## **APPENDIX G**

## **ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM**

NOTE: The following is a sample form that may be tailored to satisfy individual agencies' needs and project circumstances. It may be used to meet the requirements for an initial study when the criteria set forth in CEQA Guidelines have been met. Substantial evidence of potential impacts that are not listed on this form must also be considered. The sample questions in this form are intended to encourage thoughtful assessment of impacts, and do not necessarily represent thresholds of significance.

1.	Project title: Fisher Creek Detention Basin Expansion Project
	Lead agency name and address:  ty of Morgan Hill
1	575 Peak Avenue Morgan Hill, CA 95037
3.	
4.	Project location: Near Monterey road in the City of Morgan Hill 500 feet south of Jarvis Drive
5. <b>C</b>	Project sponsor's name and address:  ty of Morgan Hill
1	575 Peak Avenue Morgan Hill, CA 95037
6. 7.	General plan designation: Open Space  Zoning: Open Space
8. <b>S</b>	Description of project: (Describe the whole action involved, including but not limited to late phases of the project, and any secondary, support, or off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional sheets if necessary.)  see section 1.0 of the attached document
_	
9. S	Surrounding land uses and setting: (Briefly describe the project's surroundings) ee section 3.0 of the attached document

10.	Other	public	agencies	whose	approval	is	required:	(e.g.,	permits,	financing	approval,	or
participation agreement.)												

See section 2.0 of the attached document

11. Have California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area requested consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1? If so, is there a plan for consultation that includes, for example, the determination of significance of impacts to tribal cultural resources, procedures regarding confidentiality, etc.?

No consultation has been requested

NOTE: Conducting consultation early in the CEQA process allows tribal governments, lead agencies, and project proponents to discuss the level of environmental review, identify and address potential adverse impacts to tribal cultural resources, and reduce the potential for delay and conflict in the environmental review process. (See Public Resources Code section 21080.3.2.) Information may also be available from the California Native American Heritage Commission's Sacred Lands File per Public Resources Code section 5097.96 and the California Historical Resources Information System administered by the California Office of Historic Preservation. Please also note that Public Resources Code section 21082.3(c) contains provisions specific to confidentiality.

## **ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:**

	cked below would be potentially a otentially Significant Impact," as i	
Aesthetics	Agriculture / Forestry Resources	✓ Air Quality
<b>✓</b> Biological Resources	Cultural Resources	Energy
<b>✓</b> Geology/Soils	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Hazards and Hazardous Materials
✓ Hydrology/Water Quality	Land Use / Planning	Mineral Resources
Noise	Population / Housing	Public Services
Recreation	Transportation	Tribal Cultural Resources
Utilities / Service Systems	Wildfire	Mandatory Findings of Significance
DETERMINATION		
On the basis of this initial evaluation	ation:	
I find that the proposed pro NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be	ject COULD NOT have a significan prepared.	t effect on the environment, and a
will not be a significant effect in th	osed project could have a significant is case because revisions in the pro IGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION	ject have been made by or agreed
I find that the proposed pENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPO	oroject MAY have a significant eff ORT is required.	ect on the environment, and an
unless mitigated" impact on the er an earlier document pursuant to measures based on the earlier and	ect MAY have a "potentially significanvironment, but at least one effect 1 applicable legal standards, and 2) alysis as described on attached shee nalyze only the effects that remain to	) has been adequately analyzed in has been addressed by mitigation ts. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
because all potentially significan NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursupursuant to that earlier EIR or NI	oposed project could have a signit effects (a) have been analyzed uant to applicable standards, and (begin to be a project, nothing further is required	adequately in an earlier EIR or b) have been avoided or mitigated g revisions or mitigation measures
Sumple	10/15,	/2021
Signature (	Date	

## **EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS**

- 1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors, as well as general standards (e.g., the project would not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as onsite, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4. "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level.
- 5. Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
  - a) Earlier Analyses Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
  - b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
  - c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7. Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8. This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9. The explanation of each issue should identify:
  - a) the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
  - b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance