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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

On August 19, 2020, WRA, Inc. (WRA) conducted an arborist survey for the proposed 650 North King Road Project (Project), located in the City of San Jose (City), Santa Clara County County, California. The Project involves the redevelopment of an approximately 10.01-acre site (Project Area). The Project proposes the construction of an approximately 199,830-square-foot warehouse building. The Project Area is located within two adjoining parcels (Assessor Parcel Numbers: 254-54-023 and 254-55-013) located at 650 North King Road in the northeastern portion of the City. Both parcels that compose the Project Area are zoned light industrial. The purpose of the survey was to identify and document the presence of protected trees defined by Chapter 13.32, "Tree Removal Controls", of the City of San Jose Municipal Code (Tree Ordinance; City of San Jose 2020) within the Project Area.

GPS locations for all trees surveyed within the Project Area and information regarding the species, diameter at breast height (DBH; as measured 4.5 feet above ground), estimated crown radius, estimated height, health, condition, and structure ratings were collected and are included in this report. A table with all relevant information pertaining to surveyed trees is provided in Appendix A. A tree survey location map is provided in Appendix B. Photographs of the Project Area are provided in Appendix C.

### 1.1 Project Area Description

The Project Area is located approximately 0.5 mile east of Highway 101 and approximately 2.8 miles northeast of downtown San Jose (Google Earth 2020). The entire Project Area consists of developed and/or landscaped land cover. Three existing structures that include a warehouse and offices occupy the majority of the Project Area. The structures are surrounded by paved parking areas and landscaped areas. The Project Area is bordered to the north by industrial warehouses, to the south by Las Plumas Avenue, to the west by North King Road, and to the east by storage units. The Project Area is flat throughout with minimal change in grade. All trees present within the Project Area are located in maintained planting strips and landscaped areas adjacent to paved parking areas and roadways.

### 1.2 Regulatory Background

## City of San Jose Tree Ordinance

San Jose Municipal Code Chapter 13.32, "Tree Removal Controls", establishes regulations controlling the removal of certain trees on private properties in the city to preserve and protect the economic, aesthetic, and environmental values trees provide to the citizens of San Jose. The San Jose City Council adopted changes to the Tree Ordinance on January 9, 2018, which have come into effect as of February 9, 2018. An ordinance-size tree is defined as:

- Single Trunk - 38 inches (12.1 inches diameter) or more in circumference at 4.5 feet above ground.
- Multi-trunk - The combined measurements of each trunk circumference (at 4.5 feet above ground) add up to 38 inches or more.

A permit is required for tree removals of any size on multifamily, commercial, and industrial properties. For ordinance-size trees, a tree removal permit application form is required, whereas for non-ordinance-size trees, a permit adjustment application is required. Tree removal permit conditions of approval may require replacement trees to be planted at a ratio determined by the City.

### 2.0 METHODS

On August 19, 2020, the Project Area was traversed on foot to inventory all trees. WRA's International Society of Arboriculture (ISA)-Certified Arborist, Gavin Albertoli (ISA \#WE-12027A), surveyed the area and recorded relevant tree information for each surveyed tree.

### 2.1 Tree Inventory

Locations of surveyed trees within the Project Area were recorded using a handheld GPS unit capable of sub-meter accuracy. Each surveyed tree was given an aluminum tree tag with a unique identification number. However, in some cases inaccessibility prevented tagging trees. In these cases, the tree was given a unique sequential identification number but the tree was not tagged. Trees that were not tagged show "No tag" in the comment column of the tree survey table (Appendix A).

DBH was calculated for all trees located within the Project Area by measuring the trunk diameter at 4.5 ft . above grade. Diameter for trees that split into multiple trunks at or just below 4.5 feet were measured at the narrowest point beneath the split. DBH for multi-trunked trees was calculated by measuring each individual trunk and calculating the sum total of trunk diameters. In cases where multi-trunked trees had more than five trunks, only the five largest trunks were measured. In cases where an irregular buttress or bulge occurred at 4.5 feet above ground measurements were taken above or below the irregular feature to best represent the size of the tree. In cases where trees where not accessible due to fences, diameter was visually estimated. Tree circumferences were calculated by multiplying the diameter by 3.14. All tree inventory methods follow ISA's tree measurement best practices guidelines. A complete list of all surveyed trees is provided in Appendix A.

### 2.2 Tree Assessment

General notes on the condition of trees were taken, including health, structure, and overall condition. Assessment of the health, structure, and overall condition of each tree was conducted according to the narratives listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Rating Narratives for Tree Assessment

| Health |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Good | Tree is free from symptoms of disease and stress |
| Fair | Tree shows some symptoms of disease or stress including twig and small branch dieback, <br> evidence of fungal / parasitic infection, thinning of crown, or poor leaf color |
| Poor | Tree shows symptoms of severe decline |
| Structure |  |
| Good | Tree is free from major structural defects |
| Fair | Tree shows some structural defects in branches but overall structure is stable |
| Poor | Tree shows structural failure of a major branch or co-dominant trunk |
| General Condition |  |
| Good | Tree shows condition of foliage, bark, and overall structure characteristic of the species <br> and lacking obvious defect, or disease |
| Fair | Tree shows condition of foliage, bark, and overall structure characteristic of the species <br> with some evidence of stress, defect, or disease |
| Poor | Tree shows condition of foliage, bark, and overall structure uncharacteristic of the species <br> with obvious evidence of stress, defect, or disease |

### 3.0 RESULTS

### 3.1 Tree Inventory

A total of 163 trees were identified within the Project Area. Tree species surveyed included tree of heaven (Ailanthus altissima; five total), plume acacia (Albizia lophantha; two total), camphor tree (Cinnamomum camphora; two total), hop bush (Dodonaea viscosa; two total), Raywood ash (Fraxinus angustifolia 'Raywood'; nine total), evergreen ash (Fraxinus uhdei; six total), glossy privet (Ligustrum lucidum; two total), white mulberry (Morus alba; one total), common myrtle (Myrtus communis; 25 total), olive tree (Olea europaea; 15 total), Canary Island pine (Pinus canariensis; three total), Chinese pistache (Pistacia chinensis; one total), evergreen ash (Fraxinus uhdei; six total), Lombardy poplar (Populus nigra; four total), cherry plum (Prunus cerasifera; seven total), flowering ornamental pear (Pyrus calleryana; one total), interior live oak (Quercus wislizeni; nine total), and Mexican fan palm (Washingtonia robusta; 71 total). Of the 163 trees surveyed, 122 are considered ordinance-size trees per the City Tree Ordinance.

The trees surveyed in the Project Area ranged in size from 12.2 to 180.6 inches in circumference. The largest tree surveyed was a 180.6 -inch circumference Mexican fan palm (Tree \#256). Approximate canopy radii of all surveyed trees averaged from 4 to 30 feet. Approximate height of all surveyed trees ranged from 10 to 65 feet. A complete list of all trees surveyed is presented in Appendix A. The GPS locations of surveyed trees are shown on Figure 1, Appendix B. Photographs taken during the survey are provided in Appendix C.

### 3.2 Tree Assessment

The overall condition, health, and structure of trees inventoried during this assessment ranged from poor to good, with most trees ranking fair in all categories.

- Ninety-two (92) percent of the trees surveyed within the Project Area ranked fair in general condition with most trees displaying little to no signs of maladies or decline in vigor.
- Ninety-two (92) percent of the trees ranked fair in health with five (5) percent ranking good, further indicating the large quantity of visibly healthy trees surveyed in the Project Area.
- Eighty-six (86) percent of trees surveyed ranked fair in structure, with 11 percent of the trees surveyed ranking poor, mostly due to having poor growth forms due to previous poor pruning. Trees that received a poor structure rating had excessive, uncorrected leans, visible mechanical injuries, or other structural defects.

Table 2 below summarizes the assessment results for all trees surveyed.
Table 2. Tree Assessment Results Summary

| Criteria <br> Assessed/Rating | Condition <br> (Tree Count <br> [Percent]) | Health <br> (Tree Count <br> [Percent]) | Structure <br> (Tree Count <br> [Percent]) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good | $8(5)$ | $8(5)$ | $4(3)$ |
| Fair | $151(92)$ | $151(92)$ | $141(86)$ |
| Poor | $4(3)$ | $4(3)$ | $18(11)$ |

Potential permit, mitigation, and tree protection requirements as required by the Tree Ordinance are provided below.

### 4.0 SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A total of 163 trees were inventoried during this assessment, including 122 ordinance-size trees protected by the San Jose Tree Ordinance. If any of the 163 trees within the Project Area are required to be removed to accommodate the Project, approval through the City will be required. Because the Project Area is located entirely within properties zoned as light industrial, any anticipated direct or indirect impact to any of the trees within the Project Area will require approval through the City.

For proposed impacts to ordinance-size trees, a tree removal permit application form shall be required, whereas for non-ordinance-size trees, a permit adjustment application shall be required. Tree removal permit conditions of approval for ordinance-size trees require replacement trees to be planted typically at a ratio of 1 to 1 (one replacement tree for one removed tree) or at another ratio determined by the City. There are no replacement requirements for non-ordinance-size trees. A tree removal permit fee based on the current fee schedule will also be required when the application form is submitted.

WRA recommends that any ordinance-size tree identified for potential removal is replaced onsite with a native tree species.

### 4.1 Tree Protection Avoidance and Minimization Measures

To avoid and minimize damage to existing trees that are not proposed for direct impact by Project activities, the following measures are recommended to be implemented during construction:

