Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #:	
Project Title: Digital 299 Broadband Project	
Lead Agency: California Public Utilities Commission	
Contact Name: Andrew Barnsdale	
Email: andrew.barnsdale@cpuc.ca.gov	Phone Number: (415)-703-3221
Project Location: Multiple	Shasta, Trinity, and Humboldt counties
City	County
Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and	/or consequences).
consisting of both middle- and last-mile components would be to Community Anchor Institutions such as agencies, and other government buildings, as well Digital 299 is proposed to occupy existing roads and new underground conduit; placement of fiber optic caerially onto existing utility poles or bridges; and consupport signal regeneration, distribution, and interce	udes the installation of approximately 300 miles of fiber optic cable with a focus on middle-mile infrastructure. Last-mile connections schools, colleges, universities, libraries, hospitals, clinics, public safet as approximately 300 households in the community of Lewiston. d rights-of-way (ROWs)/ easements. Digital 299 includes installation of cables into existing available utility conduit; stringing fiber optic cable instruction of up to five prefabricated in-line amplifier (ILA) buildings to connection. Neither new roads nor new poles are proposed as part of ject but are expected to be a future project once the fiber optic cable issment (EA) for more details about the Project.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

According to the Mitigated Negative Declaration, the Project would not significantly degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory (see Biological Evaluation, Biological Assessment, and Cultural Resources Inventory Report). Where habitat may be adversely impacted by trenching through dry waterways, the work sites would be mitigated and restored according to the Restoration Pan. Impacts would be less than significant with mitigation incorporated. The proposed Project would not have any individually limited but cumulatively considerable impacts, nor would it have any substantially adverse environmental effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly, that would jeopardize human health or quality of life. Any impacts would be less than significant.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.
There are no known areas of controversy
Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.
The Bureau of Land Management (BLM), U.S. Forest Service (USFS), National Park Service (NPS), U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), National Marine Fisheries Service, California Department of Transportation, California State Lands Commission, California Coastal Commission, California State Historic Preservation Officer, Trinity County, Humboldt County, and Shasta County (encroachment permits)