

COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

PLANNING DIVISION

DRAFT INITIAL STUDY

WARD: 6

1. Case Number: PR-2021-001046 (DR, VR, VR, VR)

2. Project Title: Rohr, Inc. A Part of Collins Aerospace – Riverside Facility

3. **Hearing Date:** June 15, 2022

4. Lead Agency: City of Riverside

Community & Economic Development Department

Planning Division

3900 Main Street, 3rd Floor Riverside, CA 92522

5. Contact Person: Judy Egüez, Associate Planner

Phone Number: (951) 826-3969

6. Project Location: 8200 Arlington Avenue. The property is bordered by Arlington Avenue to the

north, Harold Avenue to the east, Cypress Avenue to the south, and Rutland Avenue to the west. Currently the property is surrounded by industrial,

commercial, retail, and residential development.

The Project site is approximately 7 acres of heavily used unpaved and partially paved land within the western and southwestern portions of the Applicant's property (Project site). The Project site encompasses the western side of Assessor's Parcel Numbers (APNs) 151-070-007 and all of parcels 151-080-002, 151-080-

021, and a portion of 151-070-006 to install a stormwater line.

7. Project Applicant/Project Sponsor's Name and Address:

Ron Thompson

Rohr Inc, a Part of Collins Aerospace

8200 Arlington Avenue, Riverside, CA 92503

8. **General Plan Designation:** B/OP – Business/Office Park

9. **Zoning:** I – General Industrial Zone and CR - Commercial Retail Zone

10. **Description of Project:** (Describe the whole action involved, including but not limited to later phases of the project, and any secondary, support, or off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

The Rohr Riverside Facility (property) is approximately 75 acres located at 8200 Arlington Avenue in the City of Riverside (City). The property is currently used for aerospace manufacturing and is expected to maintain this use into the foreseeable future. More than 85 percent of the property is developed, including approximately 32 existing buildings with various manufacturing, storage, research, design, and office functions.

Rohr, Inc. (Applicant) is proposing to make alterations to a 7-acre portion of the western area of the property (Project). The Project is being implemented per the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to meet the requirements outlined in the Risk Based Disposal Approval Application (RBDAA) which includes paving existing unpaved portions of the property to manage polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in site soil.

The Project will involve the following activities:

- Removing existing perimeter fencing;
- Removing an existing concrete slab;
- Site grading of approximately 9,100 cubic yards (CY) of soil of which 3,437 CY will be disposed, 883 CY will be imported, and 5,127 CY will be reused onsite. Approximately 2,700 CY of soil will be excavated for the bioretention trenches;
- Repaying the existing parking lot and paying previously unpaved portions of the western area of the property to increase employee parking;
- Constructing perimeter walls with entrance gates;
- Installation of bioretention trenches for stormwater management;
- The southern CR-zoned parcels will be paved and left vacant
- Improvements to the stormwater system on Phillip Avenue to direct flow through a gravity pipeline to a catch basin on Arlington Avenue; and
- Repaving a portion of Phillip Avenue in front of the Project site entrance.

Fencing Removal and Wall Construction

The Project proposes to remove the existing chain link fence bordering the Project site and replace it with an 8-foot-high masonry wall. Motor-operated security gates will be installed to prevent public access to the property along Phillip and Rutland Avenues. Portions of the wall along Rutland, Phillip, and Cypress Avenues will be landscaped with drought tolerant plants suitable for the local climatic, geologic, and topographical conditions of the Project site.

Paving and Parking Lot Repaving

The Project will create an approximately 5 acre-parking lot. The Project proposes to pave approximately 200,000 square feet of previously unpaved areas of the Project site and repave approximately 96,000 square feet of the existing parking lot. The newly paved area will consist of a new asphalt parking lot with approximately 596 parking spaces including Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliant spaces, motorcycle spaces, and electric vehicle capable spaces.

Bioretention Trenches

The bioretention trenches were designed and developed according to the Water Quality Management Plan. The bioretention trenches will be concrete lined and will convey water offsite after treatment. The bioretention trenches will contain a layer of engineered soil media with a vegetated cover, and a gravel layer with conveyance piping. Approximately 2,700 CY of soil will be excavated and will be replaced with the bioretention trenches. The bioretention trenches will be located south of the parking lot and concrete storage area on the I-zoned parcels and north of the paved vacant area on the CR-zoned parcels.

The following entitlements are required by the City to facilitate this Project:

- City of Riverside Planning
 - o Design Review approval
 - o Three variances:
 - To deviate from RMC Section 19.580.090.A. requiring trees to be planted and maintained in all parking lots.
 - To increase the perimeter wall height to 8-feet
 - To reduce the landscape setback requirement along Rutland Avenue and Phillips Avenue

11. Surrounding land uses and setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings:

	Existing Land Use	General Plan Designation	Zoning Designation
Project Site	Rohr, Inc.	B/OP – Business/Office Park	I – General Industrial Zone and CR - Commercial Retail Zone
North	Auto related uses and offices	B/OP – Business/Office Park	BMP - Business and Manufacturing Park Zone and I – General Industrial Zone
East	Rohr, Inc. a part of Collins Aerospace	B/OP – Business/Office Park	I - General Industrial Zone
South	Residential uses, a church and Commercial uses	B/OP – Business/Office Park	CR - Commercial Retail Zone
West	Industrial uses	B/OP – Business/Office Park	BMP - Business and Manufacturing Park Zone

12. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financial approval, or participation agreement.):

- a. United States Environmental Protection Agency Risk-Based Disposal Approval
- b. National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit
- c. South Coase Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Grading permit
- d. SCAQMD Rule 1466 notification and Dust Control Plan approval

13. Have California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area requested consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code 21080.3.1? If so, is there a plan for consultation that includes, for example, the determination of significant impacts to tribal cultural resources, procedures regarding confidentiality, etc.?

Notification letters were sent to 9 tribes on September 17, 2021 in accordance with Assembly Bill 52 (AB 52). Responses were received from the Gabrieleno Band of Mission Indians, Rincon Band of Luiseno Indians, San Manuel Band of Mission Indians, and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians; none of which requested consultation.

14. Other Environmental Reviews Incorporated by Reference in this Review:

- a. Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases Emissions Analysis Vista Environmental 2021
- b. General Plan 2025
- c. GP 2025 FPEIR
- d. Geotechnical Design Review and Recommendations Report Aragon Geotechnical, Inc. 2020

15. Acronyms

ADA Americans with Disabilities Act

ALUC Airport Land Use Commission
APN Assessor's Parcel Numbers
AQMP Air Quality Management Plan
BMP best management practice
CARB California Air Resources Board
CCR California Code of Regulations
CEQA California Environmental Quality Act

CMP Congestion Management Plan

CO Carbon dioxide EV Electric Vehicle

DTSC Department of Toxic Substances Control

EIR Environmental Impact Report EOP Emergency Operations Plan

EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

FPEIR GP 2025 Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Report

FTIP Federal Transportation Improvement Program

GHG Greenhouse Gas GP 2025 General Plan 2025

LHMP Local Hazard Mitigation Plan MLD Most Likely Descendant

MSHCP Multiple-Species Habitat Conservation Plan NAHC Native American Heritage Commission

NPDES National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

NOX Nitrogen Oxide

PEIR Program Environmental Impact Report

PRC Public Resource Code
PM Particulate Matter

RBDAA Risk-Based Disposal Approval Application

RCA Regional Conservation Authority RCP Regional Comprehensive Plan

RCTC Riverside County Transportation Commission

RPU Riverside Public Utilities

RTIP Regional Transportation Improvement Plan

RTP Regional Transportation Plan RUSD Riverside Unified School District

SCAG Southern California Association of Governments SCAQMD South Coast Air Quality Management District

SCS Sustainable Communities Strategy SWPPP Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan

VHFSZ Very High Fire Severity Zone VOC Volatile Organic Compounds WQMP Water Quality Management Plan

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked belothat is a "Potentially Significant Impact		
Aesthetics	Agriculture & Forest Resources	Air Quality
Biological Resources	Cultural Resources	Energy
Geology/Soils	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Hazards & Hazardous Materials
Hydrology/Water Quality	Land Use/Planning	Mineral Resources
Noise	Population/Housing	Public Services
Recreation	Transportation	Tribal Cultural Resources
Utilities/Service Systems	Wildfire	Mandatory Findings of Significance
DETERMINATION: (To be complete	ed by the Lead Agency)	
On the basis of this initial evaluation recommended that:	which reflects the independent judgr	ment of the City of Riverside, it is
The City of Riverside finds that the proposand a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be	1 0	nt effect on the environment,
The City of Riverside finds that although t there will not be a significant effect in this the project proponent. A MITIGATED NE	case because revisions in the project have b	peen made by or agreed to by
The City of Riverside finds that the propo ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT i		on the environment, and an
The City of Riverside finds that the proposing significant unless mitigated" impact on the an earlier document pursuant to applicable on the earlier analysis as described on attabut it must analyze only the effects that ren	environment, but at least one effect 1) has egal standards, and 2) has been addressed beched sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IM	been adequately analyzed in by mitigation measures based
The City of Riverside finds that although the because all potentially significant effects DECLARATION pursuant to applicable state of NEGATIVE DECLARATION, in proposed project, nothing further is required.	(a) have been analyzed adequately in an andards, and (b) have been avoided or miticulating revisions or mitigation measures	earlier EIR or NEGATIVE gated pursuant to that earlier
Signature	Date	:
Printed Name & Title <u>Judy Egüez, A</u>	ssociate Planner For	City of Riverside



COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENTDEPARTMENT

PLANNING DIVISION

ENVIRONMENTAL INITIAL STUDY

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. **Impacts Adequately Addressed.** Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. **Mitigation Measures.** For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measure which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.

8)	The ex	xplanation of each issue should identify:
	a.	the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
	b.	the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. AESTHETICS. Except as provided in Public Resources Code Section 21099, would the project:		-		
a. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				
1a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Final Program Aesthetics; Figure 5.1-1 Scenic and Special Boulevards and Less Than Significant Impact. According to the City's General Plan there are hills and ridgelines that surround the City that provide scer scenic vista points that visitors and residents can access to view the scenic resources in the City include the Riverside greenbelt area of Santa Ana River Water Course (1.3 miles north), and designated so designation as scenic routes. These routes include Arlington Avenue east). The Project consists of parking lot paving/repaving, fencing remove within an urbanized area of the City. The Project is surrounded be development along the northeast corner of Cypress Avenue and Rut of any structures that would affect the scenic viewpoints of the hills within any of the scenic resource areas. While Arlington Avenue and feet north and 4,000 feet east, respectively, to the furthest boundar modifications to these scenic routes. Because the Project is not located will not introduce structures that will obstruct the views of the hillsid mitigation is required.	an FPEIR, whice views to it. City's hills and the Arlington enic and spece (500 feet nor al, and wall cy a mixture of land Avenue. and ridgelined d Van Buren y of the Projed within the d	nile the majori s visitors and r d ridgelines. In Heights Neig ial boulevards th) and Van B onstruction wi of industrial, c The Project w es. Furthermore Boulevard are ect, the constructions	ty of the City residents. Then addition, other addition, other that meet locuren Boulevan athin the 7-acrommercial, arill not include the Project is located approaction will not ic resources of	is urbanized, re are various ter prominent miles south), al criteria for rd (4,000 feet te project site and residential construction is not located ximately 500 t involve any f the City and
b. Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				
1b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Final Program En	vironmental I	mpact Report;	Section 5.1 A	lesthetics)
No Impact. The City does not have any officially designated state within the City or Sphere of Influence. Interstate 15 (I-15) is an elig from the Project.				
The Project is not located within the immediate vicinity of a state so trees, rock outcroppings, or historic buildings within a state scenic considered historic, as it is currently operating as a parking lot and st rock outcroppings, or other natural features which could be considere Buren Boulevard are designated as scenic and special boulevards ut construction work on or adjacent to these roadways. Because the P does not contain scenic resources such as trees, rock outcroppings, or is required.	highway. No later the General of the	buildings are of the Project site trces. While Are tral Plan, the Pocated within	on the Project also does not lington Boule Project will not a state scenic	that could be contain trees, vard and Van t involve any highway and
c. In non-urbanized areas, substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site the site and its surroundings? (Public views are those that are experienced from a publicly accessible vantage point). If the project is in an urbanized area, would the project conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality?				

	SUES (AND SUPPORTING FORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
			Incorporated		
Less urba	1c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Final Program E General Plan 2025 Zoning Map of the City of Riverside) s than Significant Impact. As previously discussed in 1a, while mized, hills and ridgelines and scenic vistas surround the City as S. Other scenic resources are within the City such as Arlington F.	e the majority llowing reside	of the City, in tents and visito	ncluding the P rs viewpoints	roject Site is
has degr	ording to the City's Zoning Map, the Project is zoned I – Gener been designed to be consistent with the applicable zoning and reade the existing visual character of the area. Instead, as discussed the Project site.	gulations rega	rding scenic q	uality. The Pro	oject will not
The	refore, the Project will have less than significant impact. No many	itigation is req	uired.		
(d. Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				
1	d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 Area; Title 19 Riverside Municipal Code)	FPEIR Figu	re 5.1-2 – Mo	unt Palomar I	Lighting
be d No o Ope Mur Add No. nigh	ature lasting approximately 6-8 months. In compliance with the lone outside the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. on weekdays a construction will occur at any time on Sunday or a federal holidal ration of the Project will require replacement and new parking lonicipal Code and will adhere to the light and glare requirementationally, the Project site is not within the Mount Palomar Light 655. Based on these regulated elements of project design, the protection will be supported by the area, and no substantial light or glare will be sired.	and the hours y. t lighting. The nts under Cha ing Area and v oject will have	of 5:00 p.m. a se lights will b pter 19.590.07 will therefore real ease than sign	nd 8:00 a.m. of the consistent with the conflict with the conflict with the conflict of the co	ith the City's nicipal Code. th Ordinance act on day or
2	AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES:				
	In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information complied by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and the forest carbon measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:				
8	a. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring				\boxtimes

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				
2a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Zoning Map of The of Conservation 2016 State of California Williamson Ac California Important Farmland Finder).				
No Impact. According to the California Department of Conservation encompass Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of St. Urban/Built Up Land. Thus, the Project will not convert farmland to mitigation is required.	atewide Impo	ortance; the Pr	oject site is d	lesignated as
b. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				
2b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Zoning Map of The of Conservation 2016 State of California Williamson Ac California Important Farmland Finder).				
No Impact. A review of Figure OS-3 – Williamson Act Preserves of not located within an area that is affected by a Williamson Act Preser is not zoned for agricultural use and is not next to land zoned for agridirectly, indirectly, or cumulatively related to existing zoning for a mitigation is required.	ve or under a ' cultural use; t	Williamson Ao herefore, the P	ct Contract. The Project will hav	ne project site
c. Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)) timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?				
2c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Zoning Map of The	City of Rivers	side; Land Use	e Policy Map)	
No Impact. The City of Riverside has no forest land that can supptimberland. Therefore, the Project will not conflict with existing zon and no impacts will occur. No mitigation is required.				
d. Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				\boxtimes
2d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Zoning Map of The Cit	y of Riverside	; Land Use Po	olicy Map)	
No Impact. The City of Riverside has no forest land that can supptimberland. Therefore, the Project will not conflict with existing zon and no impacts will occur. No mitigation is required.				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
,		Mitigation Incorporated		
e. Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				\boxtimes
2e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Zoning Map of The of Conservation 2016 State of California Williamson Act C California Important Farmland Finder).				
No Impact . The Project site and its vicinity does not encompass Statewide Importance and does not contain land currently under a land occurs within the Project site nor its vicinity. The Project will nuse or the conversion of forest land to non-forest use and there is not the Project; thus, no impacts will occur. No mitigation is required.	Williamson A not result in co	ct contract. Fu nversion of Fa	rther, no designmland to non	gnated forest -agricultural
3. AIR QUALITY.				
Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management district or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:				
a. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?			\boxtimes	
3a. Response: (Source: South Coast Air Quality Management I Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Impact Analy 2021; Dust Control and Perimeter Monitoring Plan prepar	sis prepared	by Vista Env	ironmental da	
Less than Significant Impact. The purpose of this discussion is assumptions and objectives of the Air Quality Management Plan (A with the region's ability to comply with Federal and State air quality Project is inconsistent, the lead agency may consider project modiniconsistency.	QMP) and disty standards. l	scuss whether If the decision	the Project wo -makers deterr	ould interfere nine that the
The SCAQMD CEQA Handbook states that "New or amended of amendments), Specific Plans, and significant projects must be analyze with all aspects of the plan is usually not required. A proposed project if it furthers one or more policies and does not obstruct other policies indicators of consistency:	zed for consist et should be co	ency with the ansidered to be	AQMP." Strict consistent wit	t consistency h the AQMP
 Whether the project will result in an increase in the frequency or contribute to new violations or delay timely attainment of specified in the AQMP. Whether the project will exceed the assumptions in the AQI and phase. 	air quality sta	ndards or the i	nterim emissio	on reductions
Both of these criteria were evaluated in the Air Quality and Gree Analysis).	enhouse Gas 1	Emissions Imp	pact Analysis	(Air Quality
Criterion 1 - Increase in the Frequency or Severity of Violations? Based on the air quality modeling in the analysis, short-term regional impacts based on SCAQMD regional thresholds of significance discussions.				

Potentially Less Than Less Than No ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING Significant Significant Significant **Impact INFORMATION SOURCES):** With **Impact Impact** Mitigation Incorporated Impact Analysis. The ongoing operation of the Project will generate air pollutant emissions that are inconsequential on a regional basis and will not result in significant impacts based on SCAQMD thresholds of significance (Table 1). The analysis for long-term local air quality impacts showed that local pollutant concentrations will not be projected to exceed the air quality standards. Additionally, Haley & Aldrich, Inc. prepared a Dust Control and Perimeter Monitoring Plan (DCPMP) for the Project in July 2021. The DCPMP incorporates requirements of the following applicable regulations: SCAOMD Rule 1466 – Control of Particulate Emissions from Soils with Toxic Contaminants: SCAOMD Rule 402 – Public Nuisance; SCAQMD Rule 403 – Fugitive Dust; and EPA 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 761. The Applicant will comply with the requirements of the DCPMP, which includes notifying the SCAOMD at least 72 hours and no less than 30 days prior to any earth-moving work associated with the Project. Further, as required by SCAQMD Rule 1466, a Dust Control Supervisor would be designated for monitoring compliance with the requirements of the DCPMP. The Dust Control Supervisor would have completed and obtained a valid Certificate of Completion from the SCAOMD Fugitive Dust Control Class. The Dust Control Supervisor or designated representative will be at the Project site during potential fugitive dust-generating activities associated with the planned construction. Therefore, a less than significant long-term impact will occur, and no mitigation will be required. Therefore, based on the information provided above, the Project will be consistent with the first criterion. Criterion 2 - Exceed Assumptions in the AQMP? Consistency with the AOMP assumptions is determined by performing an analysis of the Project with the assumptions in the AQMP. The emphasis of this criterion is to ensure that the analyses conducted for the Project are based on the same forecasts as the AQMP. The AQMP is developed through use of the planning forecasts provided in the Regional Transportation Plan

Consistency with the AQMP assumptions is determined by performing an analysis of the Project with the assumptions in the AQMP. The emphasis of this criterion is to ensure that the analyses conducted for the Project are based on the same forecasts as the AQMP. The AQMP is developed through use of the planning forecasts provided in the Regional Transportation Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) and Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP). The RTP/SCS is a major planning document for the regional transportation and land use network within Southern California. The RTP/SCS is a long-range plan that is required by federal and state requirements placed on the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) and is updated every four years. The FTIP provides long-range planning for future transportation improvement projects that are constructed with state and/or federal funds within Southern California. Local governments are required to use these plans as the basis of their plans for the purpose of consistency with applicable regional plans under CEQA. For this Project, the City of Riverside General Plan's Land Use Plan defines the assumptions that are represented in AQMP.

The Project is currently designated as Business/Office Park (B/OP) in the General Plan and is zoned I and CR. The Project is consistent with the current land use designations and will not require a General Plan Amendment or zone change. As such, the Project is not anticipated to exceed the AQMP assumptions for the project site and is found to be consistent with the AQMP for the second criterion. Therefore, the Project will not result in an inconsistency with the SCAQMD AQMP. Therefore, a **less than significant impact** will occur in relation to implementation of the AQMP. No mitigation is required.

b. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non- attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard?	ш			
---	---	--	--	--

3b. Response: (Source: Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Risk Assessment Guidelines Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments, February 2015; Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Impact Analysis prepared by Vista Environmental dated June 29, 2021)

Less than Significant Impact.

Construction-Related Regional Impacts

The construction activities for the Project are anticipated to include: 1) Demolition of the existing parking lot, 2) Grading, 3) Bioretention Trenches and Utilities, 4) Paving, and 5) Wall, Gate, and Parking Lot Lighting Construction and Landscaping. The CalEEMod model has been utilized to calculate the construction-related regional emissions from the Project and the input parameters utilized in this analysis have been detailed in Section 7.1 of the Air Quality Analysis. As seen in Table 1

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):

Potentially Significant Impact Less Than
Significant
With
Mitigation
Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact No Impact

below, the results shows that none of the analyzed criteria pollutants will exceed the regional emissions thresholds during any phase of construction activities. Therefore, a less than significant regional air quality impact will occur from construction of the Project.

Table 1 – Construction-Related Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions

	Pollutant Emissions (pounds/day)						
Construction Activity	VOC	NOx	СО	SO ₂	PM10	PM2.5	
Demolition ¹							
Onsite ²	3.68	34.94	25.90	0.05	3.03	1.84	
Offsite ³	0.17	3.45	1.14	0.01	0.48	0.14	
Total	3.84	38.38	27.04	0.06	3.51	1.98	
Grading ¹							
Onsite ²	2.29	24.74	15.86	0.03	4.11	2.58	
Offsite ³	0.12	1.94	0.83	0.01	0.32	0.09	
Total	2.41	26.67	16.69	0.04	4.43	2.67	
Bioretention Trenches and Utilities ¹							
Onsite	1.57	15.70	14.57	0.03	0.77	0.71	
Offsite	0.07	0.56	0.54	<0.00	0.19	0.05	
Total	1.64	16.25	15.10	0.03	0.95	0.76	
Paving							
Onsite	1.71	11.12	14.58	0.02	0.57	0.52	
Offsite	0.50	18.00	3.33	0.07	1.80	0.53	
Total	2.21	29.13	17.91	0.09	2.37	1.05	
Wall, Gate & Parking Lot Lighting Construc	ction and L	andscaping					
Onsite	1.71	15.62	16.36	0.03	0.81	0.76	
Offsite	0.68	4.68	5.13	0.03	1.77	0.47	
Total	2.38	20.29	21.50	0.05	2.58	1.25	
Maximum Daily Construction Emissions	3.84	38.38	27.04	0.09	4.43	2.67	
SCQAMD Thresholds	75	100	550	150	150	55	
Exceeds Threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Notes:	INU	INO	INO	INO	INO		

Notes:

Source: CalEEMod Version 2016.3.2.

Construction-Related Local Impacts

Construction-related air emissions may have the potential to exceed the State and Federal air quality standards in the project vicinity, even though these pollutant emissions may not be significant enough to create a regional impact to the Air Basin. The local air quality emissions from construction were analyzed through utilizing the methodology described in Localized Significance Threshold Methodology (LST Methodology), prepared by SCAQMD, revised October 2009. The LST Methodology found the primary criteria pollutant emissions of concern are nitrogen oxides (NOx), (carbon monoxide) CO, particulate matter (PM) PM10 and PM2.5. In order to determine if any of these pollutants require a detailed analysis of the local air quality impacts, each phase of construction was screened using the SCAQMD's Mass Rate LST Look-up Tables. The Look-up Tables were developed by the SCAQMD in order to readily determine if the daily onsite emissions of CO, NOx, PM10, and PM2.5 from the Project could result in a significant impact to the local air quality. The data provided in 2 below (Table J of the Air Quality Analysis) shows that none of the analyzed criteria pollutants will exceed the local emissions

¹ Demolition, Grading and Trenching based on adherence to fugitive dust suppression requirements from SCAQMD Rules 403 and 1466.

² Onsite emissions from equipment not operated on public roads.

³ Offsite emissions from vehicles operating on public roads.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):

Potentially Significant Impact Less Than
Significant
With
Mitigation
Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact No Impact

thresholds during any phase of construction. Therefore, a less than significant local air quality impact will occur from construction of the Project.

Table 2 – Construction Related Local Criteria Pollutant Emissions

	Pollu	ıtant Emissic	ns (pounds,	/day)
Construction Phase	NOx	СО	PM10	PM2.5
Demolition ¹	34.94	25.90	3.03	1.84
Grading ¹	24.74	15.86	4.11	2.58
Bioretention Trenches and Utilities ¹	15.70	14.57	0.77	0.71
Paving	11.12	14.58	0.57	0.52
Wall, Gate & Parking Lot Lighting Construction and Landscaping	15.62	16.36	0.81	0.76
Maximum Daily Construction Emissions	34.94	25.90	4.11	2.58
SCAQMD Local Construction Thresholds ²	270	1,577	13	8
Exceeds Threshold?	No	No	No	No

Notes:

Operational Emissions

Although the number of parking spaces will be expanded as part of the Project, no changes to the Rohr, Inc. business operations will occur as part of the Project and as such, operation of the Project is not anticipated to generate any additional vehicle trips. It should be noted that the paving of the entire Project site will likely reduce operational fugitive dust emissions that are now created from vehicles operating on the unpaved portions of the Project site.

In addition, all parking lot lighting will be required to meet the 2019 Title 24 Part 6 building standards that now require all outdoor lighting for non-residential projects to use high efficiency lighting (LED style lights) and the electrical vehicle (EV) capable parking spaces will provide a net reduction in criteria pollutant emissions through the promotion of the use of EV over gasoline powered vehicles. Therefore, operation of the Project will likely result in a slight decrease to criteria pollutant emissions and as such, no modeling has been provided in this analysis of operational emissions. Therefore, the Project will not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant and impacts will be **less than significant**. No mitigation is required.

c.	Expose concentr	sensitive ations?	receptors	to	substantial	pollutant		

3c. Response: (Source: Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Risk Assessment Guidelines Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments, February 2015; Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Impact Analysis prepared by Vista Environmental, dated June 29, 2021)

Less than Significant Impact. The nearest sensitive receptors are the residences in the mixed-use (commercial and residential) area adjacent to the south side of the Project site. For some projects, construction activities may expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations of localized criteria pollutant concentrations and from toxic air contaminant emissions created from onsite construction equipment. However, the local air quality impacts from construction of the Project have been analyzed in Section 9.3 of the Air Quality Analysis, which found that the construction of the Project will not exceed the SCAQMD's local NOx, CO, PM10, and PM2.5 thresholds of significance. Refer to Table 1 and Table 2 shown in question 3b for the Project's construction-related regional and local criteria pollutant emissions. A detailed description of air and criteria pollutants are discussed detail in Section 2.0 of the Air Quality Analysis.

The greatest potential for toxic air contaminant emissions would be related to diesel particulate matter (DPM) emissions associated with heavy equipment operations during construction of the Project. According to SCAQMD methodology, health effects from carcinogenic air toxics are usually described in terms of "individual cancer risk." "Individual Cancer Risk" is

¹ Demolition, Grading and Trenching based on adherence to fugitive dust suppression requirements from SCAQMD Rules 403 and 1466.

² The nearest sensitive receptors to the project site are the residences in the mixed-use (commercial and residential) uses adjacent to the south side of the project site. According to SCAQMD methodology, all receptors closer than 25 meters are based on the 25-meter threshold. Source: Calculated from SCAQMD's Mass Rate Look-up Tables for five acres in Air Monitoring Area 23, Metropolitan Riverside County.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
the likelihood that a person exposed to concentrations of toxic air conbased on the use of standard risk-assessment methodology. It should methodology recommends analyzing a 30-year exposure period for toxic diventification of the relatively limited number of heavy-duty construction equip would operate to the nearby sensitive receptors, and the short-term conterm (i.e., 30 or 70 years) substantial source of toxic air contaminant addition, California Code of Regulations Title 13, Article 4.8, Chapt diesel equipment in California. This regulation limits idling of equip operators to label each piece of equipment and provide annual reports fleet's usage and emissions. This regulation also requires systematic currently no commercial operator is allowed to purchase Tier 0 or operator is allowed to purchase Tier 2 equipment. In addition to the purchase diesel equipment to the purchase of	ment, the vary nstruction sch emissions and oter 9, Section ment to no me to the Califor upgrading of Tier 1 equipmourchase restri	rer a 70-year litt the most cursitive receptor ring distances to dedule, the Project corresponding 2449 regulatore than five nonia Air Resource the emission ment and by Jacictions, equipm	chat construction in the c	on equipment sult in a long- ancer risk. In from off-road es equipment ARB) of their ach fleet, and o commercial need to meet
percent or more of all contractors' equipment fleets must be Tier 2 of contaminant impacts will occur during construction of the Project. A than significant exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial pollut	As such, const	ruction of the	Project will re	esult in a less
d. Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people?				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	ттрасс
	F · · · I	Incorporated	2021	1 1 17 4
3d. Response: (Source: Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Environmental)	Emissions In	npact Analysis	s 2021 prepa	red by Vista
Less than Significant Impact. Generally, the impact of an odor resoffensiveness, location, and sensory perception. The frequency is a sin the ambient environment. The intensity refers to an individual's of the duration of an odor refers to the elapsed time over which an osubjective rating of the pleasantness or unpleasantness of an odor potentially affected person lives, works, or visits; the type of activity impacted receptor.	measure of how group's perce dor is experien The location	v often an indiversely of the odd need. The offer accounts for the offer the	vidual is exposor strength or on a siveness of the type of are	sed to an odor concentration. he odor is the ea in which a
Sensory perception has four major components: detectability, intershold) of an odor is based on a panel of responses to the odor threshold and the recognition threshold. The detection threshold is the in a percentage of the people that live and work in the immediate vimean (or 50 percent of the population). The recognition threshold is a characteristic odor quality, this is typically represented by recognito the perceived strength of the odor. The odor character is what the the pleasantness or unpleasantness of the odor. The hedonic tone valodor intensity, and duration.	. There are two clowest concernity of the properties the minimum tion by 50 perosubstance sme	vo types of thr atration of an oc- roject site and a concentration cent of the pop lls like. The he	esholds: the of dor that will eltis typically prathat is recogniulation. The indonic tone is	odor detection icit a response esented as the zed as having ntensity refers a judgment of
Construction-Related Odor Impacts Potential sources that may emit odors during construction activit pavement, paints, and solvents and from emissions from diesel equitime of day when construction may occur as well as SCAQMD R content in asphalt and Rule 1113 that limits the VOC content in construction. As such, the objectionable odors that may be produce will not likely be noticeable for extended periods of time beyond the applicable regulations that reduce odors and due to the transitory n impact will occur, and no mitigation will be required.	pment. Standa ule 1108 that I paints and so d during the co Project site's	rd construction limits volatile olvents will me construction pro- boundaries. The	n requirements organic comp inimize odor ocess will be through compl	s that limit the ounds (VOC) impacts from emporary and iance with the
Operations-Related Odor Impacts The Project Operation of the Project will not introduce new uses or c sources will occur at the project site. Therefore, a less than significate operations of the Project. No mitigation is required.				
4. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a. Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the Californi Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildliff Service?	a r a			
Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlif	onservation El	Plan 2025 FP.	EIR Section 3	5.4 Bi

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
Less Than Significant Impact. The Project site is currently operating as an employee parking lot and outdoor storage of aircraft materials in an urbanized and built-up area, surrounded by residential, commercial, and industrial uses. The Project will expand the parking lot, and pave unpaved areas of the Project site. According to the Open Space and Conservation Element, the Project is located within the Residential/Urban/Exotic habitat area under Figure OS-5 Habitat Areas and Vegetation Communities Map. The Project is not located within a Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) cores and linkages or an MSHCP criteria area. According to the Regional Conservation Authority (RCA) MSHCP Information Map, the Project site is not within an identified survey area. Based on the desktop results from the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB), there is a potential occurrence of burrowing owls (animal species) and the San Diego ambrosia (plant species) to occur within a five-mile radius from the Project site. However, the occurrences of these species are not within the immediate vicinity of the Project site.					
Because the Project site is within an urban and built-up area, is surrou or near a special habitat or community, there is little chance that any or their habitats could persist in this area.					
Construction associated with the Project will occur over an approximately 6 to 8 month period. The applicant will be required to adhere to existing regulations and should Project activities occur within the nesting bird season, nesting bird surveys would be conducted by a qualified biologist 72 hours prior to activities occurring adjacent to the trees on the Project site. If nesting birds should be found during the survey, the qualified biologist would establish the appropriate buffer and no construction activities would be conducted within the buffer areas until the qualified biologist determines that the nest is no longer active The preconstruction survey shall be implemented per the requirements under the federal Migratory Bird Treat (MBTA) and the California Department of Fish and Wildlife Code. The Project will not have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modification, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species with compliance with the Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP); therefore impacts will be less than significant . No mitigation is required.					
b. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?					
4b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Open Space and Cand Streams.)	Conservation 1	Element – Fig	ture OS 8.1 R	ivers, Creeks,	
No Impact. The Project is located on a previously developed site within an urbanized area where no riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community exists. According to the Open Space and Conservation Element, a blue-line channel intersects the properties approximately 2,300 feet east of the Project site near Van Buren Boulevard between Arlington Avenue and Philbin Avenue. However, the Project's construction and operation will not interfere or modify the channel. Therefore, the Project will have no impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service directly, indirectly, and cumulatively. No mitigation is required.					
c. Have a substantial adverse effect on state or federally protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?					
4c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Open Space and C and Streams; U.S. Fish and Wildlife National Wetlands In			ure OS 8.1 R	ivers, Creeks,	
No Impact. The Project site is located within an urban, built-up area a The Project site does not contain any discernible drainage courses					

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact		
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	Impact		
		Incorporated				
Therefore, the Project will have no impact on federally protected water Act directly, indirectly, and cumulatively. No mitigation is required.	vetlands as de	fined by Secti	on 404 of the	Clean Water		
d. Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?						
4d. Response: (General Plan 2025 Open Space and Conservation Element).						
No Impact. The Project is within an urbanized area with the Project storage for aircraft materials. Because the Project site is currently fend of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or to estab impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites. Therefore, the Proje indirectly, and cumulatively. No mitigation is required.	ed, the Projec lished native 1	t will not creat resident or mig	e a barrier to the gratory wildlife	ne movement e corridors or		
e. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?						
4e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Open Space and Co	onservation E	lement)				
Less than Significant Impact. The Project includes new landscaping the local climatic, geologic, and topographical conditions of the Project They will be replaced with an additional 18 trees on site. While they not contain any native or protected trees. As noted in 4a, the Project and does not contain a sensitive habitat. These trees may provide hab MBTA and California Department of Fish and Wildlife Code. The appand should Project activities occur within the nesting bird season, no biologist 72 hours prior to activities occurring adjacent to the trees on the survey, the qualified biologist would establish the appropriate but within the buffer areas until the qualified biologist determines that the will have a less than significant impact and will not conflict with resources. No mitigation is required.	ect site. Six tree are trees presite is not with itat for nesting plicant will be esting bird suthe Project site iffer and no connect is no longer than the project site if the project site is no longer than the project site is not with the project site	rees will be reroposed for renthin the MSHG birds that are required to adrveys would be. If nesting bonstruction act ger active. For	moved during noval, the Pro CP linkages or protected und here to existin e conducted be irds should be ivities would loor these reason	construction. ject site does criteria area er the federal g regulations y a qualified found during be conducted s, the Project		
f. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?						
4f. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR – Figure 5.4-3 – Stephen's Kangaroo Rat (SKR) Core Reserve and Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP)); General Plan 2025 Open Space and Conservation Element)						
No Impact. The Project site is a developed/disturbed property within Space and Conservation Element, no MSHCP cells, core reserves, Project site. Based on the conditions of the Project site, the Project Natural Community Conservation Plan; or other approved local, indirectly, and cumulatively. Therefore, the Project will have no impa Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved lomitigation is required.	or other habitation or state on the province on the province on the province or state on the province of the p	at conservation of an adopted I State habitat of isions of an ad-	n plan areas a Habitat Conse conservation popted Habitat (re within the rvation Plan; blan directly, Conservation		

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
5. CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource pursuant to § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?				
No Impact. No structures that have a historic significance are on proposed. The Project site is not located within any of the historic impacts directly, indirectly, and cumulatively to historical resources	the Project sit	ined in the G	eneral Plan. T	
b. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?				
Less than Significant Impact. The Project is located on previously According to the Cultural and Paleontological Resources section of area of low archaeological sensitivity. In addition, ground-disturbing will not reach beyond 5 feet in depth. Therefore, impacts to archaeo as a result of the Project are less than significant . No mitigation is result of the Project are less than significant .	the General I activities suc logical resour	Plan, the Proje h as grading fo	ct site is locator the bioreten	ed within an tion trenches
 c. Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries? 5c. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Arch 	- acological S	ansitivity and	Figure 5.5.2	Duchistopio
Cultural Resources Sensitivity) Less than Significant Impact See response to 5b. The Project is locurbanized area. While the prehistoric cultural resource severity of the Plan, the Project does not propose structures that will be built on site 5 feet in depth. Therefore, the likelihood of human remains being undencountered, the Project would be required to adhere to the existin Code. State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 states that no fit has made a determination of origin and disposition pursuant to Public Coroner must be notified of the find immediately. If the remains are will notify the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC), white (MLD). With the permission of the landowner or his/her authorized discovery. The MLD shall complete the inspection within 48 hours of scientific removal and nondestructive analysis of human remains and will be less than significant with adherence to existing regulations. Impact directly, indirectly, or cumulatively to disturb any human remains and the second	rated on a prevence or ground-dicovered is unling State Health arther disturbation of the control of the contro	riously develope is unknown a sturbing activitiely. Nonether and Safety Conce shall occur code (PRC) See be Native Amorine and notify we, the MLD to the NAHC. The with Native Project will he	ped and disturbas identified in ties that will reless, if human code and Publor until the Corotion 5097.98 erican, the Corotion a Most Likely may inspect the MLD may ave a less that	bed site in an a the General reach beyond a remains are ic Resources anty Coroner. The County anty Coroner a Descendant are site of the a recommend reals. Impacts a significant

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
6. ENERGY Would the project:				
 a. Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation? 6a. Response: (Source: Project Site Plans; General Plan 2025) 				
Less Than Significant Impact. The Project includes removing asp bioretention trenches, repaving of a larger area, and constructing per telecommunications infrastructure will remain as-is with Project impenergy resources, primarily in the form of fuel consumption, to operation generators. Power may also be required for electric construction etemporary, lasting only approximately 6 to 8 months. Additionally, Pand local regulations related to renewable energy and energy effice Efficiency Standards and the 2019 California Green Building Standard Although land use at the Project site will remain the same as prevalydown), the size and capacity of the parking lot will increase to 5-act the Project will provide parking for existing employees at the Rohr R park in the vicinity. No modifications to the existing buildings on the employee vehicle trips to the facility. Thus, energy demand from Proje energy use on site. The only energy requirement will be lighting in purposes. Therefore, the overall energy usage during construction and or unnecessary; and impacts will be less than significant. No mitigal	imeter walls. Idementation. Ite heavy equipment. He roject constructions, including Code. Vious operations with approximate site operations at the operation of the rogen of the construction of the results.	Existing gas, veroject construction will compare the 2019 of the 2019 of the construction will compare the 2019 of	water, sewer, of action activities the vehicles, muction is antiply with all appearing barking spaces at ly commute the Project will lot for safety	electrical, and is will require achinery, and cipated to be plicable State elding Energy and material Nonetheless, to the site and I not increase ar to previous and security
b. Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency?				
6b. Response: (Source: Project Site Plans; General Plan 2025) Less Than Significant Impact. The Project does not include large so renewable energy and efficiency plans. As mentioned, the Project's e 6 to 8 months, and operational energy demand will be substantially with any applicable energy efficiency requirements detailed in the C electric vehicle spaces. The Project also will not obstruct future state or executed. Therefore, the Project will have a less than significant in energy efficiency. No mitigation is required.	ale developm nergy use dur similar to cur ity's General or local plans	ing construction rent levels. Fu Plan and Ener s for renewable	on will be temp rther, the Proj gy Code, such e energy from	porary, lasting lect will align as providing being written
7. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:				
 a. Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: i. Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. 				
7i. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure :	5.6-2 Faults a	nd Fault Zone	es)	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact			
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	Impact			
,		Incorporated					
No Impact . Primary seismic hazards associated with fault lines include ground shaking and the potential for ground rupture along the surface trace of the fault. Secondary seismic hazards resulting from the interaction of ground shaking with existing soil and bedrock conditions include liquefaction, settlement, and landslides.							
According to the General Plan, the San Jacinto and County fault line other faults are located in the Project site. No Alquist-Priolo zones General Plan FPEIR, no fault lines or fault zones are located within the Project will not include construction of any buildings that we regulations to minimize risks involving ground shaking activities. A rupture of a known earthquake fault directly, indirectly, and cumulated	are located in the immediate all require des as such, the Pr	the City of Fe vicinity of the right and composed will resu	Riverside. Acce e Project site. I bliance with b alt in no impa	Furthermore, uilding code			
ii. Strong seismic ground shaking?							
7ii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure	5.6-2 Faults	and Fault Zoi	nes)				
No Impact. The San Jacinto Fault Zone located approximately 10 n of the City, or the Elsinore Fault Zone located approximately 7.5 mile of Influence, have the potential to cause moderate to large earthqua zones or fault lines are within the immediate vicinity of the Projec buildings that may create a substantial adverse effect to life and project, no impacts related to strong seismic ground shaking occurring required.	s southwest, in kes that would t site. The Property in the ev	the southern placed cause intensified will not it ent of strong s	portion of the O e ground shak nelude constru seismic ground	City's Sphere ing. No fault action of any shaking. As			
iii. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?			\boxtimes				
7iii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 Zones)	– Regional F	ault Zones, F	igure PS-2 –	Liquefaction			
Less than Significant Impact. Liquefaction occurs when ground so lose strength. This type of hazard is significant along watercourses Liquefaction causes significant damage and problems with bridges, The Project site is located in an area with high potential for liquefact Zones Map — Figure PS-2. However, the Project will not be introdunderground storage tanks, that could be ruptured or damaged duri involves the replacement of the existing fences, installation of bioretothe Project will remain consistent with no new uses or construct conditions. As such, the Project will result in less than significant in	, including are buildings, burition, as depict lucing new buring ground-shapention trenches ion of facilities	eas in proximited pipes, and ed in the General dings, bridg aking activities, and parking es that will expense.	ty to the Santa underground so eral Plan 2025 es, or structures. Furthermore lot repaying. Oxacerbate the	a Ana River. torage tanks. Liquefaction es, including c, the Project Operations of existing soil			
iv. Landslides?							
7iv. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure	5.6-1 – Areas	Underlain by	Steep Slope)				
No Impact. While the Project site is identified to be located in an are Plan 2025 FPEIR, the Project site and its surroundings have generating. Therefore, no impact related to landslides directly, indirectly,	ılly flat topogi	raphy with slo	pes in the 0- t	o 10-percent			
b. Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?			\boxtimes				
7b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure Subdivision Code, Title 17 – Grading Code)	5.6-1 – Areas	S Underlain l	by Steep Slop	e, Title 18 –			
Less Than Significant Impact. According to the General Plan FPE erode) within the Project site have a light to moderate erosivity potes							

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With	Impact	ттрасс
,		Mitigation Incorporated		1
of the Project. State and federal requirements call for the prepara Prevention Plan (SWPPP) establishing erosion and sediment control involve ground-disturbing activities (grading and trenching) no mo- installation of bioretention trenches. The ground-disturbing activities	ls for construre than 5 feet	ementation of ction activities in depth for t	s. Project consthe parking lo	struction will t paving and
Therefore, the Project shall implement best management practices (B BMPs include but are not limited to water applications, soil binders, The Project must also comply with the NPDES regulations. In add development activity must comply (Title 18), the Grading Code (T designed to minimize soil erosion. The Project will include the preparto minimize effects from erosion and ensure consistency with NDPE and construction recommendations provided in the Geotechnical Desithe Project. Compliance with State and federal requirements, City's G and 17 will ensure that soil erosion or loss of topsoil will be a licumulatively. No mitigation is required.	erosion cover ition, with the itle 17) also ration of a W S requirement ign Review and rading and Su	ings or blanket e erosion contractions the im- equires the im- ater Quality M s. The Project and Recommen- bdivision Cod	es, and sandbages of standards in plementation fanagement P will impleme dations report es as well as v	gs. for which all of measures lan (WQMP) nt the design prepared for vith Titles 18
c. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				
7c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 – Reg General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 – Soils with High S by Steep Slope; Geotechnical Design Review and Recomme Unstable as a result of the Project, and there is thus no reasonable pron- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction, or is high, the Project site is not in proximity to any nearby waterboe exacerbate existing soil conditions is proposed.	Shrink-Swell I endations 2020 blogic unit or bobability that collapse. Wh	Potential, Figure 9 – Aragon Ge soil that is under the Project coile the Project	are 5.6-1 - Are notechnical, In stable, or that ould potentially site's liquefac	will become y result in an tion potential
Subsidence is the sinking or caving of an area of land. Subsidence can mining, vegetation by soil shrinkage, and mining. Subsidence could r BMPs as outlined in the SWPPP to minimize soil erosion. In addition recommendations provided in the Geotechnical Design Review and I	esult in collap , the Project w	se of the soil. Till implement	The Project wi the design and	ill implement construction
The Project does not include any development of structures that coulsite's location, and with implementation of the construction and desig Subdivision Codes, the Project will have a less than significant imp site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse	gn recommend pact directly, i	ations, BMPs ndirectly, and	and the City's	Grading and
d. Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or property?				
7d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6- the City of Riverside and set out in Title 16 of the Riverside Recommendations 2020 – Aragon Geotechnical, Inc.))				
Less Than Significant Impact. The Project will occur on a previous areas. According to the General Plan, while the general area contains				

site consists of buchenau (moderate erosivity and moderate or slow permeability) and porterville (slight erosivity and slow

Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
sive soil will po king and repav bstantial risks	se risk to life or e areas that are	or property. The currently part	e Project will ved. As such,
s			
ot include devel	opment of any	structures tha	t will require
ıl 🔲			
Other sites incl	ude the south-		l in the Santa
	Significant Impact Eated in highly sive soil will porking and repay abstantial risks and. Of Significant Impact Impact Institute Sive Soil will porking and repay abstantial risks and and the significant sive sive sive sive sive sive sive sive	Significant With Mitigation Incorporated eated in highly expansive soil sive soil will pose risk to life or rking and repave areas that are abstantial risks to life or proped. Of	Significant With Mitigation Incorporated eated in highly expansive soils. Additionally sive soil will pose risk to life or property. The rking and repave areas that are currently parabstantial risks to life or property due to exact. Of Description of the property of t

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
		Incorporated		
8. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS.				
Would the project:				
a. Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?			\boxtimes	
8a. Response: (Source: Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emis Environmental dated June 29, 2021)	sions Impact	Analysis prepo	ared by Vista	
Less than Significant Impact. The Project will not generate greenhot that may have a significant impact on the environment and will not can agency adopted for the purpose of reducing GHG emissions. Although the number of parking spaces will be expanded as part of the will occur as part of the Project and as such, operation of the Project is The Project's construction related GHG emissions have been calculate is shown below in Table 3 and the CalEEMod model run printouts in Table 3 – Construction Related Gre	Project, no ches not anticipated with the Cathe Air Qualitenhouse Gas	anges to the Roed to generate alEEMod mode ty Analysis. Emissions	plan, policy on ohr, Inc. busin any additiona el. A summary	r regulation of less operations l vehicle trips y of the results
		ouse Gas Emis		-
Construction Phase	CO ₂	CH₄	N₂O	CO₂e
Demolition	52.42	0.01	<0.00	52.69
Grading Bioretention Trenches and Utilities	116.40	0.03	<0.00	117.18
	24.60	0.01	<0.00	24.79
Paving	128.07	0.02	<0.00	128.46
Wall, Gate & Parking Lot Lighting Construction and Landscaping Total Construction Emissions	57.78	0.01	<0.00	57.99
	379.29	0.07	<0.00	381.10
Amortized Total Construction Emissions (30 years) ¹	12.64	<0.00	<0.00	12.70
SCAQMD Draft Threshold of Significance Notes: ¹ Construction emissions amortized over 30 years as recommended in the SCAQI Source: CalEEMod Version 2016.3.2.	MD GHG Working	g Group on Noven	nber 19, 2009.	3,000
The data provided in Table 3 above (Table K of the Air Quality Analytotal of 381.10 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent (MTCO2e) or period. According to the SCAQMD draft threshold of significance de would occur if the GHG emissions created from a Project would esignificant generation of greenhouse gas emissions will occur from it significant. No mitigation is required.	12.7 MTCO2 tailed above, a xceed 3,000 M	e per year, wh a cumulative g MTCO2e per y	en amortized lobal climate o year. Therefor	over a 30-year change impact re, a less than
b. Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?				

|--|

8b. Response: (Source: Riverside Restorative Growthprint Climate Action Plan 2014; Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Impact Analysis 2021 prepared by Vista Environmental)

Less than Significant Impact. The City of Riverside has adopted the Riverside Restorative Growthprint Climate Action Plan October 2014. The Climate Action Plan sets out actions to increase energy efficiency and reduce GHG emissions. It should be noted that the Project is requesting a variance from implementation of the zoning code provisions with regard to landscaping requirements for parking areas. The variance is requested due to the Project being implemented to meet the EPA requirements to install an "impermeable cap" over the Project site, which does not make the capped portion of the Project site conducive to landscaping. Nonetheless, landscaping is being installed in portions of the Project site that are not being capped. While 6 trees will be removed as part of the Project, 18 new trees will be added within the proposed landscaping, increasing the Project's ability to serve to capture GHG emissions. A full list of GHG reduction measures and project consistency is listed in detail in Table L of the Air Quality Analysis. The Project is consistent with the applicable local measures provided in the Climate Action Plan. Therefore, the Project will comply with the Climate Action Plan reduction targets and will not conflict with the applicable plan for reducing GHG emissions. Impacts will be less than significant. No mitigation is required.

9.	HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:		
	a. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?		

9a. Response: (Source: California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Riverside Fire Department EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan; Risk Based Disposal Approval Application prepared by Haley & Aldrich dated February 2021)

Less than Significant Impact. The operation of the Project will not introduce any routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials. While construction of the Project will utilize potentially hazardous materials such as fuels, oils, and solvents for the use of the equipment, this use will be temporary in nature. Additionally, during constriction, the Project proposes movement of some of the PCB-impacted soil onsite and offsite disposal of PCB remediation waste. Soil containing PCBs will be sampled for waste disposal profiling and transported to an approved off-site disposal facility in accordance with applicable regulations. Soil movement during construction will avoid combining soil with total PCB concentrations less than 50 mg/kg with other soil containing greater than or equal to 50 mg/kg total PCBs, thereby avoiding the generation of additional Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) waste. Doing so will minimize off-site disposal of PCB-impacted soil. Soil movement will be verified and documented during construction with surveying data. This, in conjunction with the Project's SWPPP, Waste Management Plan, and Dust Control Plan will ensure that impacted soils onsite remain secured onsite or are disposed of appropriately.

All hazardous materials will be handled, transported, and disposed of according to EPA regulations, the California Health and Safety Code, Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, and as directed by the Riverside Fire Department. Disposal of the impacted soil will be limited to approximately 210 truck trips and the haul route will avoid residential areas and schools within the vicinity of the Project site. As stated in the Risk Based Disposal Approval Application (RBDAA) prepared for the Project, offsite disposal of PCB remediation waste from the Project site will be handled in accordance with 40 CFR 761.61(c) and the Applicant's discussions with EPA. These methods are as follows:

- Bulk and porous waste materials from construction, maintenance, cleaning, and repairs containing PCBs that require offsite disposal will be classified and handled as follows:
 - Bulk and porous wastes containing "as found" total PCB concentrations greater than or equal to 50 mg/kg will be managed and disposed of at a Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) hazardous waste landfill (rather than a TSCA landfill); and
 - Bulk and porous wastes containing "as found" total PCB concentrations less than 50 mg/kg will be managed and disposed accordingly at RCRA Subtitle D landfill(s).

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING Potentially Less Than Less Than No Significant Significant Significant **Impact INFORMATION SOURCES):** With **Impact** Impact Mitigation Incorporated Non-porous, non-liquid wastes, and used personal protective equipment (if any) will be disposed offsite at permitted, licensed, or registered non-municipal, non-hazardous waste facilities consistent with 40 CFR 761.61(a)(5)(v)(A)(2). PCB-containing liquid waste will be characterized for off-site disposal in general accordance with 40 CFR 761.61(a)(5)(iv)(B), as follows: Liquid wastes containing total PCB concentrations less than 50 milligrams per liter (mg/L) shall be disposed at non-hazardous waste at facilities permitted to accept such waste. Liquid waste containing total PCB concentrations greater than or equal to 50 mg/L and less than 500 mg/L shall be managed and disposed of at a chemical waste landfill permitted to accept such waste. PCB waste storage containers will be properly labeled according to the waste classification and transported under manifest for offsite disposal. Compliance with federal, state, and local regulations will ensure that transport of PCB remediation waste offsite results in minimal hazard to the public and surrounding environment. Operation of the Project does not involve the routine transport, use, or disposal of any hazardous material because the use of the Project site for employee parking and storage of aircraft materials such as tools and spare aircraft parts, will remain unchanged. If any materials contain potentially hazardous residue, they would be cleaned and discarded in accordance with local, state, and federal regulations. As such, the Project will have a less than significant impact related to the routine transport, use, or disposal of any hazardous material either directly, indirectly, or cumulatively. No mitigation is required. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment X through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?

9b. Response: (Source: California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, California Building Code, City of Riverside's EOP; Risk Based Disposal Approval Application prepared by Haley & Aldrich dated February 2021)

Less Than Significant Impact. The Project is being implemented per the EPA to meet the requirements outlined in the RBDAA which include paving existing unpaved portions of the property in order to manage PCB containing site soils resulting from Rohr's manufacturing operations. The proposed asphalt and concrete for the Project have been approved by the EPA as a continuous "impermeable cap" over the western portion of the facility in order to eliminate potential human exposure to site soils and prevent rainwater from migrating downward into and through the soil below for the protection of groundwater which is10 feet below ground level. While the Project site does contain PCB containing soils, the intent of the Project is to manage the PCB containing site soils to eliminate potential human exposure. The Project will not involve the disturbance or require any interaction to the listed site. Therefore, while the PCB containing soil poses a hazard, the Project itself will eliminate the risk of the release of the soils. As described above, soil movement during construction will be verified and documented during construction with surveying data. This, in conjunction with the Project's SWPPP, Waste Management Plan, and Dust Control Plan will ensure that impacted soils onsite remain secured onsite or are disposed of appropriately.

Additionally, during construction, the Project may involve the use of hazardous materials and will require offsite transport of PCB remediation waste. However, the transport and use of potentially hazardous materials will comply with all applicable federal, State, and local laws and regulations pertaining to the transport, use, disposal, handling, and storage of hazardous waste, including but not limited to, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations implemented by Title 13 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR), which describes strict regulations for the safe transport of hazardous materials. Excavated soils will be reused on site or removed offsite in accordance with 40 CFR 761.61(c) and the Applicant's discussions with EPA using methods described above in Section 9a.

The Project, in compliance with existing regulations, will ensure that the public will not be exposed to any unusual or excessive risks related to hazardous materials as a result of the Project. As such, the impacts associated with the upset and

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
		Incorporated				
accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into and beneficial impact directly, indirectly, and cumulatively. No mitig			ss than signif	icant impact		
c. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?						
9c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety and Education Elements, Figure 5.13-2 – RUSD Boundaries, Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations; Dust Control and Perimeter Monitoring Plan prepared by Haley and Aldrich in July 2021; Risk Based Disposal Approval Application prepared by Haley & Aldrich in February 2021)						
Less Than Significant Impact. The nearest school to the Project site is Arlanza Elementary School, located at 5891 Rutland Avenue, approximately 0.15 miles to the south. As discussed above, the school is not located on the expected haul route for the Project and as such, will not be impacted by trucks transporting PCB waste. The Project does not substantively change the existing use of the Project site. Although hazardous materials and/or waste generated from the Project may be used or removed during construction, all businesses that handle or have onsite transportation of hazardous materials are required to comply with the provisions of the City's Fire Code and any additional regulations as required in the California Health and Safety Code. Offsite disposal of PCB remediation waste from the Project site will also be handled in accordance with 40 CFR 761.61(c) and the Applicant's discussions with EPA, with methods described above in Section 9a. During construction, grading and paving may result in potential airborne contaminants. However, the Project will implement the DCPMP which includes dust suppression measures as outlined under SCAQMD Rule 403 and Rule 1466 to manage airborne particles during ground disturbing activities. Compliance with existing federal and State regulations associated with the exposure of schools to hazardous materials caused by this Project will be a less than significant impact directly, indirectly, and cumulatively. No mitigation is required.						
d. Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?						
9d. Response: (Source: DTSC Envirostor Database – Web; Fit Corps of Engineers dated August 16, 2004; Draft Final Pre of Engineers dated June 2018)						
Less Than Significant Impact. While the Project site was at one time part of the larger former Camp Anza site that remains on the Cortese List, as detailed below, the ongoing presence of the Camp Anza site on the Cortese List appears to be unrelated to Rohr's operations. In any case, for the reasons described above, the Project will not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment. The 75-acre Rohr property was primarily used for agriculture and farming until the 1940s when the property and surrounding lands were acquired by the Department of the Army during World War II and developed to support Camp Anza. In 1984, a Preliminary Assessment of Camp Anza was conducted to identify potential hazardous materials concerns as the property had contained military debris (including ordnances and explosive waste), chemical warfare materials, and petroleum fuel storage tanks. The historic boundaries of Camp Anza (over 1,000 acres) is included within the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) Envirostor database, which compiles hazardous materials sites pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 (Cortese List). The Project site is physically within the boundaries of the larger historic Camp Anza boundary (which comprises over 1,000 acres). However, aside from its location, the PCB-driven remediation activities at the Project site do not have any current nexus to the hazardous materials or remediation activities that form the basis for the ongoing listing compiled pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the Government Code. On the contrary, the continued presence of the larger former Camp Anza site on the Cortese List appears to be based on historical non-PCB hazardous materials usage and releases associated with former Camp Anza activities. The intent of the Project is to meet the requirements outlined in the RBDAA to eliminate potential human exposure to site soils which have become contaminated during Rohr's own manufacturing operations. The Project does not propose any						

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact		
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	ıpec		
		Incorporated				
remediation activities which are associated with hazardous materials resulting from the Camp Anza military clean-up site. While the Project site does contain PCB containing soils, the intent of the Project is to manage the PCB containing site soils to eliminate potential human exposure. PCB containing soils will be managed on-site by balancing required cuts and fills and be placed under an asphalt and concrete cap. Every effort will be made to prevent transport and disposal of PCB containing soils. The Project site will include having exclusion zones, on-site balancing, comprehensive dust control and monitoring, stormwater control, and equipment decontamination. Any PCB contaminated wastes removed from the site will be covered and transport truck tires washed. All of these activities will be performed under comprehensive dust control and monitoring in accordance with SCAQMD Rule 1446. Therefore, while exposure to PCB containing soil could pose a hazard, the Project itself will eliminate the risk of exposure through soils. Furthermore, the Project will not pose any risks by disturbing or intruding into the larger former Camp Anza footprint. As such, the Project will have a less than significant impact in terms of creating any significant hazard to the public or environment directly, indirectly, and cumulatively. No mitigation is required.						
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard or excessive noise for people residing or working in the project area?						
9e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, General Plan FPEIR Figure 5.7-2 - Riverside Municipal and FLABOB Airport Safety Zone)						
Less Than Significant Impact. The Project site is located approximately 1.5 miles southwest from the Riverside Municipal Airport and is within the Airport Compatibility Zone D-Flight Corridor Buffer, as depicted on Figure PS-6A of the General Plan Public Safety Element for Airport Land Use Compatibility Zones and Influence Areas. The Project site is also located within the Primary Traffic Patterns area of the Riverside Municipal Safety Zone as noted in Figure 5.7-2 of the General Plan FPEIR. The Project will not introduce or substantially change the existing use of the Project site. Additionally, and in accordance with Table 2A of the Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan Policy Document, the Project will not introduce either of the prohibited uses (highly noise-sensitive outdoor nonresidential uses nor hazards to flight). Further, Project does not include the development or use of objects greater than 70 feet in height, nor does it introduce a discouraged use in the Zone D (children's schools, hospitals, or nursing homes). The Project is consistent with the Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan Policy Document.						
The Project will result in an increase in ambient noise levels during or will comply with the City's noise standards as set forth in Title 7 of t			-			
As such, the Project will have a less than significant impact with re in the project area directly, indirectly, or cumulatively. No mitigation		ety hazard for	people residin	g or working		
f. Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?						
9f. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5	5.7 – Hazards	and Hazardoi	us Materials)			
No Impact. The Project will not result in significant physical alterations to the Project site that may create impairments during implementation or physically interfere with an adopted emergency plan. The Project will be served by existing streets such as Rutland Avenue and Cypress Avenue. No design changes to the streets or access to the Project site are proposed and no closures would be required during construction. No changes to the existing Emergency Operations Plan or Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP are proposed. Therefore, no impact , either directly, indirectly, or cumulatively to an emergency response or evacuation plan will occur. No mitigation is required.						

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
g. Expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires?				\boxtimes		
9g. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-7 – Fire Hazard Areas; CALFire Fire Hazard Severity Zones Maps 2009)						
No Impact. See response to Section 20 for further discussion on wild no wildlands exist, and the property is not located within a Very Hig areas or a VHFSZ; therefore, no impact regarding wildland fires eith will occur. No mitigation is required.	h Fire Severit	y Zone (VHFS	SZ) or adjacen	t to wildland		
10. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY.						
Would the project: a. Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or ground water quality?						
Less Than Significant Impact. The Project site is located within the Santa Ana Watershed but is not near any water courses that could be directly or immediately affected by the Project. The Project site is currently developed with a mix of impervious (parking lot and driveways) and pervious (unpaved lot, landscaping) surfaces. Upon construction of the expanded parking lot and paving of the unpaved portions of the Project site, the impervious surfaces will increase. Under the NPDES, the applicant will be responsible for preparing a SWPPP to mitigate the effects of erosion and water quality. The Project will implement BMPs outlined in the SWPPP to prevent deterioration of water quality standards and degrade surface and groundwater quality during the construction and operation of the Project. The Project will include the preparation of a WQMP prior to construction to ensure consistency with the NPDES requirements. The Project will also include bioretention trenches to ensure runoff is treated prior to leaving the Project site.						
Urban runoff is currently and will continue to be conveyed by local drainage facilities developed throughout the City to regional drainage facilities and then ultimately to the receiving waters. To address potential water contaminants, the Project is required to comply with applicable federal, State, and local water quality regulations. Storm water management measures will be required to be implemented to effectively control erosion and sedimentation and other construction-related pollutants during construction. Given compliance with all applicable local, State, and federal laws regulating surface water quality, the Project as designed is anticipated to result in a less than significant impact directly, indirectly, or cumulatively to any water quality standards or waste discharge. No mitigation is required.						
b. Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin?						
10b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Facilities and Infrastructure Element; Riverside Public Utilities (RPU) Map of Water Supply Basins)						
Less Than Significant Impact. The Project is located within the Arlin (RPU) Map of Water Supply Basins. The Project does not require connot include any new construction of buildings at the Project site and be requiring minimal water demand, the Project will thereby not decrease.	nection to any ecause all lan	sewer system dscaping will b	s. Because the	Project does		

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	impace	
The Project will increase the impervious surface areas of the Project site with the addition of asphalt or concrete on the currently unpaved surfaces. Based on Data from the 2020 Urban Water Management Plan for Riverside Public Utilities, the average annual precipitation is nine inches. Considering the impervious footprint of the project site, the stormwater run-off will increase approximately seven acre-feet of water annually, less than 0.008% of the 86,111 acre-feet pumped by Riverside Public Utilities last year. This water will instead be directed to the Santa Ana River through the adjacent Riverside County Flood Control Channel after being treated via the onsite water quality bioretention trenches. This alteration to the Project site is being implemented per the EPA to manage the PCB-containing site soils. While the					
Project could decrease groundwater recharge by a fraction of a p containment of PCB contamination would be a benefit for protecti Urban Water Management Plans, Riverside Public Utilities does n dissolved solids and nitrates. Additionally, the Project does not incincrease in demand and use of water resources. Therefore, the Projectly, or cumulatively to groundwater supplies. No mitigation is	ng the ground ot draw from lude new dev ject will have	dwater quality this basin due elopment that	Further, accept to its high lower would create	ording to the evels of total a significant	
c. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would:					
i. Result in substantial erosion or siltation on-or-off-site?			\boxtimes		
10i Response: (Source: Public Works Department – Survey	and Land Re	cords)			
Less Than Significant Impact. The Project is subject to NPDES requirements; areas of one acre or more of disturbance are subject to preparing and implementing a SWPPP for the prevention of runoff during construction. Erosion, siltation, and other possible pollutants associated with long-term implementation of the Project will be addressed as part of the WQMP and grading permit process. According to the City's Survey and Land Records of the Public Works Department, the Storm Drain Index Maps identify storm drain mains near the Project are primarily located along Arlington Avenue, the concrete channel that bisects the properties east of the Project site, and along Van Buren Boulevard. The Project site is mostly developed as an employee parking lot with a portion of the Project site as an unpaved storage area. The Project will implement the design and construction recommendations provided in the Geotechnical Design Review and Recommendations report prepared for the Project to manage potential effects due to erosion.					
The Project is removing the unpaved areas of the site, and as such, there is the opportunity for onsite erosion or siltation to be eliminated. As water flows offsite, it passes through the bioretention trenches, which acts to remove suspended solids from the stormwater. The water will then be conveyed into an existing concrete lined storm drain channel which will be manually released to the County storm drain channel. At that time, it will further remove any excess solids. There will be no additional erosion offsite from the movement of this water as it will be contained entirely within lined conveyance systems.					
The Project is not located near any streams or significant drainage areas that would significantly be impacted during construction and operations. Therefore, the Project will have a less than significant impact directly, indirectly, or cumulatively to existing drainage patterns. No mitigation is required.					
ii. Substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or-off-site?					
10ii Response: (Source: Federal Emergency Management	Agency Flood	Map Service	Center)		
Less Than Significant Impact. The Project site is located within the the Project includes physical alterations of the site such as grading an					

[flood hazards, and no drainage features are present that would be significantly impacted by the construction and operation of Environmental Initial Study 25 PR-2021-001046

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impost	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With	Impact	Impact	
22 (2 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		Mitigation Incorporated			
the Project. Furthermore, the Project site is located within an urbanized area and is mostly developed, with some sections disturbed, but unpaved. The Project will result in an increase of impervious surfaces. However, bioretention trenches will be installed to convey water off site. In addition, the Project will implement BMPs as outlined in the SWPPP to address and manage additional runoff. Any increase in runoff from an increase in impervious services will be captured by the bioretention trenches and will not impact drainage offsite. Further, any such increase will be balanced with the implementation of the stormwater upgrades on Phillip Avenue, which will redirect stormwater from flowing onto the Project site through a gravity pipeline to a catch basin on Arlington Avenue. Therefore, impacts will be less than significant directly, indirectly, or cumulatively in the rate or amount of surface runoff, and the Project will not result in flooding on or off site. No mitigation is required.					
iii. Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed		П			
the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage					
systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; or					
iv. Impede or redirect flood flows					
10iii Response: (Source: Federal Emergency Management	Agency Floor	d Map Service	Center)		
While the Project increases the square footage of impervious surfaces on the Project site, which could increase runoff, the Project will implement BMPs as outlined in the SWPPP to address and manage additional runoff and reduce/eliminate adverse water quality impacts. The paving of the unpaved areas will be a benefit for protecting the groundwater from leaching effluent from PCB containing soils. Furthermore, the Project includes the preparation of a WQMP to ensure compliance with the NPDES. The Project also includes installation of bioretention trenches to convey water off site. These bioretention trenches have been sized to properly handle the flow rates expected and, coupled with the manual released to the County storm drain channel, will ensure that run off water does not exceed the capacity of existing storm drain systems. Therefore, the Project will not create or contribute runoff water exceeding capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; impacts will be less than significant directly, indirectly, or cumulatively. No mitigation is required.					
d. In flood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zones, risk release of pollutants due to project inundation?					
10d. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.8 – Hyd	rology and W	ater Quality)			
No Impact. The Project site is not located within a flood hazard zone. Tsunamis are large waves that occur in coastal areas; therefore, since the City is not located in a coastal area, no impacts due to tsunamis would occur. Additionally, the Project site and its surroundings have generally flat topography; and the Project site is within an urbanized area, not within immediate proximity to Lake Mathews, Lake Evans, the Santa Ana River, Lake Hills, Norco Hills, Box Springs Mountain Area, or any of the nine arroyos which transverse the City and its sphere of influence. While a channel is located east of the Project site near Van Buren Boulevard, the Project does not include any activities that would interfere or modify the channel. Therefore, no impact potential for seiche or mudflow exists either directly, indirectly, or cumulatively. No mitigation is required.					
e. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan?					
10e. Response:					
No Impact. The Project does not include new development or new services that would create an increase in water demands and thereby increase water discharges. The Project will not conflict or obstruct the implementation of water quality and groundwater management. Project operations will be substantially the same as existing operations. While the Project could decrease groundwater recharge and increase runoff from the Project due to increasing the impervious areas by asphalting the					

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
unpaved areas, this proposed action is for the benefit of protectin containing soils. As such, the Project will have no impact on water mitigation is required.		lwater from le			
11. LAND USE AND PLANNING:					
Would the project:					
a. Physically divide an established community?				\square	
11a.Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR)					
Although the Project includes constructing a permanent wall surround public access and travel. All construction activities will occur within to the typical circulation patterns surrounding the site will consist of along established roadways designated for such use. Implementation zoning, and the Project does not include features that would precloperational Project activities will not physically divide an established on the division of an established community. No mitigation is required.	n the footprint highly tempora of the Project ude mobility and d community.	t of the Project ary heavy equi t will not resul across the Pro	t site, and any pment travel a t in a change i ject site. Cons	interruptions and deliveries in land use or struction and	
b. Cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?			\boxtimes		
11b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 202	5 Figure LU-	10 – Land Use	Policy Map)		
Less Than Significant Impact. The Project involves upgrades to approximately 7 acres of the Project site in order to limit the movement of PCB containing soil and prevent interaction between site soil and groundwater infiltration. The Project has been designed to be consistent with all zoning regulations in each of the parcels zoned I and CR zones, respectively. Considering the Project will not result in any changes to the existing land use at the Project site and will align with all policies in the Land Use Element of the City's General Plan and the City's zoning ordinance, the Project will not conflict with any land use plan or policy and less than significant impacts will occur. No mitigation is required.					
44 MANUED AV DEGOVID CIEG		<u> </u>			
12. MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:					
a. Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?					
12a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure - OS-1 - M	lineral Resou	rces)			
No Impact. The Project does not involve extraction of mineral resources. While Project construction activities will include grading and some soil removal, no State-designated mineral resources zones are on the Project site. Neither the Project site nor the surrounding area has previous or future uses for mineral extraction purposes. The Project site is not located near or adjacent to a mineral resource recovery site. Therefore, the Project will have no impact on mineral resources directly, indirectly, or cumulatively. No mitigation is required.					
b. Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan specific plan or other land use plan?					

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
in on military sources.	Impact	Mitigation	_	
12b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure – OS-1 – M	lineral Resou	Incorporated rces)		
No Impact. The Project is not located within or adjacent to a State-d activities are proposed on the Project site, nor has the site been used to be used as a parking lot and aircraft material storage, which is consi will have no impact on mineral resources. No mitigation is required	esignated min or mineral reso istent with the	eral resource z	n. The Project	will continue
13. NOISE.				<u> </u>
Would the project result in:				1
a. Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?				
13a. Response: (Source: Title 7 – Noise Code: Riverside Munic	inal Code			
between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. on weekdays, between at any time on Sunday or a federal holiday. Construction activities we City. Once operational, the Project will not substantially change the exist changes to the existing operations, such as the development of new some increase in ambient noise levels. Therefore, impacts are less than sig of noise levels in excess of established City standards either directly.	ting uses. The ervices or new nificant on the	e Project will v structures, the exposure of p	allowances pro not include an at could create persons to or th	by significant a permanent ne generation
b. Generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?			\boxtimes	
13b. Response: (Source: Federal Transit Administration 2018;	Riverside Mu	 nicinal Code		
Less Than Significant Impact. Construction-related activities, a groundborne noise and vibration that could affect occupants of neighbor not a significant source of groundbourne vibration. In limited instant but usage will be rare and infrequent and will not cause significant groundborne vibration and noise. Project construction activities will be residences are located immediately south of the Project site along Cymostly of automobile and metal shop businesses. While residence construction activities will comply with the City's standards for recertain days of the week. Because of the short-term construction disturbance, the Project will have a less than significant impact or required.	Ithough short hboring uses. nces, some tra oundbourne vin, the Project be short term. press Avenue, and busines stricting cons n schedule, a directly, indire	term, are the Most equipme ck mounted edibration. Groun site and its sur Commercial by and west acroses are located truction to spend relatively	e most commont will be rubbe quipment may adborne vibration rroundings matusinesses and se sess Rutland Avoid near the Properific hours of shallow depth	ber-tired, and be required, ion and noise y experience single-family enue consists ject site, the the day and as of ground
or an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?						
13c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, General Plan FPEIR Figure 5.7-2 - Riverside Municipal and FLABOB Airport Safety Zone)						
Less Than Significant Impact. The Project site is located within the of the Riverside Municipal Airport. While the Project is located we expose people residing or working within the Project site to additional development of new structures or introduction of new services in the atthe Project will result in a less than significant impact with regard project area directly, indirectly, or cumulatively. No mitigation is reconstituted in the project area directly, indirectly, or cumulatively.	rithin this design of the control of	ignated airportoise levels as the create excess	t zone, the Pro he Project does sive noise level	oject will not s not propose ls. Therefore,		
14. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:						
a. Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?						
14a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table LU-3 – Land Use Designations, FPEIR Table 5.12-A – SCAG Population and Households Forecast, Table 5.12-B – General Plan Population and Employment Projections–2025, Table 5.12-C – 2025 General Plan and SCAG Comparisons, Table 5.12-D - General Plan Housing Projections 2025, Capital Improvement Program and SCAG's RCP and RTP)						
No Impact. Implementation of the Project will not result in the construction of new homes or businesses or result in the extension of roads or other infrastructure that would result in direct or indirect population growth. Construction of the Project will result in the generation of temporary construction jobs; however, these jobs are expected to be filled by residents who currently live in the area and would not induce unplanned population growth. There will be no substantial change in use from the existing conditions at the Project site. Therefore, the Project will not directly or indirectly induce substantial population growth through the creation of new homes or businesses, and no impacts will occur directly, indirectly, or cumulative related to unplanned population growth. No mitigation is required.						
b. Displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?						
14b. Response: (Source: Google Maps 2021)						
No Impact. As discussed above, the Project site does not propose any housing units. Additionally, the Project is entirely within an existing parking lot and storage area on the Project site. No existing housing units or people would be removed or displaced as a result of the Project; thus, the Project will not require the construction of replacement housing elsewhere, and no impacts will occur directly, indirectly, or cumulatively related to displacement of people or housing. No mitigation is required.						

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
15. PUBLIC SERVICES.					
Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:					
a. Fire protection?				\boxtimes	
15a. Response: (Source: Google Maps 2021)	•	•	•	-	
and groundwater infiltration. The Project will provide a small numbe expected to be filled by the local community. Thus, the Project will requiring the need for new governmental facilities such as those recreation. Following completion of the Project, the site will continuaterials storage yard and will not increase employee population acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance object by Arlanza Fire Station #7 located at 10191 Cypress Avenue, approxist the Project will not result in the intensification of land use and will have or services either directly, indirectly, or cumulatively. No mitigation	Il not induce for fire protonue current op n numbers. Octives. Adequa mately 0.5 mil ve no impact	permanent poperation, police perations as an Current operation te fire facilitie e to the west of	pulation growt protection, sc employee pa- ions do not in and services f the Project sin	h in the area hooling, and rking lot and nterfere with are provided te. Therefore,	
b. Police protection?					
1					
No Impact. The Project is a private remediation project that will not impact or involve government facilities or services. Adequate police facilities and services are provided by the Riverside Police Department located at 10540 Magnolia Avenue, approximately 2.5 miles south from the Project site. Therefore, the Project will not result in the intensification of land use and will have no impact on the demand for additional police facilities or services either directly, indirectly, or cumulatively. No mitigation is required.					
c. Schools?					
No Impact. The Project is a nonresidential use and will not investigate that would increase numbers of school age children. The for additional school facilities or services either directly, indirectly, d. Parks? 15d. Response: (Source: Google Maps 2021)	erefore, the Pi	oject will have	e no impact oi	n the demand	
No Impact. The Project is a nonresidential use and will not inverse employment that would increase the population. Therefore, the Propark facilities or services either directly, indirectly, or cumulatively.	ject will have	no impact on			
e Other public facilities?				\square	

	Potentially Significant	Less Than	Less Than Significant Significant	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	Impact
15e. Response: (Source: Google Maps 2021)				
No Impact. Adequate public facilities and services such as librarie Public Library and Arlanza Community Center to serve the neighbor intensification of land use or result in an increase in employment a public facilities or services either directly, indirectly, or cumulatively	hoods near the and will have	Project. The I	Project will no	t result in the
16. RECREATION.				
a. Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				
16a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Section 5.1	4 Recreation;	Google Maps	s 2021)	
No Impact. The Project will not result in an intensification of land have no impact on the demand for additional recreational facilities Community Center either directly, indirectly, or cumulatively. The Fexisting parking lot, installing bioretention trenches, repaving of a laconstruction of the Project, the site will continue to be used for employment will be generated by the Project, and construction job currently live in the area. Therefore, the Project will not result in the of the existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational of recreational facilities will not occur; thus, no impacts will occur.	s such as Bry Project will incomper area, and eyee parking a coopenings are relocation of a l facilities. The	ant Park, Rutlelude removing I constructing and aircraft mate expected to any population erefore, substa	and Park, and g asphalt and g perimeter wall terial storage. I be filled by retathat could inc	the Arlanza grading of an ls. Following No long-term esidents who rease the use
b. Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				
16b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Section 5.	14 Recreation	; Google Map	s 2021)	
No Impact. The Project does not include new recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities; therefore, the Project will have no impact directly, indirectly, or cumulatively. The Project includes removing asphalt and grading of an existing parking lot, installing bioretention trenches, repaving of a larger area, and constructing perimeter walls at an existing aircraft manufacturing facility. The Project will not require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities in the area; therefore, no impact will occur. No mitigation is required.				
17. TRANSPORTATION				
Would the project result in:				
a. Conflict with a program plan, ordinance, or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle, and pedestrian facilities?				
17a. Response: (Source General Plan 2025 Zoning Map of The	he City of Rive	erside; Land U	Jse Policy Ma	p)
Less Than Significant Impact. The Project site is located on a prevuse resulting in any measurable increase in traffic will occur. The designation of land use and zoning. No changes to the roadways	e Project uses	are consister	nt with the Ge	eneral Plan's

storage of aircraft materials. Proposed construction activities will remain within the existing property, except for installation

Environmental Initial Study

31

PR-2021-001046

circulation. Project operations will remain consistent to the existing uses which are providing parking for employees and

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
of a connection to an existing storm drain basin and repaving a small portion of Phillip Avenue. However, there are no proposed roadblocks associated with these activities that would affect transit uses. While Phillip Avenue is paved, that portion of the road will not be accessible as paving activities would interfere with use of that portion of the road. However, the only use of that portion of Phillip Avenue is for access to the Project site. A temporary construction entrance will be provided on Phillip Ave and a portion of Phillip Ave will require soil removal and pavement installation. During these activities, only the portion of Phillip Ave that is used by the facility will be closed to pedestrians, vehicles, and non-construction personnel. While the use of construction vehicles entering and exiting the Project site could cause a delay in traffic, these will be a temporary occurrence and will not require changing any existing circulation policy or regulation. Therefore, a less than significant impact directly, indirectly, or cumulatively to the capacity of the existing circulation system will occur. No mitigation is required.					
b. Would the project conflict or be inconsistent with CEQA Guidelines section 15064.3, subdivision (b)?					
No Impact. The Project does not involve a substantial change to the existing use. No new uses or new development is proposed that would attract additional vehicle trips to the Project site. During construction, the Project could result in delays in traffic along Rutland Avenue. However, these will be a temporary occurrence. Furthermore, because of the temporary nature of the construction phase, and that construction will occur within a timeframe of approximately 6-8 months, estimated construction trips will not conflict with CEQA guidelines section 15064.3. Therefore, no impact directly, indirectly, or cumulatively to Section 15064.3, subdivision (b) will occur. No mitigation is required.					
c. Substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?					
No Impact. The Project is located on a site that is currently developed, with all site improvements in place, and where no site modifications will occur that would result in hazards due to design features such as driveways, intersection improvements, etc. In addition, the proposed use is compatible with other uses on the site. As such, the Project will have no impact on increasing hazards through design or incompatible uses either directly, indirectly, or cumulatively. No mitigation is required.					
d. Result in inadequate emergency access? 17d Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPFIR Chapter	7 5 7 – Hazari	ds and Hazara	lous Materials	r: Project Site	
No Impact. The Project is located on a site that is currently developed, with all site improvements in place, and where no site modifications are proposed that would affect emergency access. During construction access to the Project site will be maintained and no street lane closures will be required. As discussed above, when Phillip Avenue is paved, that portion of the road will be closed, however, use of that portion of the road is limited to access to the Project site. No alterations to the surrounding area, roadways, or access to the Project site are proposed that would affect emergency access. No changes to the existing Emergency Operations Plan or Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP are proposed. Therefore, the Project will have no impact directly, indirectly, or cumulatively on emergency access. No mitigation is required.					

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	Impact
18. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code Section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is:				
 a. Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code Section 5020.1(k), or 18a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5 				
No Impact. Notification letters were sent to 9 tribes on September 1 Responses were received from the Gabrieleno Band of Mission India of Mission Indians, and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians; non on previously developed and disturbed land within an urbanized area. within an area of low archaeological sensitivity. No structures are prein the California Register of Historic Resources. Therefore, the Project indirectly, and cumulatively. No mitigation is required.	ns, Rincon Ba e of which requ According to t esent on the Pa	and of Luisence uested consult he General Pla roject site that	Indians, San ation. The Pro an, the Project could be elig	Manuel Band ject is located site is located ible for listing
b. A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.				
Less Than Significant Impact. The Project is on developed and de Project is not anticipated to excavate soils beyond 5 feet in depth, which However, the Project site is within an area of low archaeological unknown resources is low and impacts to tribal cultural resources cumulatively. No mitigation is required.	sturbed land veh may result in sensitivity. T	within an urban the discovery	nized area of y of tribal cultu likelihood of	ural resources. encountering
19. UTILITIES AND SYSTEM SERVICES. Would the project:				
a. Require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, wastewater treatment or stormwater drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunication facilities, the construction or relocation of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
19a. Response: (Source: Project Site Plans; General Plan 20. Less Than Significant Impact. During construction, the Project w water, and electric power. However, these uses will be temporary propositional the Project does not	ill utilize varions it will be l	imited during	construction	that will last
approximately 6-8 months. Once operational, the Project does not wastewater treatment facilities, natural gas, or telecommunication.				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
parking lights for safety and security purposes. Because the Project does not propose the construction of new structures or facilities that will increase the employment to the Project site, there will not be a significant increase in utility demand. The installation of new lighting will connect to the existing electrical grid at the Project site. The installation of additional parking lights, while it will be considered a new accessory to the Project site, will not require relocation or construction of new or expanded electrical power because it will not be a power intensive accessory. No other new structures are proposed. Therefore, the Project will have less than significant impact resulting in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or the expansion of existing facilities directly, indirectly, or cumulatively. No mitigation is required.					
b. Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project and reasonably foreseeable future development during normal, dry, and multiple dry years?					
19b. Response: (Source: Project Site Plans; General Plan 2025)				
Less than Significant Impact. The Project will not exceed expect Landscaping is proposed for the Project site, but all species will be are proposed on the site that would require a significant increased water will be used for cleaning and dust suppression methods, its use require a nominal amount. Therefore, the Project will have a less supplies either directly, indirectly, or cumulatively. No mitigation is	drought tolera demand in was will be limite than signific	nt requiring mater supplies. I	inimal water. During construction pe	No new uses uction, while briod and will	
c. Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?					
19c. Response: (Source: Project Site Plans; General Plan 2025))				
No Impact. The Project will not exceed wastewater treatment requirements during construction and operation. The Project will not include any new uses or new construction that will increase wastewater discharges and potentially increase wastewater capacities. During construction, while water will be used for site cleaning and dust suppression methods, this will be temporary and limited only during the construction phase of the Project. Wastewater from decontamination activities conducted during construction will be collected and disposed of offsite at an appropriate waste disposal facility; it will not be transmitted to the City's wastewater system. Therefore, no impact to wastewater treatment directly, indirectly, or cumulatively will occur. No mitigation is required.					
d. Generate solid waste in excess of State or local standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, or otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals?			\boxtimes		
19d. Response: (Source: Riverside County Department of Wass Green Building Standards Code)	te Resources (Construction of	and Demolitio	n; California	
Less than Significant Impact. The Project will not result in a permanent solid waste stream such as those expected from residential, commercial, and industrial facilities. The Project operations will remain the same as the current uses as an employee parking lot and aircraft materials storage yard on the I-zoned parcels, and a vacant paved lot on the CR-zoned parcels. Any solid wastes generated will be from the construction phase of the Project, which will be temporary and would not impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals. Existing pavement onsite will be pulverized and remain onsite. The Project will comply with the requirements of the California Green Building Standards Code which include construction waste reduction, disposal, and recycling standards where feasible. Therefore, no impacts related to solid waste will occur directly, indirectly, or cumulatively. No mitigation is required.					

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):				impact
e. Comply with federal, state, and local management and reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				\boxtimes
19e. Response: (Source: California Integrated Waste Manager	nent Board 20	002 Landfill F	Cacility Compl	iance Study)
No Impact. See response to 19d. The California Integrated Waste jurisdictions divert at least 50 percent of all solid waste generated 60-percent diversion rate, well above State requirements. In addit developments to divert 50 percent of nonhazardous construction an excavated soil and land clearing debris for all nonresidential projects	by January 1, ion, the Cali d demolition	, 2000. The C fornia Green debris for all	ity is currently Building Cod	y achieving a e requires all
The Project will comply with federal, State, and local management wind During construction, the Project will comply with the regulations for be excavated will be reused where feasible. Therefore, no impacts relatively. No mitigation is required.	the handling a	and disposal of	solid wastes.	Soils that will
20. WILDFIRE				
If located in or near state responsibility areas or lands classified as ve	ry high fire ha	azard severity	zones, would t	he project:
a. Substantially impair an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				\boxtimes
No Impact. The Project site is not located within a very high fire hat hazard zones are located on the outer boundaries of the City near the rexisting Rohr Riverside Facility and does not involve or require revacuation plan or the Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP. Therefore, no impoccur. No mitigation is required. b. Due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, exacerbate	nountains and nodifications	hillsides. The to an adopted	Project is local emergency r	ted within the esponse plan,
wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire?				
20b. Response: (Source: CALFire Fire Hazard Severity Zon	es Maps 2009))		
No Impact. See response to 20a. Open spaces could be susceptible and the funneling of the Santa Ana winds through the canyons. The P the open space areas of the City. The Project site is on relatively flat steep slopes. The Project does not include development of structures from a wildfire and will not include any uses that could exacerbate wild to uncontrolled spread of wildfire directly, indirectly, or cumulatively	roject is not lo terrain and wi that would ex lfire risk. The	ocated within a ll not exacerba pose occupants refore, the Proj	VHFHSZ and the potential finds to pollutant of the cet will result	d is not within re risks due to concentrations
c. Require the installation or maintenance of associated infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water sources, power lines, or other utilities) that may exacerbate fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts to the environment?				
20c. Response: (Source: CALFire Fire Hazard Severity Zone	es Maps 2009))		
No Impact. See response to 20a. The Project does not include any exacerbate fire risks such as roadway construction, fuel breaks, or po				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact			
additional parking lot lights, this utility installation is not power intensive and will not increase the fire risk within the area. Therefore, no impacts associated with the installation or maintenance of infrastructure exacerbating fire risks directly, indirectly, or cumulatively will occur. No mitigation is required.							
d. Expose people or structures to significant risks, including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes?							
20d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025; CALFire Fire F	Iazard Severi	ty Zones Map	os 2009)				
No Impact. See response to 20a and 20b. The Project does not inc persons to significant fire risks. Because of the Project site's relatinstability or risk of landslides. The Project site is developed and prerunoff due to the balance between the potential addition of impervious on Phillip Avenue. Further, any runoff associated with the Project withe flat topography of the Project site. Furthermore, the Project will in and runoff at the Project site. Therefore, no impacts from downslop required.	ively flat topo viously disturb s parking lot so ill not create a nclude BMPs	ography, there bed. The Project faces and the landslide or d in the SWPPP	will be no po et will not creat estormwater in ownstream flo in order to ma	ost-fire slope ate additional improvements boding due to image erosion			
21. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.							
a. Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or an endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?							
21a. Response: (Source: General Plan FPEIR 2025 - Secures)	tion 5.4 Biol	ogical Resout	rces, Section	5.5 Cultural			
Less Than Significant Impact. As discussed in the Biological Reson significant impacts related to habitat of fish or wildlife associated we urbanized area of the City and does not contain any sensitive habitats impacts were found to be less than significant. There are trees locate removal which could house nesting birds. The trees that are proportypically used for landscaping purposes and are not considered a Resources Section, the applicant will be required to adhere to exist impacts to cultural, archaeological, and paleontological resources Riverside's history or prehistory were discussed in the Cultural Resonite does not contain any historic or geologic structures, and becaupreviously disturbed areas, impacts were found to be less than significant.	ith the Project that could pot d nearby the F sed to be rem rare species. I ing regulation related to maj burces Section use any groun	Because the entially house Project site, and oved onsite and However, as one such as MB' or periods of of this Initial d-disturbing a	Project site is rare or endang d six trees are re non-native sliscussed in the TA. Additional California and Study. Becausetivities will	located in an ered species, proposed for and are trees as Biological lly, potential d the City of se the Project			
b. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?							
21b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Section General Plan 2025 Program)	6 – Long-Te	rm Effects/ C	umulative Im	pacts for the			

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
Less Than Significant Impact. Because the Project is consistent with the General Plan 2025, no new cumulative impacts are anticipated; and, therefore, cumulative impacts of the Project beyond those previously considered in the GP 2025 FPEIR are less than significant. No new construction of buildings or introduction of new services to the Project site are proposed that would create a cumulative impact to the resources outlines in Section 6 of the FPEIR. Furthermore, the majority of the activities undertaken for the Project will remain within the Project site. Given that this is a site-specific remediation project, it is not a project that is likely to have impacts that combine with other projects to create a significant impact. Further, a review of the Project's vicinity did not reveal any other projects that are expected to occur at the same approximate time of the Project. Because impacts in the analyses above were found to be less than significant, the Project will not contribute to a cumulatively considerable impact Impacts will be less than significant. No mitigation is required.						
c. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?						
21c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 5 – Environmental Impact Analysis for the General Plan 2025 Program) Less Than Significant Impact. Effects on human beings were evaluated as part of the aesthetics, air quality, hydrology and water quality, noise, population and housing, hazards and hazardous materials, and traffic sections of this Initial Study and found to be less than significant for each of the above sections. The intent of the Project is to pave the unpaved areas of the Project site and to repave other areas in order to manage PCB containing site soil, as required by the EPA. Therefore, the Project will have a beneficial impact on human beings and the environment. Potential direct and indirect impacts on human beings that result from the Project are less than significant. No mitigation is required.						

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21087, Public Resources Code. Reference: Sections 21080(c), 21080.1, 21080.3, 21082.1, 21083, 21083.3, 21093, 21094, 21151, Public Resources Code; Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino, 202 Cal.App.3d 296 (1988); Leonoff v. Monterey Board of Supervisors, 222 Cal.App.3d 1337 (1990).