

CEQA EXEMPTION / NEPA CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM (rev. 04/2021)

Project Information		
Project Name (if applicable): SAC 5 Slabs	
DIST-CO-RTE: 03-SAC-5	PM/PM: 0.0/4.0	
EA: 2J930 Federal-	-Aid Project Number: N/A	
Project Description		
replace failed concrete slabs County. Failed concrete slabs storm. Damage assessment material, piping of fines, and be replaced as soon as poss the full closure of the travel w	Transportation (Caltrans) issued a on Interstate 5, from postmile 0.0 to swere reported on both directions concluded the slab failure is caused the storm accelerated the failure. Tible to ensure the safety of traveling ay. This project is needed to avoid ystem, which can result in the loss of	o 4.0, in Sacramento of Interstate 5 after a d by unsuitable base hese failed slabs must g public and to avoid failure or threat of
Caltrans CEQA Determinat	<u>ion</u>	
□ Not Applicable – Caltrans Based on an examination of a Exempt by Statute. (PRC □ Categorically Exempt. C □ No exceptions apply 21084 and 14 CCR 1 □ Covered by the Common exempt class, but it can be activity may have a signification.	s is not the CEQA Lead Agency has prepared an IS or EIR under (this proposal and supporting inform 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) lass 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 that would bar the use of a categor 5300.2). See the SER Chapter 34 in Sense Exemption. This project do e seen with certainty that there is no icant effect on the environment (14 iner or Environmental Branch Chi	ation, the project is: 0 et seq.) ical exemption (PRC for exceptions. oes not fall within an o possibility that the CCR 15061[b][3].)
Julia K. Green	Qulia K Green Signature	4.15.22
Print Name	Øignature [∨]	Date
Project Manager		
Edrees Shamal	Cdrees Shamal Signature	04/15/2022
Print Name	Signature	Date



CEQA EXEMPTION / NEPA CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

Caltrans NEPA Determination

⋈ Not Applicable

Caltrans has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). See <u>SER Chapter 30</u> for unusual circumstances. As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under NEPA and is included under the following:

and is included under the foll	owing:	
the responsibility to make this Memorandum of Understand Caltrans. Caltrans has deterr 23 CFR 771.117(c): 6 23 CFR 771.117(d): 6	s been assigned, and hereby cers determination pursuant to 23 Ling dated April 18, 2019, executionined that the project is a Categactivity (c)(Enter activity numbactivity (d)(Enter activity numbactivity listed in Appendix A	JSC 326 and the ed between FHWA and orical Exclusion under: per) per)
Caltrans has determined that The environmental review, con Federal environmental laws for Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC December 23, 2016 and exec	examination of this proposal and the project is a Categorical Exconsultation, and any other action for this project are being, or have 327 and the Memorandum of Ucuted by FHWA and Caltrans.	elusion under 23 USC 327. Is required by applicable been, carried out by anderstanding dated
N/A		
Print Name	Signature	Date
Project Manager/ DLA Engi	ineer	
N/A		
Print Name	Signature	Date
Data of Catagorical Evalua	ion Chaoklist completion /if ar	anliaghla), N/A

Date of Categorical Exclusion Checklist completion (if applicable): N/A Date of Environmental Commitment Record or equivalent: N/A

EA: 2J930 Page 2 of 3



CEQA EXEMPTION / NEPA CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

Continuation sheet:

Description (Continued from page 1)

The scope of work includes site preparation, removal of failed concrete slabs, subgrade preparation, installation of new concrete slab, striping, traffic control, and incidental work.

Right-of-Way

All staging and work occurred within Caltrans right-of-way.

Borrow/Disposal

A borrow site was not required for this work.

Consultation/Coordination

Based on the scope of work and due to the nature of the project, consultation or coordination was not required.

Permits

Based on the scope of work, regulatory permits were not required.

EA: 2J930 Page 3 of 3