Appendix E Drainage Study

Preliminary Drainage Study for Pacific

Prepared: January 24, 2023

PREPARED FOR

William Lundstrom, R.C.E. Lundstrom Engineering & Surveying

3333 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 330 San Diego, California 92108 (619) 814-1220



Declaration of Responsible Charge

I hereby declare that I am the engineer of work for this project. That I have exercised responsible charge over the design of the project as defined in Section 6703 of the business and professions code, and that the design is consistent with current standards.

I understand that the check of project drawings and specifications by the City of San Marcos is confined to a review only and does not relieve me, as engineer of work, of my responsibilities for project design.

h. 01/24/2023 No. C61630 Expires William Lundstrom 6/30/23 Date Registered Civil Engineer 61630 Exp. Date: 06/30/23

Table of Contents

Declaratio	on of Responsible Charge	, ii
Table of F	Exhibits	iii
List of Ta	bles	iv
Table of A	Appendices	iv
Introduct	ion	1
Purpos	e and Scope	1
Section 1.	Property Information	2
1.1. 1.2. 1.3.	Property Description Hydrologic Setting Proposed Runoff Management Facilities	2 2 3
Section 2.	Design Criteria and Methodology	4
2.1.	Hydrologic Design Methodology	4
Section 3.	Characterization of Project Runoff	8
3.1.	Hydrologic Effects of Project	8
Section 4.	Summary and Conclusions	9

Table of Exhibits

Exhibit A	Vicinity Map
Exhibit B	FEMA FIRM Panel.
Exhibit C	Existing Condition Hydrology Map
Exhibit D	Proposed Condition Hydrology Map

List of Tables

Table 2-1	Rational Method Runoff Coefficients	5
Table 3-1	Summary of Hydrology Analysis.	9

Table of Appendices

Appendix AHydrologic InformationAppendix BHydrologic Calculations

Introduction

Purpose and Scope

The City's application process requires a hydrology/ drainage study on all properties at the time of application. This study provides the needed information to ensure that any drainage facilities proposed in the future are sized and located appropriately and will accommodate any future development.

The study reviews storm runoff under existing conditions (100 year event) and identifies existing drainage problems that may be caused, or aggravated, by future development. The study is further used to determine impacts that might be caused downstream (erosion) and to identify proposed mitigation measures.

Section 1. Property Information

1.1.Property Description

1.1.1 Property Location

The subject property is located at the northwestern corner of Linda Vista Drive and Las Posas Road in the city of San Marcos, CA. The property is bounded to the southwest by Linda Vista Rd, to the southeast by Las Posas Rd., to the northeast by La Mirada Dr., and to the northwest by Pacific St. **Exhibit A** provides a location map for the site.

1.1.2 Property Activities Description

The project is the development of Pacific, located at the northwest corner of Las Posas Road and Linda Vista Drive, comprised of APN's 219-222-01, 219-222-02, 219-222-03, and 219-222-04. the project proposed the development of approximately 449 residential units, including a mix of five story podium apartments, three story rowhomes, three story villas, and four story affordable flats on approximately 17.9 acres within the 33.2 acre project site. The project proposes a general plan amendment, rezone, specific plan, tentative map, and multi-family site development plan.

1.2.Hydrologic Setting

This section summarizes the project's size and location in the context of the larger watershed perspective, topography, soil and vegetation conditions, percent impervious area, natural and infrastructure drainage features, and other relevant hydrologic and environmental factors to be protected specific to the project area's watershed.

1.2.1 Topography

The topography slopes southeasterly toward Las Posas Rd and Linda Vista Dr. Elevations on site range from 557.1 Mean Sea Level to 524.7 Mean Sea Level.

1.2.2 FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map

The site is located in Zone X of the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Panel 06073C0789H. Zone X is designated to be areas determined to be outside the 500-year floodplain. **Exhibit B** illustrates the project site within Flood Zone X.

1.2.3 Current and Adjacent Land Use

The 33.2 acre property is currently undeveloped. Adjacent land use is varied with shopping centers, light industrial, and recreation.

1.2.4 Soil and Vegetation Conditions

The majority of the property contains type D soils per USDA soils site. See Appendix A

1.2.5 Existing Drainage Patterns and Facilities (Narrative)

The majority of the site flows southeasterly toward the northwest corner of Linda Vista Drive and Las Posas Road. This drainage is collected in a CMP (corrugated meatal pipe) riser which drains to an 11'x7' RCB (reinforced concrete box) in Las Posas Rd. The remainder of the site surface drains to the surrounding streets. All surrounding streets drain via gutter flow to the same corner (Las Posas and Linda Vista) where runoff is collected by a pair of curb inlets which drain into the same 11'x7' RCB in Las Posas Rd. It is noted that some on-site run-off occurs from the property onto adjacent streets. The run-off is carried via the streets to the same RCB in Las Posas Road. There is no offsite run-on to the property.

1.2.6 Downstream Conditions

All site runoff leads to the RCB in Las Posas Rd.

1.3. Proposed Runoff Management Facilities

Any future development facilities for managing runoff from the site will include one or more of the following (examples listed below or equal):

- Biofiltration basins.
- Underground Storage

Per the preliminary geotechnical evaluation by GeoTek, Inc. No. 3649-SD dated January 2022, infiltration rates are 0.07 inches per hour so infiltration is not recommended. Treated runoff will follow the same drainage pattern as currently existing.

Section 2. Design Criteria and Methodology

This section summarizes the design criteria and methodology applied during drainage analysis of the property. The design criteria and methodology follow the County of San Diego County Hydrology Manual (June 2003), San Diego County Hydraulic Drainage Design Manual (September 2014), and Storm Water Standards as appropriate for the property location.

2.1.Hydrologic Design Methodology

2.1.1 Rational Method: Peak Flow

Runoff calculations for this study were accomplished using the Rational Method. The Rational Method is a physically-based numerical method where runoff is assumed to be directly proportional to rainfall and area, less losses for infiltration and depression storage. Flows were computed based on the Rational formula:

$$Q = CiA$$

where ... Q = Peak discharge (cfs); C = runoff coefficient, based on land use and soil type; i = rainfall intensity (in/hr); A = watershed area (acre)

The runoff coefficient represents the ratio of rainfall that runs off the watershed versus the portion that infiltrates to the soil or is held in depression storage. The runoff coefficient is dependent on the land use coverage and soil type.

For a typical drainage study, rainfall intensity varies with the watershed time of concentration. The watershed time of concentration at any given point is defined as the time it would theoretically take runoff to travel from the most upstream point in the watershed to a concentration point, as calculated by equations in the San Diego County Hydrology Manual.

		RUN	OFF CO	EFFICI	ENT
	(%)	Ну	drologic	Soil Typ	e
LAND USE (County Elements)	Imperv.	Α	В	С	D
Permanent Open Space		0.20	0.25	0.30	0.35
Residential, 1.0 DU/A or less	10	0.27	0.32	0.36	0.41
Residential, 2.0 DU/A or less	20	0.34	0.38	0.42	0.46
Residential, 2.9 DU/A or less	25	0.38	0.41	0.45	0.49
Residential, 4.3 DU/A or less	30	0.41	0.45	0.48	0.52
Residential, 7.3 DU/A or less	40	0.48	0.51	0.54	0.57
Residential, 10.9 DU/A or less	45	0.52	0.54	0.57	0.60
Residential, 14.5 DU/A or less	50	0.55	0.58	0.60	0.63
Residential, 24.0 DU/A or less	65	0.66	0.67	0.69	0.71
Residential, 43.0 DU/A or less	80	0.76	0.77	0.78	0.79
Neighborhood Commercial	80	0.76	0.77	0.78	0.79
General Commercial	85	0.80	0.80	0.81	0.82
Office Professional/Commercial	90	0.83	0.84	0.84	0.85
Limited Industrial	90	0.83	0.84	0.84	0.85
General Industrial	95	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87

 Table 2-1
 Rational Method Runoff Coefficients.

Rational Method calculations were accomplished using the Advanced Engineering Software Rational Method Analysis (Southern California County Methods) (AES-RATSCx) computer software packages. Peak discharges were computed for 100-year and 50-year storm return frequencies.

2.1.2 Time of Concentration

The Time of Concentration (T_c) is the time required for runoff to flow from the most remote part of the drainage area to the point of interest. The T_c is composed of two components: initial time of concentration (T_i) and the travel time (T_t) . The T_i is the time required for runoff to travel across the surface of the most remote subarea in the study, or "initial subarea". Guidelines for designation the initial subarea are provided within the discussion of computation of T_i . The T_i is the time required for the runoff to flow in a watercourse (e.g., swale, channel, gutter, pipe) or series of watercourses from the initial subarea to the point of interest. For the Rational Method, the T_c at any point within the drainage area is given by:

$$T_c = T_i + T_t$$

Methods of calculation differ for natural watersheds (nonurbanized) and for urban drainage systems. When analyzing storm drain systems, the designer must consider the possibility that an existing natural watershed may become urbanized during the useful life of the storm drain system. Future land uses must be used for T_c and runoff calculations, and can be determined from the local Community General Plan.

2.1.3 Initial Time of Concentration

The initial time of concentration is typically based on sheet flow at the upstream end of a drainage basin. The Overland Time of Flow is approximated by an equation developed by the Federal Aviation Agency (FAA) for analyzing flow on runways (FAA, 1970). The usual runway configuration consists of a crown, like most freeways, with sloping pavement that directs flow to either side of the runway. This type of flow is uniform in the direction perpendicular to the velocity and is very shallow. Since these depths are ¹/₄ of an inch in magnitude, the relative roughness is high. Some higher relative roughness values for overland flow are presented in the *HEC-1 Flood Hydrograph Package User's Manual* (USACE, 1990).

The sheet flow that is predicted by the FAA equation is limited to conditions that are similar to runway topography. Some considerations that limit the extent to which the FAA equation applies are identified below:

- Urban Areas This "runway type" runoff includes:
 - \circ Flat roofs, sloping at 1% +/-
 - Parking lots at the extreme upstream drainage basin boundary (at the "ridge" of a catchment area.) Even a parking lot is limited in the amounts of sheet flow. Parked or moving vehicles would "break-up" the sheet flow, concentrating runoff into streams that are not characteristic of sheet flow.
 - Driveways are constructed at the upstream end of catchment areas in some developments. However, if flow from a roof is directed to a driveway through a downspout or other conveyance mechanism, flow would be concentrated.
 - Flat slopes are prone to meandering flow that tends to be disrupted by minor irregularities and obstructions. Maximum Overland Flow lengths are shorter for the flatter slopes.
- Rural or Natural Areas The FAA equation is applicable to these conditions since (0.5% to 10%) slopes that are uniform in width of flow have slow velocities consistent with the equation. Irregularities in terrain limit the length of application.
 - $_{\odot}$ Most hills and ridge lines have a relatively flat area near the drainage divide. However, with flat slopes of 0.5% +/-, minor irregularities would cause flow to concentrate into streams.
 - Parks, lawns and other vegetated areas would have slow velocities that are consistent with the FAA Equation.

The Initial Time of Concentration is reflective of the general land-use at the upstream end of a drainage basin.

2.1.4 Travel Time

The T_t is the time required for the runoff to flow in a watercourse or series of watercourses from the initial subarea to the point of interest. The T_t is computed by dividing the length of the flow path by the computed flow velocity. Since the velocity normally changes as a result of each change in flow rate or slope, such as at an inlet or grade break, the total T_t must be computed as the sum of the T_t 's for each section of the flow path.

2.1.5 Rational Method: Runoff Volume

For designs that are dependent on the total storm volume, a hydrograph must be generated to account for the entire volume of runoff from the 6-hour storm event. The hydrograph for the entire 6-hour storm event is generated by creating a rainfall distribution consisting of blocks of rain, creating an incremental hydrograph for each block of rain, and adding the hydrographs from each block of rain. This process creates a hydrograph that contains runoff from all the blocks of rain and accounts for the entire volume of runoff from the 6-hour storm event. The total volume under the resulting hydrograph is equal to the following equation:

 $VOL = CP_6A$ Where: VOL = volume of runoff (acres-inches) $P_6 = 6\text{-hour rainfall (inches)}$ C = runoff coefficient A = area of the watershed (acres)

Section 3. Characterization of Project Runoff

3.1.Hydrologic Effects of Project

Any future development will be designed such that it will not significantly alter drainage patterns on the site. Table 3-1 summarizes the hydrologic effects of the existing site.

EXISTING					
NODE	TC (MIN.)	AREA (ACRES)	С	l100 (in/hr)	Q100 RUN-OFF (CFS)
DMA1	18.7	0.33	0.35	3.71	0.43
DMA2	18.7	0.70	0.35	3.71	0.91
DMA3	18.7	0.78	0.35	3.71	1.01
DMA4	18.7	4.32	0.35	3.71	5.61
DMA5	18.7	2.43	0.35	3.71	3.20
DMA6	18.7	24.05	0.35	3.71	31.36
DMA7	18.7	0.53	0.35	3.71	0.70
	7	TOTAL=33.1			TOTAL @ POC #1= 43.2 CFS

Table 3-1 Summary of Hydrology Analysis.

NODE	TC (MIN.)	AREA (ACRES)	С	1100 (in/hr)	Q100 RUN-OFF (CFS)
DMA 1-3	10.7	13.3	0.79	5.74	60.3 (7.5 mitigated)
DMA 4	6.4	1.8	0.79	7.41	10.5 (0.9 mitigated)
DMA 6	18.7	17.9	0.35	3.71	23.3

TOTAL=33.0

TOTAL @ POC #1= 31.7 CFS

Section 4. Summary and Conclusions

This hydrology and hydraulic study has evaluated the potential effects of rainfall, runoff, and drainage on the property. In addition, the report has addressed the methodology used to analyze the pre-construction and to the parameters for post-construction condition, which was based on the San Diego County Hydrology and Design Manual. This section provides a summary discussion that evaluates the potential effects of any future proposed project.

- The proposed project will not substantially alter the existing drainage patterns on the site or area, including through the alteration of the existing drainage course, in which would not result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site and not exceed the capacity of downstream storm drain.
- The proposed project does not place housing or structures within 100-year flood area in which would impede or redirect flows.
- The project will add new impervious area to the site, increasing unmitigated storm water runoff rates and volume from the existing condition. Proposed biofiltration basins and detention storage are sized to mitigate peak 100 year runoff rates.
- In my professional opinion, the proposed work and improvements, as they relate to this project, will not increase the flow rates or velocity of surface flows to the detriment of downstream landowners and/or facilities.

THIS PAGE BUILDS TABLE OF APPENDICES AND EXHIBITS DO NOT DELETE THIS PAGE DO NOT INCLUDE THIS PAGE WITH PUBLISHED DOCUMENT

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

- **Exhibit B** FEMA FIRM Panel.
- Exhibit C Existing Condition Hydrology Map
- Exhibit D Proposed Condition Hydrology Map

EXHIBITS



Exhibit A

Exhibit B

FEMA FIRM Panel

National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



Legend



Exhibit C

Existing Condition Hydrology Map



<u>VD</u> er	DMA #
AGE NODE	$\langle 1 \rangle$



30	ò	6	0	120	18
		SCALE:	1"=60'		

 PACIFIC
 PROPERTY

 EXISTING
 DMA
 PLAN

 APN:
 219-222-01, 02, 03, & 04

 JOB NO.:
 L300-14 TMHC
 DATE:
 8-31-2020
 SHEET: 1 OF 1

Exhibit D



END	
)	DM.

	DRAINAGE MANAGEMENT AREA (DMA)
\mathbf{i}	TREE WELL PER SD-A (PROJECT TOTAL = 63 EA)
	STORMWATER VAULT WITH HMP FLOW CONTROL (PROJECT TOTAL = 128,930

			r	ŕ	ľ.					Ť
1.1.1	DMA 40	DMAA		TMA 2D	DMA 2C	DMA 2D		DMAE		
1 I.A 75	DMA ID 0.75	0.75	DMA 5A	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	inghou
200	125.875	100.040	12.030	16 500	15,600	0.75 80.534	40.000	51.250	57.200	anches
00	125,675	190,940	12,930	10,500	15,000	00,004	+0,000	01,200	57,200	sq-ti
00	41.960	56.400	6.370	8:100	7.600	30.666	38.600			aq-rt
00	41,900	50,400	0,370	0,100	7,000	39,000	56,000			sq-rt
				-						eq ft
										en-fi
				-						so_ft
·c	Ves	Ves	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	ves/no
	103	100	100	110	_10	103	110	140	_ 10	so-ft
										sq It
2				-						sq tt
ŝ				2	<u>.</u>					so-ft
5		5		3						so-ft
3				о.	id .					sq-ft
2				-	·					sq-ft
	5	15			C	6				#
6	20	20				20				ft
		n name and			· · · · · ·					#
										gal
00	167,835	247,340	19,300	24,600	23,200	120,200	78,600	51,250	57,200	sq-ft
4	0.70	0.72	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.51	0.90	0.90	unitless
0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	unitless
4	0.70	0.72	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.51	0.90	0.90	unitless
42	7,343	11,130	772	984	928	4,808	2,505	2,883	3,218	cubic-fe
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	sq-ft
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	sq-ft
a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	ratio
0	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	ratio
4	0.70	0.72	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.51	0.90	0.90	unitless
42	7,343	11,130	772	984	928	4,808	2,505	2,883	3,218	cubic-fe
	900	2,700	0	0	0	1,080	0	0	0	cubic-fe
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	cubic-fe
4	0.61	0.55	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.50	0.51	0.90	0.90	unitless
278	102,379	136,037	12,352	15,744	14,848	60,100	40,086	46,125	51,480	sq-ft
	900	2,700	0	0	0	1,080	0	0	0	cubic-fe
10	6 4 4 2	0.420	770	004	000	0 500	0 - 0 -	0.000	2.040	1

APPENDIX A Hydrologic Information

This Section Contains:

• Precipitation Analysis



