Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Feather River Fish Monitoring Station Project

Lead Agency: California Department of Water Resources

Contact Name: Erica Hironaka

Email: Erica.Hironaka@water.ca.gov Phone Number: (916) 376-1927

Project Location: Oroville, Butte County
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The Project consists of four main components: resistance board weir, upstream passage complex, a PIT tag antenna array, and the solar power system. Construction activities will involve vegetation trimming; construction and installation of the resistance board weir; construction and installation of the upstream passage complex (fish counting chutes, camera boxes, security enclosure, and live trap); installation of the pit tag antenna array; installation of a floodplain junction box; installation of the fenced power and controller area (solar panels, fence, security cameras, and conduit); and installation of warning and directional signage.

The Project site is located in and adjacent to the low-flow channel (LFC) of the Feather River at river mile (RM) 61 upstream of the Thermalito Afterbay Outlet near the town of Oroville in Butte County, California, near 39.46098 N and -121.60846 W. This location corresponds to a portion of Section 35, Township 19 North, Range 3 East of the Palermo, California U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The Project may significantly impact Biological Resources, Cultural Resources, Tribal Cultural Resources, and Mandatory Findings of Significance. Project implementation of the following mitigation measures will avoid and reduce the potentially significant environmental impacts of the Project to less than significant levels:
Mitigation Measure Bio-1: Avoid and minimize potential impacts to special-status plants and wildlife
Mitigation Measure Bio-2: Avoid and minimize impacts to special-status amphibians and reptiles
Mitigation Measure Bio-3: Avoid and minimize impacts to western pond turtle
Mitigation Measure Bio-4: Avoid and minimize impacts to nesting birds.
Mitigation Measure Bio-5: Avoid and minimize impacts to rookery birds.
Mitigation Measure Bio-6: Avoid and minimize impacts to raptors.
Mitigation Measure Bio-7: Avoid and minimize impacts to Bald Eagle.
Mitigation Measure Bio-8: Avoid and minimize impacts to CCV Steelhead.
Mitigation Measure Bio-9: Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle.
Mitigation Measure Bio-10: Avoid and minimize impacts to special-status plants.
Mitigation Measure Cul-1: Adherence to Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties.
Mitigation Measure Cul-2: Discovery of Human Remains.
Mitigation Measure Cul-3: Worker Awareness and Response for undiscovered Cultural Resources.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Not applicable.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Central Valley Flood Protection Board

California Department of Fish and Wildlife- Region 2- North Central Region

Native American Heritage Commission

Office of Historic Preservation

Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board 5R

State Lands Commission

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers