APPENDIX A

Notice of Preparation (NOP) of an Environmental Impact Report

And

Environmental Initial Study For The Town of Apple Valley / Village Specific Plan November 2021

And

Responses To NOP

Prepared by

Terra Nova Planning and Research, Inc. 42635 Melanie Place, Suite 101 Palm Desert, CA 92211



TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY

NOTICE OF PREPARATION

PROJECT TITLE: VILLAGE SPECIFIC PLAN

CONTACT PERSON: Daniel Alcayaga, Planning Manager Email: dalcayaga@applevalley.org Phone: (760) 240-7000 x7200

PROJECT LOCATION:

The planning area includes 651± acres north and south of the State Highway 18 corridor generally between Navajo Road and Central Road. The planning area is in the east central portion of Apple Valley in southwestern San Bernardino County.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The Town of Apple Valley (Town) will be the Lead Agency and will prepare an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines (14 California Code of Regulations Section 15060 and 15063) for the proposed Project. The Project guides the long-term development and redevelopment of a 1.5±-mile segment of Highway 18, including the Village commercial area, and parcels generally bounded by Arapahoe and Esaws Avenues on the north and Ottawa Road on the south. It revises land use designations and sets forth development guidelines in the context of five (5) planning Districts to enhance the Village's identity as a



downtown retail destination, guide future development of vacant parcels and redevelopment of underutilized parcels, and protect and expand residential neighborhoods with guidelines that scale down development at the periphery of the Village. Proposed circulation improvements to Highway 18 and Yucca Loma Road/Navajo Road are intended to minimize traffic conflicts, integrate multimodal facilities, and improve access and safety throughout the area.

FINDINGS/DETERMINATION: The Town has reviewed and considered the proposed Village Specific Plan and has determined that potentially significant impacts could result from the proposed Project. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Report should be prepared. Based on the location and characteristics of the proposed Project, the EIR will include analysis of potentially significant effects on the environment related to the following topics: Aesthetics, Air Quality, Biological Resources, Cultural Resources, Energy, Geology and Soils, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Hazards and Hazardous Materials, Hydrology and Water Quality, Land Use and Planning, Noise, Population and Housing, Public Services, Recreation, Transportation, Tribal Cultural Resources, and Utilities/Service Systems.

PUBLIC REVIEW PERIOD: A 30-day public review period for the Notice of Preparation will commence on November 19, 2021 and end on December 20, 2021 for interested individuals and public agencies to submit written comments on the document. A virtual scoping meeting will be held on December 2, 2021 at 4:00 p.m. via Zoom to provide an opportunity to learn more about this proposed Project and provide comments on the possible environmental effects the Town should study in the Environmental Impact Report. Please register for the virtual scoping meeting at the following link, <u>https://applevalley.zoom.us/j/89545321327?</u> <u>pwd=REtlRINkZ0ZyUW9jQ25IdFdxZzYvUT09</u>. Any written comments on the Notice of Preparation must be received at the Town address (14955 Dale Evans Parkway) or via email to Daniel Alcayaga at <u>dalcayaga@applevalley.org</u> within the public review period. Copies of the Notice of Preparation and Initial Study are available for review on the Town's website at <u>Apple Valley Village | Town of Apple Valley</u>.



TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY

14955 Dale Evans Parkway Apple Valley, California 92307 Phone: (760) 240-7000

NOTICE OF PREPARATION OF AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

VILLAGE SPECIFIC PLAN

| Lead Agency: | Town of Apple Valley 14955 Dale Evans Parkway Apple Valley, California 92307 Phone: (760) 240-7000 |
|-------------------------|---|
| Contact Person: | Daniel Alcayaga, Planning Manager Email: dalcayaga@applevalley.org Phone: (760) 240-7000 Ext. 7200 |
| Project Title: | Village Specific Plan |
| Town Project No.: | Village Specific Plan Case No. 2021-001, General Plan Amendment Case No. 2021-001, Zone Change Case No. 2021-001 |
| Project Location: | The 651 \pm acre Planning Area is in the east central portion of the Town of Apple Valley in southwest San Bernardino County. It extends along a 1.5 \pm mile segment of State Highway 18 and is generally bounded by Arapahoe and Esaws Avenues on the north, Ottawa Road on the south, Central Road on the east, and the realigned Yucca Loma and Navajo Road on the west. It includes additional contiguous parcels on the east and west (see attached CEQA Initial Study). |
| Findings/Determination: | The Town has reviewed and considered the proposed Village Specific Plan ("Project") and has determined that potentially significant impacts could result from the Project. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Report should be prepared. The Town has prepared the attached Initial Study and this Notice of Preparation. |
| NOP Comment Period: | This 30-day public review period will commence on November 19, 2021 and end on December 20, 2021. A virtual scoping meeting will be held on December 2, 2021 at 4:00 p.m. via Zoom at the following link <u>https://applevalley.zoom.us/</u> <u>j/89545321327?pwd=REtIRINkZ0ZyUW9jQ25IdFdxZzYvUT09</u> to provide an opportunity to provide comments on the possible environmental effects the Town should study in the Environmental Impact Report. Written comments on the NOP must be received at the Town within the public review period. You may email comments to Daniel Alcayaga, Planning Manager, at the following address: dalcayaga@applevalley.org. Copies of the Notice of Preparation are available for review at the above address and at the Newton T. Bass Apple Valley Branch Library at 14901 Dale Evans Parkway, Apple Valley, CA 92307. |

A. Introduction

Apple Valley's historic Village commercial district is optimally located along State Highway 18, the region's principal arterial, and serves both the local and regional passerby markets. Surrounding lands include service commercial, light industrial, and residential development, as well as public community facilities and vacant and underutilized parcels. The Village can benefit from an enhanced cohesive, recognizable identity as a distinct retail, dining, and gathering destination that celebrates the Town's history. The existing configuration of Highway 18 between Central and Navajo Roads, with two frontage roads that separate high-speed through traffic from local traffic accessing businesses, has resulted in traffic efficiencies and access challenges for businesses along this highway segment. Incomplete or absent sidewalks, ramps, and other connectors and amenities for pedestrians and bicyclists also present access and safety concerns. Beyond the Highway 18 corridor, the Village Planning Area contains a mix of commercial, service and quasi-industrial uses and vacant lands. Non-motorized access within the Planning Area is negatively affected by discontinuous sidewalks, incomplete street improvements, and limited access to transit. The entire Planning Area is inconsistent in its implementation of architectural standards, landscape treatment and limited public space. The purpose of the Apple Valley Village Specific Plan is to guide the future development and redevelopment of lands within the Village, and improve the character of the area for the long term economic health of land aud business owners, and the visitor experience.

B. Project Description

The Village Specific Plan (proposed Project) will guide the long-term development and redevelopment of the Planning Area. It revises the current land use plan in the context of five (5) planning districts and establishes development guidelines tailored to each district. The Project is intended to enhance the Village's identity as a unique downtown shopping and dining destination, guide future development of vacant parcels and redevelopment of underutilized parcels, and protect and expand residential neighborhoods with guidelines that scale down development at the periphery of the Village. Its transportation concept improves the functioning of Highway 18 and Yucca Loma/Navajo Roads in the Planning Area by minimizing traffic conflicts, integrating multimodal facilities and amenities, and improving access through a more efficient and safer circulation system.

C. Environmental Setting and Surrounding Land Uses

The Project Planning Area is on the relatively flat desert floor of Apple Valley. The Mojave River is $3.5\pm$ miles to the west and the nearest hills and mountains are 2 to $5\pm$ miles to the north, east, and south.

The Planning Area is in the Town's urban core, and approximately 58% of the Planning Area is developed. Most structures in the Village were built in the post-World War II era, and some are vacant or appear to have been abandoned. Newer fast food and inline retail development on the Highway 18 frontage is in the westerly Planning Area. Development beyond the Highway 18 corridor consists of service commercial and light industrial uses, such as tool and machinery workshops, auto salvage yards, and self-storage units, as well as three (3) mobile home parks and a mix of single- and multi-family dwelling units. It also includes several community facilities: a large park, community center, gymnasium, animal shelter, post office, and municipal corporate yard. The northeasterly Planning Area contains newer public and quasi-public facilities, including a fire station, water agency offices, and a County public services building. The Planning Area includes 274± acres of vacant land, with the largest undeveloped parcels in the northeast portion of the Planning Area.

Surrounding the Planning Area is residential, commercial, and institutional development. Large parcels of undeveloped land are to the south and northeast.

Highway 18 provides connections to Victorville and other high desert communities to the northwest, and Lucerne Valley and the Morongo Basin to the southeast. East-west access on the north side of the Highway in the Planning Area is limited; on the south side, east-west access is provided by Powhattan and Ottawa Roads; and north-south

access occurs about every ¹/₄ mile, at (from west to east) Navajo Road, Pawnee Road, Quinnault Road and Central Road. These roadways are signalized at Highway 18. North of the Highway, north-south access is also provided by Valley Drive. Two new intersections at the Highway are proposed as part of the Specific Plan: the realigned Yucca Loma Road on the west boundary of the Planning Area; and Headquarters/Hitt Road at the eastern end of the Planning Area.

D. Areas of Potential Environmental Concern

Introduction

The attached Initial Study has been prepared for the proposed Specific Plan in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Implementation of the proposed Project may have impacts on important environmental resources and may be affected by potential environmental hazards. These areas of potential environmental concern have been identified and are briefly described below and in the Initial Study. A more indepth analysis of each of these areas of concern will be provided in the EIR being prepared for the Project.

Aesthetic Resources

Apple Valley consists of a high elevation desert valley with the Mojave River to the west, Ord Mountains to the south, Bell Mountain to the north, and Fairview Mountain to the northeast. From the Planning Area, views of the mountains are distant as they are several miles away. The Specific Plan proposes new and revised land uses, design guidelines, and circulation concept that could impact scenic views in some locations. Future development could have a potentially significant impact on light or glare levels and should be considered in project design and analyzed in the EIR.

Air Quality

In general, air quality in Apple Valley is good. However, continued regional urbanization in the past few decades has contributed to the degradation of the air quality due to population growth, increased traffic, construction activities, and other site disturbances. Ozone, PM10, and PM2,5 are pollutants of concern in Apple Valley. The Mojave Desert Air Basin (MDAB) has a history of exceeding regulatory ozone standards and is designated as a non-attainment area for PM10, a non-attainment area for the state PM2.5 standard, and an attainment/unclassifiable area for the national PM2.5 standard. The Planning Area is in a region identified in the Apple Valley General Plan as susceptible to wind erosion, conditions which can contribute to elevated PM10 and PM2.5 levels.

Biological Resources

The proposed Project would facilitate development of undeveloped lands that may harbor bird species protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) or other sensitive species. The Planning Area is within the boundaries of the Apple Valley Multispecies Habitat Conservation Plan/Natural Community Conservation Plan (MSHCP/NCCP) which has been drafted and for which a Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) was issued in April 2021. Upon approval, the MSHCP/NCCP will guide the Town's conservation efforts and streamline the environmental permitting process. The Project area is also within the boundaries of the West Mojave Plan, a habitat conservation plan that currently applies only to federal land. The forthcoming EIR will determine whether and to what extent buildout of the Specific Plan will conflict with the MSHCP/NCCP and West Mojave Plan, and further evaluate the potential for development facilitated by the Specific Plan to adversely affect sensitive biological resources.

Cultural Resources

The prehistory of the Mojave Desert extends back to 8,000 B.C. or earlier. Local archaeological sites typically occupy sheltered areas on or near hills, terraces, and ridges near reliable sources of water. Historic-era buildings and resources associated with permanent settlement of the area also occur in the area, including post-World War II structures in the Village. The proposed Specific Plan facilitates future development and improvements that could result in disturbance to or destruction of sensitive cultural resources or sites in the Planning Area. Potential Project-related impacts will be part of the EIR analysis.

Energy

Buildout of the Specific Plan will increase the consumption of electricity, natural gas, and fossil fuels associated with vehicle use. However, the Specific Plan will incorporate and promote sustainable building and landscape design, synergistic land use planning, and multimodal circulation improvements that reduce energy consumption. The Project is not expected to result in significant impacts resulting from wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources. Nonetheless, the EIR will evaluate potential Project-related impacts and incorporate appropriate mitigation measures, as necessary.

Geology/Soils

The Planning Area is in a seismically active region. The nearest faults are the Helendale (South Lockhart) and North Frontal (West) faults, which are capable of generating moment magnitude 7.3 and 7.2 earthquakes, respectively. The Planning Area would be exposed to strong ground shaking during a major quake on nearby faults, with associated potential for ground failure during such events. Local soils are also highly susceptible to wind and water erosion. The forthcoming EIR will evaluate the geotechnical conditions in the Planning Area and potential impacts resulting from buildout of the proposed Specific Plan.

Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Air quality emissions include greenhouse gases (GHG) that contribute to climate change and global warming. Buildout of the proposed Project will increase GHG emissions, but is also expected to promote more energy efficient buildings, HVAC systems, and renewable, non-polluting energy systems in the form of solar photovoltaic systems. Air quality constraints and potential adverse (and beneficial) impacts of Project implementation on air quality, including those associated with GHGs, will be further assessed in the EIR.

Hazards and Hazardous Materials

Existing development in the Project Planning Area includes commercial enterprises, gasoline service stations, auto yards, wood and metal workshops, restaurants, and other potential generators of hazardous materials. Comparable development and additional residential development would be facilitated by the proposed Project. The Specific Plan land use plan allows residential, commercial, and other development that can be expected to use and store limited quantities and types of potentially hazardous materials, such as cleaning chemicals, solvents, gasoline, and oils. Individual developments may be required to implement appropriate avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures to address potential impacts. Potential impacts should be further evaluated in the EIR.

Hydrology and Water Quality

Drainage in the Planning Area flows from southwest to northeast, generally, and currently is captured in and incomplete drainage system. The proposed Project will facilitate development and redevelopment projects that will result in grading, excavation, construction, paving, and other modifications to the ground surface. Such activities could affect erosion, siltation, surface runoff, and flood flows within and adjacent to the Planning Area. Appropriate best management practices and stormwater treatment during construction and in the post-construction period must be demonstrated for future development and will be further analyzed in the forthcoming EIR.

Land Use and Planning

The proposed Specific Plan generally maintains the basic land use distribution envisioned in the General Plan but revises some land use acreages and development and redevelopment opportunities. The Specific Plan will require a General Plan Amendment to change all current General Plan land use designations to "Village Specific Plan." It will require a Zone Change to change current zoning designations in the Planning Area to proposed Districts 1 through 5 (see attached CEQA Initial Study). It will supersede certain provisions or regulations of the Town's Development Code as applied to the Planning Area but is also designed to be compatible with surrounding existing and planned land uses. Conflicts between the Specific Plan and other land use plans and policies could cause environmental impacts, and therefore, should be evaluated in the forthcoming EIR.

Noise

Long-term operation of projects facilitated by the Specific Plan could result in permanent increases in ambient noise levels in the Planning Area and surrounding area. However, proposed land uses are consistent with the existing urban commercial and residential environment, and noise sources and levels are expected to be similar to those already experienced in the Planning Area, which are governed by the Town's noise ordinance. Future development projects may require site-specific noise monitoring and modeling for both construction and post-construction periods conducted at locations surrounding project sites, including in proximity to sensitive receptors. Impacts may be less than significant with appropriate mitigation. The forthcoming EIR will further evaluate potential noise impacts associated with the proposed Project.

Population and Housing

The Apple Valley population is estimated to be 74,350 in 2021, and SCAG projects it will grow to 101,400 by 2045. There are currently approximately 289 dwelling units and 850 residents in the Specific Plan Planning Area. The proposed Project will facilitate new planned residential development in the Planning Area that would directly result in population growth, and new commercial development with job opportunities that could attract additional residents to the area. The Planning Area is well-served by existing roads and water infrastructure, although parcel-scale extensions and improvements may be required as development occurs. Existing sewer infrastructure reaches much of the Planning Area, but future extensions will be required to serve the entire area. The Project facilitates the redevelopment of underutilized parcels in the Planning Area that could result in demolition of existing housing, the loss of which is anticipated to be made up with new residential development in the Planning Area. The EIR will estimate the buildout population in the Planning Area and compare it to projections to further evaluate potential population growth impacts.

Public Services and Facilities

Buildout of the proposed Specific Plan would increase the need for fire, police, school and other public services, although the potential increase in demand for these services is not expected to exceed capabilities or capacities or require new or physically altered facilities that could cause significant environmental impacts. Nonetheless, the need for augmented public services and facilities will be further evaluated, and the impacts will be addressed in the EIR.

Recreation

Buildout of the proposed Specific Plan will result in population growth in the Planning Area that could increase the use of existing parks and recreational facilities. However, this potential increase is not expected to result in substantial physical deterioration of these facilities. The Specific Plan provides opportunities for future residential developments to include parks and/or recreational facilities, such as swimming pools, gyms, and sports fields. The EIR will further evaluate potential Project-related impacts.

Transportation

The proposed Specific Plan includes new transportation concepts for Highway 18 and Yucca Loma/Navajo Roads in the Planning Area, including the reconfiguration of Highway 18 frontage roads, potential for installation of new roundabouts and parking spaces, and incorporation of a complete multimodal network of walkways and connectors. Proposed land use designations and densities could also impact traffic volumes and intersection operations. Proposed improvements are anticipated to have net positive impacts on circulation efficiency, access, and safety. The extent to which these may conflict with (or enhance) a program, plan, ordinance, or policy is currently unknown. A traffic impact analysis will be prepared to further analyze the potential effects of the proposed Specific Plan on local circulation plans, as well as transit and other alternative modes of travel. Potential impacts and the need for mitigation measures will be further analyzed in the EIR.

Tribal Cultural Resources

Implementation of the proposed Project will facilitate grading, excavation, and other ground disturbing activities that could impact tribal cultural resources if they occur on a project site. The proposed Project involves a General Plan Amendment and adoption of a Specific Plan. Therefore, consistent with AB 52 and SB 18, the Town will solicit input from the California Native American Heritage Commission and initiate consultation with appropriate tribes, notifying them of the Project. Site-specific cultural resource studies may be required for future development projects facilitated by the Project. The EIR will analyze potential Project-related impacts and provide mitigation measures, as appropriate.

Utilities and Service Systems

The Planning Area is well-served by water and energy transmission lines, although parcel-scale extensions and/or upgrades may be required to serve future development. Sewer lines are available to much of the Planning Area; future development in proximity to sewer infrastructure will connect and increase the demand for wastewater treatment services. Future development not in proximity of sewer infrastructure will rely on septic systems, with the potential for future sewer connection. Buildout of the Specific Plan will increase water consumption and solid waste generation, but the extent to which these impacts will affect utility and service systems is currently unknown. Potential Project-related impacts will be analyzed in the EIR and mitigation measures will be provided, as appropriate.



TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY

14955 Dale Evans Parkway Apple Valley, California 92307 Phone: (760)240-7000 Fax: (760)247-3885

ENVIRONMENTAL INITIAL STUDY

| Project Title: | Village Specific Plan |
|--|---|
| Town Project No.: | Village Specific Plan Case No. SP 2021-001, General Plan Amendment Case No. 2021-001, Zone Change Case No. 2021-001 |
| Lead Agency Name and Address: | Town of Apple Valley 14955 Dale Evans Parkway Apple Valley, California 92307 Phone: (760) 240-7000, Fax: (760) 247-3885 |
| Project Sponsor's Name and Address: | Town of Apple Valley 14955 Dale Evans Parkway Apple Valley, California 92307 |
| Contact Person And Phone Number: | Daniel Alcayaga, Planning Manager, <u>dalcayaga@applevalley.org</u> Phone: (760) 240-7000 Ext. 7200 |
| Project Location: | The Planning Area of the Village Specific Plan extends north and south along a $1.5\pm$ mile segment of State Highway 18. It is generally bounded by Arapahoe and Esaws Avenues on the north, Ottawa Road on the south, Central Road on the east, and the realigned Yucca Loma and Navajo Road on the west. The Planning Area is within portions of Sections 21, 22, 23, 26, 27, and 28, Township 5 North, Range 3 West, SBB&M (see USGS Apple Valley North and Apple Valley South 7.5-minute quadrangle maps). |
| Project Area: | ±651 acres |
| Proposed Specific Plan Designation: | Village SP |
| Existing General Plan Designation: | General Commercial (C-G), Service Commercial (C-S), Mobile Home Park (MHP), Medium Density Residential (R-M), Estate Residential (R-E), Public Facilities (P-F), and Open Space (O-S) |



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| PROJE | CT DESCRIPTION | 3 |
|---------|--|----|
| EVAL | UATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS: | 14 |
| | | |
| | AESTHETICS. | |
| 2. | AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES | |
| 3. | AIR QUALITY | |
| 4. | BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES | |
| 5. | CULTURAL RESOURCES | - |
| 6. | ENERGY | |
| 7. | GEOLOGY AND SOILS | |
| 8. | GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS | |
| | HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS | |
| | HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY | |
| | LAND USE AND PLANNING | |
| 12. | MINERAL RESOURCES | 36 |
| 13. | NOISE | 37 |
| 14. | POPULATION AND HOUSING | 39 |
| 15. | PUBLIC SERVICES | 40 |
| 16. | RECREATION | 42 |
| 17. | TRANSPORTATION | 43 |
| 18. | TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES | 45 |
| 19. | UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS | 46 |
| 20. | WILDFIRE | 48 |
| 21. | MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE | 49 |
| | | |
| REFE | RENCES | 50 |
| | | |
| Table | | |
| Table 2 | | |
| Table 3 | 8 Existing Land Uses by Zoning Designation | 12 |
| E1.11.1 | 1 Designal Location | 7 |
| | 1 Regional Location | |
| | 3 Project Location Map | |
| | 4 Proposed Planning Districts Map | |
| Exhibi | 5 Conceptual Circulation Plan | 11 |
| | | |



PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Village Specific Plan Planning Area includes 651± acres north and south of the State Highway 18 corridor in the east central portion of the Town of Apple Valley (Exhibits 1 through 3). It includes the historic Village corridor and surrounding lands. The historic Village corridor generally extends along Highway 18 between Navajo and Central Roads; it was once the Town's primary commercial center and is developed with locally owned retail, service, and restaurant establishments. Surrounding lands include service commercial and residential development and community facilities.

The Village Specific Plan (proposed Project) guides the long-term development and redevelopment of the Planning Area. It revises the current land use plan by establishing five (5) planning districts, each with its own land uses, development standards, and guidelines that are tailored to the district's existing uses, development potential, and community vision. The Specific Plan is intended to enhance the Village's identity as a downtown shopping and dining destination, guide future development of vacant parcels and redevelopment of underutilized parcels, and protect and expand residential neighborhoods with guidelines that scale down development at the periphery of the Village. It expands the mix of land uses to serve local and sub-regional markets while also preserving small-scale and locally owned businesses. It coordinates various aspects of infrastructure planning, infill development, and lot consolidation, where appropriate. Its transportation concept improves the functioning of Highway 18 in the Planning Area by minimizing traffic conflicts, integrating multimodal features, and improving access to provide an efficient and safe circulation system. Its vision and development standards and guidelines are consistent with General Plan goals and policies.

Table 1 summarizes changes to the Planning Area resulting from Specific Plan buildout. As shown, buildout of the Specific Plan would result in a total of 971 dwelling units and 7,890,903 square feet of commercial, service, office, and public facility development.

| Summary of Specific Fian Dundout | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Commercial/Service/ | | | | | |
| | Residential | Office/Public Facility | | | | | |
| | (dwelling units) | (square feet) | Population ¹ | | | | |
| Existing | 289 | 1,823,380 | 850 | | | | |
| Potential new facilitated by Specific Plan | 682 | 6,067,523 | 2,005 | | | | |
| Total at Specific Plan Buildout | 971 | 7,890,903 | 2,855 | | | | |

Table 1Summary of Specific Plan Buildout

¹ Based on 2.94 persons per household, California Department of Finance Table E-5, January 1, 2021.

Districts

For planning purposes, the Specific Plan divides the Planning Area into five (5) districts, described below and shown in Exhibit 4. Table 3 describes proposed land uses and buildout estimates by district. Buildout estimates assume that underutilized acreage will be redeveloped to maximize its development potential.

District 1: Village Core

District 1 is the heart of downtown and plays a significant role in establishing the Village identity. This centralized District is anchored by Highway 18 and totals 183.55 acres, of which 59.64 acres are developed, 16.33 acres are underutilized, and 107.58 acres are vacant and developable. District 1 is envisioned as the primary commercial and entertainment district, with development primarily oriented towards Highway 18 to support the corridor's function as a downtown "main street." The development of District 1 is intended to create



a vibrant pedestrian environment through outdoor dining, interesting store displays, architectural detailing, public art, gathering spaces, and other pedestrian-oriented features. The flexibility of development standards in this District also promotes both vertical and horizontal mixed-use developments within walking distance of the downtown.

District 2: Village Services South

Located south of District 1, District 2 is the largest of the five districts totaling 210.98 acres, of which 95.78 acres are developed, 23.78 acres are underutilized, and 91.42 acres are vacant and developable. This District is one of two commercial service districts in the Planning Area that supports a mix of commercial retail, office/ professional, and commercial services. Compared to the northern commercial service district (District 3), District 2 is further removed from residential uses which increases opportunities for quasi-industrial uses that may require a large production area or storage yards. District 2 also includes Powhattan Road which is intended to serve as a secondary thoroughfare to Highway 18 and will be improved with landscaping and pedestrian infrastructure that provides safe connection to District1 from southern businesses and residential areas.

District 3: Village Services North

District 3 is the second commercial service district and encompasses 88.24 acres north of District 1, of which 28.87 acres are developed, 11.06 acres are underutilized, and 48.31 acres are vacant and developable. Similar to District 2, this District supports a mix of retail, office/professional and commercial services with immediate access to the Village core. However, this District is bounded on the north and west by single family residential neighborhoods that may be sensitive to certain types of non-residential land uses due to noise, odor, and visual impacts. Development of this District will demonstrate how to properly transition non-residential uses to residential uses through thoughtful design and development standards.

District 4: Residential and Recreation

District 4 is in the southwest corner of the Planning Area totaling 50.28 acres, of which 40.10 acres are developed, 7.20 acres are underutilized, and 2.98 acres are vacant and developable. Development of this District demonstrates how to enhance the connections between existing residential uses and public parks and other services. This District also provides examples of redevelopment opportunities that increase residential densities through lot consolidation, and bring residents closer to jobs and shopping opportunities in District 1 and District 2.

District 5: Residential

District 5 totals 23.28 acres and is the only District that is entirely vacant. Uses surrounding this District include single family residential to the north, vacant lands to the east, and District 3 to the south and west. This District is intended for higher density residential and supporting public services, such as parks and schools. In consideration of surrounding uses, District 5 development standards are designed to create a buffer between low density residential to non-residential uses by smoothly transition from lower densities to the north to higher densities to the south and east. Types of residential uses envisioned for this District includes townhomes, condominiums, and low-rise apartments.

Circulation Improvements

The Specific Plan proposes the following circulation improvement concepts (Exhibit 5).

Highway 18 (Central Road to Navajo Road)

The Highway 18 corridor between Central and Navajo Roads currently consists of a 4-lane highway with two (2) two-way frontage roads that separate high-speed through traffic from local traffic accessing commercial sites. Multimodal facilities (sidewalks, ramps, bike lanes, pedestrian amenities) are incomplete or absent. This configuration has resulted in inefficient intersection movements, access challenges, and safety concerns. The Specific Plan circulation concept reconfigures the frontage roads to single-directional single-lane roadways and



provides angled parking along most of the highway frontage. Two new intersections are proposed, at Yucca Loma and Highway 18, and at Headquarters/Hitt Road and Highway 18. The circulation concept includes two options: it either results in roundabouts at the intersections of Highway 18 and Central Road, Hitt Road/Headquarters Drive, Quinnault Road, Pawnee Road, and Navajo Road; or the continuation of signalized intersections at these locations, with improved crosswalks and access, to the extent possible. It provides a complete sidewalk network and buffered bike lanes. Where Quinnault Road is offset at Highway 18, a dogleg option is proposed on the north side of the Highway.

Realignment of Yucca Loma Road and Navajo Road

The existing intersection of Yucca Loma and Navajo Roads is immediately south of the signalized intersection of Navajo Road and Highway 18, which can lead to confusing and dangerous turning maneuvers. Under the proposed Specific Plan concept, Yucca Loma intersects with Highway 18 at a new intersection (whether roundabout or signalized) west of Navajo Road, and terminates at Navajo Road north of Highway 18. Between Navajo Road and Algonquin Road, land currently required for Yucca Loma will be available for development, and for a multi-use trail which will connect to the existing multi-use trail to the west of the Planning Area.

Complete Street Improvements

The Specific Plan also promotes the concept of "complete streets" throughout the Planning Area, by designating a hierarchy of non-vehicular improvements, ranging from off-street bike lanes to sidewalks and public spaces. The vision of the Specific Plan is to allow all types of movement through the area, not only the current vehicle-focused transportation system currently in place, by assuring the completion of sidewalks, trails and alleys that allow safe non-vehicular access for pedestrians, bikes and other alternative transportation.

General Plan Amendment

Specific Plan approval will require a General Plan Amendment (GPA). Current General Plan land use designations in the Planning Area include General Commercial (C-G), Service Commercial (C-S), Mobile Home Park (MHP), Medium Density Residential (R-M), Estate Residential (R-E), Public Facilities (P-F), and Open Space (O-S). The proposed GPA will change all General Plan designations in the Planning Area to "Village Specific Plan."

Zoning Change

Specific Plan approval will require a Zone Change. Table 2 summarizes existing land uses by current zoning designation. Current zoning designations include General Commercial (C-G), Service Commercial (C-S), Village Commercial (C-V), Mobile Home Park (MHP 5-15 du/ac), Multi-Family Residential (R-M 4-20 du/ac), Estate Residential (R-E 1 du/1 to 2.5 gross ac), Public Facilities (P-F), and Open Space-Recreation (OS-R). The Zone Change will change current zoning designations in the Planning Area to Districts 1 through 5 (described above and shown in Exhibit 4).

Current Conditions

Highway 18 connects the Village with Victorville and Interstate-15 on the west and Lucerne Valley, the Big Bear area, and the Morongo Basin on the east. In the Specific Plan area, Highway 18 (Happy Trails Highway) consists of a 4-lane divided arterial with two 2-way frontage roads known as Outer Highway 18- North and Outer Highway 18-South. The frontage roads separate high-speed through traffic from local traffic accessing businesses and other land uses, but they create complex intersections and long intersection crossing distances that present safety and maneuverability challenges for vehicles, pedestrians, and bicyclists. The Specific Plan area is served by transit but lacks complete, connected sidewalks and other multimodal facilities.

The Highway 18 frontage is one of the Town's principal commercial corridors. Commercial land uses include restaurant, retail, and service establishments, such as gas stations, furniture and antique stores, auto parts stores, pharmacies, banks, and dental and real estate practices. Commercial development is more extensive on the north



side of the highway than the south side, in part due to deeper lot configurations. Buildings are generally singlestory, free-standing units built in the post-World War II era. Most parcels have their own driveway and parking lot, although newer fast food and inline retail development with large, shared parking lots are in the western Planning Area. Some buildings are vacant or appear to have been abandoned. The Apple Valley Mobile Home Lodge is on the south side of Highway 18, east of Hitt Road.

The area north of the Highway 18 commercial core is partially developed. Pioneer Road includes light industrial warehouses, wood shops, and self-storage units, as well as the Pioneer Mobile Home Park and Casa Colina, a long-term residential treatment facility. The south side of Arapahoe Avenue is developed with multi-family and a few single-family dwelling units. The vicinity of Central Road and Headquarters Drive is developed with newer public and quasi-public facilities, including the Mojave Water Agency, Fire Station No. 1, and B.E.S.T Opportunities, Inc. which provides support services for individuals with developmental disabilities.

South of the Highway 18 commercial core is a mix of service commercial, residential, and community facilities. Service commercial warehouse-style buildings contain tool and machinery workshops, auto salvage, and vehicle storage yards. Residential development includes multi-family and a few single-family dwelling units along Navajo and Powhatan Roads. Apple Valley Ranchos Mobile Home Park is on Ottawa Road, and the Apple Valley Mobile Home Lodge extends south of Highway 18. Community facilities include a large municipal park, community center, gymnasium, animal shelter, post office, and the Town's corporate yard and Household Hazardous Waste facility. Liberty Utilities offices and vehicle yard are in the southwest corner of the Planning Area.


The Specific Plan area includes $274\pm$ acres of vacant land. Vacant parcels are scattered throughout, with the largest parcels generally north of Highway 18 and east of Valley Drive.

Project Location and Limits

The Planning Area is in the east central portion of the Town of Apple Valley in San Bernardino County, in portions of Sections 21, 22, 23, 26, 27, and 28, Township 5 North, Range 3 West, SBB&M (see USGS Apple Valley North and Apple Valley South 7.5-minute quadrangle maps). It extends north and south along a $1.5\pm$ mile segment of State Highway 18 and is generally bounded by Arapahoe and Esaws Avenues on the north, Ottawa Road on the south, Central Road on the east, and the realigned Yucca Loma and Navajo Road on the west. It includes additional contiguous parcels on the east and west. Location maps are provided on Exhibits 1, 2, and 3.


Surrounding Land Uses

- West: single- and multi-family residential and commercial development, State Highway 18
- North: single- and multi-family residential development, undeveloped parcels
- South: single- and multi-family residential development, mobile home park, church, undeveloped parcels
- East: single-family development, undeveloped parcels, State Highway 18



Source: Terra Nova Planning and Research, Inc.; ESRI, 2021






Source: Terra Nova Planning and Research, Inc.; ESRI, 2021




Exhibit 2 - Vicinity Map



Source: Google Earth, 2021





Source: Google Earth, 2021








Exhibit 5 - State Highway 18 Conceptual Circulation Plans



| | Existing Land Uses by Zoning Designation | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|----------------|--|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Zoning Designation | Developed Acres | Vacant Acres | Total Acres | Existing Dwelling Units ¹ | Potential Dwelling Units ³ | Total Dwelling Units | | | |
| Residential | | | | | | | | | |
| Mobile Home Park (MHP, 5-15 du/ac) | 17.5 | 0.0 | 17.5 | 173 | 0 | 173 | | | |
| Multi-Family Residential (R-M, 2-20 du/ac) | 25.2 | 25.0 | 50.2 | 115 | 500 | 615 | | | |
| Estate Residential (R-E, 1 du/1 to 2.5 gross ac) | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | | | |
| Residential Subtotal: | 43.7 | 25.0 | 68.7 | 289 | 500 | 789 | | | |
| Commercial | | | | Existing Sq. Ft. ² | Potential Sq. Ft. ⁴ | Total Sq. Ft. | | | |
| General Commercial (C-G) (max. FAR 0.5) | 29.1 | 115.3 | 144.4 | 240,981 | 2,511,447 | 2,752,428 | | | |
| Service Commercial (C-S) (max. FAR 0.5) | 107.0 | 118.4 | 225.3 | 975,576 | 2,577,776 | 3,553,352 | | | |
| Village Commercial (C-V) (max. FAR 0.5) | 55.1 | 14.9 | 70.0 | 421,117 | 324,363 | 745,480 | | | |
| Commercial Subtotal: | 191.2 | 248.6 | 439.7 | 1,637,674 | 5,413,585 | 7,051,259 | | | |
| Public Facility | | | | | | | | | |
| Public Facilities (P-F) (max. FAR 0.5) | 29.1 | 0.0 | 29.1 | 185,706 | 0 | 185,706 | | | |
| Public Facility Subtotal: | 29.1 | 0.0 | 29.1 | 185,706 | 0 | 185,706 | | | |
| Open Space | | | | | | | | | |
| Open Space - Recreation (OS-R) | 18.8 | 0.0 | 18.8 | | | | | | |
| Open Space Subtotal: | 18.8 | 0.0 | 18.8 | | | | | | |
| Land Use Total Acreage: | 282.8 | 273.6 | 556.3 | | | | | | |
| Street Right-of-Way Total Acreage: | 94.8 | 0.0 | 94.8 | | | | | | |
| TOTAL: | 377.6 | 273.6 | 651.2 | | | | | | |

Table 2

¹ estimate based on Google Earth
 ² estimate based on Microsoft Maps US Building Footprints dataset
 ³ future residential development potential assumes maximum density of 20 DU/AC (vacant acres x 20 du).
 ⁴ future commercial development potential assumes maximum allowed Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of 0.5 (vacant acres x 43,560 sf x 50%).

Source: Apple Valley Zoning Code GIS database



| Proposed Land Uses by Specific Plan District | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|-----------------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------------|------------------------|------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Acres | | | Residential | | | Commercial/Service/Office/Public Facility | | | | |
| | Acres | | | | | | (dwelli | ng units) | | (square feet) | | | |
| | D | eveloped A | Acres | | | | Under- | | Total | | Under- | | Total |
| | Develo | Under- | Total | Vacant | Total | Existing | utilized | Vacant | Units at | Existing | utilized | Vacant | sq. ft. at |
| District | ped | Utilized ⁴ | Developed | Acres | Acres | Units | Potential ¹ | Potential ² | Buildout ⁴ | sq. ft. | Potential ¹ | Potential ³ | Buildout ⁴ |
| District 1: Village Core | 59.64 | 16.33 | 75.97 | 107.58 | 183.55 | 63 | 42 | 180 | 259 | 543,437 | 310,038 | 2,147,072 | 2,907,393 |
| District 2: Village Services North | 95.78 | 23.78 | 119.56 | 91.42 | 210.98 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,029,533 | 517,961 | 1,991,144 | 3,455,669 |
| District 3: Village Services South | 28.87 | 11.06 | 39.93 | 48.31 | 88.24 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181,088 | 240,822 | 1,052,200 | 1,458,519 |
| District 4: Residential & Recreation | 40.10 | 7.20 | 47.30 | 2.98 | 50.28 | 96 | 144 | 60 | 246 | 69,322 | 0 | 0 | 69,322 |
| District 5: Residential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23.28 | 23.28 | 0 | 0 | 465 | 465 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Land Use Total Acres: | 224.40 | 58.36 | 282.76 | 273.57 | 556.33 | | | | | | | | |
| Street ROW Total Acres: | 94.82 | 0 | 94.82 | 0 | 94.82 | | | | | | | | |
| Grand Total: | 319.22 | 58.36 | 377.58 | 273.57 | 651.16 | 289 | 186 | 705 | 971 | 1,823,380 | 1,068,821 | 5,190,416 | 7,890,903 |

| Table 3 | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Proposed Land Uses by Specific Plan District | | | | | |

Estimates based on a number of sources, including Google Earth, ESRI, San Bernardino County Assessor, and Town housing data.

Potential uses for vacant and/or underutilized acres assumes District 1 is mixed use residential and commercial/service uses (see footnote 1), Districts 2 and 3 are all commercial/service uses, and Districts 4 and 5 are residential uses.

¹ For District 1, assumes the west end signature project (Franklin, 5 parcels, approx. 8.38 acres categorized as "underutilized") will develop 25% residential (2.095 acres) and 75% commercial (6.285 acres), the east end signature project (60 acres, all vacant land) will develop 15% residential (9 acres) and 85% commercial (51 acres), and remaining underutilized acreage will develop as commercial. Combined, the west and east end signature projects are 11.09 acres residential and 57.29 acres commercial. ² Future residential development potential assumes maximum density of 20 DU/AC.

³ Commercial development potential assumes maximum density of 20 DO/AC.

⁴ Underutilized acres currently have either commercial/retail/service square feet or residential units on site. Redevelopment of underutilized sites assumes all existing square feet or units would be removed from that site. For scenarios where underutilized acres are redeveloped, the total square feet or residential units is the sum of existing + underutilized + vacant – existing underutilized square feet or units.

⁵Existing mobile home parks are planned to be redeveloped as either commercial space or multi-family units. No new MHP are proposed.

⁶ District 4 Residential. 2.51 acres of underutilized lands contain 15 dwelling units, and 7.76 acres of developed lands contain 42 dwelling units. 15 units were manually removed from the "Total Units w/ Underut. Redeveloped" column.



EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

| | Top of Form | | | | | | |
|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| \boxtimes | Aesthetics | | Agriculture and Forestry Resources | \boxtimes | Air Quality | | |
| | Biological Resources | | Cultural Resources | | Energy | | |
| | Geology /Soils | \boxtimes | Greenhouse Gas Emissions | | Hazards & Hazardous Materials | | |
| | Hydrology / Water Quality | \boxtimes | Land Use / Planning | | Mineral Resources | | |
| | Noise | | Population / Housing | | Public Services | | |
| | Recreation | \boxtimes | Transportation | | Tribal Cultural Resources | | |
| | Utilities / Service Systems | | Wildfires | \boxtimes | Mandatory Findings of Significance | | |



DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency) On the basis of this initial evaluation:

| | I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. |
|-------------|--|
| | I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. |
| \boxtimes | I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required. |
| | I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed. |
| | I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required. |

| | 11/17/21 |
|--|----------|
| Signature: Daniel Alcayaga, Planning Manager | Date: |
| Town of Apple Valley | |



| 1. AESTHETICS – Except as provided in Public Resource Code Section 21099, would the project: | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista? | | \boxtimes | | |
| b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? | | | | \boxtimes |
| c) In non-urbanized areas, substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surroundings? (Public views are those that are experienced from publicly accessible vantage point). If the project is in an urbanized area, would the project conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality? | | | | |
| d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare, which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area? | \boxtimes | | | |

Sources: Apple Valley General Plan 2009; Apple Valley Development Code, as amended; California State Scenic Highway System Map and List, Caltrans.

Background

The topography of Apple Valley is characterized by a gently sloping desert floor with mountains, peaks, hillsides, and knolls primarily to the north, south, and east. The most scenic vistas are of the Ord Mountains to the south, the summit of Bell Mountain (3,852 feet above sea level) to the north, and the summit of Fairview Mountain (4,288 feet above sea level) to the northeast. Mountainous areas are comprised of a variety of rock formations and a diversity of vegetation that contribute to the scenic value of the region. In some areas, the Mojave River is surrounded by mountains, hillsides, and riparian areas that are considered scenic resources.

- Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation. The Specific Plan Planning Area is more than two miles from the nearest mountains and four miles from the Mojave River and, therefore, scenic vistas are at a distance. The proposed Specific Plan will include new and revised land use designations and design guidelines addressing landscaping, architecture, circulation, and other elements of the built environment. Development in accordance with the Specific Plan could potentially impact scenic views in some locations. The EIR will evaluate the potential impacts of the proposed Project on scenic vistas. Mitigation measures may be necessary, as determined in the EIR analysis.
- b) **No Impact.** State Highway 18 in the Specific Plan Planning Area is not designated as a state scenic highway, and there are no notable rock outcroppings, trees, or other scenic resources in the Planning Area. Therefore, no impact will occur, and no further discussion of this issue is required in the EIR.
- c) Less Than Significant Impact. The Project Planning Area is largely urbanized but includes some large undeveloped parcels. The Specific Plan will include development standards and guidelines pertaining to visual and scenic resources that are specifically tailored to development in the Planning Area, and they will vary from those in the Development Code. However, they will be consistent with the Town's vision for protecting and enhancing visual resources, as set forth in the Apple Valley General Plan, and are expected to have a net positive impact on the visual quality of the Planning Area. The potential effects of the Specific Plan will be further analyzed in the EIR.



d) **Potentially Significant Impact.** It is uncertain whether or to what extent the proposed Project may result in substantial and potentially adverse impacts associated with light or glare. The potential effects of the Specific Plan and the potential need for mitigation measures will be further analyzed in the EIR.



| 2. AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project: | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use? | | | | |
| b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract? | | | | \boxtimes |
| c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forestland (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 12220(g)),timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code Section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code Section 51104(g))? | | | | |
| d) Result in the loss of forest land to non-forest use? | | | | \boxtimes |
| e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland to non-agricultural use or forest land to non-forest use? | | | | |

Sources: Apple Valley General Plan 2009; Apple Valley Development Code, as amended; California Department of Conservation, Farmland Mapping & Monitoring Program, 2016.

Background

The Town of Apple Valley contains no forest lands. Land historically used for agricultural purposes has trended toward animal keeping and equestrian activities. The Residential Agriculture (R-A, 1 du/2.5 gross acres) zoning designation allows the continued operation of agricultural uses in areas previously zoned for agriculture by the County in the Deep Creek area.

a-e) **No Impact.** The Specific Plan Planning Area is largely urbanized but includes some undeveloped parcels. There are no forest lands in the Planning Area. There are no farmlands as designated by the General Plan Land Use Map and Zoning Map. There is no Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance, as designated by the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Department of Conservation. The Planning Area is not covered by a Williamson Act contract. The Specific Plan will not affect forest lands or convert agricultural or forest lands to non-agricultural or non-forest uses. Therefore, it will have no impact on agricultural or forest resources.



| 3. AIR QUALITY – Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management district or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project: | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan? | | \boxtimes | | |
| b) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard? | | | | |
| c) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations? | \boxtimes | | | |
| d) Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people? | | \boxtimes | | |

Sources: MDAQMD Rule Book; Apple Valley General Plan 2009.

Background

The Town of Apple Valley is in the Mojave Desert Air Basin, for which air quality is regulated by the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District. The proposed Specific Plan will establish development standards and guidelines that could result in building demolition and redevelopment, site grading, and new development that has the potential to impact air quality, including the emissions of particulates (PM_{10}), ozone precursors, and other criteria pollutants.

- a) Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation. The Town of Apple Valley is in the Mojave Desert Air Basin (MDAB) and subject to the rules and regulations imposed by the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District (MDAQMD), including the MDAQMD Rule Book which describes the District's plan to achieve federal and State air quality standards set forth in federal and State Clean Air Acts. The proposed Specific Plan will be consistent with the goals and policies of the Apple Valley General Plan. Additionally, the proposed Specific Plan Planning Area can, with mitigation, be developed in such a manner that does not conflict with or obstruct implementation of the MDAQMD Rule Book. Nonetheless, this potential will be further analyzed in the forthcoming EIR.
- b, c) **Potentially Significant Impact.** An impact is considered potentially significant if concentrations of emissions exceed the State or Federal Ambient Air Quality Standards. The two primary pollutants of concern in the Mojave Desert Air Basin, including Apple Valley, are ozone (O₃) and particulate matter (PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}).

<u>Ozone (O₃)</u> is formed when byproducts of combustion react in the presence of ultraviolet sunlight. This process occurs in the atmosphere where oxides of nitrogen combine with reactive organic gases, such as hydrocarbons, in the presence of sunlight. Ozone is a pungent, colorless, toxic gas, and a common component of photochemical smog. Although ozone is produced within the MDAB, ozone pollutants are also transported by coastal air masses from the South Coast Air Basin, which contributes to occasionally high ozone concentrations in the MDAB. The air basin has a history of exceeding regulatory ozone standards.



<u>Particulate Matter</u> (PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$) consists of fine suspended particles of ten microns or smaller in diameter, and is the byproduct of road dust, sand, diesel soot, windstorms, and the abrasion of tires and brakes. The elderly, children, and adults with pre-existing respiratory or cardiovascular disease are most susceptible to the effects of PM. Elevated PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ levels are also associated with an increase in mortality rates, respiratory infections, occurrences and severity of asthma attacks, and hospital admissions. The MDAB is designated as a non- attainment area for PM_{10} , a non-attainment area for the state $PM_{2.5}$ standard, and an attainment/unclassifiable area for the national $PM_{2.5}$ standard.

At this time, it is not known whether or to what extent development and improvements facilitated by the proposed Project will impact non-attainment criteria pollutants, or whether they will expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant emissions. An air quality analysis should be prepared to further evaluate the potential for significant levels of air pollutants related to the Specific Plan. Potential air quality impacts will be assessed in an air quality analysis and further documented in the forthcoming EIR.

d) Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation. Development and improvements facilitated by the proposed Project have the potential to result in short-term odors associated with operation of heavy equipment during grading, excavation, and other construction activities. However, construction-related odors would be temporary and quickly dispersed below detectable levels as distance from the construction area increases. During operation, development facilitated by the proposed Specific Plan may include restaurants and other uses that could emit odors. Impacts will be evaluated in the forthcoming EIR.



| 4. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES Would the project: | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? | | | | |
| b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or US Fish and Wildlife Service? | | | \boxtimes | |
| c) Have a substantial adverse effect on state or federally protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? | | | \boxtimes | |
| d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites? | | | \boxtimes | |
| e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance? | | | \boxtimes | |
| f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan? | | | \boxtimes | |

Sources: Apple Valley General Plan 2009; Notice of Preparation of Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Apple Valley MSHCP/NCCP, Town of Apple Valley, April 2021.

Background

Apple Valley is in the southwestern portion of the Mojave Desert and supports a range of natural vegetation communities and biological species, including endangered, threatened, and special status species. Development and improvements facilitated by the Specific Plan could result in building demolition, site grading, and new development that have the potential to impact biological resources in the Planning Area.

a) Less Than Significant with Mitigation. Much of the Planning Area is developed and does not contain habitat suitable for special status species. However, vacant parcels may offer nesting sites for birds protected by the international Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and/or habitat for other sensitive species. The potential for development and other improvements facilitated by the Specific Plan to directly or indirectly impact special status species, and the need for mitigation, will vary on a site-specific basis. With the implementation of species-sensitive development schedules and/or conducting pre-construction species surveys, as appropriate, future development facilitated by the Specific Plan is not expected to have a substantial effect on sensitive plant or wildlife species, federally listed species, or state species of concern, or their habitats. The proposed Project will not conflict with any local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, including those of the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). Potential impacts to special status species will be evaluated further in the EIR.



- b) Less Than Significant Impact. There is no known riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community within the Planning Area. However, vacant land subject to runoff could potentially contain riparian habitat. Future development of these lands, as facilitated by the Specific Plan, could potentially impact such resources. Impacts to biological resources resulting from the proposed Project are expected to be less than significant. Nonetheless, this potential will be further assessed in the EIR.
- c) Less Than Significant Impact. Potential U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) jurisdictional waters and wetlands within the Town are typically limited to drainages of the Mojave River and Bell Mountain Wash. The Specific Plan Planning Area does not contain natural channel drainages or floodways such that future development facilitated by the Specific Plan could result in removal, fill, or interruption of these resources. However, potential impacts should be further evaluated in an EIR.
- d) Less Than Significant Impact. Much of the Specific Plan Planning Area is developed or surrounded by development and not used or suitable for a wildlife corridor, and it is not located within or adjacent to a known wildlife corridor. Undeveloped portions of the Planning Area, particularly larger parcels in the northeast, may be utilized as migratory corridors for the movement of wildlife, particularly migratory bird species protected under the MBTA, as discussed above in 4.a, above. However, wildlife movement between these areas may be prevented by existing development and barriers, including roads. The forthcoming EIR will further evaluate potential impacts and the need for mitigation.
- e, f) Less Than Significant Impact. The Apple Valley Multispecies Habitat Conservation Plan/Natural Community Conservation Plan (MSHCP/NCCP) has been drafted, and a Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) was issued in April 2021. Upon approval, the MSHCP/NCCP will guide the Town's conservation efforts and streamline the environmental permitting process. The entire Town, including the Specific Plan Planning Area, is within the boundaries of the MSHCP/NCCP.

The Town, including the Specific Plan Planning Area, is also within the boundaries of the West Mojave Plan. The habitat conservation plan conserves and protects approximately one hundred (100) sensitive species and the natural communities of which they are a part and streamlines the environmental permitting process. Currently, the Plan applies only to federal land.

Future development and redevelopment facilitated by the proposed Project has the potential to adversely impact sensitive habitat in the area. The EIR will determine whether and to what extent buildout of the Specific Plan will conflict with applicable HCPs and Town policies protecting sensitive biological resources.



| 5. CULTURAL RESOURCES Would the project: | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource pursuant to § 15064.5? | | | | |
| b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5? | | \boxtimes | | |
| c) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of dedicated cemeteries? | | \boxtimes | | |

Sources: Apple Valley General Plan 2009; Historical/Archaeological Resources Sensitivity Assessment, The Village Specific Plan and EIR, Town of Apple Valley, California, CRM TECH, December 31, 2020.

Background

The prehistory of the Mojave Desert extends back to 8,000 B.C. or earlier, and present-day Apple Valley is located near the boundary between the traditional territories of the Serrano and Vanyume peoples. Archaeological sites in the region are typically related to subsistence activities and contain fragments of metates, scrapers, and projectile points. The first permanent settlement in Apple Valley was a cattle ranch established in 1870. Sustained growth began in the post-World War II era with marketing campaigns that sold thousands of residential and commercial properties. The historic Village area, within the Specific Plan Planning Area, was one of the principal commercial districts in Town.

- a) Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation. A historical and archaeological resources assessment was prepared for the Specific Plan Planning Area in 2020. It determined that the Specific Plan Planning Area contains two (2) sites that have been recorded in the California Historical Resources Information System. One has been demolished, and neither was determined to be eligible for the National Register of Historic Places of California Register of Historic Resources. Three (3) sites in the Planning Area have been designated as Historical Points of Interest by the Town. While potential impacts of the proposed Project on historical resources should be mitigatable, this potential should be further analyzed in the Project EIR.
- b) Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation. Prehistoric sites in the Victor Valley typically occupy sheltered areas on or near hills, terraces, and ridges near reliable sources of water. Given the location of the Specific Plan Planning Area on the desert floor and its distance from the Mojave River, it is not likely to contain significant archaeological resources. No records of prehistoric or early historic resources in the Planning Area were found at the South Central Coastal Information Center, the cultural resource records repository for San Bernardino County. A search of the Sacred Lands File by the Native American Heritage Commission found the presence of unspecified Native American cultural resources in the Specific Plan vicinity; however, the nature and location of the resources were not disclosed. Future development in these areas, as facilitated by the proposed Project, could have the potential to uncover archaeological resources. Potential impacts will be analyzed and mitigation measures included in the EIR, as appropriate, to avoid or reduce potential impacts to sensitive archaeological resources.
- c) Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation. The Planning Area is not known to contain cemeteries or other burial sites. However, the Planning Area contains undeveloped parcels, and the disturbance of soils for future development and improvements facilitated by the Specific Plan could lead to the discovery of human remains. The EIR will evaluate this potential and cite appropriate mitigation measures, including compliance with State law.



| 6. ENERGY Would the project: | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| a) Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation? | | | \boxtimes | |
| b) Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency? | | | | \boxtimes |

Source: Apple Valley General Plan 2009; Apple Valley Climate Action Plan, 2019 Update.

Background

Primary energy sources include non-renewable fossil fuels (oil, coal and natural gas), nuclear, and renewable sources such as wind, solar, geothermal, and hydropower. In addition to utility-provided electrical power, many homes and businesses are installing rooftop solar and storage, and new construction and renovation is required to conform to the State's strict green building code, which further ensures that energy resources are used economically and wisely. Both the regulatory environment and the economy have moved aggressively toward greater energy efficiency and reliance on non-polluting renewable resources.

The Apple Valley Choice Energy (AVCE) program, implemented in 2017, allows Town residents to receive energy with a higher renewable content than is currently provided by the franchised utility (Southern California Edison). The minimum renewable energy content for AVCE customers is 35%, and an alternate selection of 50% renewable energy content is available. The Town also promotes Net Energy Metering (NEM) for customers with rooftop solar by offering a premium buy-back rate that is nearly double the SCE rate.

- a) Less Than Significant Impact. The Specific Plan Planning Area is within the service boundaries of Southern California Edison (electric power) and Southwest Gas (natural gas) and is well-served by both providers. The region also receives high rates of solar insolation which is optimal for on-site solar-generated electricity. The proposed Project will incorporate and promote sustainable building design, including energy efficient buildings and structures that could potentially accommodate solar electric systems, as well as sustainable landscape design, synergistic land use planning, and multimodal circulation improvements that reduce energy consumption. The forthcoming EIR should analyze anticipated energy consumption by future development facilitated by the proposed Project and evaluate the effects of renewable systems where proposed.
- b) **No Impact.** The Specific Plan encourages and facilitates greater energy efficiency and the use of renewable energy resources. It will not obstruct implementation of a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency. No impact will occur, and no further discussion of this issue is required in the EIR.



| 7. GEOLOGY AND SOILS Would the project: | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| a) Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: | | | | |
| i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. | | | | |
| ii) Strong seismic ground shaking? | | \boxtimes | | |
| iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? | | \boxtimes | | |
| iv) Landslides? | | | | \boxtimes |
| b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? | | | | |
| c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off- site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse? | | | | |
| d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or property? | | | | |
| e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater? | | | | |
| f) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature? | | | | \boxtimes |

Sources: Apple Valley General Plan 2009; Apple Valley Village Corridor Enhancement Plan Drainage Study, David Evans and Associates, July 23, 2019; Apple Valley Local Hazard Mitigation Plan, 2017 Plan Update, Figures 4-5, 4-6.

Background

The geologic structure of Apple Valley consists of gently sloping alluvial fans bounded by mountains on the north, east, and south. The wide floodplain of the Mojave River generally defines the western boundary. These features have been shaped by large active fault systems, including the North Frontal, Helendale, and San Andreas faults and fault zones. Some parts of the community are susceptible to seismically induced hazards, including liquefaction and slope instability, and other geologic hazards such as wind erosion.

a) i. **No Impact.** No Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zones are mapped in the Town of Apple Valley or the Specific Plan Planning Area. Therefore, the Project will have no impact on risks associated with ground rupture due to an earthquake on an underlying fault, and no further discussion of this issue is required in the EIR.



- ii. Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation. The Town of Apple Valley is in a seismically active region. The nearest faults are the Helendale (South Lockhart) and North Frontal (West) faults, which are capable of generating moment magnitude 7.3 and 7.2 earthquakes, respectively. Numerous other faults in the region, including the San Andreas (Whole Southern) and Lenwood-Lockhart-Old Woman Springs faults, also have the potential to produce strong ground shaking in Apple Valley. The Specific Plan Planning Area would be exposed to strong ground shaking during a major quake on nearby faults and could expose people and structures to risks associated with strong seismic ground shaking. The forthcoming EIR should consider site and building design and engineering that mitigates the ground shaking threat in the area.
- iii. Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation. Ground failure can take the form of liquefaction, landsliding (see 7.a.iv, below), and lateral spreading (see 7.c, below). Seismically induced liquefaction is possible where near-surface alluvial sediments become saturated from persistent seasonal precipitation or excessive irrigation and are subjected to strong ground shaking. The Apple Valley General Plan (Exhibit IV-2) shows the Specific Plan Planning Area is not susceptible to liquefaction. Nonetheless, development facilitated by the Specific Plan could alter the exposure of people to risks associated with other forms of ground failure. The forthcoming EIR should assess this risk and may require mitigation measures to reduce risks to less than significant levels.
- iv. **No Impact.** The Apple Valley General Plan (Exhibit IV-2) indicates that the Specific Plan Planning Area is not susceptible to landslide or slope instability. The Planning Area is relatively flat and slopes gently with an average slope of 0.4% from southwest to northeast ("Apple Valley Village Corridor Enhancement Plan Drainage Study," David Evans and Associates, July 23, 2019). The nearest hillsides and slopes are approximately two (2) miles to the west and east. Therefore, the proposed Project will not expose people to landslide or slope instability risks. No impact will occur, and no further discussion is required in the EIR.
- b) Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation. According to the General Plan, Apple Valley and the Specific Planning Area are susceptible to wind erosion. Future development and improvements facilitated by the Specific Plan will involve ground disturbance, which has the potential to increase soil erosion. Contractors will be required to implement project-specific dust control management plans as part of the grading permit process to minimize potential impacts caused by blowing dust and sand during construction. At buildout, the Planning Area will include new structures, paved roads and parking areas, new landscaping, and otherwise stabilized surfaces, which will help resist erosion. Potential impacts of the Specific Plan and mitigation measures, where appropriate, will be set forth in the EIR.
- c) Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation. The Specific Plan Planning Area is not susceptible to liquefaction or landslide (see 7.iii and 7.iv, above). However, development and improvements facilitated by the Project could increase other hazards associated with unstable soils. According to the General Plan, subsidence studies determined that no ground subsidence has been detected in Apple Valley. Collapsible soils typically occur when recently deposited soils, such as alluvial and aeolian sand and silt, are saturated by flooding, irrigation, or a rise in the groundwater table. The risk of collapsible soils and settlement in the Planning Area can depend on site-specific characteristics. These potential hazards should be evaluated on a site-specific basis as part of geotechnical studies for future development. Mitigation can be accomplished through a variety of design, engineering, and construction methods. Building and seismic code requirements assure that the potential impact associated with unstable soils is reduced to less than significant levels through thoughtful site planning, and conventional site preparation techniques such as over-excavation, hydroconsolidation, and compaction. Potential risks should be further evaluated in the EIR.



- d) Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation. The Specific Plan Planning Area is underlain by young alluvial deposits (General Plan Exhibits IV-1 and IV-1A) comprised of granular materials that typically have a low expansion potential, although pockets of fine-grained expansive soils can occur. As a result, the potential for expansive soils is generally low. Nonetheless, potential impacts of the Specific Plan and specific mitigation measures, where appropriate, will be set forth in the EIR.
- e) Less Than Significant Impact. The sewer system is relatively new and does not cover the entire Planning Area. Some parcels may be developed before sewer extensions are available and will require installation of septic systems instead. The EIR will evaluate local soil characteristics and their suitability for supporting septic tanks or other alternative wastewater disposal systems.
- f) No Impact. The Planning Area is located on the valley floor where soils to depth are largely comprised of recently deposited aeolian and alluvial sediments that typically do not harbor paleontological resources. The Planning Area is not located in proximity to either a wash outflow or bedrock that could harbor such resources, and is not of lacustrine origin. Therefore, potentially sensitive paleontological resources are not expected to occur on site and there will be no Project-related impact and no further discussion of this issue in the EIR.



| 8. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS Would the project: | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment? | \boxtimes | | | |
| b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases? | \boxtimes | | | |

Sources: Apple Valley General Plan 2009; Apple Valley Climate Action Plan, 2019 Update.

Background

Greenhouse gases (GHG), including carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide (N₂O), and fluorinated gases (hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons and sulfur hexafluoride), are released into the atmosphere through natural processes and human activities. They are called "greenhouse gases" due to their shared characteristic of trapping heat, and they are believed to be responsible for global increases in surface temperatures observed in the 20^{th} and 21^{st} centuries. Sources of GHGs include burning of fossil fuels, emission of volatile gases including and especially natural gas, and other chemical compounds.

The Apple Valley Climate Action Plan Update (2019) evaluates Town-wide GHG emissions, determines reduction targets and forecasts, and establishes reduction measures for community development, municipal operations, and new development.

a, b) **Potentially Significant Impact**. Demolition and construction activities facilitated by the Specific Plan will generate short-term GHG emissions during demolition, grading, excavation, new building construction, and paving. Construction and operational activities of future development are expected to generate carbon dioxide equivalents (CO₂e). Construction-related GHG emissions will be temporary and end once projects are completed, and they are not expected to interfere with meeting the objectives of AB 32. Potential greenhouse gases generated from construction and Specific Plan related project operations, including motor vehicle travel, will be quantified and mitigated, as necessary, in the forthcoming EIR. Because of the scope of the Project, and the potential for substantial development in the Planning Area, impacts associated with long term GHG emissions could be significant, and must be quantified in the EIR

The EIR will also evaluate the Project's consistency with the Apple Valley Climate Action Plan 2019 Update and other applicable policies and plans.



| 9. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS Would the project: | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials? | | | \boxtimes | |
| b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment? | | | \boxtimes | |
| c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school? | | | | |
| d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment? | | | | |
| e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard or excessive noise for people residing or working in the project area? | | | | |
| f) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan? | | | \boxtimes | |
| g) Expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires? | | | \boxtimes | |

Sources: Apple Valley General Plan 2009; California Department of Toxic Substances Control "EnviroStor" Database; Fire Hazard Severity Zones in State Responsibility Area (SRA) map, CAL FIRE, 2007; Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones in Local Responsibility Areas (LRA) map, CAL FIRE, 2008; Apple Valley Local Hazard Mitigation Plan, 2017 Plan Update.

Background

A hazardous material is any substance that, because of its quantity, concentration, or physical or chemical properties, may pose a hazard to human health and the environment. Under Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR), the term "hazardous substance" refers to both hazardous materials and hazardous wastes. They are classified according to four properties: (1) ignitability; (2) corrosivity; (3) reactivity; and (4) toxicity. A hazardous material is defined as a substance or combination of substances which may either (1) cause, or significantly contribute to an increase in mortality or an increase in serious irreversible or incapacitating reversible illness; or (2) pose a substantial present or potential hazard to human health or the environment when improperly treated, stored, transported, disposed of or otherwise managed.

Existing development in the Project Planning Area includes large and small commercial enterprises, such as auto storage and maintenance facilities, metal and wood workshops, gasoline service stations, restaurants, and other potential generators of hazardous materials. Comparable development would be facilitated by the proposed Specific Plan.



- a-b) Less Than Significant Impact. Hazardous materials are transported through Apple Valley along Highway 18 and other designated truck routes and local roads in the Planning Area. Demolition and construction activities facilitated by the Specific Plan will involve the use of heavy equipment which could require minor maintenance and re-fueling on location and could lead to fuel and oil spills if not properly managed. Contractors will be required to identify staging areas for storing materials and equipment and implement best management practices to assure that impacts are minimized and that any minor spills are immediately and properly remediated. The Specific Plan land use plan allows residential, commercial, and other development that can be expected to use and store limited quantities and types of potentially hazardous materials, such as cleaning chemicals, solvents, gasoline, and oils. However, heavy industrial facilities, waste and landfill operations, and other generators and handlers of hazardous materials are not proposed in the Planning Area. Individual developments may be required to conduct Phase I Initial Site Assessments to evaluate site-specific conditions and/or implement appropriate avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures to address potential impacts. Given the types of land uses that would be developed in the Planning Area and implementation of site-specific mitigation measures where necessary, the risks of accidental release or upset are expected to be less than significant; however, potential impacts should be further evaluated in the EIR.
- c) Less Than Significant Impact. The Smart Starts Academy preschool is located at 21482 Yucca Loma Road on the westernmost parcel of the Planning Area. Two schools are within ¼ mile of the Planning Area: 1) Yucca Loma Elementary School on Yucca Loma Road approximately 250 feet west of the Planning Area, and 2) Granite Hills High School on Esaws Avenue approximately ¼-mile east of the Planning Area. However, as described in responses 9.a and 9.b. above, Project-related impacts associated with hazardous materials in the Planning Area are expected to be less than significant. Nonetheless, potential impacts should be further evaluated in the EIR.
- d) **No Impact.** According to the California Department of Toxic Substances Control EnviroStor Database, the Planning Area does not contain any parcels included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5. Therefore, the proposed Specific Plan would not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment. No impact is anticipated, and no further discussion of this issue will be undertaken in the EIR.
- e) **No Impact.** The Planning Area is not located within an airport land use plan or within two (2) miles of a private airstrip. The Planning Area is 4.5± miles from the nearest airport (Apple Valley Airport). Therefore, the Specific Plan will not result in a safety hazard or excessive noise for people in the Planning Area. No impact will occur, and no further discussion of this issue will be required in the EIR.
- f) Less Than Significant Impact. The Apple Valley Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (2017) is the Town's plan for reducing and/or eliminating natural disaster risks. It evaluates and prioritizes hazards associated with flooding, wildfire, earthquake/geologic conditions, extreme heat, and climate change. The Town's Emergency Operations Plan (EOP) (2014) guides its response to large-scale emergencies and disasters.

The proposed Specific Plan Planning Area includes several critical facilities, as designated in the EOP, including Fire Station #1, James A. Woody Community Center, the animal shelter, and Public Works facility. The proposed Specific Plan will facilitate physical changes in the Planning Area, such as development projects which may require temporary lane closures, detours, or re-routing. However, Construction Traffic Control Plans may be prepared for Specific Plan projects, and emergency/secondary access would be established and preserved during all construction activities. At Project buildout, improved roadway capacity and access to properties may enhance access for emergency vehicles. The Project is anticipated to be consistent with all aspects of the Local Hazard Mitigation Plan and Emergency Operations Plan. Nonetheless, potential impacts will be further addressed in the EIR.



g) Less Than Significant Impact. The wildfire threat in Apple Valley is most significant in the Mojave riverbed (4± miles from the Specific Plan Planning Area) and the southern foothills area known as the Marianas (5± miles away). According to CAL FIRE Fire Hazard Severity Zone mapping, the Specific Plan Planning Area is in a Local Responsibility Area (LRA). The westerly and southwesterly portions of the Planning Area are designated "Urban Unzoned." The easterly and northeasterly portions have a "Moderate" wildfire designation. There are no "High" or "Very High" wildfire designations in or around the Planning Area.

The Specific Plan will facilitate development and redevelopment in the Planning Area; however, it is not expected to exacerbate wildfire risks because all structures and improvements will be required to adhere to applicable fire codes and will be subject to review by the Fire Department. Impacts are expected to be less than significant but will nonetheless be further analyzed in the EIR.



| 10. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY Would the project: | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or groundwater quality? | | | \boxtimes | |
| b) Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin? | | \boxtimes | | |
| c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would: i) Result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site? | | \boxtimes | | |
| ii) Substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or offsite? | | | | |
| iii) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff? | | | | |
| iv) Impede or redirect flood flows? | | \boxtimes | | |
| d) In flood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zones, risk release of pollutants due to project inundation? | | | \boxtimes | |
| e) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan? | | | | \boxtimes |

Source: Apple Valley General Plan 2009; Apple Valley Village Corridor Enhancement Plan Drainage Study, David Evans and Associates, July 23, 2019; Flood Insurance Rate Maps No. 06071C5840H, 06071C5845H, 06071C6505J, and 06071C6510H, Federal Emergency Management Agency; Apple Valley Emergency Operations Plan (Appendix 7), November 1, 2014.

Background

Drainage from many of the hills and mountains surrounding Apple Valley generally terminates in desert playas (dry lakes), although drainages along the western side of the Town discharge into the Mojave River. Designated flood zones are largely limited to the Mojave River, Desert Knolls Wash, and Apple Valley Dry Lake. Three types of storm events have the potential to cause flooding in Apple Valley: winter storms, summer tropical storms, and local thunderstorms. Several historic storms have resulted in flooding, mud flows, and erosion.

a) Less Than Significant Impact. The proposed Specific Plan will facilitate future demolition and construction, grading, paving, and other activities that disturb ground surfaces. Future development will use existing drainage facilities and may require additional on-site improvements, including temporary stormwater retention basins. Town and Regional Water Quality Control Board review will ensure that construction and operational best management practices (BMPs) satisfy local, state, and federal standards. In addition, the Town would require preparation of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) in conformance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) prior to the issuance of grading permits. Where sewer lines are available, new construction will be required to connect to the sewer system in compliance with applicable standards that minimize impacts to regional groundwater quality. Where sewer is not available, septic system operation and maintenance will be required to comply with



applicable regulations until such time as sewer connection becomes available. Impacts are expected to be less than significant; however potential impacts should be further discussed in the forthcoming EIR.

- b) Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation. Regional domestic water is supplied by groundwater. It is uncertain at this time what the water demand from new development facilitated by the Specific Plan could be. The forthcoming EIR should analyze existing water supply compared to demand at Project buildout. The Specific Plan promotes drought-tolerant landscaping and water-efficient buildings, structures, and appliances. New construction will be required to comply with Title 24 provisions, which will limit water demand. Water consumed during construction should be minimal and temporary, while post-development water demand could be greater than current demand. Buildout of the Planning Area in accordance with the Specific Plan is not expected to substantially deplete local groundwater supplies or have a significant impact on groundwater supplies or recharge. Nevertheless, the forthcoming EIR should further evaluate water demand and opportunities for conservation, and may require mitigation measures to assure that impacts to water supplies are less than significant.
- c.i) Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation. The Specific Plan will facilitate development projects that will result in grading, excavation, and other modifications to the ground surface, and have the potential to result in erosion and/or siltation on- and off-site. However, the Town requires projects to implement effective erosion control measures. Development in accordance with the proposed Project is not expected to alter the existing drainage pattern in a manner that would cause substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site. Nevertheless, the forthcoming EIR should evaluate this potential and provide mitigation measures, as appropriate.
- c.ii) Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation. Development and improvement projects facilitated by the Specific Plan could increase the rate and/or amount of surface runoff in the Planning Area. The Specific Plan also proposes changes to the existing drainage system. With the provision of on-site stormwater retention and implementation of required Best Management Practices (BMPs), no significant or substantially increased rate or amount of runoff is anticipated for individual projects. Nonetheless, the management of stormwater runoff through the Planning Area must be further evaluated in the EIR.
- c.iii) Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation. Implementation of the proposed Project will result in new and/or modified development and improvements that could increase stormwater runoff; however, the Town requires the retention of stormwater onsite. Changes in the regional storm water management system proposed in the Specific Plan could result in increased runoff and must consider whether the capacity of existing and planned stormwater drainage systems would provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff. The forthcoming EIR will further address issues of surface and groundwater pollution.
- c.iv) Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation. Implementation of the proposed Project will result in grading, excavation, construction, and other modifications to the ground surface, and changes in the regional flood control system that could redirect flood flows. Although the Town requires stormwater management plans that retain runoff onsite, effectively direct flood flows, and include other improvements, the EIR must analyze whether proposed improvements will be sufficient to accommodate all flows through and in the Planning Area. The EIR should further evaluate potential impacts and the need for mitigation measures.
- d) Less Than Significant Impact. The Specific Plan Planning Area is designated Zone D on Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM). Zone D identifies areas of potential but undetermined flood hazards, as no analysis of flood hazards has been conducted. Therefore, the extent to which the Specific Plan Planning Area is at risk of flooding is unknown. There is no risk of inundation resulting from dam failure as the Planning Area is outside of dam inundation areas. The Cedar Springs and Mojave Dams in the San Bernardino National Forest southwest of Apple Valley have



performed well during seismic events, and neither has experienced failure. Any potential release of floodwaters from the dams is expected to be confined to the Mojave Riverbed ($4\pm$ miles west of the Planning Area). There is no risk of inundation resulting from seiche because no above-ground water reservoirs or other large bodies of water are in or near the Planning Area. There is no risk of inundation from tsunami because the Planning Area is inland and well outside of any tsunami zones.

The proposed Project will facilitate the development of new buildings and improvements that could use, store, or otherwise be involved with potentially hazardous materials. These facilities would be required to comply with applicable safety regulations and best practices to minimize the release of pollutants during potential flood events. Adherence to development standards in the proposed Specific Plan and other regulatory requirements would ensure that no significant impact regarding release of pollutants due to inundation would occur. Nonetheless, potential impacts should be further analyzed in the EIR.

e) **No Impact.** Future development and improvements according to the proposed Specific Plan will be required to conform to applicable water quality regulations and Water Quality Management Plans. The proposed Specific Plan will not conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan, no impact will occur, and no further discussion of this issue will be required in the EIR.



| 11. LAND USE AND PLANNING - Would the project: | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| a) Physically divide an established community? | | | \boxtimes | |
| b) Cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect? | \boxtimes | | | |

Sources: Apple Valley General Plan 2009; Apple Valley Development Code.

Background

Much of the urbanization in Apple Valley has occurred in the vicinity of Highway 18 and the Mojave River. Outlying areas are undeveloped or characterized by low or very low density residential development and ranchos. The area's natural assets, including mountains, desert open space, and warm climate, have become progressively important to the local economy and environment, and contribute to the region's character and desirability.

- a) Less Than Significant Impact. Implementation of the proposed Project will not result in the physical division of an established community. Instead, the Specific Plan will set forth a cohesive set of development standards and guidelines that enhance established commercial areas and neighborhoods along and near the Highway 18 corridor and facilitate a unified sense of place through architectural, landscaping, signage, and lighting programs. The Specific Plan encourages the enhancement of the existing community through infill development and development of compatible uses in the Planning Area. Impacts are expected to be less than significant but should be further analyzed in the EIR.
- b) **Potentially Significant Impact**. The proposed Project generally maintains the basic land use distribution envisioned in the General Plan but revises some acreages and development and redevelopment opportunities. The Project will require a General Plan Amendment (GPA) to change all current General Plan land use designations in the Planning Area to "Village Specific Plan." It will also require a Zone Change to change all current zoning designations in the Planning Area to proposed Districts 1 through 5. The Project is designed to be compatible with surrounding existing and planned land uses. However, conflicts between it and other land use plans and policies could cause environmental impacts, and therefore, should be evaluated in the EIR.



| 12. MINERAL RESOURCES Would the project: | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state? | | | | \boxtimes |
| b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan? | | | | |

Sources: Apple Valley General Plan 2009.

Background

In Apple Valley, mineral resources are largely limited to aggregates, such as sand, gravel, and crushed stone. These are major components of concrete, plaster, stucco, road base, and fill, which are essential to the construction industry. There are important deposits of these materials that occur within the region that are being actively developed.

a, b) **No Impact.** The Planning Area is located within Mineral Resource Zone (MRZ) 3-a, defined as areas containing known mineral deposits of undetermined significance. Further exploration work within these areas could result in the reclassification of specific localities. However, the Planning Area does not contain known mineral resources or mineral recovery sites and is not designated, zoned, or planned for such uses. The proposed Specific Plan would result in no impacts to mineral resources. No further discussion of this issue will be required in the EIR.



| 13. NOISE Would the project result in: | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| a) Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies? | | | | |
| b) Generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels? | | \boxtimes | | |
| c) For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels? | | | | |

Source: Apple Valley General Plan 2009; Apple Valley Development Code.

Background

Excessive and/or sustained noise can contribute to both temporary and permanent physical impairments, such as hearing loss and increased fatigue, as well as stress, annoyance, anxiety, and other psychological reactions in humans. The evaluation and mitigation of noise in a community is essential to protecting the health and welfare of the public, and preserving the inherent value of recreation, open space, and conservation lands. It can also determine the need for additional remedial measures that mitigate noise problems. Major noise sources in Apple Valley include vehicular traffic on highways and arterials, as well as aircraft, trains, and industrial operations.

a) Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation. The primary source of noise in the Specific Plan Planning Area is traffic on Highway 18 and other Planning Area roadways, which includes trucks and buses. Commercial land uses, particularly warehouse and light industrial sites, can also generate high noise levels from mechanical equipment, truck deliveries, loading and unloading, and trash compactors.

Buildout of the Planning Area in accordance with the proposed Project will result in site preparation, demolition, grading, excavation, construction, paving, and related activities that will result in localized and temporary increases in ambient noise levels and may impact sensitive receptors. The Town Development Code Section 9.73.060.F recognizes that construction and demolition noise is difficult to control. It restricts allowable hours to between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m. and establishes noise restriction guidance where construction and demolition occur near residential areas. These restrictions, muffling of construction equipment, and other measures will reduce, to some extent, construction noise impacts on surrounding land uses. Impacts will be temporary and will end once construction is complete.

Long-term operation of projects facilitated by the proposed Project will result in permanent increases in ambient noise levels in the Planning Area. However, proposed land uses are consistent with the existing urban commercial and residential environment, and noise sources are expected to be similar to those already occurring in the Planning Area. Noise sources are expected to include vehicle traffic, mechanical equipment



such as heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) units, loading and unloading operations, and parking lot activity.

Future development projects may require site-specific noise monitoring and modeling for both construction and post-construction periods conducted at locations surrounding the project site, including in proximity to sensitive receptors. Impacts are expected to be less than significant with appropriate mitigation. The forthcoming EIR will further evaluate potential noise impacts associated with Project implementation.

- b) Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation. Development facilitated by the proposed Specific Plan is not expected to result in permanent excessive groundborne vibration or noise. Short-term increases in this type of vibration and noise would be limited to demolition and construction phases, including foundation and pad removals, and the use of heavy grading, hauling, and compacting equipment. Impacts would be short-term in nature and would occur during the less sensitive daytime hours. The Town Development Code Section 9.73.060.G describes vibration restrictions. With adherence to these requirements and other mitigation measures, as appropriate, Project-related impacts are expected to be less than significant. Impacts will be further evaluated in the EIR.
- c) **No Impact.** The Planning Area is not located within an airport land use plan or two (2) miles of an airport. The Apple Valley Airport is approximately 4.5± miles to the north. No impact would occur and no further discussion of this issue is required in the EIR.



| 14. POPULATION AND HOUSING – Would the project: | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| a) Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)? | | | \boxtimes | |
| b) Displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? | | | \boxtimes | |

Sources: Apple Valley General Plan 2009; U.S. Census, 2000 and 2010; Table E-5: City/County Population and Housing Estimates, California Department of Finance, January 1, 2021; 2020-2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy, Demographics & Growth Forecast Technical Report, Southern California Association of Governments.

Background

According to the U.S. Census, the Apple Valley population was 54,239 in 2000 and 69,135 in 2010. The latest (2021) population estimate provided by the California Department of Finance is 74,350. SCAG projects the Town's population will grow to 101,400 by 2045. Local housing products include a mix of single- and multi-family units and mobile homes.

- a) Less Than Significant Impact. There are currently approximately 289 dwelling units and 850 residents in the Specific Plan Planning Area.¹ The proposed Specific Plan will facilitate new residential development that will directly induce population growth and new commercial uses that will indirectly induce population growth by bringing new employment opportunities to the area. The Planning Area is well-served by existing roads and water infrastructure, although parcel-scale extensions and improvements will be required as development occurs. The existing sewer system is available to much of the Planning Area, but future sewer extensions will be required to serve the entire area. The EIR should further analyze potential Project-related growth and compare it to anticipated and planned growth projections.
- b) Less Than Significant Impact. The proposed Project will facilitate redevelopment of underutilized parcels that could result in demolition of existing housing, the loss of which would made up with new residential development elsewhere in the Planning Area. The Project is not expected to displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing or necessitate the construction of replacement housing elsewhere. However, potential impacts should be further evaluated in the EIR.

¹ Housing estimate based on Google Earth survey. Population estimate based on 2.94 persons per household, as provided by Table E-5: City/County Population and Housing Estimates, California Department of Finance, January 1, 2021.



| 15. PUBLIC SERVICES – | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: | | | | |
| Fire protection? | | | \boxtimes | |
| Police protection? | | | \boxtimes | |
| Schools? | | | \boxtimes | |
| Parks? | | | \boxtimes | |
| Other public facilities? | | | \boxtimes | |

Source: Apple Valley General Plan 2009.

Background

Fire protection services are provided to the Town of Apple Valley by the Apple Valley Fire Protection District, and police protection is provided on a service contract basis by the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department. Public educational services are provided by the Apple Valley Unified School District (AVUSD).

a) Less Than Significant.

Fire

The Apple Valley Fire Protection District (AVFPD) provides fire protection services to the Project Planning Area. Fire Station #1 is located on Headquarters Drive in the northeastern Planning Area. Additional structures and population facilitated by the Project will increase demand for fire services. Emergency and secondary roadway access may be temporarily affected during construction. However, project-specific construction traffic control plans implemented during construction will assure that impacts to mobility and accessibility in the area will be less than significant. The Project includes a transportation concept for Highway 18 and Yucca Loma/Navajo Roads that is expected to result in enhanced site access, shorter response times, and improved traffic and pedestrian safety in the Planning Area. Potential impacts will be further evaluated in the EIR.

Police

The San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department provides police protection services to the Planning Area. The nearest police station is at 14931 Dale Evans Parkway, approximately two (2) miles northwest of the Planning Area. Buildout of the proposed Project will result in additional demand for police protection services. Potential impacts will be further analyzed in the EIR.



Schools 5 1

The Smart Starts Academy preschool is located at 21482 Yucca Loma Road on the westernmost parcel of the Planning Area. Two schools are within ¹/₄ mile of the Planning Area: 1) Yucca Loma Elementary School, on Yucca Loma Road approximately 250 feet west of the Planning Area, and 2) Granite Hills High School, on Esaws Avenue approximately ¹/₄-mile east of the Planning Area.

