Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Pit River 40 Acre Property Fee-to-Trust

Lead Agency: Bureau of Indian Affairs, Pacific Region

Contact Name: Chad Broussard

Email: Chad.Broussard@bia.gov Phone Number: (916) 978-6165

Project Location: Montgomery Creek, Shasta County
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) proposes to place land into federal trust for the Pit River Tribe (Tribe). The land, known as the "40 Acre Property" site and currently owned in fee by the Tribe, consists of approximately 40.1 acres in Shasta County, California. This land is intended to be used for residential housing as a continuation of the adjacent Montgomery Creek Rancheria Housing Project, which would allow expansion of a housing base that is currently inadequate for Tribal needs. The proposed development of Tribal housing would cover approximately 29.42 acres and the remaining land on the parcel would be converted to residential roadways or left undisturbed.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The following environmental factors have been determined to have a potentially significant effect and have been reduced to a less-than-significant impact through mitigation measures, as described below:

1. Water Resources: Mitigation measures, including the preparation of a SWPPP, would be implemented to reduce impacts associated with surface or groundwater hydrology or water quality that would occur downstream of the project site.
2. Biological Resources: Mitigation measures would be implemented to reduce impacts to biological resources including special-status species, wetlands and other waters of the U.S., and the northern spotted owl and migratory birds.
3. Cultural Resources: Mitigation measures would be implemented to reduce impacts to cultural resources during construction activities including inadvertent discovery of archaeological resources and/or human remains.
4. Public Services: Mitigation measures would be implemented to reduce impacts due to wildfire during operation.
5. Noise: Mitigation measures would be implemented to reduce noise impacts during construction.
6. Hazards and Hazardous Materials: Mitigation measures would be implemented to reduce impacts due to hazardous materials during construction and operation including preparing a spill prevention and countermeasure plan, providing proper and timely maintenance to vehicles and equipment, as well as assuring hazardous materials are properly stored.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

None known at this time

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

-Karen Vitulano, US EPA
-Shasta County Clerk