Existing Site Topography and Drainage [How is storm water runoff conveyed from the site? At a minimum, this description should answer (1) whether existing drainage conveyance is natural or urban; (2) describe existing constructed storm water conveyance systems, if applicable; and (3) is runoff from offsite conveyed through the site? If so, describe]:

The project site in existing conditions is a vacant, previously graded lot south of the Loma Alta Creek and existing NCTD Crouch Street Sprinter Station. The site was previously graded in 1985 and slopes in the northwesterly direction, having an average slope of 2%. The southern portion of the site adjacent to Crouch Street is a historic landslide and consists of steep slopes.

Stormwater runoff from the site consists primarily of sheet flow that drains north where it confluences and discharges to the Loma Alta Creek at two separate locations.

The eastern portion of the site consists primary of sheet flow that drains north to an existing headwall located within the easement at the center of the site. Stormwater is then conveyed north in the existing 36" public storm drain and discharges to the existing triple box culvert (Loma Alta Creek) located north of the project site.

Drainage from the existing unnamed roadway located north of the site flows west to a curb inlet located at the end of the unnamed road. The existing curb inlet drains to the existing 36" public storm identified above.

The western portion of the site sheet flows northwest to an existing concrete lined channel located at the east end of S. Oceanside Blvd. Off-site drainage from the neighborhoods located to the south of the project drains northwest where it confluences with onsite runoff in the existing concrete lined channel that discharges to the Loma Alta Creek. The offsite drainage includes additional runoff from S. Oceanside Blvd. and Union Plaza Ct. This basin includes runoff from the landslide which is conveyed to the bottom of the slope via terrace ditches.

Crouch street is an existing two-lane crowned asphalt road with failing asphalt sidewalks, and 6" AC berms that drain north/northeast. Sheet flow tributary to the Crouch St. right-of-way limits and runoff from the existing unimproved slope that borders Crouch Street to the south, flow north/northeast as concentrated flow along the face of ac berm and ultimately discharges to Loma Alta Creek.



Form I-3B Page 4 of 10

Description of Proposed Site Development and Drainage Patterns

The Jefferson Oceanside project is a proposed mixed use, Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) project. The site is approximately 27 acres of which, a previously graded 12.89 acre pad is proposed for the mixed use development. 10.63 acres of this site is developable, once accounting for setbacks from Loma Alta Creek and the extension of Oceanside Boulevard from the west through the project site. The site is surrounded by commercial/ office and commercial/retail to the west, commercial/retail to the north, and single family residential to the south. The mixed-use project proposes the construction of 287 Residential Apartments units, 3,000 commercial/retail space, the extension of South Oceanside Boulevard between Union Plaza and Crouch Street and per the request of the City of Oceanside, a portion of the sidewalk maintenance on Crouch Street will be included in construction.

In pre-construction conditions, the site is undeveloped and has a pre-graded pad where the proposed apartment buildings will be constructed.

List/describe proposed impervious features of the project (e.g., buildings, roadways, parking lots, courtyards, athletic courts, other impervious features):

New residential apartment buildings, associated drive aisles and parking areas, new South Oceanside Boulevard roadway, Crouch Street sidewalk maintenance.

List/describe proposed pervious features of the project (e.g., landscape areas):

Approximately 111,266 sf of landscape area (softscape/planting and irrigation areas)

Does the project include grading and changes to site topography?

⊠Yes

 \Box No

Description / Additional Information:

The project is an existing pre-graded pad. Minor adjustments will be made to grade to designed plans.



Does the project include changes to site drainage (e.g., installation of new storm water conveyance systems)? XYes

 $\Box No$

Description / Additional Information:

New storm drain will be installed to convey storm water from the project site to the Loma Alta Creek.



Form I-3B Page 5 of 10
Identify whether any of the following features, activities, and/or pollutant source areas will be present (select all that apply):
⊠Onsite storm drain inlets
⊠Interior floor drains and elevator shaft sump pumps
⊠Interior parking garages
Need for future indoor & structural pest control
⊠Landscape/outdoor pesticide use
Pools, spas, ponds, decorative fountains, and other water features
Food service
□Refuse areas
□Industrial processes
⊠Outdoor storage of equipment or materials
⊠Vehicle and equipment cleaning
□Vehicle/equipment repair and maintenance
□Fuel dispensing areas
□Loading docks
⊠Fire sprinkler test water
Miscellaneous drain or wash water
⊠Plazas, sidewalks, and parking lots



Form I-3B Page 6 of 10

Identification of Receiving Water Pollutants of Concern

Describe path of storm water from the project site to the Pacific Ocean (or bay, lagoon, lake or reservoir, as applicable):

Existing site sheet flows northeast and discharges directly to the Loma Alta Creek which flows to the Loma Alta Slough before ultimately discharging to the Pacific Ocean Shoreline at the Loma Alta Creek Mouth.

List any 303(d) impaired water bodies within the path of storm water from the project site to the Pacific Ocean (or bay, lagoon, lake or reservoir, as applicable), identify the pollutant(s)/stressor(s) causing impairment, and identify any TMDLs for the impaired water bodies:

303(d) Impaired Water Body	Pollutant(s)/Stressor(s)	TMDLs
Loma Alta Creek	Bethnic Community Effects	Status: Required
	Bifenthrin	Bethnic Community Effects
	Selenium	Bifenthrin
	Toxicity	Selenium
		Toxicity
Loma Alta Slough	Eutrophic	Status: Required
	Indicator Bacteria	Eutrophic
		Indicator Bacteria
Pacific Ocean Shoreline @ Loma	Indicator Bacteria	Status: Required
Alta Creek Mouth	Trash	Indicator Bacteria
		Status: Being addressed with
		action other than TMDL
		Trash



Form I-3B Page 7 of 10

Identification of Project Site Pollutants*

*Identification of project site pollutants is only required if flow-thru treatment BMPs are implemented onsite in lieu of retention or biofiltration BMPs (note the project must also participate in an alternative compliance program unless prior lawful approval to meet earlier PDP requirements is demonstrated)

Identify pollutants expected from the project site based on all proposed use(s) of the site (see manual Appendix B.6):

	Not Applicable to the	Expected from the	Also a Receiving Water
Pollutant	Project Site	Project Site	Pollutant of Concern
Sediment		Х	X
Nutrients		Х	Х
Heavy Metals	X		Х
Organic Compounds		X	Х
Trash & Debris		X	X
Oxygen Demanding Substances		Х	X
Oil & Grease		Х	X
Bacteria & Viruses		X	Х
Pesticides		X	X

<u>Note:</u> Indicator Bacteria shall be addressed as a Pollutant of Concern (POC) for projects located in the Lower San Luis Hydrologic Area <u>and</u> for projects that discharge to the Pacific Ocean Shoreline within the boundaries of the City of Oceanside.

<u>Note:</u> Nutrients shall be addressed as a Pollutant of Concern (POC) for projects located in the Loma Alta Hydrologic Area.



Form I-3B Page 8 of 10

Hydromodification Management Requirements

Do hydromodification management requirements apply (see Section 1.6 of the manual)?

Xes, hydromodification management flow control structural BMPs required.

□No, the project will discharge runoff directly to existing underground storm drains discharging directly to water storage reservoirs, lakes, enclosed embayments, or the Pacific Ocean.

 \Box No, the project will discharge runoff directly to conveyance channels whose bed and bank are concretelined all the way from the point of discharge to water storage reservoirs, lakes, enclosed embayments, or the Pacific Ocean.

 \Box No, the project will discharge runoff directly to an area identified as appropriate for an exemption by the WMAA for the watershed in which the project resides.

Description / Additional Information (to be provided if a 'No' answer has been selected above):

Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas* *This Section only required if hydromodification management requirements apply

Based on the maps provided within the WMAA, do potential critical coarse sediment yield areas exist within the project drainage boundaries?

□Yes

No, no critical coarse sediment yield areas to be protected based on WMAA maps

If yes, have any of the optional analyses presented in Section 6.2 of the manual been performed?

□ 6.2.1 Verification of GLUs Onsite

 \Box 6.2.2 Downstream Systems Sensitivity to Coarse Sediment

6.2.3 Optional Additional Analysis of Potential Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas Onsite

□No optional analyses performed, the project will avoid critical coarse sediment yield areas identified based on WMAA maps

If optional analyses were performed, what is the final result?

□No critical coarse sediment yield areas to be protected based on verification of GLUs onsite.

 \Box Critical coarse sediment yield areas exist but additional analysis has determined that protection is not required. Documentation attached in Attachment 8 of the SWQMP.

□Critical coarse sediment yield areas exist and require protection. The project will implement management measures described in Sections 6.2.4 and 6.2.5 as applicable, and the areas are identified on the SWQMP Exhibit.

Discussion / Additional Information:

See Memo on CCSYAs, step 5 of Form I-1. Pad is improperly labeled with a portion of CCSYA. Only sloped areas can be designated CCSYAs. No sloped areas labeled CCSYAs will be disturbed.



Form I-3B Page 9 of 10

Flow Control for Post-Project Runoff*

*This Section only required if hydromodification management requirements apply

List and describe point(s) of compliance (POCs) for flow control for hydromodification management (see Section 6.3.1). For each POC, provide a POC identification name or number correlating to the project's HMP Exhibit and a receiving channel identification name or number correlating to the project's HMP Exhibit.

Site runoff is conveyed via storm drain and sheet flow and flows to POC-1 and POC-2.

Approximately two-thirds of the site is tributary to POC-1, which receives runoff from DMAs 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8.

Approximately one-third of the site is tributary to POC-2, runoff from DMA 3.

Has a geomorphic assessment been performed for the receiving channel(s)?

No, the low flow threshold is 0.1Q2 (default low flow threshold)

 \Box Yes, the result is the low flow threshold is 0.1Q2

 \Box Yes, the result is the low flow threshold is 0.3Q2

 \Box Yes, the result is the low flow threshold is 0.5Q2

If a geomorphic assessment has been performed, provide title, date, and preparer:

Discussion / Additional Information: (optional)



Form I-3B Page 10 of 10

Other Site Requirements and Constraints

When applicable, list other site requirements or constraints that will influence storm water management design, such as zoning requirements including setbacks and open space, or local codes governing minimum street width, sidewalk construction, allowable pavement types, and drainage requirements.

Optional Additional Information or Continuation of Previous Sections As Needed

This space provided for additional information or continuation of information from previous sections as needed.



Source Control BMP Checklist			
for All Development Projects		Form	I-4
(Standard Projects and PDPs)			
Project Identification			
Project Name Lefferson Oceanside			
Permit Application Number D20-00004. CUP20-00005			
Source Control BMPs			
All development projects must implement source control BMPs SC-1 throu feasible. See Chapter 4 and Appendix E of the manual for information to in shown in this checklist.	igh SC-6 wi nplement s	here applica ource contro	ble and ol BMPs
 Answer each category below pursuant to the following. "Yes" means the project will implement the source control BMP as described in Chapter 4 and/or Appendix E of the manual. Discussion / justification is not required. "No" means the BMP is applicable to the project but it is not feasible to implement. Discussion / justification must be provided. "N/A" means the BMP is not applicable at the project site because the project does not include the feature that is addressed by the BMP (e.g., the project has no outdoor materials storage areas). 			
Discussion / justification may be provided.			
Source Control Requirement	I	mplemente	ed?
SC-1 Prevention of Illicit Discharges into the MS4	\boxtimes Yes	∐ No	\Box N/A
Discussion / justification if SC-1 not implemented:			
SC-2 Storm Drain Stenciling or Signage	🛛 Yes	□ No	$\Box N/A$
Discussion / justification if SC-2 not implemented:			
SC-3 Protect Outdoor Materials Storage Areas from Rainfall, Run-On,	\Box Yes	🗆 No	\boxtimes N/A
Runoff, and Wind Dispersal			
No Outdoor Materials Storage Planned for the Jefferson Oceanside project.			



Form I-4 Page 2 of 3			
Source Control Requirement	Ι	mplemente	ed?
SC-4 Protect Materials Stored in Outdoor Work Areas from Rainfall,	□ Yes	🗆 No	🛛 N/A
Run-On, Runoff, and Wind Dispersal			
Discussion / justification if SC-4 not implemented:			
No Outdoor Work Areas planned for the Jefferson Oceanside project.			
SC-5 Protect Trash Storage Areas from Rainfall, Run-On, Runoff, and	🛛 Yes	🗆 No	\Box N/A
Wind Dispersal			
Discussion / justification if SC-5 not implemented:			



Form I-4 Page 3 of 3			
SC-6 Additional BMPs Based on Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants (must answer for each source listed below)		Implemen	ted?
Onsite storm drain inlets	🛛 Yes	□ No	□ N/A
Interior floor drains and elevator shaft sump pumps	🛛 Yes	🗆 No	\Box N/A
Interior parking garages	🛛 Yes	□ No	\Box N/A
Need for future indoor & structural pest control	🛛 Yes	🗆 No	\Box N/A
Landscape/outdoor pesticide use	🛛 Yes	🗆 No	\Box N/A
Pools, spas, ponds, decorative fountains, and other water features	□ Yes	🗆 No	⊠ N/A
Food service	□ Yes	🗆 No	⊠ N/A
Refuse area	🛛 Yes	🗆 No	\Box N/A
Industrial processes	□ Yes	🗆 No	⊠ N/A
Outdoor storage of equipment or materials	□ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A
Vehicle and equipment cleaning	□ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A
Vehicle/equipment repair and maintenance	□ Yes	□ No	🛛 N/A
Fuel dispensing areas	□ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A
Loading docks	□ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A
Fire sprinkler test water	🛛 Yes	□ No	\Box N/A
Miscellaneous drain or wash water	🛛 Yes	□ No	\Box N/A
Plazas, sidewalks, and parking lots	🛛 Yes	□ No	\Box N/A
Discussion / justification if SC-6 not implemented Clearly identify which	sources of r	upoff pollu	tants are

Discussion / justification if SC-6 not implemented. Clearly identify which sources of runoff pollutants are discussed. Justification must be provided for <u>all</u> "No" answers shown above.

SC-6 implemented for all applicable Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants.



Site Design BMP Checklist			
for All Development Projects		Form	I-5
(Standard Projects and PDPs)			
Project Identification			
Project Name Lefferson Oceanside			
Permit Application Number D20-00004 CUP20-00005			
Site Design BMPs			
All development projects must implement site design BMPs SD-1 through S	SD-8 where	e applicable	and
feasible. See Chapter 4 and Appendix E of the manual for information to in	nplement s	ite design B	MPs shown
in this checklist.	1	0	
Answer each category below pursuant to the following.			
• "Yes" means the project will implement the site design BMP a	s described	d in Chapte	er 4 and/or
Appendix E of the manual. Discussion / justification is not require	d.		
 "No" means the BMP is applicable to the project but it is not feat justification must be provided. 	asible to in	nplement. I	Discussion /
• "N/A" means the BMP is not applicable at the project site becaus	se the proje	ect does not	include the
feature that is addressed by the BMP (e.g., the project site has no	existing nat	tural areas to	o conserve).
Discussion / justification may be provided.			
Site Design Requirement		Applied?	
SD-1 Maintain Natural Drainage Pathways and Hydrologic Features	□ Yes	🛛 No	\Box N/A
Discussion / justification if SD-1 not implemented:		•	•
		, ·	
Existing conditions show sheet flow drainage of site. Scope includes construction of storm drain to convey			
site drainage to discharge point.			
SD-2 Conserve Natural Areas, Soils, and Vegetation	🛛 Yes	🗆 No	□ N/A
Discussion / justification if SD-2 not implemented:			
Natural Areas, Soils and Vegetation will be conserved in areas that are not 1	proposed to	o be graded	or
constructed.			
		I	
SD-3 Minimize Impervious Area	🛛 Yes	□ No	\Box N/A
Discussion / justification if SD-3 not implemented:			
Project includes maximizing pervious areas throughout site.			
SD-4 Minimize Soil Compaction	X Vec	\Box No	$\square N/A$
Discussion / justification if SD-4 not implemented:	L 103		L 1 N / / 1
Discussion / Justification if 0D-+ not implemented.			



Form I-5 Page 2 of 2			
Site Design Requirement		Applied?	
SD-5 Impervious Area Dispersion	□ Yes	🗆 No	🖾 N/A
Discussion / justification if SD-5 not implemented:		•	•
	1	1	1
SD-6 Runoff Collection	\Box Yes	🗆 No	🖾 N/A
Discussion / justification if SD-6 not implemented:			
	1	1	1
SD-7 Landscaping with Native or Drought Tolerant Species	\boxtimes Yes	□ No	\Box N/A
Discussion / justification if SD-7 not implemented:			
	—		
SD-8 Harvesting and Using Precipitation	\Box Yes	🖾 No	\Box N/A
Discussion / justification if SD-8 not implemented:			
Deemed Infeasible see Attachment 1d			



Summary of PDP Structural BMPs	Form 1

Project Identification

-6 (PDPs)

Project Name Jefferson Oceanside

Permit Application Number D20-00004, CUP20-00005

PDP Structural BMPs

All PDPs must implement structural BMPs for storm water pollutant control (see Chapter 5 of the manual). Selection of PDP structural BMPs for storm water pollutant control must be based on the selection process described in Chapter 5. PDPs subject to hydromodification management requirements must also implement structural BMPs for flow control for hydromodification management (see Chapter 6 of the manual). Both storm water pollutant control and flow control for hydromodification management can be achieved within the same structural BMP(s).

PDP structural BMPs must be verified by the local jurisdiction at the completion of construction. This may include requiring the project owner or project owner's representative to certify construction of the structural BMPs (see Section 1.12 of the manual). PDP structural BMPs must be maintained into perpetuity, and the local jurisdiction must confirm the maintenance (see Section 7 of the manual).

Use this form to provide narrative description of the general strategy for structural BMP implementation at the project site in the box below. Then complete the PDP structural BMP summary information sheet (page 3 of this form) for each structural BMP within the project (copy the BMP summary information page as many times as needed to provide summary information for each individual structural BMP).

Describe the general strategy for structural BMP implementation at the site. This information must describe how the steps for selecting and designing storm water pollutant control BMPs presented in Section 5.1 of the manual were followed, and the results (type of BMPs selected). For projects requiring hydromodification flow control BMPs, indicate whether pollutant control and flow control BMPs are integrated or separate.

The Geotechnical Engineer has classified the stie an No Infiltration Condition due to the depth of fill and compressible alluvium onsite. Geotechnical Engineer is preparing No Infiltration letter which will be submitted to the City and included in Attachment 1e. Therefore, biofiltration basins were deemed infeasible. The Harvest and reuse for toilet demand was considered infeasible per Form I-7 in Attachment 1d. Therefore, one (1) biofiltration basin was designed to satisfy the minimum three percent of the weighted area required to meet the effective area required for evapotranspiration. The other effective BMP that is feasible to implement is the Modular Wetland System (MWS).

The proposed Jefferson Oceanside development will be divided into eight (8) DMAs. DMAs 1, 2 and 3 comprise the mixed-use portion of the project and consist of buildings, drive aisles, parking, landscape and associated hardscape. DMAs 4 and 5 will be landscaped and pervious and are therefore deemed selfmitigating. DMA6 is the DMA containing the proposed street dedication of the construction of South Oceanside Boulevard, to be designed per County of San Diego Green Street Manual.

(Continue on page 2 as necessary.)

Form I-6 Page 2 of 4



(Page reserved for continuation of description of general strategy for structural BMP implementation at the site)

(Continued from page 1)

DMA 1 will flow via sheet-flow and proposed storm drain to a lined Biofiltration Basin (BMP 1). This biofiltration basin is designed to meet pollutant control and hydromodification control.

DMA2 consists of 5 sub-DMAs, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5. Each of these sub-DMAs will utilize a modular wetland system for pollution control treatment only. Flows will then discharge into an underground storm drain pipe for detention designed to meet hydromodification control.

DMA3 consists of 4 sub-DMAs, 3.1, 3.2, .3., and 3.4. Each of these sub-DMAs will utilize a modular wetland system for pollution control treatment only. Flows will then discharge to an underground storm drain pipe for detention designed to meet hydromodification control.

DMA4 consists of 3 sub-DMAs, 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3, and the entire DMA is proposed 100% landscaped/planted area. DMA4 is deemed self-mitigating.

DMA5 is a proposed vegetated area with trees, shrubs and two meandering DG paths.

DMA6 is a 50,035 SF area the project proposes to dedicate to the construction of a public street, South Oceanside Boulevard. To satisfy pollutant control requirements, proposed street trees, SD-1, or "tree wells" per County of San Diego Green Street Standard Detail GS-1.1a and GS-1.1b will be implemented. Detailed design will be provided in Final Design SWQMP.

Street trees/tree wells have been incorporated throughout DMA 1, 2, and 3 to satisfy volume retention requirements per County of SD Worksheets B. 1 and B.2.



Form I 6 Page 3 of 4 (Copy as many as needed)		
Structural BMP Summary Information		
(Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP)		
Structural BMP ID No. BMP 1		
Construction Plan Sheet No. C-3.0		
Type of structural BMP:		
\Box Retention by harvest and use (HU-1)		
□Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1)		
\Box Retention by bioretention (INF-2)		
□Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3)		
Partial retention by biofiltration with partial retention	n (PR-1)	
Biofiltration (BF-1)		
□Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful appr	oval to meet earlier PDP requirements (provide BMP	
type/description in discussion section below)		
□Flow-thru treatment control included as pre-treat	ment/forebay for an onsite retention or biofiltration	
BMP (provide BMP type/description and indicate whether the second	hich onsite retention or biofiltration BMP it serves in	
discussion section below)		
Flow-thru treatment control with alternative com	pliance (provide BMP type/description in discussion	
section below)		
Detention pond or vault for hydromodification man	agement	
\Box Other (describe in discussion section below)		
Damoso		
Pulpose.		
Combined pollutent control and hydromodification	control	
Dreatester ort / for show for an other structural PMP	control	
Other (describe in discussion section below)		
UOther (describe in discussion section below)		
Who will certify construction of this BMP?	Brvan D. Smith	
Provide name and contact information for the party	Fuscoe Engineering, Inc.	
responsible to sign BMP verification forms if	6390 Greenwich Drive, Suite 170	
required by the [City Engineer] (See Section 1.12 of	San Diego, CA 92117	
the manual)		
Who will be the final owner of this BMP?	JPI	
	12250 El Camino Real, Suite 380	
	(858) 369-5679	
Who will maintain this BMP into perpetuity?	JPI	
What is the funding mechanism for maintenance?	JPI	


Structural BMP Summary Information

(Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP)

Discussion (as needed):



Form I-6 Page 3 of 4 (Copy as many as needed)		
Structural BMP Summary Information		
(Copy this page as needed to provide information	on for each individual proposed structural BMP)	
Structural BMP ID No. BMP 2.1		
Construction Plan Sheet No. C-3.0		
Type of structural BMP:		
\Box Retention by harvest and use (HU-1)		
\Box Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1)		
□Retention by bioretention (INF-2)		
□Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3)		
Partial retention by biofiltration with partial retention	n (PR-1)	
Biofiltration (BF-1)		
□Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful appr	oval to meet earlier PDP requirements (provide BMP	
type/description in discussion section below)		
Below-thru treatment control included as pre-treatment/forebay for an onsite retention or biofiltration		
BMP (provide BMP type/description and indicate whether the second	hich onsite retention or biofiltration BMP it serves in	
discussion section below)		
□Flow-thru treatment control with alternative compliance (provide BMP type/description in discussion		
section below)		
Detention pond or vault for hydromodification man	agement	
\Box Other (describe in discussion section below)		
Dramosou		
Pullutant control only		
Pollutant control only		
Combined pollutant control and hydromodification	control	
\Box Combined pollutant control and hydromodification control		
$\Box Pre-treatment/torebay for another structural BMP$		
Liouer (describe in discussion section below)		
Who will certify construction of this BMP?	Brvan D. Smith	
Provide name and contact information for the party	Fuscoe Engineering, Inc.	
responsible to sign BMP verification forms if	6390 Greenwich Drive, Suite 170	
required by the [City Engineer] (See Section 1.12 of	San Diego, CA 92117	
the manual)		
Who will be the final owner of this BMP?		
	12250 El Camino Real, Suite 380 San Diego, CA 92130	
	(858) 369-5679	
Who will maintain this BMP into perpetuity?	JPI	
What is the funding mechanism for maintenance?	ЈЫ	



Structural BMP Summary Information

(Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP)

Discussion (as needed):



Form I-6 Page 3 of 4 (Copy as many as needed)		
Structural BMP Su	mmary Information	
(Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP)		
Structural BMP ID No. BMP 2.2		
Construction Plan Sheet No. C-3.0		
Type of structural BMP:		
\Box Retention by harvest and use (HU-1)		
□Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1)		
□Retention by bioretention (INF-2)		
□Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3)		
Partial retention by biofiltration with partial retention	n (PR-1)	
Biofiltration (BF-1)		
□Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful appr	oval to meet earlier PDP requirements (provide BMP	
type/description in discussion section below)		
Below-thru treatment control included as pre-treatment	ment/forebay for an onsite retention or biofiltration	
BMP (provide BMP type/description and indicate where the second s	hich onsite retention or biofiltration BMP it serves in	
discussion section below)		
\Box Flow-thru treatment control with alternative compliance (provide BMP type/description in discussion		
section below)		
Detention pond or vault for hydromodification man	agement	
UOther (describe in discussion section below)		
Developer		
Pollutant control only		
Hvdromodification control only		
Combined pollutant control and hydromodification	control	
Pre-treatment/forebay for another structural BMP		
Other (describe in discussion section below)		
_ other (describe in discussion section below)		
Who will certify construction of this BMP?	Bryan D. Smith	
Provide name and contact information for the party	Fuscoe Engineering, Inc.	
responsible to sign BMP verification forms if	6390 Greenwich Drive, Suite 170	
required by the [City Engineer] (See Section 1.12 of	San Diego, CA 92117	
the manual)		
Who will be the final owner of this BMP?	JPI 12250 El Camino Roal Suito 380	
	San Diego. CA 92130	
	(858) 369-5679	
Who will maintain this BMP into perpetuity?	JPI	
What is the funding mechanism for maintenance?	JPI	



Structural BMP Summary Information

(Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP)

Discussion (as needed):



Form I-6 Page 3 of 4 (Copy as many as needed)		
Structural BMP Su	mmary Information	
(Copy this page as needed to provide information	on for each individual proposed structural BMP)	
Structural BMP ID No. BMP 2.3		
Construction Plan Sheet No. C-3.0		
Type of structural BMP:		
\Box Retention by harvest and use (HU-1)		
□Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1)		
□Retention by bioretention (INF-2)		
Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3)		
Partial retention by biofiltration with partial retention	n (PR-1)	
Biofiltration (BF-1)		
□Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful appr	oval to meet earlier PDP requirements (provide BMP	
type/description in discussion section below)		
Below-thru treatment control included as pre-treat	ment/forebay for an onsite retention or biofiltration	
BMP (provide BMP type/description and indicate where the second s	hich onsite retention or biofiltration BMP it serves in	
discussion section below)		
□Flow-thru treatment control with alternative compliance (provide BMP type/description in discussion		
section below)		
Detention pond or vault for hydromodification management		
Other (describe in discussion section below)		
Demogra		
Pollutant control only		
Hvdromodification control only		
Combined pollutant control and hydromodification control		
Pre-treatment/forebay for another structural BMP		
$\Box \text{Other} (\text{describe in discussion section below})$		
Who will certify construction of this BMP?	Bryan D. Smith	
Provide name and contact information for the party	Fuscoe Engineering, Inc.	
responsible to sign BMP verification forms if	6390 Greenwich Drive, Suite 170	
required by the [City Engineer] (See Section 1.12 of	San Diego, CA 92117	
the manual)	IDI	
Who will be the final owner of this BMP?	JP1 12250 El Camino Real Suite 380	
	San Diego, CA 92130	
	(858) 369-5679	
Who will maintain this BMP into perpetuity?	ЈЫ	
What is the funding mechanism for maintenance?	JPI	



Structural BMP Summary Information

(Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP)

Discussion (as needed):



Form I-6 Page 3 of 4 (C	opy as many as needed)		
Structural BMP Su	mmary Information		
(Copy this page as needed to provide information	on for each individual proposed structural BMP)		
Structural BMP ID No. BMP 2.4			
Construction Plan Sheet No. C-3.0			
Type of structural BMP:			
\Box Retention by harvest and use (HU-1)			
□Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1)			
□Retention by bioretention (INF-2)			
Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3)			
\Box Partial retention by biofiltration with partial retention	n (PR-1)		
Biofiltration (BF-1)			
\Box Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful appr	oval to meet earlier PDP requirements (provide BMP		
type/description in discussion section below)			
Blow-thru treatment control included as pre-treatment/forebay for an onsite retention or biofiltration			
BMP (provide BMP type/description and indicate wh	hich onsite retention or biofiltration BMP it serves in		
discussion section below)			
□Flow-thru treatment control with alternative compliance (provide BMP type/description in discussion			
section below)			
Detention pond of vault for hydromodification man	agement		
UOther (describe in discussion section below)			
Purpose:			
Pollutant control only			
Hydromodification control only	Hydromodification control only		
Combined pollutant control and hydromodification	control		
□Pre-treatment/forebay for another structural BMP			
Other (describe in discussion section below)			
Who will certify construction of this BMP?	Bryan D. Smith		
Provide name and contact information for the party	Fuscoe Engineering, Inc.		
responsible to sign BMP verification forms if	San Diago, CA 92117		
required by the [City Engineer] (See Section 1.12 of the manual)	San Diego, CA 92117		
Who will be the final owner of this BMP?	IPI		
	12250 El Camino Real, Suite 380		
	San Diego, CA 92130		
	(858) 369-5679		
Who will maintain this BMP into perpetuity?	ЈЫ		
What is the funding mechanism for maintenance?	IDI		
what is the ranking incentation for maintenance:	<i></i>		



Structural BMP Summary Information

(Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP)

Discussion (as needed):



Form I-6 Page 3 of 4 (Copy as many as needed)		
Structural BMP Su	mmary Information	
(Copy this page as needed to provide information	on for each individual proposed structural BMP)	
Structural BMP ID No. BMP 2.5		
Construction Plan Sheet No. C-3.0		
Type of structural BMP:		
\Box Retention by harvest and use (HU-1)		
□Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1)		
□Retention by bioretention (INF-2)		
Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3)		
Partial retention by biofiltration with partial retention	n (PR-1)	
Biofiltration (BF-1)		
□Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful appr	oval to meet earlier PDP requirements (provide BMP	
type/description in discussion section below)		
EFlow-thru treatment control included as pre-treatment/forebay for an onsite retention or biofiltration		
BMP (provide BMP type/description and indicate where the second s	hich onsite retention or biofiltration BMP it serves in	
discussion section below)		
\Box Flow-thru treatment control with alternative compliance (provide BMP type/description in discussion		
section below)		
Detention pond or vault for hydromodification man	agement	
UOther (describe in discussion section below)		
Developer		
Pollutant control only		
Hvdromodification control only		
Combined pollutant control and hydromodification	control	
Pre-treatment/forebay for another structural BMP		
$\Box \text{Other (describe in discussion section below)}$		
Who will certify construction of this BMP?	Bryan D. Smith	
Provide name and contact information for the party	Fuscoe Engineering, Inc.	
responsible to sign BMP verification forms if	6390 Greenwich Drive, Suite 170	
required by the [City Engineer] (See Section 1.12 of	San Diego, CA 92117	
the manual)		
Who will be the final owner of this BMP?	JPI 12250 El Camino Real Suite 380	
	San Diego, CA 92130	
	(858) 369-5679	
Who will maintain this BMP into perpetuity?	ЈЫ	
What is the funding mechanism for maintenance?	JPI	



Structural BMP Summary Information

(Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP)

Discussion (as needed):



Form I-6 Page 3 of 4 (Copy as many as needed)		
Structural BMP Summary Information		
(Copy this page as needed to provide information	on for each individual proposed structural BMP)	
Structural BMP ID No. BMP 3.1		
Construction Plan Sheet No. C-3.1		
Type of structural BMP:		
\Box Retention by harvest and use (HU-1)		
□Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1)		
\Box Retention by bioretention (INF-2)		
Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3)		
Partial retention by biofiltration with partial retention	n (PR-1)	
□Biofiltration (BF-1)		
□Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful appr	oval to meet earlier PDP requirements (provide BMP	
type/description in discussion section below)		
Below-thru treatment control included as pre-treatment	ment/forebay for an onsite retention or biofiltration	
BMP (provide BMP type/description and indicate whether the second	nich onsite retention or biofiltration BMP it serves in	
discussion section below)		
□Flow-thru treatment control with alternative compliance (provide BMP type/description in discussion		
section below)		
Detention pond or vault for hydromodification man	agement	
Other (describe in discussion section below)		
Purpose:		
Pollutant control only		
Hydromodification control only		
Combined pollutant control and hydromodification	control	
Pre-treatment/forebay for another structural BMP		
Other (describe in discussion section below)		
Who will certify construction of this BMP?	Bryan D. Smith	
Provide name and contact information for the party	Fuscoe Engineering, Inc.	
responsible to sign BMP verification forms if	6390 Greenwich Drive, Suite 170	
required by the [City Engineer] (See Section 1.12 of	San Diego, CA 92117	
the manual)		
Who will be the final owner of this BMP?	JPI 12250 El Camino Real Suite 380	
	San Diego, CA 92130	
	(858) 369-5679	
Who will maintain this BMP into perpetuity?	ЈЫ	
What is the funding mechanism for maintenance?	JPI	



Structural BMP Summary Information

(Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP)

Discussion (as needed):



Form I-6 Page 3 of 4 (Copy as many as needed)		
Structural BMP Su	mmary Information	
(Copy this page as needed to provide information	on for each individual proposed structural BMP)	
Structural BMP ID No. BMP 3.2		
Construction Plan Sheet No. C-3.1		
Type of structural BMP:		
\Box Retention by harvest and use (HU-1)		
\Box Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1)		
Retention by bioretention (INF-2)		
□Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3)		
Partial retention by biofiltration with partial retention	n (PR-1)	
Biofiltration (BF-1)		
□Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful appr	oval to meet earlier PDP requirements (provide BMP	
type/description in discussion section below)		
Flow-thru treatment control included as pre-treatment/forebay for an onsite retention or biofiltration		
BMP (provide BMP type/description and indicate whether the second	nich onsite retention or biofiltration BMP it serves in	
discussion section below)		
□Flow-thru treatment control with alternative compliance (provide BMP type/description in discussion		
section below)		
Detention pond or vault for hydromodification man	agement	
□Other (describe in discussion section below)		
Purpose:		
Combined pollutant control and hydromodification	control	
Dro treatment / for about for another structural BMD	control	
Pre-treatment/torebay for another structural BMP		
UOther (describe in discussion section below)		
Who will certify construction of this BMP?	Brvan D. Smith	
Provide name and contact information for the party	Fuscoe Engineering, Inc.	
responsible to sign BMP verification forms if	6390 Greenwich Drive, Suite 170	
required by the [City Engineer] (See Section 1.12 of	San Diego, CA 92117	
the manual)		
Who will be the final owner of this BMP?	JPI	
	12250 El Camino Real, Suite 380	
	San Diego, CA 92150 (858) 369-5679	
Who will maintain this BMP into perpetuity?	IPI	
perpetation.	5	
What is the funding mechanism for maintenance?	JPI	
-		



Structural BMP Summary Information

(Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP)

Discussion (as needed):



Form I-6 Page 3 of 4 (Copy as many as needed)		
Structural BMP Sur	mmary Information	
(Copy this page as needed to provide information	on for each individual proposed structural BMP)	
Structural BMP ID No. BMP 3.3		
Construction Plan Sheet No. C-3.1		
Type of structural BMP:		
\Box Retention by harvest and use (HU-1)		
□Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1)		
Retention by bioretention (INF-2)		
□Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3)		
\Box Partial retention by biofiltration with partial retention	n (PR-1)	
□Biofiltration (BF-1)		
□Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful appr	oval to meet earlier PDP requirements (provide BMP	
type/description in discussion section below)		
Below-thru treatment control included as pre-treatment	ment/forebay for an onsite retention or biofiltration	
BMP (provide BMP type/description and indicate which onsite retention or biofiltration BMP it serves in		
discussion section below)		
□Flow-thru treatment control with alternative compliance (provide BMP type/description in discussion		
section below)		
Detention pond or vault for hydromodification management		
\Box Other (describe in discussion section below)		
Purpose		
\square Pollutant control only		
Hydromodification control only		
Combined pollutant control and hydromodification	control	
Pre-treatment/forebay for another structural BMP		
$\Box \text{Other (describe in discussion section below)}$		
Who will certify construction of this BMP?	Bryan D. Smith	
Provide name and contact information for the party	Fuscoe Engineering, Inc.	
responsible to sign BMP verification forms if	6390 Greenwich Drive, Suite 170	
required by the [City Engineer] (See Section 1.12 of	San Diego, CA 92117	
the manual)		
who will be the final owner of this DMP?	JP1 12250 El Camino Real Suite 380	
	San Diego, CA 92130	
	(858) 369-5679	
Who will maintain this BMP into perpetuity?	ЈЫ	
What is the funding mechanism for maintenance?	JPI	



Structural BMP Summary Information

(Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP)

Discussion (as needed):



Form I-6 Page 3 of 4 (Copy as many as needed)		
Structural BMP Su	mmary Information	
(Copy this page as needed to provide information	on for each individual proposed structural BMP)	
Structural BMP ID No. BMP 3.4		
Construction Plan Sheet No. C-3.1		
Type of structural BMP:		
\Box Retention by harvest and use (HU-1)		
□Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1)		
□Retention by bioretention (INF-2)		
Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3)		
\Box Partial retention by biofiltration with partial retention	n (PR-1)	
□Biofiltration (BF-1)		
□Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful appr	oval to meet earlier PDP requirements (provide BMP	
type/description in discussion section below)		
Below-thru treatment control included as pre-treatment	ment/forebay for an onsite retention or biofiltration	
BMP (provide BMP type/description and indicate which onsite retention or biofiltration BMP it serves in		
discussion section below)		
□Flow-thru treatment control with alternative compliance (provide BMP type/description in discussion		
section below)		
Detention pond or vault for hydromodification management		
Other (describe in discussion section below)		
Purpose		
Pollutant control only		
Hydromodification control only		
Combined pollutant control and hydromodification control		
Pre-treatment/forebay for another structural BMP		
\Box Other (describe in discussion section below)		
Who will certify construction of this BMP?	Bryan D. Smith	
Provide name and contact information for the party	Fuscoe Engineering, Inc.	
responsible to sign BMP verification forms if	6390 Greenwich Drive, Suite 170	
required by the [City Engineer] (See Section 1.12 of	San Diego, CA 92117	
the manual)	IDI	
who will be the final owner of this DMP?	JP1 12250 El Camino Real Suite 380	
	San Diego, CA 92130	
	(858) 369-5679	
Who will maintain this BMP into perpetuity?	ЈЫ	
What is the funding mechanism for maintenance?	JPI	



Structural BMP Summary Information

(Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP)

Discussion (as needed):



Form I 6 Dage 3 of 4 (C	ony as many as peoded)	
Form 1-6 Page 5 of 4 (C Structural BMP Su	mmary Information	
(Copy this page as needed to provide information	on for each individual proposed structural BMP)	
Structural BMP ID No. HMP 2		
Construction Plan Sheet No. C-3.0		
Type of structural BMP:		
\Box Retention by harvest and use (HU-1)		
Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1)		
□Retention by bioretention (INF-2)		
□Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3)		
Partial retention by biofiltration with partial retention	n (PR-1)	
Biofiltration (BF-1)		
Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful approval to meet earlier PDP requirements (provide BMP		
type/description in discussion section below)		
Flow-thru treatment control included as pre-treatment/forebay for an onsite retention or biofiltration		
BMP (provide BMP type/description and indicate which onsite retention or biofiltration BMP it serves in		
discussion section below)		
□Flow-thru treatment control with alternative compliance (provide BMP type/description in discussion		
section below)		
Detention pond or vault for hydromodification man	agement	
\Box Other (describe in discussion section below)		
Purpose:		
Delutant control only		
Combined pollutant control and hydromodification control		
□Pre-treatment/forebay for another structural BMP		
UOther (describe in discussion section below)		
Who will certify construction of this BMP?	Bryan D. Smith	
Provide name and contact information for the party	Fuscoe Engineering. Inc.	
responsible to sign BMP verification forms if	6390 Greenwich Drive, Suite 170	
required by the [City Engineer] (See Section 1.12 of	San Diego, CA 92117	
the manual)		
Who will be the final owner of this BMP?	ЈЫ	
	12250 El Camino Real, Suite 380	
	San Diego, CA 92130	
Who will maintain this BMP into perpetuity?	(050) 507-5079 IPI	
in manual and brief into perpetaty.	<i>J</i>	
What is the funding mechanism for maintenance?	ЈЫ	
-		



Structural BMP Summary Information

(Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP)

Discussion (as needed):



Form I 6 Dags 2 of 4 (Come as many as needed)		
Structural BMP Summary Information		
(Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP)		
Structural BMP ID No. HMP 3		
Construction Plan Sheet No. C-3.1		
Type of structural BMP:		
\Box Retention by harvest and use (HU-1)		
□Retention by infiltration basin (INF-1)		
□Retention by bioretention (INF-2)		
Retention by permeable pavement (INF-3)		
Partial retention by biofiltration with partial retention (PR-1)		
Biofiltration (BF-1)		
Flow-thru treatment control with prior lawful approval to meet earlier PDP requirements (provide BMP)		
type/description in discussion section below)		
□Flow-thru treatment control included as pre-treat	ment/forebay for an onsite retention or biofiltration	
BMP (provide BMP type/description and indicate which onsite retention or biofiltration BMP it serves in		
discussion section below)		
□Flow-thru treatment control with alternative compliance (provide BMP type/description in discussion		
section below)		
Detention pond or vault for hydromodification man	agement	
Other (describe in discussion section below)		
Pulpose.		
Pollutant control only		
Combined pollutent control and hydromodification	control	
$\Box \text{Combined pollutant control and hydromodification control}$		
Pre-treatment/forebay for another structural BMP		
UOther (describe in discussion section below)		
Who will certify construction of this BMP?	Brvan D. Smith	
Provide name and contact information for the party	Fuscoe Engineering, Inc.	
responsible to sign BMP verification forms if	6390 Greenwich Drive, Suite 170	
required by the [City Engineer] (See Section 1.12 of	San Diego, CA 92117	
the manual)		
Who will be the final owner of this BMP?	JPI 12250 El Comine Bool Stite 280	
	San Diego CA 92130	
	(858) 369-5679	
Who will maintain this BMP into perpetuity?	JPI	
What is the funding mechanism for maintenance?	ЈЫ	



Structural BMP Summary Information

(Copy this page as needed to provide information for each individual proposed structural BMP)

Discussion (as needed):





City of Oceanside 300 N Coast Highway Oceanside, CA 92054

Permanent BMP

Self Certification Form

Construction

Date Prepared: Click here to enter text.	Project No.: Click here to enter text.	
Project Applicant: Click here to enter text.	Phone: Click here to enter text.	
Project Address: Click here to enter text.		
Project Engineer: Click here to enter text.	Phone: Click here to enter text.	
The purpose of this form is to verify that the site improvements for the project, identified above, have been constructed in conformance with the approved Storm Water Quality Management Plan (SWQMP) documents and drawings.		
This form must be completed by the engineer and installing contractor and submitted prior to final inspection of the construction permit. Completion and submittal of this form is required for all new development and redevelopment projects in order to comply with the City's Storm Water ordinances and NDPES Permit Order No. R9-2013-0001. Final inspection for occupancy and/or release of grading or public improvement bonds may be delayed if this form is not submitted and approved by the City of Oceanside.		
ENGINEER'S CERTIFICATION:		
As the professional in responsible charge for the design of the above project, I certify that I have inspected all constructed Low Impact Development (LID) site design, source control and treatment control BMP's required per the approved SWQMP and Construction Permit No. Click here to enter text.; and that said BMP's have been constructed in compliance with the approved plans and all applicable specifications, permits, ordinances and Order No. R9-2013-0001 of the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board.		
I understand that this BMP certification state maintenance verification.	ement does not constitute an operation and	

Signature: _____



Date of Signature: _ Click here to enter text.	
Printed Name: _ Click here to enter text.	
Title: _ Click here to enter text.	
Phone No Click here to enter text.	Engineer's Stamp
CONTRACTOR'S CERTIFICATION:	
As the professional in responsible charge for conconstructed Low Impact Development (LID) site BMP's required per the approved SWQMP and C have been constructed in compliance with the appermits, and ordinances.	estruction of the above project, I certify that all design, source control and treatment control Construction Permit No. Click here to enter text.; oproved plans and all applicable specifications,
I understand that this BMP certification state maintenance verification.	ement does not constitute an operation and
Signature:	_
Date of Signature: _ Click here to enter text.	
Printed Name: _ Click here to enter text	
Title: _ Click here to enter text.	
Phone No Click here to enter text.	-



ATTACHMENT 1

BACKUP FOR PDP POLLUTANT CONTROL BMPS

This is the cover sheet for Attachment 1.



Indicate which Items are Included:

Attachment Sequence	Contents	Checklist
Attachment 1a	DMA Exhibit (Required) See DMA Exhibit Checklist.	⊠Included
Attachment 1b	Tabular Summary of DMAs Showing DMA ID matching DMA Exhibit, DMA Area, and DMA Type (Required)* *Provide table in this Attachment OR on DMA Exhibit in Attachment 1a	 ☑ Included on DMA Exhibit in Attachment 1a □ Included as Attachment 1b, separate from DMA Exhibit
Attachment 1c	Design Capture Volume Worksheet	⊠Included Included as part of Attachment 1f
Attachment 1d	Form I-7, Harvest and Use Feasibility Screening Checklist (Required unless the entire project will use infiltration BMPs) Refer to Appendix B.3-1 of the BMP Design Manual to complete Form I-7.	⊠Included □Not included because the entire project will use infiltration BMPs
Attachment 1e	Form I-8, Categorization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition (Required unless the project will use harvest and use BMPs) Refer to Appendices C and D of the BMP Design Manual to complete Form I-8.	⊠Included ⊡Not included.
Attachment 1t	Pollutant Control BMP Design Worksheets / Calculations (Required) Refer to Appendices B and E of the BMP Design Manual for structural pollutant control BMP design guidelines	⊠Included



Use this checklist to ensure the required information has been included on the DMA Exhibit:

The DMA Exhibit must identify:

Underlying hydrologic soil group
Approximate depth to groundwater
Existing natural hydrologic features (watercourses, seeps, springs, wetlands)
Critical coarse sediment yield areas to be protected
Existing topography and impervious areas
Existing and proposed site drainage network and connections to drainage offsite
Proposed grading
Proposed impervious features
Proposed design features and surface treatments used to minimize imperviousness
Drainage management area (DMA) boundaries, DMA ID numbers, and DMA areas (square footage or acreage), and DMA type (i.e., drains to BMP, self-retaining, or self-mitigating)
Potential pollutant source areas and corresponding required source controls (see Chapter 4, Appendix E.1, and Form I-3B)

Structural BMPs (identify location, type of BMP, and size/detail)







DMA	TOTAL AREA (AC)	TOTAL AREA (SF)	PERVIOUS AREA (SF)	IMPERVIOUS AREA - ROOFS, CONCRETE, ASPHALT (SF)	% IMPERVIOUS	WATER QUALITY WEIGHTED AREA (SF)	WEIGHTED C FACTOR	INITIAL DCV (CF)	POLLUTANT CONTROL TREATMENT METHOD	Basin Area Required (SF)	Basin Area Provided (SF)	MWS TREATME FLOWRA REQUIRE
1	0.75	32,503	7,042	25,461	78%	25,028	0.77	1231	BMP 1 Biofiltration	751	1,845	-
2.1	0.36	15,696	853	14,843	95%	13,615	0.87	671	BMP 2.1 (MWS)	-	-	0.094
2.2	1.00	43,418	2,161	41,257	95%	37,780	0.87	1857	BMP 2.2 (MWS)	-	-	0.261
2.3	1.12	48,923	2,327	46,596	95%	42,635	0.87	2093	BMP 2.3 (MWS)	-	-	0.292
2.4	0.52	22,784	2,347	20,437	90%	19,097	0.84	941	BMP 2.4 (MWS)	-	-	0.131
2.5	0.79	34,325	3,993	30,332	88%	28,497	0.83	1401	BMP 2.5 (MWS)	-		0.197
3.1	0.19	8,289	700	7,589	92%	7,040	0.85	346	BMP 3.1 (MWS)	-	-	0.048
3.2	0.31	13,595	1,572	12,023	88%	11,292	0.83	555	BMP 3.2 (MWS)	-	-	0.077
3.3	1.28	55,906	4,917	50,989	91%	47,365	0.85	2336	BMP 3.3 (MWS)	-	-	0.326
3.4	0.69	30,186	5,331	24,855	82%	23,969	0.79	1172	BMP 3.4 (MWS)	-	-	0.164
4.1	0.16	2,044	2,044	0	0%	613	-	-	Self Mitigating	-	-	-
4.2	0.08	3,339	3,339	0	0%	1,002	-	-	Self Mitigating	-	-	-
4.3	0.88	5,708	5,708	0	0%	1,712	-	-	Self Mitigating	-	-	-
5	1.08	47,102	47,102	0	0%	14,131	-	-	Self Mitigating	-	-	-
6	1.15	50,035	21,830	28,205	56%	31,934		-	Tree Wells Per Green Streets Design	-	-	-
TOTALS	9.50	413,853	111,266	302,587	73%	305,708	0.74	12,603	-	-		-

PROJECT SITE INFO UNDERLYING HYDROLOGIC SOIL: D

CROUCH

ST

REE

 \rightarrow

 \nearrow

_

APPROXIMATE DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: < 10 FT EXISTING NATURAL HYDROLOGIC FEATURES: LOCATED WITHIN FLOODPLAIN AND FLOODWAY, SEEPS LOCATED ON SITE CRITICAL COARSE SEDIMENT YIELD AREAS TO BE PROTECTED: NONE LOCATED WITHIN PROJECT BOUNDARY EXISTING IMPERVIOUS AREA: 13,500 SF (ONSITE), 17,827 (OFFSITE SIDEWALK TO BE MAINTAINED) DISTURBED AREA: 434,680 SF PROPOSED IMPERVIOUS AREA: 302,587 SF (ONSITE), 17,827 (OFFSITE SIDEWALK TO BE MAINTAINED) PROPOSED PERVIOUS AREA: 111,266 SF

SITE DESIGN BMPs

- 1 SD-1 STREET TREE (TREE WELL), 14.5' x 14.5' STRUCTURAL SOIL FOOTPRINT
- 2 SD-2 CONSERVE NATURAL AREAS, SOILS AND VEGETATION
- 3 SD-3 MINIMIZE IMPERVIOUS AREA
- 4 SD-4 MINIMIZE SOIL COMPACTION
- 5 LANDSCAPING WITH NATIVE OR DROUGHT TOLERANT SPECIES

PERMANENT STORM WATER BMP NOTES

- (1) BIOFILTRATION BASIN BMP (BF-1), FOR POLLUTANT AND
- HYDROMODIFICATION CONTROL
- (2) PROPRIETARY BMP (BF-3), POLLUTANT CONTROL ONLY
- (3) STORMWATER STORAGE FOR HYDROMODIFICATION

PROPERTY LINE RIGHT-OF-WAY STREET CENTERLINE EXISTING CONTOUR EXISTING STORM DRAIN PROPOSED STORM DRAIN DMA LIMITS SUB-DMA LIMITS

LEGEND

DIRECTION OF FLOW DMA DESIGNATION

BIOFILTRATION BASIN

TREE WELL PER SD-A

PERVIOUS AREA

TO BE DESIGNED PER COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO GREEN STREETS DESIGN CRITERIA

MODULAR WETLAND UNITS 🛛 🗑 💽 🖉 🖉 MP 1(MWS)
 HYDROMODIFICATION CISTERN
 _____SD_____

 STORM DRAIN PIPE
 HMP 2
 STORM DRAIN PIPE



_____ 260 _____

— — SD — —

CROUCH STREET SIDEWALK RESURFACING (EXEMPT FROM PDP REQUIREMENTS)



JEFFERSON OCEANSIDE

ATTACHMENT 1a, 1b, & 2a **DMA & HYDROMODIFICATION** MANAGEMENT EXHIBIT



JOB NO.

SHEET

557-010

ECS

1 of 5

DRAWN BY:

NO.	DATE	REVISION





NOTE: THIS DRAWING IS TO BE INCLUDED ON PLANS UNTIL SIGNED BY THE COUNTY ENGINEER. ONCE SIGNED, IT CAN BE REFERENCED BY NUMBER



NOTE: THIS DRAWING IS TO BE INCLUI BY THE COUNTY ENGINEER. ONCE SIGNED, IT CAN BE REFERENCED BY NUMBER

> DETAIL - STREET TREE (TREE WELL) NOT TO SCALE

	DRAWING GS-1.1b		
EWELL			
TY DESIGN STANDARD			
	REVISIONS	APPROVED	DATE



	SITE SPEC	IFIC DATA		
PROJECT NUMBE	R			
ORDER NUMBER				
PROJECT NAME				
PROJECT LOCATI	ON			
STRUCTURE ID				
	TREATMENT	REQUIRED		
VOLUME B	4SED (CF)	FLOW BAS	ED (CFS)	
TREATMENT HGL	AVAILABLE (FT)			
PEAK BYPASS R	EQUIRED (CFS) -	IF APPLICABLE		
PIPE DATA	I.E.	MATERIAL	DIAMETER	
INLET PIPE 1				
INLET PIPE 2				
OUTLET PIPE				
	PRETREATMENT	BIOFILTRATION	DISCHARGE	
RIM ELEVATION				
SURFACE LOAD	PEDESTRIAN	OPEN PLANTER	PEDESTRIAN	
FRAME & COVER	36" X 36"	N/A	N/A	
WETLANDMEDIA V	OLUME (CY)		TBD	
ORIFICE SIZE (D	ORIFICE SIZE (DIA. INCHES)			

INSTALLATION NOTES

- CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ALL LABOR, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND INCIDENTALS REQUIRED TO OFFLOAD AND INSTALL THE SYSTEM AND ESTABLISHMENT APPURTENANCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS DRAWING AND THE MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATIONS, UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED IN MANUFACTURERS CONTRACT.
- UNIT MUST BE INSTALLED ON LEVEL BASE. MANUFACTURER RECOMMENDS A MINIMUM 6" LEVEL ROCK BASE UNLESS SPECIFIED BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO VERIFY PROJECT ENGINEERS RECOMMENDED BASE SPECIFICATIONS.
- CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY AND INSTALL ALL EXTERNAL CONNECTING PIPES. ALL PIPES MUST BE FLUSH WITH INSIDE SURFACE OF CONCRETE. (PIPES CANNOT INTRUDE BEYOND FLUSH). INVERT OF OUTFLOW PIPE MUST BE FLUSH WITH DISCHARGE CHAMBER FLOOR. ALL PIPES SHALL BE SEALED WATER TIGHT PER MANUFACTURERS STANDARD CONNECTION DETAIL.
- CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLATION OF ALL RISERS, MANHOLES, AND HATCHES. CONTRACTOR TO GROUT ALL MANHOLES AND HATCHES TO MATCH FINISHED SURFACE UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE. VEGETATION SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED BY OTHERS. ALL UNITS WITH
- VEGETATION MUST HAVE DRIP OR SPRAY IRRIGATION SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED BY OTHERS.
- CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR CONTACTING BIO CLEAN FOR ACTIVATION OF UNIT. MANUFACTURERS WARRANTY IS VOID WITH OUT PROPER ACTIVATION BY A BIO CLEAN REPRESENTATIVE.

SITE SPECIFIC DATA

TREATMENT REQUIRED

FLOW BASED (CFS)

DIAMETER

\$24"

TBD

TBD

MATERIAL

PRETREATMENT BIOFILTRATION DISCHARGE

N/A

GENERAL NOTES

PROJECT NUMBER ORDER NUMBER

PROJECT NAME

PROJECT LOCATION

VOLUME BASED (CF)

TREATMENT HGL AVAILABLE (FT)

PEAK BYPASS REQUIRED (CFS) - IF APPLICABLE

I.E.

STRUCTURE ID

PIPE DATA

INLET PIPE 1

INLET PIPE 2 OUTLET PIPE

RIM ELEVATION

MANUFACTURER TO PROVIDE ALL MATERIALS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND CAPACITIES ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE. FOR PROJECT SPECIFIC DRAWINGS DETAILING EXACT DIMENSIONS, WEIGHTS AND ACCESSORIES PLEASE CONTACT BIO CLEAN.

				-
		WETLANOMEDIA		
PATENTE PERIMETE VOID ARE	DRA			2
SITE CURBIN BY OTHER	é à à			
DRAIN DOWN LI				
CARTRIDGE	r-0*	OPENING	9	ĩ
	Î Ø	CURB		
			-oc si	7
		PLAN VIE	W	





ROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL: THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS THE SOLE WETLANDS PROPERTY OF FORTERIA AND ITS COMPANIES. THIS DOCUMENT, INC MOLET WE WE MEDICED IT ONE OF MOLE OF INCOMPANIES ADDRESS AT AN ANY PART THEREOF, WAY BE USED, REPRODUCED OR MODIFIED IN ANY MANNER WITH OUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF FORTERIA.



INSTALLATION NOTES

FRAME & COVER 2EA \$30"

WETLANDMEDIA VOLUME (CY)

ORIFICE SIZE (DIA. INCHES)

NOTES: PRELIMINARY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION.

CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ALL LABOR, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND INCIDENTALS REQUIRED TO OFFLOAD AND INSTALL THE SYSTEM AND APPURTENANCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS DRAWING AND THE MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATIONS, UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED IN MANUFACTURERS CONTRACT.

SURFACE LOAD PEDESTRIAN OPEN PLANTER PEDESTRIAN

- UNIT MUST BE INSTALLED ON LEVEL BASE. MANUFACTURER RECOMMENDS A MINIMUM 6" LEVEL ROCK BASE UNLESS SPECIFIED BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO VERIFY PROJECT ENGINEERS RECOMMENDED BASE SPECIFICATIONS.
- CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY AND INSTALL ALL EXTERNAL CONNECTING PIPES. ALL PIPES MUST BE FLUSH WITH INSIDE SURFACE OF CONCRETE. (PIPES CANNOT INTRUDE BEYOND FLUSH). INVERT OF OUTFLOW PIPE MUST BE FLUSH WITH DISCHARGE CHAMBER FLOOR. ALL PIPES SHALL BE SEALED WATER TIGHT PER MANUFACTURERS STANDARD CONNECTION DETAIL.
- CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLATION OF ALL RISERS, MANHOLES, AND HATCHES. CONTRACTOR TO GROUT ALL MANHOLES AND HATCHES TO MATCH FINISHED SURFACE UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE. VEGETATION SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED BY OTHERS. ALL UNITS WITH
- VEGETATION MUST HAVE DRIP OR SPRAY IRRIGATION SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED BY OTHERS.
- CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR CONTACTING BIO CLEAN FOR ACTIVATION OF UNIT. MANUFACTURERS WARRANTY IS VOID WITH OUT PROPER ACTIVATION BY A BIO CLEAN REPRESENTATIVE.

GENERAL NOTES

MANUFACTURER TO PROVIDE ALL MATERIALS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND CAPACITIES ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE. FOR PROJECT SPECIFIC DRAWINGS DETAILING EXACT DIMENSIONS, WEIGHTS AND ACCESSORIES PLEASE CONTACT BIO CLEAN.





DETAIL - BMP-2.3 PROPRIETARY BIOFILTRATION UNIT

NOT TO SCALE





NO.	DATE	REVISION

	SITE SPEC	IFIC DATA	
PROJECT NAME			
PROJECT LOCAT	<i>ION</i>		
STRUCTURE ID			1
	TREATMENT	REQUIRED	
VOLUME B	ASED (CF)	FLOW BAS	ED (CFS)
TREATMENT HGL	AVAILABLE (FT)		
PEAK BYPASS R	EQUIRED (CFS) -	IF APPLICABLE	
PIPE DATA	1.E.	MATERIAL	DIAMETER
INLET PIPE 1			
INLET PIPE 2			
OUTLET PIPE			
	PRETREATMENT	BIOFILTRATION	DISCHARGE
RIM ELEVATION			
SURFACE LOAD	PARKWAY	OPEN PLANTER	PARKWAY
FRAME & COVER	\$30*	N/A	ø24"
WETLANDMEDIA	YOLUME (CY)		3.05
WETLANDMEDIA L	TBD		
ORIFICE SIZE (D	ø1.71"		
MAXIMUM PICK WEIGHT (LBS)			27000

INSTALLATION NOTES

- CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ALL LABOR, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND INCIDENTALS REQUIRED TO OFFLOAD AND INSTALL THE SYSTEM AND APPURTENANCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS DRAWING AND THE MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATIONS, UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED IN MANUFACTURERS CONTRACT.
- UNIT MUST BE INSTALLED ON LEVEL BASE. MANUFACTURER RECOMMENDS A MINIMUM 6" LEVEL ROCK BASE UNLESS SPECIFIED BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO VERIFY PROJECT ENGINEERS RECOMMENDED BASE SPECIFICATIONS.
- ALL PIPES MUST BE FLUSH WITH INSIDE SURFACE OF CONCRETE. (PIPES CANNOT INTRUDE BEYOND FLUSH). INVERT OF OUTFLOW PIPE MUST BE FLUSH WITH DISCHARGE CHAMBER FLOOR. ALL GAPS AROUND PIPES SHALL BE SEALED WATER TIGHT WITH A NON-SHRINK GROUT PER MANUFACTURERS STANDARD CONNECTION DETAIL AND SHALL MEET OR EXCEED REGIONAL PIPE CONNECTION STANDARDS. CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY AND INSTALL ALL EXTERNAL CONNECTING
- PIPES.
- CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLATION OF ALL RISERS, MANHOLES, AND HATCHES. CONTRACTOR TO GROUT ALL MANHOLES AND HATCHES TO MATCH FINISHED SURFACE UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE. DRIP OR SPRAY IRRIGATION REQUIRED ON ALL UNITS WITH VEGETATION.

GENERAL NOTES

MANUFACTURER TO PROVIDE ALL MATERIALS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND CAPACITIES ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE. FOR PROJECT SPECIFIC DRAWINGS DETAILING EXACT DIMENSIONS, WEIGH AND ACCESSORIES PLEASE CONTACT MANUFACTURER.

PRE-FILTER CARTRIDGE VOID AREA	C/L UNDERDRAIN MANIFOLD
5-	DRAIN DOWN FILTER
	WETLANDMEDIA BED
PLA	V VIEW



SITE CURBING-

BY OTHERS

DRAIN DOWN LINE

HTS	THE PRODUCT DESCRIBED MAY BE PROTECTED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING US PATENTS: 7,425,262; 7,470,362; 7,674,378; 8,303,816; RELATED FOREION PATENTS OR OTHER PATENTS PENDING	PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL: The information contained in this driwing is the sole property of modular wetlands systems. Any reproduction in part or as a whole without the written permission of modular wetlands systems is prohibited.	METLANDS	MWS-L-4-13-C STORMWATER BIOFILTRATION SYSTEM STANDARD DETAIL
- AIL -	- BMP-2.4 PROPF	RIETARY BIOFILTRATION U		

DET NOT TO SCALE

	SITE SPEC	IFIC DATA		
PROJECT NUMBL	R		l	
ORDER NUMBER			I.	
PROJECT NAME		1		
PROJECT LOCAT	ON			
STRUCTURE ID				
	TREATMENT	REQUIRED		
VOLUME B	ASED (CF)	FLOW BAS	ED (CFS)	
TREATMENT HGL	AVAILABLE (FT)			
PEAK BYPASS R	EQUIRED (CFS) -	IF APPLICABLE		
PIPE DATA	I.E.	MATERIAL	DIAMETER	
INLET PIPE 1				
INLET PIPE 2				
OUTLET PIPE				
	PRETREATMENT	BIOFILTRATION	DISCHARGE	
RIM ELEVATION				
SURFACE LOAD	PEDESTRIAN	OPEN PLANTER PEDESTR		
FRAME & COVER	FRAME & COVER 24" X 42" N/A			
WETLANDMEDIA	TBD			
ORIFICE SIZE (D	NA. INCHES)		TBD	
NOTES: PRELIMI	WARY NOT FOR CO	WSTRUCTION.		

INSTALLATION NOTES

- CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ALL LABOR, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND INCIDENTALS REQUIRED TO OFFLOAD AND INSTALL THE SYSTEM AND APPURTENANCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS DRAWING AND THE MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATIONS, UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED IN MANUFACTURERS CONTRACT.
- UNIT MUST BE INSTALLED ON LEVEL BASE. MANUFACTURER RECOMMENDS A MINIMUM 6" LEVEL ROCK BASE UNLESS SPECIFIED BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO VERIFY PROJECT ENGINEERS RECOMMENDED BASE SPECIFICATIONS.
- ALL PIPES MUST BE FLUSH WITH INSIDE SURFACE OF CONCRETE. (PIPES CANNOT INTRUDE BEYOND FLUSH). INVERT OF OUTFLOW PIPE MUST BE FLUSH WITH DISCHARGE CHAMBER FLOOR. ALL GAPS AROUND PIPES SHALL BE SEALED WATER TIGHT WITH A NON-SHRINK GROUT PER MANUFACTURERS STANDARD CONNECTION DETAIL AND SHALL MEET OR EXCEED REGIONAL PIPE CONNECTION STANDARDS.
- CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY AND INSTALL ALL EXTERNAL CONNECTING PIPES. CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLATION OF ALL RISERS,
- MANHOLES, AND HATCHES. CONTRACTOR TO GROUT ALL MANHOLES AND HATCHES TO MATCH FINISHED SURFACE UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
- DRIP OR SPRAY IRRIGATION REQUIRED ON ALL UNITS WITH VEGETATION. CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR CONTACTING MODULAR WETLANDS FOR ACTIVATION OF UNIT. MANUFACTURES WARRANTY IS VOID WITH OUT PROPER ACTIVATION BY A MODULAR WETLANDS REPRESENTATIVE.

GENERAL NOTES

MANUFACTURER TO PROVIDE ALL MATERIALS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND CAPACITIES ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE. FOR PROJECT SPECIFIC DRAWINGS DETAILING EXACT DIMENSIONS, WEIGHTS AND ACCESSORIES PLEASE CONTACT MANUFACTURER.





ELEVATION VIEW



DETAIL - BMP-3.1 PROPRIETARY BIOFILTRATION UNIT NOT TO SCALE







MEDIA
- PATENTED
PERIMETER
VOID AREA

WETLANDMEDIA

BED

THE TRACK

²PRE-FILTER CARTRIDGE

PLAN VIEW



RIGHT END VIEW

TREATMENT FLOW (CFS)	0.052
OPERATING HEAD (FT)	3.4
PRETREATMENT LOADING RATE (GPM/SF)	1.8
WETLAND MEDIA LOADING RATE (GPM/SF)	1.0
MWS-L-4-4-C STORMWATER BIOFILTRATION STANDARD DETAIL	I SYSTEM

	SITE SPEC	IFIC DATA	
PROJECT NAME			
PROJECT LOCATI	ON		
STRUCTURE ID			
	TREATMENT	REQUIRED	
VOLUME B	ASED (CF)	FLOW BASE	ED (CFS)
TREATMENT HGL	AVAILABLE (FT)		
PEAK BYPASS R	EQUIRED (CFS) -	IF APPLICABLE	
PIPE DATA	i.E.	MATERIAL	DIAMETER
INLET PIPE 1			
INLET PIPE 2			
OUTLET PIPE			
	PRETREATMENT	BIOFILTRATION	DISCHARGE
RIM ELEVATION			
SURFACE LOAD	PARKWAY	OPEN PLANTER	PARKWAY
FRAME & COVER	ø30*	N/A	ø24"
WETLANDMEDIA	IOLUME (CY)		5.41
WETLANDMEDIA L	DELIVERY METHOD		TBD
ORIFICE SIZE (D	NA. INCHES)		ø2.05"
MAXIMUM PICK	WEIGHT (LBS)		36000





APPURTENANCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS DRAWING AND THE MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATIONS, UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED IN MANUFACTURERS CONTRACT. UNIT MUST BE INSTALLED ON LEVEL BASE. MANUFACTURER RECOMMENDS A MINIMUM 6" LEVEL ROCK BASE UNLESS SPECIFIED BY

CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ALL LABOR, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND

INCIDENTALS REQUIRED TO OFFLOAD AND INSTALL THE SYSTEM AND

- THE PROJECT ENGINEER. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO VERIFY PROJECT ENGINEERS RECOMMENDED BASE SPECIFICATIONS. ALL PIPES MUST BE FLUSH WITH INSIDE SURFACE OF CONCRETE. (PIPES CANNOT INTRUDE BEYOND FLUSH). INVERT OF OUTFLOW PIPE
- MUST BE FLUSH WITH DISCHARGE CHAMBER FLOOR. ALL GAPS AROUND PIPES SHALL BE SEALED WATER TIGHT WITH A NON-SHRINK GROUT PER MANUFACTURERS STANDARD CONNECTION DETAIL AND SHALL MEET OR EXCEED REGIONAL PIPE CONNECTION STANDARDS.
- CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY AND INSTALL ALL EXTERNAL CONNECTING PIPES CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLATION OF ALL RISERS,
- MANHOLES, AND HATCHES. CONTRACTOR TO GROUT ALL MANHOLES AND HATCHES TO MATCH FINISHED SURFACE UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE. DRIP OR SPRAY IRRIGATION REQUIRED ON ALL UNITS WITH VEGETATION.

GENERAL NOTES

INSTALLATION NOTES

MANUFACTURER TO PROVIDE ALL MATERIALS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND CAPACITIES ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE. FOR PROJECT SPECIFIC DRAWINGS DETAILING EXACT DIMENSIONS, WEIGHTS AND ACCESSORIES PLEASE CONTACT MANUFACTURER.