Future population growth facilitated by Project buildout is expected to increase student enrollment. However, the increase is not expected to result in significant impacts to educational services or require new or physically altered facilities that could cause significant environmental impacts. New development will be required to pay mandated school impact fees, which will reduce potential impacts. The impact to schools will be evaluated in the EIR.

<u>Parks</u>

The James A. Woody Park is in the southwest Planning Area on Powhatan Road. Population growth resulting from Project buildout will increase the demand for parks; however, the demand is not expected to require new or physically altered facilities that could cause significant environmental impacts. New residential development will be required to pay Quimby fees in accordance with Development Code Section 9.71.055, which will reduce potential impacts. Project-related impacts will be further analyzed in the EIR.

Other Public Facilities

Additional public facilities in the Planning Area include: James A. Woody Community Center on Navajo Road; Michael H. Martin Gymnasium on Navajo Road; U.S. Post Office on Highway 18; Apple Valley Municipal Animal Shelter on Powhatan Road; Apple Valley Corporate Yard on Nomwaket Road; Household Hazardous Waste Facility on Nomwaket Road; and San Bernardino County Transitional Assistance Department on Central Road. Project buildout will increase the population of the Planning Area and may increase the demand for some services provided at these and other community facilities. However, the demand is not expected to require new or physically altered facilities that could cause significant environmental impacts. Potential impacts will be analyzed in the EIR.



| 16. RECREATION - | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? | | | | |
| b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? | | | | |

Source: Apple Valley General Plan 2009.

Background

The Town of Apple Valley offers a wide variety of recreational opportunities, including golf courses, bikeways, and parkland. The Town is also near thousands of acres of national, state, and regional park and wilderness lands, within which are miles of hiking, biking and equestrian trails.

- a) Less Than Significant Impact. Parks and recreational resources in the Planning Area and immediate vicinity include James A. Woody Park and Community Center, Michael H. Martin Gymnasium, Yucca Loma Park, bicycle lanes and paths, multi-use Lifeline Trails, and Bridle Easements/Trails for equestrian use. Buildout of the proposed Specific Plan will increase the local population and use of these and other parks and recreational facilities. However, the additional population is not expected to accelerate or result in substantial physical deterioration of these facilities. New residential development will be required to pay mandated Quimby fees to mitigate impacts. New residential developments may include private parks and/or recreation facilities, such as swimming pools, gyms, or sports fields, the use of which could reduce the demand for existing facilities. While Project-related impacts are expected to be less than significant, the EIR will provide further analysis.
- b) Less Than Significant Impact. The Specific Plan does not identify standalone parks but provides opportunities for future residential developments to incorporate parks and/or recreation facilities, such as swimming pools, gyms, and community open spaces. Sports fields are allowed in Districts 4 and 5, which are residential districts. Construction of such facilities would be subject to applicable building codes and other regulations that would minimize any potential adverse physical effects on the environment. While Project impacts to existing parks and open space amenities are expected to be less than significant, potential impacts resulting from the proposed Project will be further analyzed in the EIR.



| 17. TRANSPORTATION – Would the project: | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| a) Conflict with a program, plan, ordinance or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle and pedestrian facilities? | \boxtimes | | | |
| b) Conflict or be inconsistent with CEQA Guidelines § 15064.3, subdivision (b)? | | \boxtimes | | |
| c) Substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? | | | \boxtimes | |
| d) Result in inadequate emergency access? | | | \boxtimes | |

Sources: Apple Valley General Plan 2009; The Village State Route-18 Corridor Enhancement Plan, Town of Apple Valley, September 2019; Village Specific Plan Existing Conditions Report – Circulation and Parking, David Evans and Associates, April 12, 2021; Village Specific Plan Existing Conditions Analysis – Infrastructure Plan, David Evans and Associates, April 12, 2021.

Background

Regional connectivity is provided by Interstate 15 (I-15) and State Highway 18. I-15 extends along the west side of Town in a northeast-southwesterly direction and connects the region to the San Bernardino metropolitan area to the southwest, and Barstow and Las Vegas to the northeast. Highway 18 is one of Apple Valley's principal commercial corridors. It extends in an east-west direction and connects the region to Victorville and other high desert communities on the west, and Lucerne Valley and the Morongo Basin to the east.

a) **Potentially Significant Impact.** The proposed Project includes new transportation concepts for Highway 18 and Yucca Loma/Navajo Roads that are anticipated to improve traffic maneuvers and efficiency, improve safety, and incorporate a multimodal network of walkways and other connectors. Proposed Specific Plan land use designations, densities, and development standards and guidelines could also impact traffic volumes and intersection operations.

The extent to which the Specific Plan may conflict with (or enhance) a program, plan, ordinance, or policy is currently unknown. A traffic impact analysis will be prepared to further analyze the potential effects of the Specific Plan on circulation plans and policies, including transit and other alternative modes of travel. Potential impacts and the need for mitigation measures will be further analyzed in the EIR.

- b) Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation. The proposed Specific Plan is not expected to conflict with or be inconsistent with Section 15064.3(b) of the CEQA Guidelines. The Highway 18 corridor is well-served by Victor Valley Transit Agency's bus lines with multiple bus stops, and most development in the Planning Area is expected to be within one-half mile of an existing transit stop. The Specific Plan will address multi-modal access improvements and other design elements intended to reduce potential trips and vehicle miles traveled (VMTs). The extent to which these may conflict with or be inconsistent with CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.3, subdivision (b) is currently unknown. The potential for VMTs resulting from Specific Plan implementation to exceed an applicable threshold of significance should be further evaluated in the EIR and traffic impact analysis.
- c) Less Than Significant Impact. The proposed Project includes reconfiguration of Highway 18 between Navajo and Central Roads, and will facilitate the realignment of Yucca Loma Road, for the purpose of eliminating or minimizing existing traffic hazards and inefficiencies. These improvements are expected to



have a net positive impact on vehicle, pedestrian, and bicycle safety in the Planning Area, and will not substantially increase hazards due to a geometric feature or incompatible uses. Proposed land uses (residential, commercial, public facility) are largely consistent with existing uses, and the future vehicle mix will be comparable to the existing mix. Nonetheless, potential impacts will be further evaluated in the EIR.

d) Less Than Significant Impact. Construction and operational activities resulting from the proposed Project will not significantly impact the ability of emergency service providers, including police and fire personnel, to provide services to the Planning Area. Site-specific traffic control plans will be prepared, as needed, to maintain safe traffic flow during construction to assure that emergency service access is not interrupted during construction. Roadway improvements proposed by the Specific Plan are expected to improve traffic efficiency and have a net positive benefit on emergency access. Impacts to emergency services are expected to be beneficial; nonetheless, potential impacts will be further analyzed in the EIR.



| 18. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES – Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code § 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is: | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| i) Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code section5020.1(k), or | | | | |
| ii) A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code § 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resource Code § 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe. | | | | |

Source: Apple Valley General Plan 2009; Historical/Archaeological Resources Sensitivity Assessment, The Village Specific Plan and EIR, Town of Apple Valley, California, CRM TECH, December 31, 2020.

Background

The prehistory of the Mojave Desert extends back to 8,000 B.C. or earlier. Apple Valley is located near the boundary between the traditional territories of the Serrano and Vanyume peoples. The Vanyume disappeared before 1900. The Serrano primarily settled on elevated hills and ridges where water emerged from the mountains, but between the 1810s and 1834, most of the Serrano people were removed to nearby missions. The remaining Serrano died or were displaced during punitive expeditions in 1866-1870. Today, many Serrano descendants are affiliated with the San Manuel Band of Mission Indians, Morongo Band of Mission Indians, or Serrano Nation of Indians.

i, ii) Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation. As discussed in 5.b above, no records of prehistoric or early historic resources in the Project Planning Area were found at the South Central Coastal Information Center, the cultural resource records repository for San Bernardino County. A search of the Sacred Lands File by the Native American Heritage Commission found the presence of unspecified Native American cultural resources in the Specific Plan vicinity; however, the nature and location of the resources were not disclosed. Implementation of the proposed Specific Plan will facilitate demolition, grading, excavation, and new development that has the potential to impact tribal cultural resources if they occur on a project site. Consistent with AB 52 and SB 18, the Town will solicit input from the California Native American Heritage Commission and initiate consultation with the appropriate tribes, notifying them of the proposed Specific Plan and its proposed land use plan. Site-specific cultural resources studies may be required for future development projects facilitated by the proposed Project, and mitigation measures may be required. Potential impacts will be further analyzed in the EIR.



| 19. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS – Would the project: | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| a) Require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, wastewater treatment or storm drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunications facilities, the construction or relocation of which could cause significant environmental effects? | | | | |
| b) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project and reasonably foreseeable future development during normal, dry and multiple dry years? | | | \boxtimes | |
| c) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the projects projected demand in addition to the providers existing commitments? | | | | |
| d) Generate solid waste in excess of state or local standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, or otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals? | | | \boxtimes | |
| e) Comply with federal, state, and local management and reduction statues and regulations related to solid waste? | | | | |

Source: Apple Valley General Plan 2009.

Background

The Specific Plan Planning Area is served by the following utility providers.

| Utility | Service Provider(s) |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Electricity | Apple Valley Choice Energy (AVCE) |
| Southern California Edison (SCE) | |
| Natural gas | Southwest Gas Company |
| Water | Liberty Utilities |
| | Most parcels: Town of Apple Valley sewer and |
| Wastewater | Victor Valley Wastewater Reclamation Authority |
| | Some parcels: septic systems |
| Solid waste | AVCO Disposal |
| Telecommunications | Frontier, Charter Spectrum |

a) Less Than Significant Impact. Much of the Planning Area has been developed for many decades and is well-served by utility providers and infrastructure. Large-scale water and energy transmission lines are already in place, although future development facilitated by the Project will require site-specific, parcel-scale utility extensions and/or upgrades, such as water laterals. Sewer lines are available in much of the Planning Area, but parcels that are not in proximity to existing sewer lines will be required to install septic tanks, with the potential to connect to future sewer extensions over the long term. Potential impacts will be further analyzed in the EIR.



- b) Less Than Significant Impact. Domestic water in the Project Planning Area is provided by Liberty Utilities, which extracts groundwater from the Mojave River Basin. As a member of the Mojave Water Agency, Liberty Utilities also purchases imported water from the State Water Project (SWP) to replenish pumped groundwater, and it implements water conservation and other programs to assure that water supplies are sufficient to serve existing and future customers. Buildout of the Specific Plan will increase water supplies is unknown. Potential changes in domestic water generation associated with implementation of the proposed Specific Plan will be evaluated in the forthcoming EIR.
- c) Less Than Significant Impact. The municipal sewer system is relatively new and does not connect to the entire Planning Area. Some parcels rely on septic systems. Others are connected to the sewer system which conveys wastewater to the Victorville wastewater treatment facility in Victorville. The plant is operated by the Victor Valley Wastewater Reclamation Authority (VVWRA), a joint powers authority that includes the Town of Apple Valley. It currently treats approximately 10.7 million gallons per day (mgd) and has a design capacity of 18 mgd, with planned future expansions. Therefore, it has available capacity to manage additional wastewater. Buildout of the proposed Project will increase the demand for wastewater treatment services. The extent to which the additional demand will impact the treatment facility is unknown. Potential changes in wastewater generation and collection and treatment capacities will be evaluated in the forthcoming EIR.
- d) Less Than Significant Impact. The Town contracts with AVCO Disposal for provision of solid waste management and disposal services. Solid waste generated is disposed of at the Victorville Landfill on Stoddard Wells Road west of I-15. Recyclable materials are sorted at the Victor Valley Materials Recovery Facility (MRF) on Abbey Lane south of the landfill. Buildout of the Planning Area in accordance with the Specific Plan will increase solid waste generation, including concrete, asphalt, a variety of construction materials, paper and food waste, and other trash disposed of during long-term operation. Implementation of recycling programs, in accordance with State and local regulations, will reduce solid waste quantities. Whether landfill capacity will be adequate to serve buildout of the Specific Plan will be analyzed in the forthcoming EIR.
- e) **No Impact**. The Town and AVCO Disposal operate in compliance with federal, state, and local statutes regulating solid waste. Buildout of the proposed Project will not conflict with federal, state, and local statutes regulating the disposal of solid waste. There will be no impacts, and no further discussion of this issue is required in the EIR.



| 20. WILDFIRE – If located in or near state responsibility areas or lands classified as very high fire hazard severity zones, would the project: | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| a) Substantially impair an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan? | | | | \boxtimes |
| b) Due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, exacerbate wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to, pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire? | | | | |
| c) Require the installation or maintenance of associated infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts to the environment? | | | | |
| d) Expose people or structures to significant risks, including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes? | | | | |

Sources: Apple Valley General Plan 2009; Fire Hazard Severity Zones in State Responsibility Area (SRA) map, CAL FIRE, 2007; Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones in Local Responsibility Areas (LRA) map, CAL FIRE, 2008; Town of Apple Valley Local Hazard Mitigation Plan, 2017 Update.

Background

The Town of Apple Valley is designated as a Local Responsibility Area (LRA) by CAL FIRE. No land in the Town is designated as a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone. The local areas with the most significant wildfire threats are the Marianas in the southern foothills and the Mojave Riverbed.

a-d) **No Impact.** The Specific Plan Planning Area is not located in or near a State Responsibility Area (SRA) or Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone (VHFHSZ). The nearest SRA to the Planning Area is 6± miles to the south in the Ord Mountains. The nearest VHFHSZ is 10± miles to the southeast.

The Apple Valley Fire Protection District provides fire protection to the Specific Plan Planning Area and maintains mutual aid agreements with Victorville, San Bernardino County Fire Department, and the Bureau of Land Management. The Town maintains a Local Hazard Mitigation Plan that addresses the planned response to extraordinary emergency situations, including wildfires. The proposed Project would not impair the adopted emergency response plan and is not expected to exacerbate wildfire risks. No impact would occur and no further discussion of this issue is required in the EIR.



| 21. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE | Potentially Significant Impact | Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| a) Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? | | | | |
| b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)? | | | | |
| c) Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? | \boxtimes | | | |

- a) Less Than Significant with Mitigation. As discussed in Sections 4 (Biological Resources) and 5 (Cultural Resources), implementation of the proposed Project is expected to have less than significant impacts to biological and cultural resources with implementation of mitigation measures. The EIR will evaluate these topics in greater detail to determine whether the Project would generate significant environmental impacts and provide mitigation measures, where necessary.
- b) **Potentially Significant**. Impacts of the proposed Project are not expected to be cumulatively considerable for most issue areas described above, because its proposed land use plan, standards, and guidelines are largely consistent with those of the General Plan and Development Code already in place. Impacts associated with air quality and GHG emissions, as well as transportation, however, may be cumulatively significant when considered with other projects. The EIR will evaluate these topics in greater detail to determine whether the Project would generate cumulative considerable impacts.
- c) **Potentially Significant.** The proposed Project could cause direct and indirect potentially significant adverse effects on humans, specifically as it relates to air quality, land use, and transportation. The significance of these impacts will be evaluated in the EIR.



REFERENCES

Apple Valley Climate Action Plan, 2019 Update

Apple Valley Development Code, as amended

Apple Valley Emergency Operations Plan, November 1, 2014

Apple Valley General Plan 2009

Apple Valley Local Hazard Mitigation Plan, 2017 Plan Update

Apple Valley Village Corridor Enhancement Plan Drainage Study, David Evans and Associates, July 23, 2019

California Department of Conservation, Farmland Mapping & Monitoring Program, 2016

California Department of Toxic Substances Control "EnviroStor" Database

California State Scenic Highway System Map and List, Caltrans

Fire Hazard Severity Zones in State Responsibility Area (SRA) map, CAL FIRE, 2007

Flood Insurance Rate Maps No. 06071C5840H, 06071C5845H, 06071C6505J, and 06071C6510H, Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)

Historical/Archaeological Resources Sensitivity Assessment, The Village Specific Plan and EIR, Town of Apple Valley, California, CRM TECH, December 31, 2020

Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District Rule Book

Notice of Preparation of Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Apple Valley MSHCP/NCCP, Town of Apple Valley, April 2021

Table E-5: City/County Population and Housing Estimates, California Department of Finance, January 1, 2021

U.S. Census, 2000 and 2010

Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones in Local Responsibility Areas (LRA) map, CAL FIRE, 2008

Village Specific Plan Existing Conditions Analysis – Infrastructure Plan, David Evans and Associates, April 12, 2021

Village Specific Plan Existing Conditions Report – Circulation and Parking, David Evans and Associates, April 12, 2021

Village State Route-18 Corridor Enhancement Plan, Town of Apple Valley, September 2019

2020-2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy, Demographics & Growth Forecast Technical Report, Southern California Association of Governments

Responses To Notice of Preparation

Main Office - 825 East Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0835 | Phone: 909.387.7910 Fax: 909.387.7911





- **Department of Public Works**
- Flood Control
- **Operations**
- Solid Waste Management
- Special Districts
- Surveyor
- Transportation

Brendon Biggs, M.S., P.E. Director

David Doublet, M.S., P.E. Assistant Director

> **Trevor Leja Assistant Director**

December 16, 2021

File: 10(ENV)-4.01

Town of Apple Valley Attn: Daniel Alcayaga, Planning Manager 14955 Dale Evans Parkway Apple Valley, California 92307 Email: dalcayaga@applevalley.org Phone: (760) 240- 7000 Ext. 7200

Transmitted Via Email

RE: **CEQA –NOTICE OF PREPARATION OF AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT** VILLAGE SPECIFIC PLAN

Dear Mr. Alcayaga:

Thank you for allowing the San Bernardino County Department of Public Works the opportunity to comment on the above-referenced project. We received this request on November 24, 2021 and pursuant to our review, we have the following comments:

Flood Control Planning & Water Resources Division (Michael Fam, Chief, 909-387-8120):

1. We are aware there may be storm drains in and around the site that may be affected by the proposed Project. When planning for or altering existing or future storm drains, be advised that the Project is subject to the Apple Valley MPD, dated November, 1989. It is to be used as a guideline for drainage in the area and is available in the County's Flood Control District offices. Any revision to the drainage should be reviewed and approved by the Town of Apple Valley. Should construction of new, or alterations to existing storm drains be necessary as part of the Proposed Project, their impacts and any required mitigation should be discussed within the IS/MND before the document is adopted by the Lead Agency.

Permits/Operations Support Division (Sameh Basta, Chief, 909-387-7995):

1. Portions of the Project are adjacent to the San Bernardino County Flood Control District (SBCFCD) right-of-way and facility. Any encroachments on the District's right-of-way or facilities, including but not limited to access, fencing and grading, utility crossings, landscaping, new and/or alteration to drainage connections will require a permit from the SBCFCD prior to start of construction. The necessity for permits, and any impacts associated with them, should be addressed in the IS/MND prior to adoption and certification. If you have any questions regarding this process, please contact the FCD Permit Section at (909) 387-1863

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

First District

COL. PAUL COOK (RET.) JANICE RUTHERFORD DAWN ROWE Second District

Curt Hagman Vice Chair, Third District Chairman, Fourth District

JOE BACA, JR. Fifth District

Leonard X. Hernandez

Town of Apple Valley December 16, 2021 Page **2** of **2**

We respectfully request to be included on the circulation list for all project notices, public reviews, or public hearings. In closing, I would like to thank you again for allowing the San Bernardino County Department of Public Works the opportunity to comment on the above-referenced project. Should you have any questions or need additional clarification, please contact the individuals who provided the specific comment, as listed above.

Sincerely,

Michael Perry

MICHAEL R. PERRY Supervising Planner Environmental Management

MP:AJ:nl



139 South Hudson Avenue Suite 200 Pasadena, California 91101

VIA E-MAIL

December 20, 2021

Daniel Alcayaga Planning Manager Town of Apple Valley 14955 Dale Evans Parkway Apple Valley, CA 92307 Em: <u>dalcayaga@applevalley.org</u>

RE: Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report for the Village Specific Plan (SCH#: 2021110271).

Dear Daniel Alcayaga,

On behalf of the Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters ("**SWRCC**" or "**Southwest Carpenters**"), my Office is submitting these comments on the Apple Valley ("**City**" or "**Lead Agency**") Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report ("**NOP**") (SCH No. 2021110271) for the Village Specific Plan ("**Project**").

The Southwest Carpenters is a labor union representing more than 50,000 union carpenters in six states, including California, and has a strong interest in well-ordered land use planning, addressing the environmental impacts of development projects and equitable economic development.

Individual members of the Southwest live, work and recreate in the City and surrounding communities and would be directly affected by the Project's environmental impacts.

The Southwest Carpenters expressly reserves the right to supplement these comments at or prior to hearings on the Project, and at any later hearings and proceedings related to this Project. Cal. Gov. Code § 65009(b); Cal. Pub. Res. Code § 21177(a); *Bakersfield Citizens for Local Control v. Bakersfield* (2004) 124 Cal. App. 4th 1184, 1199-1203; see *Galante Vineyards v. Monterey Water Dist.* (1997) 60 Cal. App. 4th 1109, 1121.

City of Apple Valley – Village Specific Plan December 20, 2021 Page 2 of 10

SWRCC incorporates by reference all comments raising issues regarding the environmental impact report ("**EIR**") submitted prior to certification of the EIR for the Project. *Citizens for Clean Energy v City of Woodland* (2014) 225 Cal. App. 4th 173, 191 (finding that any party who has objected to the Project's environmental documentation may assert any issue timely raised by other parties).

Moreover, SWRCC requests that the Lead Agency provide notice for any and all notices referring or related to the Project issued under the California Environmental Quality Act ("**CEQA**"), Cal Public Resources Code ("**PRC**") § 21000 *et seq*, and the California Planning and Zoning Law ("**Planning and Zoning Law**"), Cal. Gov't Code §§ 65000–65010. California Public Resources Code Sections 21092.2, and 21167(f) and Government Code Section 65092 require agencies to mail such notices to any person who has filed a written request for them with the clerk of the agency's governing body.

The City should require the Applicant to provide additional community benefits such as requiring local hire and use of a skilled and trained workforce to build the Project. The City should require the use of workers who have graduated from a Joint Labor Management apprenticeship training program approved by the State of California, or have at least as many hours of on-the-job experience in the applicable craft which would be required to graduate from such a state approved apprenticeship training program or who are registered apprentices in an apprenticeship training program approved by the State of California.

Community benefits such as local hire and skilled and trained workforce requirements can also be helpful to reduce environmental impacts and improve the positive economic impact of the Project. Local hire provisions requiring that a certain percentage of workers reside within 10 miles or less of the Project Site can reduce the length of vendor trips, reduce greenhouse gas emissions and providing localized economic benefits. As environmental consultants Matt Hagemann and Paul E. Rosenfeld note:

[A]ny local hire requirement that results in a decreased worker trip length from the default value has the potential to result in a reduction of construction-related GHG emissions, though the significance of the reduction would vary based on the location and urbanization level of the project site. City of Apple Valley – Village Specific Plan December 20, 2021 Page 3 of 10

March 8, 2021 SWAPE Letter to Mitchell M. Tsai re Local Hire Requirements and Considerations for Greenhouse Gas Modeling.

Skilled and trained workforce requirements promote the development of skilled trades that yield sustainable economic development. As the California Workforce Development Board and the UC Berkeley Center for Labor Research and Education concluded:

... labor should be considered an investment rather than a cost – and investments in growing, diversifying, and upskilling California's workforce can positively affect returns on climate mitigation efforts. In other words, well trained workers are key to delivering emissions reductions and moving California closer to its climate targets.¹

Recently, on May 7, 2021, the South Coast Air Quality Management District found that the "[u]se of a local state-certified apprenticeship program or a skilled and trained workforce with a local hire component" can result in air pollutant reductions.²

Cities are increasingly adopting local skilled and trained workforce policies and requirements into general plans and municipal codes. For example, the City of Hayward 2040 General Plan requires the City to "promote local hiring . . . to help achieve a more positive jobs-housing balance, and reduce regional commuting, gas consumption, and greenhouse gas emissions."³

In fact, the City of Hayward has gone as far as to adopt a Skilled Labor Force policy into its Downtown Specific Plan and municipal code, requiring developments in its Downtown area to requiring that the City "[c]ontribute to the stabilization of regional construction markets by spurring applicants of housing and nonresidential

fault/files/documents/General Plan FINAL.pdf.

¹California Workforce Development Board (2020) Putting California on the High Road: A Jobs and Climate Action Plan for 2030 at p. ii, *available at* <u>https://laborcenter.berkeley.edu/</u><u>wp-content/uploads/2020/09/Putting-California-on-the-High-Road.pdf</u>

² South Coast Air Quality Management District (May 7, 2021) Certify Final Environmental Assessment and Adopt Proposed Rule 2305 – Warehouse Indirect Source Rule – Warehouse Actions and Investments to Reduce Emissions Program, and Proposed Rule 316 – Fees for Rule 2305, Submit Rule 2305 for Inclusion Into the SIP, and Approve Supporting Budget Actions, *available at* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-</u> source/Agendas/Governing-Board/2021/2021-May7-027.pdf?sfvrsn=10

³ City of Hayward (2014) Hayward 2040 General Plan Policy Document at p. 3-99, *available at* <u>https://www.hayward-ca.gov/sites/de</u>

developments to require contractors to utilize apprentices from state-approved, joint labor-management training programs, . . .²⁴ In addition, the City of Hayward requires all projects 30,000 square feet or larger to "utilize apprentices from state-approved, joint labor-management training programs.²⁵

Locating jobs closer to residential areas can have significant environmental benefits. As the California Planning Roundtable noted in 2008:

People who live and work in the same jurisdiction would be more likely to take transit, walk, or bicycle to work than residents of less balanced communities and their vehicle trips would be shorter. Benefits would include potential reductions in both vehicle miles traveled and vehicle hours traveled.⁶

In addition, local hire mandates as well as skill training are critical facets of a strategy to reduce vehicle miles traveled. As planning experts Robert Cervero and Michael Duncan noted, simply placing jobs near housing stock is insufficient to achieve VMT reductions since the skill requirements of available local jobs must be matched to those held by local residents.⁷ Some municipalities have tied local hire and skilled and trained workforce policies to local development permits to address transportation issues. As Cervero and Duncan note:

In nearly built-out Berkeley, CA, the approach to balancing jobs and housing is to create local jobs rather than to develop new housing." The city's First Source program encourages businesses to hire local residents, especially for entry- and intermediate-level jobs, and sponsors vocational training to ensure residents are employment-ready. While the program is voluntary, some 300 businesses have used it to date, placing more than

⁴ City of Hayward (2019) Hayward Downtown Specific Plan at p. 5-24, *available at* <u>https://www.hayward-ca.gov/sites/default/files/Hayward%20Downtown%</u> 20Specific%20Plan.pdf.

⁵ City of Hayward Municipal Code, Chapter 10, § 28.5.3.020(C).

⁶ California Planning Roundtable (2008) Deconstructing Jobs-Housing Balance at p. 6, *available at* <u>https://cproundtable.org/static/media/uploads/publications/cpr-jobs-housing.pdf</u>

⁷ Cervero, Robert and Duncan, Michael (2006) Which Reduces Vehicle Travel More: Jobs-Housing Balance or Retail-Housing Mixing? Journal of the American Planning Association 72 (4), 475-490, 482, *available at* <u>http://reconnectingamerica.org/assets/Uploads/UTCT-825.pdf</u>.

3,000 city residents in local jobs since it was launched in 1986. When needed, these carrots are matched by sticks, since the city is not shy about negotiating corporate participation in First Source as a condition of approval for development permits.

The City should consider utilizing skilled and trained workforce policies and requirements to benefit the local area economically and mitigate greenhouse gas, air quality and transportation impacts.

Also, the City should require the Project to be built to standards exceeding the current 2019 California Green Building Code and 2020 County of Los Angeles Green Building Standards Code to mitigate the Project's environmental impacts and to advance progress towards the State of California's environmental goals.

I. THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

A. <u>Background Concerning the California Environmental Quality Act</u>

CEQA has two basic purposes. First, CEQA is designed to inform decision makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of a project. 14 California Code of Regulations ("**CCR**" or "**CEQA Guidelines**") § 15002(a)(1).⁸ "Its purpose is to inform the public and its responsible officials of the environmental consequences of their decisions *before* they are made. Thus, the EIR 'protects not only the environment but also informed self-government.' [Citation.]" *Citizens of Goleta Valley v. Board of Supervisors* (1990) 52 Cal. 3d 553, 564. The EIR has been described as "an environmental 'alarm bell' whose purpose it is to alert the public and its responsible officials to environmental changes before they have reached ecological points of no return." Berkeley Keep Jets Over the Bay v. Bd. of Port Comm'rs. (2001) 91 Cal. App. 4th 1344, 1354 ("Berkeley Jets"); County of Inyo v. Yorty (1973) 32 Cal. App. 3d 795, 810.

Second, CEQA directs public agencies to avoid or reduce environmental damage when possible by requiring alternatives or mitigation measures. CEQA Guidelines § 15002(a)(2) and (3). *See also, Berkeley Jets,* 91 Cal. App. 4th 1344, 1354; *Citizens of Goleta*

⁸ The CEQA Guidelines, codified in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, section 150000 et seq, are regulatory guidelines promulgated by the state Natural Resources Agency for the implementation of CEQA. (Cal. Pub. Res. Code § 21083.) The CEQA Guidelines are given "great weight in interpreting CEQA except when . . . clearly unauthorized or erroneous." *Center for Biological Diversity v. Department of Fish & Wildlife* (2015) 62 Cal. 4th 204, 217.

City of Apple Valley – Village Specific Plan December 20, 2021 Page 6 of 10

Valley v. Board of Supervisors (1990) 52 Cal. 3d 553; *Laurel Heights Improvement Ass'n v. Regents of the University of California* (1988) 47 Cal. 3d 376, 400. The EIR serves to provide public agencies and the public in general with information about the effect that a proposed project is likely to have on the environment and to "identify ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced." CEQA Guidelines § 15002(a)(2). If the project has a significant effect on the environment, the agency may approve the project only upon finding that it has "eliminated or substantially lessened all significant effects on the environment are "acceptable due to overriding concerns" specified in CEQA section 21081. CEQA Guidelines § 15092(b)(2)(A-B).

While the courts review an EIR using an "abuse of discretion" standard, "the reviewing court is not to 'uncritically rely on every study or analysis presented by a project proponent in support of its position.' A 'clearly inadequate or unsupported study is entitled to no judicial deference." *Berkeley Jets*, 91 Cal. App. 4th 1344, 1355 (emphasis added) (quoting *Laurel Heights*, 47 Cal. 3d at 391, 409 fn. 12). Drawing this line and determining whether the EIR complies with CEQA's information disclosure requirements presents a question of law subject to independent review by the courts. (*Sierra Club v. Cnty. of Fresno* (2018) 6 Cal. 5th 502, 515; *Madera Oversight Coalition, Inc. v. County of Madera* (2011) 199 Cal. App. 4th 48, 102, 131.) As the court stated in *Berkeley Jets*, 91 Cal. App. 4th at 1355:

A prejudicial abuse of discretion occurs "if the failure to include relevant information precludes informed decision-making and informed public participation, thereby thwarting the statutory goals of the EIR process.

The preparation and circulation of an EIR is more than a set of technical hurdles for agencies and developers to overcome. The EIR's function is to ensure that government officials who decide to build or approve a project do so with a full understanding of the environmental consequences and, equally important, that the public is assured those consequences have been considered. For the EIR to serve these goals it must present information so that the foreseeable impacts of pursuing the project can be understood and weighed, and the public must be given an adequate opportunity to comment on that presentation before the decision to go forward is made. *Communities for a Better Environment v. Richmond* (2010) 184 Cal. App. 4th 70, 80 (quoting *Vineyard Area Citizens for Responsible Growth, Inc. v. City of Rancho Cordova* (2007) 40 Cal. 4th 412, 449–450).

B. <u>Due to the COVID-19 Crisis, the City Must Adopt a Mandatory Finding</u> of Significance that the Project May Cause a Substantial Adverse Effect on Human Beings and Mitigate COVID-19 Impacts

CEQA requires that an agency make a finding of significance when a Project may cause a significant adverse effect on human beings. PRC § 21083(b)(3); CEQA Guidelines § 15065(a)(4).

Public health risks related to construction work requires a mandatory finding of significance under CEQA. Construction work has been defined as a Lower to High-risk activity for COVID-19 spread by the Occupations Safety and Health Administration. Recently, several construction sites have been identified as sources of community spread of COVID-19.⁹

SWRCC recommends that the Lead Agency adopt additional CEQA mitigation measures to mitigate public health risks from the Project's construction activities. SWRCC requests that the Lead Agency require safe on-site construction work practices as well as training and certification for any construction workers on the Project Site.

In particular, based upon SWRCC's experience with safe construction site work practices, SWRCC recommends that the Lead Agency require that while construction activities are being conducted at the Project Site:

Construction Site Design:

- The Project Site will be limited to two controlled entry points.
- Entry points will have temperature screening technicians taking temperature readings when the entry point is open.
- The Temperature Screening Site Plan shows details regarding access to the Project Site and Project Site logistics for conducting temperature screening.
- A 48-hour advance notice will be provided to all trades prior to the first day of temperature screening.

⁹ Santa Clara County Public Health (June 12, 2020) COVID-19 CASES AT CONSTRUCTION SITES HIGHLIGHT NEED FOR CONTINUED VIGILANCE IN SECTORS THAT HAVE REOPENED, *available at* <u>https://publichealth.sccgov.org/news/news-</u> <u>release/covid-19-cases-construction-sites-highlight-need-continued-vigilance-sectors-have</u>.

- The perimeter fence directly adjacent to the entry points will be clearly marked indicating the appropriate 6-foot social distancing position for when you approach the screening area. Please reference the Apex temperature screening site map for additional details.
- There will be clear signage posted at the project site directing you through temperature screening.
- Provide hand washing stations throughout the construction site.

Testing Procedures:

- The temperature screening being used are non-contact devices.
- Temperature readings will not be recorded.
- Personnel will be screened upon entering the testing center and should only take 1-2 seconds per individual.
- Hard hats, head coverings, sweat, dirt, sunscreen or any other cosmetics must be removed on the forehead before temperature screening.
- Anyone who refuses to submit to a temperature screening or does not answer the health screening questions will be refused access to the Project Site.
- Screening will be performed at both entrances from 5:30 am to 7:30 am.; main gate [ZONE 1] and personnel gate [ZONE 2]
- After 7:30 am only the main gate entrance [ZONE 1] will continue to be used for temperature testing for anybody gaining entry to the project site such as returning personnel, deliveries, and visitors.
- If the digital thermometer displays a temperature reading above 100.0 degrees Fahrenheit, a second reading will be taken to verify an accurate reading.

• If the second reading confirms an elevated temperature, DHS will instruct the individual that he/she will not be allowed to enter the Project Site. DHS will also instruct the individual to promptly notify his/her supervisor and his/her human resources (HR) representative and provide them with a copy of Annex A.

<u>Planning</u>

• Require the development of an Infectious Disease Preparedness and Response Plan that will include basic infection prevention measures (requiring the use of personal protection equipment), policies and procedures for prompt identification and isolation of sick individuals, social distancing (prohibiting gatherings of no more than 10 people including all-hands meetings and all-hands lunches) communication and training and workplace controls that meet standards that may be promulgated by the Center for Disease Control, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Cal/OSHA, California Department of Public Health or applicable local public health agencies.¹⁰

The United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Carpenters International Training Fund has developed COVID-19 Training and Certification to ensure that Carpenter union members and apprentices conduct safe work practices. The Agency should require that all construction workers undergo COVID-19 Training and Certification before being allowed to conduct construction activities at the Project Site.

SWRCC has also developed a rigorous Infection Control Risk Assessment ("**ICRA**") training program to ensure it delivers a workforce that understands how to identify and

¹⁰ See also The Center for Construction Research and Training, North America's Building Trades Unions (April 27 2020) NABTU and CPWR COVIC-19 Standards for U.S Constructions Sites, available at https://www.cpwr.com/sites/default/files/NABTU <u>CPWR Standards COVID-19.pdf</u>; Los Angeles County Department of Public Works (2020) Guidelines for Construction Sites During COVID-19 Pandemic, available at https://dpw.lacounty.gov/building-and-safety/docs/pw_guidelines-construction-sites.pdf.

City of Apple Valley – Village Specific Plan December 20, 2021 Page 10 of 10

control infection risks by implementing protocols to protect themselves and all others during renovation and construction projects in healthcare environments.¹¹

ICRA protocols are intended to contain pathogens, control airflow, and protect patients during the construction, maintenance and renovation of healthcare facilities. ICRA protocols prevent cross contamination, minimizing the risk of secondary infections in patients at hospital facilities.

The City should require the Project to be built using a workforce trained in ICRA protocols.

If the City has any questions or concerns, feel free to contact my Office.

Sincerely,

Mitchell M. Tsai

Attorneys for Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters

Attached:

March 8, 2021 SWAPE Letter to Mitchell M. Tsai re Local Hire Requirements and Considerations for Greenhouse Gas Modeling (Exhibit A);

Air Quality and GHG Expert Paul Rosenfeld CV (Exhibit B); and

Air Quality and GHG Expert Matt Hagemann CV (Exhibit C).

¹¹ For details concerning SWRCC's ICRA training program, see <u>https://icrahealthcare.com/</u>.

EXHIBIT A



2656 29th Street, Suite 201 Santa Monica, CA 90405

Matt Hagemann, P.G, C.Hg. (949) 887-9013 <u>mhagemann@swape.com</u>

> Paul E. Rosenfeld, PhD (310) 795-2335 prosenfeld@swape.com

March 8, 2021

Mitchell M. Tsai 155 South El Molino, Suite 104 Pasadena, CA 91101

Subject: Local Hire Requirements and Considerations for Greenhouse Gas Modeling

Dear Mr. Tsai,

Soil Water Air Protection Enterprise ("SWAPE") is pleased to provide the following draft technical report explaining the significance of worker trips required for construction of land use development projects with respect to the estimation of greenhouse gas ("GHG") emissions. The report will also discuss the potential for local hire requirements to reduce the length of worker trips, and consequently, reduced or mitigate the potential GHG impacts.

Worker Trips and Greenhouse Gas Calculations

The California Emissions Estimator Model ("CalEEMod") is a "statewide land use emissions computer model designed to provide a uniform platform for government agencies, land use planners, and environmental professionals to quantify potential criteria pollutant and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions associated with both construction and operations from a variety of land use projects."¹ CalEEMod quantifies construction-related emissions associated with land use projects resulting from off-road construction equipment; on-road mobile equipment associated with workers, vendors, and hauling; fugitive dust associated with grading, demolition, truck loading, and on-road vehicles traveling along paved and unpaved roads; and architectural coating activities; and paving.²

The number, length, and vehicle class of worker trips are utilized by CalEEMod to calculate emissions associated with the on-road vehicle trips required to transport workers to and from the Project site during construction.³

¹ "California Emissions Estimator Model." CAPCOA, 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/caleemod/home.

 ² "California Emissions Estimator Model." CAPCOA, 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/caleemod/home.
 ³ "CalEEMod User's Guide." CAPCOA, November 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-

source/caleemod/01_user-39-s-guide2016-3-2_15november2017.pdf?sfvrsn=4, p. 34.

Specifically, the number and length of vehicle trips is utilized to estimate the vehicle miles travelled ("VMT") associated with construction. Then, utilizing vehicle-class specific EMFAC 2014 emission factors, CalEEMod calculates the vehicle exhaust, evaporative, and dust emissions resulting from construction-related VMT, including personal vehicles for worker commuting.⁴

Specifically, in order to calculate VMT, CalEEMod multiplies the average daily trip rate by the average overall trip length (see excerpt below):

"VMT_d = Σ (Average Daily Trip Rate i * Average Overall Trip Length i) n

Where:

n = Number of land uses being modeled."5

Furthermore, to calculate the on-road emissions associated with worker trips, CalEEMod utilizes the following equation (see excerpt below):

"Emissions_{pollutant} = VMT * EF_{running,pollutant}

Where:

Emissions_{pollutant} = emissions from vehicle running for each pollutant

VMT = vehicle miles traveled

EF_{running,pollutant} = emission factor for running emissions."⁶

Thus, there is a direct relationship between trip length and VMT, as well as a direct relationship between VMT and vehicle running emissions. In other words, when the trip length is increased, the VMT and vehicle running emissions increase as a result. Thus, vehicle running emissions can be reduced by decreasing the average overall trip length, by way of a local hire requirement or otherwise.

Default Worker Trip Parameters and Potential Local Hire Requirements

As previously discussed, the number, length, and vehicle class of worker trips are utilized by CalEEMod to calculate emissions associated with the on-road vehicle trips required to transport workers to and from the Project site during construction.⁷ In order to understand how local hire requirements and associated worker trip length reductions impact GHG emissions calculations, it is important to consider the CalEEMod default worker trip parameters. CalEEMod provides recommended default values based on site-specific information, such as land use type, meteorological data, total lot acreage, project type and typical equipment associated with project type. If more specific project information is known, the user can change the default values and input project-specific values, but the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") requires that such changes be justified by substantial evidence.⁸ The default number of construction-related worker trips is calculated by multiplying the

⁴ "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6</u>, p. 14-15.

⁵ "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6</u>, p. 23.

⁶ "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6</u>, p. 15.

⁷ "CalEEMod User's Guide." CAPCOA, November 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-</u> source/caleemod/01 user-39-s-guide2016-3-2 15november2017.pdf?sfvrsn=4, p. 34.

⁸ CalEEMod User Guide, *available at:* <u>http://www.caleemod.com/</u>, p. 1, 9.

number of pieces of equipment for all phases by 1.25, with the exception of worker trips required for the building construction and architectural coating phases.⁹ Furthermore, the worker trip vehicle class is a 50/25/25 percent mix of light duty autos, light duty truck class 1 and light duty truck class 2, respectively."¹⁰ Finally, the default worker trip length is consistent with the length of the operational home-to-work vehicle trips.¹¹ The operational home-to-work vehicle trip lengths are:

"[B]ased on the <u>location</u> and <u>urbanization</u> selected on the project characteristic screen. These values were <u>supplied by the air districts or use a default average for the state</u>. Each district (or county) also assigns trip lengths for urban and rural settings" (emphasis added).¹²

Thus, the default worker trip length is based on the location and urbanization level selected by the User when modeling emissions. The below table shows the CalEEMod default rural and urban worker trip lengths by air basin (see excerpt below and Attachment A).¹³

| Worke | r Trip Length by Air Basin | |
|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| Air Basin | Rural (miles) | Urban (miles) |
| Great Basin Valleys | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Lake County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Lake Tahoe | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Mojave Desert | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Mountain Counties | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| North Central Coast | 17.1 | 12.3 |
| North Coast | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Northeast Plateau | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Sacramento Valley | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Salton Sea | 14.6 | 11 |
| San Diego | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| San Francisco Bay Area | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| San Joaquin Valley | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| South Central Coast | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| South Coast | 19.8 | 14.7 |
| Average | 16.47 | 11.17 |
| Minimum | 10.80 | 10.80 |
| Maximum | 19.80 | 14.70 |
| Range | 9.00 | 3.90 |

⁹ "CalEEMod User's Guide." CAPCOA, November 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-</u> <u>source/caleemod/01</u> user-39-s-guide2016-3-2 15november2017.pdf?sfvrsn=4, p. 34.

¹⁰ "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, available at:

http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02 appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6, p. 15. ¹¹ "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:*

http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02 appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6, p. 14.

¹² "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, available at:

http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6, p. 21. ¹³ "Appendix D Default Data Tables." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-</u>

<u>source/caleemod/05_appendix-d2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=4</u>, p. D-84 – D-86.

As demonstrated above, default rural worker trip lengths for air basins in California vary from 10.8- to 19.8miles, with an average of 16.47 miles. Furthermore, default urban worker trip lengths vary from 10.8- to 14.7miles, with an average of 11.17 miles. Thus, while default worker trip lengths vary by location, default urban worker trip lengths tend to be shorter in length. Based on these trends evident in the CalEEMod default worker trip lengths, we can reasonably assume that the efficacy of a local hire requirement is especially dependent upon the urbanization of the project site, as well as the project location.

Practical Application of a Local Hire Requirement and Associated Impact

To provide an example of the potential impact of a local hire provision on construction-related GHG emissions, we estimated the significance of a local hire provision for the Village South Specific Plan ("Project") located in the City of Claremont ("City"). The Project proposed to construct 1,000 residential units, 100,000-SF of retail space, 45,000-SF of office space, as well as a 50-room hotel, on the 24-acre site. The Project location is classified as Urban and lies within the Los Angeles-South Coast County. As a result, the Project has a default worker trip length of 14.7 miles.¹⁴ In an effort to evaluate the potential for a local hire provision to reduce the Project's construction-related GHG emissions, we prepared an updated model, reducing all worker trip lengths to 10 miles (see Attachment B). Our analysis estimates that if a local hire provision with a 10-mile radius were to be implemented, the GHG emissions associated with Project construction would decrease by approximately 17% (see table below and Attachment C).

| Local Hire Provision Net Change | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Without Local Hire Provision | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO ₂ e) | 3,623 | | | | | | | | | |
| Amortized Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO ₂ e/year) 120.77 | | | | | | | | | | |
| With Local Hire Provision | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO2e) | 3,024 | | | | | | | | | |
| Amortized Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO ₂ e/year) | 100.80 | | | | | | | | | |
| % Decrease in Construction-related GHG Emissions | 17% | | | | | | | | | |

As demonstrated above, by implementing a local hire provision requiring 10 mile worker trip lengths, the Project could reduce potential GHG emissions associated with construction worker trips. More broadly, any local hire requirement that results in a decreased worker trip length from the default value has the potential to result in a reduction of construction-related GHG emissions, though the significance of the reduction would vary based on the location and urbanization level of the project site.

This serves as an example of the potential impacts of local hire requirements on estimated project-level GHG emissions, though it does not indicate that local hire requirements would result in reduced construction-related GHG emission for all projects. As previously described, the significance of a local hire requirement depends on the worker trip length enforced and the default worker trip length for the project's urbanization level and location.

¹⁴ "Appendix D Default Data Tables." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/05_appendix-d2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=4</u>, p. D-85.

Disclaimer

SWAPE has received limited discovery. Additional information may become available in the future; thus, we retain the right to revise or amend this report when additional information becomes available. Our professional services have been performed using that degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised, under similar circumstances, by reputable environmental consultants practicing in this or similar localities at the time of service. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the scope of work, work methodologies and protocols, site conditions, analytical testing results, and findings presented. This report reflects efforts which were limited to information that was reasonably accessible at the time of the work, and may contain informational gaps, inconsistencies, or otherwise be incomplete due to the unavailability or uncertainty of information obtained or provided by third parties.

Sincerely,

MHaran

Matt Hagemann, P.G., C.Hg.

Paul Rosupeld

Paul E. Rosenfeld, Ph.D.

Attachment A

| Location Type | Location Name | Rural H-W (miles) | Urban H-W (miles) |
|---------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Air Basin | Great Basin | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | Lake County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | Lake Tahoe | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | Mojave Desert | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | Mountain | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | North Central | 17.1 | 12.3 |
| Air Basin | North Coast | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | Northeast | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | Sacramento | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | Salton Sea | 14.6 | 11 |
| Air Basin | San Diego | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | San Francisco | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | San Joaquin | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | South Central | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air Basin | South Coast | 19.8 | 14.7 |
| Air District | Amador County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Antelope Valley | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Bay Area AQMD | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Butte County | 12.54 | 12.54 |
| Air District | Calaveras | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Colusa County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | El Dorado | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Feather River | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Glenn County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Great Basin | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Imperial County | 10.2 | 7.3 |
| Air District | Kern County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Lake County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Lassen County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Mariposa | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Mendocino | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Modoc County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Mojave Desert | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Monterey Bay | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | North Coast | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Northern Sierra | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Northern | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Placer County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Sacramento | 15 | 10 |

| Air District | San Diego | 16.8 | 10.8 |
|--------------|-----------------|-------|-------|
| Air District | San Joaquin | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | San Luis Obispo | 13 | 13 |
| Air District | Santa Barbara | 8.3 | 8.3 |
| Air District | Shasta County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Siskiyou County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | South Coast | 19.8 | 14.7 |
| Air District | Tehama County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Tuolumne | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Ventura County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Air District | Yolo/Solano | 15 | 10 |
| County | Alameda | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Alpine | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Amador | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Butte | 12.54 | 12.54 |
| County | Calaveras | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Colusa | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Contra Costa | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Del Norte | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | El Dorado-Lake | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | El Dorado- | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Fresno | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Glenn | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Humboldt | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Imperial | 10.2 | 7.3 |
| County | Inyo | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Kern-Mojave | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Kern-San | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Kings | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Lake | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Lassen | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Los Angeles- | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Los Angeles- | 19.8 | 14.7 |
| County | Madera | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Marin | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Mariposa | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Mendocino- | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Mendocino- | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Mendocino- | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Mendocino- | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Merced | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Modoc | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Mono | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Monterey | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| County | Napa | 10.8 | 10.8 |

| County | Nevada | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
|-----------|-------------------------|------|------|--|
| County | Orange | 19.8 | 14.7 | |
| County | Placer-Lake | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Placer-Mountain | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Placer- | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Plumas | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Riverside- | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Riverside- | 19.8 | 14.7 | |
| County | Riverside-Salton | 14.6 | 11 | |
| County | Riverside-South | 19.8 | 14.7 | |
| County | Sacramento | 15 | 10 | |
| County | San Benito | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | San Bernardino- | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | San Bernardino- | 19.8 | 14.7 | |
| County | San Diego | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | San Francisco | 10.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | San Joaquin | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | San Luis Obispo | 13 | 13 | |
| County | San Mateo | 10.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Santa Barbara- | 8.3 | 8.3 | |
| County | Santa Barbara- | 8.3 | 8.3 | |
| County | Santa Clara | 10.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Santa Cruz | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Shasta | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Sierra | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Siskiyou | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Solano- | 15 | 10 | |
| County | Solano-San | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Sonoma-North | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Sonoma-San | 10.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Stanislaus | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Sutter | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Tehama | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Trinity | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Tulare | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Tuolumne | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Ventura | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| County | Yolo | 15 | 10.0 | |
| County | Yuba | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| Statewide | Statewide | 16.8 | 10.8 | |
| Statewide | | 10.0 | 10.0 | |
| | | | | |

| Worker | Trip Length by Air Basin | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| Air Basin | Rural (miles) | Urban (miles) |
| Great Basin Valleys | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Lake County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Lake Tahoe | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Mojave Desert | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Mountain Counties | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| North Central Coast | 17.1 | 12.3 |
| North Coast | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Northeast Plateau | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Sacramento Valley | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Salton Sea | 14.6 | 11 |
| San Diego | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| San Francisco Bay Area | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| San Joaquin Valley | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| South Central Coast | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| South Coast | 19.8 | 14.7 |
| Average | 16.47 | 11.17 |
| Mininum | 10.80 | 10.80 |
| Maximum | 19.80 | 14.70 |
| Range | 9.00 | 3.90 |

Attachment B

Page 1 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed)

Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

| Land Uses | Size | Metric | | Floor Surface Area | Population |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---------------|-------|--------------------|------------|
| General Office Building | 45.00 | 1000sqft | 1.03 | 45,000.00 | 0 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 36.00 | 1000sqft | 0.83 | 36,000.00 | 0 |
| Hotel | 50.00 | Room | 1.67 | 72,600.00 | 0 |
| Quality Restaurant | 8.00 | 1000sqft | 0.18 | 8,000.00 | 0 |
| Apartments Low Rise | 25.00 | Dwelling Unit | 1.56 | 25,000.00 | 72 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 975.00 | Dwelling Unit | 25.66 | 975,000.00 | 2789 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 56.00 | 1000sqft | 1.29 | 56,000.00 | 0 |

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

| Urbanization | Urban | Wind Speed (m/s) | 2.2 | Precipitation Freq (Days) | 33 |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|
| Climate Zone | 9 | | | Operational Year | 2028 |
| Utility Company | Southern California Ediso | n | | | |
| CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 702.44 | CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.029 | N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.006 |

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - Consistent with the DEIR's model.

Land Use - See SWAPE comment regarding residential and retail land uses.

Construction Phase - See SWAPE comment regarding individual construction phase lengths.

Demolition - Consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding demolition.

Vehicle Trips - Saturday trips consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding weekday and Sunday trips.

Woodstoves - Woodstoves and wood-burning fireplaces consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding gas fireplaces.

Energy Use -

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - See SWAPE comment on construction-related mitigation.

