## Appendix B

## Road Construction Emissions Model, Version 9.0.0

Daily Emission Estimates for -> Salem Street over Little Chico Creek Bridge Replacement Project					Total	Exhaust	Fugitive Dust	Total	Exhaust	Fugitive Dust					
Project Phases (Pounds)		ROG (lbs/day)	CO (lbs/day)	NOx (lbs/day)	PM10 (lbs/day)	PM10 (lbs/day)	PM10 (lbs/day)	PM2.5 (lbs/day)	PM2.5 (lbs/day)	PM2.5 (lbs/day)	SOx (lbs/day)	CO2 (lbs/day)	CH4 (lbs/day)	N2O (lbs/day)	CO2e (lbs/day)
Grubbing/Land Clearing		0.97	9.86	9.34	5.41	0.41	5.00	1.40	0.36	1.04	0.02	2,150.95	0.58	0.04	2,178.69
Grading/Excavation		4.86	40.17	50.18	7.10	2.10	5.00	2.91	1.87	1.04	0.10	10,016.77	2.93	0.13	10,127.50
Drainage/Utilities/Sub-Grade		3.52	33.04	34.37	6.48	1.48	5.00	2.39	1.35	1.04	0.07	6,934.43	1.56	0.09	7,000.62
Paving		1.14	14.99	10.92	0.57	0.57	0.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.03	2,438.46	0.65	0.05	2,469.06
Maximum (pounds/day)		4.86	40.17	50.18	7.10	2.10	5.00	2.91	1.87	1.04	0.10	10,016.77	2.93	0.13	10,127.50
Total (tons/construction project)		0.30	2.72	3.05	0.50	0.13	0.37	0.19	0.12	0.08	0.01	617.29	0.17	0.01	623.87
Notes:	Project Start Year ->	2023													

		mported/Exported (yd³/day)	Daily VMT (miles/day)							
Phase	Soil	Soil Asphalt		Asphalt Hauling	Worker Commute	Water Truck				
Grubbing/Land Clearing	0	0	0	0	200	40				
Grading/Excavation	0	0	0	0	1,120	40				
Drainage/Utilities/Sub-Grade	0	0	0	0	720	40				
Paving	0	0	0	0	320	40				

PM10 and PM2.5 estimates assume 50% control of fugitive dust from watering and associated dust control measures if a minimum number of water trucks are specified.

Total PM10 emissions shown in column F are the sum of exhaust and fugitive dust emissions shown in columns G and H. Total PM2.5 emissions shown in Column I are the sum of exhaust and fugitive dust emissions shown in columns J and K.

CO2e emissions are estimated by multiplying mass emissions for each GHG by its global warming potential (GWP), 1, 25 and 298 for CO2, CH4 and N2O, respectively. Total CO2e is then estimated by summing CO2e estimates over all GHGs.

Total Emission Estimates by Phase for -> Salem Street over Little Chico Creek Bridge Replacement Project				Total	Exhaust	Fugitive Dust	Total	Exhaust	Fugitive Dust					
Project Phases (Tons for all except CO2e. Metric tonnes for CO2e)	ROG (tons/phase)	CO (tons/phase)	NOx (tons/phase)	PM10 (tons/phase)	PM10 (tons/phase)	PM10 (tons/phase)	PM2.5 (tons/phase)	PM2.5 (tons/phase)	PM2.5 (tons/phase)	SOx (tons/phase)	CO2 (tons/phase)	CH4 (tons/phase)	N2O (tons/phase)	CO2e (MT/phase)
Grubbing/Land Clearing	0.01	0.09	0.08	0.05	0.00	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	18.93	0.01	0.00	17.39
Grading/Excavation	0.17	1.41	1.77	0.25	0.07	0.18	0.10	0.07	0.04	0.00	352.59	0.10	0.00	323.40
Drainage/Utilities/Sub-Grade	0.11	1.02	1.06	0.20	0.05	0.15	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.00	213.58	0.05	0.00	195.61
Paving	0.02	0.20	0.14	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	32.19	0.01	0.00	29.57
Maximum (tons/phase)	0.17	1.41	1.77	0.25	0.07	0.18	0.10	0.07	0.04	0.00	352.59	0.10	0.00	323.40
Total (tons/construction project)	0.30	2.72	3.05	0.50	0.13	0.37	0.19	0.12	0.08	0.01	617.29	0.17	0.01	565.97

PM10 and PM2.5 estimates assume 50% control of fugitive dust from watering and associated dust control measures if a minimum number of water trucks are specified.

Total PM10 emissions shown in column F are the sum of exhaust and fugitive dust emissions shown in columns G and H. Total PM2.5 emissions shown in Column I are the sum of exhaust and fugitive dust emissions shown in columns J and K.

CO2e emissions are estimated by multiplying mass emissions for each GHG by its global warming potential (GWP), 1, 25 and 298 for CO2, CH4 and N2O, respectively. Total CO2e is then estimated by summing CO2e estimates over all GHGs.

The CO2e emissions are reported as metric tons per phase.