- Caution shall be taken when conducting construction activities (grading, filling, paving, etc.) in close proximity to the root protection zone (RPZ) around all protected trees within the vicinity of the Project Area that are not proposed for removal. The RPZ should be a distance of 1.0 times the dripline radius measured from the trunk of the tree. Exception to this standard could be considered on a case-by-case basis, provided that it is demonstrated that an encroachment into the RPZ will not affect the root system or the health of the tree, and is authorized by an ISA-Certified Arborist or comparable specialist.
- Temporary protective fencing shall be installed around the dripline of existing protected trees prior to commencement of any construction activity conducted within 25 feet of the tree canopy. The fence shall be clearly marked to prevent inadvertent encroachment by heavy machinery.
- Drainage shall not be allowed to pond around the base of any protected tree.
- An ISA-Certified Arborist or tree specialist shall be retained to perform any necessary pruning of trees during construction activity.
- Roots exposed, as a result of construction activities, shall be covered with wet burlap to avoid desiccation, and should be buried as soon as practicable.
- Construction materials or heavy equipment shall not be stored within the RPZ of protected trees.
- Only an ISA-Certified Arborist, or comparable specialist, shall make specific recommendations as to where any existing trees can safely tolerate some level of fill within the drip line.
- Trenching within RPZ shall be done under the field supervision of an ISA-Certified Arborist and shall be hand dug as much as possible in addition to using auger or drill.
- Construction materials shall be properly stored away from existing protected trees to avoid spillage or damage to trees.
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tag ID | Species | Common Name | Multi- | DBH 1 | DBH 2 | DBH 3 | DBH 4 | DBH 5 | Total DBH (inches) | Total Circumference (inches) | Ordinance Status | Comment | Dripline (feet) | Height (feet) | Condition | Health | Structure |
| 110 | Cinnamomum camphora | camphor tree | No | 18.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 57.8 | ordinance-size tree |  | 20 | 35 | Good | Good | Fair |
| 111 | Cinnamomum camphora | camphor tree | No | 11.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 36.4 | non-ordinance-size tree |  | 15 | 30 | Good | Good | Good |
| 112 | Pinus canariensis | Canary Island pine | No | 16.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 51.8 | ordinance-size tree |  | 18 | 40 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 113 | Pinus canariensis | Canary Island pine | No | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 36.1 | non-ordinance-size tree |  | 8 | 35 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 114 | Pinus canariensis | Canary Island pine | No | 15.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 48.7 | ordinance-size tree |  | 12 | 45 | Fair | Fair | Poor |
| 115 | Prunus cerasifera | cherry plum | Yes | 5.0 | 6.1 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.5 | 45.5 | ordinance-size tree |  | 8 | 18 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 116 | Prunus cerasifera | cherry plum | Yes | 3.6 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 17.7 | 55.6 | ordinance-size tree |  | 8 | 20 | Fair | Fair | Poor |
| 117 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 15.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 48.7 | ordinance-size tree |  | 6 | 55 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 118 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 14.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.6 | 45.8 | ordinance-size tree |  | 6 | 50 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 119 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 17.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 55.3 | ordinance-size tree |  | 8 | 60 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 120 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 20.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 65.6 | ordinance-size tree |  | 9 | 65 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 121 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 15.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.2 | 47.7 | ordinance-size tree |  | 8 | 60 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 122 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 19.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.8 | 62.2 | ordinance-size tree |  | 6 | 55 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 123 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 17.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.9 | 56.2 | ordinance-size tree |  | 8 | 50 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 124 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 20.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 64.7 | ordinance-size tree |  | 10 | 45 | Fair | Fair | Poor |
| 125 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 15.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 49.6 | ordinance-size tree |  | 10 | 45 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 126 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 47.1 | ordinance-size tree |  | 10 | 45 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 127 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | Yes | 18.9 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 84.8 | ordinance-size tree |  | 12 | 50 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 128 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 16.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.8 | 52.8 | ordinance-size tree |  | 8 | 50 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 129 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 17.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.5 | 55.0 | ordinance-size tree |  | 10 | 45 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 130 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 15.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 48.7 | ordinance-size tree |  | 10 | 45 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 131 | Fraxinus uhdei | evergreen ash | No | 10.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 33.0 | non-ordinance-size tree |  | 15 | 35 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 132 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 15.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 49.6 | ordinance-size tree |  | 8 | 50 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 133 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 16.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.3 | 51.2 | ordinance-size tree |  | 8 | 50 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 134 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 16.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 51.8 | ordinance-size tree |  | 8 | 40 | Fair | Fair | Poor |
| 135 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 19.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.5 | 61.2 | ordinance-size tree |  | 8 | 50 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 136 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 18.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 58.4 | ordinance-size tree |  | 6 | 45 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 137 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 19.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.4 | 60.9 | ordinance-size tree |  | 8 | 45 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 138 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 20.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 64.7 | ordinance-size tree |  | 8 | 40 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 139 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 18.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 59.0 | ordinance-size tree |  | 8 | 40 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 140 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 20.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.3 | 63.7 | ordinance-size tree |  | 8 | 40 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 141 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 21.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 66.6 | ordinance-size tree |  | 8 | 45 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 142 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 20.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.5 | 64.4 | ordinance-size tree |  | 8 | 45 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 143 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 19.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 59.7 | ordinance-size tree |  | 8 | 45 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 144 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 19.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 60.3 | ordinance-size tree |  | 8 | 40 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 145 | Albizia lophantha | plume acacia | Yes | 8.1 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 35.2 | 110.5 | ordinance-size tree |  | 28 | 30 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 146 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 17.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 24.2 | 76.0 | ordinance-size tree |  | 6 | 35 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 147 | Ailanthus altissima | tree of heaven | No | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 13.5 | non-ordinance-size tree |  | 5 | 18 | Fair | Fair | Poor |
| 148 | Populus nigra | Lombardy poplar | No | 29.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.6 | 92.9 | ordinance-size tree |  | 25 | 40 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 149 | Albizia lophantha | plume acacia | Yes | 5.5 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 34.5 | non-ordinance-size tree |  | 15 | 20 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 150 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 18.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.5 | 58.1 | ordinance-size tree |  | 8 | 40 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 151 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 18.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.3 | 57.5 | ordinance-size tree |  | 6 | 35 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 152 | Quercus wislizeni | interior live oak | Yes | 13.2 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.1 | 78.8 | ordinance-size tree |  | 20 | 35 | Fair | Fair | Poor |
| 153 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 19.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 60.3 | ordinance-size tree |  | 6 | 40 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 154 | Quercus wislizeni | interior live oak | No | 6.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 21.7 | non-ordinance-size tree |  | 15 | 25 | Fair | Fair | Poor |
| 155 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 20.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.4 | 64.1 | ordinance-size tree |  | 6 | 38 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 156 | Ailanthus altissima | tree of heaven | No | 18.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.1 | 56.8 | ordinance-size tree |  | 20 | 35 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 157 | Ailanthus altissima | tree of heaven | No | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 24.5 | non-ordinance-size tree |  | 18 | 30 | Fair | Fair | Poor |
| 158 | Ailanthus altissima | tree of heaven | Yes | 4.3 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 28.9 | non-ordinance-size tree |  | 10 | 20 | Fair | Fair | Poor |
| 159 | Olea europaea | olive tree | No | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 33.9 | non-ordinance-size tree |  | 12 | 25 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 160 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | Yes | 22.2 | 21.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.2 | 135.6 | ordinance-size tree |  | 15 | 45 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 161 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 23.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.4 | 73.5 | ordinance-size tree |  | 8 | 45 | Fair | Fair | Fair |




|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tag ID | Species | Common Name | Multistem | DBH_1 | DBH 2 | DBH 3 | DBH 4 | DBH 5 | Total DBH (inches) | Total <br> Circumference <br> (inches) | Ordinance Status | Comment | Dripline (feet) | Height (feet) | Condition | Health | Structure |
| 266 | Myrtus communis | common myrtle | No | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 17.6 | non-ordinance-size tree | no tag | 10 | 20 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 267 | Myrtus communis | common myrtle | No | 6.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 19.5 | non-ordinance-size tree | no tag | 10 | 20 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 268 | Myrtus communis | common myrtle | Yes | 6.5 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.7 | 36.7 | non-ordinance-size tree | no tag | 10 | 20 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 269 | Myrtus communis | common myrtle | Yes | 7.8 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 41.8 | ordinance-size tree | no tag | 10 | 20 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| 270 | Populus nigra | Lombardy poplar | No | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 20.4 | non-ordinance-size tree | no tag | 8 | 35 | Poor | Poor | Poor |
| 271 | Populus nigra | Lombardy poplar | No | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 15.1 | non-ordinance-size tree | no tag | 4 | 35 | Poor | Poor | Poor |
| 272 | Washingtonia robusta | Mexican fan palm | No | 16.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 51.8 | ordinance-size tree | no tag | 6 | 40 | Fair | Fair | Fair |
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Sources: Esri World Imagery, WRA | Prepared By: JSChuster, 8/26/2020

## Figure 1. Tree Survey Map

## 650 North King Road

Tree Survey and Arborist Report San Jose, Santa Clara County, California
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Photograph 1. Tree \#110, a 57.8" circumference camphor (Cinnamomum camphora) ordinance-size tree in the northwest portion of the Project Area.


Photograph 2. Tree \#114, a 48.7" circumference Canary Island pine (Pinus canariensis) ordinancesize tree in the northern portion of the Project Area.


Photograph 3. Tree \#'s 117, 118, 119, 120, and 121 in the northern portion of the Project Area. All trees are considered ordinance-size trees.


Photograph 4. Tree \#145, a 110.5" circumference plume acacia (Albizia lophantha) ordinance-size tree in the northeast corner of the Project Area.


Photograph 5. Tree \#189, a 59.3" circumference Mexican fan palm (Washingtonia robusta) ordinancesize tree along Las Plumas Avenue.


Photograph 6. Tree \#205, a 111.8" circumference evergreen ash (Fraxinus uhdei) ordinance-size tree in the southern corner of the Project Area.


Photograph 7. Tree \#255, a 172.1" circumference olive (Olea europaea) ordinance-size tree in the southern portion of the Project Area.

