Appendix F: Hydrology Supporting Information

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

F1: Preliminary WQMP

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

00030

County of Orange/Santa Ana Region City of Anaheim Priority Project Preliminary Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP)

Project Name:

E. BALL ROAD & S. ANAHEIM BOULEVARD

TTM 19140

ANAHEIM, CA 92805

APN: 082-461-23, -24, -25, -29, -31, -34, -38, -39

(OTH2021-01373), the WQMP is "Approvable" with the following conditions. These conditions are memorialized d as comments on the current WQMP uploaded within EPR:

Condition 1 for Final WQMP: The depth to groundwater and the infiltration rates used to design the proposed infiltration systems must be verified in the Final WQMP to ensure that the proposed systems will drawdown within 48 hours and that there is adequate separation to groundwater. Per the Orange Count Technical Guidance Document, the tests must be performed in the immediate vicinity of the proposed facilities and must correspond to the facility elevation. Condition 2 for Final WQMP: The City of Anaheim BMP Design Guidelines must be used to
upsize the onsite BMPs to account for the ROW
Improvement Areas. See the Design Standard #1
table in the "On-site BMP Upsizing to Offset
ROW Improvement or Other Area" section BMP Design Guidelines for the thresholds that

the ROW may be required.
Condition 3 for Final WQMP: The ROW
footprints and sizing provided for the
improvements on E Ball Road and S Anaheim
Boulevard should include the widened street
street areas would be considered sig redevelopment (improvements beyond maintenance including change in purpose of land use, impact to existing hydraulic capacity, or change in gutter line) as the gutter is moving and the area is changing in use from parkway/sidewalk to street, and would therefore trigger water quality requirements.

Prepared for:

Toffoli Investments, LLC

3 Hughes

Irvine, CA 92618

(949) 768-2535

Prepared by:

must be used to upsize the onsite BMPs. I**C&V Consulting, Inc./ Dane McDougall, P.E.**
site BMP upsizing is infeasible, BMPs within

9830 Irvine Center Drive

Irvine, CA 92618

(949) 916-3800

Prepared: June 2021 Revised: June 2022

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

APPROVED WITH CONDITIONS

Cesar Morales, Associate Engineer

7/19/2022, 3:14:52 PM ANAH-OTH2021-01373 Cesar Morales

This Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) has been prepared for Toffoli Investments, LLC. by C&V Consulting, Inc. The WQMP is intended to comply with the requirements of the County of Orange NPDES Stormwater Program requiring the preparation of the plan.

The undersigned, while it owns the subject property, is responsible for the implementation of the provisions of this plan, including the ongoing operation and maintenance of all best management practices (BMPs), and will ensure that this plan is amended as appropriate to reflect up-to-date conditions on the site consistent with the current Orange County Drainage Area Management Plan (DAMP) and the intent of the non-point source NPDES Permit for Waste Discharge Requirements for the County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District and the incorporated Cities of Orange County within the Santa Ana Region. Once the undersigned transfers its interest in the property, its successors-in-interest shall bear the aforementioned responsibility to implement and amend the WQMP. An appropriate number of approved and signed copies of this document shall be available on the subject site in perpetuity.

Contents Page No. Page No. Page No.

Attachments

Section I Permit(s) and Water Quality Conditions of Approval or **Issuance**

Section II Project Description

II.1 Project Description

II.2 Potential Stormwater Pollutants

II.3 Hydrologic Conditions of Concern

 \boxtimes No - Show map. See Calculations below.

Yes – Describe applicable hydrologic conditions of concern below.

Per the TGD Figure 2, Susceptibility Analysis Huntington Harbor, the project location is not susceptible to hydrologic conditions of concern. Refer to Attachment D for the TGD Figure 1.

II.4 Post Development Drainage Characteristics

The proposed development consists of one (1) lot subdivision at approximately 10.13 net acres and will consist of 248 residential townhomes and flats and a retail space. The proposed development includes drive aisles, parking, landscaping, walkways, patios, and common open space areas. The site will be accessible via four (4) proposed driveway entrances/exits along E. Ball Road & S. Anaheim Boulevard.

The proposed site will be graded to convey stormwater as surface flow towards proposed curb-inlet catch basins, located at relative low points on-site. The site will mirror the existing drainage pattern as closely as possible, with a portion draining into E. Ball Rd. and a portion draining into S. Anaheim Blvd. The curb inlet type Modular Wetland Systems (MWS) will pre-treat flows for quality treatment and allow larger storm events to bypass the MWS and enter the detention pipe which feed to a drywell system for infiltration. Flows from the northeastern portion of the site be directed to one low point along E. Ball Road and another along S Claudina St. The curb inlet MWS along Claudina St. driveway entrance will convey flows via detention storm drain pipe to a drywell system located at the E. Ball Rd. driveway entrance. At this point flows from the E. Ball Rd. curb inlet MWS will confluence at the drywell system. When the underground system is at full capacity, flows will back up and outlet from the site via a proposed parkway culvert into E Ball Rd. Once flows from this portion enter E. Ball Rd., they will follow the historic drainage pattern.

Similarly, the western portion of site will convey flows in a westerly direction to eight (8) curb inlet MWS located at the end of drive aisles adjacent to S Anaheim Blvd. All eight of these MWS will be connected via storm drain pipe to a underground infiltration system located at the southerly driveway entrance into S Anaheim Blvd. Similar to the northeastern portion of the site, when the underground system is at capacity, flows will then be back up at the low point and be routed to a proposed parkway culvert into S. Anaheim Blvd. Once flows enter E. Ball Rd. they will follow the historic drainage pattern.

In the event the storm drain system becomes clogged or overwhelmed by extraordinary storm events, emergency overflow will be directed as sheet flow towards E. Ball Road & S. Anaheim Boulevard and overflow into the right-of-way via each proposed driveway entrance.

As part of the proposed development, proposed public street improvements will relocate the Filterra unit in E. Ball Road and the catch basin in S. Anaheim Blvd. The Filterra unit and catch basin will be equipped with a catch basin trash rack and/or filter per certified full capture system requirements and storm drain signage, and it will continue to collect flows within the adjacent street and convey flows that follow the historic drainage pattern.

II.5 Property Ownership/Management

The proposed project will be maintained by an appointed Homeowner's Association (HOA) selected by the Developer, Toffoli Investments, LLC. The HOA will be responsible for maintaining and provided regular inspections on all of the post-construction BMPs.

Toffoli Investments, LLC.

3 Hughes Irvine, CA 92618 (949) 768-2535

Section III Site Description

III.1 Physical Setting

III.2 Site Characteristics

III.3 Watershed Description

Section IV Best Management Practices (BMPs)

IV. 1 Project Performance Criteria

IV.2. Site Design and Drainage

The proposed site will be graded to convey stormwater as surface flow towards proposed curb-inlet catch basins, located at relative low points on-site. The catch basins will convey flows to proposed Modular Wetland Systems for pre-treatment and the stormwater will be routed to underground storage system for infiltration through a proposed drywell/ or infiltration trench system for water quality treatment. Northerly flows will ultimately be directed to E. Ball Road, connecting an existing City of Anaheim 45" R.C.P. SD via proposed junction structure. Similarly, southerly flows will be conveyed to an existing City of Anaheim 36" R.C.P. SD and follow the historic drainage pattern.

The Modular Wetland Biofiltration Systems are designed to provide a 3 phase treatment train. Initially, when the stormwater enters the system, a trash rack, filter media and settling chamber will capture large trash/ debris and sediment in the stormwater before entering into the plant media. This system is designed to treat stormwater flow horizontally. Before the stormwater enters the planting or "wetland" chamber, the runoff flows through the $2nd$ phase, a pre-filter cartridge which captures fines TSS, metals, nutrients and bacteria. The pre-filter chamber eliminates additional maintenance of the planting area. The wetland chamber is the $3rd$ phase of the system which provides final treatment through a combination of physical, chemical and biological processes.

The MaxWell DryWell Plus Drainage System provides another round of pre-treatment of runoff prior to infiltration. Infiltration will occur below the Settling Chamber. Runoff will enter the Settling Chamber equipped with an absorbent pillow that will contain the pollutants. Runoff is then routed into the drywell portion of the subsurface soils where percolation occurs, recharging the groundwater. A proposed underground detention pipe will provide additional storage in addition to capturing the required design capture volume prior to entry into the Maxwell DryWell Plus Drainage System. The Maxwell DryWell Plus Drainage System will be sized to infiltrate the required Design Capture Volume (DCV) within a 48-hour timeframe. Refer to Section IV.3.2 of this report for sizing information for the infiltration system.

The ADS StormTech Chambers infiltration system is an underground infiltration gallery consisting of a series of open-bottom domes underlain by a bed of gravel which promotes subsurface infiltration. The system will be designed to provide enough static volume within the domes and gravel bed to retain the entire DCV. The amount of surface area provided will be designed to ensure infiltration of the entire DCV within 48 hours. Refer to Section IV.3.2 of this report for sizing information for the infiltration system.

The depths of the proposed infiltration systems will provide a minimum clearance of 10' between the bottom of the infiltration system and the groundwater elevation.

Refer to Worksheets B and D in Attachment D of this report.

Drainage Management Areas (DMA) Table:

Drainage Management Areas (DMA) Table:

*Includes equivalent area and volume from the right of way dedication

IV.3 LID BMP Selection and Project Conformance Analysis

IV.3.1 Hydrologic Source Controls (HSCs)

No HSCs included.

IV.3.2 Infiltration BMPs

Infiltration Feasibility:

For DMA 1-2, The proposed development will utilize a Maxwell DryWell Plus Drywell System and Detention Pipe System to treat and retain the required Design Capture Volume. The Drywell System is a chamber system that collects, pre-treats, stores and directs stormwater runoff underground to promote infiltration and soil percolation in order to re-charge the groundwater. The Settling Chamber will pre-treat the site runoff which will collect pollutants such as sediment/ silt to settle, oil/grease, nutrients, pathogens and phosphorus. It will also collect larger trash/debris. Clean runoff is then routed to the drywell. The settling cast-in-place concrete with perforated holes located in the lower 4 feet to maximize infiltration. An underground detention system will be connected to the drywell to provide storage. Runoff will be detained within the detention system prior to entry into the Maxwell DryWell Plus Drywell System.

In the event the drywell system is full, stormwater runoff will back up within the system into the detention pipe system. When both the detention pipe and drywell reach capacity, also known as an emergency overflow, runoff will back up through the proposed parkway culvert and flow via street flow following existing drainage pattern.

DMA 1-2 A = 1.74 ac DCV = 4,239 cf Maxwell DryWell Plus *Drainage System with the following properties: · 20' Settling Chamber depth at 4' diameter (10' static storage depth)* · 24' Drywell Depth at 6' diameter · Inlet pipe at invert 10' below FS ·Total Depth (overall depth) = dsettling + ddrywell* $= 20' + 24' = 44'$ *Storage Calculations: VSETTLING* = $πr²$ *(dstatic settling)* = $π$ ($2 ft$) $²$ ($10 ft$) = $125 cf$ $*$ *VPRIMARY =πr²(dstatic primary)= π (2 ft)²(10 ft)(14ft)=125 cf VDRYWELL* = πr^2 (ddrywell)(n) = $\pi (3 ft)^2$ (20 ft)(0.40) = 271 cf *where, r = radius (ft), d = depth (ft), n = Void Space (0.40) per manufacturer's specifications ∑Volume = 125 cf + 125cf+271 cf = 521 cf*

** Only the portion below the inlet pipe for the Primary and Settling Chambers was included for static storage calculation of drywell system.*

Required Detention Pipe Storage = DCV - $\sum V = 4,239$ *cf – 521 cf = 3,718 cf Provided → 190 lf of 60" HDPE Detention Pipe (19.63 cf of storage per lf) V = (19.63 cf/lf)*(190 lf)= 3,729 cf > 3,718 cf √*

Infiltration Calculations: V48-HR = (1 ft/ 12 in)(KDESIGN, in/hr)(SA, sf)(72 hr), where SA = Infiltrating Surface Area of Drywell SA = $πr^2 + 2π$ (*r*)(ddrywell infiltration) = $π$ (3 ft)² + 2($π$)(3 ft)(24ft+20ft) = 857 sf *where, r = radius (ft), ddrywell infiltration = depth of drywell infiltration zone (ft)*

V48-HR = (1 ft/12 in)(1.29 in/hr)(857 sf)(48 hr) = 4,425 cf > DCV = 4,239 cf√

Refer to Attachment C of this report for additional Maxwell Drywell Plus System information.

For DMA 3-11, The proposed development will utilize an ADS Stormtech System for detention and infiltration to treat and retain the required Design Capture Volume.

DMA 3-11 – MC-3500 ADS StormTech Chambers DCV = 20,843 cf Installed System effective depth = 3.75' Installed System total depth (21" gravel) = 5.75' Installed System Volume = 20,975 cf > 20,843 cf Installed System Surface Area = 6,241 sf Vinfiltration, 48 hr = (1/12)(6,241 sf)(1.29 in/hr)(48 hrs) = 32,173 cf > 20,843 cf

Drawdown time = 31.1 hrs Vinfiltration, 31.1 hr = (1/12)(6,241 sf)(1.29 in/hr)(31.1 hrs) = 20,843 cf

Refer to Attachment C of this report for additional ADS Stormtech information.

Conclusion:

The combination of the drywell, detention pipe system, and ADS Stormtech will be more than sufficient to capture, treat, and retain the required design capture volume to support this development. Additional Geotechnical information will be provided during final engineering to verify the feasibility and infiltration applicability of the drywell, and ADS system for sizing.

Drainage Management Areas (DMA) Table:

GIS Coordination of Infiltration Systems

Maxwell DryWell Plus 6058469.3284'E 2245227.5675'N MC-3500 ADS Stormtech 6058461.5075'E 2244172.5632'N

IV.3.3 Evapotranspiration, Rainwater Harvesting BMPs

Evapotranspiration, Rainwater Harvesting BMPs will not be utilized for the proposed development and have been deemed infeasible due to site design constraints. Refer to Worksheet J within Attachment D of this report for more information.

IV.3.4 Biotreatment BMPs

Biotreatment:

Since infiltration BMP will be detain and infiltrate the entire DCV, the project will utilize biotreatment BMPs as a pre-treatment size for 50% of the required treatment flowrate. Modular Wetland Systems are proposed at the low points of the site to collect runoffs for water quality pre-treatment to be routed to the proposed underground infiltration systems. The MWS Biofiltration Systems are preliminary sized on the full design flowbased calculations for conservative measures, further design calculation may be revisited during final engineering.

Refer to Attachment C for additional MWS Biofiltration System BMP information.

Refer to Worksheet D in Attachment D for additional calculations.

*Due to size constraints in the right of way, onsite BMPs will be upsized to offset the right of way improvement areas.

GIS Coordination of MWS Systems

- *Easting Northing* 1 6058842.3613' 2245121.1240'
- 2 6058452.8493' 2245233.1085'
- 3 6058274.3460' 2244876.4179'
- 4 6058292.2550' 2244771.9125'
- 5 6058396.8013' 2244604.1948'
- 6 6058420.7948' 2244321.8875'
- 7 6058400.8979' 2244398.4938'
- 8 6058468.9988' 2244163.4541'
- 9 6058308.1089' 2244715.8354'
- 10 6058327.8614' 2244638.6558'
- 11 6058441.2083' 2244244.6503'

Refer to Attachment C for additional MWS Biofiltration System BMP information.

IV.3.5 Hydromodification Control BMPs

IV.3.6 Regional/Sub-Regional LID BMPs

IV.3.7 Treatment Control BMPs

IV.3.8 Non-structural Source Control BMPs

N1: Education for Property Owners, Tenants & Occupants

Project conditions of approval will require that the Homeowner's Association (HOA) periodically provide environmental awarness education materials, made available by the municipalities, to all of its members. Among other things, these materials will be descrive the use of chemcials (including household type) that should be limited to the property, with no discharge of wastes via hosing or other direct discharge to gutters, catch basins and storm drains. Educational materials available from the County of Orange can be downloaded here: http://www.ocwatersheds.com/PublicEd/resources/default.aspx

N2: Activity Restrictions

Conditions, covenants and restrictions (CC&Rs) must be prepared by the developer for the appointed HOA for the purpose of surface water quality protection. The CC&Rs shall incorporate the restrictions based on the Project WQMP.

N3: Common Area Landscape Management

All common landscaping and/ or open space areas shall have on-going landscape maintenance by an appointed professional landscaping maintenance company as selected by the HOA. Maintenance shall incorporate all current County Water Conservation Resolution usage and follow the Management Guidelines for Use of Fertilizers per the DAMP Section 5.5. Refer to Section 5 of this report for additional landscape maintenance requirements.

N4: BMP Maintenance

Refer to Section 5 and Attachment E of this report for additional non‐structural BMP maintenance requirements, responsibility and frequency.

N10: Uniform Fire Code Implementation

HOA is responsible for compliance with Article 80 of the Uniform Fire Code enforced by the local fire protection agency.

N11: Common Area Litter Control

HOA to implement trash management and litter control procedures in the common areas aimed at reducing pollution of drainage water. HOA to contract with landscape maintenance company to provide this service during regularly scheduled maintenance, which will consist of litter patrol, emptying of trash receptacles in common areas, and noting trash disposals violations by homeowners, tenants or occupants and reporting the violations to the HOA for investigation.

N12: Employee Training

HOA to provide Educational Materials and Property Management manuals to all employees upon initial hiring. Any updated information shall be provided to employees within a timely manner along with information on implementation.

N14: Common Area Catch Basin Inspections

HOA to inspect, clean and repair common area catch basins within the development to verify that the private drainage system is working properly. All trash/ debris and sediment build up is removed and any repairs/ replacements are conducted. Cleaning should take place in late summer/ early fall prior to the start of the raining season. Drainage facilities include catch basins (storm drain inlets), detention basins, retention basins, sediment basins, open drainage channels, area drains, and lift stations. Records shall be kept onsite to document the annual maintenance.

N15: Street Sweeping of Private Streets & Parking Lots

HOA to schedule at a minimum street sweeping of private streets and parking areas prior to the start of the rainy seasons, in late summer or early fall. Additional sweeping may be required to remove landscaping foliage and/ or pollution.

IV.3.9 Structural Source Control BMPs

S1 (CASQA Fact Sheet SD-13): Storm Drain Stenciling & Signage

HOA to inspect, repair and/ or replace storm drain stenciling and signage immediately. Inspection of stenciling and signage shall occur at least once per month and prior to the start of the raining season. Storm Drain stenciling and signage with a reference that indicates "Drains to Ocean" per CASQA BMP SD‐13 Fact Sheet is required.

S3 (CASQA Fact Sheet SD-32): Trash Storage Areas

HOA to maintain trash enclosure areas to reduce pollutant transportation including replacement of bins if leaking, removal of any built-up trash, debris or remnants, and weekly inspections. HOA to limit the type and amount of waste removal allowed by homeowners, tenants, or occupants per the development's CC&Rs. Refer to CASQA BMP Fact Sheet SD-32 for more information.

S4 (CASQA Fact Sheet SD-12): Use Efficient Irrigation Systems & Landscape Design

HOA shall implement the timing and application methods of irrigation water to minimize the runoff of excess irrigation water into the storm drain systems. HOA to implement the following methods to reduce excessive irrigation water runoff, where applicable:

- Employ rain shutoff devices to prevent irrigation after precipitation
- Utilizing landscape specific irrigation water requirements
- Utilize flow reducers or shutoff valves triggered by pressure drop to control water loss due to broken sprinkler heads
- Implement landscaping practices per the County Water Conservation Resolution or City agency equivalent
- Group plants or landscaping with similar water consumption in order to promote surface infiltration

Refer to CASQA BMP Fact Sheet SD‐12 for additional information.
IV.4 Alternative Compliance Plan (If Applicable)

IV.4.1 Water Quality Credits

IV.4.2 Alternative Compliance Plan Information

n/a

Section V Inspection/Maintenance Responsibility for BMPs

The property is currently owned by Toffoli Investments, LLC. The Owner will be responsible for the long-term maintenance of the project's storm water facilities and conformance to this WQMP after construction is complete.

A Notice of Transfer of Responsibility is located in Attachment G of this report and should be executed as part of any ownership transfer after construction is complete.

The owner may appoint a Homeowner's Association (HOA) to provide long term BMP maintenance for the proposed development upon completion of construction.

> Owner/Developer: Toffoli Investments, LLC 3 Hughes Irvine, CA 92618 Alan Toffoli, Principal (949) 768-2535

The owner is aware of the maintenance responsibilities of the proposed BMPs. A funding mechanism is in place to maintain the BMPs at the frequency stated in the WQMP.

Section VI BMP Exhibit (Site Plan)

VI.1 BMP Exhibit (Site Plan)

Refer to Attachment B of this report for the WQMP Exhibit.

VI.2 Submittal and Recordation of Water Quality Management Plan

Following approval of the Final Project-Specific WQMP, three copies of the approved WQMP (including BMP Exhibit, Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Plan, and Appendices) shall be submitted. In addition, these documents shall be submitted in a PDF format.

Each approved WQMP (including BMP Exhibit, Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Plan, and Appendices) shall be recorded in the Orange County Clerk-Recorder's Office, prior to close-out of grading and/or building permit. Educational Materials are not required to be included.

Section VII Educational Materials

Attachment A Educational Materials

Please visit www.ocwatersheds.com for educational materials.

Attachment B WQMP Exhibit

Attachment C BMP Fact Sheets & Details

MaxWell[™] Plus DRAINAGE SYSTEM *Product Information and Design Features ™ Plus*

The *MaxWell™ Plus,* as manufactured and installed exclusively by Torrent Resources Incorporated, is the industry standard for draining large paved surfaces, nuisance water and other demanding applications. This patented system incorporates state-of-the-art pre-treatment technology.

THE ULTIMATE IN DESIGN

Since 1974, over 40,000 MaxWell™ Systems have proven their value as a costeffective solution in a wide variety of drainage applications. They are accepted by state and municipal agencies and are a standard detail in numerous drainage manuals. Many municipalities have recognized the inherent benefits of the MaxWell Plus and now require it for drainage of all paved surfaces.

SUPERIOR PRE-TREATMENT

Industry research, together with Torrent Resource's own experience, has shown that initial storm drainage flows have the greatest impact on system performance. This "first flush" occurs during the first few minutes of runoff and carries the majority of sediment and debris. Larger paved surfaces or connecting pipes from catch basins, underground storage, etc. can also generate high peak flows which may strain system function. In addition, nuisance water flows require controlled processing separate from normal storm runoff demands.

Manufactured and Installed Exclusively by Torrent Resources Incorporated Please see reverse side for additional information U.S. Patent No. 4,923,330 ™Trademark 1974, 1987, 2004 11/04 In the *MaxWell Plus,* preliminary treatment is provided through collection and separation in deep large-volume settling chambers. The standard MaxWell Plus system has over 2,500 gallons of capacity to contain sediment and debris carried by incoming water. Floating trash, paper, pavement oil, etc. is effectively stopped by the **PureFlo™ Debris Shields** in each chamber. These shielding devices are equipped with an effective screen to filter suspended material and are vented to prevent siphoning of floating surface debris as the system drains.

EFFECTIVE PROCESSING

Incoming water from the surface grated inlets or connecting pipes is received in the Primary Settling Chamber where silt and other heavy particles settle to the bottom. A PureFlo™ Debris Shield ensures containment by trapping floating debris and pavement oil. The pre-treated flow is then regulated to a design rate of up to 0.25cfs and directed to a secondary settling chamber. The settling and containment process is repeated, thereby effectively achieving controlled, uniform treatment. The system is drained as water rises under the PureFlo Debris Shield and spills into the top of the overflow pipe. The drainage assembly returns the cleaned water to the surrounding soil through the **FloFast™ Drainage Screen.**

ABSORBENT TECHNOLOGY

To provide prompt removal of pavement oils, both *MaxWell Plus* settling chambers are equipped with absorbent sponges. These floating pillow-like devices are 100% water repellent and literally wick petrochemical compounds from the water. Each sponge has a capacity of over 128 ounces to accommodate effective, long-term treatment. The absorbent is completely inert and will safely remove runoff constituents down to rainbow sheens which are typically no more than one molecule thick.

SECURITY FEATURES

MaxWell Plus Systems include bolted, theft-resistance, cast iron gratings and covers as standard security features. Special inset castings which are resistant to loosening from accidental impact are available for use in landscaped applications. Machined mating surfaces and "Storm Water Only" wording are standard.

THE MAXWELL FIVE-YEAR WARRANTY

Innovative engineering, quality materials and exacting construction are standard with every MaxWell system produced and installed by Torrent Resources Incorporated. The MaxWell Drainage Systems Warranty is the best in the industry and guarantees against failures due to workmanship or materials for a period of five years from date of completion.

MAXWELL™ PLUS DRAINAGE SYSTEM DETAIL AND SPECIFICATIONS

CALCULATING MAXWELL PLUS REQUIREMENTS:

The type of property, soil permeability, rainfall intensity and local drainage ordinances determine the number and design of MaxWell Systems. For general applications draining retained storm water, use **one standard MaxWell Plus per the instructions below** for up to 5 acres of landscaped contributory area, and up to 2 acres of paved surface. To drain nuisance water flows in storm runoff systems, **add a remote inlet** to the System. For smaller drainage needs, refer to our *Type IV MaxWell.* For industrial drainage, our *Envibro™ System* may be recommended. For additional considerations, please refer to **"Design Suggestions For Retention And Drainage Systems"** or consult our Design Staff.

COMPLETING THE MAXWELL PLUS DRAWING

To apply the *MaxWell Plus* drawing to your specific project, simply fill in the blue boxes per the following instructions. For assistance, please consult our Design Staff.

PRIMARY SETTLING CHAMBER DEPTH '

The overall depth of the Primary Settling Chamber is determined by the amount of surface area being drained. Use a standard depth of **10 feet** for the initial acre of contributory drainage area, **plus 2 feet** for each additional acre, up to the design limits of the property tupe noted in "Calculating MaxWell Plus Requirements" noted above. Other conditions that would require increased chamber depths are property usage, maintenance scheduling, and severe or unusual service conditions. Connecting Pipe Depth may dictate deeper chambers so as to maintain the effectiveness of the settling process.

ESTIMATED TOTAL DEPTH '

The Estimated Total Depth is the approximate total system depth required to achieve 10 continuous feet of penetration into permeable soils, based upon known soil information. Torrent's specialized **"crowd"** equipped rigs get through the difficult cemented soils to reach clean drainage soils at depths up to **180 feet** and their extensive drilling log database is available to use as a reference.

SETTLING CHAMBER DEPTH '

On **MaxWell Plus Systems** of over 30 feet overall depth and up to 0.25cfs design rate, the standard Settling Chamber Depth is **18 feet.**

OVERFLOW HEIGHT '

The Overflow Height and Settling Chamber Depth determine the effectiveness of the settling process. The higher the overflow pipe, the deeper the chamber, the greater the settling capacity. An overflow height of **13 feet** is used with the standard settling chamber depth of **18 feet.**

DRAINAGE PIPE "Ø

This dimension also applies to the *PureFlo™* Debris Shields, the *FloFast™* Drainage Screen, and fittings. The size is based upon system design rates, multiple primary settling chambers, soil conditions, and need for adequate venting. Choices are 6", 8", or 12" diameter. Refer to our company's **"Design Suggestions for Retention and Drainage Systems"** for recommendations on which size best matches your application.

BOLTED RING & GRATE/COVER "Ø

Standard models are quality cast iron and available to fit 24" Ø or 30" Ø manhole openings. All units are bolted in two locations with wording "Storm Water Only" in raised letters. For other surface treatments, please refer to "Design Suggestions for Retention and Drainage Systems."

INLET PIPE INVERT '

Pipes up to 24" in diameter from catch basins, underground storage, etc. may be connected into the primary settling chamber. Inverts deeper than 4 feet will require additional depth in both system settling chambers to maintain respective effective settling capacities.

INTAKE INLET HEIGHT '

The Intake Inlet Height determines the effectiveness of the settling process in the Primary Settling Chamber. A minimum inlet height of **6 feet** is used with the standard primary settling chamber depth of 10 feet. Greater inlet heights would be required with increased system demands as noted in Primary Settling Chamber Depth.

CHAMBER SEPARATION '

The standard separation between chambers is **15 feet** from center to center for inlet pipe inverts up to 7 feet. For deep inlet pipes or underground storage systems that result in a deeper Connector Pipe, add 5 feet of separation for each 3 feet of additional Connector Pipe depth. Maximum Connector Pipe depths and Chamber separations are 13 feet and 25 feet, respectively. A pump and lift station is recommended for systems with deeper requirements.

- 3. Bolted Ring & Grate/Cover Diameter as shown. Clean cast iron with wording "Storm Water Only" in raised letters. Bolted in 2 locations and secured to cone with mortar. Rim elevation $±0.02'$ of plans.
- 4. Graded Basin or Paving (by Others).
- 5. Compacted Base Material (by Others).
- 6. PureFlo™ Debris Shield Rolled 16 Ga. steel X 24" length with vented anti-siphon and internal .265" Max. SWO flattened expanded steel screen X 12" length. Fusion bonded epoxy coated.
- 7. Pre-cast Liner 4000 PSI concrete 48" ID. X 54" OD. Center in hole and align sections to maximize bearing surface.
- 8. Min. 6' Ø Drilled Shaft.
- 9. Support Bracket Formed 12 Ga. steel. Fusion bonded epoxy coated.
- 10. Overflow Pipe Sch. 40 PVC mated to drainage pipe at base seal.
- 11. Drainage Pipe ADS highway grade with TRI-A coupler. Suspend pipe during backfill operations to prevent buckling or breakage. Diameter as noted.
- 12. Base Seal Geotextile, poly liner or concrete slurry.
- 13. Rock Clean and washed, sized between 3/8" and 1-1/2" to best complement soil conditions.
- 14. FloFast™ Drainage Screen Sch. 40 PVC 0.120" slotted well screen with 32 slots per row/ft. 96" overall length with TRI-B coupler.
- 15. Min. 4' Ø Shaft Drilled to maintain permeability of drainage soils.
- 16. Fabric Seal U.V. Resistant Geotextile To be removed by customer at project completion.
- 17. Absorbent Hydrophobic Petrochemical Sponge. Min. 128 oz. capacity.
- 18. Connector Pipe 4" Ø Sch. 40 PVC.
- 19. Vented Anti-Siphon intake with flow regulator.
- 20. Intake Screen Sch. 40 PVC 0.120" modified slotted well screen with 32 slots per row/ft. 48" overall length with TRI-C end cap.
- 21. Freeboard Depth Varies with inlet pipe elevation. Increase primary/secondary settling chamber depths as needed to maintain all inlet pipe elevations above connector pipe overflow.
- 22. Optional Inlet Pipe (by Others).
- 23. Moisture Membrane 6 mil. Plastic. Place securely against eccentric cone and hole sidewall. Used in lieu of slurry in landscaped areas.

INDUSTRY SERVICES

Site Drainage Systems Stormwater Drywells French Drains Piping Drainage Appurtenances Pump Systems

Technical Analysis Design Review Percolation Testing Geologic Database ADEQ Drywell Registration

Recharge Systems Municipal/Private Recharge Wells Injection Wells & Galleries

Environmental Applications Pattern Drilling/Soil Remediation Drainage Rehabilitation Drywell Abandonments OSHA HAZMAT-Certified

Drainage Renovation Problem Assessment Site Redesign/Modification System Retrofit

Drainage Maintenance Preventive Maintenance

Service Contracts Drywell Cleaning

TORRENT RESOURCES INCORPORATED

1509 East Elwood Street Phoenix Arizona 85040~1391 phone 602~268~0785

fax 602~268~0820

California 661~947~9836

Nevada 702~366~1234

www.TorrentResources.com

AZ Lic. ROC070465 A, ROC047067 B-4; ADWR 363

CA Lic. 528080 A, C-42, HAZ

NV Lic. 0035350 A *NM Lic.* 90504 GF04

An evolution of McGuckin Drilling

MC-3500 STORMTECH CHAMBER SPECIFICATIONS

- 1. CHAMBERS SHALL BE STORMTECH MC-3500.
- 2. CHAMBERS SHALL BE ARCH-SHAPED AND SHALL BE MANUFACTURED FROM VIRGIN, IMPACT-MODIFIED POLYPROPYLENE COPOLYMERS.
- 3. CHAMBERS SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF ASTM F2418, "STANDARD SPECIFICATION FOR POLYPROPYLENE (PP) CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS" CHAMBER CLASSIFICATION 45x76 DESIGNATION SS.
- 4. CHAMBER ROWS SHALL PROVIDE CONTINUOUS, UNOBSTRUCTED INTERNAL SPACE WITH NO INTERNAL SUPPORTS THAT WOULD IMPEDE FLOW OR LIMIT ACCESS FOR INSPECTION.
- 5. THE STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THE CHAMBERS, THE STRUCTURAL BACKFILL, AND THE INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS SHALL ENSURE THAT THE LOAD FACTORS SPECIFIED IN THE AASHTO LRFD BRIDGE DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS, SECTION 12.12, ARE MET FOR: 1) LONG-DURATION DEAD LOADS AND 2) SHORT-DURATION LIVE LOADS, BASED ON THE AASHTO DESIGN TRUCK WITH CONSIDERATION FOR IMPACT AND MULTIPLE VEHICLE PRESENCES.
- 6. CHAMBERS SHALL BE DESIGNED, TESTED AND ALLOWABLE LOAD CONFIGURATIONS DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM F2787, "STANDARD PRACTICE FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THERMOPLASTIC CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS". LOAD CONFIGURATIONS SHALL INCLUDE: 1) INSTANTANEOUS (<1 MIN) AASHTO DESIGN TRUCK LIVE LOAD ON MINIMUM COVER 2) MAXIMUM PERMANENT (75-YR) COVER LOAD AND 3) ALLOWABLE COVER WITH PARKED (1-WEEK) AASHTO DESIGN TRUCK.
- 7. REQUIREMENTS FOR HANDLING AND INSTALLATION:
	- · TO MAINTAIN THE WIDTH OF CHAMBERS DURING SHIPPING AND HANDLING, CHAMBERS SHALL HAVE INTEGRAL, INTERLOCKING STACKING LUGS.
	- · TO ENSURE A SECURE JOINT DURING INSTALLATION AND BACKFILL, THE HEIGHT OF THE CHAMBER JOINT SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 3".
	- TO ENSURE THE INTEGRITY OF THE ARCH SHAPE DURING INSTALLATION, a) THE ARCH STIFFNESS CONSTANT SHALL BE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 450 LBS/FT/%. THE ASC IS DEFINED IN SECTION 6.2.8 OF ASTM F2418. AND b) TO RESIST CHAMBER DEFORMATION DURING INSTALLATION AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURES (ABOVE 73° F / 23° C), CHAMBERS SHALL BE PRODUCED FROM REFLECTIVE GOLD OR YELLOW COLORS.
- 8. ONLY CHAMBERS THAT ARE APPROVED BY THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER WILL BE ALLOWED. UPON REQUEST BY THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER OR OWNER, THE CHAMBER MANUFACTURER SHALL SUBMIT A STRUCTURAL EVALUATION FOR APPROVAL BEFORE DELIVERING CHAMBERS TO THE PROJECT SITE AS FOLLOWS:
	- · THE STRUCTURAL EVALUATION SHALL BE SEALED BY A REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER.
	- THE STRUCTURAL EVALUATION SHALL DEMONSTRATE THAT THE SAFETY FACTORS ARE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 1.95 FOR DEAD LOAD AND 1.75 FOR LIVE LOAD, THE MINIMUM REQUIRED BY ASTM F2787 AND BY SECTIONS 3 AND 12.12 OF THE AASHTO LRFD BRIDGE DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS FOR THERMOPLASTIC PIPE.
	- THE TEST DERIVED CREEP MODULUS AS SPECIFIED IN ASTM F2418 SHALL BE USED FOR PERMANENT DEAD LOAD DESIGN EXCEPT THAT IT SHALL BE THE 75-YEAR MODULUS USED FOR DESIGN.
- 9. CHAMBERS AND END CAPS SHALL BE PRODUCED AT AN ISO 9001 CERTIFIED MANUFACTURING FACILITY.
- STORMTECH MC-3500 CHAMBERS SHALL NOT BE INSTALLED UNTIL THE MANUFACTURER'S REPRESENTATIVE HAS COMPLETED A PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING WITH THE INSTALLERS.
- 2. STORMTECH MC-3500 CHAMBERS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "STORMTECH MC-3500/MC-4500 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".
- 3. CHAMBERS ARE NOT TO BE BACKFILLED WITH A DOZER OR AN EXCAVATOR SITUATED OVER THE CHAMBERS. STORMTECH RECOMMENDS 3 BACKFILL METHODS:
	- STONESHOOTER LOCATED OFF THE CHAMBER BED.
	- BACKFILL AS ROWS ARE BUILT USING AN EXCAVATOR ON THE FOUNDATION STONE OR SUBGRADE.
	- BACKFILL FROM OUTSIDE THE EXCAVATION USING A LONG BOOM HOE OR EXCAVATOR.
- 4. THE FOUNDATION STONE SHALL BE LEVELED AND COMPACTED PRIOR TO PLACING CHAMBERS.
- 5. JOINTS BETWEEN CHAMBERS SHALL BE PROPERLY SEATED PRIOR TO PLACING STONE.
- 6. MAINTAIN MINIMUM 6" (150 mm) SPACING BETWEEN THE CHAMBER ROWS.
- 7. INLET AND OUTLET MANIFOLDS MUST BE INSERTED A MINIMUM OF 12" (300 mm) INTO CHAMBER END CAPS.
- 8. EMBEDMENT STONE SURROUNDING CHAMBERS MUST BE A CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR STONE MEETING THE AASHTO M43 DESIGNATION OF #3 OR #4.
- 9. STONE MUST BE PLACED ON THE TOP CENTER OF THE CHAMBER TO ANCHOR THE CHAMBERS IN PLACE AND PRESERVE ROW SPACING.
- 10. THE CONTRACTOR MUST REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES WITH CHAMBER FOUNDATION MATERIALS BEARING CAPACITIES TO THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER.
- 11. ADS RECOMMENDS THE USE OF "FLEXSTORM CATCH IT" INSERTS DURING CONSTRUCTION FOR ALL INLETS TO PROTECT THE SUBSURFACE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FROM CONSTRUCTION SITE RUNOFF.

IMPORTANT - NOTES FOR THE BIDDING AND INSTALLATION OF MC-3500 CHAMBER SYSTEM

-
-
-

NOTES FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT

- 1. STORMTECH MC-3500 CHAMBERS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "STORMTECH MC-3500/MC-4500 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".
- 2. THE USE OF EQUIPMENT OVER MC-3500 CHAMBERS IS LIMITED:
	- NO EQUIPMENT IS ALLOWED ON BARE CHAMBERS.
	- · NO RUBBER TIRED LOADER, DUMP TRUCK, OR EXCAVATORS ARE ALLOWED UNTIL PROPER FILL DEPTHS ARE REACHED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "STORMTECH MC-3500/MC-4500 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE". · WEIGHT LIMITS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT CAN BE FOUND IN THE "STORMTECH MC-3500/MC-4500 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".
- 3. FULL 36" (900 mm) OF STABILIZED COVER MATERIALS OVER THE CHAMBERS IS REQUIRED FOR DUMP TRUCK TRAVEL OR DUMPING.

- **USE OF A DOZER TO PUSH EMBEDMENT STONE BETWEEN THE ROWS OF CHAMBERS MAY CAUSE DAMAGE TO CHAMBERS AND IS NOT AN ACCEPTABLE**
	-

BACKFILL METHOD. ANY CHAMBERS DAMAGED BY USING THE "DUMP AND PUSH" METHOD ARE NOT COVERED UNDER THE STORMTECH STANDARD WARRANTY.

CONTACT STORMTECH AT 1-888-892-2694 WITH ANY QUESTIONS ON INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS OR WEIGHT LIMITS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT.

PROJECT INFORMATION

GLPX-003 ANAHEIM, CA

PLACE MINIMUM 17.50' OF ADSPLUS175 WOVEN GEOTEXTILE OVER BEDDING STONE AND UNDERNEATH CHAMBER FEET FOR SCOUR PROTECTION AT ALL CHAMBER INLET ROWS

SED LIMITS

ACCEPTABLE FILL MATERIALS: STORMTECH MC-3500 CHAMBER SYSTEMS

PLEASE NOTE:

1. THE LISTED AASHTO DESIGNATIONS ARE FOR GRADATIONS ONLY. THE STONE MUST ALSO BE CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR. FOR EXAMPLE, A SPECIFICATION FOR #4 STONE WOULD STATE: "CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR NO. 4 (A

3. WHERE INFILTRATION SURFACES MAY BE COMPROMISED BY COMPACTION, FOR STANDARD DESIGN LOAD CONDITIONS, A FLAT SURFACE MAY BE ACHIEVED BY RAKING OR DRAGGING WITHOUT COMPACTION EQUIPMENT. FOR COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS.

4. ONCE LAYER 'C' IS PLACED, ANY SOIL/MATERIAL CAN BE PLACED IN LAYER 'D' UP TO THE FINISHED GRADE. MOST PAVEMENT SUBBASE SOILS CAN BE USED TO REPLACE THE MATERIAL REQUIREMENTS OF LAYER 'C' OR 'D' AT TH

2. STORMTECH COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS ARE MET FOR 'A' LOCATION MATERIALS WHEN PLACED AND COMPACTED IN 9" (230 mm) (MAX) LIFTS USING TWO FULL COVERAGES WITH A VIBRATORY COMPACTOR.

NOTES:

- 1. CHAMBERS SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF ASTM F2418, "STANDARD SPECIFICATION FOR POLYPROPYLENE (PP) CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS" CHAMBER CLASSIFICATION 45x76 DESIGNATION SS.
- 2. MC-3500 CHAMBERS SHALL BE DESIGNED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM F2787 "STANDARD PRACTICE FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THERMOPLASTIC CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS".
- 3. THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ASSESSING THE BEARING RESISTANCE (ALLOWABLE BEARING CAPACITY) OF THE SUBGRADE SOILS AND THE DEPTH OF FOUNDATION STONE WITH CONSIDERATION FOR THE RANGE OF EXPECTED SOIL MOISTURE CONDITIONS.
- 4. PERIMETER STONE MUST BE EXTENDED HORIZONTALLY TO THE EXCAVATION WALL FOR BOTH VERTICAL AND SLOPED EXCAVATION WALLS.
- 5. REQUIREMENTS FOR HANDLING AND INSTALLATION:
	- · TO MAINTAIN THE WIDTH OF CHAMBERS DURING SHIPPING AND HANDLING, CHAMBERS SHALL HAVE INTEGRAL, INTERLOCKING STACKING LUGS.
	- · TO ENSURE A SECURE JOINT DURING INSTALLATION AND BACKFILL, THE HEIGHT OF THE CHAMBER JOINT SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 3".
	- · TO ENSURE THE INTEGRITY OF THE ARCH SHAPE DURING INSTALLATION, a) THE ARCH STIFFNESS CONSTANT SHALL BE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 450 LBS/FT/%. THE ASC IS DEFINED IN SECTION 6.2.8 OF ASTM F2418. AND b) TO RESIST CHAMBER DEFORMATION DURING INSTALLATION AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURES (ABOVE 73° F / 23° C), CHAMBERS SHALL BE PRODUCED FROM REFLECTIVE GOLD OR YELLOW COLORS.

INSPECTION & MAINTENANCE

STEP 1) INSPECT ISOLATOR ROW PLUS FOR SEDIMENT

- A. INSPECTION PORTS (IF PRESENT)
- A.1. REMOVE/OPEN LID ON NYLOPLAST INLINE DRAIN
- A.2. REMOVE AND CLEAN FLEXSTORM FILTER IF INSTALLED
- A.3. USING A FLASHLIGHT AND STADIA ROD, MEASURE DEPTH OF SEDIMENT AND RECORD ON MAINTENANCE LOG
- A.4. LOWER A CAMERA INTO ISOLATOR ROW PLUS FOR VISUAL INSPECTION OF SEDIMENT LEVELS (OPTIONAL)
- A.5. IF SEDIMENT IS AT, OR ABOVE, 3" (80 mm) PROCEED TO STEP 2. IF NOT, PROCEED TO STEP 3.
- B. ALL ISOLATOR PLUS ROWS
- B.1. REMOVE COVER FROM STRUCTURE AT UPSTREAM END OF ISOLATOR ROW PLUS
- B.2. USING A FLASHLIGHT, INSPECT DOWN THE ISOLATOR ROW PLUS THROUGH OUTLET PIPE
	- i) MIRRORS ON POLES OR CAMERAS MAY BE USED TO AVOID A CONFINED SPACE ENTRY
	- ii) FOLLOW OSHA REGULATIONS FOR CONFINED SPACE ENTRY IF ENTERING MANHOLE
- B.3. IF SEDIMENT IS AT, OR ABOVE, 3" (80 mm) PROCEED TO STEP 2. IF NOT, PROCEED TO STEP 3.
- STEP 2) CLEAN OUT ISOLATOR ROW PLUS USING THE JETVAC PROCESS
	- A. A FIXED CULVERT CLEANING NOZZLE WITH REAR FACING SPREAD OF 45" (1.1 m) OR MORE IS PREFERRED
	- B. APPLY MULTIPLE PASSES OF JETVAC UNTIL BACKFLUSH WATER IS CLEAN
	- C. VACUUM STRUCTURE SUMP AS REQUIRED
- STEP 3) REPLACE ALL COVERS, GRATES, FILTERS, AND LIDS; RECORD OBSERVATIONS AND ACTIONS.
- STEP 4) INSPECT AND CLEAN BASINS AND MANHOLES UPSTREAM OF THE STORMTECH SYSTEM.

NOTES

- 1. INSPECT EVERY 6 MONTHS DURING THE FIRST YEAR OF OPERATION. ADJUST THE INSPECTION INTERVAL BASED ON PREVIOUS OBSERVATIONS OF SEDIMENT ACCUMULATION AND HIGH WATER ELEVATIONS.
- 2. CONDUCT JETTING AND VACTORING ANNUALLY OR WHEN INSPECTION SHOWS THAT MAINTENANCE IS NECESSARY.

MC-3500 ISOLATOR ROW PLUS DETAIL

NTS

NOTE: ALL DIMENSIONS ARE NOMINAL

STUBS AT TOP OF END CAP FOR PART NUMBERS ENDING WITH "T" END CAPS WITH A WELDED CROWN PLATE END WITH "C" END CAPS WITH A PREFABRICATED WELDED STUB END WITH "W"

INSTALLATION NOTES

- 1. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ALL LABOR, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND INCIDENTALS REQUIRED TO OFFLOAD AND INSTALL THE SYSTEM AND APPURTENANCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS DRAWING AND THE MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATIONS, UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED IN MANUFACTURERS CONTRACT.
- 2. UNIT MUST BE INSTALLED ON LEVEL BASE. MANUFACTURER RECOMMENDS A MINIMUM 6" LEVEL ROCK BASE UNLESS SPECIFIED BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO VERIFY PROJECT ENGINEERS RECOMMENDED BASE SPECIFICATIONS.
- 3. ALL PIPES MUST BE FLUSH WITH INSIDE SURFACE OF CONCRETE. (PIPES CANNOT INTRUDE BEYOND FLUSH). INVERT OF OUTFLOW PIPE MUST BE FLUSH WITH DISCHARGE CHAMBER FLOOR. ALL GAPS AROUND PIPES SHALL BE SEALED WATER TIGHT WITH A NON-SHRINK GROUT PER MANUFACTURERS STANDARD CONNECTION DETAIL AND SHALL MEET OR EXCEED REGIONAL PIPE CONNECTION STANDARDS.
- CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY AND INSTALL ALL EXTERNAL CONNECTING $4¹$ PIPES.
- 5. CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLATION OF ALL RISERS, MANHOLES, AND HATCHES. CONTRACTOR TO GROUT ALL MANHOLES AND HATCHES TO MATCH FINISHED SURFACE UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
- 6. DRIP OR SPRAY IRRIGATION REQUIRED ON ALL UNITS WITH VEGETATION.

GENERAL NOTES

- MANUFACTURER TO PROVIDE ALL MATERIALS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. \mathcal{I} .
- ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND CAPACITIES ARE SUBJECT TO $2.$ CHANGE. FOR PROJECT SPECIFIC DRAWINGS DETAILING EXACT DIMENSIONS, WEIGHTS AND ACCESSORIES PLEASE CONTACT MANUFACTURER.

THE PRODUCT DESCRIBED MAY BE PROTECTED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING US PATENTS:
7.425.262: 7.470.362: 7.674.378: 8,303,816; RELATED FOREIGN PATENTS OR OTHER PATENTS PENDING

PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL:

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DRAWING IS THE SOLE PROPERTY OF MODULAR WETLANDS SYSTEMS. ANY REPRODUCTION IN PART OR AS A WHOLE WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF MODULAR WETLANDS SYSTEMS IS PROHIBITED.

BIOFILTRATION

 $6 -$

PRETREATMENT/DISCHARGE

- 1. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ALL LABOR, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND INCIDENTALS REQUIRED TO OFFLOAD AND INSTALL THE SYSTEM AND APPURTENANCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS DRAWING AND THE MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATIONS, UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED IN MANUFACTURERS CONTRACT.
- 2. UNIT MUST BE INSTALLED ON LEVEL BASE. MANUFACTURER RECOMMENDS A MINIMUM 6" LEVEL ROCK BASE UNLESS SPECIFIED BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO VERIFY PROJECT ENGINEERS RECOMMENDED BASE SPECIFICATIONS.
- 3. ALL PIPES MUST BE FLUSH WITH INSIDE SURFACE OF CONCRETE. (PIPES CANNOT INTRUDE BEYOND FLUSH). INVERT OF OUTFLOW PIPE MUST BE FLUSH WITH DISCHARGE CHAMBER FLOOR. ALL GAPS AROUND PIPES SHALL BE SEALED WATER TIGHT WITH A NON-SHRINK GROUT PER MANUFACTURERS STANDARD CONNECTION DETAIL AND SHALL MEET OR EXCEED REGIONAL PIPE CONNECTION STANDARDS.
- CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY AND INSTALL ALL EXTERNAL CONNECTING $4¹$ PIPES.
- CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLATION OF ALL RISERS, 5. MANHOLES, AND HATCHES. CONTRACTOR TO GROUT ALL MANHOLES AND HATCHES TO MATCH FINISHED SURFACE UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
- 6. DRIP OR SPRAY IRRIGATION REQUIRED ON ALL UNITS WITH VEGETATION.

GENERAL NOTES

- MANUFACTURER TO PROVIDE ALL MATERIALS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. \mathcal{I}
- 2. ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND CAPACITIES ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE. FOR PROJECT SPECIFIC DRAWINGS DETAILING EXACT DIMENSIONS, WEIGHTS AND ACCESSORIES PLEASE CONTACT MANUFACTURER.

THE PRODUCT DESCRIBED MAY BE PROTECTED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING US PATENTS:
7.425.262: 7.470.362: 7.674.378: 8,303,816; RELATED FOREIGN

PATENTS OR OTHER PATENTS PENDING

PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL:

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DRAWING IS THE SOLE PROPERTY OF MODULAR WETLANDS SYSTEMS. ANY REPRODUCTION IN PART OR AS A WHOLE WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF MODULAR WETLANDS SYSTEMS IS PROHIBITED.

- 1. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ALL LABOR, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND INCIDENTALS REQUIRED TO OFFLOAD AND INSTALL THE SYSTEM AND APPURTENANCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS DRAWING AND THE MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATIONS, UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED IN MANUFACTURERS CONTRACT.
- 2. UNIT MUST BE INSTALLED ON LEVEL BASE. MANUFACTURER RECOMMENDS A MINIMUM 6" LEVEL ROCK BASE UNLESS SPECIFIED BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO VERIFY PROJECT ENGINEERS RECOMMENDED BASE SPECIFICATIONS.
- 3. ALL PIPES MUST BE FLUSH WITH INSIDE SURFACE OF CONCRETE. (PIPES CANNOT INTRUDE BEYOND FLUSH). INVERT OF OUTFLOW PIPE MUST BE FLUSH WITH DISCHARGE CHAMBER FLOOR. ALL GAPS AROUND PIPES SHALL BE SEALED WATER TIGHT WITH A NON-SHRINK GROUT PER MANUFACTURERS STANDARD CONNECTION DETAIL AND SHALL MEET OR EXCEED REGIONAL PIPE CONNECTION STANDARDS.
- CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY AND INSTALL ALL EXTERNAL CONNECTING $4¹$ PIPES.
- CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLATION OF ALL RISERS, 5. MANHOLES, AND HATCHES. CONTRACTOR TO GROUT ALL MANHOLES AND HATCHES TO MATCH FINISHED SURFACE UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
- 6. DRIP OR SPRAY IRRIGATION REQUIRED ON ALL UNITS WITH VEGETATION.

GENERAL NOTES

- MANUFACTURER TO PROVIDE ALL MATERIALS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. \mathcal{I}
- ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND CAPACITIES ARE SUBJECT TO $2.$ CHANGE. FOR PROJECT SPECIFIC DRAWINGS DETAILING EXACT DIMENSIONS, WEIGHTS AND ACCESSORIES PLEASE CONTACT MANUFACTURER.

THE PRODUCT DESCRIBED MAY BE PROTECTED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING US PATENTS: 7425262 7470362 7674378 8,303,816; RELATED FOREIGN PATENTS OR OTHER PATENTS PENDING PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL:

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DRAWING IS THE SOLE PROPERTY OF MODULAR WETLANDS SYSTEMS. ANY REPRODUCTION IN PART OR AS A WHOLE WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF MODULAR WETLANDS SYSTEMS IS PROHIBITED.

INSTALLATION NOTES

- 1. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ALL LABOR, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND INCIDENTALS REQUIRED TO OFFLOAD AND INSTALL THE SYSTEM AND APPURTENANCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS DRAWING AND THE MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATIONS, UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED IN MANUFACTURERS CONTRACT.
- 2. UNIT MUST BE INSTALLED ON LEVEL BASE. MANUFACTURER RECOMMENDS A MINIMUM 6" LEVEL ROCK BASE UNLESS SPECIFIED BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO VERIFY PROJECT ENGINEERS RECOMMENDED BASE SPECIFICATIONS.
- 3. ALL PIPES MUST BE FLUSH WITH INSIDE SURFACE OF CONCRETE. (PIPES CANNOT INTRUDE BEYOND FLUSH). INVERT OF OUTFLOW PIPE MUST BE FLUSH WITH DISCHARGE CHAMBER FLOOR. ALL GAPS AROUND PIPES SHALL BE SEALED WATER TIGHT WITH A NON-SHRINK GROUT PER MANUFACTURERS STANDARD CONNECTION DETAIL AND SHALL MEET OR EXCEED REGIONAL PIPE CONNECTION STANDARDS.
- CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY AND INSTALL ALL EXTERNAL CONNECTING $4¹$ PIPES.
- 5. CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLATION OF ALL RISERS, MANHOLES, AND HATCHES. CONTRACTOR TO GROUT ALL MANHOLES AND HATCHES TO MATCH FINISHED SURFACE UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
- 6. DRIP OR SPRAY IRRIGATION REQUIRED ON ALL UNITS WITH VEGETATION.

GENERAL NOTES

- MANUFACTURER TO PROVIDE ALL MATERIALS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. \mathcal{I}
- ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND CAPACITIES ARE SUBJECT TO $2.$ CHANGE. FOR PROJECT SPECIFIC DRAWINGS DETAILING EXACT DIMENSIONS, WEIGHTS AND ACCESSORIES PLEASE CONTACT MANUFACTURER.

MWS Linear 2.0 HGL Sizing Calculations

Advanced Stormwater Biofiltration

Contents

₩

Introduction

Fill

w

- Applications
- Configurations
- Advantages
- Operation
- Orientations | Bypass
- Performance | Approvals
- Sizing
- Installation | Maintenance | Plants

The Urban Impact

For hundreds of years natural wetlands surrounding our shores have played an integral role as nature's stormwater treatment system. But as our cities grow and develop, these natural wetlands have perished under countless roads, rooftops, and parking lots.

Plant A Wetland

Without natural wetlands our cities are deprived of water purification, flood control, and land stability. Modular Wetlands and the MWS Linear re-establish nature's presence and rejuvenate water ways in urban areas.

MWS Linear

The Modular Wetland System Linear represents a pioneering breakthrough in stormwater technology as the only biofiltration system to utilize patented horizontal flow, allowing for a smaller footprint and higher treatment capacity. While most biofilters use little or no pre-treatment, the MWS Linear incorporates an advanced pre-treatment chamber that includes separation and prefilter cartridges. In this chamber sediment and hydrocarbons are removed from runoff before it enters the biofiltration chamber, in turn reducing maintenance costs and improving performance.

Applications

The MWS Linear has been successfully used on numerous new construction and retrofit projects. The system's superior versatility makes it beneficial for a wide range of stormwater and waste water applications - treating rooftops, streetscapes, parking lots, and industrial sites.

Industrial

Many states enforce strict regulations for discharges from industrial sites. The MWS Linear has helped various sites meet difficult EPA mandated effluent limits for dissolved metals and other pollutants.

Streets

Street applications can be challenging due to limited space. The MWS Linear is very adaptable, and offers the smallest footprint to work around the constraints of existing utilities on retrofit projects.

Commercial

Compared to bioretention systems, the MWS Linear can treat far more area in less space - meeting treatment and volume control requirements.

Residential

Low to high density developments can benefit from the versatile design of the MWS Linear. The system can be used in both decentralized LID design and cost-effective end-of-the-line configurations.

Parking Lots

Parking lots are designed to maximize space and the MWS Linear's 4 ft. standard planter width allows for easy integration into parking lot islands and other landscape medians.

Mixed Use

The MWS Linear can be installed as a raised planter to treat runoff from rooftops or patios, making it perfect for sustainable "live-work" spaces.

More applications are available on our website: www.ModularWetlands.com/Applications

- **Agriculture**
- Reuse
- Low Impact Development
- Waste Water

Configurations

The MWS Linear is the preferred biofiltration system of Civil Engineers across the country due to its versatile design. This highly versatile system has available "pipe-in" options on most models, along with built-in curb or grated inlets for simple integration into your stormdrain design.

Curb Type

The *Curb Type* configuration accepts sheet flow through a curb opening and is commonly used along road ways and parking lots. It can be used in sump or flow by conditions. Length of curb opening varies based on model and size.

Grate Type

The *Grate Type* configuration offers the same features and benefits as the *Curb Type* but with a grated/drop inlet above the systems pre-treatment chamber. It has the added benefit of allowing for pedestrian access over the inlet. ADA compliant grates are available to assure easy and safe access. The *Grate Type* can also be used in scenarios where runoff needs to be intercepted on both sides of landscape islands.

Vault Type

The system's patented horizontal flow biofilter is able to accept inflow pipes directly into the pre-treatment chamber, meaning the MWS Linear can be used in end-of-the-line installations. This greatly improves feasibility over typical decentralized designs that are required with other biofiltration/bioretention systems. Another benefit of the "pipe in" design is the ability to install the system downstream of underground detention systems to meet water quality volume requirements.

Downspout Type

The *Downspout Type* is a variation of the *Vault Type* and is designed to accept a vertical downspout pipe from roof top and podium areas. Some models have the option of utilizing an internal bypass, simplifying the overall design. The system can be installed as a raised planter and the exterior can be stuccoed or covered with other finishes to match the look of adjacent buildings.

Advantages & Operation

The MWS Linear is the most efficient and versatile biofiltration system on the market, and the only system with horizontal flow which improves performance, reduces footprint, and minimizes maintenance. Figure-1 and Figure-2 illustrate the invaluable benefits of horizontal flow and the multiple treatment stages.

Featured Advantages

- Horizontal Flow Biofiltration
- Greater Filter Surface Area
- Pre-Treatment Chamber
- Patented Perimeter Void Area
- **Flow Control**
- No Depressed Planter Area

Separation

Individual Media Filters

- Trash, sediment, and debris are separated before entering the pre-filter cartridges
- Designed for easy maintenance access

Pre-Filter Cartridges

- Over 25 ft² of surface area per cartridge
- Utilizes BioMediaGREEN filter material
- Removes over 80% of TSS & 90% of hydrocarbons
- Prevents pollutants that cause clogging from migrating to the biofiltration chamber

Curb Inlet

Pre-filter Cartridge

Cartridge Housing

Vertical Underdrain Manifold

1

1

2

Drain-

2

3

Perimeter Void Area

4

3

Flow Control Riser

Down Line

Fig. 2 - Top View **2x to 3x More Surface Area** Than Traditional Downward Flow Bioretention Systems.

Horizontal Flow

- Less clogging than downward flow biofilters
- Water flow is subsurface
- Improves biological filtration

Patented Perimeter Void Area

- Vertically extends void area between the walls and the WetlandMEDIA on all four sides.
- Maximizes surface area of the media for higher treatment capacity

WetlandMEDIA

- Contains no organics and removes phosphorus
- Greater surface area and 48% void space
- Maximum evapotranspiration
- High ion exchange capacity and light weight

Flow Control

- Orifice plate controls flow of water through WetlandMEDIA to a level lower than the media's capacity.
- Extends the life of the media and improves performance

Drain-Down Filter

- The Drain-Down is an optional feature that completely drains the pre-treatment chamber
- Water that drains from the pre-treatment chamber between storm events will be treated

Fig. 1

Orientations

Side-By-Side

The *Side-By-Side* orientation places the pre-treatment and discharge chamber adjacent to one another with the biofiltration chamber running parallel on either side. This minimizes the system length, providing a highly compact footprint. It has been proven useful in situations such as streets with directly adjacent sidewalks, as half of the system can be placed under that sidewalk. This orientation also offers internal bypass options as discussed below.

Bypass

Internal Bypass Weir (Side-by-Side Only)

The *Side-By-Side* orientation places the pre-treatment and discharge chambers adjacent to one another allowing for integration of internal bypass. The wall between these chambers can act as a bypass weir when flows exceed the system's treatment capacity, thus allowing bypass from the pre-treatment chamber directly to the discharge chamber.

External Diversion Weir Structure

This traditional offline diversion method can be used with the MWS Linear in scenarios where runoff is being piped to the system. These simple and effective structures are generally configured with two outflow pipes. The first is a smaller pipe on the upstream side of the diversion weir - to divert low flows over to the MWS Linear for treatment. The second is the main pipe that receives water once the system has exceeded treatment capacity and water flows over the weir

Flow By Design

This method is one in which the system is placed just upstream of a standard curb or grate inlet to intercept the first flush. Higher flows simply pass by the MWS Linear and into the standard inlet downstream.

End-To-End

The *End-To-End* orientation places the pre-treatment and discharge chambers on opposite ends of the biofiltration chamber therefore minimizing the width of the system to 5 ft (outside dimension). This orientation is perfect for linear projects and street retrofits where existing utilities and sidewalks limit the amount of space available for installation. One limitation of this orientation is bypass must be external.

DVERT Low Flow Diversion

This simple yet innovative diversion trough can be installed in existing or new curb and grate inlets to divert the first flush to the MWS Linear via pipe. It works similar to a rain gutter and is installed just below the opening into the inlet. It captures the low flows and channels them over to a connecting pipe exiting out the wall of the inlet and leading to the MWS Linear. The DVERT is perfect for retrofit and green street applications that allows the MWS Linear to be installed anywhere space is available.

Performance

The MWS Linear continues to outperform other treatment methods with superior pollutant removal for TSS, heavy metals, nutrients, hydrocarbons and bacteria. Since 2007 the MWS Linear has been field tested on numerous sites across the country. With it's advanced pre-treatment chamber and innovative horizontal flow biofilter, the system is able to effectively remove pollutants through a combination of physical, chemical, and biological filtration processes. With the same biological processes found in natural wetlands, the MWS Linear harnesses natures ability to process, transform, and remove even the most harmful pollutants.

Approvals

The MWS Linear has successfully met years of challenging technical reviews and testing from some of the most prestigious and demanding agencies in the nation, and perhaps the world.

Washington State DOE Approved

The MWS Linear is approved for General Use Level Designation (GULD) for Basic, Enhanced, and Phosphorus treatment at 1 gpm/ft 2 loading rate. The highest performing BMP on the market for all main pollutant categories.

DEQ Assignment

The Virginia Department of Environmental Quality assigned the MWS Linear, the highest phosphorus removal rating for manufactured treatment devices to meet the new Virginia Stormwater Management Program (VSMP) Technical Criteria.

MASTEP Evaluation

The University of Massachusetts at Amherst – Water Resources Research Center, issued a technical evaluation report noting removal rates up to 84% TSS, 70% Total Phosphorus, 68.5% Total Zinc, and more.

Rhode Island DEM Approved

Approved as an authorized BMP and noted to achieve the following minimum removal efficiencies: 85% TSS, 60% Pathogens, 30% Total Phosphorus for discharges to freshwater systems, and 30% Total Nitrogen for discharges to saltwater or tidal systems.
Flow Based Sizing

The MWS Linear can be used in stand alone applications to meet treatment flow requirements. Since the MWS Linear is the only biofiltration system that can accept inflow pipes several feet below the surface it can be used not only in decentralized design applications but also as a large central end-of-the-line application for maximum feasibility.

Treatment Flow Sizing Table

Volume Based Sizing

Many states require treatment of a water quality volume and do not offer the option of flow based design. The MWS Linear and its unique horizontal flow makes it the only biofilter that can be used in volume based design installed downstream of ponds, detention basins, and underground storage systems.

Treatment Volume Sizing Table

Installation

The MWS Linear is simple, easy to install, and has a space efficient design that offers lower excavation and installation costs compared to traditional tree-box type systems. The structure of the system resembles pre-cast catch basin or utility vaults and is installed in a similar fashion.

The system is delivered fully assembled for quick installation. Generally, the structure can be unloaded and set in place in 15 minutes. Our experienced team of field technicians are available to supervise installations and provide technical support.

Maintenance

Reduce your maintenance costs, man hours, and materials with the MWS Linear. Unlike other biofiltration systems that provide no pre-treatment, the MWS Linear is a self-contained treatment train which incorporates simple and effective pre-treatment.

Maintenance requirements for the biofilter itself are almost completely eliminated, as the pre-treatment chamber removes and isolates trash, sediments, and hydrocarbons. What's left is the simple maintenance of an easily accessible pre-treatment chamber that can be cleaned by hand or with a standard vac truck. Only periodic replacement of lowcost media in the pre-filter cartridges is required for long term operation and there is absolutely no need to replace expensive biofiltration media.

Plant Selection

Abundant plants, trees, and grasses bring value and an aesthetic benefit to any urban setting, but those in the MWS Linear do even more - they increase pollutant removal. What's not seen, but very important, is that below grade the stormwater runoff/flow is being subjected to nature's secret weapon: a dynamic physical, chemical, and biological process working to break down and remove non-point source pollutants. The flow rate is controlled in the MWS Linear, giving the plants more "contact time" so that pollutants are more successfully

decomposed, volatilized and incorporated into the biomass of The MWS Linear's micro/macro flora and fauna.

A wide range of plants are suitable for use in the MWS Linear, but selections vary by location and climate. View suitable plants by selecting the list relative to your project location's hardy zone.

Please visit www.ModularWetlands.com/Plants for more information and various plant lists.

SPECIFICATIONS

 MWS – Linear

 Hybrid Stormwater Filtration System

Modular Wetland Systems, Inc. www.modularwetlands.com P.O. Box 869 **P** 760-433-7640 **Oceanside, CA 92049 F 760-433-3179**

MWS – Linear

Hybrid Stormwater Filtration System

 Save valuable space with small footprint for urban sites.

attractive native and tropical landscape plants. Improve BMP aesthetics with

Reduce lifetime costs with safer and less expensive maintenance

"The MWS – Linear hybrid stormwater

innovative combination of I treatment processes. Stormwater runoff flows into the system chamber which settles out the sediments and larger suspended solids. Next the runoff is associated pollutants, including bacteria. From there runoff enters of bioretention filter in chemical, and biological mechanisms remove the remaining particulate and dissolved discharge chamber the rate of discharge is controlled by valves set to a desired rate". treatment system is described as a self contained treatment train. This system utilizes an via pipe or curb/grate type catch basin opening. Polluted runoff first encounters a screening device to remove larger pollutants and then enters a hydrodynamic separation treated by a revolutionary filter media, BioMediaGREEN that removes fines and the form of a subsurface flow vegetated gravel wetland. Within the wetland physical, pollutants. The purified runoff leaves the system via the discharge chamber. In the

Tested Pollutant Removal Efficiencies:

"Nature and Harmony Working Together in Perfect Harmony"

SPECIFICATIONS – MWS- LINEAR

manufactured by a company whom is regularly engaged in the engineering design and production of treatment systems for stormwater. Track Record: The MWS- Linear Hybrid Stormwater Treatment System is

volume based designs the MWS - Linear has the ability to treat the entire water quality volume when used with pre-storage and properly sized. Coverage: The MWS- Linear is designed to treat the water quality volume or water quality flow. For flow based design, high flow bypass is internal, for volume based design, high flow bypass is external and prior to pre-detention system. For offline

Non-Corrosive Materials: The MWS – Linear is designed with non-corrosive materials. All internal piping is SD35 PVC. Catch basin filter components, including mounting welded screens. Filtration basket screens for coarse, medium and fine filtration is $\frac{3}{4}$ " x 1 monofilament netting or fabrics shall be used in this system. Media Protective Panels are Perimeter filter structure is constructed of lightweight injection molded plastic. Mounting steel redheads. Drain down filter cover is constructed of UV protected/marine grade fiberglass and stainless steel hinge and mount. hardware, fasteners, support brackets, filtration material, and support frame are constructed of non-corrosive materials (316 stainless steel, and UV protected/marine grade fiberglass). Fasteners are stainless steel. Primary filter mesh is 316 stainless steel $\frac{3}{4}$ expanded, 10 x 10 mesh, and 35 x 35 mesh, respectively. No polypropylene, constructed of UV protected/marine grade fiberglass. Mounts are constructed of stainless steel. BioMediaGREEN is an inert rock substrate and is non-corrosive. brackets are constructed of SD40 PVC and are mounted with 3/8" diameter stainless

Weight: Each complete unit weighs approximately 29,000 to 40,000 pounds and requires a boom crane to install. Details of this are provided in the installation section of the WS-Linear Design Kit. M

Transportation: The Modular Wetland System – Linear is designed to be transported on a standard flat bed t ruck. The unit easily fits on a flat bed truck without the need of special permitting.

feet the system will shipped in modules and assembled on site. The Modular Wetland adapted to many site conditions. Runoff can enter the system through a pipe, and/or a built in curb or grate type opening. Alternative Technology Configurations: The Modular Wetland System – Linear is modular is design. Each module will be up to 22 feet long and 5 feet wide. The system can be made in lengths varying from 13 to 100s of feet long. For lengths longer than 22 System – Linear has many alternative configurations. This allows the system to be

Energy Requirements: The Modular Wetland System – Linear is completely passive and requires no external energy sources.

Buoyancy Issues: Buoyancy is only a an issue when ground water levels rise above the yards of wetland media there is no concern of floatation. As a precaution a footing can also be built into the systems concrete structure. bottom of the Modular Wetland System – Linear's concrete structure. With 8.5 cubic

compressive strength fc = 5,000 psi. Steel reinforcing will be ASTM A - C857. Structure no slippage, breaking, or tearing. All filters are warranted for a minimum of five (5) years. Durability: The structure of the box will be precast concrete. The concrete will be 28 day will support an H20 loading as indicted by AASHTO. The joint between the concrete sections will ship lap and joint sealed with ram-nek. Filter (excluding oil absorbent media) and support structures are of proven durability. The filter and mounting structures are of sufficient strength to support water, sediment, and debris loads when the filter is full, with

mechanisms to capture and filter oil and grease. A skimmer and boom system will be hydrocarbon removal abilities. Within the wetland filter biological processes capture and Oil Absorbent Media: The MWS – Linear utilizes both physical and biological positioned on the internal perimeter of the catch basin insert. The primary filtration media, BioMediaGreen, utilized in the perimeter and drain down filters, has excellent

break down oil and grease. Much of the breakdown and transformation of oil and grease is performed by natural occurring bacteria.

vault type configuration, bypass should be located prior to the pre-detention system. For peak flows that exceed internal bypass capacity, external bypass is use. Overflow Protection: The grate and curb type MWS – Linear are designed with an internal bypass consisting of two SD PVC pipes which direct high flows around the perimeter and wetland filter, directly into the discharge chamber. For the volume based

during higher flow rates for internally bypassed flows. External bypass will bypass of treatment processes. Filter Bypass: Runoff will bypass filtration (BioMediaGREEN and wetland filter) components of the MWS - Linear. The system will still provide screening and settling

net annual total suspended solids (TSS) load based on a 20-micron particle size. Annual performance from full-scale laboratory tests on BioMediaGreen and quarter-scale laboratory tests on the MWS – Linear flow based system. Pollutant Removal Efficiency: The MWS - Linear is capable of removing over 90% of the TSS removal efficiency models are based on documented removal efficiency

Sil-Co-Sil 106. Mean particle icrons

Non-Scouring: During heavy storm events the runoff bypasses perimeter and wetland filter components. The system will not re-suspend solids at design flows.

Uniqueness: The Modular Wetland System – Linear is a complete self contain ed treatment train that incorporates capture, screening, sedimentation, filtration, bioretention, high flow bypass, and flow control into a single modular structure. This system provides four stages of treatment making it the only 4 stage treatment train stormwater filtration system, therefore making it unique to the industry. Other s ystems do not incorporate all the necessary attributes to make it a complete stormwater management device as with the Modular Wetland System – Linear. Therefore, no equal exists for this system.

complete capture and treatment train stormwater management system no external pretreatment of preconditioning is necessary. Pretreatment & Preconditioning: Since the Modular Wetland System – Linear is a

SPECIFICATIONS – BioMediaGREEN

of the inert naturally occurring material this product is non-combustible and do not pose a fire hazard, stable and non-reactive, and is also biodegradable. It is stable with no known adverse environmental effects. BioMediaGREEN is a proprietary engineered filter media. Made of a unique combination

tumors. Short-term biopersistent (inhalation and intra-tracheal injection) studies have shown that the products disappear very rapidly from the lung. This product has been tested in long-term carcinogenicity studies [inhalation and intraperitoneal injection (i.p.)] with no significant increase in lung tumors or abdominal

studies and animal inhalation studies that show no relation between inhalation exposure and the development of tumors. In October 2001, IARC classified this product as Group 3, "not classifiable as to its carcinogenicity to humans". The 2001 decision was based on the latest epidemiological

The product can typically be disposed of in an ordinary landfill (local regulations may apply). If you are unsure of the regulations, contact your local Public Health Department or the local office of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

Coverage: When properly installed BioMediaGREEN Filter Blocks provide sufficient contact time, at rated flows, of passing contaminate water. The BioMediaGREEN BioMediaGREEN Filter Blocks can be used in different treatment devices, including but not limited to flume filters, trench drain filters, downspout filters, catch basin inserts, water polishing units, and hydrodynamic separators. material will capture and retain most pollutants that pass through it. The BioMediaGREEN material is made of a proprietary blend of inert substances. The

Non-Corrosive Materials: The BioMediaGreen material is made of non-corrosive materials.

slippage, breaking, or tearing. The BioMediaGREEN material has been tested through rigorous flow and loading conditions. Durability: The BioMediaGREEN material has been chosen for its proven durability, with an expected life of 2 plus years. The BioMediaGREEN material is of sufficient strength to support water, sediment, and debris loads when the media is at maximum flow; with no

Oil Absorbent Media: The BioMediaGREEN material has been proven to capture and retain hydrocarbons.

diesel, and PAHs. BioMediaGREEN Filter Blocks have the physical ability to block and filter trash and litter, grass and foliage, sediments, TSS, particulate and dissolved metals, nutrients, and bacteria. Pollutant Removal Efficiency: The BioMediaGREEN Filter Blocks are designed to capture high levels of Hydrocarbons including but not limited to oils & grease, gasoline,

created to have a very porous structure capable of selectively removing pollutants while BioMediaGREEN technology is based on a proprietary blend of synthetic inert natural substances aimed at removal of various stormwater pollutants. BioMediaGREEN was

allowing high flow through rates for water. As pollutants are captured by its structure, BioMediaGREEN captures most pollutants and maintains porosity and filtering capabilities.

large percentage of TSS, hydrocarbons, nutrients, and heavy metals. Microbial reduction efficiency will vary depending on colony size, flow rates and site specific conditions. Field and laboratory tests have confirmed the BioMediaGREEN capability to capture

Sil-Co-Sil 106. Mean particle $diameter = 19$ microns

Replacement: Removal and replacement of the blocks is simple. Remove blocks from filtration system. Replace with new block of equal size.

Bio Clean CPS A Stormwater Trash Capture Solution

OPERATION & MAINTENANCE MANUAL

5796 Armada Drive Suite 250 | Carlsbad, CA 92008 | 855.566.3938 stormwater@forterrabp.com | biocleanenvironmental.com

OPERATION & MAINTENANCE

CPS devices should be maintained by individuals who are trained in proper disposal procedures, confined space entry and traffic safety regulations. When servicing a Bio Clean CPS device be sure to follow all safety and traffic control protocols as well as wearing all proper personal protection equipment such as gloves, safety glasses, hard-hat, safety vest and work boots.

Visual Inspection

1. Begin by inspecting the inflow of the catch basin where the Bio Clean CPS device is located. Check for any obstructions to inflow of the CB unit. If any large obstructions are found, have them removed. Once the inflow inspection is completed, remove the man-hole cover for further inspection. (Note: Confined Space Entry Procedures may apply if trained personnel intend to enter the interior space of any Catch Basin. Please follow all applicable confined space entry procedures)

2. Remove the manhole cover and visually estimate the amount and types of debris found in the CB unit. Look for any visual signs of damage that may compromise the CB unit to function properly. Inspect for any standing water in the CB unit as well as for large amounts of sediment and debris surrounding the CPS device. If standing water and high sediment volume is found, remove water, sediment and debris by vacuum truck or by other debris removal methods.

Cleaning Procedures and Frequencies

1. Like all other storm water BMP's, Bio Clean CPS devices require periodic maintenance. Routine inspection and maintenance intervals for all CPS devices are typically twice per year for inspections and once per year for maintenance service. Bio Clean CPS devices may require more frequent maintenance service if the device is located in a high debris loading drainage area, such as certain downtown areas, retail/restaurant, or residential areas where a significant amount of vegetation/foliage is located. In such cases, Bio Clean CPS devices may require more frequent inspection and maintenance service, which could range from twice per year to monthly inspection and maintenance service, depending on pollutant load conditions.

2. To begin Bio Clean CPS cleaning procedures, conduct a visual inspection of the CPS device and the surrounding area to ensure a safe working environment. Setup appropriate barriers and signage as necessary to establish a work zone surrounding the catch basin. Once the work zone has been established, remove the manhole cover from the catch basin.

3. Once the manhole cover is removed from the basin the Bio Clean CPS is ready for servicing. All debris can be removed by either a vacuum truck or manually removing sediment and debris by hand.

4. Bio Clean CPS devices shall be cleaned using a pressure washer as may be necessary if any materials are found to cause occlusion or clogging of the screen.

Disposal

1. All trash and debris removed from the Bio Clean CPS unit shall be disposed of in accordance with local, state and federal regulation.

2. Solid waste disposal can be coordinated with local landfills. Liquids may need to be disposed of by wastewater treatment plant, municipal vacuum truck decant facility or approved facility.

> For Maintenance Services or Information Please Contact Us At: 760-433-7640 Or Email: info@biocleanenvironmental.com

PLAN VIEW

SECTION B-B

FT SHORT SIDE INLET CONFIGURATION

N/A = NOT AVAILABLE

 $N/A = NOT AVAILABLE$

SECTION C-C

N/A = NOT AVAILABLE

FT0404

FT0606

service to the project owner, engineer and contractor by Contech Engineered Solutions LLC or one of its affiliated companies ("Contech"). Neither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in a phicu miormano
lation supplied by missing, incomplete or inaccurate

FILTERRA OFFLINE (FT) **CONFIGURATION DETAIL**

Filterra Sizing Spreadsheet Uniform Intensity Approach Storm Intensity = 0.20 in/hr

Filterra Infiltration Rate = 175 (in/hr) Filterra Flow per Square Foot = 0.00405 (ft3/sec/ft2)

> Filterra Flow Rate, Q = 0.00405 ft3/sec x Filterra Surface Area Rational Method, $Q = C x I x A$

Site Flowrate, Q = (C x DI x DA x 43560) / (12 x3600) OR DA = (12 x 3600 x Q) / (C x 43560 x DI)

where $Q =$ Flow (ft3/sec)

- DA = Drainage Area (acres)
- $DI =$ Design Intensity (in/hr)

C = Runoff coefficient (dimensionless)

INF-2: Infiltration Trench Fact Sheet

An infiltration trench is a long, narrow, rock-filled trench with no outlet other than an overflow outlet. Runoff is stored in the void space between stones and infiltrates through the bottom and sides of the trench. Infiltration trenches provide the majority of their pollutant removal benefits through volume reduction. Pretreatment is important for limiting amounts of coarse sediment entering the trench which can clog and render the trench ineffective. *Note: if an infiltration trench is "deeper than its widest surface dimension," or includes an assemblage of perforated pipes, drain tiles, or other similar mechanisms intended to distribute runoff below the surface of the ground, it would probably be considered a "Class V Injection Well" under the federal Underground Injection Control (UIC) Program, which is regulated in California by U.S. EPA Region 9. A UIC permit may be required for such a facility (for details see* **http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/groundwater/uic-classv.html***).*

Also known as:

- *French Drains*
- *Rock Trenches*
- *Exfiltration Trenches*
- *Soak-aways*
- *Soakage Trenches*

Infiltration Trench *Source: www.dot.ca.gov*

Feasibility Screening Considerations

- Infiltration trenches shall pass infeasibility screening criteria to be considered for use
- Infiltration trenches, particularly deeper designs, may not provide significant attenuation of stormwater pollutants if underlying soils have high permeability; potential risk of groundwater contamination.
- The potential for groundwater mounding should be evaluated if depth to seasonally high groundwater (unmounded) is less than 15 feet.

Opportunity Criteria

- Soils are adequate for infiltration or can be amended to provide an adequate infiltration rate.
- Drainage area area is ≤ 5 acres and has low to moderate sediment production.
- 2-3 percent of drainage area available for infiltration (generally requires less surface area than infiltration basins and bioretention areas without underdrain).
- Space available for pretreatment (biotreatment or treatment control BMP as described below).
- Potential for groundwater contamination can be mitigated through isolation of pollutant sources, pretreatment of inflow, and/or demonstration of adequate treatment capacity of underlying soils.
- Infiltration is into native soil, or depth of engineered fill is ≤ 5 feet from the bottom of the facility to native material and infiltration into shallow fill is approved by a geotechnical professional.
- Tributary area land uses include open areas adjacent to parking lots, driveways, and buildings, and roadway medians and shoulders.

OC-Specific Design Criteria and Considerations

□ XMust comply with local, state, and federal UIC regulations if applicable; a permit may be required.

- □ X Placement of BMPs should observe geotechnical recommendations with respect to geological hazards (e.g. landslides, liquefaction zones, erosion, etc.) and set-backs (e.g., foundations, utilities, roadways, etc.)
- □ X For facilities with tributary area less than 1 acre and less than 3 foot depth, minimum separation to mounded seasonally high groundwater of 5 feet shall be observed.
- □ X For facilities with tributary area greater than 1 acre or deeper than 3 feet, minimum separation to mounded seasonally high groundwater of 10 feet shall be observed.
- □ X Minimum pretreatment should be provided upstream of the infiltration trench, and water bypassing pretreatment should not be directed to the infiltration trench.
- □ X Infiltration trenches should not be used for drainage areas with high sediment production potential unless preceded by full treatment control with a BMP effective for sediment removal.
- □ X Ponded water should not persist within 1 foot of the surface of the facility for longer than 72 hours following the end of a storm event (observation well is needed to allow observation of drain time).
- Energy dissipators should be provided at inlet and outlet to prevent erosion. $|\overline{X}|$
- An overflow device must be provided if basin is on-line. \overline{X}
- A minimum freeboard of one foot should be provided above the overflow device (for an on-line basin) or the outlet (for an off-line basin). $|\overline{X}|$
- Longitudinal trench slope should not exceed 3%. $|\overline{X}|$
- Side slopes above trench fill should not be steeper than 3:1. $|\overline{X}|$

Simple Sizing Method for Infiltration Trenches

If the Simple Design Capture Volume Sizing Method is used to size an infiltration trench, the user calculates the DCV and then designs the geometry required to draw down the DCV in 48 hours. The sizing steps are as follows:

Step 1: Determine Infiltration Basin DCV

Calculate the DCV using the Simple Design Capture Volume Sizing Method described in **Appendix III.3.1**.

Step 2: Determine the 48-hour Effective Depth

The depth of water that can be drawn down in 48 hours can be calculated using the following equation:

 d_{48} = K_{DESIGN} × SACF × 48 hours

Where:

 d_{48} = trench effective 48-hour depth, ft

 K_{DESIGN} = basin design infiltration rate, in/hr (See Appendix VII)

SACF = Surface Area Correction Factor = ranges from 1.0 (sides insignificant or not accounted) to 2.0 (sides plus bottom are 2 times the surface area of the bottom at mid depth) to account for the ratio of infiltration through the sides of the trench to the bottom footprint of the trench; should be based on anticipated trench geometry and wetted surface area at mid-depth.

This is the maximum effective depth of the trench below the overflow device to achieve drawdown in 48 hours.

Step 3: Determine the Trench Ponding Depth and Trench Depth

The depth of water stored in the ponding depth (i.e. above the trench fill) and within the trench itself should be equal or less than d_{48} . Determine the ponding depth and the trench fill depth such that:

 $d_{48} \ge (n_T \times d_T + d_P)$

Where:

 d_{48} = trench effective 48-hour depth, ft (from Step 2)

 n_T = porosity of trench fill; 0.35 may be assumed where other information is not available

 d_T = depth of trench fill, ft

 d_P = ponding depth, ft (should not exceed 1 ft)

Step 4: Calculate the Required Infiltrating Area

The required footprint area can be calculated using the following equation:

 $A = DCV / ((n_T × d_T) + d_P)$

Where:

 $A =$ required trench footprint area, sq-ft

DCV = design capture volume, cu-ft (see Step 1)

 n_T = porosity of trench fill; 0.35 may be assumed where other information is not available

 d_T = depth of trench fill, ft

 d_P = ponding depth, ft

Capture Efficiency Method for Infiltration Trenches

If BMP geometry has already been defined and deviates from the 48 hour drawdown time, the designer can use the Capture Efficiency Method for Volume-Based, Constant Drawdown BMPs (**Appendix III.3.2**) to determine the fraction of the DCV that must be provided to manage 80 percent of average annual runoff volume. This method accounts for drawdown time different than 48 hours.

Step 1: Determine the drawdown time associated with the selected trench geometry

 $DD = ((n_T \times d_T) + d_P) / (K_{DESGN} \times SACF) \times 12$

Where:

DD = time to completely drain infiltration basin ponding depth, hours

 n_T = porosity of trench fill; 0.35 may be assumed where other information is not available

 d_T = depth of trench fill, ft

 d_P = ponding depth, ft

SACF = Surface Area Correction Factor = ranges from 1.0 (sides insignificant or not accounted) to 2.0 (sides plus bottom are 2 times the surface area of the bottom at mid depth) to account for the ratio of infiltration through the sides of the trench to the bottom footprint of the trench; should be based on anticipated trench geometry and wetted surface area at mid-depth.

 K_{DESIGN} = basin design infiltration rate, in/hr (See Appendix VII)

Step 2: Determine the Required Adjusted DCV for this Drawdown Time

Use the Capture Efficiency Method for Volume-Based, Constant Drawdown BMPs (**Appendix III.3.2**) to calculate the required fraction of the DCV the basin must hold to achieve 80 percent capture of average annual stormwater runoff volume based on the trench drawdown time calculated above.

Step 3: Determine the Trench Infiltrating Area Needed

The required footprint area can be calculated using the following equation:

 $A = DCV / ((n_T \times d_T) + d_P)$

Where:

- $A =$ required trench footprint area, sq-ft
- DCV = design capture volume, cu-ft (see Step 1)
- n_T = porosity of trench fill; 0.35 may be assumed where other information is not available
- d_T = depth of trench fill, ft
- d_P = ponding depth, ft

If the area required is greater than the selected trench area, adjust surface area or adjust ponding and/or trench depth and recalculate required area until the required area is achieved.

Configuration for Use in a Treatment Train

- Infiltration trenches may be preceeded in a treatment train by HSCs in the drainage area, which would reduce the required volume of the trench.
- Infiltration trenches must be preceeded by some form of pretreatment which may be biotreatment or a treatment control BMP; if an approved biotreatment BMP is used as pretreatment, the overflow from the infiltration trench may be considered "biotreated" for the purposes of meeting the LID requirments
- The overflow or bypass from an infiltration trench can be routed to a downstream biotreatment BMP and/or a treatment control BMP if additional control is required to achieve LID or treatment control requirements

Additional References for Design Guidance

- CASQA BMP Handbook for New and Redevelopment: **http://www.cabmphandbooks.com/Documents/Development/TC-10.pdf**
- \bullet SMC LID Manual (pp 141): **http://www.lowimpactdevelopment.org/guest75/pub/All_Projects/SoCal_LID_Manual/SoCalL ID_Manual_FINAL_040910.pdf**
- Los Angeles County Stormwater BMP Design and Maintenance Manual, Chapter 6: **http://dpw.lacounty.gov/DES/design_manuals/StormwaterBMPDesignandrainage areaintenance.pdf**
- City of Portland Stormwater Management Manual (Soakage Trenches, page 2-82) **http://www.portlandonline.com/bes/index.cfm?c=47954&a=202883**
- San Diego County LID Handbook Appendix 4 (Factsheet 1): **http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dplu/docs/LID-Appendices.pdf**

INF-5: Drywell

Drywells are similar to infiltration trenches in their design and function, but generally have a greater depth to footprint area ratio and can be installed at relatively large depths. A drywell is a subsurface storage facility designed to temporarily store and infiltrate runoff, primarily from rooftops or other impervious areas with low pollutant loading. A drywell may be either a small excavated pit filled with aggregate or a prefabricated storage chamber or pipe segment. Drywells can be used to reduce the volume of runoff from roofs and other relatively clean surfaces. While roofs are generally not a significant source of stormwater pollutants, they can be a major contributor of runoff volumes. Therefore, drywells can indirectly enhance water quality by reducing the water quality design volume that must be treated by other, downstream stormwater management facilities. *Note: A drywell is considered a "Class V Injection Wells" under the federal Underground Injection Control (UIC) Program regulated in California by U.S. EPA Region 9. A UIC*

Also known as:

- ¾ *Soakaway Pits*
- ¾ *Infiltration Sumps*
- ¾ *Rock Sumps*
- ¾ *Underground Injection Controls*

Drywell Source: K&A Enterprises

permit may be required (for details see http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/groundwater/uic-classv.html).

Feasibility Screening Considerations

- x Drywells shall pass infiltration infeasibility screening criteria (**TGD Section 2.4.2.4**) to be considered for use.
- Dry wells provide a more direct pathway for stormwater to groundwater, therefore pose a greater risk to groundwater quality than surface infiltration systems.

Opportunity Criteria

- Drywells may be used to infiltrate roof runoff, either directly or from the overflow from a cistern.
- Soils are adequate for infiltration or can be amended to provide an adequate infiltration rate.
- Space available for pretreatment (biotreatment or treatment control BMP as described below).
- The drywell must be located in native soil; over-excavated by at least one foot in depth and replaced uniformly without compaction.
- Potential for groundwater contamination can be mitigated through isolation of pollutant sources, pretreatment of inflow, and/or demonstration of adequate treatment capacity of underlying soils.
- x Infiltration is into native soil, or depth of engineered fill is ≤ 5 feet from the bottom of the facility to native material and infiltration into fill is approved by a geotechnical professional.

OC-Specific Design Criteria and Considerations

- Must comply with local, state, and federal UIC regulations; a permit may be required. **X**
- Minimum set-backs from foundations and slopes should be observed **X**

Sizing Criteria for Drywells

Drywell sizing is highly site-specific. Sizing calculations shall demonstrate via the methods described in **Appendix III** or via project-specific methods that the system captures and fully discharges the DCV within 48 hours following the end of precipitation, or captures and infiltrates 80 percent of average annual runoff volume.

Configuration for Use in a Treatment Train

- Drywells may be preceded in a treatment train by HSCs in the drainage area, which would reduce the required volume of the drywell.
- Drywells treating any areas other than roof tops must be preceded by a robust biotreatment or conventional treatment capable of addressing all potentially generated pollutants.
- Drywells may be used in conjunction with other infiltration BMPs to increase the infiltration capacity of the entire treatment train system.

Additional References for Design Guidance

- x Stormwater Management in Western Washington (Volume III: Hydrologic Analysis and Flow Control Design BMPs) **http://www.ecy.wa.gov/pubs/0510031.pdf**
- Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD) Stormwater Technical Manual, Chapter 4: **http://www.laschools.org/employee/design/fs-studies-andreports/download/white_paper_report_material/Storm_Water_Technical_Manual_2009-optred.pdf?version_id=76975850**
- City of Portland Stormwater Management Manual (Drywell, page 2-87) **http://www.portlandonline.com/bes/index.cfm?c=47954&a=202883**
- San Diego County LID Handbook Appendix 4 (Factsheet 25): **http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dplu/docs/LID-Appendices.pdf**
- City of Santa Barbara Storm Water BMP Guidance Manual, Chapter 6: **http://www.santabarbaraca.gov/NR/rdonlyres/91D1FA75-C185-491E-A882- 49EE17789DF8/0/Manual_071008_Final.pdf**

BIO-7: Proprietary Biotreatment

Proprietary biotreatment devices are devices that are manufactured to mimic natural systems such as bioretention areas by incorporating plants, soil, and microbes engineered to provide treatment at higher flow rates or volumes and with smaller footprints than their natural counterparts. Incoming flows are typically filtered through a planting media (mulch, compost, soil, plants, microbes, etc.) and either infiltrated or collected by an underdrain and delivered to the storm water conveyance system. Tree box filters are an increasingly common type of proprietary biotreatment device that are installed at curb level and filled with a bioretention type soil. For low to moderate flows they operate similarly to bioretention systems and are bypassed during high flows. Tree box filters are highly adaptable solutions that can be used in all types of development and in all types of soils but are especially applicable to dense urban parking lots, street, and roadways.

Also known as:

- *Catch basin planter box*
- *Bioretention vault*
- *Tree box filter*

Proprietary biotreatment *Source: http://www.americastusa.com /index.php/filterra/*

Feasibility Screening Considerations

 Proprietary biotreatment devices that are unlined may cause incidental infiltration. Therefore, an evaluation of site conditions should be conducted to evaluate whether the BMP should include an impermeable liner to avoid infiltration into the subsurface.

Opportunity Criteria

□

□

□

- Drainage areas of 0.25 to 1.0 acres.
- Land use may include commercial, residential, mixed use, institutional, and subdivisions. Proprietary biotreatment facilities may also be applied in parking lot islands, traffic circles, road shoulders, and road medians.
- Must not adversely affect the level of flood protection provided by the drainage system.

OC-Specific Design Criteria and Considerations

Frequent maintenance and the use of screens and grates to keep trash out may decrease the likelihood of clogging and prevent obstruction and bypass of incoming flows.

Consult proprietors for specific criteria concerning the design and performance.

Proprietary biotreatment may include specific media to address pollutants of concern. However, for proprietary device to be considered a biotreatment device the media must be capable of supporting rigorous growth of vegetation.

Proprietary systems must be acceptable to the reviewing agency. Reviewing agencies shall have the discretion to request performance information. Reviewing agencies shall have the discretion to deny the use of a proprietary BMP on the grounds of performance, maintenance considerations, or other relevant factors.

□ In right of way areas, plant selection should not impair traffic lines of site. Local jurisdictions may also limit plant selection in keeping with landscaping themes.

Computing Sizing Criteria for Proprietary Biotreatment Device

- Proprietary biotreatment devices can be volume based or flow-based BMPs.
- Volume-based proprietary devices should be sized using the Simple Design Capture Volume Sizing Method described in **Appendix III.3.1** or the Capture Efficiency Method for Volume-Based, Constant Drawdown BMPs described in **Appendix III.3.2**.
- The required design flowrate for flow-based proprietary devices should be computed using the Capture Efficiency Method for Flow-based BMPs described in **Appendix III.3.3)**.

In South Orange County, the provided ponding plus pore volume must be checked to demonstrate that it is greater than 0.75 of the remaining DCV that this BMP is designed to address. Many propretary biotreatment BMPs will not be able to meet the definition of "biofiltration" that applies in South Orange County. See Section III.7 and Worksheet SOC-1.

Additional References for Design Guidance

- Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD) Stormwater Technical Manual, Chapter 4: **http://www.laschools.org/employee/design/fs-studies-andreports/download/white_paper_report_material/Storm_Water_Technical_Manual_2009-optred.pdf?version_id=76975850**
- Los Angeles County Stormwater BMP Design and Maintenance Manual, Chapter 9: **http://dpw.lacounty.gov/DES/design_manuals/StormwaterBMPDesignandMaintenance.pdf**
- Santa Barbara BMP Guidance Manual, Chapter 6: **http://www.santabarbaraca.gov/NR/rdonlyres/91D1FA75-C185-491E-A882- 49EE17789DF8/0/Manual_071008_Final.pdf**

Street Sweeping and Vacuuming

Description and Purpose

Street sweeping and vacuuming includes use of self-propelled and walk-behind equipment to remove sediment from streets and roadways, and to clean paved surfaces in preparation for final paving. Sweeping and vacuuming prevents sediment from the project site from entering storm drains or receiving waters.

Suitable Applications

Sweeping and vacuuming are suitable anywhere sediment is tracked from the project site onto public or private paved streets and roads, typically at points of egress. Sweeping and vacuuming are also applicable during preparation of paved surfaces for final paving.

Limitations

Sweeping and vacuuming may not be effective when sediment is wet or when tracked soil is caked (caked soil may need to be scraped loose).

Implementation

- Controlling the number of points where vehicles can leave the site will allow sweeping and vacuuming efforts to be focused, and perhaps save money.
- Inspect potential sediment tracking locations daily. \blacksquare
- Visible sediment tracking should be swept or vacuumed on a daily basis.

Objectives

× **Secondary Objective**

Targeted Constituents

Potential Alternatives

None

- Do not use kick brooms or sweeper attachments. These tend to spread the dirt rather than remove it.
- If not mixed with debris or trash, consider incorporating the removed sediment back into Е the project

Costs

SE-7

Rental rates for self-propelled sweepers vary depending on hopper size and duration of rental. Expect rental rates from $$58/hour$ (3 vd³ hopper) to \$88/hour (9 vd³ hopper), plus operator costs. Hourly production rates vary with the amount of area to be swept and amount of sediment. Match the hopper size to the area and expect sediment load to minimize time spent dumping.

Inspection and Maintenance

- Inspect BMPs prior to forecast rain, daily during extended rain events, after rain events, weekly during the rainy season, and at two-week intervals during the non-rainy season.
- When actively in use, points of ingress and egress must be inspected daily. E
- When tracked or spilled sediment is observed outside the construction limits, it must be E removed at least daily. More frequent removal, even continuous removal, may be required in some jurisdictions.
- Be careful not to sweep up any unknown substance or any object that may be potentially п hazardous.
- Adjust brooms frequently; maximize efficiency of sweeping operations. п
- After sweeping is finished, properly dispose of sweeper wastes at an approved dumpsite.

References

Stormwater Quality Handbooks - Construction Site Best Management Practices (BMPs) Manual, State of California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), November 2000.

Labor Surcharge and Equipment Rental Rates, State of California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), April 1, 2002 – March 31, 2003.

Site Design & Landscape Planning SD-10

Design Objectives

- Maximize Infiltration ☑
- **Provide Retention** ☑
- ∇ Slow Runoff
- Minimize Impervious Land ☑ Coverage

Prohibit Dumping of Improper **Materials**

Contain Pollutants

Collect and Convey

Description

Each project site possesses unique topographic, hydrologic, and vegetative features, some of which are more suitable for development than others. Integrating and incorporating appropriate landscape planning methodologies into the project design is the most effective action that can be done to minimize surface and groundwater contamination from stormwater.

Approach

Landscape planning should couple consideration of land suitability for urban uses with consideration of community goals and projected growth. Project plan designs should conserve natural areas to the extent possible, maximize natural water storage and infiltration opportunities, and protect slopes and channels.

Suitable Applications

Appropriate applications include residential, commercial and industrial areas planned for development or redevelopment.

Design Considerations

Design requirements for site design and landscapes planning should conform to applicable standards and specifications of agencies with jurisdiction and be consistent with applicable General Plan and Local Area Plan policies.

Designing New Installations

Begin the development of a plan for the landscape unit with attention to the following general principles:

- Formulate the plan on the basis of clearly articulated community goals. Carefully identify conflicts and choices between retaining and protecting desired resources and community growth.
- Map and assess land suitability for urban uses. Include the following landscape features in \blacksquare the assessment: wooded land, open unwooded land, steep slopes, erosion-prone soils, foundation suitability, soil suitability for waste disposal, aquifers, aquifer recharge areas, wetlands, floodplains, surface waters, agricultural lands, and various categories of urban land use. When appropriate, the assessment can highlight outstanding local or regional resources that the community determines should be protected (e.g., a scenic area, recreational area, threatened species habitat, farmland, fish run). Mapping and assessment should recognize not only these resources but also additional areas needed for their sustenance.

Project plan designs should conserve natural areas to the extent possible, maximize natural water storage and infiltration opportunities, and protect slopes and channels.

Conserve Natural Areas during Landscape Planning

If applicable, the following items are required and must be implemented in the site layout during the subdivision design and approval process, consistent with applicable General Plan and Local Area Plan policies:

- Cluster development on least-sensitive portions of a site while leaving the remaining land in п a natural undisturbed condition.
- Limit clearing and grading of native vegetation at a site to the minimum amount needed to build lots, allow access, and provide fire protection.
- Maximize trees and other vegetation at each site by planting additional vegetation, clustering tree areas, and promoting the use of native and/or drought tolerant plants.
- Promote natural vegetation by using parking lot islands and other landscaped areas. п
- Preserve riparian areas and wetlands.

Maximize Natural Water Storage and Infiltration Opportunities Within the Landscape Unit

- Promote the conservation of forest cover. Building on land that is already deforested affects basin hydrology to a lesser extent than converting forested land. Loss of forest cover reduces interception storage, detention in the organic forest floor layer, and water losses by evapotranspiration, resulting in large peak runoff increases and either their negative effects or the expense of countering them with structural solutions.
- Maintain natural storage reservoirs and drainage corridors, including depressions, areas of × permeable soils, swales, and intermittent streams. Develop and implement policies and

regulations to discourage the clearing, filling, and channelization of these features. Utilize them in drainage networks in preference to pipes, culverts, and engineered ditches.

Evaluating infiltration opportunities by referring to the stormwater management manual for the jurisdiction and pay particular attention to the selection criteria for avoiding groundwater contamination, poor soils, and hydrogeological conditions that cause these facilities to fail. If necessary, locate developments with large amounts of impervious surfaces or a potential to produce relatively contaminated runoff away from groundwater recharge areas.

Protection of Slopes and Channels during Landscape Design

- Convey runoff safely from the tops of slopes.
- Avoid disturbing steep or unstable slopes.
- Avoid disturbing natural channels. 鹽
- Stabilize disturbed slopes as quickly as possible. ۰
- Vegetate slopes with native or drought tolerant vegetation.
- Control and treat flows in landscaping and/or other controls prior to reaching existing natural drainage systems.
- Stabilize temporary and permanent channel crossings as quickly as possible, and ensure that increases in run-off velocity and frequency caused by the project do not erode the channel.
- Install energy dissipaters, such as riprap, at the outlets of new storm drains, culverts, conduits, or channels that enter unlined channels in accordance with applicable specifications to minimize erosion. Energy dissipaters shall be installed in such a way as to minimize impacts to receiving waters.
- Line on-site conveyance channels where appropriate, to reduce erosion caused by increased п flow velocity due to increases in tributary impervious area. The first choice for linings should be grass or some other vegetative surface, since these materials not only reduce runoff velocities, but also provide water quality benefits from filtration and infiltration. If velocities in the channel are high enough to erode grass or other vegetative linings, riprap, concrete, soil cement, or geo-grid stabilization are other alternatives.
- Consider other design principles that are comparable and equally effective. \blacksquare

Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WOMP, etc.) define "redevelopment" in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. The definition of "redevelopment" must be consulted to determine whether or not the requirements for new development apply to areas intended for redevelopment. If the definition applies, the steps outlined under "designing new installations" above should be followed.

Site Design & Landscape Planning SD-10

Redevelopment may present significant opportunity to add features which had not previously been implemented. Examples include incorporation of depressions, areas of permeable soils, and swales in newly redeveloped areas. While some site constraints may exist due to the status of already existing infrastructure, opportunities should not be missed to maximize infiltration, slow runoff, reduce impervious areas, disconnect directly connected impervious areas.

Other Resources

A Manual for the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, May 2002.

Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington, Washington State Department of Ecology, August 2001.

Model Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) for San Diego County, Port of San Diego, and Cities in San Diego County, February 14, 2002.

Model Water Quality Management Plan (WOMP) for County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District, and the Incorporated Cities of Orange County, Draft February 2003.

Ventura Countywide Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures, July 2002.

Roof Runoff Controls

Design Objectives

- Maximize Infiltration ☑
- ⊠ **Provide Retention**
- ∇ Slow Runoff

Minimize Impervious Land Coverage

Prohibit Dumping of Improper **Materials**

 $\boxed{\triangleright}$ Contain Pollutants

Collect and Convey

Description

Various roof runoff controls are available to address stormwater that drains off rooftops. The objective is to reduce the total volume and rate of runoff from individual lots, and retain the pollutants on site that may be picked up from roofing materials and atmospheric deposition. Roof runoff controls consist of directing the roof runoff away from payed areas and mitigating flow to the storm drain system through one of several general approaches: cisterns or rain barrels; dry wells or infiltration trenches; pop-up emitters, and foundation planting. The first three approaches require the roof runoff to be contained in a gutter and downspout system. Foundation planting provides a vegetated strip under the drip line of the roof.

Approach

Design of individual lots for single-family homes as well as lots for higher density residential and commercial structures should consider site design provisions for containing and infiltrating roof runoff or directing roof runoff to vegetative swales or buffer areas. Retained water can be reused for watering gardens, lawns, and trees. Benefits to the environment include reduced demand for potable water used for irrigation, improved stormwater quality, increased groundwater recharge, decreased runoff volume and peak flows, and decreased flooding potential.

Suitable Applications

Appropriate applications include residential, commercial and industrial areas planned for development or redevelopment.

Design Considerations

Designing New Installations

Cisterns or Rain Barrels

One method of addressing roof runoff is to direct roof downspouts to cisterns or rain barrels. A cistern is an above ground storage vessel with either a manually operated valve or a permanently open outlet. Roof runoff is temporarily stored and then released for irrigation or infiltration between storms. The number of rain

barrels needed is a function of the rooftop area. Some low impact developers recommend that every house have at least 2 rain barrels, with a minimum storage capacity of 1000 liters. Roof barrels serve several purposes including mitigating the first flush from the roof which has a high volume, amount of contaminants, and thermal load. Several types of rain barrels are commercially available. Consideration must be given to selecting rain barrels that are vector proof and childproof. In addition, some barrels are designed with a bypass valve that filters out grit and other contaminants and routes overflow to a soak-away pit or rain garden.

If the cistern has an operable valve, the valve can be closed to store stormwater for irrigation or infiltration between storms. This system requires continual monitoring by the resident or grounds crews, but provides greater flexibility in water storage and metering. If a cistern is provided with an operable valve and water is stored inside for long periods, the cistern must be covered to prevent mosquitoes from breeding.

A cistern system with a permanently open outlet can also provide for metering stormwater runoff. If the cistern outlet is significantly smaller than the size of the downspout inlet (say $\frac{1}{4}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ inch diameter), runoff will build up inside the cistern during storms, and will empty out slowly after peak intensities subside. This is a feasible way to mitigate the peak flow increases caused by rooftop impervious land coverage, especially for the frequent, small storms.

Dry wells and Infiltration Trenches

Roof downspouts can be directed to dry wells or infiltration trenches. A dry well is constructed by excavating a hole in the ground and filling it with an open graded aggregate, and allowing the water to fill the dry well and infiltrate after the storm event. An underground connection from the downspout conveys water into the dry well, allowing it to be stored in the voids. To minimize sedimentation from lateral soil movement, the sides and top of the stone storage matrix can be wrapped in a permeable filter fabric, though the bottom may remain open. A perforated observation pipe can be inserted vertically into the dry well to allow for inspection and maintenance.

In practice, dry wells receiving runoff from single roof downspouts have been successful over long periods because they contain very little sediment. They must be sized according to the amount of rooftop runoff received, but are typically 4 to 5 feet square, and 2 to 3 feet deep, with a minimum of 1-foot soil cover over the top (maximum depth of 10 feet).

To protect the foundation, dry wells must be set away from the building at least 10 feet. They must be installed in solids that accommodate infiltration. In poorly drained soils, dry wells have very limited feasibility.

Infiltration trenches function in a similar manner and would be particularly effective for larger roof areas. An infiltration trench is a long, narrow, rock-filled trench with no outlet that receives stormwater runoff. These are described under Treatment Controls.

Pop-up Drainage Emitter

Roof downspouts can be directed to an underground pipe that daylights some distance from the building foundation, releasing the roof runoff through a pop-up emitter. Similar to a pop-up irrigation head, the emitter only opens when there is flow from the roof. The emitter remains flush to the ground during dry periods, for ease of lawn or landscape maintenance.

Foundation Planting

Landscape planting can be provided around the base to allow increased opportunities for stormwater infiltration and protect the soil from erosion caused by concentrated sheet flow coming off the roof. Foundation plantings can reduce the physical impact of water on the soil and provide a subsurface matrix of roots that encourage infiltration. These plantings must be sturdy enough to tolerate the heavy runoff sheet flows, and periodic soil saturation.

Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WQMP, etc.) define "redevelopment" in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. The definition of "redevelopment" must be consulted to determine whether or not the requirements for new development apply to areas intended for redevelopment. If the definition applies, the steps outlined under "designing new installations" above should be followed.

Supplemental Information

Examples

- City of Ottawa's Water Links Surface Water Quality Protection Program
- City of Toronto Downspout Disconnection Program
- City of Boston, MA, Rain Barrel Demonstration Program

Other Resources

Hager, Marty Catherine, Stormwater, "Low-Impact Development", January/February 2003. www.stormh2o.com

Low Impact Urban Design Tools, Low Impact Development Design Center, Beltsville, MD. www.lid-stormwater.net

Start at the Source, Bay Area Stormwater Management Agencies Association, 1999 Edition

Efficient Irrigation

Design Objectives

- Maximize Infiltration \overline{M}
- ⊠ **Provide Retention**
- ☑ Slow Runoff
	- Minimize Impervious Land Coverage Prohibit Dumping of Improper **Materials**

Contain Pollutants

Collect and Convey

Description

Irrigation water provided to landscaped areas may result in excess irrigation water being conveyed into stormwater drainage systems.

Approach

Project plan designs for development and redevelopment should include application methods of irrigation water that minimize runoff of excess irrigation water into the stormwater conveyance system.

Suitable Applications

Appropriate applications include residential, commercial and industrial areas planned for development or redevelopment. (Detached residential single-family homes are typically excluded from this requirement.)

Design Considerations

Designing New Installations

The following methods to reduce excessive irrigation runoff should be considered, and incorporated and implemented where determined applicable and feasible by the Permittee:

- Employ rain-triggered shutoff devices to prevent irrigation after precipitation. 鹽
- Design irrigation systems to each landscape area's specific water requirements.
- Include design featuring flow reducers or shutoff valves ш triggered by a pressure drop to control water loss in the event of broken sprinkler heads or lines.
- Implement landscape plans consistent with County or City water conservation resolutions, which may include provision of water sensors, programmable irrigation times (for short cycles), etc.

- Design timing and application methods of irrigation water to minimize the runoff of excess irrigation water into the storm water drainage system.
- Group plants with similar water requirements in order to reduce excess irrigation runoff and п promote surface filtration. Choose plants with low irrigation requirements (for example, native or drought tolerant species). Consider design features such as:
	- Using mulches (such as wood chips or bar) in planter areas without ground cover to minimize sediment in runoff
	- Installing appropriate plant materials for the location, in accordance with amount of sunlight and climate, and use native plant materials where possible and/or as recommended by the landscape architect
	- Leaving a vegetative barrier along the property boundary and interior watercourses, to act as a pollutant filter, where appropriate and feasible
	- Choosing plants that minimize or eliminate the use of fertilizer or pesticides to sustain growth
- Employ other comparable, equally effective methods to reduce irrigation water runoff.

Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WQMP, etc.) define "redevelopment" in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. The definition of "redevelopment" must be consulted to determine whether or not the requirements for new development apply to areas intended for redevelopment. If the definition applies, the steps outlined under "designing new installations" above should be followed.

Other Resources

A Manual for the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, May 2002.

Model Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) for San Diego County, Port of San Diego, and Cities in San Diego County, February 14, 2002.

Model Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) for County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District, and the Incorporated Cities of Orange County, Draft February 2003.

Ventura Countywide Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures, July 2002.

Storm Drain Signage

Design Objectives

Maximize Infiltration **Provide Retention**

Slow Runoff

Minimize Impervious Land Coverage

Prohibit Dumping of Improper ☑ **Materials**

Contain Pollutants

Collect and Convey

Description

Waste materials dumped into storm drain inlets can have severe impacts on receiving and ground waters. Posting notices regarding discharge prohibitions at storm drain inlets can prevent waste dumping. Storm drain signs and stencils are highly visible source controls that are typically placed directly adjacent to storm drain inlets.

Approach

The stencil or affixed sign contains a brief statement that prohibits dumping of improper materials into the urban runoff conveyance system. Storm drain messages have become a popular method of alerting the public about the effects of and the prohibitions against waste disposal.

Suitable Applications

Stencils and signs alert the public to the destination of pollutants discharged to the storm drain. Signs are appropriate in residential, commercial, and industrial areas, as well as any other area where contributions or dumping to storm drains is likely.

Design Considerations

Storm drain message markers or placards are recommended at all storm drain inlets within the boundary of a development project. The marker should be placed in clear sight facing toward anyone approaching the inlet from either side. All storm drain inlet locations should be identified on the development site map.

Designing New Installations

The following methods should be considered for inclusion in the project design and show on project plans:

Provide stenciling or labeling of all storm drain inlets and catch basins, constructed or modified, within the project area with prohibitive language. Examples include "NO DUMPING

- DRAINS TO OCEAN" and/or other graphical icons to discourage illegal dumping.
- Post signs with prohibitive language and/or graphical icons, which prohibit illegal dumping at public access points along channels and creeks within the project area.

Note - Some local agencies have approved specific signage and/or storm drain message placards for use. Consult local agency stormwater staff to determine specific requirements for placard types and methods of application.

Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WOMP, etc.) define "redevelopment" in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. If the project meets the definition of "redevelopment", then the requirements stated under "designing new installations" above should be included in all project design plans.

Additional Information

Maintenance Considerations

Legibility of markers and signs should be maintained. If required by the agency with jurisdiction over the project, the owner/operator or homeowner's association should enter into a maintenance agreement with the agency or record a deed restriction upon the property title to maintain the legibility of placards or signs.

Placement

- Signage on top of curbs tends to weather and fade.
- Signage on face of curbs tends to be worn by contact with vehicle tires and sweeper brooms.

Supplemental Information

Examples

Most MS4 programs have storm drain signage programs. Some MS4 programs will provide stencils, or arrange for volunteers to stencil storm drains as part of their outreach program.

Other Resources

A Manual for the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, May 2002.

Model Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) for San Diego County, Port of San Diego, and Cities in San Diego County, February 14, 2002.

Model Water Quality Management Plan (WOMP) for County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District, and the Incorporated Cities of Orange County, Draft February 2003.

Ventura Countywide Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures, July 2002.
Description

Trash storage areas are areas where a trash receptacle (s) are located for use as a repository for solid wastes. Stormwater runoff from areas where trash is stored or disposed of can be polluted. In addition, loose trash and debris can be easily transported by water or wind into nearby storm drain inlets, channels, and/or creeks. Waste handling operations that may be sources of stormwater pollution include dumpsters, litter control, and waste piles.

Approach

This fact sheet contains details on the specific measures required to prevent or reduce pollutants in stormwater runoff associated with trash storage and handling. Preventative measures including enclosures, containment structures, and impervious pavements to mitigate spills, should be used to reduce the likelihood of contamination.

Suitable Applications

Appropriate applications include residential, commercial and industrial areas planned for development or redevelopment. (Detached residential single-family homes are typically excluded from this requirement.)

Design Considerations

Design requirements for waste handling areas are governed by Building and Fire Codes, and by current local agency ordinances and zoning requirements. The design criteria described in this fact sheet are meant to enhance and be consistent with these code and ordinance requirements. Hazardous waste should be handled in accordance with legal requirements established in Title 22, California Code of Regulation.

Wastes from commercial and industrial sites are typically hauled by either public or commercial carriers that may have design or access requirements for waste storage areas. The design criteria in this fact sheet are recommendations and are not intended to be in conflict with requirements established by the waste hauler. The waste hauler should be contacted prior to the design of your site trash collection areas. Conflicts or issues should be discussed with the local agency.

Designing New Installations

Trash storage areas should be designed to consider the following structural or treatment control **BMPs:**

- Design trash container areas so that drainage from adjoining n roofs and pavement is diverted around the area(s) to avoid run-on. This might include berming or grading the waste handling area to prevent run-on of stormwater.
- Make sure trash container areas are screened or walled to prevent off-site transport of trash.

Design Objectives

Maximize Infiltration

Provide Retention

Slow Runoff

Minimize Impervious Land Coverage

Prohibit Dumping of Improper **Materials**

 \boxtimes Contain Pollutants

Collect and Convey

- Use lined bins or dumpsters to reduce leaking of liquid waste.
- Provide roofs, awnings, or attached lids on all trash containers to minimize direct precipitation and prevent rainfall from entering containers.
- Pave trash storage areas with an impervious surface to mitigate spills. П
- Do not locate storm drains in immediate vicinity of the trash storage area.
- Post signs on all dumpsters informing users that hazardous materials are not to be disposed of therein.

Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WQMP, etc.) define "redevelopment" in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. The definition of "redevelopment" must be consulted to determine whether or not the requirements for new development apply to areas intended for redevelopment. If the definition applies, the steps outlined under "designing new installations" above should be followed.

Additional Information

Maintenance Considerations

The integrity of structural elements that are subject to damage (i.e., screens, covers, and signs) must be maintained by the owner/operator. Maintenance agreements between the local agency and the owner/operator may be required. Some agencies will require maintenance deed restrictions to be recorded of the property title. If required by the local agency, maintenance agreements or deed restrictions must be executed by the owner/operator before improvement plans are approved.

Other Resources

A Manual for the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, May 2002.

Model Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) for San Diego County, Port of San Diego, and Cities in San Diego County, February 14, 2002.

Model Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) for County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District, and the Incorporated Cities of Orange County, Draft February 2003.

Ventura Countywide Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures, July 2002.

Attachment D TGD Worksheets & Figures

Table 2.7: Infiltration BMP Feasibility Worksheet

Table 2.7: Infiltration BMP Feasibility Worksheet (continued)

Table 2.7: Infiltration BMP Feasibility Worksheet (continued)

DMA 1

Worksheet D: Capture Efficiency Method for Flow-Based BMPs OFFSITE -E Ball Road

Worksheets from Orange County Technical Guidance Document (5-19-2011) See TGD for instructions and/or examples related to these worksheets www.ocwatersheds.com/WQMP.aspx

Worksheet D: Capture Efficiency Method for Flow-Based BMPs OFFSITE -S Anaheim Blvd

Worksheets from Orange County Technical Guidance Document (5-19-2011) See TGD for instructions and/or examples related to these worksheets www.ocwatersheds.com/WQMP.aspx

Worksheet D: Capture Efficiency Method for Flow-Based BMPs OFFSITE -S Anaheim Blvd

Supporting Data

Briefly describe infiltration test and provide reference to test forms:

Per Geotechnical Feasibility Report prepared by Alta California Geotechnical Inc. dated June 7, 2021:

"Based on state-provided information historic high groundwater is greater than 50 feet below the ground surface (CDMG, 1997). A nearby well (State Well No. 338111N1179107W001) indicates that the groundwater was approximately 162 feet below the ground surface in October of 1996 (CDWR, 2021).

The onsite soils are primarily well consolidated mixtures of gravel, sand, silt and clay. These types of soils can possess moderate to low infiltration rates. Additionally, based on the anticipated unsuitable soil and compaction recommendations presented herein, the final distribution of the soils will include a compacted fill layer across the majority of the site. Compaction of the onsite soils will reduce the infiltration rates. Historic groundwater is greater than 50-feet."

Note: The minimum combined adjustment factor shall not be less than 2.0 and the maximum combined adjustment factor shall not exceed 9.0.

> *Worksheets from Orange County Technical Guidance Document (5-19-2011) See TGD for instructions and/or examples related to these worksheets www.ocwatersheds.com/WQMP.aspx*

Worksheet J: Summary of Harvested Water Demand and Feasibility

Worksheets from Orange County Technical Guidance Document (5-19-2011) See TGD for instructions and/or examples related to these worksheets www.ocwatersheds.com/WQMP.aspx

Attachment E Operations & Maintenance

Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Plan

Water Quality Management Plan For

TR 19140 E. Ball Road & S. Anaheim Blvd. Anaheim, CA 92805

APN: 126-602-02,-04,-22,-31,-29,-33,-35

Owner/ Developer:

Toffoli Investments, LLC 3 Hughes Irvine, CA 92618 Contact: Alan Toffoli, Principal (949) 768-2535

Homeowner's Association:

To be determined

Required Permits

This section must list any permits required for the implementation, operation, and maintenance of the BMPs. Possible examples are:

• No required permits are needed for the implementation, operation, and maintenance of the previously listed BMPs.

Forms to Record the BMP Implementation, Maintenance, and Inspection

The form that will be used to record the implementation, maintenance, and inspection of the BMPs is attached.

Recordkeeping

All records must be maintained for at least five (5) years and must be made available for review upon request.

Notice to Owner:

The property is currently owned by Toffoli Investments, LLC. The Owner will be responsible for the long-term maintenance of the project's storm water facilities and conformance to this WQMP after construction is complete.

The owner is aware of the maintenance responsibilities of the proposed BMPs. A funding mechanism is in place to maintain the BMPs at the frequency stated in the WQMP.

RECORD OF BMP IMPLEMENTATION, MAINTENANCE, AND INSPECTION

Today's Date:

Name of Person Performing Activity:

(Printed)

Signature:

Operation & Maintenance Plan - Attachments

- Torrent Resources Service + Maintenance
- ADS Isolator Row O&M Manual
- Modular Wetlands System, Maintenance Guidelines

Drywells • Storm Drains • Catch Basins • Culverts • Headwalls • Underground Storage Tanks • Jet-Rod SERVICE + MAINTENANCE

NOT THE NEW WATER FEATURE YOU HAD IN MIND FOR YOUR PROPERTY?

TORRENT RESOURCES STORMWATER SOLUTIONS

The Need for Maintenance

Like any other part of your infrastructure, drywells and other storm drain components need periodic maintenance to function at optimum levels. Over time, silt, sediment and debris build up in the system and reduce the drainage capability. This leads to water standing for periods of time longer than the state requirement. It also inconveniences customers at retail locations and residential areas. With Arizona leading the nation in cases of West Nile Virus and other diseases carried by mosquitoes, standing water is a particular concern. A lack of maintenance can eventually lead to costly repair to the system as well as the basins and parking lots in which they inhabit.

The Cleaning Process

The process starts with a thorough inspection of the drywells and other storm drain components. If the systems need to be serviced, it is imperative they are cleaned by someone familiar with the interworking of a drywell and compliant with the strict regulations of the ADEQ. The highly qualified Torrent Resources team offers:

www.CleanMyDrywell.com SCHEDULE YOUR FREE INITIAL DRAINAGE SITE REVIEW TODAY.

• Certified Confined Space Entry • Disposal at an Approved Facility • Top of Line Equipment• Licensed, Bonded and Insured

Drywell Restoration If your property has a non-functioning drywell, Torrent Resources has a

solution. By adding a new drywell to your existing system, we effectively convert the single chamber drywell into a new state-of-the-art MaxWell® Plus system (shown in the illustration to the left).

The existing structure remains to receive water and trap additional debris while directing the treated flow to the new drywell. This retrofit makes the entire system far superior to the original design.

Industry Leader

As the Valley's #1 drywell contractor with over 65,000 systems installed, Torrent Resources has defined drywell design, installation, service and maintenance. Our drainage systems are specified by most Civil Engineers and Municipalities in the Southwest. With the largest, state-of-the-art equipment in the market, experienced construction crews and professional field supervisors, we are your experts when it comes to drainage solutions.

SERVICE AND MAINTENANCE IS ONE EASY CALL OR CLICK AWAY

Torrent Resources Incorporated 1509 E. Elwood Street Phoenix, Arizona 85040 Phone: 602-268-0785 • Fax: 602-268-0820 www.cleanmydrywell.com

ROC070465A • ROC047067B-4 • ADWR 363

Isolator® **Row O&M Manual**

The Isolator® Row

Introduction

An important component of any Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan is inspection and maintenance. The StormTech Isolator Row is a technique to inexpensively enhance Total Suspended Solids (TSS) and Total Phosphorus (TP) removal with easy access for inspection and maintenance.

The Isolator Row

The Isolator Row is a row of StormTech chambers, either SC-160, SC-310, SC-310-3, SC-740, DC-780, MC-3500 or MC-7200 models, that is surrounded with filter fabric and connected to a closely located manhole for easy access. The fabric-wrapped chambers provide for sediment settling and filtration as stormwater rises in the Isolator Row and passes through the filter fabric. The open bottom chambers and perforated sidewalls (SC-310, SC- 310-3 and SC-740 models) allow stormwater to flow both vertically and horizontally out of the chambers. Sediments are captured in the Isolator Row protecting the adjacent stone and chambers storage areas from sediment accumulation.

ADS geotextile fabric is placed between the stone and the Isolator Row chambers. The woven geotextile provides a media for stormwater filtration, a durable surface for maintenance, prevents scour of the underlying stone and remains intact during high pressure jetting. A non-woven fabric is placed over the chambers to provide a filter media for flows passing through the chamber's sidewall. The non-woven fabric is not required over the SC-160, DC-780, MC-3500 or MC-7200 models as these chambers do not have perforated side walls.

The Isolator Row is designed to capture the "first flush" runoff and offers the versatility to be sized on a volume basis or a flow-rate basis. An upstream manhole provides access to the Isolator Row and includes a high/low concept such that stormwater flow rates or volumes that exceed the capacity of the Isolator Row bypass through a manifold to the other chambers. This is achieved with an elevated bypass manifold or a high-flow weir. This creates a differential between the Isolator Row row of chambers and the manifold to the rest of the system, thus allowing for settlement time in the Isolator Row. After Stormwater flows through the Isolator Row and into the rest of the chamber system it is either exfiltrated into the soils below or passed at a controlled rate through an outlet manifold and outlet control structure.

The Isolator Row may be part of a treatment train system. The treatment train design and pretreatment device selection by the design engineer is often driven by regulatory requirements. Whether pretreatment is used or not, StormTech recommend using the Isolator Row to minimize maintenance requirements and maintenance costs.

Note: *See the StormTech Design Manual for detailed information on designing inlets for a StormTech system, including the Isolator Row.*

Looking down the Isolator Row from the manhole opening, woven geotextile Fabric is shown between the chamber and stone base.

StormTech Isolator Row with Overflow Spillway (*not to scale*)

Isolator Row Inspection/Maintenance

Inspection

The frequency of inspection and maintenance varies by location. A routine inspection schedule needs to be established for each individual location based upon site specific variables. The type of land use (i.e. industrial, commercial, residential), anticipated pollutant load, percent imperviousness, climate, etc. all play a critical role in determining the **actual frequency of inspection and maintenance practices.**

At a minimum, StormTech recommends annual inspections. Initially, the Isolator Row should be inspected every 6 months for the first year of operation. For subsequent years, the inspection should be adjusted based upon previous observation of sediment deposition.

The Isolator Row incorporates a combination of standard manhole(s) and strategically located inspection ports (as needed). The inspection ports allow for easy access to the system from the surface, eliminating the need to perform a confined space entry for inspection purposes.

If upon visual inspection it is found that sediment has accumulated, a stadia rod should be inserted to determine the depth of sediment. When the average depth of sediment exceeds 3 inches throughout the length of the Isolator Row, clean-out should be performed.

Maintenance

The Isolator Row was designed to reduce the cost of periodic maintenance. By "isolating" sediments to just one row, costs are dramatically reduced by eliminating the need to clean out each row of the entire storage bed. If inspection indicates the potential need for maintenance, access is provided via a manhole(s) located on the end(s) of the row for cleanout. If entry into the manhole is required, please follow local and OSHA rules for a confined space entries.

Maintenance is accomplished with the JetVac process. The JetVac process utilizes a high pressure water nozzle to propel itself down the Isolator Row while scouring and suspending sediments. As the nozzle is retrieved, the captured pollutants are flushed back into the manhole for vacuuming. Most sewer and pipe maintenance companies have vacuum/JetVac combination vehicles. Selection of an appropriate JetVac nozzle will improve maintenance efficiency. Fixed nozzles designed for culverts or large diameter pipe cleaning are preferable. Rear facing jets with an effective spread of at least 45" are best. JetVac reels can vary in length. For ease of maintenance, ADS recommends Isolator Row lengths up to 200" (61 m). **The JetVac process shall only be performed on StormTech Isolator Rows that have AASHTO class 1 woven geotextile (as specified by StormTech) over their angular base stone.**

StormTech Isolator Row (not to scale)

Note: *Non-woven fabric is only required over the inlet pipe connection into the end cap for SC-160LP, DC-780, MC-3500 and MC-7200 chamber models and is not required over the entire Isolator Row.*

Isolator Row Step By Step Maintenance Procedures

Step 1

Inspect Isolator Row for sediment.

A) Inspection ports (if present)

- i. Remove lid from floor box frame
- ii. Remove cap from inspection riser
- iii. Using a flashlight and stadia rod,measure depth of sediment and record results on maintenance log.
- iv. If sediment is at or above 3 inch depth, proceed to Step 2. If not, proceed to Step 3.

B) All Isolator Row

- i. Remove cover from manhole at upstream end of Isolator Row
- ii. Using a flashlight, inspect down Isolator Row through outlet pipe
	- 1. Mirrors on poles or cameras may be used to avoid a confined space entry
	- 2. Follow OSHA regulations for confined space entry if entering manhole
- iii. If sediment is at or above the lower row of sidewall holes (approximately 3 inches), proceed to Step 2. If not, proceed to Step 3.

Step 2

Clean out Isolator Row using the JetVac process.

A) A fixed floor cleaning nozzle with rear facing nozzle spread of 45 inches or more is preferable

- B) Apply multiple passes of JetVac until backflush water is clean
- C) Vacuum manhole sump as required

Step 3

Replace all caps, lids and covers, record observations and actions.

Step 4

Inspect & clean catch basins and manholes upstream of the StormTech system.

Sample Maintenance Log

ADS "Terms and Conditions of Sale" are available on the ADS website, www.ads-pipe.com The ADS logo and the Green Stripe are registered trademarks of Advanced Drainage Systems, Inc.
Stormtech® and the Isolator® Row are registered trademarks of StormTech, Inc.
© 2022 Advanced Drainage Systems, Inc. #11011 2 **adspipe.com** 800-821-6710

Maintenance Guidelines for Modular Wetland System - Linear

Maintenance Summary

- o Remove Trash from Screening Device average maintenance interval is 6 to 12 months.
	- **•** (5 minute average service time).
- o Remove Sediment from Separation Chamber average maintenance interval is 12 to 24 months.
	- (10 minute average service time).
- \circ Replace Cartridge Filter Media average maintenance interval 12 to 24 months.
	- (10-15 minute per cartridge average service time).
- o Replace Drain Down Filter Media average maintenance interval is 12 to 24 months.
	- **Syminute average service time.**
- \circ Trim Vegetation average maintenance interval is 6 to 12 months.
	- (Service time varies).

System Diagram

 Inflow Pipe (optional) Access to screening device, separation chamber and cartridge filter Access to drain down filter Pre-Treatment Chamber Biofiltration Chamber Discharge Chamber **Outflow** Pipe

Maintenance Procedures

Screening Device

- 1. Remove grate or manhole cover to gain access to the screening device in the Pre-Treatment Chamber. Vault type units do not have screening device. Maintenance can be performed without entry.
- 2. Remove all pollutants collected by the screening device. Removal can be done manually or with the use of a vacuum truck. The hose of the vacuum truck will not damage the screening device.
- 3. Screening device can easily be removed from the Pre-Treatment Chamber to gain access to separation chamber and media filters below. Replace grate or manhole cover when completed.

Separation Chamber

- 1. Perform maintenance procedures of screening device listed above before maintaining the separation chamber.
- 2. With a pressure washer spray down pollutants accumulated on walls and cartridge filters.
- 3. Vacuum out Separation Chamber and remove all accumulated pollutants. Replace screening device, grate or manhole cover when completed.

Cartridge Filters

- 1. Perform maintenance procedures on screening device and separation chamber before maintaining cartridge filters.
- 2. Enter separation chamber.
- 3. Unscrew the two bolts holding the lid on each cartridge filter and remove lid.
- 4. Remove each of 4 to 8 media cages holding the media in place.
- 5. Spray down the cartridge filter to remove any accumulated pollutants.
- 6. Vacuum out old media and accumulated pollutants.
- 7. Reinstall media cages and fill with new media from manufacturer or outside supplier. Manufacturer will provide specification of media and sources to purchase.
- 8. Replace the lid and tighten down bolts. Replace screening device, grate or manhole cover when completed.

Drain Down Filter

- 1. Remove hatch or manhole cover over discharge chamber and enter chamber.
- 2. Unlock and lift drain down filter housing and remove old media block. Replace with new media block. Lower drain down filter housing and lock into place.
- 3. Exit chamber and replace hatch or manhole cover.

Maintenance Notes

- 1. Following maintenance and/or inspection, it is recommended the maintenance operator prepare a maintenance/inspection record. The record should include any maintenance activities performed, amount and description of debris collected, and condition of the system and its various filter mechanisms.
- 2. The owner should keep maintenance/inspection record(s) for a minimum of five years from the date of maintenance. These records should be made available to the governing municipality for inspection upon request at any time.
- 3. Transport all debris, trash, organics and sediments to approved facility for disposal in accordance with local and state requirements.
- 4. Entry into chambers may require confined space training based on state and local regulations.
- 5. No fertilizer shall be used in the Biofiltration Chamber.
- 6. Irrigation should be provided as recommended by manufacturer and/or landscape architect. Amount of irrigation required is dependent on plant species. Some plants may require irrigation.

Maintenance Procedure Illustration

Screening Device

The screening device is located directly under the manhole or grate over the Pre-Treatment Chamber. It's mounted directly underneath for easy access and cleaning. Device can be cleaned by hand or with a vacuum truck.

Separation Chamber

The separation chamber is located directly beneath the screening device. It can be quickly cleaned using a vacuum truck or by hand. A pressure washer is useful to assist in the cleaning process.

Cartridge Filters

The cartridge filters are located in the Pre-Treatment chamber connected to the wall adjacent to the biofiltration chamber. The cartridges have removable tops to access the individual media filters. Once the cartridge is open media can be easily removed and replaced by hand or a vacuum truck.

Drain Down Filter

The drain down filter is located in the Discharge Chamber. The drain filter unlocks from the wall mount and hinges up. Remove filter block and replace with new block.

Trim Vegetation

Vegetation should be maintained in the same manner as surrounding vegetation and trimmed as needed. No fertilizer shall be used on the plants. Irrigation per the recommendation of the manufacturer and or landscape architect. Different types of vegetation requires different amounts of irrigation.

Additional Notes:

Cleaning and Maintenance Report Modular Wetlands System

Modular Wetland System - Linear (MWS-Linear) Maintenance Schedule

Modular Wetland System (MWS) – LINEAR Maintenance Cost (per acre)

Attachment F Attachment F USDA Web Soil Survey & Exhibit GeoTracker Exhibit

GREENLAW DEVELOPMENT May 4, 2022 18301 Von Karmen Ave., Ste 250 **Project Number 1‐0394** Irvine, California 92612

Attention: Mr. Alan Toffoli

Subject: *SUMMARY OF INFILTRATION TESTING* 1200 S. Anaheim Boulevard City of Anaheim, California

Reference: See Appendix A

Dear Mr. Toffoli:

Presented herein is Alta California Geotechnical, Inc.'s (Alta's) summary of infiltration testing for the proposed residential project located at 1200 S. Anaheim Boulevard, in the City of Anaheim, California. The scope of this testing is based on Alta's subsurface investigation and typical WQMP requirements. Presented below is a summary of pertinent groundwater information, our infiltration testing, and conclusions and recommendations based on the data.

Site Geotechnical Conditions

Based on our literature review and subsurface investigation, the site is underlain by undocumented artificial fill and alluvium. Groundwater was not encountered during our subsurface investigation. Based on state‐provided information, the historic‐high groundwater is greater than 50 feet below the ground surface (CDMG, 1997). Groundwater data from two nearby wells, State Well Numbers: 04S10W22G001S and 04S10W22E001S, at elevations 145‐ft and 138‐ft, respectively, showed that groundwater was greater than 50 feet below the ground surface in 2010. Our borings conducted as part of our investigation did not encounter groundwater in the upper 50‐feet. Per WQMP design requirements, we have reviewed public groundwater information from reasonably close wells with relatively recent data, focusing on

Project Number 1-0394 **Page 2** Page 2 May 4, 2022

readings in recent years. A summary of the data is presented in Table A and locations are shown on Figure A.

Project Number 1-0394 **Page 3** May 4, 2022

The wells indicate the groundwater surface throughout the region has been significantly lowered from the historic high. The drawdown is likely attributable to both the pervasive drought in Southern California and the extensive groundwater pumping throughout this heavily urbanized area. The high groundwater elevations in the vicinity range from 14 to 49. Based on a site ground elevation of 150 and a recent high groundwater level of 49, we recommend that a groundwater depth of 101‐feet (elevation 49) be utilized in the WQMP design.

Project Number 1-0394 **Page 4** Page 4 May 4, 2022

Infiltration Testing

Two infiltration tests were recently conducted at locations shown on Plate 1, identified as P‐1 and P-2. These tests were conducted in 10 and 15-feet deep borings, excavated with a hollow stem auger drill rig, utilizing percolation test methods in general conformance with the County of Orange Guidance Document for WQMP.

A summary of the test results is presented below in Table B. The results do not include a factor of safety. The data is presented in Appendix C.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on our testing, use of infiltration BMP's are feasible at the subject site at the locations tested. The WQMP designer should review the test results and determine if the proposed BMP system is appropriate for the site. A factor of safety should be applied to the results that is in accordance with City of Anaheim requirements.

From a geotechnical perspective, allowing storm water to infiltrate the onsite soil in concentrated areas increases the potential for settlement, liquefaction, and water‐related Project Number 1‐0394 Page 5 May 4, 2022

damage to structures/improvements, such as wet slabs or pumping subgrade. Care should be taken in designing systems that control the storm water as much as possible. A methodology for dealing with overflow should the infiltration system become clogged or full should be developed and maintained.

It is recommended that the Project Geotechnical Consultant observe the BMP excavations during construction to verify that the infiltration rates presented herein are appropriate. If it is determined that rates may be variable, additional infiltration testing should be undertaken.

Limitations

The conclusions and recommendations presented in this report are based on our infiltration test results and experience with similar soil conditions on similar projects. Materials adjacent to or beneath those observed may have different characteristics than those observed, and no precise representations are made as to the quality or extent of the materials not observed.

If you have any questions or should you require any additional information, please contact the undersigned at (951) 509‐7090. Alta appreciates the opportunity to provide geotechnical consulting services for your project.

Sincerely, Alta California Geotechnical, Inc.

 $J_{2341}/I_{\text{corouette}}$

LOGAN MARØUETTE Civil Engineering Associate

 X

SCOTT A. GRAY/RGE 2857 Reg. Exp.: 12‐31‐22 Registered Geotechnical Engineer President

Distribution: (1) Addressee

SAG:DAM 1‐0394, May 4, 2022 (Infiltration Testing, 1200 South Anaheim Blvd)

APPENDIX A

REFERENCES

APPENDIX A

References

- 1. Alta California Geotechnical, Inc., 2021, Geotech Feasibility Report, Ball Ave and Anaheim Boulevard, City of Anaheim, County of Orange, California, dated June 7, 2021 (Project No. 1‐0394).
- 2. California Division of Mines and Geology, 1997, Seismic Hazard Zone Report for the Anaheim and Newport 7.5‐Minute Quadrangles, Orange County, California, Report 03.
- 3. California Department of Water Resources, Water Data Library (WDL) Station Map: https://wdl.water.ca.gov/waterdatalibrary/.

APPENDIX B

Boring Logs

GEOTECHNICAL BORING LOG SHEET 1 OF 1

GEOTECHNICAL BORING LOG

SHEET 1 OF 1

APPENDIX C

INFILTRATION TEST DATA

United States Department of **Agriculture**

Natural **Resources Conservation** Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for Orange County and Part of Riverside County, California

Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/ portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.

┑

MAP LEGEND MAP INFORMATION

imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

Orange County and Part of Riverside County, California

163—Metz loamy sand

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hcn8 *Elevation:* 30 to 2,500 feet *Mean annual precipitation:* 20 inches *Mean annual air temperature:* 57 to 61 degrees F *Frost-free period:* 200 to 340 days *Farmland classification:* Prime farmland if irrigated

Map Unit Composition

Metz and similar soils: 80 percent *Minor components:* 20 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Metz

Setting

Landform: Alluvial fans *Landform position (two-dimensional):* Toeslope *Landform position (three-dimensional):* Side slope *Down-slope shape:* Concave *Across-slope shape:* Convex *Parent material:* Alluvium derived from mixed

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 17 inches: loamy sand *H2 - 17 to 63 inches:* stratified sand to fine sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 2 percent *Depth to restrictive feature:* More than 80 inches *Drainage class:* Somewhat excessively drained *Runoff class:* Low *Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat):* Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr) *Depth to water table:* More than 80 inches *Frequency of flooding:* None *Frequency of ponding:* None *Calcium carbonate, maximum content:* 5 percent *Maximum salinity:* Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm) *Available water capacity:* Low (about 5.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 2s *Land capability classification (nonirrigated):* 4e *Hydrologic Soil Group:* B *Ecological site:* R019XD035CA *Hydric soil rating:* No

Minor Components

San emigdio, fine sandy loam *Percent of map unit:* 4 percent *Hydric soil rating:* No

Hueneme, fine sandy loam

Percent of map unit: 4 percent *Hydric soil rating:* No

Riverwash

Percent of map unit: 4 percent *Landform:* Fans *Hydric soil rating:* Yes

Corralitos, loamy sand

Percent of map unit: 4 percent *Hydric soil rating:* No

Metz, mod fine substratum

Percent of map unit: 4 percent *Hydric soil rating:* No

164—Metz loamy sand, moderately fine substratum

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hcn9 *Elevation:* 0 to 600 feet *Mean annual precipitation:* 12 to 17 inches *Mean annual air temperature:* 63 to 65 degrees F *Frost-free period:* 320 to 365 days *Farmland classification:* Prime farmland if irrigated

Map Unit Composition

Metz and similar soils: 75 percent *Minor components:* 25 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Metz

Setting

Landform: Alluvial fans, flood plains *Landform position (three-dimensional):* Tread *Down-slope shape:* Linear *Across-slope shape:* Linear *Parent material:* Mixed alluvium derived from igneous, metamorphic and sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 17 inches: loamy sand

C1 - 17 to 40 inches: stratified sand to sandy clay loam

C2 - 40 to 46 inches: silty clay loam

C3 - 46 to 60 inches: stratified sand to sandy clay loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 2 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches *Drainage class:* Somewhat excessively drained *Runoff class:* Negligible *Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat):* Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr) *Depth to water table:* More than 80 inches *Frequency of flooding:* Rare *Frequency of ponding:* None *Calcium carbonate, maximum content:* 5 percent *Maximum salinity:* Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm) *Available water capacity:* Low (about 5.7 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified *Land capability classification (nonirrigated):* 4e *Hydrologic Soil Group:* B *Ecological site:* R019XD035CA *Hydric soil rating:* No

Minor Components

Metz, loamy sand

Percent of map unit: 10 percent *Landform:* Alluvial fans, flood plains *Landform position (three-dimensional):* Tread *Down-slope shape:* Linear *Across-slope shape:* Linear *Hydric soil rating:* No

Hueneme, fine sandy loam

Percent of map unit: 5 percent *Landform:* Alluvial fans *Landform position (three-dimensional):* Tread *Down-slope shape:* Linear *Across-slope shape:* Linear *Hydric soil rating:* No

San emigdio

Percent of map unit: 5 percent *Landform:* Alluvial fans *Landform position (three-dimensional):* Tread *Down-slope shape:* Linear *Across-slope shape:* Linear *Hydric soil rating:* No

Corralitos, loamy sand

Percent of map unit: 5 percent *Landform:* Alluvial fans *Landform position (three-dimensional):* Tread *Down-slope shape:* Linear *Across-slope shape:* Linear *Hydric soil rating:* No

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http:// www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http:// www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2_053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http:// www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf

Attachment G Notice of Transfer

Water Quality Management Plan Notice of Transfer of Responsibility

Submission of this Notice of Transfer of Responsibility constitutes notice to the City of Anaheim that responsibility for the Water Quality Management Plan ("WQMP") for the subject property identified below, and implementation of that plan, is being transferred from the Previous Owner (and his/ her agent) of the site (or a portion thereof) to the New Owner, as further described below.

I. Previous Owner/ Previous Responsibility Party Information

II. Information about Site Transferred

III. New Owner/ New Responsible Party Information

IV. Ownership Transfer Information

Note: When the Previous Owner is transferring a Site that is a portion of a larger project/ parcel addressed by the WQMP, as opposed to the entire project/ parcel addressed by the WQMP, the General Description of the Site transferred and the remainder of the project/ parcel no transferred shall be set forth as maps attached to this notice. These maps shall show those portions of the **project/ parcel addressed by the WQMP that are transferred to the New Owner (the Transferred Site), those portions retained by the Previous Owner, and those portions previously transferred by the Previous Owner. Those portions retained by the Previous Owner shall be labeled "Previous Owner," and those portions previously transferred by the Previous Owner shall be labeled as "Previously Transferred."**

V. Purpose of Notice of Transfer

The purposes of this Notice of Transfer of Responsibility are: 1) to track transfer of responsibility for implementation and amendment of the WQMP when property to which the WQMP is transferred from the Previous Owner to the New Owner, and 2) to facilitate notification to a transferee of property subject to a WQMP that such New Owner is now the Responsible Party of record for the WQMP for this portions of the site that it owns.

VI. Certifications

A. Previous Owner

I certify under penalty of law that I am no longer the owner of the Transferred Site as described in Section II above. I have provided the New Owner with a copy of the WQMP applicable to the **Transferred Site that the New Owner is acquiring from the New Owner.**

B. New Owner

I certify under penalty of law that I am the owner of the Transferred Site, as described in Section II **above, that I have been provided a copy of the WQMP, and that I have informed myself and understand the New Owner's responsibilities related to the WQMP, its implementation, and Best Management Practices associated with it. I understand that by signing this notice, the New Owner is accepting all ongoing responsibilities for implementation and amendment of the WQMP for the Transferred Site, which the New Owner has acquired from the Previous Owner.**

Attachment H Final Conditions of Approval

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

F2: Hydrology/Drainage Study

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PRELIMINARY HYDROLOGY AND DRAINAGE STUDY E. BALL ROAD & S. ANAHEIM BOULEVARD ANAHEIM, CA TTM 19140 OTH 2021-01375

Project Address:

1200 S. Anaheim Boulevard Anaheim, CA 92805

Prepared For:

Toffoli Investments, LLC. 3 Hughes Irvine, CA 92618 Alan Toffoli (949) 768-2535

Prepared By:

C&V Consulting Inc. 9830 Irvine Center Drive Irvine, CA 92618 Dane McDougall, P.E. (949) 916-3800

Prepared: March 2022 Revised: June 2022

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

APPROVED

Cesar Morales, Associate Engineer

6/27/2022, 8:56:27 AM ANAH-OTH2021-01375 Cesar Morales

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION PAGE **1.0** SITE DESCRIPTION 4 **2.0** EXISTING CONDITIONS 4 **3.0** PURPOSE OF STUDY 5
 4.0 PROPOSED CONDITIONS 5 **4.0 PROPOSED CONDITIONS 5.0** METHODOLOGY 6 **6.0** RESULTS 6 **7.0** CONCLUSION 7 **8.0** DESIGN ASSUMPTIONS 7 **9.0** REFERENCES 8

APPENDIX A: Hydrology Maps Existing Conditions Preliminary Hydrology Map Proposed Conditions Preliminary Hydrology Map

APPENDIX B: Hydrology Calculations

Existing Conditions Hydrology Calculations (10-year, 25-year, & 100-year Storm Events) Proposed Conditions Hydrology Calculations (10-year, 25-year, & 100-year Storm Events)

APPENDIX C: OCPW Technical Guidance Document (TGD) Soils Map

 APPENDIX D: Hydraulic Calculations Catch Basin Sizing Pipe Sizing

APPENDIX E: Reference Material

 Orange County Drainage Facilities Maps Pages from the City of Anaheim Master Plan of Storm Drainage for Anaheim-Barber City Channel Tributary Area

 Preliminary Hydrology and Drainage Study for E. Ball Road & S. Anaheim Boulevard Anaheim, CA, 92805

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND SIGNATURE PAGE

This Preliminary Hydrology and Drainage Study was prepared by C&V Consulting, Inc. under the supervision of Dane McDougall, P.E.

President, C&V Consulting, Inc.

6/2/2022

1.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

The proposed development encompasses nine (9) parcels consisting of 10.68 gross acres and 10.13 net acres as shown on the ALTA prepared by C&V Consulting, Inc. The site is "L" in shape and is located at the southeast corner of E. Ball Road & S. Anaheim Boulevard, in the City of Anaheim, County of Orange. The site is bound by E. Ball Road to the north, S. Anaheim Boulevard to the west, & S. Claudina Street to the east and existing vacant and occupied commercial developments to the south and east.

2.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS

The existing site consists of various vacant and occupied commercial buildings and parking lots serving auto repair/rental shops, an Anaheim Resort Transportation Facility, and storage containers. The existing area was determined to be nearly 100% impervious based on the ALTA/Topographic Survey prepared by C&V Consulting, Inc. dated July 2019.

The existing drainage pattern of the site consists of five drainage area labeled X1 through X5 on the Pre-Existing condition hydrology map located in Appendix A. All site drainage either enters E. Ball Rd. or S. Anaheim Blvd.

The northeasterly portion of the site (Area X1) generally sheet flows to E. Ball Road, traveling via onsite ribbon gutter and discharging through an existing parkway culvert. Once stormwater enters E. Ball Rd it flows in a westerly direction in E. Ball Rd. until it is intercepted by a curb inlet catch basin located on the south side of E. Ball Rd. at the intersection of Technology Cir. and Ball Rd. This catch basin is connected to an existing 51" City of Anaheim RCP. Flows are then directed through the Anaheim Barber City Channel, ultimately converging to the Bolsa Chica Channel discharging into Huntington Harbor.

The remaining portions of the site (Areas X2, X3, X4, and X5) all discharge into different location along S. Anaheim Blvd. The northwesterly portion of the site (Area X2) generally sheet flows over the existing parking lot and enters S. Anaheim Blvd. at this portion of the site's driveway entrance on S. Anaheim Blvd. There are no existing drainage facilities in the portion of the site. Once flows from Area X2 enter S Anaheim Blvd. they are intercepted by an existing curb inlet catch basin located approximately 200' south of the intersection of E. Ball Rd. and S Anaheim Blvd. Area X3, sheet flows over the vacant parking lot in northerly direction until it reaches an existing ribbon in Area X4. Once flows enter the ribbon gutter in Area X4, they are conveyed in westerly direction until they sheet flow over the existing driveway in this portion of the site. Once stormwater from Areas X3 and X4 enter S. Anaheim Blvd. they flow in a southerly direction until they are intercepted by an existing catch basin located approximately 750' south of the intersection of E. Ball Rd. and S Anaheim Blvd. The southerly section of the site (Area X5) sheet flows over the existing asphalt pavement in a westerly direction until it ultimately enters S Anaheim Blvd. over the driveway entrance at this portion of the site. Once in S Anaheim Blvd. it flows in a southerly direction until it is intercepted by a catch basin at the intersection of E Palais Rd. and S Anaheim Blvd. south of the project site.

All runoff entering S. Anaheim Boulevard right of way flows in the southerly direction and is captured by existing City of Anaheim catch basins. These catch basins are connected to an existing 36" City of Anaheim RCP that flows in a southerly direction until it converges with an existing 96" City of Anaheim RCP draining in the westerly direction along Katella Ave. Flows are then directed through the Anaheim Barber City Channel, ultimately converging to the Bolsa Chica Channel discharging into Huntington Harbor.

The Orange County Flood Control District (OCFCD) Drainage Facilities Maps were utilized to verify the drainage pattern of site runoff and tributary offsite run-on. The topographic survey was utilized to identify existing onsite high points and overall site conveyance of storm water runoff. Refer to Appendix D for OCFCD drainage maps.

Per the City of Anaheim, Master Plan of Storm Drainage for Anaheim Barber City Channel Tributary Area, June 2009, the project site is located within Drainage Basin 22. Drainage Basin 22 has a tributary drainage area of approximately 940 acres consisting of one drainage area.

Refer to the "Existing Conditions Hydrology Map" located within Appendix A of this study for additional information.

3.0 PURPOSE OF STUDY

This preliminary hydrology and drainage study will estimate the amount of stormwater runoff tributary to E. Ball Road & S. Anaheim Boulevard, generated by the project site in the existing and proposed conditions. This study will determine whether detention or other peak flow mitigation methods will be required by comparing the proposed and existing condition peak flow rates for the 10-, 25-, and 100-year storm events.

4.0 PROPOSED CONDITIONS

The proposed development consists of one (1) lot subdivision at approximately 10.13 net acres and will consist of 248 residential townhomes and flats and a retail space. The proposed development includes drive aisles, parking, landscaping, walkways, patios, and common open space areas. The site will be accessible via four (4) proposed driveway entrances/exits along E. Ball Road & S. Anaheim Boulevard. During preliminary engineering the proposed impervious and pervious area calculations were estimated using the AES 2014 land use type: Condominiums was utilized to represent the anticipated pervious coverage of the proposed site. During final engineering all impervious areas will be calculated in more detail to refine all peak flow rates.

The proposed site will be graded to convey stormwater as surface flow towards proposed curb-inlet catch basins, located at relative low points on-site. The site will mirror the existing drainage pattern as closely as possible, with a portion draining into E. Ball Rd. and a portion draining into S. Anaheim Blvd. The curb inlet type Modular Wetland Systems (MWS) will pre-treat low flows for quality treatment and allow larger storm events to bypass the MWS and enter the detention and infiltration treatment system. Flows from the northeastern portion of the site be directed to one low point along E. Ball Road and another along S Claudina St. The curb inlet MWS along Claudina St. driveway entrance will convey flows via storm drain pipe to a drywell system located at the E. Ball Rd. driveway entrance. At this point flows from the E. Ball Rd. curb inlet MWS will confluence at the drywell system. Flows will mitigated out of the site via a proposed parkway culvert into E Ball Rd. once the underground detention and infiltration system is at full capacity and flows from this portion enter E. Ball Rd., they will follow the historic drainage pattern.

Similarly, the western portion of site will convey flows in a westerly direction to eight (8) curb inlet MWS located at the end of drive aisles adjacent to S Anaheim Blvd. All eight of these MWS will be connected via storm drain pipe to an underground detention and infiltration trench located at the southerly driveway entrance into S Anaheim Blvd. Like the northeastern portion of the site flows will then be mitigated out of the site via a proposed parkway culvert into S. Anaheim Blvd. Once flows enter E. Ball Rd. they will follow the historic drainage pattern.

In the event the storm drain system becomes clogged or overwhelmed by extraordinary storm events, emergency overflow will be directed as sheet flow towards E. Ball Road & S. Anaheim Boulevard and overflow into the right-of-way via each proposed driveway entrance.

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), FIRM rate map Number 06059C0134J, revised December 3, 2009, the site is located within the flood zone as follows: Zone X – *"0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard"*

For preliminary hydrologic purposes, subareas that created a major confluence was analyzed and all remaining subareas were analyzed as Addition to Subarea to calculate the preliminary proposed conditions peak flow rate and time of concentration. This yields a more conservative outcome and will be verified during final engineering.

Refer to "Proposed Conditions Preliminary Hydrology Map" in Appendix A within this study for additional information.

5.0 METHODOLOGY

The site was analyzed using the Orange County Hydrology Manual, Local Drainage Manual and Advanced Engineering Solutions (AES) 2014 hydrology software. The initial subarea was analyzed for acreage, land use, soil type, peak flow rate and time of concentration according to the Rational Method. The site was graded to allow for low points throughout the site to direct stormwater runoff to several sump areas equipped with curb inlet catch basins.

A combination of Initial Subareas and Addition to Subareas was utilized in AES to analyze the proposed storm drain system. In the proposed condition, there are two primary storm drain main lines which confluence just before the discharge location. For each storm drain main line, the most upstream catch basin was analyzed as an Initial Subarea. At each downstream junction which accepts additional flows, the tributary catch basin was analyzed as an Addition to Subarea. This yielded a total flow that enters either E. Ball Rd. or S. Anaheim St.

The percentage impervious was calculated for the existing condition based on aerial topography and the proposed condition was assumed based on proposed land type. Then, based on the assumed or calculated impervious fraction a corresponding land use available in AES was selected. In accordance with the Orange County Hydrology Manual all habitable structures must have a finished floor elevation to allow one 1' of freeboard during the 100-year storm event and the curb opening catch basin and onsite conveyance storm drain pipes will be sized to convey runoff from the 50-year storm event.

6.0 RESULTS

Catch Basin Sizing

Catch basin sizing is based on the 25-year storm event. Catch basin sizing is provided in Appendix D of this report.

Pipe Sizing

Pipe Sizing will be analyzed using WSPG software to verify hydraulic grade line (HGL) based on the 100-year storm event peak flow rates and will be provided during final engineering for proposed onsite conveyance pipe

100-Year Water Surface Elevations

Water surface elevation calculations for the 100-year storm event peak flow rates will verify that the proposed finish floor elevations are set at least 1' above the water surface elevation. Calculations for 100-year water surface elevations will be provided during final engineering.

7.0 CONCLUSION

The results from this hydrology and hydraulic study utilizing methods provided by Orange County demonstrate that the proposed condition stormwater peak flows from the subject site will be lower than the existing condition stormwater peak flows as indicated in the Summary Table in Section 6 of this report for different year storm events. This is mainly due to the increase of pervious surface in the proposed development and elongation of the flow path in the proposed condition. The additional detention and infiltration per water quality requirement will further reduce stormwater runoffs tributary to the downstream system. During final engineering, impervious area for proposed conditions will be calculated in more detail based on the finalized landscape plan. The proposed peak flow rates will be re-evaluated to reflect the actual proposed conditions. However, the proposed development is likely to generate lower peak flows. Since the peak flow runoff from the proposed conditions is less than that of the existing conditions, additional onsite detention is not required per hydrologic requirement.

Stormwater generated from small rainfalls, or first flush of heavy rainfalls will be treated onsite via proposed onsite curb inlet MWS Biofiltration Vaults prior to be infiltrating or discharging to either S. Anaheim St. or E. Ball Rd. Refer to the separately prepared preliminary WQMP report for more details about water quality management.

The preliminary grading and drainage for the site has been designed to limit the diversion of existing flow patterns and maintain the overflow pathways to the extent feasible for the developed site conditions while also satisfying requirements established by governing agencies. The proposed storm drain outfall points are the same as the existing condition to maintain the historic drainage pattern.

8.0 DESIGN ASSUMPTIONS

- 1. The property is in the City of Anaheim, Orange County rainfall region.
- 2. 100-year storm event flood level protection analysis required for habitable structures per the requirements of the Orange County Flood Control District Design Manual.
- 3. Site located within Hydrologic Soil Type "B" per the Orange County Public Works (OCPW) Technical Guidance Document (TGD) NRCS Hydrologic Soil Groups map.
- 4. Assumed Commercial land use for the existing conditions and Condominiums land use for proposed condition based on land use references within Advanced Engineering Software (AES) 2014.
- 5. Peak flow rates and time of concentrations were calculated based on the Rational Method utilizing the AES 2014 software.

9.0 REFERENCES

- **1.** Orange County Hydrology Manual 1986 (1996 Addendum)
- **2.** City of Anaheim Master Plan of Storm Drainage for Anaheim-Barber City Channel Tributary Area
- **3.** Orange County Flood Control District Design Manual 2000
- **4.** Orange County Drainage Facilities Map Nos. 14 & 21

APPENDIX A HYDROLOGY MAPS

Existing Conditions Hydrology Map

 $\overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{g}}$

 $Q_{25} \neq 4.24$ CF/S

 $\Omega_{100}/=5/42$ CFS

// *(*fc ≠⁄9.4 *,*MIN /

TECHNOLOGY $+$ Cip $\overline{ }$

′ex.⊁r/w

FX# CR

Proposed Conditions Hydrology Map

APPENDIX B HYDROLOGY CALCULATIONS

Existing Conditions Hydrology Calculations (10-year, 25 year, & 100-year Storm Events)

RATIONAL METHOD HYDROLOGY COMPUTER PROGRAM PACKAGE (Reference: 1986 ORANGE COUNTY HYDROLOGY CRITERION) (c) Copyright 1983-2014 Advanced Engineering Software (aes) Ver. 21.0 Release Date: 06/01/2014 License ID 1580 Analysis prepared by: ************************** DESCRIPTION OF STUDY ************************** * OTH-2021-01375 * EXISTING 010 \star * GLPX-003 FILE NAME: GL03X10.DAT TIME/DATE OF STUDY: 09:36 03/25/2022 USER SPECIFIED HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC MODEL INFORMATION: --*TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION MODEL*--USER SPECIFIED STORM EVENT(YEAR) = 10.00 SPECIFIED MINIMUM PIPE SIZE(INCH) = 18.00 SPECIFIED PERCENT OF GRADIENTS (DECIMAL) TO USE FOR FRICTION SLOPE = 0.95 *DATA BANK RAINFALL USED* *ANTECEDENT MOISTURE CONDITION (AMC) II ASSUMED FOR RATIONAL METHOD* *USER-DEFINED STREET-SECTIONS FOR COUPLED PIPEFLOW AND STREETFLOW MODEL* HALF- CROWN TO STREET-CROSSFALL: CURB GUTTER-GEOMETRIES: MANNING WIDTH CROSSFALL IN- / OUT-/PARK- HEIGHT WIDTH LIP HIKE FACTOR $NO.$ (FT) (FT) SIDE / SIDE/ WAY (FT) (FT) (FT) (FT) (n) $1 30.0$ 20.0 $0.018/0.018/0.020$ 0.67 2.00 0.0313 0.167 0.0150 2° 26.0 1.0 $0.020/0.020/-.0.33$ 1.50 0.0313 0.083 0.0150 GLOBAL STREET FLOW-DEPTH CONSTRAINTS: 1. Relative Flow-Depth = 0.33 FEET as (Maximum Allowable Street Flow Depth) - (Top-of-Curb) 2. $(Depth)*(Velocity)$ Constraint = 5.0 $(FT*FT/S)$ *SIZE PIPE WITH A FLOW CAPACITY GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO THE UPSTREAM TRIBUTARY PIPE.* *USER-SPECIFIED MINIMUM TOPOGRAPHIC SLOPE ADJUSTMENT NOT SELECTED

 FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 100.00 TO NODE 101.00 IS CODE = 21 -- >>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<< >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<< == INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 325.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 153.70 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 152.50 $TC = K*[(LENGTH** 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]**0.20$ SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM $Tc(MIN.) = 9.423$ 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.823 SUBAREA Tc AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS Tc LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) COMMERCIAL B 1.41 0.30 0.100 56 9.42 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.100 $SUBAREA$ RUNOFF (CFS) = 3.54 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 1.41 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 3.54 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 201.00 IS CODE = 21 -- >>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<< >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<< == INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 201.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 153.30 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 151.30 $TC = K*[(LENGTH** 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]**0.20$ SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 6.377 * 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.531 SUBAREA Tc AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS Tc LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) COMMERCIAL B 1.98 0.30 0.100 56 6.38 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.100 $SUBAREA$ RUNOFF (CFS) = 6.24 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 1.98 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 6.24 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 300.00 TO NODE 301.00 IS CODE = 21 -- >>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<< >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<< == INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 284.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 153.40 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 151.90

 $TC = K * [(LENGTH** 3.00) / (ELEVATION CHANGE)]*0.20$ SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 8.311 * 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.034 SUBAREA Tc AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS Tc LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) COMMERCIAL B 0.81 0.30 0.100 56 8.31 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.100 $SUBAREA$ RUNOFF (CFS) = 2.19 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 0.81 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 2.19 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 301.00 TO NODE 302.00 IS CODE = 92 -- >>>>>COMPUTE "V" GUTTER FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< == UPSTREAM NODE ELEVATION(FEET) = 151.90 DOWNSTREAM NODE ELEVATION(FEET) = 150.40 CHANNEL LENGTH THRU SUBAREA(FEET) = 283.00 "V" GUTTER WIDTH(FEET) = 3.00 GUTTER HIKE(FEET) = 0.083 PAVEMENT LIP(FEET) = 0.040 MANNING'S N = .0150 PAVEMENT CROSSFALL(DECIMAL NOTATION) = 0.01000 $MAXIMUM DEPTH(FEET) = 0.13$ 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.998 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN COMMERCIAL B 4.11 0.30 0.100 56 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.100 TRAVEL TIME COMPUTED USING ESTIMATED FLOW(CFS) = 7.59 TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA BASED ON VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 26.69 AVERAGE FLOW DEPTH(FEET) = 0.13 FLOOD WIDTH(FEET) = 5.00 "V" GUTTER FLOW TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.18 Tc(MIN.) = 8.49 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 4.11 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 10.98 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 4.92 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.03 AREA-AVERAGED $Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.10$ $TOTAL AREA(ACRES) =$ 4.9 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 13.14 ** PIPE SIZED TO MAXIMIZE V-GUTTER FLOW AT DOWNSTREAM NODE ** ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 18.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 18.0 INCH PIPE IS 6.7 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 3.66 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 2.19$ PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 1.29 Tc(MIN.) = 9.60 * 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.794 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 4.11 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 10.22 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 4.92 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.03 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.16 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.18 $TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 4.9$ PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 12.24

 NOTE: V-GUTTER CAPACITY MAY BE EXCEEDED V-GUTTER HYDRAULICS BASED ON MAINLINE Tc : V-GUTTER HYDRAULICS COMPUTED USING ESTIMATED FLOW(CFS) = 10.05 END OF SUBAREA "V" GUTTER HYDRAULICS: DEPTH(FEET) = 0.13 FLOOD WIDTH(FEET) = 5.00 FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 35.32 DEPTH*VELOCITY(FT*FT/SEC) = 4.70 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 300.00 TO NODE 302.00 = 567.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 400.00 TO NODE 401.00 IS CODE = 21 -- >>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<< >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<< == INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 312.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 152.50 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 150.80 $TC = K * [(LENGTH** 3.00) / (ELEVATION CHANGE)]**0.20$ SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 8.576 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.980 SUBAREA Tc AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS Tc LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) COMMERCIAL B 1.82 0.30 0.100 56 8.58 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.100 $SUBAREA$ RUNOFF (CFS) = 4.83 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 1.82 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 4.83 == END OF STUDY SUMMARY: TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 1.8 TC(MIN.) = 8.58 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 1.82 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR)= 0.03 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.100 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) $=$ 4.83 == == END OF RATIONAL METHOD ANALYSIS

^

RATIONAL METHOD HYDROLOGY COMPUTER PROGRAM PACKAGE (Reference: 1986 ORANGE COUNTY HYDROLOGY CRITERION) (c) Copyright 1983-2014 Advanced Engineering Software (aes) Ver. 21.0 Release Date: 06/01/2014 License ID 1580 Analysis prepared by: ************************** DESCRIPTION OF STUDY ************************** * OTH-2021-01375 * EXISTING 025 \star * GLPX-003 FILE NAME: GL03X25.DAT TIME/DATE OF STUDY: 09:40 03/25/2022 USER SPECIFIED HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC MODEL INFORMATION: --*TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION MODEL*--USER SPECIFIED STORM EVENT(YEAR) = 25.00 SPECIFIED MINIMUM PIPE SIZE(INCH) = 18.00 SPECIFIED PERCENT OF GRADIENTS (DECIMAL) TO USE FOR FRICTION SLOPE = 0.95 *DATA BANK RAINFALL USED* *ANTECEDENT MOISTURE CONDITION (AMC) II ASSUMED FOR RATIONAL METHOD* *USER-DEFINED STREET-SECTIONS FOR COUPLED PIPEFLOW AND STREETFLOW MODEL* HALF- CROWN TO STREET-CROSSFALL: CURB GUTTER-GEOMETRIES: MANNING WIDTH CROSSFALL IN- / OUT-/PARK- HEIGHT WIDTH LIP HIKE FACTOR $NO.$ (FT) (FT) SIDE / SIDE/ WAY (FT) (FT) (FT) (FT) (n) $1 30.0$ 20.0 $0.018/0.018/0.020$ 0.67 2.00 0.0313 0.167 0.0150 2° 26.0 1.0 $0.020/0.020/-.0.33$ 1.50 0.0313 0.083 0.0150 GLOBAL STREET FLOW-DEPTH CONSTRAINTS: 1. Relative Flow-Depth = 0.33 FEET as (Maximum Allowable Street Flow Depth) - (Top-of-Curb) 2. $(Depth)*(Velocity)$ Constraint = 5.0 $(FT*FT/S)$ *SIZE PIPE WITH A FLOW CAPACITY GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO THE UPSTREAM TRIBUTARY PIPE.* *USER-SPECIFIED MINIMUM TOPOGRAPHIC SLOPE ADJUSTMENT NOT SELECTED

 FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 100.00 TO NODE 101.00 IS CODE = 21 -- >>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<< >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<< == INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 325.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 153.70 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 152.50 $TC = K*[(LENGTH** 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]**0.20$ SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM $Tc(MIN.) = 9.423$ * 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.370 SUBAREA Tc AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS Tc LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) COMMERCIAL B 1.41 0.30 0.100 56 9.42 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.100 $SUBAREA$ RUNOFF (CFS) = 4.24 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 1.41 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 4.24 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 201.00 IS CODE = 21 -- >>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<< >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<< == INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 201.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 153.30 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 151.30 $TC = K*[(LENGTH** 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]**0.20$ SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 6.377 * 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 4.203 SUBAREA Tc AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS Tc LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) COMMERCIAL B 1.98 0.30 0.100 56 6.38 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.100 $SUBAREA$ RUNOFF (CFS) = 7.44 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 1.98 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 7.44 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 300.00 TO NODE 301.00 IS CODE = 21 -- >>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<< >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<< == INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 284.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 153.40 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 151.90

 $TC = K*[(LENGTH** 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]**0.20$ SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 8.311 * 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.618 SUBAREA TC AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): SCS SOIL DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ AREA Fp Ap SCS Tc LAND USE **GROUP** (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) COMMERCIAL B 0.81 0.30 0.100 56 8.31 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.100 SUBAREA RUNOFF $(CFS) =$ 2.62 0.81 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = $TOTAL AREA(ACRES) =$ 2.62 301.00 TO NODE FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 302.00 IS CODE = 92 >>>>>COMPUTE "V" GUTTER FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< UPSTREAM NODE ELEVATION(FEET) = 151.90 DOWNSTREAM NODE ELEVATION(FEET) = 150.40 CHANNEL LENGTH THRU SUBAREA(FEET) = 283.00 "V" GUTTER WIDTH(FEET) = 3.00 GUTTER HIKE(FEET) = 0.083 PAVEMENT LIP(FEET) = 0.040 MANNING'S N = .0150 PAVEMENT CROSSFALL(DECIMAL NOTATION) = 0.01000 $MAXIMUM$ $DEPTH(FEET) =$ 0.13 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.582 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA SCS Fp Ap LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN COMMERCIAL B 4.11 0.30 0.100 56 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.100 TRAVEL TIME COMPUTED USING ESTIMATED FLOW(CFS) = 9.10 TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA BASED ON VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 31.99 AVERAGE FLOW DEPTH(FEET) = 0.13 FLOOD WIDTH(FEET) = 5.00 "V" GUTTER FLOW TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.15 Tc(MIN.) = 8.46 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 4.11 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 13.14
EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 4.92 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.03 AREA-AVERAGED $Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.10$ $TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 4.9$ PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 15.73 ** PIPE SIZED TO MAXIMIZE V-GUTTER FLOW AT DOWNSTREAM NODE ** ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 18.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 18.0 INCH PIPE IS 7.4 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 3.85 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) =$ 2.62 PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 1.23 Tc(MIN.) = 9.54 * 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.347 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 4.11 $SUBAREA \text{ RUNOFF} (CFS) = 12.27$ EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 4.92 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.03 $AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.16 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.18$ TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 4.9 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 14.69

 NOTE: V-GUTTER CAPACITY MAY BE EXCEEDED V-GUTTER HYDRAULICS BASED ON MAINLINE Tc : V-GUTTER HYDRAULICS COMPUTED USING ESTIMATED FLOW(CFS) = 12.07 END OF SUBAREA "V" GUTTER HYDRAULICS: DEPTH(FEET) = 0.13 FLOOD WIDTH(FEET) = 5.00 FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 42.43 DEPTH*VELOCITY(FT*FT/SEC) = 5.64 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 300.00 TO NODE 302.00 = 567.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 400.00 TO NODE 401.00 IS CODE = 21 -- >>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<< >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<< == INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 312.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 152.50 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 150.80 $TC = K * [(LENGTH** 3.00) / (ELEVATION CHANGE)]**0.20$ SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 8.576 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.554 SUBAREA Tc AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS Tc LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) COMMERCIAL B 1.82 0.30 0.100 56 8.58 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.100 $SUBAREA$ RUNOFF (CFS) = 5.77 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 1.82 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 5.77 == END OF STUDY SUMMARY: TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 1.8 TC(MIN.) = 8.58 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 1.82 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR)= 0.03 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.100 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) $=$ 5.77 == == END OF RATIONAL METHOD ANALYSIS

^

RATIONAL METHOD HYDROLOGY COMPUTER PROGRAM PACKAGE (Reference: 1986 ORANGE COUNTY HYDROLOGY CRITERION) (c) Copyright 1983-2014 Advanced Engineering Software (aes) Ver. 21.0 Release Date: 06/01/2014 License ID 1580 Analysis prepared by: ************************** DESCRIPTION OF STUDY ************************** * OTH-2021-01375 * EXISITNG 0100 \star * GLPX-003 FILE NAME: GL03X100.DAT TIME/DATE OF STUDY: 09:44 03/25/2022 USER SPECIFIED HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC MODEL INFORMATION: --*TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION MODEL*--USER SPECIFIED STORM EVENT(YEAR) = 100.00 SPECIFIED MINIMUM PIPE SIZE(INCH) = 18.00 SPECIFIED PERCENT OF GRADIENTS(DECIMAL) TO USE FOR FRICTION SLOPE = 0.95 *DATA BANK RAINFALL USED* *ANTECEDENT MOISTURE CONDITION (AMC) III ASSUMED FOR RATIONAL METHOD* *USER-DEFINED STREET-SECTIONS FOR COUPLED PIPEFLOW AND STREETFLOW MODEL* HALF- CROWN TO STREET-CROSSFALL: CURB GUTTER-GEOMETRIES: MANNING WIDTH CROSSFALL IN- / OUT-/PARK- HEIGHT WIDTH LIP HIKE FACTOR $NO.$ (FT) (FT) SIDE / SIDE/ WAY (FT) (FT) (FT) (FT) (n) $1 30.0$ 20.0 $0.018/0.018/0.020$ 0.67 2.00 0.0313 0.167 0.0150 2° 26.0 1.0 $0.020/0.020/-.0.33$ 1.50 0.0313 0.083 0.0150 GLOBAL STREET FLOW-DEPTH CONSTRAINTS: 1. Relative Flow-Depth = 0.33 FEET as (Maximum Allowable Street Flow Depth) - (Top-of-Curb) 2. $(Depth)*(Velocity)$ Constraint = 5.0 $(FT*FT/S)$ *SIZE PIPE WITH A FLOW CAPACITY GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO THE UPSTREAM TRIBUTARY PIPE.* *USER-SPECIFIED MINIMUM TOPOGRAPHIC SLOPE ADJUSTMENT NOT SELECTED

 FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 100.00 TO NODE 101.00 IS CODE = 21 -- >>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<< >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<< == INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 325.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 153.70 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 152.50 $TC = K*[(LENGTH** 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]**0.20$ SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM $Tc(MIN.) = 9.423$ * 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 4.303 SUBAREA Tc AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC III): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS Tc LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) COMMERCIAL B 1.41 0.30 0.100 76 9.42 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.100 $SUBAREA$ RUNOFF (CFS) = 5.42 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 1.41 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 5.42 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 201.00 IS CODE = 21 -- >>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<< >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<< == INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 201.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 153.30 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 151.30 $TC = K*[(LENGTH** 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]**0.20$ SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 6.377 * 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 5.382 SUBAREA Tc AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC III): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS Tc LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) COMMERCIAL B 1.98 0.30 0.100 76 6.38 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.100 $SUBAREA$ RUNOFF (CFS) = 9.54 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 1.98 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 9.54 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 300.00 TO NODE 301.00 IS CODE = 21 -- >>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<< >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<< == INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 284.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 153.40 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 151.90

 $TC = K*[(LENGTH** 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]**0.20$ SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 8.311 * 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 4.624 SUBAREA TC AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC III): SCS SOIL DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ AREA Fp Ap SCS Tc LAND USE **GROUP** (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) COMMERCIAL B 0.81 0.30 0.100 76 8.31 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.100 SUBAREA RUNOFF $(CFS) = 3.35$ 0.81 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = $TOTAL AREA(ACRES) =$ 3.35 301.00 TO NODE FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 302.00 IS CODE = 92 >>>>>COMPUTE "V" GUTTER FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< UPSTREAM NODE ELEVATION(FEET) = 151.90 DOWNSTREAM NODE ELEVATION(FEET) = 150.40 CHANNEL LENGTH THRU SUBAREA(FEET) = 283.00 "V" GUTTER WIDTH(FEET) = 3.00 GUTTER HIKE(FEET) = 0.083 PAVEMENT LIP(FEET) = 0.040 MANNING'S N = .0150 PAVEMENT CROSSFALL(DECIMAL NOTATION) = 0.01000 $MAXIMUM$ $DEPTH(FEET) =$ 0.13 * 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 4.588 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC III): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA SCS Fp Ap LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN COMMERCIAL B 4.11 0.30 0.100 76 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.100 TRAVEL TIME COMPUTED USING ESTIMATED FLOW(CFS) = 11.69 TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA BASED ON VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 41.10 AVERAGE FLOW DEPTH(FEET) = 0.13 FLOOD WIDTH(FEET) = 5.00 "V" GUTTER FLOW TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.11 Tc(MIN.) = 8.43 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 4.11 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 16.86
EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 4.92 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.03 AREA-AVERAGED $Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.10$ $TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 4.9$ PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 20.18 ** PIPE SIZED TO MAXIMIZE V-GUTTER FLOW AT DOWNSTREAM NODE ** ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 18.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 18.0 INCH PIPE IS 8.5 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 4.11 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) =$ 3.35 PIPEFLOW TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 1.15 Tc(MIN.) = 9.46 * 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 4.294 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 4.11 SUBAREA RUNOFF $(CFS) = 15.77$ EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 4.92 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.03 $AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.16 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.18$ $TOTAL AREA(ACRES) =$ 4.9 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 18.88

 NOTE: V-GUTTER CAPACITY MAY BE EXCEEDED V-GUTTER HYDRAULICS BASED ON MAINLINE Tc : V-GUTTER HYDRAULICS COMPUTED USING ESTIMATED FLOW(CFS) = 15.53 END OF SUBAREA "V" GUTTER HYDRAULICS: DEPTH(FEET) = 0.13 FLOOD WIDTH(FEET) = 5.00 FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 54.59 DEPTH*VELOCITY(FT*FT/SEC) = 7.26 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 300.00 TO NODE 302.00 = 567.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 400.00 TO NODE 401.00 IS CODE = 21 -- >>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<< >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<< == INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 312.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 152.50 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 150.80 $TC = K * [(LENGTH** 3.00) / (ELEVATION CHANGE)]**0.20$ SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 8.576 * 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 4.542 SUBAREA Tc AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC III): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS Tc LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) COMMERCIAL B 1.82 0.30 0.100 76 8.58 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.100 $SUBAREA$ RUNOFF (CFS) = 7.39 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 1.82 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 7.39 == END OF STUDY SUMMARY: TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 1.8 TC(MIN.) = 8.58 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 1.82 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR)= 0.03 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.100 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 7.39 == ==

END OF RATIONAL METHOD ANALYSIS

^

Proposed Conditions Hydrology Calculations (10-year, 25-year, & 100-year Storm Events)
** RATIONAL METHOD HYDROLOGY COMPUTER PROGRAM PACKAGE (Reference: 1986 ORANGE COUNTY HYDROLOGY CRITERION) (c) Copyright 1983-2014 Advanced Engineering Software (aes) Ver. 21.0 Release Date: 06/01/2014 License ID 1580 Analysis prepared by: ************************** DESCRIPTION OF STUDY ******************************* * OTH-2021-01375 * * PROPOSED Q10 * * GLPX-003 * ** FILE NAME: GL03P10.DAT TIME/DATE OF STUDY: 10:54 03/25/2022 == USER SPECIFIED HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC MODEL INFORMATION: == --*TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION MODEL*-- USER SPECIFIED STORM EVENT(YEAR) = 10.00 SPECIFIED MINIMUM PIPE SIZE(INCH) = 18.00 SPECIFIED PERCENT OF GRADIENTS(DECIMAL) TO USE FOR FRICTION SLOPE = 0.95 *DATA BANK RAINFALL USED* *ANTECEDENT MOISTURE CONDITION (AMC) II ASSUMED FOR RATIONAL METHOD* *USER-DEFINED STREET-SECTIONS FOR COUPLED PIPEFLOW AND STREETFLOW MODEL* HALF- CROWN TO STREET-CROSSFALL: CURB GUTTER-GEOMETRIES: MANNING WIDTH CROSSFALL IN- / OUT-/PARK- HEIGHT WIDTH LIP HIKE FACTOR NO. (FT) (FT) SIDE / SIDE/ WAY (FT) (FT) (FT) (FT) (n) === ===== ========= ================= ====== ===== ====== ===== ======= 1 26.0 1.0 0.020/0.020/ --- 0.50 1.50 0.0313 0.167 0.0150 2 24.0 1.0 0.020/0.020/ --- 0.50 1.50 0.0313 0.125 0.0150 GLOBAL STREET FLOW-DEPTH CONSTRAINTS: 1. Relative Flow-Depth = 0.33 FEET as (Maximum Allowable Street Flow Depth) - (Top-of-Curb) 2. (Depth)*(Velocity) Constraint = 5.0 (FT*FT/S) *SIZE PIPE WITH A FLOW CAPACITY GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO THE UPSTREAM TRIBUTARY PIPE.* *USER-SPECIFIED MINIMUM TOPOGRAPHIC SLOPE ADJUSTMENT NOT SELECTED **

__

 FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 100.00 TO NODE 101.00 IS CODE = 21 -- >>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<< >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<< == INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 78.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 155.80 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 153.80 $TC = K*[(LENGTH** 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]**0.20$ SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 5.000 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 4.060 SUBAREA Tc AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS Tc LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) CONDOMINIUMS B 0.14 0.30 0.350 56 5.00 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 $SUBAREA$ RUNOFF $(CFS) = 0.50$ TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 0.14 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 0.50 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 101.00 TO NODE 102.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 149.30 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 146.80 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 492.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) INCREASED TO 18.000 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 18.0 INCH PIPE IS 3.2 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 2.37 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 18.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 0.50$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 3.46 Tc(MIN.) = 8.46 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 100.00 TO NODE 102.00 = 570.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 102.00 TO NODE 102.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == $MAINLINE TC(MIN.) = 8.46$ * 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.004 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 1.51 0.30 0.350 56 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 1.51 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 3.94

 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 1.65 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 $TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 1.6$ PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 4.30 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 102.00 TO NODE 103.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 146.80 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 146.60 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 55.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 18.0 INCH PIPE IS 11.1 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 3.78 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 18.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 4.30$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.24 Tc(MIN.) = 8.70 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 100.00 TO NODE 103.00 = 625.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 201.00 IS CODE = 21 -- >>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<< >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<< == INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 553.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 154.70 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 150.80 $TC = K*[(LENGTH** 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]**0.20$ SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 12.126 * 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.443 SUBAREA Tc AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS Tc LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) CONDOMINIUMS B 2.62 0.30 0.350 56 12.13 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 $SUBAREA$ RUNOFF (CFS) = 5.51 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 2.62 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 5.51 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 201.00 TO NODE 202.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 146.80 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 146.30 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 105.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 18.0 INCH PIPE IS 12.0 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 4.42

 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 18.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 5.51$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.40 Tc(MIN.) = 12.52 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 202.00 = 658.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 202.00 TO NODE 202.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == MAINLINE $Tc(MIN.) = 12.52$ * 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.399 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 1.23 0.30 0.350 56 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 1.23 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 2.54 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 3.85 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 3.8 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 7.95 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 202.00 TO NODE -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 146.30 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 146.00 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 47.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 18.0 INCH PIPE IS 14.3 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 5.27 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 18.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 7.95$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.15 Tc(MIN.) = 12.67 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 203.00 = 705.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 203.00 TO NODE 203.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == $MAINLINE TC(MIN.) = 12.67$ 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.383 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 0.24 0.30 0.350 56 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30

 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 0.24 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 0.49 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 4.09 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 4.1 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 8.38 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 203.00 TO NODE 204.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 146.00 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 145.60 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 81.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 21.0 INCH PIPE IS 13.9 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 4.98 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 21.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 8.38$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.27 Tc(MIN.) = 12.94 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 204.00 = 786.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 204.00 TO NODE 204.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == $MAINLINE TC(MIN.) = 12.94$ * 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.354 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 0.24 0.30 0.350 56 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 0.24 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 0.49 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 4.33 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 $AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35$ TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 4.3 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 8.76 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 204.00 TO NODE 205.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 145.60 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 145.30 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 68.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 21.0 INCH PIPE IS 14.9 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 4.79 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 21.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1

 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 8.76$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.24 Tc(MIN.) = 13.18 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 205.00 = 854.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 205.00 TO NODE 205.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == MAINLINE $Tc(MIN.) = 13.18$ * 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.330 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 1.51 0.30 0.350 56 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 1.51 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 3.02 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 5.84 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 5.8 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 11.69 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 205.00 TO NODE 206.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 145.30 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 144.30 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 202.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 24.0 INCH PIPE IS 15.6 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 5.43 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 24.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 11.69$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.62 Tc(MIN.) = 13.80 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 206.00 = 1056.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 206.00 TO NODE 206.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == $MAINLINE TC(MIN.) = 13.80$ * 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.269 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 0.24 0.30 0.350 56 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350

SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 0.24 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 0.47 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 6.08 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 $\texttt{TOTAL AREA}(\texttt{ACRES}) = \texttt{6.1}$ PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 11.84 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 206.00 TO NODE 207.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 144.30 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 143.90 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 79.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 24.0 INCH PIPE IS 15.6 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 5.49 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 24.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 11.84$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.24 Tc(MIN.) = 14.04 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 207.00 = 1135.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 207.00 TO NODE 207.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == MAINLINE $Tc(MIN.) = 14.04$ * 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.247 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 0.28 0.30 0.350 56 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 0.28 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 0.54 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 6.36 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 6.4 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 12.26 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 207.00 TO NODE 208.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 143.90 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 143.50 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 79.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 24.0 INCH PIPE IS 16.0 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 5.53 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 24.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 12.26$

PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.24 Tc(MIN.) = 14.28 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 208.00 = 1214.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 208.00 TO NODE -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == $MAINLINE TC(MIN.) = 14.28$ * 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.225 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 0.23 0.30 0.350 56 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 0.23 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 0.44 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 6.59 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 6.6 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 12.58 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 208.00 TO NODE 209.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 143.50 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 143.20 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 56.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 24.0 INCH PIPE IS 15.9 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 5.68 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 24.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 12.58$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.16 Tc(MIN.) = 14.44 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 209.00 = 1270.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 209.00 TO NODE 209.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == $MAINLINE TC(MIN.) = 14.44$ * 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.211 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 1.89 0.30 0.350 56 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 1.89 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 3.58

 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 8.48 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 $TOTAL AREA(ACRES) =$ 8.5 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 16.07 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 209.00 TO NODE 210.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 143.20 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 143.10 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 36.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 30.0 INCH PIPE IS 19.6 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 4.73 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 30.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 16.07$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.13 Tc(MIN.) = 14.57 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 210.00 = 1306.00 FEET. == END OF STUDY SUMMARY: TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 8.5 TC(MIN.) = 14.57 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 8.48 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR)= 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.350 PEAK FLOW $RATE(CFS) = 16.07$ == ==

END OF RATIONAL METHOD ANALYSIS

 \blacktriangle

 ** RATIONAL METHOD HYDROLOGY COMPUTER PROGRAM PACKAGE (Reference: 1986 ORANGE COUNTY HYDROLOGY CRITERION) (c) Copyright 1983-2014 Advanced Engineering Software (aes) Ver. 21.0 Release Date: 06/01/2014 License ID 1580 Analysis prepared by: ************************** DESCRIPTION OF STUDY ******************************* * OTH-2021-01375 * * PROPOSED Q25 * * GLPX-003 * ** FILE NAME: GL03P25.DAT TIME/DATE OF STUDY: 11:01 03/25/2022 == USER SPECIFIED HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC MODEL INFORMATION: == --*TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION MODEL*-- USER SPECIFIED STORM EVENT(YEAR) = 25.00 SPECIFIED MINIMUM PIPE SIZE(INCH) = 18.00 SPECIFIED PERCENT OF GRADIENTS(DECIMAL) TO USE FOR FRICTION SLOPE = 0.95 *DATA BANK RAINFALL USED* *ANTECEDENT MOISTURE CONDITION (AMC) II ASSUMED FOR RATIONAL METHOD* *USER-DEFINED STREET-SECTIONS FOR COUPLED PIPEFLOW AND STREETFLOW MODEL* HALF- CROWN TO STREET-CROSSFALL: CURB GUTTER-GEOMETRIES: MANNING WIDTH CROSSFALL IN- / OUT-/PARK- HEIGHT WIDTH LIP HIKE FACTOR NO. (FT) (FT) SIDE / SIDE/ WAY (FT) (FT) (FT) (FT) (n) === ===== ========= ================= ====== ===== ====== ===== ======= 1 26.0 1.0 0.020/0.020/ --- 0.50 1.50 0.0313 0.167 0.0150 2 24.0 1.0 0.020/0.020/ --- 0.50 1.50 0.0313 0.125 0.0150 GLOBAL STREET FLOW-DEPTH CONSTRAINTS: 1. Relative Flow-Depth = 0.33 FEET as (Maximum Allowable Street Flow Depth) - (Top-of-Curb) 2. (Depth)*(Velocity) Constraint = 5.0 (FT*FT/S) *SIZE PIPE WITH A FLOW CAPACITY GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO THE UPSTREAM TRIBUTARY PIPE.* *USER-SPECIFIED MINIMUM TOPOGRAPHIC SLOPE ADJUSTMENT NOT SELECTED **

__

 FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 100.00 TO NODE 101.00 IS CODE = 21 -- >>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<< >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<< == INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 78.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 155.80 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 153.80 $TC = K*[(LENGTH** 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]**0.20$ SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 5.000 * 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 4.824 SUBAREA Tc AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS Tc LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) CONDOMINIUMS B 0.14 0.30 0.350 56 5.00 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 $SUBAREA$ RUNOFF $(CFS) = 0.59$ TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 0.14 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 0.59 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 101.00 TO NODE 102.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 149.30 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 146.80 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 492.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) INCREASED TO 18.000 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 18.0 INCH PIPE IS 3.5 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 2.48 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 18.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 0.59$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME $(MIN.) = 3.31$ Tc $(MIN.) = 8.31$ LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 100.00 TO NODE 102.00 = 570.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 102.00 TO NODE 102.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == $MAINLINE TC(MIN.) = 8.31$ * 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.618 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 1.51 0.30 0.350 56 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 1.51 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 4.77

 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 1.65 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 $TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 1.6$ PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 5.22 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 102.00 TO NODE 103.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 146.80 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 146.60 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 55.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 18.0 INCH PIPE IS 12.7 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 3.92 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 18.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 5.22$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.23 Tc(MIN.) = 8.54 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 100.00 TO NODE 103.00 = 625.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 201.00 IS CODE = 21 -- >>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<< >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<< == INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 553.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 154.70 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 150.80 $TC = K*[(LENGTH** 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]**0.20$ SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 12.126 * 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.922 SUBAREA Tc AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS Tc LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) CONDOMINIUMS B 2.62 0.30 0.350 56 12.13 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 6.64 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 2.62 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 6.64 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 201.00 TO NODE 202.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 146.80 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 146.30 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 105.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 18.0 INCH PIPE IS 13.9 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 4.54

 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 18.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 6.64$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.39 Tc(MIN.) = 12.51 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 202.00 = 658.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 202.00 TO NODE 202.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == MAINLINE $Tc(MIN.) = 12.51$ * 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.870 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 1.23 0.30 0.350 56 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 1.23 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 3.06 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 3.85 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 $TOTAL AREA(ACRES) =$ 3.8 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 9.58 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 202.00 TO NODE -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 146.30 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 146.00 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 47.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 21.0 INCH PIPE IS 13.9 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 5.67 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 21.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 9.58$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.14 Tc(MIN.) = 12.65 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 203.00 = 705.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 203.00 TO NODE 203.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == MAINLINE $Tc(MIN.) = 12.65$ 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.853 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 0.24 0.30 0.350 56 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30

 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 0.24 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 0.59 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 4.09 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 DTAL AREA(ACRES) = 4.1 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 10.11 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 203.00 TO NODE 204.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 146.00 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 145.60 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 81.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 21.0 INCH PIPE IS 16.1 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 5.13 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 21.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 10.11$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.26 Tc(MIN.) = 12.91 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 204.00 = 786.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 204.00 TO NODE 204.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == $MAINLINE TC(MIN.) = 12.91$ * 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.819 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 0.24 0.30 0.350 56 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 0.24 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 0.59 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 4.33 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 $AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35$ TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 4.3 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 10.58 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 204.00 TO NODE 205.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 145.60 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 145.30 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 68.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 24.0 INCH PIPE IS 15.1 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 5.08 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 24.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1

 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 10.58$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.22 Tc(MIN.) = 13.14 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 205.00 = 854.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 205.00 TO NODE 205.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == MAINLINE $Tc(MIN.) = 13.14$ * 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.792 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 1.51 0.30 0.350 56 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 1.51 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 3.65 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 5.84 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 5.8 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 14.12 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 205.00 TO NODE 206.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 145.30 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 144.30 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 202.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 24.0 INCH PIPE IS 18.0 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 5.60 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 24.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 14.12$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.60 Tc(MIN.) = 13.74 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 206.00 = 1056.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 206.00 TO NODE 206.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == MAINLINE $Tc(MIN.) = 13.74$ * 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.722 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 0.24 0.30 0.350 56 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350

SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 0.24 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 0.57 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 6.08 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 $TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 6.1$ PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 14.32 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 206.00 TO NODE 207.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 144.30 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 143.90 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 79.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 24.0 INCH PIPE IS 18.0 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 5.66 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 24.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 14.32$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.23 Tc(MIN.) = 13.97 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 207.00 = 1135.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 207.00 TO NODE 207.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == $MAINLINE TC(MIN.) = 13.97$ * 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.697 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 0.28 0.30 0.350 56 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 0.28 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 0.65 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 6.36 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 6.4 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 14.83 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 207.00 TO NODE 208.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 143.90 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 143.50 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 79.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 24.0 INCH PIPE IS 18.6 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 5.68 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 24.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 14.83$

PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.23 Tc(MIN.) = 14.20 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 208.00 = 1214.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 208.00 TO NODE -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == $MAINLINE TC(MIN.) = 14.20$ * 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.672 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 0.23 0.30 0.350 56 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 0.23 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 0.53 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 6.59 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 6.6 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 15.22 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 208.00 TO NODE 209.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 143.50 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 143.20 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 56.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 24.0 INCH PIPE IS 18.6 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 5.84 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 24.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 15.22$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.16 Tc(MIN.) = 14.36 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 209.00 = 1270.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 209.00 TO NODE 209.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == $MAINLINE TC(MIN.) = 14.36$ * 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.655 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 1.89 0.30 0.350 56 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 1.89 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 4.34

 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 8.48 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 8.5 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 19.46 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 209.00 TO NODE 210.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 143.20 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 143.10 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 36.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 30.0 INCH PIPE IS 22.8 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 4.87 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 30.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 19.46$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.12 Tc(MIN.) = 14.49 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 210.00 = 1306.00 FEET. == END OF STUDY SUMMARY: TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 8.5 TC(MIN.) = 14.49 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 8.48 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR)= 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.350 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 19.46 == ==

END OF RATIONAL METHOD ANALYSIS

 \blacktriangle

 ** RATIONAL METHOD HYDROLOGY COMPUTER PROGRAM PACKAGE (Reference: 1986 ORANGE COUNTY HYDROLOGY CRITERION) (c) Copyright 1983-2014 Advanced Engineering Software (aes) Ver. 21.0 Release Date: 06/01/2014 License ID 1580 Analysis prepared by: ************************** DESCRIPTION OF STUDY ******************************* * OTH-2021-01375 * * PROPOSED Q100 * * GLPX-003 * ** FILE NAME: GL03P100.DAT TIME/DATE OF STUDY: 11:03 03/25/2022 == USER SPECIFIED HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC MODEL INFORMATION: == --*TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION MODEL*-- USER SPECIFIED STORM EVENT(YEAR) = 100.00 SPECIFIED MINIMUM PIPE SIZE(INCH) = 18.00 SPECIFIED PERCENT OF GRADIENTS(DECIMAL) TO USE FOR FRICTION SLOPE = 0.95 *DATA BANK RAINFALL USED* *ANTECEDENT MOISTURE CONDITION (AMC) III ASSUMED FOR RATIONAL METHOD* *USER-DEFINED STREET-SECTIONS FOR COUPLED PIPEFLOW AND STREETFLOW MODEL* HALF- CROWN TO STREET-CROSSFALL: CURB GUTTER-GEOMETRIES: MANNING WIDTH CROSSFALL IN- / OUT-/PARK- HEIGHT WIDTH LIP HIKE FACTOR NO. (FT) (FT) SIDE / SIDE/ WAY (FT) (FT) (FT) (FT) (n) === ===== ========= ================= ====== ===== ====== ===== ======= 1 26.0 1.0 0.020/0.020/ --- 0.50 1.50 0.0313 0.167 0.0150 2 24.0 1.0 0.020/0.020/ --- 0.50 1.50 0.0313 0.125 0.0150 GLOBAL STREET FLOW-DEPTH CONSTRAINTS: 1. Relative Flow-Depth = 0.33 FEET as (Maximum Allowable Street Flow Depth) - (Top-of-Curb) 2. (Depth)*(Velocity) Constraint = 5.0 (FT*FT/S) *SIZE PIPE WITH A FLOW CAPACITY GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO THE UPSTREAM TRIBUTARY PIPE.* *USER-SPECIFIED MINIMUM TOPOGRAPHIC SLOPE ADJUSTMENT NOT SELECTED **

__

 FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 100.00 TO NODE 101.00 IS CODE = 21 -- >>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<< >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<< == INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 78.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 155.80 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 153.80 $TC = K*[(LENGTH** 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]**0.20$ SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 5.000 * 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 6.187 SUBAREA Tc AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC III): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS Tc LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) CONDOMINIUMS B 0.14 0.30 0.350 76 5.00 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 $SUBAREA$ RUNOFF(CFS) = 0.77 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 0.14 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 0.77 ** 101.00 TO NODE 102.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 149.30 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 146.80 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 492.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) INCREASED TO 18.000 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 18.0 INCH PIPE IS 3.9 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 2.68 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 18.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 0.77$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 3.06 Tc(MIN.) = 8.06 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 100.00 TO NODE 102.00 = 570.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 102.00 TO NODE 102.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == $MAINLINE TC(MIN.) = 8.06$ * 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 4.708 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC III): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 1.51 0.30 0.350 76 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 1.51 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 6.26

 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 1.65 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 $TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 1.6$ PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 6.83 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 102.00 TO NODE 103.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 146.80 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 146.60 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 55.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 21.0 INCH PIPE IS 13.4 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 4.23 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 21.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 6.83$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.22 Tc(MIN.) = 8.27 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 100.00 TO NODE 103.00 = 625.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 201.00 IS CODE = 21 -- >>>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<< >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<< == INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 553.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 154.70 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 150.80 $TC = K*[(LENGTH** 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]**0.20$ SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 12.126 * 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.724 SUBAREA Tc AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC III): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS Tc LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) CONDOMINIUMS B 2.62 0.30 0.350 76 12.13 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA RUNOFF (CFS) = 8.53 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 2.62 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 8.53 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 201.00 TO NODE 202.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 146.80 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 146.30 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 105.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 21.0 INCH PIPE IS 14.2 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 4.92

 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 21.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 8.53$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.36 Tc(MIN.) = 12.48 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 202.00 = 658.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 202.00 TO NODE 202.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == MAINLINE $Tc(MIN.) = 12.48$ * 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.663 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC III): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 1.23 0.30 0.350 76 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 1.23 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 3.94 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 3.85 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 $TOTAL AREA(ACRES) =$ 3.8 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 12.33 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 202.00 TO NODE -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 146.30 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 146.00 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 47.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 21.0 INCH PIPE IS 17.2 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 5.85 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 21.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 12.33$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.13 Tc(MIN.) = 12.62 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 203.00 = 705.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 203.00 TO NODE 203.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == $MAINLINE TC(MIN.) = 12.62$ * 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.641 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC III): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 0.24 0.30 0.350 76 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30