DATE



INLET PIPE 1			
PIPE DATA	I.E.	MATERIAL	DIAMETER
PEAK BYPASS R	EQUIRED (CFS) -	IF APPLICABLE	
TREATMENT LICI	AVAN ADIE (ET)		
VOLUME B	ASED (CF)	FLOW BAS	ED (CFS)
	TREATMENT	REQUIRED	
STRUCTURE ID			
PROJECT LOCATI	ON		
PROJECT NAME			
ORDER NUMBER			
PROJECT NUMBE	R		

INSTALLATION NOTES

- . CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ALL LABOR, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND INCIDENTALS REQUIRED TO OFFLOAD AND INSTALL THE SYSTEM AND ESTABLISHMENT APPURTENANCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS DRAWING AND THE MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATIONS, UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED IN MANUFACTURERS CONTRACT.
- UNIT MUST BE INSTALLED ON LEVEL BASE. MANUFACTURER RECOMMENDS A MINIMUM 6" LEVEL ROCK BASE UNLESS SPECIFIED BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO VERIFY PROJECT ENGINEERS RECOMMENDED BASE SPECIFICATIONS.
- CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY AND INSTALL ALL EXTERNAL CONNECTING PIPES. ALL PIPES MUST BE FLUSH WITH INSIDE SURFACE OF CONCRETE, (PIPES CANNOT INTRUDE BEYOND FLUSH), INVERT OF OUTFLOW PIPE MUST BE FLUSH WITH DISCHARGE CHAMBER FLOOR. ALL PIPES SHALL BE SEALED WATER TIGHT PER MANUFACTURERS STANDARD CONNECTION DETAIL.
- CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLATION OF ALL RISERS, MANHOLES, AND HATCHES. CONTRACTOR TO GROUT ALL MANHOLES AND HATCHES TO MATCH FINISHED SURFACE UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
- VEGETATION SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED BY OTHERS. ALL UNITS WITH VEGETATION MUST HAVE DRIP OR SPRAY IRRIGATION SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED BY OTHERS.
- CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR CONTACTING BIO CLEAN FOR ACTIVATION OF UNIT. MANUFACTURERS WARRANTY IS VOID WITH OUT PROPER ACTIVATION BY A BIO CLEAN REPRESENTATIVE. GENERAL NOTES
- MANUFACTURER TO PROVIDE ALL MATERIALS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. 2. ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND CAPACITIES ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE. FOR PROJECT SPECIFIC DRAWINGS DETAILING EXACT DIMENSIONS, WEIGHT. AND ACCESSORIES PLEASE CONTACT BIO CLEAN.





TS	ETLANDS	PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL: THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS THE SOLE PROPERTY OF FORTERM AND ITS COMPANIES. THIS DOCUMENT, NOR ANY PART THEREOF, MAY BE USED, REPRODUCED ON MODIFIED IN ANY MUMORE WITH OUT THE WORTEN CONSENT OF FORTERING.	B	io	
	tana she alaalaha kalatsi faksar faksar faksis ar Char faksas fadang	IN ANY MANNER WITH OUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF FORTERRA.	_		

DETAIL - BMP-3.2 PROPRIETARY BIOFILTRATION UNIT NOT TO SCALE

(\frown
\int	

	SITE SPEC	IFIC DATA	
PROJECT NAME			
PROJECT LOCATI	ON		
STRUCTURE ID			ŀ
	TREATMENT	REQUIRED	
VOLUME B	ASED (CF)	FLOW BAS	ED (CFS)
TREATMENT HGL	AVAILABLE (FT)		
PEAK BYPASS R	EQUIRED (CFS) -	IF APPLICABLE	
PIPE DATA	1.E.	MATERIAL	DIAMETER
INLET PIPE 1			
INLET PIPE 2			
OUTLET PIPE			
	PRETREATMENT	BIOFILTRATION	DISCHARGE
RIM ELEVATION			
SURFACE LOAD	PARKWAY	OPEN PLANTER	PARKWAY
FRAME & COVER	ø30*	N/A	ø24"
WETLANDMEDIA V	IOLUME (CY)		4.30
WETLANDMEDIA D	DELIVERY METHOD		TBO
ORIFICE SIZE (D	IA. INCHES)		ø1.89"
MAXIMUM PICK	WEIGHT (LBS)		31000
NOTES:			

INSTALLATION NOTES

- CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ALL LABOR, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND INCIDENTALS REQUIRED TO OFFLOAD AND INSTALL THE SYSTEM AND APPURTENANCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS DRAWING AND THE MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATIONS, UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED IN MANUFACTURERS CONTRACT.
- UNIT MUST BE INSTALLED ON LEVEL BASE. MANUFACTURER RECOMMENDS A MINIMUM 6" LEVEL ROCK BASE UNLESS SPECIFIED BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO VERIFY PROJECT ENGINEERS RECOMMENDED BASE SPECIFICATIONS.
- ALL PIPES MUST BE FLUSH WITH INSIDE SURFACE OF CONCRETE. (PIPES CANNOT INTRUDE BEYOND FLUSH). INVERT OF OUTFLOW PIPE MUST BE FLUSH WITH DISCHARGE CHAMBER FLOOR. ALL GAPS AROUND PIPES SHALL BE SEALED WATER TIGHT WITH A NON-SHRINK GROUT PER MANUFACTURERS STANDARD CONNECTION DETAIL AND SHALL MEET OR EXCEED REGIONAL PIPE CONNECTION STANDARDS.
- CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY AND INSTALL ALL EXTERNAL CONNECTING PIPES.
- CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLATION OF ALL RISERS, MANHOLES, AND HATCHES. CONTRACTOR TO GROUT ALL MANHOLES AND HATCHES TO MATCH FINISHED SURFACE UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
- DRIP OR SPRAY IRRIGATION REQUIRED ON ALL UNITS WITH VEGETATION.

GENERAL NOTES

MANUFACTURER TO PROVIDE ALL MATERIALS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND CAPACITIES ARE SUBJECT CHANGE. FOR PROJECT SPECIFIC DRAWINGS DETAILING EXACT DIMENSIONS, WE AND ACCESSORIES PLEASE CONTACT MANUFACTURER.

PRE-FILTER - PERIMETER CARTRIDGE VOID AREA	C/L UNDERDRAIN MANIFOLD
S- CURB OPENING	
RAIN DOWN LINE -	WETLANDMEDIA BED I VIEW





TO EIGHTS	THE PRODUCT DESCRIBED MAY BE PROFILED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING US PATIENTS: 7,425,562; 7,470,382; 7,674,378; 8,303,816; RELATED FOREION PATENTS OR OTHER PATIENTS PENDING	PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL: The information contained in this driwing is the sole property of modular wetlands systems. Any reproduction in part or as a whole without the written permission of modular wetlands systems is prohibited.	A PERL
			\frown

	SITE SPEC	IFIC DATA	
PROJECT NUMBER			
ORDER NUMBER			
PROJECT NAME			
PROJECT LOCATI	ON		
STRUCTURE ID			
	TREATMENT	REQUIRED	
VOLUME B	ASED (CF)	FLOW BAS	ED (CFS)
TREATMENT HGL	AVAILABLE (FT)		
PEAK BYPASS R	EQUIRED (CFS) -	IF APPLICABLE	
PIPE DATA	I.E.	MATERIAL	DIAMETER
INLET PIPE 1			
INLET PIPE 2			
OUTLET PIPE			
	PRETREATMENT	BIOFILTRATION	DISCHARGE
RIM ELEVATION			
SURFACE LOAD	PEDESTRIAN	OPEN PLANTER	PEDESTRIAN
FRAME & COVER	2EA \$30"	N/A	¢24‴
WETLANDMEDIA VOLUME (CY)			TBD
WETLANDMEDIA V	ORIFICE SIZE (DIA. INCHES)		



- CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ALL LABOR, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND INCIDENTALS REQUIRED TO OFFLOAD AND INSTALL THE SYSTEM AND APPURTENANCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS DRAWING AND THE MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATIONS, UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED IN MANUFACTURERS CONTRACT.
- UNIT MUST BE INSTALLED ON LEVEL BASE. MANUFACTURER RECOMMENDS A MINIMUM 6" LEVEL ROCK BASE UNLESS SPECIFIED BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO VERIFY PROJECT ENGINEERS RECOMMENDED BASE SPECIFICATIONS.
- CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY AND INSTALL ALL EXTERNAL CONNECTING PIPES. ALL PIPES MUST BE FLUSH WITH INSIDE SURFACE OF CONCRETE. (PIPES CANNOT INTRUDE BEYOND FLUSH). INVERT OF OUTFLOW PIPE MUST BE FLUSH WITH DISCHARGE CHAMBER FLOOR. ALL PIPES SHALL BE SEALED WATER TIGHT PER MANUFACTURERS STANDARD CONNECTION DETAIL.
- CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLATION OF ALL RISERS, MANHOLES, AND HATCHES. CONTRACTOR TO GROUT ALL MANHOLES AND HATCHES TO MATCH FINISHED SURFACE UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE. VEGETATION SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED BY OTHERS. ALL UNITS WITH
- VEGETATION MUST HAVE DRIP OR SPRAY IRRIGATION SUPPLIED AND INSTALLED BY OTHERS. CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR CONTACTING BIO CLEAN FOR
- ACTIVATION OF UNIT. MANUFACTURERS WARRANTY IS VOID WITH OUT PROPER ACTIVATION BY A BIO CLEAN REPRESENTATIVE.

GENERAL NOTES

MANUFACTURER TO PROVIDE ALL MATERIALS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND CAPACITIES ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE. FOR PROJECT SPECIFIC DRAWINGS DETAILING EXACT DIMENSIONS, WEIGHTS AND ACCESSORIES PLEASE CONTACT BIO CLEAN.







JEFFERSON OCEANSIDE		
ATTACHMENT 1a, 1b, a DMA & HYDROMODIFICATION M EXHIBIT DETAILS	& 2a IANAGEMENT	
	JOB NO. 557-010	
	DRAWN BY: ECS	
San Diego, California 92122 tel 858.554.1500 o fax 858.597.0335 www.fuscoe.com	sheet 5 of 5	

NO.	DATE	REVISION

Placeholder – Tabular Summary of DMAs (if separate from DMA Exhibit)

Leave placeholder intact if not applicable.

 \boxtimes Not Applicable – Tabular Summary included on DMA Exhibit



Harvest and Use Feasibility Checklist		Form I-7				
 1. Is there a demand for harvested water (check all that apply) at the project site that is reliably present during the wet season? Toilet and urinal flushing Landscape irrigation Other: 						
 2. If there is a demand; estimate the anticipated average wet season demand over a period of 36 hours. Guidance for planning level demand calculations for toilet/urinal flushing and landscape irrigation is provided in Section B.3.2. SEE ATTACHED HARVEST A ND REUSE CALCULATION WORKSHEET 						
3. Calculate the DCV using worksheet B-2.1.DCV = 15,270 (cubic feet)						
3a. Is the 36 hour demand greater than or equal to the DCV? □ Yes / ⊠ No ➡ ↓	3b. Is the 36 hour demand greater t 0.25DCV but less than the full DCV? □ Yes / ⊠ No ➡ ↓	han 3c. Is the 36 hour demand less than 0.25DCV? Yes I				
Harvest and use appears to be feasible. Conduct more detailed evaluation and sizing calculations to confirm that DCV can be used at an adequate rate to meet drawdown criteria.	Harvest and use may be feasi Conduct more detailed evaluation sizing calculations to determ feasibility. Harvest and use may only able to be used for a portion of the or (optionally) the storage may need to upsized to meet long term capture targ while draining in longer than 36 hours	ble. Harvest and use is and considered to be infeasible. nine be site, o be gets				
Is harvest and use feasible based on f □ Yes, refer to Appendix E to select ⊠ No, select alternate BMPs.	further evaluation? t and size harvest and use BMPs.					



HARVEST AND REUSE CALCULATION WORKSHEET						
Land Use Type	Toliet User Unit	Toliet	Per Capita Use per Day		Water Efficient	Total Use per
	of Normalization	Flushing	Urinals	Visitor Factor	Factor	Resident or Employee
Residential	Resident	18.5	NA	NA	0.5	9.3

Dwelling Units	287	units
Resident per Unit	2.0	Resident
Toliet and Urinal Demand	714	cubic - feet / day
36 hr. Demand	1,070	cubic - feet
DCV	15,270	cubic - feet
36 hr. Demand ≥ DCV	No	
DCV > 36 hr. Demand > 0.25DCV	No	
36 hr. Demand < 0.25DCV	Yes	
Harvest Use:	Not Feasible	

Harvest and use is considered to be infeasible

Planning Level Irrigation Demand by Plant Factor and Landscape Type							
General Landscape Type	36-Hour Planning Level Irrigation Demand	Units					
Hydrozone - Moderate Plant Water Use	1,470	gal /acre / 36 hrs					
36 hr. Demand	747	cubic - feet					
DCV	15,270	cubic - feet					
36 hr. Demand ≥ DCV	No						
DCV > 36 hr. Demand > 0.25DCV	No						
36 hr. Demand < 0.25DCV	Yes						
Harvest Use:	Not Feasible						

Harvest and use is considered to be infeasible
Categ	orization of Infiltration Feasibility Condition	Form I-8						
Part 1 - Full Infiltration Feasibility Screening Criteria Would infiltration of the full design volume be feasible from a physical perspective without any undesirable consequences that cannot be reasonably mitigated?								
Criteria Screening Question Yes No								
1	Is the estimated reliable infiltration rate below proposed facility locations greater than 0.5 inches per hour? The response to this Screening Question shall be based on a comprehensive evaluation of the factors presented in Appendix C.2 and Appendix D.							
Provide I Based reside rates Summari discussio	Provide basis: Based on our field percolation testing, the in-situ infiltration rates of the soils within the limits of proposed residential development are generally less than 0.5 inches per hour (Leighton, 2020). The calculated infiltration rates via the Porchet Method and applied safety factor of 2 ranges from 0.03 to 0.04 inches per hour.							
2	2 Can infiltration greater than 0.5 inches per hour be allowed without increasing risk of geotechnical hazards (slope stability, groundwater mounding, utilities, or other factors) that cannot be mitigated to an acceptable level? The response to this Screening Question shall be based on a comprehensive evaluation of the factors researcted in Amendiu C.2							
Provide I	Dasis:		l					
The risk of geotechnical hazards would be increased provided mitigation is performed for any underground utilities/structures, slopes (i.e., setbacks) and undocumented fill depths greater than 5 feet within the proposed limits of Hydromodification Basins at the subject site. In addition, compressible alluvium and landslide deposits are present across the site.								
Summarize findings of studies; provide reference to studies, calculations, maps, data sources, etc. Provide narrative discussion of study/data source applicability.								

Jefferon Oceanside (Permit Application Number: D20-00004, CUP20-00005) Priority Development Project - Storm Water Mitigation Plan



Contion 11

	Form I-8 Page 2 of 4							
Criteria	Screening Question	Yes	No					
3	Can infiltration greater than 0.5 inches per hour be allowed without increasing risk of groundwater contamination (shallow water table, storm water pollutants or other factors) that cannot be mitigated to an acceptable level? The response to this Screening Question shall be based on a comprehensive evaluation of the factors presented in Appendix C.3.							
Provide I	Dasis:							
If the in contami 250 feet	If the infiltration rates were greater than 0.5 inches per hour, it may be possible that the risk of groundwater contamination would not be increased provided there are no known contaminated soil or groundwater sites within 250 feet of the proposed Hydromodification Basins at the subject site.							
Summari discussio	ze findings of studies; provide reference to studies, calculations, maps, on of study/data source applicability.	data sources, etc	:. Provide narrative					
4	Can infiltration greater than 0.5 inches per hour be allowed without causing potential water balance issues such as change of seasonality of ephemeral streams or increased discharge of contaminated groundwater to surface waters? The response to this Screening Question shall be based on a comprehensive evaluation of the factors presented in Appendix C.3.	X						
Provide I	Dasis:							
Provide basis: If the infiltration rates were greater than 0.5 inches per hour, it may be possible that potential water balance issues would not be affected provided there are no unlined site drainages/creeks/streams within 250 feet of the proposed Hydromodification Basins at the subject site.								
Summarize findings of studies; provide reference to studies, calculations, maps, data sources, etc. Provide narrative discussion of study/data source applicability.								
Part 1 Result *	If all answers to rows 1 - 4 are " Yes " a full infiltration design is potentiall feasibility screening category is Full Infiltration If any answer from row 1-4 is " No ", infiltration may be possible to some would not generally be feasible or desirable to achieve a "full infiltration" Proceed to Part 2	y feasible. The extent but design.	□ Full Infiltration					

*To be completed using gathered site information and best professional judgment considering the definition of MEP in the MS4 Permit. Additional testing and/or studies may be required by Agency/Jurisdictions to substantiate findings

Jefferon Oceanside (Permit Application Number: D20-00004, CUP20-00005) Priority Development Project - Storm Water Mitigation Plan



Contian 11

Form I-8 Page 3 of 4								
Part 2 – Partial Infiltration vs. No Infiltration Feasibility Screening Criteria								
Would infiltration of water in any appreciable amount be physically feasible without any negative consequences that cannot be reasonably mitigated?								
Criteria	Screening Question	Yes	No					
5	Do soil and geologic conditions allow for infiltration in any appreciable rate or volume? The response to this Screening Question shall be based on a comprehensive evaluation of the factors presented in Appendix C.2 and Appendix D.	X						
Provide ba Based on sites are le infiltration	Provide basis: Based on our field percolation testing, the in-situ infiltration rates of the soils within the limits of proposed the Basin sites are less than 0.5 inches per hour (Leighton, 2020), but greater than 0.01 inches per hour. The calculated infiltration rates via the Porchet Method and applied safety factor of 2 are between 0.03 and 0.04 inches per hour.							
Summarize discussion	e findings of studies; provide reference to studies, calculations, maps, d of study/data source applicability and why it was not feasible to mitigate	lata sources, etc. P low infiltration rate	rovide narrative s.					
6	Can Infiltration in any appreciable quantity be allowed without increasing risk of geotechnical hazards (slope stability, groundwater mounding, utilities, or other factors) that cannot be mitigated to an acceptable level? The response to this Screening Question shall be based on a comprehensive evaluation of the factors presented in Appendix C.2.		X					
Provide basis: For a partial infiltration condition (greater than 0.01 inches per hour), the risk of geotechnical hazards will be increased by partial infiltration provided mitigation is performed for any underground utilities/structures, slopes (i.e., setbacks) and undocumented fill depths greater than 5 feet within the vicinity of proposed Hydromodification Basins at the subject site. In addition to fill material, Alluvium and Landslide Deposits are considered compressible and may settle if water is introduced to compressible sand layers.								
Summarize discussion	e findings of studies; provide reference to studies, calculations, maps, d of study/data source applicability and why it was not feasible to mitigate	ata sources, etc. P low infiltration rate	rovide narrative s.					

Jefferon Oceanside (Permit Application Number: D20-00004, CUP20-00005) Priority Development Project - Storm Water Mitigation Plan



Contion 11

	Form I-8 Page 4 of 4						
Criteria	Screening Question	Yes	No				
7	Can Infiltration in any appreciable quantity be allowed without posing significant risk for groundwater related concerns (shallow water table, storm water pollutants or other factors)? The response to this Screening Question shall be based on a comprehensive evaluation of the factors presented in Appendix C.3.						
Provide basis: For a partial infiltration condition (greater than 0.01 inches per hour), the risk of groundwater contamination will not be increased by partial infiltration provided there are no known contaminated soil or groundwater sites within 250 feet of the proposed Hydromodification Basins at the subject site.							
Summarize findings of studies; provide reference to studies, calculations, maps, data sources, etc. Provide narrative discussion of study/data source applicability and why it was not feasible to mitigate low infiltration rates.							
8	Can infiltration be allowed without violating downstream water rights ? The response to this Screening Question shall be based on a comprehensive evaluation of the factors presented in Appendix C.3.						
Provide basis: For a partial infiltration condition (greater than 0.01 inches per hour), violation of downstream water rights is not anticipated based on the site location and that there are no unlined site drainages/creeks/streams within 250 feet of the proposed Hydromodification Basins at the subject site.							
Summarize findings of studies; provide reference to studies, calculations, maps, data sources, etc. Provide narrative discussion of study/data source applicability and why it was not feasible to mitigate low infiltration rates.							
Part 2 Result*	Part 2 If all answers from row 1-4 are yes then partial infiltration design is potentially feasible. □Partial The feasibility screening category is Partial Infiltration. Infiltration Infiltration If any answer from row 5-8 is no, then infiltration of any volume is considered to be infeasible within the drainage area. The feasibility screening category is No Infiltration. Image:						

* I o be completed using gathered site information and best professional judgment considering the definition of MEP in the MS4 Permit. Additional testing and/or studies may be required by Agency/Jurisdictions to substantiate findings

Jefferon Oceanside (Permit Application Number: D20-00004, CUP20-00005) Priority Development Project - Storm Water Mitigation Plan



Section 11

Automated Worksheet B.1: Calculation of Design Capture Volume (V2.0)

Category	#	Description		ii	iii	iv	v	vi	vii	viii	ix	\boldsymbol{X}	Units
	1	Drainage Basin ID or Name	DMA1	DMA2.1	DMA2.2	DMA2.3	DMA2.4	DMA2.5	DMA3.1	DMA3.2	DMA3.3	DMA3.4	unitless
	2	85th Percentile 24-hr Storm Depth	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	inches
	3	Impervious Surfaces <u>Not Directed to Dispersion Area</u> (C=0.90)	25,461	14,843	41,257	46,596	20,437	30,332	4,589	12,023	50,989	24,855	sq-ft
Standard	4	Semi-Pervious Surfaces Not Serving as Dispersion Area (C=0.30)											sq-ft
Drainage Basin	5	Engineered Pervious Surfaces Not Serving as Dispersion Area (C=0.10)											sq-ft
Inputs	6	Natural Type A Soil <u>Not Serving as Dispersion Area</u> $(C=0.10)$											sq-ft
	7	Natural Type B Soil <u>Not Serving as Dispersion Area</u> (C=0.14)											sq-ft
	8	Natural Type C Soil <u>Not Serving as Dispersion Area</u> (C=0.23)											sq-ft
	9	Natural Type D Soil <u>Not Serving as Dispersion Area</u> (C=0.30)	7,042	853	2,161	2,327	2,347	3,993	700	1,572	4,917	5,331	sq-ft
	10	Does Tributary Incorporate Dispersion, Tree Wells, and/or Rain Barrels?	No	Yes	yes/no								
	11	Impervious Surfaces Directed to Dispersion Area per SD-B (Ci=0.90)											sq-ft
	12	Semi-Pervious Surfaces Serving as Dispersion Area per SD-B (Ci=0.30)											sq-ft
Diamanian	13	Engineered Pervious Surfaces Serving as Dispersion Area per SD-B (Ci=0.10)											sq-ft
Area Tree Well	14	Natural Type A Soil Serving as Dispersion Area per SD-B (Ci=0.10)											sq-ft
& Rain Barrel	15	Natural Type B Soil Serving as Dispersion Area per SD-B (Ci=0.14)											sq-ft
Inputs	16	Natural Type C Soil Serving as Dispersion Area per SD-B (Ci=0.23)											sq-ft
(Optional)	17	Natural Type D Soil Serving as Dispersion Area per SD-B (Ci=0.30)											sq-ft
	18	Number of Tree Wells Proposed per SD-A		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	#
	19	Average Mature Tree Canopy Diameter		20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	ft
	20	Number of Rain Barrels Proposed per SD-E											#
	21	Average Rain Barrel Size											gal
	22	Total Tributary Area	32,503	15,696	43,418	48,923	22,784	34,325	5,289	13,595	55,906	30,186	sq-ft
Initial Runoff	23	Initial Runoff Factor for Standard Drainage Areas	0.77	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.84	0.83	0.82	0.83	0.85	0.79	unitless
Factor	24	Initial Runoff Factor for Dispersed & Dispersion Areas	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	unitless
Calculation	25	Initial Weighted Runoff Factor	0.77	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.84	0.83	0.82	0.83	0.85	0.79	unitless
	26	Initial Design Capture Volume	1,231	671	1,857	2,093	941	1,401	213	555	2,336	1,172	cubic-feet
	27	Total Impervious Area Dispersed to Pervious Surface	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	sq-ft
Dispersion	28	Total Pervious Dispersion Area	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	sq-ft
Area	29	Ratio of Dispersed Impervious Area to Pervious Dispersion Area	n/a	ratio									
Adjustments	30	Adjustment Factor for Dispersed & Dispersion Areas	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	ratio
	31	Runoff Factor After Dispersion Techniques	0.77	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.84	0.83	0.82	0.83	0.85	0.79	unitless
	32	Design Capture Volume After Dispersion Techniques	1,231	671	1,857	2,093	941	1,401	213	555	2,336	1,172	cubic-feet
Tree & Barrel	33	Total Tree Well Volume Reduction	0	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	cubic-feet
Adjustments	34	Total Rain Barrel Volume Reduction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	cubic-feet
	35	Final Adjusted Runoff Factor	0.77	0.64	0.79	0.80	0.68	0.72	0.13	0.56	0.78	0.67	unitless
Results	36	Final Effective Tributary Area	25,027	10,045	34,300	39,138	15,493	24,714	688	7,613	43,607	20,225	sq-tt
	37	Initial Design Capture Volume Retained by Site Design Elements	0	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	cubic-feet
	38	Final Design Capture Volume Tributary to BMP	1,231	491	1,677	1,913	761	1,221	33	375	2,156	992	cub1c-feet
No Warning M	essage	8											

Automated Worksheet B.2: Retention Requirements (V2.0)

Category	#	Description	i	ii	iii	iv	V	vi	vii	viii	ix	\boldsymbol{X}	Units
	1	Drainage Basin ID or Name	DMA1	DMA2.1	DMA2.2	DMA2.3	DMA2.4	DMA2.5	DMA3.1	DMA3.2	DMA3.3	DMA3.4	unitless
	2	85th Percentile Rainfall Depth	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	inches
	3	Predominant NRCS Soil Type Within BMP Location	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	unitless
Basic Analysis	4	Is proposed BMP location Restricted or Unrestricted for Infiltration Activities?	Restricted	unitless									
	5	Nature of Restriction	Soil Type	unitless									
	6	Do Minimum Retention Requirements Apply to this Project?	Yes	yes/no									
	7	Are Habitable Structures Greater than 9 Stories Proposed?	No	yes/no									
Advanced	8	Has Geotechnical Engineer Performed an Infiltration Analysis?	No	yes/no									
Analysis	9	Design Infiltration Rate Recommended by Geotechnical Engineer											in/hr
	10	Design Infiltration Rate Used To Determine Retention Requirements	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	in/hr
Regult	11	Percent of Average Annual Runoff that Must be Retained within DMA	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	percentage
Kesuit	12	Fraction of DCV Requiring Retention	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	ratio
	13	Required Retention Volume	25	10	34	38	15	24	1	8	43	20	cubic-feet
<u>No Warning Me</u>	essage	<u>8</u>											

Automated Worksheet B.3: BMP Performance (V2.0)

Category	#	Description	i	ii	iii	iv	v	vi	vii	viii	ix	\mathcal{X}	Units
	1	Drainage Basin ID or Name	DMA1	DMA2.1	DMA2.2	DMA2.3	DMA2.4	DMA2.5	DMA3.1	DMA3.2	DMA3.3	DMA3.4	sq-ft
	2	Design Infiltration Rate Recommended	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	in/hr
	3	Design Capture Volume Tributary to BMP	1,231	491	1,677	1,913	761	1,221	33	375	2,156	992	cubic-feet
	4	Is BMP Vegetated or Unvegetated?	Vegetated										unitless
	5	Is BMP Impermeably Lined or Unlined?	Lined										unitless
	6	Does BMP Have an Underdrain?	Underdrain										unitless
	7	Does BMP Utilize Standard or Specialized Media?	Standard										unitless
	8	Provided Surface Area	1,850										sq-ft
BMP Inputs	9	Provided Surface Ponding Depth	12										inches
	10	Provided Soil Media Thickness	18										inches
	11	Provided Gravel Thickness (Total Thickness)	6										inches
	12	Underdrain Offset	3										inches
	13	Diameter of Underdrain or Hydromod Orifice (Select Smallest)	0.80										inches
	14	Specialized Soil Media Filtration Rate											in/hr
	15	Specialized Soil Media Pore Space for Retention											unitless
	16	Specialized Soil Media Pore Space for Biofiltration											unitless
	17	Specialized Gravel Media Pore Space			_					_		_	unitless
	18	Volume Infiltrated Over 6 Hour Storm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	cubic-feet
	19	Ponding Pore Space Available for Retention	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	unitless
	20	Soil Media Pore Space Available for Retention	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	unitless
	21	Gravel Pore Space Available for Retention (Above Underdrain)	0.00	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	unitless
Retention	22	Gravel Pore Space Available for Retention (Below Underdrain)	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	unitless
Calculations	23	Effective Retention Depth	2.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	inches
	24	Fraction of DCV Retained (Independent of Drawdown Time)	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	ratio
	25	Calculated Retention Storage Drawdown Time	120	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	hours
	26	Efficacy of Retention Processes	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	ratio
	27	Volume Retained by BMP (Considering Drawdown Time)	335	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	cubic-feet
	28	Design Capture Volume Remaining for Biofiltration	896	491	1,677	1,913	7/61	1,221	33	375	2,156	992	cubic-feet
	29	Max Hydromod Flow Rate through Underdrain	0.0277	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	cfs
	30	Max Soil Filtration Rate Allowed by Underdrain Orifice	0.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	in/hr
	31	Soil Media Filtration Rate per Specifications	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	in/hr
	32	Soil Media Filtration Rate to be used for Sizing	0.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	in/nr
	24	Depth Biofiltered Over 6 Hour Storm	3.88 1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	inches
	25	Soil Madia Dava Space Available for Diofiltration	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	unitiess
	35	Soli Media Pore Space Available for Biofiltration (Above Underdrein)	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	unitiess
Biofiltration	30	Effective Depth of Riofiltration Storage	16.80	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	inches
Calculations	20	Drawdown Time for Surface Bonding	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	hours
	30	Drawdown Time for Effective Biofiltration Depth	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	hours
	40	Total Depth Biofiltered	20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	inches
	40	Option 1 Biofilter 1 50 DCV: Target Volume	1 344	737	2.516	2.870	1 1/2	1.832	50	563	3 234	1.488	cubic feet
	42	Option 1 Provided Biofiltration Volume	1,344	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	cubic-feet
	τ2 43	Option 2 Store 0.75 DCV: Target Volume	672	368	1 258	1 435	571	916	25	281	1 617	744	cubic feet
	4A	Option 2 - Store 0.75 DOV. Target Volume	672	0	1,230	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	cubic feet
	45	Portion of Biofiltration Derformance Standard Satisfied	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	ratio
	46	Do Site Design Elements and BMPs Satisfy Appual Retention Requirements ²	Vec	Vec	Vee	Vee	Vee	Vec	Vec	Vec	Vee	Vec	ves/no
Result	47	Overall Portion of Performance Standard Satisfied (BMD Efficacy Eactor)	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	ratio
Result	48	Deficit of Effectively Treated Stormwater	0	_401	-1 677	-1 913	-761	-1 221	_33	_375	-2 156	_992	cubic-feet
A	-U	Benefit of Effectively Treated Stoffilwater	U	-171	-1,077	-1,715	-701	-1,221	-33	-375	-2,130	-994	CUDIC-ICCI

Attention!

Appendix B: Storm Water Pollutant Control Hydrologic Calculations and Sizing Methods

Flow Thru Dosign Flows	Worksheet B.6-1											
Flow-Till Design Flows		DMA 2.1	DMA 2.2	DMA 2.3	DMA 2.4	DMA 2.5	DMA 3.1	DMA 3.2	DMA 3.3	DMA 3.4	Units	
1 DCV*	DCV	671	1,857	2,093	941	1,401	213	555	2,336	1,172	cubic-feet	
2 DCV retained	DCV retained										cubic-feet	
3 DCV biofiltered**	DCV biofiltered										cubic-feet	
4 DCV requiring flow-thru (Line 1 - Line 2 - 0.67 * Line 3)	DCV flow-thru	671	1,857	2,093	941	1,401	213	555	2,336	1,172	cubic-feet	
5 Adjustment Factor (Line 4 / Line 1)*	AF=	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	unitless	
6 Design rainfall intensity	i=	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	in/hr	
7 Area tributary to BMP (s)	A=	0.36	1.00	1.12	0.52	0.79	0.19	0.31	1.28	0.69	acres	
8 Area-weighted runoff factor (estimate using Appendix B.2)	C=	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.84	0.83	0.85	0.83	0.85	0.79	unitless	
9 Calculate Flow Rate = AF x (C x i x A)	Q=	0.063	0.174	0.195	0.087	0.131	0.032	0.051	0.218	0.109	cfs	
TREATMENT FLOW REQUIRED (1.5 X FLOW RATE)	Q=	0.094	0.261	0.292	0.131	0.197	0.048	0.077	0.326	0.164	cfs	

Worksheet B.6-1: Flow-Thru Design Flows

*DCV as calculated per County of San Diego Worksheet B.1 in SWQMP Attachment 1e

**Although retention will be provided via trees, each MWS has been sized for its entire DMA



December 2015

GENERAL USE LEVEL DESIGNATION FOR BASIC, ENHANCED, AND PHOSPHORUS TREATMENT

For the

MWS-Linear Modular Wetland

Ecology's Decision:

Based on Modular Wetland Systems, Inc. application submissions, including the Technical Evaluation Report, dated April 1, 2014, Ecology hereby issues the following use level designation:

- 1. General use level designation (GULD) for the MWS-Linear Modular Wetland Stormwater Treatment System for Basic treatment
 - Sized at a hydraulic loading rate of 1 gallon per minute (gpm) per square foot (sq ft) of wetland cell surface area. For moderate pollutant loading rates (low to medium density residential basins), size the Prefilters at 3.0 gpm/sq ft of cartridge surface area. For high loading rates (commercial and industrial basins), size the Prefilters at 2.1 gpm/sq ft of cartridge surface area.
- 2. General use level designation (GULD) for the MWS-Linear Modular Wetland Stormwater Treatment System for Phosphorus treatment
 - Sized at a hydraulic loading rate of 1 gallon per minute (gpm) per square foot (sq ft) of wetland cell surface area. For moderate pollutant loading rates (low to medium density residential basins), size the Prefilters at 3.0 gpm/sq ft of cartridge surface area. For high loading rates (commercial and industrial basins), size the Prefilters at 2.1 gpm/sq ft of cartridge surface area.
- 3. General use level designation (GULD) for the MWS-Linear Modular Wetland Stormwater Treatment System for Enhanced treatment
 - Sized at a hydraulic loading rate of 1 gallon per minute (gpm) per square foot (sq ft) of wetland cell surface area. For moderate pollutant loading rates (low to medium density residential basins), size the Prefilters at 3.0 gpm/sq ft of cartridge surface area. For high loading rates (commercial and industrial basins), size the Prefilters at 2.1 gpm/sq ft of cartridge surface area.

- 4. Ecology approves the MWS Linear Modular Wetland Stormwater Treatment System units for Basic, Phosphorus, and Enhanced treatment at the hydraulic loading rate listed above. Designers shall calculate the water quality design flow rates using the following procedures:
 - Western Washington: For treatment installed upstream of detention or retention, the water quality design flow rate is the peak 15-minute flow rate as calculated using the latest version of the Western Washington Hydrology Model or other Ecology-approved continuous runoff model.
 - Eastern Washington: For treatment installed upstream of detention or retention, the water quality design flow rate is the peak 15-minute flow rate as calculated using one of the three methods described in Chapter 2.2.5 of the Stormwater Management Manual for Eastern Washington (SWMMEW) or local manual.
 - Entire State: For treatment installed downstream of detention, the water quality design flow rate is the full 2-year release rate of the detention facility.
- 5. These use level designations have no expiration date but may be revoked or amended by Ecology, and are subject to the conditions specified below.

Ecology's Conditions of Use:

Applicants shall comply with the following conditions:

- 1. Design, assemble, install, operate, and maintain the MWS Linear Modular Wetland Stormwater Treatment System units, in accordance with Modular Wetland Systems, Inc. applicable manuals and documents and the Ecology Decision.
- Each site plan must undergo Modular Wetland Systems, Inc. review and approval before site installation. This ensures that site grading and slope are appropriate for use of a MWS – Linear Modular Wetland Stormwater Treatment System unit.
- 3. MWS Linear Modular Wetland Stormwater Treatment System media shall conform to the specifications submitted to, and approved by, Ecology.
- 4. The applicant tested the MWS Linear Modular Wetland Stormwater Treatment System with an external bypass weir. This weir limited the depth of water flowing through the media, and therefore the active treatment area, to below the root zone of the plants. This GULD applies to MWS Linear Modular Wetland Stormwater Treatment Systems whether plants are included in the final product or not.
- 5. Maintenance: The required maintenance interval for stormwater treatment devices is often dependent upon the degree of pollutant loading from a particular drainage basin. Therefore, Ecology does not endorse or recommend a "one size fits all" maintenance cycle for a particular model/size of manufactured filter treatment device.
 - Typically, Modular Wetland Systems, Inc. designs MWS Linear Modular Wetland systems for a target prefilter media life of 6 to 12 months.
 - Indications of the need for maintenance include effluent flow decreasing to below the design flow rate or decrease in treatment below required levels.
 - Owners/operators must inspect MWS Linear Modular Wetland systems for a minimum of twelve months from the start of post-construction operation to determine site-specific

maintenance schedules and requirements. You must conduct inspections monthly during the wet season, and every other month during the dry season. (According to the SWMMWW, the wet season in western Washington is October 1 to April 30. According to SWMMEW, the wet season in eastern Washington is October 1 to June 30). After the first year of operation, owners/operators must conduct inspections based on the findings during the first year of inspections.

- Conduct inspections by qualified personnel, follow manufacturer's guidelines, and use methods capable of determining either a decrease in treated effluent flowrate and/or a decrease in pollutant removal ability.
- When inspections are performed, the following findings typically serve as maintenance triggers:
 - Standing water remains in the vault between rain events, or
 - Bypass occurs during storms smaller than the design storm.
 - If excessive floatables (trash and debris) are present (but no standing water or excessive sedimentation), perform a minor maintenance consisting of gross solids removal, not prefilter media replacement.
 - Additional data collection will be used to create a correlation between pretreatment chamber sediment depth and pre-filter clogging (see *Issues to be Addressed by the Company* section below)
- 6. Discharges from the MWS Linear Modular Wetland Stormwater Treatment System units shall not cause or contribute to water quality standards violations in receiving waters.

Applicant:	Modular Wetland Systems, Inc.
Applicant's Address:	PO. Box 869
	Oceanside, CA 92054

Application Documents:

- Original Application for Conditional Use Level Designation, Modular Wetland System, Linear Stormwater Filtration System Modular Wetland Systems, Inc., January 2011
- *Quality Assurance Project Plan*: Modular Wetland system Linear Treatment System performance Monitoring Project, draft, January 2011.
- *Revised Application for Conditional Use Level Designation*, Modular Wetland System, Linear Stormwater Filtration System Modular Wetland Systems, Inc., May 2011
- Memorandum: Modular Wetland System-Linear GULD Application Supplementary Data, April 2014
- Technical Evaluation Report: Modular Wetland System Stormwater Treatment System Performance Monitoring, April 2014.

Applicant's Use Level Request:

General use level designation as a Basic, Enhanced, and Phosphorus treatment device in accordance with Ecology's Guidance for Evaluating Emerging Stormwater Treatment Technologies Technology Assessment Protocol – Ecology (TAPE) January 2011 Revision.

Applicant's Performance Claims:

- The MWS Linear Modular wetland is capable of removing a minimum of 80-percent of TSS from stormwater with influent concentrations between 100 and 200 mg/l.
- The MWS Linear Modular wetland is capable of removing a minimum of 50-percent of Total Phosphorus from stormwater with influent concentrations between 0.1 and 0.5 mg/l.
- The MWS Linear Modular wetland is capable of removing a minimum of 30-percent of dissolved Copper from stormwater with influent concentrations between 0.005 and 0.020 mg/l.
- The MWS Linear Modular wetland is capable of removing a minimum of 60-percent of dissolved Zinc from stormwater with influent concentrations between 0.02 and 0.30 mg/l.

Ecology Recommendations:

• Modular Wetland Systems, Inc. has shown Ecology, through laboratory and fieldtesting, that the MWS - Linear Modular Wetland Stormwater Treatment System filter system is capable of attaining Ecology's Basic, Total phosphorus, and Enhanced treatment goals.

Findings of Fact:

Laboratory Testing

The MWS-Linear Modular wetland has the:

- Capability to remove 99 percent of total suspended solids (using Sil-Co-Sil 106) in a quarter-scale model with influent concentrations of 270 mg/L.
- Capability to remove 91 percent of total suspended solids (using Sil-Co-Sil 106) in laboratory conditions with influent concentrations of 84.6 mg/L at a flow rate of 3.0 gpm per square foot of media.
- Capability to remove 93 percent of dissolved Copper in a quarter-scale model with influent concentrations of 0.757 mg/L.
- Capability to remove 79 percent of dissolved Copper in laboratory conditions with influent concentrations of 0.567 mg/L at a flow rate of 3.0 gpm per square foot of media.
- Capability to remove 80.5-percent of dissolved Zinc in a quarter-scale model with influent concentrations of 0.95 mg/L at a flow rate of 3.0 gpm per square foot of media.
- Capability to remove 78-percent of dissolved Zinc in laboratory conditions with influent concentrations of 0.75 mg/L at a flow rate of 3.0 gpm per square foot of media.

Field Testing

- Modular Wetland Systems, Inc. conducted monitoring of an MWS-Linear (Model # MWS-L-4-13) from April 2012 through May 2013, at a transportation maintenance facility in Portland, Oregon. The manufacturer collected flow-weighted composite samples of the system's influent and effluent during 28 separate storm events. The system treated approximately 75 percent of the runoff from 53.5 inches of rainfall during the monitoring period. The applicant sized the system at 1 gpm/sq ft. (wetland media) and 3gpm/sq ft. (prefilter).
- Influent TSS concentrations for qualifying sampled storm events ranged from 20 to 339 mg/L. Average TSS removal for influent concentrations greater than 100 mg/L (n=7) averaged 85 percent. For influent concentrations in the range of 20-100 mg/L (n=18), the upper 95 percent confidence interval about the mean effluent concentration was 12.8 mg/L.
- Total phosphorus removal for 17 events with influent TP concentrations in the range of 0.1 to 0.5 mg/L averaged 65 percent. A bootstrap estimate of the lower 95 percent confidence limit (LCL95) of the mean total phosphorus reduction was 58 percent.
- The lower 95 percent confidence limit of the mean percent removal was 60.5 percent for dissolved zinc for influent concentrations in the range of 0.02 to 0.3 mg/L (n=11). The lower 95 percent confidence limit of the mean percent removal was 32.5 percent for dissolved copper for influent concentrations in the range of 0.005 to 0.02 mg/L (n=14) at flow rates up to 28 gpm (design flow rate 41 gpm). Laboratory test data augmented the data set, showing dissolved copper removal at the design flow rate of 41 gpm (93 percent reduction in influent dissolved copper of 0.757 mg/L).

Issues to be addressed by the Company:

- 1. Modular Wetland Systems, Inc. should collect maintenance and inspection data for the first year on all installations in the Northwest in order to assess standard maintenance requirements for various land uses in the region. Modular Wetland Systems, Inc. should use these data to establish required maintenance cycles.
- 2. Modular Wetland Systems, Inc. should collect pre-treatment chamber sediment depth data for the first year of operation for all installations in the Northwest. Modular Wetland Systems, Inc. will use these data to create a correlation between sediment depth and pre-filter clogging.

Technology Description:

Download at http://www.modularwetlands.com/

Contact Information:

Applicant:

Greg Kent Modular Wetland Systems, Inc. P.O. Box 869 Oceanside, CA 92054 <u>gkent@biocleanenvironmental.net</u> Applicant website: <u>http://www.modularwetlands.com/</u>

Ecology web link: <u>http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wg/stormwater/newtech/index.html</u>

Ecology:

Douglas C. Howie, P.E.
Department of Ecology
Water Quality Program
(360) 407-6444
douglas.howie@ecy.wa.gov

Revision History

Date	Revision
June 2011	Original use-level-designation document
September 2012	Revised dates for TER and expiration
January 2013	Modified Design Storm Description, added Revision Table, added maintenance discussion, modified format in accordance with Ecology standard
December 2013	Updated name of Applicant
April 2014	Approved GULD designation for Basic, Phosphorus, and Enhanced treatment
December 2015	Updated GULD to document the acceptance of MWS-Linear Modular Wetland installations with or without the inclusion of plants.



Natural Resources Conservation Service

USDA

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey



Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
СьВ	Carlsbad gravelly loamy sand, 2 to 5 percent slopes	В	23.8	24.8%
СЬС	Carlsbad gravelly loamy sand, 5 to 9 percent slopes	В	0.1	0.1%
CcC	Carlsbad-Urban land complex, 2 to 9 percent slopes	В	8.1	8.5%
LeD	Las Flores loamy fine sand, 9 to 15 percent slopes	D	0.7	0.8%
LeD2	Las Flores loamy fine sand, 9 to 15 percent slopes, eroded	D	1.9	2.0%
LeE2	Las Flores loamy fine sand, 15 to 30 percent slopes, eroded	D	34.9	36.3%
LfE	Las Flores-Urban land complex, 9 to 30 percent slopes	D	0.8	0.8%
Md	Made land		20.0	20.8%
SbA	Salinas clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, warm MAAT, MLRA 19	С	5.1	5.3%
TuB	Tujunga sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes	A	0.7	0.8%
Totals for Area of Intere	est		96.0	100.0%

Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Higher

ATTACHMENT 2 BACKUP FOR PDP HYDROMODIFICATION CONTROL MEASURES

This is the cover sheet for Attachment 2.

□Mark this box if this attachment is empty because the project is exempt from PDP hydromodification management requirements.



Indicate which Items are Included:

Attachment Sequence	Contents	Checklist
Attachment 2a	 Hydromodification Management Exhibit (Required) 	 ☑ Included <i>Included as part of Attachment 1a</i> See Hydromodification Management Exhibit Checklist.
Attachment 2b	Management of Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas (WMAA Exhibit is required, additional analyses are optional) See Section 6.2 of the BMP Design Manual.	 Exhibit showing project drainage boundaries marked on WMAA Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Area Map (Required) Optional analyses for Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Area Determination 6.2.1 Verification of Geomorphic Landscape Units Onsite 6.2.2 Downstream Systems Sensitivity to Coarse Sediment 6.2.3 Optional Additional Analysis of Potential Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas Onsite
Attachment 2c	Geomorphic Assessment of Receiving Channels (Optional) See Section 6.3.4 of the BMP Design Manual.	 Not performed □Included □Submitted as separate stand-alone document
Attachment 2d	Flow Control Facility Design and Structural BMP Drawdown Calculations (Required) Overflow Design Summary for each structural BMP See Chapter 6 and Appendix G of the BMP Design Manual	Included □Submitted as separate stand-alone document
Attachment 2e	Vector Control Plan (Required when structural BMPs will not drain in 96 hours)	☐Included ⊠Not required because BMPs will drain in less than 96 hours



Use this checklist to ensure the required information has been included on the Hydromodification Management Exhibit:

The Hydromodification Management Exhibit must identify:

Underlying hydrologic soil group
Approximate depth to groundwater
Existing natural hydrologic features (watercourses, seeps, springs, wetlands)
Critical coarse sediment yield areas to be protected
Existing topography
Existing and proposed site drainage network and connections to drainage offsite
Proposed grading
Proposed design features and surface treatments used to minimize imperviousness
Point(s) of Compliance (POC) for Hydromodification Management
Existing and proposed drainage boundary and drainage area to each POC (when necessary, create separate exhibits for pre-development and post-project conditions)
Structural BMPs for hydromodification management (identify location, type of BMP, and size/detail)

Please provide the Exhibit in 24"x36" format with map pocket, wet date, and stamp.





DMA	TOTAL AREA (AC)	TOTAL AREA (SF)	PERVIOUS AREA (SF)	IMPERVIOUS AREA - ROOFS, CONCRETE, ASPHALT (SF)	% IMPERVIOUS	WATER QUALITY WEIGHTED AREA (SF)	WEIGHTED C FACTOR	INITIAL DCV (CF)	POLLUTANT CONTROL TREATMENT METHOD	Basin Area Required (SF)	Basin Area Provided (SF)	MWS TREATME FLOWRA REQUIRE
1	0.75	32,503	7,042	25,461	78%	25,028	0.77	1231	BMP 1 Biofiltration	751	1,845	-
2.1	0.36	15,696	853	14,843	95%	13,615	0.87	671	BMP 2.1 (MWS)	-	-	0.094
2.2	1.00	43,418	2,161	41,257	95%	37,780	0.87	1857	BMP 2.2 (MWS)	-	-	0.261
2.3	1.12	48,923	2,327	46,596	95%	42,635	0.87	2093	BMP 2.3 (MWS)	-	-	0.292
2.4	0.52	22,784	2,347	20,437	90%	19,097	0.84	941	BMP 2.4 (MWS)	-	-	0.131
2.5	0.79	34,325	3,993	30,332	88%	28,497	0.83	1401	BMP 2.5 (MWS)	-		0.197
3.1	0.19	8,289	700	7,589	92%	7,040	0.85	346	BMP 3.1 (MWS)	-	-	0.048
3.2	0.31	13,595	1,572	12,023	88%	11,292	0.83	555	BMP 3.2 (MWS)	-	-	0.077
3.3	1.28	55,906	4,917	50,989	91%	47,365	0.85	2336	BMP 3.3 (MWS)	-	-	0.326
3.4	0.69	30,186	5,331	24,855	82%	23,969	0.79	1172	BMP 3.4 (MWS)	-	-	0.164
4.1	0.16	2,044	2,044	0	0%	613	-	-	Self Mitigating	-	-	-
4.2	0.08	3,339	3,339	0	0%	1,002	-	-	Self Mitigating	-	-	-
4.3	0.88	5,708	5,708	0	0%	1,712	-	-	Self Mitigating	-	-	-
5	1.08	47,102	47,102	0	0%	14,131	-	-	Self Mitigating	-	-	-
6	1.15	50,035	21,830	28,205	56%	31,934		-	Tree Wells Per Green Streets Design	-	-	-
TOTALS	9.50	413,853	111,266	302,587	73%	305,708	0.74	12,603	-	-		-

PROJECT SITE INFO UNDERLYING HYDROLOGIC SOIL: D

CROUCH

ST

REE

 \rightarrow

 \nearrow

_

APPROXIMATE DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: < 10 FT EXISTING NATURAL HYDROLOGIC FEATURES: LOCATED WITHIN FLOODPLAIN AND FLOODWAY, SEEPS LOCATED ON SITE CRITICAL COARSE SEDIMENT YIELD AREAS TO BE PROTECTED: NONE LOCATED WITHIN PROJECT BOUNDARY EXISTING IMPERVIOUS AREA: 13,500 SF (ONSITE), 17,827 (OFFSITE SIDEWALK TO BE MAINTAINED) DISTURBED AREA: 434,680 SF PROPOSED IMPERVIOUS AREA: 302,587 SF (ONSITE), 17,827 (OFFSITE SIDEWALK TO BE MAINTAINED) PROPOSED PERVIOUS AREA: 111,266 SF

SITE DESIGN BMPs

- 1 SD-1 STREET TREE (TREE WELL), 14.5' x 14.5' STRUCTURAL SOIL FOOTPRINT
- 2 SD-2 CONSERVE NATURAL AREAS, SOILS AND VEGETATION
- 3 SD-3 MINIMIZE IMPERVIOUS AREA
- 4 SD-4 MINIMIZE SOIL COMPACTION
- 5 LANDSCAPING WITH NATIVE OR DROUGHT TOLERANT SPECIES

PERMANENT STORM WATER BMP NOTES

- (1) BIOFILTRATION BASIN BMP (BF-1), FOR POLLUTANT AND
- HYDROMODIFICATION CONTROL
- (2) PROPRIETARY BMP (BF-3), POLLUTANT CONTROL ONLY
- (3) STORMWATER STORAGE FOR HYDROMODIFICATION

PROPERTY LINE RIGHT-OF-WAY STREET CENTERLINE EXISTING CONTOUR EXISTING STORM DRAIN PROPOSED STORM DRAIN DMA LIMITS SUB-DMA LIMITS

LEGEND

DIRECTION OF FLOW DMA DESIGNATION

BIOFILTRATION BASIN

TREE WELL PER SD-A

PERVIOUS AREA

TO BE DESIGNED PER COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO GREEN STREETS DESIGN CRITERIA

MODULAR WETLAND UNITS 🛛 🗑 💽 🖉 🖉 MP 1(MWS)
 HYDROMODIFICATION CISTERN
 _____SD_____

 STORM DRAIN PIPE
 HMP 2
 STORM DRAIN PIPE



_____ 260 _____

— — SD — —

CROUCH STREET SIDEWALK RESURFACING (EXEMPT FROM PDP REQUIREMENTS)



JEFFERSON OCEANSIDE

ATTACHMENT 1a, 1b, & 2a **DMA & HYDROMODIFICATION** MANAGEMENT EXHIBIT



JOB NO.

SHEET

557-010

ECS

1 of 5

DRAWN BY:

/		
NO.	DATE	REVISION





NOTE: THIS DRAWING IS TO BE INCLUDED ON PLANS UNTIL SIGNED BY THE COUNTY ENGINEER. ONCE SIGNED, IT CAN BE REFERENCED BY NUMBER



NOTE: THIS DRAWING IS TO BE INCLUI BY THE COUNTY ENGINEER. ONCE SIGNED, IT CAN BE REFERENCED BY NUMBER

> DETAIL - STREET TREE (TREE WELL) NOT TO SCALE

	DRAWING GS-1.1b			
EWELL				
TY DESIGN STANDARD				
	REVISIONS	APPROVED	DATE	



Placeholder – WMAA Exhibit

Replace placeholder with required exhibit.







CRITICAL COURSE SEDIMENT YIELD AREAS



JEFFERSON OCEANSIDE

ATTACHMENT 2b - CRITICAL COARSE SEDIMENT YIELD AREAS EXHIBIT



Placeholder – 6.2.1 Verification of GLUs Onsite (if applicable)

Replace placeholder with required calculations/documentation.

Leave placeholder intact if not applicable.

□Not Applicable



Placeholder – 6.2.3 Additional Analysis of Potential Critical Coarse Sediment Yield Areas Onsite (Optional)

Replace placeholder with required calculations/documentation.

Leave placeholder intact if not applicable.

Not Applicable



Placeholder – 6.3.4 Geomorphic Assessment of Receiving Channels (Optional)

Replace placeholder with required calculations/documentation.

Leave placeholder intact if not applicable.

Not Applicable



BIVIP Sizing Spreadsheet v3.0				
Project Name:	Jefferson Oceanside			
Project Applicant:	JPI			
Jurisdiction:	City of Oceanside			
Parcel (APN):				
Hydrologic Unit:	Carlsbad			
Rain Gauge:	Oceanside			
Total Project Area (sf):	494,215			
Channel Susceptibility:	HIGH			

BMP Sizing Spreadsheet V3.0

BMP Sizing Spreadsheet V3.0					
Project Name:	Jefferson Oceanside	Hydrologic Unit:	Carlsbad		
Project Applicant:	JPI	Rain Gauge:	Oceanside		
Jurisdiction:	City of Oceanside	Total Project Area:	494,215		
Parcel (APN):	0	Low Flow Threshold:	0.1Q2		
BMP Name:	BMP 1	BMP Type:	Biofiltration		
BMP Native Soil Type:	D	BMP Infiltration Rate (in/hr):	0.025		

			Areas Draining to BMP			HMP Sizing Factors	Minimum BMP Size	7
DMA Name	Area (sf)	Pre Project Soil Type	Pre-Project Slope	Post Project Surface Type	Area Weighted Runoff Factor (Table G.2-1) ¹	Surface Area	Surface Area (SF)	
DMA 1-Pervious	7,042	D	Flat	Landscape	0.1	0.07	49	
DMA 1- Impervious	25,461	D	Flat	Mixed	1.0	0.07	1782	
						0	0	
						0	0	
						0	0	
						0	0	
						0	0	
						0	0	
						0	0	
						0	0	
						0	0	
						0	0	
						0	0	
						0	0	
						0	0	
BMP Tributary Area	32,503					Minimum BMP Size	1832	
		_				Proposed BMP Size*	1835	* Assumes standard configuration
					Surface Ponding Depth	12.00	in	
				Bior	etention Soil Media Depth	18.00	in	
				Filter Coars		6.00	in	
				(Gravel Storage Layer Depth	12	in	1
					Underdrain Offset	3.5	in]
								1
								1

Notes:

1. Runoff factors which are used for hydromodification management flow control (Table G.2-1) are different from the runoff factors used for pollutant control BMP sizing (Table B.1-1). Table references are taken from the San Diego Region Model BMP Design Manu

Describe the BMP's in sufficient detail in your PDP SWQMP to demonstrate the area, volume, and other criteria can be met within the constraints of the site.

BMP's must be adapted and applied to the conditions specific to the development project such as unstable slopes or the lack of available head. Designated Staff have final review and approval authority over the project design.

This BMP Sizing Spreadsheet has been updated in conformance with the San Diego Region Model BMP Design Manual, April 2018. For questions or concerns please contact the jurisdiction in which your project is located.

BMP Sizing Spreadsheet V3.0				
Project Name:	Jefferson Oceanside	Hydrologic Unit:	Carlsbad	
Project Applicant:	JPI	Rain Gauge:	Oceanside	
Jurisdiction:	City of Oceanside	Total Project Area:	494,215	
Parcel (APN):	0	Low Flow Threshold:	0.1Q2	
BMP Name	BMP 1	BMP Type:	Biofiltration	

DMA	Rain Gauge	Pre-deve	loped Condition	Unit Runoff Ratio	DMA Area (ac)	Orifice Flow - %Q ₂	Orifice Area
Name		Soli Type	Slope	(CIS/aC)		(CIS)	(111)
DMA 1-Pervious	Oceanside	D	Flat	0.571	0.162	0.009	0.13
DMA 1- Impervious	Oceanside	D	Flat	0.571	0.585	0.033	0.48

3.71	0.043	0.61	0.88
Max Orifica Hood	Max Tot. Allowable	Max Tot. Allowable	Max Orifice
Max Office Head	Orifice Flow	Orifice Area	Diameter
(feet)	(cfs)	(in ²)	(in)

0.039	0.042	0.60	0.875
Average outflow during surface drawdown	Max Orifice Outflow	Actual Orifice Area	Selected Orifice Diameter
(cfs)	(cfs)	(in ²)	(in)

Drawdown (Hrs)	13.1

	BMP Sizing Spreadsheet V3.0						
Project Name:	Jefferson Oceanside	Hydrologic Unit:	Carlsbad				
Project Applicant:	JPI	Rain Gauge:	Oceanside				
Jurisdiction:	City of Oceanside	Total Project Area:	494,215				
Parcel (APN):	0	Low Flow Threshold:	0.1Q2				
BMP Name:	HMP 2	BMP Type:	Cistern				
BMP Native Soil Type:	D	BMP Infiltration Rate (in/hr):	NA				

DMA Name DMA 2.1-Pervious	Area (sf) 853	Pre Project Soil Type	Pre-Project Slope	Post Project	Area Weighted Runott Factor		
DMA 2.1-Pervious	853		i i e i i oject slope	Surface Type	(Table G.2-1) ¹	Volume	Volume (CF)
		D	Flat	Landscape	0.1	0.12	10
DMA 2.1- Impervious	14,843	D	Flat	Mixed	1.0	0.12	1781
						0	0
DMA 2.2-Pervious	2,161	D	Flat	Landscape	0.1	0.12	26
DMA 2.2- Impervious	41,257	D	Flat	Mixed	1.0	0.12	4951
						0	0
DMA 2.3-Pervious	2,327	D	Flat	Landscape	0.1	0.12	28
DMA 2.3- Impervious	46,596	D	Flat	Mixed	1.0	0.12	5592
						0	0
DMA 2.3-Pervious	2,347	D	Flat	Landscape	0.1	0.12	28
DMA 2.3- Impervious	20,437	D	Flat	Mixed	1.0	0.12	2452
						0	0
DMA 2.3-Pervious	3,993	D	Flat	Landscape	0.1	0.12	48
DMA 2.3- Impervious	30,332	D	Flat	Mixed	1.0	0.12	3640
						0	0
BMP Tributary Area	165,146					Minimum BMP Size	18556
						Proposed BMP Size*	19780

* Assumes standard configuration

Standard Cistern Depth (Overflow Elevation)	3.5	ft
Provided Cistern Depth (Overflow Elevation)	3.5	ft
Minimum Required Cistern Footprint)	5302	CF

Notes:

1. Runoff factors which are used for hydromodification management flow control (Table G.2-1) are different from the runoff factors used for pollutant control BMP sizing (Table B.1-1). Table references are taken from the San Diego Region Model BMP Design Manu

Describe the BMP's in sufficient detail in your PDP SWQMP to demonstrate the area, volume, and other criteria can be met within the constraints of the site.

BMP's must be adapted and applied to the conditions specific to the development project such as unstable slopes or the lack of available head. Designated Staff have final review and approval authority over the project design.

This BMP Sizing Spreadsheet has been updated in conformance with the San Diego Region Model BMP Design Manual, April 2018. For questions or concerns please contact the jurisdiction in which your project is located.

	BMP Sizing Spreadsheet V3.0					
Project Name:	Jefferson Oceanside	Hydrologic Unit:	Carlsbad			
Project Applicant:	JPI	Rain Gauge:	Oceanside			
Jurisdiction:	City of Oceanside	Total Project Area:	494,215			
Parcel (APN):	0	Low Flow Threshold:	0.1Q2			
BMP Name	HMP 2	BMP Type:	Cistern			

DMA	Rain Gauge	Pre-deve	loped Condition	Unit Runoff Ratio	DMA Area (ac)	Orifice Flow - %Q ₂	Orifice Area
Name		Soil Type	Slope	(cfs/ac)		(cfs)	(in ²)
DMA 2.1-Pervious	Oceanside	D	Flat	0.571	0.020	0.001	0.02
DMA 2.1- Impervious	Oceanside	D	Flat	0.571	0.341	0.019	0.29
DMA 2.2-Pervious	Oceanside	D	Flat	0.571	0.050	0.003	0.04
DMA 2.2- Impervious	Oceanside	D	Flat	0.571	0.947	0.054	0.80
DMA 2.3-Pervious	Oceanside	D	Flat	0.571	0.053	0.003	0.05
DMA 2.3- Impervious	Oceanside	D	Flat	0.571	1.070	0.061	0.90
DMA 2.3-Pervious	Oceanside	D	Flat	0.571	0.054	0.003	0.05
DMA 2.3- Impervious	Oceanside	D	Flat	0.571	0.469	0.027	0.40
DMA 2.3-Pervious	Oceanside	D	Flat	0.571	0.092	0.005	0.08
DMA 2.3- Impervious	Oceanside	D	Flat	0.571	0.696	0.040	0.59

3.50	0.216	3.19	2.02
Max Orifica Hoad	Max Tot. Allowable	Max Tot. Allowable	Max Orifice
Max Office Read	Orifice Flow	Orifice Area	Diameter
(feet)	(cfs)	(in ²)	(in)

Provide Hand Calc.	0.213	3.14	2.000
Average outflow during surface drawdown	Max Orifice Outflow	Actual Orifice Area	Selected Orifice Diameter
(cfs)	(cfs)	(in ²)	(in)

Drawdown (Hrs) Provide Hand Calculation

	BMP Sizing Spreadsheet V3.0						
Project Name:	Jefferson Oceanside	Hydrologic Unit:	Carlsbad				
Project Applicant:	JPI	Rain Gauge:	Oceanside				
Jurisdiction:	City of Oceanside	Total Project Area:	494,215				
Parcel (APN):	0	Low Flow Threshold:	0.1Q2				
BMP Name:	HMP 3	BMP Type:	Cistern				
BMP Native Soil Type:	D	BMP Infiltration Rate (in/hr):	NA				

Areas Draining to BMP					HMP Sizing Factors	Minimum BMP Size	
DMA Name	Area (sf)	Pre Project Soil Type	Pre-Project Slope	Post Project Surface Type	Area Weighted Runoff Factor (Table G.2-1) ¹	Volume	Volume (CF)
DMA 3.1-Pervious	700	D	Flat	Landscape	0.1	0.12	8
DMA 3.1- Impervious	7,589	D	Flat	Mixed	1.0	0.12	911
						0	0
DMA 3.1-Pervious	1,572	D	Flat	Landscape	0.1	0.12	19
DMA 3.1- Impervious	12,023	D	Flat	Mixed	1.0	0.12	1443
						0	0
DMA 3.1-Pervious	4,917	D	Flat	Landscape	0.1	0.12	59
DMA 3.1- Impervious	50,989	D	Flat	Mixed	1.0	0.12	6119
						0	0
DMA 3.1-Pervious	5,331	D	Flat	Landscape	0.1	0.12	64
DMA 3.1- Impervious	24,855	D	Flat	Mixed	1.0	0.12	2983
						0	0
						0	0
						0	0
						0	0
BMP Tributary Area	107,976					Minimum BMP Size	11605
						Proposed BMP Size*	12160

* Assumes standard configuration

Notes:

1. Runoff factors which are used for hydromodification management flow control (Table G.2-1) are different from the runoff factors used for pollutant control BMP sizing (Table B.1-1). Table references are taken from the San Diego Region Model BMP Design Manu

3.5

3.5

3316

ft

CF

Standard Cistern Depth (Overflow Elevation)

Provided Cistern Depth (Overflow Elevation)

Minimum Required Cistern Footprint)

Describe the BMP's in sufficient detail in your PDP SWQMP to demonstrate the area, volume, and other criteria can be met within the constraints of the site.

BMP's must be adapted and applied to the conditions specific to the development project such as unstable slopes or the lack of available head. Designated Staff have final review and approval authority over the project design.

This BMP Sizing Spreadsheet has been updated in conformance with the San Diego Region Model BMP Design Manual, April 2018. For questions or concerns please contact the jurisdiction in which your project is located.

	BMP Sizing Spreadsheet V3.0					
Project Name:	Jefferson Oceanside	Hydrologic Unit:	Carlsbad			
Project Applicant:	JPI	Rain Gauge:	Oceanside			
Jurisdiction:	City of Oceanside	Total Project Area:	494,215			
Parcel (APN):	0	Low Flow Threshold:	0.1Q2			
BMP Name	HMP 3	BMP Type:	Cistern			

DMA	Rain Gauge	Pre-deve	loped Condition	Unit Runoff Ratio	DMA Area (ac)	Orifice Flow - %Q ₂	Orifice Area
Name		Soil Type	Slope	(cfs/ac)		(cfs)	(in ²)
DMA 3.1-Pervious	Oceanside	D	Flat	0.571	0.016	0.001	0.01
DMA 3.1- Impervious	Oceanside	D	Flat	0.571	0.174	0.010	0.15
DMA 3.1-Pervious	Oceanside	D	Flat	0.571	0.036	0.002	0.03
DMA 3.1- Impervious	Oceanside	D	Flat	0.571	0.276	0.016	0.23
DMA 3.1-Pervious	Oceanside	D	Flat	0.571	0.113	0.006	0.10
DMA 3.1- Impervious	Oceanside	D	Flat	0.571	1.171	0.067	0.99
	0		=1	0.574	0.422	0.007	0.40
DIMA 3.1-Pervious	Oceanside	D	Flat	0.571	0.122	0.007	0.10
DMA 3.1- Impervious	Oceanside	D	Flat	0.571	0.571	0.033	0.48

3.50	0.142	2.09	1.63
May Orifica Head	Max Tot. Allowable	Max Tot. Allowable	Max Orifice
Wax Office Reau	Orifice Flow	Orifice Area	Diameter
(feet)	(cfs)	(in ²)	(in)

Provide Hand Calc.	0.120	1.77	1.500
Average outflow during surface drawdown	Max Orifice Outflow	Actual Orifice Area	Selected Orifice Diameter
(cfs)	(cfs)	(in ²)	(in)

Drawdown (Hrs) Provide Hand Calculation



tion and Ball AVNR Execution statements of the SHOE Aproclugation and all the second statements 4 weat all
Table G.2-3: Sizing Factors for Hydromodification Flow Control Infiltration BMPs Designed Using Sizing Factor Method								
Lower Flow Threshold	Soil Group	Slope	Rain Gauge	Α				
0.1Q2	А	Flat	Lindbergh	0.055				
0.1Q2	А	Moderate	Lindbergh	0.055				
0.1Q2	А	Steep	Lindbergh	0.055				
0.1Q2	В	Flat	Lindbergh	0.045				
0.1Q2	В	Moderate	Lindbergh	0.045				
0.1Q2	В	Steep	Lindbergh	0.045				
0.1Q2	С	Flat	Lindbergh	0.035				
0.1Q2	С	Moderate	Lindbergh	0.035				
0.1Q2	С	Steep	Lindbergh	0.035				
0.1Q2	D	Flat	Lindbergh	0.03				
0.1Q2	D	Moderate	Lindbergh	0.03				
0.1Q2	D	Steep	Lindbergh	0.03				
0.1Q2	А	Flat	Oceanside	0.06				
0.1Q2	А	Moderate	Oceanside	0.06				
0.1Q2	А	Steep	Oceanside	0.06				
0.1Q2	В	Flat	Oceanside	0.05				
0.1Q2	В	Moderate	Oceanside	0.05				
0.1Q2	В	Steep	Oceanside	0.05				
0.1Q2	С	Flat	Oceanside	0.05				
0.1Q2	С	Moderate	Oceanside	0.05				
0.1Q2	С	Steep	Oceanside	0.045				
0.1Q2	D	Flat	Oceanside	0.035				
0.1Q2	D	Moderate	Oceanside	0.035				
0.1Q2	D	Steep	Oceanside	0.035				
0.1Q2	А	Flat	Lake Wohlford	0.085				
0.1Q2	А	Moderate	Lake Wohlford	0.085				
0.1Q2	А	Steep	Lake Wohlford	0.085				
0.1Q2	В	Flat	Lake Wohlford	0.07				

0.1Q2	В	Moderate	Lake Wohlford	0.07
0.1Q2	В	Steep	Lake Wohlford	0.07
0.1Q2	С	Flat	Lake Wohlford	0.055
0.1Q2	С	Moderate	Lake Wohlford	0.055
0.1Q2	С	Steep	Lake Wohlford	0.055
0.1Q2	D	Flat	Lake Wohlford	0.04
0.1Q2	D	Moderate	Lake Wohlford	0.04
0.1Q2	D	Steep	Lake Wohlford	0.04

Table G.2-4: Sizing Factors for Hydromodification Flow Control Biofiltration with Partial Retention Designed Using Sizing Factor Method									
Lower Flow Threshold	Soil Group	Slope	below low orifice inv	Rain Gauge	A				
0.1Q 2	А	Flat	18	Lindbergh	0.08				
0.1Q ²	А	Moderate	18	Lindbergh	0.08				
0.1Q 2	А	Steep	18	Lindbergh	0.08				
0.1Q 2	В	Flat	18	Lindbergh	0.065				
0.1Q 2	В	Moderate	18	Lindbergh	0.065				
0.1Q 2	В	Steep	18	Lindbergh	0.06				
0.1Q2	С	Flat	6	Lindbergh	0.05				
0.1Q2	С	Moderate	6	Lindbergh	0.05				
0.1Q2	С	Steep	6	Lindbergh	0.05				
0.1Q2	D	Flat	3	Lindbergh	0.05				
0.1Q2	D	Moderate	3	Lindbergh	0.05				
0.1Q 2	D	Steep	3	Lindbergh	0.05				
0.1Q 2	А	Flat	18	Oceanside	0.08				
0.1Q 2	А	Moderate	18	Oceanside	0.075				
0.1Q2	А	Steep	18	Oceanside	0.075				
0.1Q2	В	Flat	18	Oceanside	0.07				
0.1Q2	В	Moderate	18	Oceanside	0.07				
0.1Q2	В	Steep	18	Oceanside	0.07				
0.1Q2	С	Flat	6	Oceanside	0.07				
0.1Q2	С	Moderate	6	Oceanside	0.07				