

CEQA EXEMPTION / NEPA CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM (rev. 04/2021)

Project Information		
Project Name (if applicable	e): PLA 80 Shoulder Sinkhole	
DIST-CO-RTE: 03-PLA-80	PM/PM: 25.4/25.4	
EA: 2J920 Federal	-Aid Project Number: N/A	
Project Description		
repair a sinkhole at the east Placer County. Damage asset the embankment failed and a material out. The void is abo approximately 300 feet in ler order to avoid the failure of t	f Transportation (Caltrans) issued a Epound shoulder of State Route 80 nearessment concluded there is no culver a break at the dike allow runoff to go ut 3 feet into the shoulder, averaging anoth. This problem must be arrested a ravel way. This project was needed to n system, which can result in the loss	ar postmile 25.4 in it nearby. It appears over and eroded 3 feet deep, and as soon as possible in o avoid failure or threat
Caltrans CEQA Determinat	tion (Check one)	
 □ Not Applicable – Caltrans □ Based on an examination of □ Exempt by Statute. (PRO □ Categorically Exempt. Of □ No exceptions apply 21084 and 14 CCR 1 □ Covered by the Common exempt class, but it can be activity may have a significant content. 	s is not the CEQA Lead Agency s has prepared an IS or EIR under Class this proposal and supporting informated 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 that would bar the use of a categorical 15300.2). See the SER Chapter 34 form Sense Exemption. This project does be seen with certainty that there is no ficant effect on the environment (14 Commer or Environmental Branch Chiemanner or Environmental Branch Chi	et seq.) al exemption (PRC or exceptions. es not fall within an possibility that the ECR 15061[b][3].)
		•
Julia K. Green	<u>Julia K Green</u> Signature	4.7.22
Print Name Project Manager	<i>S</i> ignature	Date
Tirthkumar Patel	<u>Tirthkumar Patel</u>	04/07/2022
Print Name	Signature	Date



CEQA EXEMPTION / NEPA CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

<u>Caltrans NEPA Determination</u> (Check one)

⋈ Not Applicable

Caltrans has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). See <u>SER Chapter 30</u> for unusual circumstances. As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under NEPA and is included under the following:

and is included under the fo	ollowing:	TEN OF ETO UNGCENTER A
the responsibility to make the Memorandum of Understand Caltrans. Caltrans has determined 23 CFR 771.117(c) 23 CFR 771.117(d)	as been assigned, and hereby certains determination pursuant to 23 Unding dated April 18, 2019, executormined that the project is a Categoractivity (c)(Enter activity number activity number listed in Appendix A	JSC 326 and the ed between FHWA and orical Exclusion under: per) per)
Caltrans has determined th The environmental review, Federal environmental laws Caltrans pursuant to 23 US	an examination of this proposal an at the project is a Categorical Exconsultation, and any other action for this project are being, or have C 327 and the Memorandum of U ecuted by FHWA and Caltrans.	lusion under 23 USC 327. s required by applicable been, carried out by
Senior Environmental Pla	nner or Environmental Branch (Chief
N/A		
Print Name	Signature	Date
Project Manager/ DLA En	gineer	
N/A		
Print Name	Signature	Date

Date of Categorical Exclusion Checklist completion (if applicable): N/A Date of Environmental Commitment Record or equivalent: N/A

EA: 2J920 Page 2 of 3



CEQA EXEMPTION / NEPA CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

Continuation sheet:

Description (Continued from page 1)

The scope of work includes site preparation, roadway excavation, remove guardrail and dike, backfill, paving, install guardrail and dike, striping, traffic control, and incidental work.

Right-of-Way

All staging and work occurred within Caltrans right-of-way.

Borrow/Disposal

A borrow site was not required for this work. Disposal of approximately 40 cubic yards of hot mixed asphalt (HMA) was hauled to a landfill.

Consultation/Coordination

Based on the scope of work and due to the nature of the project, consultation or coordination was not required.

Permits

Based on the scope of work, regulatory permits were not required.

EA: 2J920 Page **3** of **3**