 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 0.24 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 0.76 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 4.09 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 4.1 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 13.02 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 203.00 TO NODE 204.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 146.00 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 145.60 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 81.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 24.0 INCH PIPE IS 16.8 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 5.53 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 24.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 13.02$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.24 Tc(MIN.) = 12.86 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 204.00 = 786.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 204.00 TO NODE 204.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == $MAINLINE TC(MIN.) = 12.86$ * 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.601 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC III): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 0.24 0.30 0.350 76 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 0.24 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 0.76 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 4.33 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 $AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35$ TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 4.3 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 13.62 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 204.00 TO NODE 205.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 145.60 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 145.30 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 68.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 24.0 INCH PIPE IS 18.3 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 5.30 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 24.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1

 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 13.62$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.21 Tc(MIN.) = 13.07 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 205.00 = 854.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 205.00 TO NODE 205.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == MAINLINE $Tc(MIN.) = 13.07$ * 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.567 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC III): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 1.51 0.30 0.350 76 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 1.51 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 4.70 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 5.84 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 5.8 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 18.20 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 205.00 TO NODE 206.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 145.30 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 144.30 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 202.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 27.0 INCH PIPE IS 19.2 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 6.00 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 27.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 18.20$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.56 Tc(MIN.) = 13.63 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 206.00 = 1056.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 206.00 TO NODE 206.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == MAINLINE $Tc(MIN.) = 13.63$ * 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.482 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC III): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 0.24 0.30 0.350 76 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350

SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 0.24 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 0.73 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 6.08 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 DTAL AREA(ACRES) = 6.1 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 18.48 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 206.00 TO NODE 207.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 144.30 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 143.90 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 79.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 27.0 INCH PIPE IS 19.3 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 6.08 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 27.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 18.48$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.22 Tc(MIN.) = 13.85 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 207.00 = 1135.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 207.00 TO NODE 207.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == MAINLINE $Tc(MIN.) = 13.85$ * 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.451 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC III): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 0.28 0.30 0.350 76 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 0.28 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 0.84 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 6.36 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED $Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35$ TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 6.4 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 19.15 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 207.00 TO NODE 208.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 143.90 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 143.50 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 79.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 27.0 INCH PIPE IS 19.9 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 6.11 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 27.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 19.15$

PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.22 Tc(MIN.) = 14.07 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 208.00 = 1214.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 208.00 TO NODE -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == $MAINLINE TC(MIN.) = 14.07$ * 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.421 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC III): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 0.23 0.30 0.350 76 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 0.23 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 0.69 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 6.59 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 6.6 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 19.66 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 208.00 TO NODE 209.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 143.50 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 143.20 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 56.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 27.0 INCH PIPE IS 19.8 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 6.28 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 27.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 19.66$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.15 Tc(MIN.) = 14.22 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 209.00 = 1270.00 FEET. ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 209.00 TO NODE 209.00 IS CODE = 81 -- >>>>>ADDITION OF SUBAREA TO MAINLINE PEAK FLOW<<<<< == $MAINLINE TC(MIN.) = 14.22$ * 100 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.400 SUBAREA LOSS RATE DATA(AMC III): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN CONDOMINIUMS B 1.89 0.30 0.350 76 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.350 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 1.89 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 5.60

 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 8.48 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) = 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.35 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 8.5 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 25.15 ** FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 209.00 TO NODE 210.00 IS CODE = 31 -- >>>>>COMPUTE PIPE-FLOW TRAVEL TIME THRU SUBAREA<<<<< >>>>>USING COMPUTER-ESTIMATED PIPESIZE (NON-PRESSURE FLOW)<<<<< == ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 143.20 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 143.10 FLOW LENGTH(FEET) = 36.00 MANNING'S N = 0.013 DEPTH OF FLOW IN 33.0 INCH PIPE IS 25.1 INCHES PIPE-FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 5.19 ESTIMATED PIPE DIAMETER(INCH) = 33.00 NUMBER OF PIPES = 1 $PIPE-FLOW(CFS) = 25.15$ PIPE TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.12 Tc(MIN.) = 14.33 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 200.00 TO NODE 210.00 = 1306.00 FEET. == END OF STUDY SUMMARY: TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 8.5 TC(MIN.) = 14.33 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 8.48 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR)= 0.11 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.30 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.350 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 25.15 == ==

END OF RATIONAL METHOD ANALYSIS

 \blacktriangle

APPENDIX C OCPW TGD Soils Map

Conservation Service

п

Map Unit Legend

Orange County and Part of Riverside County, California

164—Metz loamy sand, moderately fine substratum

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hcn9 *Elevation:* 0 to 600 feet *Mean annual precipitation:* 12 to 17 inches *Mean annual air temperature:* 63 to 65 degrees F *Frost-free period:* 320 to 365 days *Farmland classification:* Prime farmland if irrigated

Map Unit Composition

Metz and similar soils: 75 percent *Minor components:* 25 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Metz

Setting

Landform: Flood plains, alluvial fans *Landform position (three-dimensional):* Tread *Down-slope shape:* Linear *Across-slope shape:* Linear *Parent material:* Mixed alluvium derived from igneous, metamorphic and sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 17 inches: loamy sand

- *C1 17 to 40 inches:* stratified sand to sandy clay loam
- *C2 40 to 46 inches:* silty clay loam
- *C3 46 to 60 inches:* stratified sand to sandy clay loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 2 percent *Depth to restrictive feature:* More than 80 inches *Drainage class:* Somewhat excessively drained *Runoff class:* Negligible *Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat):* Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr) *Depth to water table:* More than 80 inches *Frequency of flooding:* Rare *Frequency of ponding:* None *Calcium carbonate, maximum content:* 5 percent *Maximum salinity:* Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)

Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 5.7 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e *Hydrologic Soil Group:* B *Ecological site:* R019XD035CA - SANDY (1975) *Hydric soil rating:* No

Minor Components

Metz, loamy sand

Percent of map unit: 10 percent *Landform:* Flood plains, alluvial fans *Landform position (three-dimensional):* Tread *Down-slope shape:* Linear *Across-slope shape:* Linear *Hydric soil rating:* No

Hueneme, fine sandy loam

Percent of map unit: 5 percent *Landform:* Alluvial fans *Landform position (three-dimensional):* Tread *Down-slope shape:* Linear *Across-slope shape:* Linear *Hydric soil rating:* No

Corralitos, loamy sand

Percent of map unit: 5 percent *Landform:* Alluvial fans *Landform position (three-dimensional):* Tread *Down-slope shape:* Linear *Across-slope shape:* Linear *Hydric soil rating:* No

San emigdio

Percent of map unit: 5 percent *Landform:* Alluvial fans *Landform position (three-dimensional):* Tread *Down-slope shape:* Linear *Across-slope shape:* Linear *Hydric soil rating:* No

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: Orange County and Part of Riverside County, California Survey Area Data: Version 15, Sep 13, 2021

APPENDIX D Hydraulic Calculations

Onsite Private Catch Basin Sizing
Hydraulic Analysis Report

Project Data

Project Title: GLPX Catch Basin Sizing Designer: Project Date: Friday, March 25, 2022 Project Units: U.S. Customary Units Notes:

Curb and Gutter Analysis: CB#1

Notes: Drainage Area A1

Gutter Input Parameters

Longitudinal Slope of Road: 0.0200 ft/ft Cross-Slope of Pavement: 0.0200 ft/ft Depressed Gutter Geometry Cross-Slope of Gutter: 0.0830 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0150 Gutter Width: 1.5000 ft Design Flow: 0.5900 cfs

Gutter Result Parameters

Width of Spread: 3.6597 ft Gutter Depression: 1.1340 in Area of Flow: 0.2048 ft^2 Eo (Gutter Flow to Total Flow): 0.8977 Gutter Depth at Curb: 2.0123 in

Inlet Input Parameters

Inlet Location: Inlet in Sag Percent Clogging: 0.0000 % Inlet Type: Curb Opening Length of Inlet: 3.0000 ft Curb opening height: 6.0000 in Local Depression: 2.0000 in

Inlet Result Parameters

Perimeter: 5.7000 ft Effective Perimeter: 5.7000 ft Area: 2.0000 ft^{^2}

Effective Area: 2.0000 ft^2 Depth at curb face (upstream of local depression): 0.1265 ft Computed Width of Spread at Sag: 1.6011 ft Flow type: Weir Flow Efficiency: 1.0000

Notes: Drainage Area A2

Gutter Input Parameters

Longitudinal Slope of Road: 0.0200 ft/ft Cross-Slope of Pavement: 0.0200 ft/ft Depressed Gutter Geometry Cross-Slope of Gutter: 0.0830 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0150 Gutter Width: 1.5000 ft Design Flow: 4.7700 cfs

Gutter Result Parameters

Width of Spread: 10.5284 ft Gutter Depression: 1.1340 in Area of Flow: 1.1794 ft^2 Eo (Gutter Flow to Total Flow): 0.4241 Gutter Depth at Curb: 3.6608 in

Inlet Input Parameters

Inlet Location: Inlet in Sag Percent Clogging: 0.0000 % Inlet Type: Curb Opening Length of Inlet: 6.0000 ft Curb opening height: 6.0000 in Local Depression: 2.0000 in

Inlet Result Parameters

Perimeter: 8.7000 ft Effective Perimeter: 8.7000 ft Area: 4.0000 ft^{^2} Effective Area: 4.0000 ft^2 Depth at curb face (upstream of local depression): 0.3845 ft Computed Width of Spread at Sag: 14.4978 ft Flow type: Weir Flow Efficiency: 1.0000

Notes: Drainage Area A3

Gutter Input Parameters

Longitudinal Slope of Road: 0.0200 ft/ft Cross-Slope of Pavement: 0.0200 ft/ft Depressed Gutter Geometry Cross-Slope of Gutter: 0.0830 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0150 Gutter Width: 1.5000 ft Design Flow: 6.6400 cfs

Gutter Result Parameters

Width of Spread: 12.0656 ft Gutter Depression: 1.1340 in Area of Flow: 1.5267 ft^2 Eo (Gutter Flow to Total Flow): 0.3707 Gutter Depth at Curb: 4.0298 in

Inlet Input Parameters

Inlet Location: Inlet in Sag Percent Clogging: 0.0000 % Inlet Type: Curb Opening Length of Inlet: 7.0000 ft Curb opening height: 6.0000 in Local Depression: 2.0000 in

Inlet Result Parameters

Perimeter: 9.7000 ft Effective Perimeter: 9.7000 ft Area: 4.6667 ft^2 Effective Area: 4.6667 ft^2 Depth at curb face (upstream of local depression): 0.4458 ft Computed Width of Spread at Sag: 17.5636 ft Flow type: Weir Flow Efficiency: 1.0000

Notes: Drainage Area A4

Gutter Input Parameters

Longitudinal Slope of Road: 0.0200 ft/ft Cross-Slope of Pavement: 0.0200 ft/ft Depressed Gutter Geometry Cross-Slope of Gutter: 0.0830 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0150 Gutter Width: 1.5000 ft Design Flow: 3.9400 cfs

Gutter Result Parameters

Width of Spread: 9.7143 ft Gutter Depression: 1.1340 in Area of Flow: 1.0146 ft^2 Eo (Gutter Flow to Total Flow): 0.4582 Gutter Depth at Curb: 3.4654 in

Inlet Input Parameters

Inlet Location: Inlet in Sag Percent Clogging: 0.0000 % Inlet Type: Curb Opening Length of Inlet: 5.0000 ft Curb opening height: 6.0000 in Local Depression: 2.0000 in

Inlet Result Parameters

Perimeter: 7.7000 ft Effective Perimeter: 7.7000 ft Area: 3.3333 ft^2 Effective Area: 3.3333 ft^2 Depth at curb face (upstream of local depression): 0.3672 ft Computed Width of Spread at Sag: 13.6328 ft Flow type: Weir Flow Efficiency: 1.0000

Curb and Gutter Analysis: CB#5, 6, 8, 9, 10

Notes: Catch Basins #5, 6, 8, 9, & 10 are all in a similar condition. The largest 25-year storm event was analyzed between drainage Areas A5, A6, A8, A9, & A10.

Gutter Input Parameters

Longitudinal Slope of Road: 0.0200 ft/ft Cross-Slope of Pavement: 0.0200 ft/ft Depressed Gutter Geometry Cross-Slope of Gutter: 0.0830 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0150 Gutter Width: 1.5000 ft Design Flow: 0.5900 cfs

Gutter Result Parameters

Width of Spread: 3.6597 ft Gutter Depression: 1.1340 in Area of Flow: 0.2048 ft^2 Eo (Gutter Flow to Total Flow): 0.8977 Gutter Depth at Curb: 2.0123 in

Inlet Input Parameters

Inlet Location: Inlet in Sag Percent Clogging: 0.0000 % Inlet Type: Curb Opening Length of Inlet: 3.0000 ft Curb opening height: 6.0000 in Local Depression: 2.0000 in

Inlet Result Parameters

Perimeter: 5.7000 ft Effective Perimeter: 5.7000 ft Area: 2.0000 ft^2 Effective Area: 2.0000 ft^2 Depth at curb face (upstream of local depression): 0.1265 ft Computed Width of Spread at Sag: 1.6011 ft Flow type: Weir Flow Efficiency: 1.0000

Notes: Drainage Area A7

Gutter Input Parameters

Longitudinal Slope of Road: 0.0200 ft/ft Cross-Slope of Pavement: 0.0200 ft/ft Depressed Gutter Geometry Cross-Slope of Gutter: 0.0830 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0150 Gutter Width: 1.5000 ft Design Flow: 3.6500 cfs

Gutter Result Parameters

Width of Spread: 9.4026 ft Gutter Depression: 1.1340 in Area of Flow: 0.9550 ft^2 Eo (Gutter Flow to Total Flow): 0.4725 Gutter Depth at Curb: 3.3906 in

Inlet Input Parameters

Inlet Location: Inlet in Sag Percent Clogging: 0.0000 % Inlet Type: Curb Opening Length of Inlet: 5.0000 ft Curb opening height: 6.0000 in Local Depression: 2.0000 in

Inlet Result Parameters

Perimeter: 7.7000 ft Effective Perimeter: 7.7000 ft Area: 3.3333 ft^2 Effective Area: 3.3333 ft^2 Depth at curb face (upstream of local depression): 0.3489 ft Computed Width of Spread at Sag: 12.7206 ft Flow type: Weir Flow Efficiency: 1.0000

Notes: Drainage Area A11

Gutter Input Parameters

Longitudinal Slope of Road: 0.0200 ft/ft Cross-Slope of Pavement: 0.0200 ft/ft Depressed Gutter Geometry Cross-Slope of Gutter: 0.0830 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0150 Gutter Width: 1.5000 ft Design Flow: 4.3400 cfs

Gutter Result Parameters

Width of Spread: 10.1197 ft Gutter Depression: 1.1340 in Area of Flow: 1.0950 ft^2 Eo (Gutter Flow to Total Flow): 0.4406 Gutter Depth at Curb: 3.5627 in

Inlet Input Parameters

Inlet Location: Inlet in Sag Percent Clogging: 0.0000 % Inlet Type: Curb Opening Length of Inlet: 7.0000 ft Curb opening height: 6.0000 in Local Depression: 2.0000 in

Inlet Result Parameters

Perimeter: 9.7000 ft Effective Perimeter: 9.7000 ft Area: 4.6667 ft^2 Effective Area: 4.6667 ft^2 Depth at curb face (upstream of local depression): 0.3357 ft Computed Width of Spread at Sag: 12.0616 ft Flow type: Weir Flow Efficiency: 1.0000

Pipe Sizing To be provided in Final Engineering.

APPENDIX E Reference Material

OCFCD Drainage Maps

Pages from the City of Anaheim Master Plan of Storm Drainage for Anaheim Barber City Channel

LEGEND

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

F3: Sewer Study

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PSOMAS

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

To: Keith Linker

From: Mike Swan

Date: April 12, 2022

Subject: Sewer Study – Ball Road and Anaheim Boulevard – TM 19140 City Project Tracking No.: OTH2021-01390

The purpose of this memorandum is to document a sewer study prepared for the proposed Ball Road and Anaheim Blvd. site by Toffoli Investments (Tentative Map 19140) consisting of 249 townhome dwelling units (DU) and 4,500 square foot (sf) of retail space. The site consists of Assessor Parcel Nos. (APNs) 082-461-23, -24, -25, -31, -34, -35, -39, with an area of approximately 10.5 acres. The project would include the demolition of the existing commercial structures on the site. The site is located on the southeast corner of Ball Road and Anaheim Boulevard as shown on Figure 1.

Sewage from the existing site currently discharges to the 15-inch sewer along Ball Road and the 8-inch sewer along Anaheim Blvd. According to the Central Anaheim Master Plan of Sanitary Sewers (CAMPSS), dated December 2017, and the updated modeling from the South Central Anaheim Sewer Study (SCASS) dated May 2020, sewer generation from the site and adjacent parcels to the north and south in the Existing and Buildout System computer model scenarios were loaded as commercial use, based on parcel acreage, to the existing 15-inch sewer flowing west on Ball Road and the 8-inch sewer flowing south on Anaheim Blvd.

In the current model sewage from the project site discharges to manholes SW096101 and SW086205 on Ball Road and SW086216 and SW086223 on Anaheim Blvd. The existing commercial load of 12.4 gallons per minute (gpm), or about 17,816 gallons per day (gpd), for the project site of 10.5 acres will be removed from these four manholes bordering the project site. Sewage from the proposed 139 townhome DUs will add an average flow of 23.17 gpm, or 33,360 gpd, to a proposed manhole south of SW086230 on Anaheim Blvd and Winston Road. The use of an on-site private lift station is not needed since the residential flow is proposed to be split between the two discharge manholes for the project. The remaining proposed 110 townhome DUs, and 4,500 sf of retail space will add an average flow of 18.94 gpm, or 27,278 gpd, to manhole SW096206 on Ball Road. The existing and proposed manhole loading with flow generation is summarized in Table 1. Flow factors are in gpd/acre, gpd/DU, and gpd/thousand square feet (ksf). The existing downstream sewer collection system and the location of the proposed project site are shown on Figure 2. In addition, the proposed La Quinta Inn Hotel, Midway Apartments, Legacy and Avanti Townhomes development sewer flow generations from recent sewer studies were loaded in both the existing and buildout model runs. The locations of these four proposed developments for which recent sewer studies were conducted are labeled on Figure 1.

Ball Road and Anaheim Boulevard Sewer Study Page 2 of 5 April 12, 2022 2ANA015041

The proposed average flow factor for townhome units is 240 gpd/DU based on the Central Anaheim Master Plan of Sanitary Sewers (CAMPSS) and 195 gpd/ksf for commercial based on industry standards. As shown in Table 1, the average daily flow increase (net additional flow) to the Ball Road and Anaheim Blvd. sewer collection system is 21,541 gpd and 21,280 gpd, respectively. Although the total proposed flow from the project site is 60,638gpd, for a total average daily flow increase to the sewer collection system of 42,821 gpd, the separate flow split values are most important, since they flow to separate downstream collection systems and discharge to separate Orange County Sanitation District (OC San) trunk sewers.

		Units			Flow	Exsiting	Proposed
	Existing/				Factor	Flow Rate	Flow Rate
Manhole Number	Proposed	Acres DU ksf			(gpd/unit)	(gpd)	(gpd)
Existing Manhole Flow Loading							
SW096101							
Commercial - Auto Dealership	Exisiting	1.45			1,700	2,470	
SW086205							
Commercial - Auto Dealership	Existing	1.92			1,700	3,266	
SW086216							
Commercial - Retail	Existing	1.30			1,700	2,210	$\overline{}$
SW086223							
Commercial - Auto Dealership	Existing	5.81			1,700	9,871	
Total Existing Flow						17,816	
Proposed Manhole Flow Loading							
SW096206							
Townhomes	Proposed		110		240	$\overline{}$	26,400
Commercial - Retail	Proposed			4.5	195		878
Total Flow to SW096206 on Ball Road							27,278
Average Flow Increase on Ball Road							21,541
SW086230							
Townhomes	Proposed		139		240		33,360
Total Flow to SW086230 on Anaheim Blvd.							33,360
Average Flow Increase on Anaheim Blvd.							21,280
Total Proposed Flow							60,638
Average Flow Increase							42,821

Table 1 – Existing and Proposed Manhole Flow Loading

Project Analysis

The proposed project flows were analyzed separately for the sewage discharging to these two separate systems, Anaheim Blvd. and Ball Road.

Anaheim Boulevard Analysis

The Existing Condition Scenario plus the peak project flow over a 24-hour simulation period and the maximum depth-to-Diameter (d/D) ratios for the sewer collection system from the latest hydraulic model is shown in Table 2 for Anaheim Blvd. tributary flow. As seen in Table 2, there are deficiencies present in the southerly and northerly Katella Avenue lines for two sewer segments between Walnut and 9th Street and three segments just upstream of Disneyland Drive.

Ball Road and Anaheim Boulevard Sewer Study Page 3 of 5 April 12, 2022 2ANA015041

The sewer system manholes are shown in detail in the immediate vicinity of the project on Figure 1 with manhole numbers corresponding to the Upstream and Downstream IDs in Table 2. The entire downstream sewer collection system is shown graphically on Figure 2 with manhole numbers labeled at key locations.

Flow from the project runs southerly on Anaheim Blvd., westerly in Midway Drive, southerly in Harbor Blvd. and westerly in Katella Avenue to Euclid Street. The model pipe alignment was adjusted to match manhole locations found on Google Earth street view on Anaheim Blvd. adjacent to the project, where the project is proposed to discharge. The current model and district maps showed the pipe going to the westerly side of the street and then back to the easterly side. The as-builts provided had different lengths between manholes north of Palais Road compared to the distances found in Google Earth. The model was updated to match as-built slopes along Anaheim Blvd. On Harbor Blvd. there are two parallel sewers west of the 5 Freeway and Manchester Avenue. At manhole SW086326 on Manchester just east of Harbor, tributary flows split into an 18-inch line running down the west side of Harbor and a 15-inch down the east side. Both of these sewer lines connect to the northerly line on Katella Avenue and continue to flow westerly. The SCASS identified improvement projects that would free up capacity along the northerly Katella line. The developer of the 110 W. Midway project was conditioned to design and construct an improvement to divert flow from the north Katella line to the south line. The model was adjusted based on the currently recommended alternative from that preliminary design report (PDR) to send 100 percent of the flow, about 937 gpm, from the 15" easterly Harbor Blvd. line to the southerly Katella Avenue line. That PDR showed that flows downstream of the diversion manhole at Harbor and Katella are better balanced in terms improving available capacity and this sewer study assumes the diversion that is currently under design and is a condition of the development of the Midway project would be in place prior to occupancies on the subject project. Downstream of Harbor Blvd., the northerly line is 21-inch in diameter increasing to 24-inch at Disneyland Dr. It then increases to 27-inch after Walnut Street before discharging to the OC San trunk sewer system in Euclid Street. Downstream of Harbor Blvd. the southerly line is 24-inch all the way to Euclid where it also discharges to the OC San system. It should be noted that the southerly Katella line also has two diversion manholes, one at West Street and 9th Street. For the West Street diversion it goes to a 24-inch sewer that runs southerly down West Street and westerly in Elanor Drive and Wakefield Avenue to 9th Street where it connects to a 24-inch sewer running south in 9th Street to Orangewood Avenue where it discharges to an OC San trunk sewer.

From Table 1, the additional average flow from the Project tributary to the Anaheim Blvd. system totals 21,280 gpd, which converts to 37 gpm of additional peak flow using a peaking factor of 2.5 for residential. The flow splits approximately in half at SW086326 to the easterly and westerly Harbor lines and the easterly Harbor line is proposed to be diverted to the southerly Katella line. Therefore, the additional peak flow to each of the Katella lines is less than 18 gpm each. The proposed diversion improvement project at the Harbor and Katella intersection will essentially resolve existing plus near term capacity issues down to Walnut Street and the development is contributing less than an additional 0.5% of the design capacity in terms of flow to the deficient two reaches of sewer in the northerly Katella line just downstream of Walnut Street.

Ball Road and Anaheim Boulevard Sewer Study Page 4 of 5 April 12, 2022 2ANA015041

Downstream of the project there is a capacity deficient sewer segment on the northerly Katella Avenue line. There is a maximum d/D of 1.0, well above the d/D criteria of 0.75 for lines 12 inches in diameter and larger. Based on this analysis, the system has capacity deficiencies in the downstream collection system from the proposed project. However these deficiencies have come up in previous sewer studies and as a parallel system, if the northerly line does flow full, it will eventually spill into the southerly line at certain existing points where the parallel lines are interconnected and there should not be sewer spills.

The Buildout Condition Scenario plus the project flows and d/D ratios for the sewer collection system from the hydraulic model are shown in Table 3. As seen in Table 3, Buildout Scenario, there are deficiencies present in the southerly and northerly Katella Avenue line for thirteen sewer segments just upstream of Disneyland Drive and two segments between Walnut and 9th Street. However, ten of these segments have d/D ratios of 0.75 to 0.76, only slightly over the 0.75 criteria and three segments of the 24" deficient pipelines just upstream of Disneyland Drive have a max d/D of 0.80 which is an excess capacity of 254 gpm. The same parallel system in Katella Avenue line described above in the Existing Scenario applies to the Buildout Scenario as well. In the Buildout Scenario, the flows are slightly higher but the full flows shown are actually just over 1.0 with the highest Q over Q_{full} being only 1.07. So the hydraulic grade line will not surcharge these manholes appreciably and the sewer has 10 to 12 feet of cover in these reaches.

Ball Road Analysis

The Existing Condition Scenario plus the peak project flow over a 24-hour simulation period and the maximum depth-to-Diameter (d/D) ratios for the sewer collection system from the latest hydraulic model is shown in Table 4 for Ball Road tributary flow. The CAMPSS identified a 20 linear foot segment of 15-inch pipeline just downstream of where flow is diverted to the southerly Ball Road sewer via a 21-inch siphon on Lemon Street. The current model reflects changes made in the recent SCASS Ball Road System Update Tech Memo dated June 2020 where this pipeline was confirmed to have a diameter of 24-inches by City maintenance staff. There is a maximum d/D of 0.62 in this system, well below the d/D criteria of 0.75 for lines 12 inches in diameter and larger. Based on this analysis, the system has no capacity deficient pipelines in the downstream collection system due to development of the proposed project.

Flow from the project runs westerly on Ball Road from Technology Circle. There are two large diameter, parallel sewers in Ball Road west of Lemon Street. The project area is tributary to the southerly Ball Road pipeline downstream of Lemon Street, the northerly line is 18-inch in diameter increasing to 24-inch at Harbor Blvd. and then connecting to the southerly line at manhole SW075202. The southerly line is 24-inch from Lemon Street to Walnut Street where it increases to 33-inch and eventually discharges to the OC San trunk sewer system in Euclid Street.

The Buildout Condition Scenario plus the project flows and d/D ratios for the sewer collection system from the hydraulic model are shown in Table 5. As seen in Table 5, Buildout Scenario, there are no deficiencies present in the Ball Road line. The same parallel system in Ball Road described above in the Existing Scenario applies to the Buildout Scenario as well. In the Buildout Ball Road and Anaheim Boulevard Sewer Study Page 5 of 5 April 12, 2022 2ANA015041

Scenario, the corresponding southerly Ball Road sewer reach has a maximum d/D value of 0.66 downstream of Walnut Street, which is acceptable for a 33-inch pipe.

Conclusion

Sewer system improvements upstream of Harbor and Katella are not required for the proposed project consisting of 139 DUs discharging at Anaheim Blvd. However, model results show insufficient capacity within certain segments of the northerly Katella sewer of the parallel Katella system that are exacerbated by the increased sewer flow generated by the proposed project for both existing and buildout scenarios. The proposed project could move forward assuming the Midway project addresses the recommended diversion improvements at the Harbor and Katella intersection from the SCASS to alleviate these capacity deficient sewer segments. In the case that the Midway project fails to move ahead of this development, then the deficiencies would need to be addressed by the proposed project. Sewer system improvements are not required on Ball Road due to the development of the proposed project consisting of 110 DUs, and 4,500sf retail space tributary to that system.

Attachments: Figures 1 & 2, Tables 2 through 5, Site Plan Exhibit TM-8 and TM-9

F I G U R E 1 LOCATION MAP

F I G U R E 2 \blacksquare **TRIBUTARY BASIN MAP**

Table 2 - Existing Land Use Scenario Plus Project - Anaheim Blvd. System

Table 2 cont. - Existing Land Use Scenario Plus Project - Anaheim Blvd. System

Table 2 cont. - Existing Land Use Scenario Plus Project - Anaheim Blvd. System

Table 3 - Buildout Land Use Scenario Plus Project - Anaheim Blvd. System

Table 3 cont. - Buildout Land Use Scenario Plus Project - Anaheim Blvd. System

Table 3 cont. - Buildout Land Use Scenario Plus Project - Anaheim Blvd. System

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK