

## CEQA EXEMPTION / NEPA CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM (rev. 04/2021)

Project Information		
Project Name (if applicable):	SR-163 Mobility, Roadside Safety	and Complete Streets
<b>DIST-CO-RTE</b> : 11-SD-163	<b>PM/PM:</b> 4.4/R10.8	
<b>EA</b> : 43048/1118000260	Federal-Aid Project N	Number:
Project Description		
Overcrossing to the Kearny Viimprove mobility on SR-163 by equipment, installing new devitraffic data such as traffic behassets including Vehicle Detection (APS), new signal and lighting visibility crosswalk enhancements.	y of San Diego, County of San Diegolla Road Overcrossing. The proposity upgrading existing transportation ces to provide more accurate information, reduce highway user delay action Systems (VDS), new Accessification systems, and Weigh-In-Motion Scents and roadside worker safety important environmental analysis. See y required.	sed project would management system mation, collect needed nd to improve satellite ble Pedestrian Signals cales (WIMS), high provements. Any
Caltrans CEQA Determination	on (Check one)	
<ul><li>☐ Not Applicable – Caltrans</li><li>☐ Not Applicable – Caltrans</li></ul>	is not the CEQA Lead Agency has prepared an IS or EIR under C	CEQA
<ul> <li>□ Exempt by Statute. (PRC :</li> <li>□ Categorically Exempt. Cla</li> <li>□ No exceptions apply the 21084 and 14 CCR 15</li> <li>□ Covered by the Common exempt class, but it can be activity may have a significant control of the common exempt.</li> </ul>	nis proposal and supporting informal 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) ass _1 (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 1530 at would bar the use of a categoric 300.2). See the SER Chapter 34 to Sense Exemption. This project do seen with certainty that there is not ant effect on the environment (14 to error Environmental Branch Chi	300 et seq.) cal exemption (PRC for exceptions. bes not fall within an possibility that the CCR 15061[b][3].)
Matthew Voss	Matthew Voss	11/12/2021
Print Name	Signature	Date
Project Manager		
Kazim Mamdani	K.A. Namdoni	11/12/2021
Print Name	Signature	 Date

## 5-

## CEQA EXEMPTION / NEPA CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

Caltrans NEPA Determination (Ch	ieck one)	
□ Not Applicable		
Caltrans has determined that this pras defined by NEPA, and that there CFR 771.117(b). See <u>SER Chapter</u> is categorically excluded from the reand is included under the following:	are no unusual circumstan 30 for unusual circumstand	ices as described in 23 ces. As such, the project
<ul> <li>✓ 23 USC 326: Caltrans has been at the responsibility to make this determined to the management of Understanding dates. Caltrans. Caltrans has determined to the caltrans. Caltrans has determined to the caltrans.</li> <li>✓ 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity</li> <li>✓ Activity N/A listed in Apperatus</li> </ul>	mination pursuant to 23 US ed April 18, 2019, executed hat the project is a Categor ( (c)(26) ( (d)(N/A)	SC 326 and the distribution of the distributio
□ 23 USC 327: Based on an examine Caltrans has determined that the proof The environmental review, consultate Federal environmental laws for this Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 ard December 23, 2016 and executed be	oject is a Categorical Exclution, and any other actions project are being, or have that the Memorandum of Undry FHWA and Caltrans.	required by applicable been, carried out by derstanding dated
Senior Environmental Planner or  Matthew Voss	Matthew Voss	
Print Name	Signature	11/12/2021 Date
Project Manager/ DLA Engineer		
Kazim Mamdani	C.A. Namhani	11/12/2021
Print Name	Signature	Date
Date of Categorical Exclusion Che Date of Environmental Commitme		
Briefly list environmental commitme necessary if included on an attached appropriate (e.g., additional studies	d ECR). Reference addition	· ·

EA: 43048/1118000260 Federal-Aid Project Number: