

CEQA EXEMPTION / NEPA CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM (rev. 04/2021)

Project Information		
Project Name (if applicab	le): SAC 99 Simmerhorn Culvert	
DIST-CO-RTE: 03-SAC-99	PM/PM: 1.36/1.36	
EA: 2J600 Federa	al-Aid Project Number: N/A	
Project Description		
to replace a failed culvert of A failed culvert (system # 2 Route 99 northbound off-ra the existing elliptical corrug- with base rock inside the pi order to avoid the failure of	of Transportation (Caltrans) issued an State Route 99 near postmile 1.36 40994000118, end treatment 012-07 mp to Simmerhorn Road. Damage a ated steel pipe (1.5-ft by 2.4-ft) is sepe. This problem must be arrested a travel way. This project was needed on system, which can result in the lo	i, in Sacramento County. 11) is reported on State assessment concluded eparated near the middle as soon as possible in to avoid failure or threat
Caltrans CEQA Determina	ation (Check one)	
 □ Not Applicable – Caltrage Based on an examination o □ Exempt by Statute. (PR ☑ Categorically Exempt. 	ns is not the CEQA Lead Agency ns has prepared an IS or EIR under of this proposal and supporting inform (C 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Class 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 1530) y that would bar the use of a catego	nation, the project is:
21084 and 14 CCR ☐ Covered by the Common exempt class, but it can activity may have a sign	15300.2). See the SER Chapter 34 on Sense Exemption. This project of be seen with certainty that there is notificant effect on the environment (14 onner or Environmental Branch Chapter 34 on the Sense Sen	for exceptions. does not fall within an no possibility that the CCR 15061[b][3].)
Julia K. Green	Signature	3/8/22
Print Name	ઇ ignature <i>^U</i>	Date
Project Manager		
Anthony Thurman	Anthony Thurman	3/8/2022
Print Name	Anthony Thurman Signature	Date



CEQA EXEMPTION / NEPA CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

<u>Caltrans NEPA Determination</u> (Check one)

⋈ Not Applicable

Caltrans has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). See <u>SER Chapter 30</u> for unusual circumstances. As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under NEPA and is included under the following:

and is included under the	following:	
the responsibility to make Memorandum of Understa Caltrans. Caltrans has de 23 CFR 771.117(c) 23 CFR 771.117(c)	has been assigned, and hereby cert this determination pursuant to 23 Usanding dated April 18, 2019, execute termined that the project is a Category: activity (c)(Enter activity number): activity (d)(Enter activity number): activity number listed in Appendix A	JSC 326 and the ed between FHWA and orical Exclusion under: per) per)
Caltrans has determined to The environmental review Federal environmental law Caltrans pursuant to 23 U December 23, 2016 and 6	an examination of this proposal an that the project is a Categorical Exc y, consultation, and any other action ws for this project are being, or have SC 327 and the Memorandum of U executed by FHWA and Caltrans.	lusion under 23 USC 327. s required by applicable been, carried out by nderstanding dated
	lanner or Environmental Branch (Chief
N/A		
Print Name	Signature	Date
Project Manager/ DLA E	ngineer	
N/A		
Print Name	Signature	Date
Data of Catagorical Evol	lucion Chacklist completion (if an	anlicable): N/A

Date of Categorical Exclusion Checklist completion (if applicable): N/A Date of Environmental Commitment Record or equivalent: N/A

EA: 2J600 Page 2 of 3



CEQA EXEMPTION / NEPA CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

Continuation sheet:

Description (Continued from page 1)

The scope of work includes site preparation, excavation, remove and dispose existing pipe, install new 24-inch reinforced concrete pipe, concrete backfill, repave and striping, traffic control, and incidental work.

Right-of-Way

All work occurred within Caltrans right-of-way.

Borrow/Disposal

No borrow site was used.

Consultation/Coordination

Based on the scope of work and due to the nature of the project, consultation or coordination was not required.

Permits

Based on the scope of work, regulatory permits were not required.

EA: 2J600 Page **3** of **3**