Area Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Water Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

| Table Name | Column Name | Default Value | New Value | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|--|--|
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 | | |
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 | | |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 1.25 | 0.00 | | |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 48.75 | 0.00 | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 7.16 | 6.17 | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 6.39 | 3.87 | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 2.46 | 1.39 | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 158.37 | 79.82 | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 8.19 | 3.75 | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 94.36 | 63.99 | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 49.97 | 10.74 | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 6.07 | 6.16 | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.86 | 4.18 | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 1.05 | 0.69 | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 131.84 | 78.27 | | |

| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.95 | 3.20 | | |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------|-------|--|--|
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 72.16 | 57.65 | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 25.24 | 6.39 | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.59 | 5.83 | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.65 | 4.13 | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 11.03 | 6.41 | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 127.15 | 65.80 | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 8.17 | 3.84 | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 89.95 | 62.64 | | |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 42.70 | 9.43 | | |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 | | |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 | | |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 | | |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 | | |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 | | |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 | | |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 | | |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | |

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction

Unmitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------|---------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|----------------|
| Year | tons/yr | | | | | | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| 2021 | 0.1713 | 1.8242 | 1.1662 | 2.4000e- 003 | 0.4169 | 0.0817 | 0.4986 | 0.1795 | 0.0754 | 0.2549 | 0.0000 | 213.1969 | 213.1969 | 0.0601 | 0.0000 | 214.6993 |
| 2022 | 0.6904 | 4.1142 | 6.1625 | 0.0189 | 1.3058 | 0.1201 | 1.4259 | 0.3460 | 0.1128 | 0.4588 | 0.0000 | 1,721.682 6 | 1,721.682 6 | 0.1294 | 0.0000 | 1,724.918 7 |
| 2023 | 0.6148 | 3.3649 | 5.6747 | 0.0178 | 1.1963 | 0.0996 | 1.2959 | 0.3203 | 0.0935 | 0.4138 | 0.0000 | 1,627.529 5 | 1,627.529 5 | 0.1185 | 0.0000 | 1,630.492 5 |
| 2024 | 4.1619 | 0.1335 | 0.2810 | 5.9000e- 004 | 0.0325 | 6.4700e- 003 | 0.0390 | 8.6300e- 003 | 6.0400e- 003 | 0.0147 | 0.0000 | 52.9078 | 52.9078 | 8.0200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 53.1082 |
| Maximum | 4.1619 | 4.1142 | 6.1625 | 0.0189 | 1.3058 | 0.1201 | 1.4259 | 0.3460 | 0.1128 | 0.4588 | 0.0000 | 1,721.682 6 | 1,721.682 6 | 0.1294 | 0.0000 | 1,724.918 7 |

2.1 Overall Construction

Mitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------------------|--------|----------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|----------------|
| Year | | | | | tor | ns/yr | | | | | | | M | T/yr | | |
| 2021 | 0.1713 | 1.8242 | 1.1662 | 2.4000e- 003 | 0.4169 | 0.0817 | 0.4986 | 0.1795 | 0.0754 | 0.2549 | 0.0000 | 213.1967 | 213.1967 | 0.0601 | 0.0000 | 214.6991 |
| 2022 | 0.6904 | 4.1142 | 6.1625 | 0.0189 | 1.3058 | 0.1201 | 1.4259 | 0.3460 | 0.1128 | 0.4588 | 0.0000 | 1,721.682 3 | 1,721.682 3 | 0.1294 | 0.0000 | 1,724.918 3 |
| 2023 | 0.6148 | 3.3648 | 5.6747 | 0.0178 | 1.1963 | 0.0996 | 1.2959 | 0.3203 | 0.0935 | 0.4138 | 0.0000 | 1,627.529 1 | 1,627.529 1 | 0.1185 | 0.0000 | 1,630.492 1 |
| 2024 | 4.1619 | 0.1335 | 0.2810 | 5.9000e- 004 | 0.0325 | 6.4700e- 003 | 0.0390 | 8.6300e- 003 | 6.0400e- 003 | 0.0147 | 0.0000 | 52.9077 | 52.9077 | 8.0200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 53.1082 |
| Maximum | 4.1619 | 4.1142 | 6.1625 | 0.0189 | 1.3058 | 0.1201 | 1.4259 | 0.3460 | 0.1128 | 0.4588 | 0.0000 | 1,721.682 3 | 1,721.682 3 | 0.1294 | 0.0000 | 1,724.918 3 |
| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Quarter | Sta | art Date | Enc | d Date | Maxim | um Unmitig | ated ROG + | NOX (tons/ | quarter) | Maxi | mum Mitigat | ed ROG + N | OX (tons/qu | iarter) | | |
| 1 | 9- | 1-2021 | 11-3 | 0-2021 | | | 1.4103 | | | | | 1.4103 | | | | |
| 2 | 12 | -1-2021 | 2-28 | 3-2022 | | | 1.3613 | | | | | 1.3613 | | | | |
| 3 | 3- | 1-2022 | 5-31 | 1-2022 | | | 1.1985 | | | | | 1.1985 | | | | |
| 4 | 6- | 1-2022 | 8-31 | 1-2022 | | | 1.1921 | | | | | 1.1921 | | | | |
| 5 | 9- | 1-2022 | 11-3 | 0-2022 | | | 1.1918 | | | | | 1.1918 | | | | |
| 6 | 12 | -1-2022 | 2-28 | 3-2023 | | | 1.0774 | | | | | 1.0774 | | | | |
| 7 | 3- | 1-2023 | 5-31 | 1-2023 | | | 1.0320 | | | | | 1.0320 | | | | |
| 8 | 6- | 1-2023 | 8-31 | 1-2023 | | | 1.0260 | | | | | 1.0260 | | | | |

| 9 | 9-1-2023 | 11-30-2023 | 1.0265 | 1.0265 |
|----|-----------|------------|--------|--------|
| 10 | 12-1-2023 | 2-29-2024 | 2.8857 | 2.8857 |
| 11 | 3-1-2024 | 5-31-2024 | 1.6207 | 1.6207 |
| | | Highest | 2.8857 | 2.8857 |

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Area | 5.1437 | 0.2950 | 10.3804 | 1.6700e- 003 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 220.9670 | 220.9670 | 0.0201 | 3.7400e- 003 | 222.5835 |
| Energy | 0.1398 | 1.2312 | 0.7770 | 7.6200e- 003 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0000 | 3,896.073 2 | 3,896.073 2 | 0.1303 | 0.0468 | 3,913.283 3 |
| Mobile | 1.5857 | 7.9962 | 19.1834 | 0.0821 | 7.7979 | 0.0580 | 7.8559 | 2.0895 | 0.0539 | 2.1434 | 0.0000 | 7,620.498 6 | 7,620.498 6 | 0.3407 | 0.0000 | 7,629.016 2 |
| Waste | , | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 207.8079 | 0.0000 | 207.8079 | 12.2811 | 0.0000 | 514.8354 |
| Water | , | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 29.1632 | 556.6420 | 585.8052 | 3.0183 | 0.0755 | 683.7567 |
| Total | 6.8692 | 9.5223 | 30.3407 | 0.0914 | 7.7979 | 0.2260 | 8.0240 | 2.0895 | 0.2219 | 2.3114 | 236.9712 | 12,294.18 07 | 12,531.15 19 | 15.7904 | 0.1260 | 12,963.47 51 |

Page 7 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

2.2 Overall Operational

Mitigated Operational

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SC | | itive /10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugiti PM2 | | aust 12.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- | CO2 NE | Bio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------------------|--------|--------|-------|---------------|------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|---------|----------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | | tons | s/yr | | | | | | | | | M. | Г/yr | | |
| Area | 5.1437 | 0.2950 | 10.38 | 04 1.67 00 | 00e-)3 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | 0.0 | 714 | 0.0714 | 0.0 | 000 2: | 20.9670 | 220.9670 | 0.0201 | 3.7400e- 003 | 222.5835 |
| Energy | 0.1398 | 1.2312 | 0.777 | 0 7.62 00 | | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | | 0.0 | 966 | 0.0966 | 0.0 | 000 3, | 896.073 2 | 3,896.073 2 | 0.1303 | 0.0468 | 3,913.283 3 |
| Mobile | 1.5857 | 7.9962 | 19.18 | 34 0.0 | 821 7.7 | 979 | 0.0580 | 7.8559 | 2.08 | 95 0.0 | 539 | 2.1434 | 0.0 | 000 7, | 620.498 6 | 7,620.498 6 | 0.3407 | 0.0000 | 7,629.016 2 |
| Waste | 6, | | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0 | 000 | 0.0000 | 207. | 3079 | 0.0000 | 207.8079 | 12.2811 | 0.0000 | 514.8354 |
| Water | , | | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0 | 000 | 0.0000 | 29.1 | 632 5 | 56.6420 | 585.8052 | 3.0183 | 0.0755 | 683.7567 |
| Total | 6.8692 | 9.5223 | 30.34 | 07 0.0 | 914 7.7 | 979 | 0.2260 | 8.0240 | 2.08 | 95 0.2 | 219 | 2.3114 | 236. | 9712 12 | 2,294.18 07 | 12,531.15 19 | 15.7904 | 0.1260 | 12,963.47 51 |
| | ROG | | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugit PM | | | /10 otal | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhau PM2 | | 2.5 otal | Bio- CO | 2 NBio- | CO2 Total | CO2 C | H4 N | 120 CO26 |
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 0. | .00 0 | .00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 0. | 00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 0.0 | 0 00 | .00 0 | .00 0.00 |

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

| Phase Number | Phase Name | Phase Type | Start Date | End Date | Num Days Week | Num Days | Phase Description |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 1 | Demolition | Demolition | 9/1/2021 | 10/12/2021 | 5 | 30 | |
| 2 | Site Preparation | Site Preparation | 10/13/2021 | 11/9/2021 | 5 | 20 | |
| 3 | Grading | Grading | 11/10/2021 | 1/11/2022 | 5 | 45 | |
| 4 | Building Construction | Building Construction | 1/12/2022 | 12/12/2023 | 5 | 500 | |
| 5 | Paving | Paving | 12/13/2023 | 1/30/2024 | 5 | 35 | |
| 6 | Architectural Coating | Architectural Coating | 1/31/2024 | 3/19/2024 | 5 | 35 | |

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 112.5

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 2,025,000; Residential Outdoor: 675,000; Non-Residential Indoor: 326,400; Non-Residential Outdoor: 108,800; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Type | Amount | Usage Hours | Horse Power | Load Factor |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Demolition | Concrete/Industrial Saws | 1 | 8.00 | 81 | 0.73 |
| Demolition | Excavators | 3 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Demolition | Rubber Tired Dozers | 2 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Rubber Tired Dozers | 3 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 4 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Grading | Excavators | 2 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Grading | Graders | 1 | 8.00 | 187 | 0.41 |
| Grading | Rubber Tired Dozers | 1 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Grading | Scrapers | 2 | 8.00 | 367 | 0.48 |
| Grading | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 2 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Cranes | 1 | 7.00 | 231 | 0.29 |
| Building Construction | Forklifts | 3 | 8.00 | 89 | 0.20 |
| Building Construction | Generator Sets | 1 | 8.00 | 84 | 0.74 |
| Building Construction | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 3 | 7.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Welders | 1 | 8.00 | 46 | 0.45 |
| Paving | Pavers | 2 | 8.00 | 130 | 0.42 |
| Paving | Paving Equipment | 2 | 8.00 | 132 | 0.36 |
| Paving | Rollers | 2 | 8.00 | 80 | 0.38 |
| Architectural Coating | Air Compressors | 1 | 6.00 | 78 | 0.48 |

Trips and VMT

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Count | Worker Trip Number | Vendor Trip Number | Hauling Trip Number | Worker Trip Length | Vendor Trip Length | Hauling Trip Length | Worker Vehicle Class | Vendor Vehicle Class | Hauling Vehicle Class |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Demolition | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 458.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Site Preparation | 7 | 18.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Grading | 8 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Building Construction | 9 | 801.00 | 143.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Paving | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Architectural Coating | 1 | 160.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

3.2 Demolition - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.0496 | 0.0000 | 0.0496 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0475 | 0.4716 | 0.3235 | 5.8000e- 004 | | 0.0233 | 0.0233 | | 0.0216 | 0.0216 | 0.0000 | 51.0012 | 51.0012 | 0.0144 | 0.0000 | 51.3601 |
| Total | 0.0475 | 0.4716 | 0.3235 | 5.8000e- 004 | 0.0496 | 0.0233 | 0.0729 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0216 | 0.0291 | 0.0000 | 51.0012 | 51.0012 | 0.0144 | 0.0000 | 51.3601 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 1.9300e- 003 | 0.0634 | 0.0148 | 1.8000e- 004 | 3.9400e- 003 | 1.9000e- 004 | 4.1300e- 003 | 1.0800e- 003 | 1.8000e- 004 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.4566 | 17.4566 | 1.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.4869 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 9.7000e- 004 | 7.5000e- 004 | 8.5100e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 2.4700e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 2.4900e- 003 | 6.5000e- 004 | 2.0000e- 005 | 6.7000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 2.2251 | 2.2251 | 7.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 2.2267 |
| Total | 2.9000e- 003 | 0.0641 | 0.0233 | 2.0000e- 004 | 6.4100e- 003 | 2.1000e- 004 | 6.6200e- 003 | 1.7300e- 003 | 2.0000e- 004 | 1.9300e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.6816 | 19.6816 | 1.2800e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.7136 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.0496 | 0.0000 | 0.0496 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0475 | 0.4716 | 0.3235 | 5.8000e- 004 | | 0.0233 | 0.0233 | | 0.0216 | 0.0216 | 0.0000 | 51.0011 | 51.0011 | 0.0144 | 0.0000 | 51.3600 |
| Total | 0.0475 | 0.4716 | 0.3235 | 5.8000e- 004 | 0.0496 | 0.0233 | 0.0729 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0216 | 0.0291 | 0.0000 | 51.0011 | 51.0011 | 0.0144 | 0.0000 | 51.3600 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 1.9300e- 003 | 0.0634 | 0.0148 | 1.8000e- 004 | 3.9400e- 003 | 1.9000e- 004 | 4.1300e- 003 | 1.0800e- 003 | 1.8000e- 004 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.4566 | 17.4566 | 1.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.4869 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 9.7000e- 004 | 7.5000e- 004 | 8.5100e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 2.4700e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 2.4900e- 003 | 6.5000e- 004 | 2.0000e- 005 | 6.7000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 2.2251 | 2.2251 | 7.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 2.2267 |
| Total | 2.9000e- 003 | 0.0641 | 0.0233 | 2.0000e- 004 | 6.4100e- 003 | 2.1000e- 004 | 6.6200e- 003 | 1.7300e- 003 | 2.0000e- 004 | 1.9300e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.6816 | 19.6816 | 1.2800e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.7136 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.1807 | 0.0000 | 0.1807 | 0.0993 | 0.0000 | 0.0993 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0389 | 0.4050 | 0.2115 | 3.8000e- 004 | | 0.0204 | 0.0204 | | 0.0188 | 0.0188 | 0.0000 | 33.4357 | 33.4357 | 0.0108 | 0.0000 | 33.7061 |
| Total | 0.0389 | 0.4050 | 0.2115 | 3.8000e- 004 | 0.1807 | 0.0204 | 0.2011 | 0.0993 | 0.0188 | 0.1181 | 0.0000 | 33.4357 | 33.4357 | 0.0108 | 0.0000 | 33.7061 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 7.7000e- 004 | 6.0000e- 004 | 6.8100e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.9700e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.9900e- 003 | 5.2000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 5.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.7801 | 1.7801 | 5.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.7814 |
| Total | 7.7000e- 004 | 6.0000e- 004 | 6.8100e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.9700e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.9900e- 003 | 5.2000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 5.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.7801 | 1.7801 | 5.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.7814 |

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | ∵/yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.1807 | 0.0000 | 0.1807 | 0.0993 | 0.0000 | 0.0993 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0389 | 0.4050 | 0.2115 | 3.8000e- 004 | | 0.0204 | 0.0204 | | 0.0188 | 0.0188 | 0.0000 | 33.4357 | 33.4357 | 0.0108 | 0.0000 | 33.7060 |
| Total | 0.0389 | 0.4050 | 0.2115 | 3.8000e- 004 | 0.1807 | 0.0204 | 0.2011 | 0.0993 | 0.0188 | 0.1181 | 0.0000 | 33.4357 | 33.4357 | 0.0108 | 0.0000 | 33.7060 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | '/yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 7.7000e- 004 | 6.0000e- 004 | 6.8100e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.9700e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.9900e- 003 | 5.2000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 5.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.7801 | 1.7801 | 5.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.7814 |
| Total | 7.7000e- 004 | 6.0000e- 004 | 6.8100e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.9700e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.9900e- 003 | 5.2000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 5.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.7801 | 1.7801 | 5.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.7814 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.1741 | 0.0000 | 0.1741 | 0.0693 | 0.0000 | 0.0693 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0796 | 0.8816 | 0.5867 | 1.1800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 0.0347 | 0.0347 | 0.0000 | 103.5405 | 103.5405 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 104.3776 |
| Total | 0.0796 | 0.8816 | 0.5867 | 1.1800e- 003 | 0.1741 | 0.0377 | 0.2118 | 0.0693 | 0.0347 | 0.1040 | 0.0000 | 103.5405 | 103.5405 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 104.3776 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 1.6400e- 003 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.0144 | 4.0000e- 005 | 4.1600e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | 4.2000e- 003 | 1.1100e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 3.7579 | 3.7579 | 1.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 3.7607 |
| Total | 1.6400e- 003 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.0144 | 4.0000e- 005 | 4.1600e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | 4.2000e- 003 | 1.1100e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 3.7579 | 3.7579 | 1.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 3.7607 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | ∵/yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.1741 | 0.0000 | 0.1741 | 0.0693 | 0.0000 | 0.0693 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0796 | 0.8816 | 0.5867 | 1.1800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 0.0347 | 0.0347 | 0.0000 | 103.5403 | 103.5403 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 104.3775 |
| Total | 0.0796 | 0.8816 | 0.5867 | 1.1800e- 003 | 0.1741 | 0.0377 | 0.2118 | 0.0693 | 0.0347 | 0.1040 | 0.0000 | 103.5403 | 103.5403 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 104.3775 |

Page 16 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

3.4 Grading - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 1.6400e- 003 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.0144 | 4.0000e- 005 | 4.1600e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | 4.2000e- 003 | 1.1100e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 3.7579 | 3.7579 | 1.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 3.7607 |
| Total | 1.6400e- 003 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.0144 | 4.0000e- 005 | 4.1600e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | 4.2000e- 003 | 1.1100e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 3.7579 | 3.7579 | 1.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 3.7607 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | ∵/yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.0807 | 0.0000 | 0.0807 | 0.0180 | 0.0000 | 0.0180 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0127 | 0.1360 | 0.1017 | 2.2000e- 004 | | 5.7200e- 003 | 5.7200e- 003 | | 5.2600e- 003 | 5.2600e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.0871 | 19.0871 | 6.1700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.2414 |
| Total | 0.0127 | 0.1360 | 0.1017 | 2.2000e- 004 | 0.0807 | 5.7200e- 003 | 0.0865 | 0.0180 | 5.2600e- 003 | 0.0233 | 0.0000 | 19.0871 | 19.0871 | 6.1700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.2414 |

Page 17 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

3.4 Grading - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | '/yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 2.8000e- 004 | 2.1000e- 004 | 2.4400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.7000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.7000e- 004 | 2.0000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.6679 | 0.6679 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.6684 |
| Total | 2.8000e- 004 | 2.1000e- 004 | 2.4400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.7000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.7000e- 004 | 2.0000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.6679 | 0.6679 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.6684 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.0807 | 0.0000 | 0.0807 | 0.0180 | 0.0000 | 0.0180 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0127 | 0.1360 | 0.1017 | 2.2000e- 004 | | 5.7200e- 003 | 5.7200e- 003 | | 5.2600e- 003 | 5.2600e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.0871 | 19.0871 | 6.1700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.2414 |
| Total | 0.0127 | 0.1360 | 0.1017 | 2.2000e- 004 | 0.0807 | 5.7200e- 003 | 0.0865 | 0.0180 | 5.2600e- 003 | 0.0233 | 0.0000 | 19.0871 | 19.0871 | 6.1700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.2414 |

Page 18 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

3.4 Grading - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | <u>.</u> | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 2.8000e- 004 | 2.1000e- 004 | 2.4400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.7000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.7000e- 004 | 2.0000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.6679 | 0.6679 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.6684 |
| Total | 2.8000e- 004 | 2.1000e- 004 | 2.4400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.7000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.7000e- 004 | 2.0000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.6679 | 0.6679 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.6684 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | '/yr | | |
| | 0.2158 | 1.9754 | 2.0700 | 3.4100e- 003 | | 0.1023 | 0.1023 | | 0.0963 | 0.0963 | 0.0000 | 293.1324 | 293.1324 | 0.0702 | 0.0000 | 294.8881 |
| Total | 0.2158 | 1.9754 | 2.0700 | 3.4100e- 003 | | 0.1023 | 0.1023 | | 0.0963 | 0.0963 | 0.0000 | 293.1324 | 293.1324 | 0.0702 | 0.0000 | 294.8881 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0527 | 1.6961 | 0.4580 | 4.5500e- 003 | 0.1140 | 3.1800e- 003 | 0.1171 | 0.0329 | 3.0400e- 003 | 0.0359 | 0.0000 | 441.9835 | 441.9835 | 0.0264 | 0.0000 | 442.6435 |
| Worker | 0.4088 | 0.3066 | 3.5305 | 0.0107 | 1.1103 | 8.8700e- 003 | 1.1192 | 0.2949 | 8.1700e- 003 | 0.3031 | 0.0000 | 966.8117 | 966.8117 | 0.0266 | 0.0000 | 967.4773 |
| Total | 0.4616 | 2.0027 | 3.9885 | 0.0152 | 1.2243 | 0.0121 | 1.2363 | 0.3278 | 0.0112 | 0.3390 | 0.0000 | 1,408.795 2 | 1,408.795 2 | 0.0530 | 0.0000 | 1,410.120 8 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 0.2158 | 1.9754 | 2.0700 | 3.4100e- 003 | | 0.1023 | 0.1023 | | 0.0963 | 0.0963 | 0.0000 | 293.1321 | 293.1321 | 0.0702 | 0.0000 | 294.8877 |
| Total | 0.2158 | 1.9754 | 2.0700 | 3.4100e- 003 | | 0.1023 | 0.1023 | | 0.0963 | 0.0963 | 0.0000 | 293.1321 | 293.1321 | 0.0702 | 0.0000 | 294.8877 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0527 | 1.6961 | 0.4580 | 4.5500e- 003 | 0.1140 | 3.1800e- 003 | 0.1171 | 0.0329 | 3.0400e- 003 | 0.0359 | 0.0000 | 441.9835 | 441.9835 | 0.0264 | 0.0000 | 442.6435 |
| Worker | 0.4088 | 0.3066 | 3.5305 | 0.0107 | 1.1103 | 8.8700e- 003 | 1.1192 | 0.2949 | 8.1700e- 003 | 0.3031 | 0.0000 | 966.8117 | 966.8117 | 0.0266 | 0.0000 | 967.4773 |
| Total | 0.4616 | 2.0027 | 3.9885 | 0.0152 | 1.2243 | 0.0121 | 1.2363 | 0.3278 | 0.0112 | 0.3390 | 0.0000 | 1,408.795 2 | 1,408.795 2 | 0.0530 | 0.0000 | 1,410.120 8 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 0.1942 | 1.7765 | 2.0061 | 3.3300e- 003 | | 0.0864 | 0.0864 | | 0.0813 | 0.0813 | 0.0000 | 286.2789 | 286.2789 | 0.0681 | 0.0000 | 287.9814 |
| Total | 0.1942 | 1.7765 | 2.0061 | 3.3300e- 003 | | 0.0864 | 0.0864 | | 0.0813 | 0.0813 | 0.0000 | 286.2789 | 286.2789 | 0.0681 | 0.0000 | 287.9814 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | МТ | /yr | | | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0382 | 1.2511 | 0.4011 | 4.3000e- 003 | 0.1113 | 1.4600e- 003 | 0.1127 | 0.0321 | 1.4000e- 003 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 417.9930 | 417.9930 | 0.0228 | 0.0000 | 418.5624 |
| Worker | 0.3753 | 0.2708 | 3.1696 | 0.0101 | 1.0840 | 8.4100e- 003 | 1.0924 | 0.2879 | 7.7400e- 003 | 0.2957 | 0.0000 | 909.3439 | 909.3439 | 0.0234 | 0.0000 | 909.9291 |
| Total | 0.4135 | 1.5218 | 3.5707 | 0.0144 | 1.1953 | 9.8700e- 003 | 1.2051 | 0.3200 | 9.1400e- 003 | 0.3292 | 0.0000 | 1,327.336 9 | 1,327.336 9 | 0.0462 | 0.0000 | 1,328.491 6 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 0.1942 | 1.7765 | 2.0061 | 3.3300e- 003 | | 0.0864 | 0.0864 | 1 1 1 | 0.0813 | 0.0813 | 0.0000 | 286.2785 | 286.2785 | 0.0681 | 0.0000 | 287.9811 |
| Total | 0.1942 | 1.7765 | 2.0061 | 3.3300e- 003 | | 0.0864 | 0.0864 | | 0.0813 | 0.0813 | 0.0000 | 286.2785 | 286.2785 | 0.0681 | 0.0000 | 287.9811 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0382 | 1.2511 | 0.4011 | 4.3000e- 003 | 0.1113 | 1.4600e- 003 | 0.1127 | 0.0321 | 1.4000e- 003 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 417.9930 | 417.9930 | 0.0228 | 0.0000 | 418.5624 |
| Worker | 0.3753 | 0.2708 | 3.1696 | 0.0101 | 1.0840 | 8.4100e- 003 | 1.0924 | 0.2879 | 7.7400e- 003 | 0.2957 | 0.0000 | 909.3439 | 909.3439 | 0.0234 | 0.0000 | 909.9291 |
| Total | 0.4135 | 1.5218 | 3.5707 | 0.0144 | 1.1953 | 9.8700e- 003 | 1.2051 | 0.3200 | 9.1400e- 003 | 0.3292 | 0.0000 | 1,327.336 9 | 1,327.336 9 | 0.0462 | 0.0000 | 1,328.491 6 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 6.7100e- 003 | 0.0663 | 0.0948 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 3.3200e- 003 | 3.3200e- 003 | | 3.0500e- 003 | 3.0500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.0175 | 13.0175 | 4.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.1227 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Total | 6.7100e- 003 | 0.0663 | 0.0948 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 3.3200e- 003 | 3.3200e- 003 | | 3.0500e- 003 | 3.0500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.0175 | 13.0175 | 4.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.1227 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 3.7000e- 004 | 2.7000e- 004 | 3.1200e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.0800e- 003 | 2.8000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.9000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.8963 | 0.8963 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.8968 |
| Total | 3.7000e- 004 | 2.7000e- 004 | 3.1200e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.0800e- 003 | 2.8000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.9000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.8963 | 0.8963 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.8968 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | 7/yr | | |
| Off-Road | 6.7100e- 003 | 0.0663 | 0.0948 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 3.3200e- 003 | 3.3200e- 003 | | 3.0500e- 003 | 3.0500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.0175 | 13.0175 | 4.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.1227 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Total | 6.7100e- 003 | 0.0663 | 0.0948 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 3.3200e- 003 | 3.3200e- 003 | | 3.0500e- 003 | 3.0500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.0175 | 13.0175 | 4.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.1227 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | '/yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 3.7000e- 004 | 2.7000e- 004 | 3.1200e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.0800e- 003 | 2.8000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.9000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.8963 | 0.8963 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.8968 |
| Total | 3.7000e- 004 | 2.7000e- 004 | 3.1200e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.0800e- 003 | 2.8000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.9000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.8963 | 0.8963 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.8968 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 0.0109 | 0.1048 | 0.1609 | 2.5000e- 004 | | 5.1500e- 003 | 5.1500e- 003 | | 4.7400e- 003 | 4.7400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.0292 | 22.0292 | 7.1200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.2073 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.0109 | 0.1048 | 0.1609 | 2.5000e- 004 | | 5.1500e- 003 | 5.1500e- 003 | | 4.7400e- 003 | 4.7400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.0292 | 22.0292 | 7.1200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.2073 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 5.9000e- 004 | 4.1000e- 004 | 4.9200e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.8100e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.8200e- 003 | 4.8000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 4.9000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.4697 | 1.4697 | 4.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.4706 |
| Total | 5.9000e- 004 | 4.1000e- 004 | 4.9200e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.8100e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.8200e- 003 | 4.8000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 4.9000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.4697 | 1.4697 | 4.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.4706 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | |
|----------|---------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|--|
| Category | tons/yr | | | | | | | | | | MT/yr | | | | | | |
| Off-Road | 0.0109 | 0.1048 | 0.1609 | 2.5000e- 004 | | 5.1500e- 003 | 5.1500e- 003 | | 4.7400e- 003 | 4.7400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.0292 | 22.0292 | 7.1200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.2073 | |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | |
| Total | 0.0109 | 0.1048 | 0.1609 | 2.5000e- 004 | | 5.1500e- 003 | 5.1500e- 003 | | 4.7400e- 003 | 4.7400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.0292 | 22.0292 | 7.1200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.2073 | |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|--|
| Category | tons/yr | | | | | | | | | | MT/yr | | | | | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | |
| Worker | 5.9000e- 004 | 4.1000e- 004 | 4.9200e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.8100e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.8200e- 003 | 4.8000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 4.9000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.4697 | 1.4697 | 4.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.4706 | |
| Total | 5.9000e- 004 | 4.1000e- 004 | 4.9200e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.8100e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.8200e- 003 | 4.8000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 4.9000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.4697 | 1.4697 | 4.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.4706 | |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|--|
| Category | tons/yr | | | | | | | | | | MT/yr | | | | | | |
| , a crime o counting | 4.1372 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | |
| 1 . | 3.1600e- 003 | 0.0213 | 0.0317 | 5.0000e- 005 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 4.4682 | 4.4682 | 2.5000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.4745 | |
| Total | 4.1404 | 0.0213 | 0.0317 | 5.0000e- 005 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 4.4682 | 4.4682 | 2.5000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.4745 | |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | |
|----------|---------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|--|
| Category | tons/yr | | | | | | | | | | MT/yr | | | | | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | |
| Worker | 0.0101 | 6.9900e- 003 | 0.0835 | 2.8000e- 004 | 0.0307 | 2.3000e- 004 | 0.0309 | 8.1500e- 003 | 2.2000e- 004 | 8.3700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 24.9407 | 24.9407 | 6.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 24.9558 | |
| Total | 0.0101 | 6.9900e- 003 | 0.0835 | 2.8000e- 004 | 0.0307 | 2.3000e- 004 | 0.0309 | 8.1500e- 003 | 2.2000e- 004 | 8.3700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 24.9407 | 24.9407 | 6.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 24.9558 | |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|--|
| Category | tons/yr | | | | | | | | | | MT/yr | | | | | | |
| Archit. Coating | 4.1372 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | |
| Off-Road | 3.1600e- 003 | 0.0213 | 0.0317 | 5.0000e- 005 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 4.4682 | 4.4682 | 2.5000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.4745 | |
| Total | 4.1404 | 0.0213 | 0.0317 | 5.0000e- 005 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 4.4682 | 4.4682 | 2.5000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.4745 | |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | MT | /yr | | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0101 | 6.9900e- 003 | 0.0835 | 2.8000e- 004 | 0.0307 | 2.3000e- 004 | 0.0309 | 8.1500e- 003 | 2.2000e- 004 | 8.3700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 24.9407 | 24.9407 | 6.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 24.9558 |
| Total | 0.0101 | 6.9900e- 003 | 0.0835 | 2.8000e- 004 | 0.0307 | 2.3000e- 004 | 0.0309 | 8.1500e- 003 | 2.2000e- 004 | 8.3700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 24.9407 | 24.9407 | 6.1000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 24.9558 |

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Mitigated | 1.5857 | 7.9962 | 19.1834 | 0.0821 | 7.7979 | 0.0580 | 7.8559 | 2.0895 | 0.0539 | 2.1434 | 0.0000 | 7,620.498 6 | 7,620.498 6 | 0.3407 | 0.0000 | 7,629.016 2 |
| Unmitigated | 1.5857 | 7.9962 | 19.1834 | 0.0821 | 7.7979 | 0.0580 | 7.8559 | 2.0895 | 0.0539 | 2.1434 | 0.0000 | 7,620.498 6 | 7,620.498 6 | 0.3407 | 0.0000 | 7,629.016 2 |

4.2 Trip Summary Information

| | Ave | rage Daily Trip Ra | ate | Unmitigated | Mitigated |
|-------------------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Land Use | Weekday | Saturday | Sunday | Annual VMT | Annual VMT |
| Apartments Low Rise | 145.75 | 154.25 | 154.00 | 506,227 | 506,227 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 4,026.75 | 3,773.25 | 4075.50 | 13,660,065 | 13,660,065 |
| General Office Building | 288.45 | 62.55 | 31.05 | 706,812 | 706,812 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 2,368.80 | 2,873.52 | 2817.72 | 3,413,937 | 3,413,937 |
| Hotel | 192.00 | 187.50 | 160.00 | 445,703 | 445,703 |
| Quality Restaurant | 501.12 | 511.92 | 461.20 | 707,488 | 707,488 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 528.08 | 601.44 | 357.84 | 1,112,221 | 1,112,221 |
| Total | 8,050.95 | 8,164.43 | 8,057.31 | 20,552,452 | 20,552,452 |

4.3 Trip Type Information

| | | Miles | | | Trip % | | | Trip Purpos | e % |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Land Use | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | Primary | Diverted | Pass-by |
| Apartments Low Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| General Office Building | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 33.00 | 48.00 | 19.00 | 77 | 19 | 4 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 8.50 | 72.50 | 19.00 | 37 | 20 | 43 |
| Hotel | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 19.40 | 61.60 | 19.00 | 58 | 38 | 4 |
| Quality Restaurant | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 12.00 | 69.00 | 19.00 | 38 | 18 | 44 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 16.30 | 64.70 | 19.00 | 54 | 35 | 11 |

4.4 Fleet Mix

| Land Use | LDA | LDT1 | LDT2 | MDV | LHD1 | LHD2 | MHD | HHD | OBUS | UBUS | MCY | SBUS | MH |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Apartments Low Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| General Office Building | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Hotel | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Quality Restaurant | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Electricity Mitigated | | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 2,512.646 5 | 2,512.646 5 | 0.1037 | 0.0215 | 2,521.635 6 |
| Electricity Unmitigated | | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 2,512.646 5 | 2,512.646 5 | 0.1037 | 0.0215 | 2,521.635 6 |
| NaturalGas Mitigated | 0.1398 | 1.2312 | 0.7770 | 7.6200e- 003 | , | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | , | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0000 | 1,383.426 7 | 1,383.426 7 | 0.0265 | 0.0254 | 1,391.647 8 |
| NaturalGas Unmitigated | 0.1398 | 1.2312 | 0.7770 | 7.6200e- 003 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0000 | 1,383.426 7 | 1,383.426 7 | 0.0265 | 0.0254 | 1,391.647 8 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 408494 | 2.2000e- 003 | 0.0188 | 8.0100e- 003 | 1.2000e- 004 | | 1.5200e- 003 | 1.5200e- 003 | | 1.5200e- 003 | 1.5200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 21.7988 | 21.7988 | 4.2000e- 004 | 4.0000e- 004 | 21.9284 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 1.30613e +007 | 0.0704 | 0.6018 | 0.2561 | 3.8400e- 003 | | 0.0487 | 0.0487 | | 0.0487 | 0.0487 | 0.0000 | 696.9989 | 696.9989 | 0.0134 | 0.0128 | 701.1408 |
| General Office Building | 468450 | 2.5300e- 003 | 0.0230 | 0.0193 | 1.4000e- 004 | | 1.7500e- 003 | 1.7500e- 003 | | 1.7500e- 003 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 24.9983 | 24.9983 | 4.8000e- 004 | 4.6000e- 004 | 25.1468 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | | 0.0448 | 0.4072 | 0.3421 | 2.4400e- 003 | | 0.0310 | 0.0310 | | 0.0310 | 0.0310 | 0.0000 | 443.3124 | 443.3124 | 8.5000e- 003 | 8.1300e- 003 | 445.9468 |
| Hotel | 1.74095e +006 | 9.3900e- 003 | 0.0853 | 0.0717 | 5.1000e- 004 | | 6.4900e- 003 | 6.4900e- 003 | | 6.4900e- 003 | 6.4900e- 003 | 0.0000 | 92.9036 | 92.9036 | 1.7800e- 003 | 1.7000e- 003 | 93.4557 |
| Quality Restaurant | 1.84608e +006 | 9.9500e- 003 | 0.0905 | 0.0760 | 5.4000e- 004 | | 6.8800e- 003 | 6.8800e- 003 | | 6.8800e- 003 | 6.8800e- 003 | 0.0000 | 98.5139 | 98.5139 | 1.8900e- 003 | 1.8100e- 003 | 99.0993 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 31040 i | 5.0000e- 004 | 4.5000e- 003 | 3.7800e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | | 3.4000e- 004 | 3.4000e- 004 | | 3.4000e- 004 | 3.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.9009 | 4.9009 | 9.0000e- 005 | 9.0000e- 005 | 4.9301 |
| Total | | 0.1398 | 1.2312 | 0.7770 | 7.6200e- 003 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0000 | 1,383.426 8 | 1,383.426 8 | 0.0265 | 0.0254 | 1,391.647 8 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | - | | | | MT | ſ/yr | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 408494 | 2.2000e- 003 | 0.0188 | 8.0100e- 003 | 1.2000e- 004 | | 1.5200e- 003 | 1.5200e- 003 | | 1.5200e- 003 | 1.5200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 21.7988 | 21.7988 | 4.2000e- 004 | 4.0000e- 004 | 21.9284 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 1.30613e +007 | 0.0704 | 0.6018 | 0.2561 | 3.8400e- 003 | | 0.0487 | 0.0487 | | 0.0487 | 0.0487 | 0.0000 | 696.9989 | 696.9989 | 0.0134 | 0.0128 | 701.1408 |
| General Office Building | 468450 | 2.5300e- 003 | 0.0230 | 0.0193 | 1.4000e- 004 | | 1.7500e- 003 | 1.7500e- 003 | | 1.7500e- 003 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 24.9983 | 24.9983 | 4.8000e- 004 | 4.6000e- 004 | 25.1468 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | | 0.0448 | 0.4072 | 0.3421 | 2.4400e- 003 | | 0.0310 | 0.0310 | | 0.0310 | 0.0310 | 0.0000 | 443.3124 | 443.3124 | 8.5000e- 003 | 8.1300e- 003 | 445.9468 |
| Hotel | 1.74095e +006 | 9.3900e- 003 | 0.0853 | 0.0717 | 5.1000e- 004 | | 6.4900e- 003 | 6.4900e- 003 | | 6.4900e- 003 | 6.4900e- 003 | 0.0000 | 92.9036 | 92.9036 | 1.7800e- 003 | 1.7000e- 003 | 93.4557 |
| Quality Restaurant | 1.84608e +006 | 9.9500e- 003 | 0.0905 | 0.0760 | 5.4000e- 004 | | 6.8800e- 003 | 6.8800e- 003 | | 6.8800e- 003 | 6.8800e- 003 | 0.0000 | 98.5139 | 98.5139 | 1.8900e- 003 | 1.8100e- 003 | 99.0993 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 91840 | 5.0000e- 004 | 4.5000e- 003 | 3.7800e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | | 3.4000e- 004 | 3.4000e- 004 | | 3.4000e- 004 | 3.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.9009 | 4.9009 | 9.0000e- 005 | 9.0000e- 005 | 4.9301 |
| Total | | 0.1398 | 1.2312 | 0.7770 | 7.6200e- 003 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0000 | 1,383.426 8 | 1,383.426 8 | 0.0265 | 0.0254 | 1,391.647 8 |

Page 34 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | Electricity Use | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kWh/yr | | МТ | 7/yr | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 106010 | 33.7770 | 1.3900e- 003 | 2.9000e- 004 | 33.8978 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 3.94697e +006 | 1,257.587 9 | 0.0519 | 0.0107 | 1,262.086 9 |
| General Office Building | 584550 | 186.2502 | 7.6900e- 003 | 1.5900e- 003 | 186.9165 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | | 506.3022 | 0.0209 | 4.3200e- 003 | 508.1135 |
| Hotel | 550308 | 175.3399 | 7.2400e- 003 | 1.5000e- 003 | 175.9672 |
| Quality Restaurant | 353120 | 112.5116 | 4.6500e- 003 | 9.6000e- 004 | 112.9141 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 756000 | 240.8778 | 9.9400e- 003 | 2.0600e- 003 | 241.7395 |
| Total | | 2,512.646 5 | 0.1037 | 0.0215 | 2,521.635 6 |

Page 35 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

Mitigated

| | Electricity Use | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kWh/yr | | МТ | /yr | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 106010 | 33.7770 | 1.3900e- 003 | 2.9000e- 004 | 33.8978 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 3.94697e +006 | 1,257.587 9 | 0.0519 | 0.0107 | 1,262.086 9 |
| General Office Building | 584550 | 186.2502 | 7.6900e- 003 | 1.5900e- 003 | 186.9165 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | | 506.3022 | 0.0209 | 4.3200e- 003 | 508.1135 |
| Hotel | 550308 | 175.3399 | 7.2400e- 003 | 1.5000e- 003 | 175.9672 |
| Quality Restaurant | 353120 | 112.5116 | 4.6500e- 003 | 9.6000e- 004 | 112.9141 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 756000 | 240.8778 | 9.9400e- 003 | 2.0600e- 003 | 241.7395 |
| Total | | 2,512.646 5 | 0.1037 | 0.0215 | 2,521.635 6 |

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Page 36 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----------------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Mitigated | 5.1437 | 0.2950 | 10.3804 | 1.6700e- 003 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 220.9670 | 220.9670 | 0.0201 | 3.7400e- 003 | 222.5835 |
| Unmitigated | 5.1437 | 0.2950 | 10.3804 | 1.6700e- 003 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 220.9670 | 220.9670 | 0.0201 | 3.7400e- 003 | 222.5835 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| SubCategory | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Architectural Coating | 0.4137 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Consumer Products | 4.3998 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Hearth | 0.0206 | 0.1763 | 0.0750 | 1.1200e- 003 | | 0.0143 | 0.0143 | | 0.0143 | 0.0143 | 0.0000 | 204.1166 | 204.1166 | 3.9100e- 003 | 3.7400e- 003 | 205.3295 |
| Landscaping | 0.3096 | 0.1187 | 10.3054 | 5.4000e- 004 | | 0.0572 | 0.0572 | | 0.0572 | 0.0572 | 0.0000 | 16.8504 | 16.8504 | 0.0161 | 0.0000 | 17.2540 |
| Total | 5.1437 | 0.2950 | 10.3804 | 1.6600e- 003 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 220.9670 | 220.9670 | 0.0201 | 3.7400e- 003 | 222.5835 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| SubCategory | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Architectural Coating | 0.4137 | | 1 1 1 | | 1 1 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1 1 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Consumer Products | 4.3998 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Hearth | 0.0206 | 0.1763 | 0.0750 | 1.1200e- 003 | | 0.0143 | 0.0143 | | 0.0143 | 0.0143 | 0.0000 | 204.1166 | 204.1166 | 3.9100e- 003 | 3.7400e- 003 | 205.3295 |
| Landscaping | 0.3096 | 0.1187 | 10.3054 | 5.4000e- 004 | | 0.0572 | 0.0572 | | 0.0572 | 0.0572 | 0.0000 | 16.8504 | 16.8504 | 0.0161 | 0.0000 | 17.2540 |
| Total | 5.1437 | 0.2950 | 10.3804 | 1.6600e- 003 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 220.9670 | 220.9670 | 0.0201 | 3.7400e- 003 | 222.5835 |

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

Page 38 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

| | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | МТ | /yr | |
| | 585.8052 | 3.0183 | 0.0755 | 683.7567 |
| - Guine | 585.8052 | 3.0183 | 0.0755 | 683.7567 |

Page 39 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

7.2 Water by Land Use

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | Indoor/Out door Use | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|----------|
| Land Use | Mgal | | MT | /yr | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1.62885 / 1.02688 | 10.9095 | 0.0535 | 1.3400e- 003 | 12.6471 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 63.5252 / 40.0485 | 425.4719 | 2.0867 | 0.0523 | 493.2363 |
| General Office Building | 7.99802 / 4.90201 | 53.0719 | 0.2627 | 6.5900e- 003 | 61.6019 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | | | 0.3580 | 8.8200e- 003 | 62.8482 |
| Hotel | 1.26834 / 0.140927 | | 0.0416 | 1.0300e- 003 | 7.5079 |
| | 2.42827 / 0.154996 | | 0.0796 | 1.9600e- 003 | 13.9663 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 4.14806 / 2.54236 | 27.5250 | 0.1363 | 3.4200e- 003 | 31.9490 |
| Total | | 585.8052 | 3.0183 | 0.0755 | 683.7567 |

Page 40 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

7.2 Water by Land Use

Mitigated

| | Indoor/Out door Use | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|----------|
| Land Use | Mgal | | МТ | /yr | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1.62885 / 1.02688 | 10.9095 | 0.0535 | 1.3400e- 003 | 12.6471 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 63.5252 / 40.0485 | 425.4719 | 2.0867 | 0.0523 | 493.2363 |
| General Office Building | 7.99802 / 4.90201 | 53.0719 | 0.2627 | 6.5900e- 003 | 61.6019 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 10.9272 / 0.697482 | 51.2702 | 0.3580 | 8.8200e- 003 | 62.8482 |
| Hotel | 1.26834 / 0.140927 | | 0.0416 | 1.0300e- 003 | 7.5079 |
| | 2.42827 / 0.154996 | | 0.0796 | 1.9600e- 003 | 13.9663 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 4.14806 / 2.54236 | 27.5250 | 0.1363 | 3.4200e- 003 | 31.9490 |
| Total | | 585.8052 | 3.0183 | 0.0755 | 683.7567 |

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Page 41 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

Category/Year

| | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | | |
|-------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------|--|--|--|
| | MT/yr | | | | | | |
| Initigation | 207.8079 | 12.2811 | 0.0000 | 514.8354 | | | |
| - g | 207.8079 | 12.2811 | 0.0000 | 514.8354 | | | |

Page 42 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

8.2 Waste by Land Use

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | Waste Disposed | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|-------------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------|
| Land Use | tons | | МТ | /yr | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 11.5 | 2.3344 | 0.1380 | 0.0000 | 5.7834 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 448.5 | 91.0415 | 5.3804 | 0.0000 | 225.5513 |
| General Office Building | 41.85 | 8.4952 | 0.5021 | 0.0000 | 21.0464 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | | 86.9613 | 5.1393 | 0.0000 | 215.4430 |
| Hotel | 27.38 | 5.5579 | 0.3285 | 0.0000 | 13.7694 |
| Quality Restaurant | 7.3 | 1.4818 | 0.0876 | 0.0000 | 3.6712 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 58.8 | 11.9359 | 0.7054 | 0.0000 | 29.5706 |
| Total | | 207.8079 | 12.2811 | 0.0000 | 514.8354 |

Page 43 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

8.2 Waste by Land Use

Mitigated

| | Waste Disposed | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | |
|--|-------------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------|--|
| Land Use | tons | MT/yr | | | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 11.5 | 2.3344 | 0.1380 | 0.0000 | 5.7834 | |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 448.5 | 91.0415 | 5.3804 | 0.0000 | 225.5513 | |
| General Office Building | 41.85 | 8.4952 | 0.5021 | 0.0000 | 21.0464 | |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | | 86.9613 | 5.1393 | 0.0000 | 215.4430 | |
| Hotel | 27.38 | 5.5579 | 0.3285 | 0.0000 | 13.7694 | |
| Quality Restaurant | 7.3 | 1.4818 | 0.0876 | 0.0000 | 3.6712 | |
| Regional Shopping Center | 58.8 | 11.9359 | 0.7054 | 0.0000 | 29.5706 | |
| Total | | 207.8079 | 12.2811 | 0.0000 | 514.8354 | |

9.0 Operational Offroad

| Equipment Type | Number | Hours/Day | Days/Year | Horse Power | Load Factor | Fuel Type |
|----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|

10.0 Stationary Equipment

Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

| Equipment Type | Number | Hours/Day | Hours/Year | Horse Power | Load Factor | Fuel Type |
|----------------|--------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
|----------------|--------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|

Page 44 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

Boilers

| Equipment Type | Number | Heat Input/Day | Heat Input/Year | Boiler Rating | Fuel Type |
|------------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
| User Defined Equipment | | | | | |
| Equipment Type | Number | | | | |
| | | | | | |

11.0 Vegetation

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed)

Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

| Land Uses | Size | Metric | Lot Acreage | Floor Surface Area | Population |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|
| General Office Building | 45.00 | 1000sqft | 1.03 | 45,000.00 | 0 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 36.00 | 1000sqft | 0.83 | 36,000.00 | 0 |
| Hotel | 50.00 | Room | 1.67 | 72,600.00 | 0 |
| Quality Restaurant | 8.00 | 1000sqft | 0.18 | 8,000.00 | 0 |
| Apartments Low Rise | 25.00 | Dwelling Unit | 1.56 | 25,000.00 | 72 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 975.00 | Dwelling Unit | 25.66 | 975,000.00 | 2789 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 56.00 | 1000sqft | 1.29 | 56,000.00 | 0 |

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

| Urbanization | Urban | Wind Speed (m/s) | 2.2 | Precipitation Freq (Days) | 33 |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|
| Climate Zone | 9 | | | Operational Year | 2028 |
| Utility Company | Southern California Ediso | n | | | |
| CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 702.44 | CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.029 | N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.006 |

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - Consistent with the DEIR's model.

Land Use - See SWAPE comment regarding residential and retail land uses.

Construction Phase - See SWAPE comment regarding individual construction phase lengths.

Demolition - Consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding demolition.

Vehicle Trips - Saturday trips consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding weekday and Sunday trips.

Woodstoves - Woodstoves and wood-burning fireplaces consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding gas fireplaces.

Energy Use -

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - See SWAPE comment on construction-related mitigation.

Area Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Water Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

| Table Name | Column Name | Default Value | New Value |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 7.16 | 6.17 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 6.39 | 3.87 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 2.46 | 1.39 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 158.37 | 79.82 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 8.19 | 3.75 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 94.36 | 63.99 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 49.97 | 10.74 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 6.07 | 6.16 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.86 | 4.18 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 1.05 | 0.69 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 131.84 | 78.27 |

| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.95 | 3.20 |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------|-------|
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 72.16 | 57.65 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 25.24 | 6.39 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.59 | 5.83 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.65 | 4.13 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 11.03 | 6.41 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 127.15 | 65.80 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 8.17 | 3.84 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 89.95 | 62.64 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 42.70 | 9.43 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 |
| | | | |

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Unmitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------|----------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Year | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/d | lay | | |
| 2021 | 4.2769 | 46.4588 | 31.6840 | 0.0643 | 18.2675 | 2.0461 | 20.3135 | 9.9840 | 1.8824 | 11.8664 | 0.0000 | 6,234.797 4 | 6,234.797 4 | 1.9495 | 0.0000 | 6,283.535 2 |
| 2022 | 5.3304 | 38.8967 | 49.5629 | 0.1517 | 9.8688 | 1.6366 | 10.7727 | 3.6558 | 1.5057 | 5.1615 | 0.0000 | 15,251.56 74 | 15,251.56 74 | 1.9503 | 0.0000 | 15,278.52 88 |
| 2023 | 4.8957 | 26.3317 | 46.7567 | 0.1472 | 9.8688 | 0.7794 | 10.6482 | 2.6381 | 0.7322 | 3.3702 | 0.0000 | 14,807.52 69 | 14,807.52 69 | 1.0250 | 0.0000 | 14,833.15 21 |
| 2024 | 237.1630 | 9.5575 | 15.1043 | 0.0244 | 1.7884 | 0.4698 | 1.8628 | 0.4743 | 0.4322 | 0.5476 | 0.0000 | 2,361.398 9 | 2,361.398 9 | 0.7177 | 0.0000 | 2,379.342 1 |
| Maximum | 237.1630 | 46.4588 | 49.5629 | 0.1517 | 18.2675 | 2.0461 | 20.3135 | 9.9840 | 1.8824 | 11.8664 | 0.0000 | 15,251.56 74 | 15,251.56 74 | 1.9503 | 0.0000 | 15,278.52 88 |

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Mitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------|----------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Year | | | | | lb/ | /day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| 2021 | 4.2769 | 46.4588 | 31.6840 | 0.0643 | 18.2675 | 2.0461 | 20.3135 | 9.9840 | 1.8824 | 11.8664 | 0.0000 | 6,234.797 4 | 6,234.797 4 | 1.9495 | 0.0000 | 6,283.535 2 |
| 2022 | 5.3304 | 38.8967 | 49.5629 | 0.1517 | 9.8688 | 1.6366 | 10.7727 | 3.6558 | 1.5057 | 5.1615 | 0.0000 | 15,251.56 74 | 15,251.56 74 | 1.9503 | 0.0000 | 15,278.52 88 |
| 2023 | 4.8957 | 26.3317 | 46.7567 | 0.1472 | 9.8688 | 0.7794 | 10.6482 | 2.6381 | 0.7322 | 3.3702 | 0.0000 | 14,807.52 69 | 14,807.52 69 | 1.0250 | 0.0000 | 14,833.15 20 |
| 2024 | 237.1630 | 9.5575 | 15.1043 | 0.0244 | 1.7884 | 0.4698 | 1.8628 | 0.4743 | 0.4322 | 0.5476 | 0.0000 | 2,361.398 9 | 2,361.398 9 | 0.7177 | 0.0000 | 2,379.342 1 |
| Maximum | 237.1630 | 46.4588 | 49.5629 | 0.1517 | 18.2675 | 2.0461 | 20.3135 | 9.9840 | 1.8824 | 11.8664 | 0.0000 | 15,251.56 74 | 15,251.56 74 | 1.9503 | 0.0000 | 15,278.52 88 |
| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive | Exhaust | PM10 Total | Fugitive | Exhaust | PM2.5 | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|---------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/d | lay | | |
| Area | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Energy | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| Mobile | 9.8489 | 45.4304 | 114.8495 | 0.4917 | 45.9592 | 0.3360 | 46.2951 | 12.2950 | 0.3119 | 12.6070 | | 50,306.60 34 | 50,306.60 34 | 2.1807 | | 50,361.12 08 |
| Total | 41.1168 | 67.2262 | 207.5497 | 0.6278 | 45.9592 | 2.4626 | 48.4217 | 12.2950 | 2.4385 | 14.7336 | 0.0000 | 76,811.18 16 | 76,811.18 16 | 2.8282 | 0.4832 | 77,025.87 86 |

Mitigated Operational

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|---------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Area | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Energy | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| Mobile | 9.8489 | 45.4304 | 114.8495 | 0.4917 | 45.9592 | 0.3360 | 46.2951 | 12.2950 | 0.3119 | 12.6070 | | 50,306.60 34 | 50,306.60 34 | 2.1807 | | 50,361.12 08 |
| Total | 41.1168 | 67.2262 | 207.5497 | 0.6278 | 45.9592 | 2.4626 | 48.4217 | 12.2950 | 2.4385 | 14.7336 | 0.0000 | 76,811.18 16 | 76,811.18 16 | 2.8282 | 0.4832 | 77,025.87 86 |

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

| Phase Number | Phase Name | Phase Type | Start Date | End Date | Num Days Week | Num Days | Phase Description |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 1 | Demolition | Demolition | 9/1/2021 | 10/12/2021 | 5 | 30 | |
| 2 | Site Preparation | Site Preparation | 10/13/2021 | 11/9/2021 | 5 | 20 | |
| 3 | Grading | Grading | 11/10/2021 | 1/11/2022 | 5 | 45 | |
| 4 | Building Construction | Building Construction | 1/12/2022 | 12/12/2023 | 5 | 500 | |
| 5 | Paving | Paving | 12/13/2023 | 1/30/2024 | 5 | 35 | |
| 6 | Architectural Coating | Architectural Coating | 1/31/2024 | 3/19/2024 | 5 | 35 | |

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 112.5

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 2,025,000; Residential Outdoor: 675,000; Non-Residential Indoor: 326,400; Non-Residential Outdoor: 108,800; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Type | Amount | Usage Hours | Horse Power | Load Factor |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Demolition | Concrete/Industrial Saws | 1 | 8.00 | 81 | 0.73 |
| Demolition | Excavators | 3 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Demolition | Rubber Tired Dozers | 2 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Rubber Tired Dozers | 3 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 4 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Grading | Excavators | 2 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Grading | Graders | 1 | 8.00 | 187 | 0.41 |
| Grading | Rubber Tired Dozers | 1 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Grading | Scrapers | 2 | 8.00 | 367 | 0.48 |
| Grading | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 2 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Cranes | 1 | 7.00 | 231 | 0.29 |
| Building Construction | Forklifts | 3 | 8.00 | 89 | 0.20 |
| Building Construction | Generator Sets | 1 | 8.00 | 84 | 0.74 |
| Building Construction | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 3 | 7.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Welders | 1 | 8.00 | 46 | 0.45 |
| Paving | Pavers | 2 | 8.00 | 130 | 0.42 |
| Paving | Paving Equipment | 2 | 8.00 | 132 | 0.36 |
| Paving | Rollers | 2 | 8.00 | 80 | 0.38 |
| Architectural Coating | Air Compressors | 1 | 6.00 | 78 | 0.48 |

Trips and VMT

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Count | Worker Trip Number | Vendor Trip Number | Hauling Trip Number | Worker Trip Length | Vendor Trip Length | Hauling Trip Length | Worker Vehicle Class | Vendor Vehicle Class | Hauling Vehicle Class |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Demolition | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 458.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Site Preparation | 7 | 18.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Grading | 8 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Building Construction | 9 | 801.00 | 143.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Paving | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Architectural Coating | 1 | 160.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 3.3074 | 0.0000 | 3.3074 | 0.5008 | 0.0000 | 0.5008 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | | 1.5513 | 1.5513 | | 1.4411 | 1.4411 | | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |
| Total | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | 3.3074 | 1.5513 | 4.8588 | 0.5008 | 1.4411 | 1.9419 | | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.1273 | 4.0952 | 0.9602 | 0.0119 | 0.2669 | 0.0126 | 0.2795 | 0.0732 | 0.0120 | 0.0852 | | 1,292.241 3 | 1,292.241 3 | 0.0877 | | 1,294.433 7 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0643 | 0.0442 | 0.6042 | 1.7100e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.3500e- 003 | 0.1690 | 0.0445 | 1.2500e- 003 | 0.0457 | | 170.8155 | 170.8155 | 5.0300e- 003 | | 170.9413 |
| Total | 0.1916 | 4.1394 | 1.5644 | 0.0136 | 0.4346 | 0.0139 | 0.4485 | 0.1176 | 0.0133 | 0.1309 | | 1,463.056 8 | 1,463.056 8 | 0.0927 | | 1,465.375 0 |

Mitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 3.3074 | 0.0000 | 3.3074 | 0.5008 | 0.0000 | 0.5008 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | | 1.5513 | 1.5513 | | 1.4411 | 1.4411 | 0.0000 | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |
| Total | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | 3.3074 | 1.5513 | 4.8588 | 0.5008 | 1.4411 | 1.9419 | 0.0000 | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.1273 | 4.0952 | 0.9602 | 0.0119 | 0.2669 | 0.0126 | 0.2795 | 0.0732 | 0.0120 | 0.0852 | | 1,292.241 3 | 1,292.241 3 | 0.0877 | | 1,294.433 7 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0643 | 0.0442 | 0.6042 | 1.7100e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.3500e- 003 | 0.1690 | 0.0445 | 1.2500e- 003 | 0.0457 | | 170.8155 | 170.8155 | 5.0300e- 003 | | 170.9413 |
| Total | 0.1916 | 4.1394 | 1.5644 | 0.0136 | 0.4346 | 0.0139 | 0.4485 | 0.1176 | 0.0133 | 0.1309 | | 1,463.056 8 | 1,463.056 8 | 0.0927 | | 1,465.375 0 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 18.0663 | 0.0000 | 18.0663 | 9.9307 | 0.0000 | 9.9307 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | | 2.0445 | 2.0445 | | 1.8809 | 1.8809 | | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |
| Total | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | 18.0663 | 2.0445 | 20.1107 | 9.9307 | 1.8809 | 11.8116 | | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0772 | 0.0530 | 0.7250 | 2.0600e- 003 | 0.2012 | 1.6300e- 003 | 0.2028 | 0.0534 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.0549 | | 204.9786 | 204.9786 | 6.0400e- 003 | | 205.1296 |
| Total | 0.0772 | 0.0530 | 0.7250 | 2.0600e- 003 | 0.2012 | 1.6300e- 003 | 0.2028 | 0.0534 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.0549 | | 204.9786 | 204.9786 | 6.0400e- 003 | | 205.1296 |

Mitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 18.0663 | 0.0000 | 18.0663 | 9.9307 | 0.0000 | 9.9307 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | | 2.0445 | 2.0445 | | 1.8809 | 1.8809 | 0.0000 | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |
| Total | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | 18.0663 | 2.0445 | 20.1107 | 9.9307 | 1.8809 | 11.8116 | 0.0000 | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0772 | 0.0530 | 0.7250 | 2.0600e- 003 | 0.2012 | 1.6300e- 003 | 0.2028 | 0.0534 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.0549 | | 204.9786 | 204.9786 | 6.0400e- 003 | | 205.1296 |
| Total | 0.0772 | 0.0530 | 0.7250 | 2.0600e- 003 | 0.2012 | 1.6300e- 003 | 0.2028 | 0.0534 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.0549 | | 204.9786 | 204.9786 | 6.0400e- 003 | | 205.1296 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | | 1.9853 | 1.9853 | | 1.8265 | 1.8265 | | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |
| Total | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | 8.6733 | 1.9853 | 10.6587 | 3.5965 | 1.8265 | 5.4230 | | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0857 | 0.0589 | 0.8056 | 2.2900e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.8100e- 003 | 0.2254 | 0.0593 | 1.6600e- 003 | 0.0610 | | 227.7540 | 227.7540 | 6.7100e- 003 | | 227.9217 |
| Total | 0.0857 | 0.0589 | 0.8056 | 2.2900e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.8100e- 003 | 0.2254 | 0.0593 | 1.6600e- 003 | 0.0610 | | 227.7540 | 227.7540 | 6.7100e- 003 | | 227.9217 |

Mitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | | 1.9853 | 1.9853 | | 1.8265 | 1.8265 | 0.0000 | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |
| Total | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | 8.6733 | 1.9853 | 10.6587 | 3.5965 | 1.8265 | 5.4230 | 0.0000 | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0857 | 0.0589 | 0.8056 | 2.2900e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.8100e- 003 | 0.2254 | 0.0593 | 1.6600e- 003 | 0.0610 | | 227.7540 | 227.7540 | 6.7100e- 003 | | 227.9217 |
| Total | 0.0857 | 0.0589 | 0.8056 | 2.2900e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.8100e- 003 | 0.2254 | 0.0593 | 1.6600e- 003 | 0.0610 | | 227.7540 | 227.7540 | 6.7100e- 003 | | 227.9217 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | | 1.6349 | 1.6349 | | 1.5041 | 1.5041 | | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |
| Total | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | 8.6733 | 1.6349 | 10.3082 | 3.5965 | 1.5041 | 5.1006 | | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0803 | 0.0532 | 0.7432 | 2.2100e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.2253 | 0.0593 | 1.6100e- 003 | 0.0609 | | 219.7425 | 219.7425 | 6.0600e- 003 | | 219.8941 |
| Total | 0.0803 | 0.0532 | 0.7432 | 2.2100e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.2253 | 0.0593 | 1.6100e- 003 | 0.0609 | | 219.7425 | 219.7425 | 6.0600e- 003 | | 219.8941 |

Mitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | | 1.6349 | 1.6349 | | 1.5041 | 1.5041 | 0.0000 | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |
| Total | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | 8.6733 | 1.6349 | 10.3082 | 3.5965 | 1.5041 | 5.1006 | 0.0000 | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | <u> </u> | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0803 | 0.0532 | 0.7432 | 2.2100e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.2253 | 0.0593 | 1.6100e- 003 | 0.0609 | | 219.7425 | 219.7425 | 6.0600e- 003 | | 219.8941 |
| Total | 0.0803 | 0.0532 | 0.7432 | 2.2100e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.2253 | 0.0593 | 1.6100e- 003 | 0.0609 | | 219.7425 | 219.7425 | 6.0600e- 003 | | 219.8941 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | - | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |
| Total | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/d | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.4079 | 13.2032 | 3.4341 | 0.0364 | 0.9155 | 0.0248 | 0.9404 | 0.2636 | 0.0237 | 0.2873 | | 3,896.548 2 | 3,896.548 2 | 0.2236 | | 3,902.138 4 |
| Worker | 3.2162 | 2.1318 | 29.7654 | 0.0883 | 8.9533 | 0.0701 | 9.0234 | 2.3745 | 0.0646 | 2.4390 | | 8,800.685 7 | 8,800.685 7 | 0.2429 | | 8,806.758 2 |
| Total | 3.6242 | 15.3350 | 33.1995 | 0.1247 | 9.8688 | 0.0949 | 9.9637 | 2.6381 | 0.0883 | 2.7263 | | 12,697.23 39 | 12,697.23 39 | 0.4665 | | 12,708.89 66 |

Mitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Off-Road | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | 1 1 1 | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | 0.0000 | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |
| Total | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | 0.0000 | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.4079 | 13.2032 | 3.4341 | 0.0364 | 0.9155 | 0.0248 | 0.9404 | 0.2636 | 0.0237 | 0.2873 | | 3,896.548 2 | 3,896.548 2 | 0.2236 | | 3,902.138 4 |
| Worker | 3.2162 | 2.1318 | 29.7654 | 0.0883 | 8.9533 | 0.0701 | 9.0234 | 2.3745 | 0.0646 | 2.4390 | | 8,800.685 7 | 8,800.685 7 | 0.2429 | | 8,806.758 2 |
| Total | 3.6242 | 15.3350 | 33.1995 | 0.1247 | 9.8688 | 0.0949 | 9.9637 | 2.6381 | 0.0883 | 2.7263 | | 12,697.23 39 | 12,697.23 39 | 0.4665 | | 12,708.89 66 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | 1 1 1 | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |
| Total | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.3027 | 10.0181 | 3.1014 | 0.0352 | 0.9156 | 0.0116 | 0.9271 | 0.2636 | 0.0111 | 0.2747 | | 3,773.876 2 | 3,773.876 2 | 0.1982 | | 3,778.830 0 |
| Worker | 3.0203 | 1.9287 | 27.4113 | 0.0851 | 8.9533 | 0.0681 | 9.0214 | 2.3745 | 0.0627 | 2.4372 | | 8,478.440 8 | 8,478.440 8 | 0.2190 | | 8,483.916 0 |
| Total | 3.3229 | 11.9468 | 30.5127 | 0.1203 | 9.8688 | 0.0797 | 9.9485 | 2.6381 | 0.0738 | 2.7118 | | 12,252.31 70 | 12,252.31 70 | 0.4172 | | 12,262.74 60 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Off-Road | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | - | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | 0.0000 | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |
| Total | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | 0.0000 | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.3027 | 10.0181 | 3.1014 | 0.0352 | 0.9156 | 0.0116 | 0.9271 | 0.2636 | 0.0111 | 0.2747 | | 3,773.876 2 | 3,773.876 2 | 0.1982 | | 3,778.830 0 |
| Worker | 3.0203 | 1.9287 | 27.4113 | 0.0851 | 8.9533 | 0.0681 | 9.0214 | 2.3745 | 0.0627 | 2.4372 | | 8,478.440 8 | 8,478.440 8 | 0.2190 | | 8,483.916 0 |
| Total | 3.3229 | 11.9468 | 30.5127 | 0.1203 | 9.8688 | 0.0797 | 9.9485 | 2.6381 | 0.0738 | 2.7118 | | 12,252.31 70 | 12,252.31 70 | 0.4172 | | 12,262.74 60 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Off-Road | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0566 | 0.0361 | 0.5133 | 1.5900e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2800e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 158.7723 | 158.7723 | 4.1000e- 003 | | 158.8748 |
| Total | 0.0566 | 0.0361 | 0.5133 | 1.5900e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2800e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 158.7723 | 158.7723 | 4.1000e- 003 | | 158.8748 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | 0.0000 | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | 0.0000 | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0566 | 0.0361 | 0.5133 | 1.5900e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2800e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 158.7723 | 158.7723 | 4.1000e- 003 | | 158.8748 |
| Total | 0.0566 | 0.0361 | 0.5133 | 1.5900e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2800e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 158.7723 | 158.7723 | 4.1000e- 003 | | 158.8748 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0535 | 0.0329 | 0.4785 | 1.5400e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1600e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 153.8517 | 153.8517 | 3.7600e- 003 | | 153.9458 |
| Total | 0.0535 | 0.0329 | 0.4785 | 1.5400e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1600e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 153.8517 | 153.8517 | 3.7600e- 003 | | 153.9458 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | 0.0000 | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | 0.0000 | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|----------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | <u>.</u> | | | lb/o | day | | <u>.</u> | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0535 | 0.0329 | 0.4785 | 1.5400e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1600e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 153.8517 | 153.8517 | 3.7600e- 003 | | 153.9458 |
| Total | 0.0535 | 0.0329 | 0.4785 | 1.5400e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1600e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 153.8517 | 153.8517 | 3.7600e- 003 | | 153.9458 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-----------------|----------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Archit. Coating | 236.4115 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.1808 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |
| Total | 236.5923 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.5707 | 0.3513 | 5.1044 | 0.0165 | 1.7884 | 0.0134 | 1.8018 | 0.4743 | 0.0123 | 0.4866 | | 1,641.085 2 | 1,641.085 2 | 0.0401 | | 1,642.088 6 |
| Total | 0.5707 | 0.3513 | 5.1044 | 0.0165 | 1.7884 | 0.0134 | 1.8018 | 0.4743 | 0.0123 | 0.4866 | | 1,641.085 2 | 1,641.085 2 | 0.0401 | | 1,642.088 6 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-----------------|----------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Archit. Coating | 236.4115 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.1808 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | 0.0000 | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |
| Total | 236.5923 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | 0.0000 | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.5707 | 0.3513 | 5.1044 | 0.0165 | 1.7884 | 0.0134 | 1.8018 | 0.4743 | 0.0123 | 0.4866 | | 1,641.085 2 | 1,641.085 2 | 0.0401 | | 1,642.088 6 |
| Total | 0.5707 | 0.3513 | 5.1044 | 0.0165 | 1.7884 | 0.0134 | 1.8018 | 0.4743 | 0.0123 | 0.4866 | | 1,641.085 2 | 1,641.085 2 | 0.0401 | | 1,642.088 6 |

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|--------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|---------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | lay | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Mitigated | 9.8489 | 45.4304 | 114.8495 | 0.4917 | 45.9592 | 0.3360 | 46.2951 | 12.2950 | 0.3119 | 12.6070 | | 50,306.60 34 | 50,306.60 34 | 2.1807 | | 50,361.12 08 |
| Unmitigated | 9.8489 | 45.4304 | 114.8495 | 0.4917 | 45.9592 | 0.3360 | 46.2951 | 12.2950 | 0.3119 | 12.6070 | | 50,306.60 34 | 50,306.60 34 | 2.1807 | | 50,361.12 08 |

4.2 Trip Summary Information

| | Ave | rage Daily Trip Ra | ate | Unmitigated | Mitigated |
|-------------------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Land Use | Weekday | Saturday | Sunday | Annual VMT | Annual VMT |
| Apartments Low Rise | 145.75 | 154.25 | 154.00 | 506,227 | 506,227 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 4,026.75 | 3,773.25 | 4075.50 | 13,660,065 | 13,660,065 |
| General Office Building | 288.45 | 62.55 | 31.05 | 706,812 | 706,812 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 2,368.80 | 2,873.52 | 2817.72 | 3,413,937 | 3,413,937 |
| Hotel | 192.00 | 187.50 | 160.00 | 445,703 | 445,703 |
| Quality Restaurant | 501.12 | 511.92 | 461.20 | 707,488 | 707,488 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 528.08 | 601.44 | 357.84 | 1,112,221 | 1,112,221 |
| Total | 8,050.95 | 8,164.43 | 8,057.31 | 20,552,452 | 20,552,452 |

4.3 Trip Type Information

| | | Miles | | | Trip % | | | Trip Purpos | e % |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Land Use | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | Primary | Diverted | Pass-by |
| Apartments Low Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| General Office Building | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 33.00 | 48.00 | 19.00 | 77 | 19 | 4 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 8.50 | 72.50 | 19.00 | 37 | 20 | 43 |
| Hotel | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 19.40 | 61.60 | 19.00 | 58 | 38 | 4 |
| Quality Restaurant | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 12.00 | 69.00 | 19.00 | 38 | 18 | 44 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 16.30 | 64.70 | 19.00 | 54 | 35 | 11 |

4.4 Fleet Mix

| Land Use | LDA | LDT1 | LDT2 | MDV | LHD1 | LHD2 | MHD | HHD | OBUS | UBUS | MCY | SBUS | MH |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Apartments Low Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| General Office Building | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Hotel | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Quality Restaurant | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| NaturalGas Mitigated | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| NaturalGas Unmitigated | | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|-------------------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/d | lay | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1119.16 | 004 003 003 003 003 003 | | | | | | | | | | 131.6662 | 131.6662 | 2.5200e- 003 | 2.4100e- 003 | 132.4486 | |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 35784.3 | 0.3859 | 3.2978 | 1.4033 | 0.0211 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 4,209.916 4 | 4,209.916 4 | 0.0807 | 0.0772 | 4,234.933 9 |
| General Office Building | 1283.42 | 0.0138 | 0.1258 | 0.1057 | 7.5000e- 004 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 150.9911 | 150.9911 | 2.8900e- 003 | 2.7700e- 003 | 151.8884 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | | 0.2455 | 2.2314 | 1.8743 | 0.0134 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 2,677.634 2 | 2,677.634 2 | 0.0513 | 0.0491 | 2,693.546 0 |
| Hotel | 4769.72 | 0.0514 | 0.4676 | 0.3928 | 2.8100e- 003 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | , | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 561.1436 | 561.1436 | 0.0108 | 0.0103 | 564.4782 |
| Quality Restaurant | 5057.75 | 0.0545 | 0.4959 | 0.4165 | 2.9800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | 1 | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 595.0298 | 595.0298 | 0.0114 | 0.0109 | 598.5658 |
| Regional Shopping Center | | 2.7100e- 003 | 0.0247 | 0.0207 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | 1 | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 29.6019 | 29.6019 | 5.7000e- 004 | 5.4000e- 004 | 29.7778 |
| Total | | 0.7660 | 6.7463 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1.11916 | 004 003 003 003 003 | | | | | | | | | | 131.6662 | 131.6662 | 2.5200e- 003 | 2.4100e- 003 | 132.4486 | |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 35.7843 | 0.3859 | 3.2978 | 1.4033 | 0.0211 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 4,209.916 4 | 4,209.916 4 | 0.0807 | 0.0772 | 4,234.933 9 |
| General Office Building | 1.28342 | 0.0138 | 0.1258 | 0.1057 | 7.5000e- 004 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 150.9911 | 150.9911 | 2.8900e- 003 | 2.7700e- 003 | 151.8884 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | | 0.2455 | 2.2314 | 1.8743 | 0.0134 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 2,677.634 2 | 2,677.634 2 | 0.0513 | 0.0491 | 2,693.546 0 |
| Hotel | 4.76972 | 0.0514 | 0.4676 | 0.3928 | 2.8100e- 003 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 561.1436 | 561.1436 | 0.0108 | 0.0103 | 564.4782 |
| Quality Restaurant | 5.05775 | 0.0545 | 0.4959 | 0.4165 | 2.9800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | , | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 595.0298 | 595.0298 | 0.0114 | 0.0109 | 598.5658 |
| Regional Shopping Center | | 2.7100e- 003 | 0.0247 | 0.0207 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | , | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 29.6019 | 29.6019 | 5.7000e- 004 | 5.4000e- 004 | 29.7778 |
| Total | | 0.7660 | 6.7463 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Mitigated | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Unmitigated | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| SubCategory | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Architectural Coating | 2.2670 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Consumer Products | 24.1085 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Hearth | 1.6500 | 14.1000 | 6.0000 | 0.0900 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | 0.0000 | 18,000.00 00 | 18,000.00 00 | 0.3450 | 0.3300 | 18,106.96 50 |
| Landscaping | 2.4766 | 0.9496 | 82.4430 | 4.3600e- 003 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 148.5950 | 148.5950 | 0.1424 | | 152.1542 |
| Total | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | | |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| SubCategory | | lb/day | | | | | | | | | | | lb/day | | | | | | |
| Architectural Coating | 2.2670 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | |
| Consumer Products | 24.1085 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | |
| Hearth | 1.6500 | 14.1000 | 6.0000 | 0.0900 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | 0.0000 | 18,000.00 00 | 18,000.00 00 | 0.3450 | 0.3300 | 18,106.96 50 | | | |
| Landscaping | 2.4766 | 0.9496 | 82.4430 | 4.3600e- 003 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 148.5950 | 148.5950 | 0.1424 | | 152.1542 | | | |
| Total | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 | | | |

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

9.0 Operational Offroad

10.0 Stationary Equipment

Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

| Equipment Type | Number | Hours/Day | Hours/Year | Horse Power | Load Factor | Fuel Type |
|------------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|
| <u>Boilers</u> | | | | | | |
| Equipment Type | Number | Heat Input/Day | Heat Input/Year | Boiler Rating | Fuel Type | |
| User Defined Equipment | | | | | | |
| Equipment Type | Number | | | | | |

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed)

Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

| Land Uses | Size | Metric | Lot Acreage | Floor Surface Area | Population |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|
| General Office Building | 45.00 | 1000sqft | 1.03 | 45,000.00 | 0 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 36.00 | 1000sqft | 0.83 | 36,000.00 | 0 |
| Hotel | 50.00 | Room | 1.67 | 72,600.00 | 0 |
| Quality Restaurant | 8.00 | 1000sqft | 0.18 | 8,000.00 | 0 |
| Apartments Low Rise | 25.00 | Dwelling Unit | 1.56 | 25,000.00 | 72 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 975.00 | Dwelling Unit | 25.66 | 975,000.00 | 2789 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 56.00 | 1000sqft | 1.29 | 56,000.00 | 0 |

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

| Urbanization | Urban | Wind Speed (m/s) | 2.2 | Precipitation Freq (Days) | 33 |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|
| Climate Zone | 9 | | | Operational Year | 2028 |
| Utility Company | Southern California Ediso | n | | | |
| CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 702.44 | CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.029 | N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.006 |

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - Consistent with the DEIR's model.

Land Use - See SWAPE comment regarding residential and retail land uses.

Construction Phase - See SWAPE comment regarding individual construction phase lengths.

Demolition - Consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding demolition.

Vehicle Trips - Saturday trips consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding weekday and Sunday trips.

Woodstoves - Woodstoves and wood-burning fireplaces consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding gas fireplaces.

Energy Use -

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - See SWAPE comment on construction-related mitigation.

Area Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Water Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

| Table Name | Column Name | Default Value | New Value |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 7.16 | 6.17 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 6.39 | 3.87 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 2.46 | 1.39 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 158.37 | 79.82 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 8.19 | 3.75 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 94.36 | 63.99 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 49.97 | 10.74 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 6.07 | 6.16 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.86 | 4.18 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 1.05 | 0.69 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 131.84 | 78.27 |

| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.95 | 3.20 |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------|-------|
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 72.16 | 57.65 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 25.24 | 6.39 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.59 | 5.83 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.65 | 4.13 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 11.03 | 6.41 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 127.15 | 65.80 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 8.17 | 3.84 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 89.95 | 62.64 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 42.70 | 9.43 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 |
| | | | |

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Unmitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | | | |
|---------|----------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Year | | lb/day | | | | | | | | | | | lb/day | | | | | | | |
| 2021 | 4.2865 | 46.4651 | 31.6150 | 0.0642 | 18.2675 | 2.0461 | 20.3135 | 9.9840 | 1.8824 | 11.8664 | 0.0000 | 6,221.493 7 | 6,221.493 7 | 1.9491 | 0.0000 | 6,270.221 4 | | | | |
| 2022 | 5.7218 | 38.9024 | 47.3319 | 0.1455 | 9.8688 | 1.6366 | 10.7736 | 3.6558 | 1.5057 | 5.1615 | 0.0000 | 14,630.30 99 | 14,630.30 99 | 1.9499 | 0.0000 | 14,657.26 63 | | | | |
| 2023 | 5.2705 | 26.4914 | 44.5936 | 0.1413 | 9.8688 | 0.7800 | 10.6488 | 2.6381 | 0.7328 | 3.3708 | 0.0000 | 14,210.34 24 | 14,210.34 24 | 1.0230 | 0.0000 | 14,235.91 60 | | | | |
| 2024 | 237.2328 | 9.5610 | 15.0611 | 0.0243 | 1.7884 | 0.4698 | 1.8628 | 0.4743 | 0.4322 | 0.5476 | 0.0000 | 2,352.417 8 | 2,352.417 8 | 0.7175 | 0.0000 | 2,370.355 0 | | | | |
| Maximum | 237.2328 | 46.4651 | 47.3319 | 0.1455 | 18.2675 | 2.0461 | 20.3135 | 9.9840 | 1.8824 | 11.8664 | 0.0000 | 14,630.30 99 | 14,630.30 99 | 1.9499 | 0.0000 | 14,657.26 63 | | | | |

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Mitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------|----------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Year | | | | | lb/ | | lb/day | | | | | | | | | |
| 2021 | 4.2865 | 46.4651 | 31.6150 | 0.0642 | 18.2675 | 2.0461 | 20.3135 | 9.9840 | 1.8824 | 11.8664 | 0.0000 | 6,221.493 7 | 6,221.493 7 | 1.9491 | 0.0000 | 6,270.221 4 |
| 2022 | 5.7218 | 38.9024 | 47.3319 | 0.1455 | 9.8688 | 1.6366 | 10.7736 | 3.6558 | 1.5057 | 5.1615 | 0.0000 | 14,630.30 99 | 14,630.30 99 | 1.9499 | 0.0000 | 14,657.26 63 |
| 2023 | 5.2705 | 26.4914 | 44.5936 | 0.1413 | 9.8688 | 0.7800 | 10.6488 | 2.6381 | 0.7328 | 3.3708 | 0.0000 | 14,210.34 24 | 14,210.34 24 | 1.0230 | 0.0000 | 14,235.91 60 |
| 2024 | 237.2328 | 9.5610 | 15.0611 | 0.0243 | 1.7884 | 0.4698 | 1.8628 | 0.4743 | 0.4322 | 0.5476 | 0.0000 | 2,352.417 8 | 2,352.417 8 | 0.7175 | 0.0000 | 2,370.355 0 |
| Maximum | 237.2328 | 46.4651 | 47.3319 | 0.1455 | 18.2675 | 2.0461 | 20.3135 | 9.9840 | 1.8824 | 11.8664 | 0.0000 | 14,630.30 99 | 14,630.30 99 | 1.9499 | 0.0000 | 14,657.26 63 |
| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2 5 | Exhaust PM2 5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|---------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | | lb/day | | | | | | | | | |
| Area | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Energy | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| Mobile | 9.5233 | 45.9914 | 110.0422 | 0.4681 | 45.9592 | 0.3373 | 46.2965 | 12.2950 | 0.3132 | 12.6083 | | 47,917.80 05 | 47,917.80 05 | 2.1953 | | 47,972.68 39 |
| Total | 40.7912 | 67.7872 | 202.7424 | 0.6043 | 45.9592 | 2.4640 | 48.4231 | 12.2950 | 2.4399 | 14.7349 | 0.0000 | 74,422.37 87 | 74,422.37 87 | 2.8429 | 0.4832 | 74,637.44 17 |

Mitigated Operational

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | |
|----------|---------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|--|--|
| Category | | lb/day | | | | | | | | | | lb/day | | | | | | |
| Area | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 | | |
| Energy | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 | | |
| Mobile | 9.5233 | 45.9914 | 110.0422 | 0.4681 | 45.9592 | 0.3373 | 46.2965 | 12.2950 | 0.3132 | 12.6083 | | 47,917.80 05 | 47,917.80 05 | 2.1953 | | 47,972.68 39 | | |
| Total | 40.7912 | 67.7872 | 202.7424 | 0.6043 | 45.9592 | 2.4640 | 48.4231 | 12.2950 | 2.4399 | 14.7349 | 0.0000 | 74,422.37 87 | 74,422.37 87 | 2.8429 | 0.4832 | 74,637.44 17 | | |

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

| Phase Number | Phase Name | Phase Type | Start Date | End Date | Num Days Week | Num Days | Phase Description |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 1 | Demolition | Demolition | 9/1/2021 | 10/12/2021 | 5 | 30 | |
| 2 | Site Preparation | Site Preparation | 10/13/2021 | 11/9/2021 | 5 | 20 | |
| 3 | Grading | Grading | 11/10/2021 | 1/11/2022 | 5 | 45 | |
| 4 | Building Construction | Building Construction | 1/12/2022 | 12/12/2023 | 5 | 500 | |
| 5 | Paving | Paving | 12/13/2023 | 1/30/2024 | 5 | 35 | |
| 6 | Architectural Coating | Architectural Coating | 1/31/2024 | 3/19/2024 | 5 | 35 | |

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 112.5

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 2,025,000; Residential Outdoor: 675,000; Non-Residential Indoor: 326,400; Non-Residential Outdoor: 108,800; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Type | Amount | Usage Hours | Horse Power | Load Factor |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Demolition | Concrete/Industrial Saws | 1 | 8.00 | 81 | 0.73 |
| Demolition | Excavators | 3 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Demolition | Rubber Tired Dozers | 2 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Rubber Tired Dozers | 3 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 4 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Grading | Excavators | 2 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Grading | Graders | 1 | 8.00 | 187 | 0.41 |
| Grading | Rubber Tired Dozers | 1 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Grading | Scrapers | 2 | 8.00 | 367 | 0.48 |
| Grading | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 2 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Cranes | 1 | 7.00 | 231 | 0.29 |
| Building Construction | Forklifts | 3 | 8.00 | 89 | 0.20 |
| Building Construction | Generator Sets | 1 | 8.00 | 84 | 0.74 |
| Building Construction | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 3 | 7.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Welders | 1 | 8.00 | 46 | 0.45 |
| Paving | Pavers | 2 | 8.00 | 130 | 0.42 |
| Paving | Paving Equipment | 2 | 8.00 | 132 | 0.36 |
| Paving | Rollers | 2 | 8.00 | 80 | 0.38 |
| Architectural Coating | Air Compressors | 1 1 | 6.00 | 78 | 0.48 |

Trips and VMT

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Count | Worker Trip Number | Vendor Trip Number | Hauling Trip Number | Worker Trip Length | Vendor Trip Length | Hauling Trip Length | Worker Vehicle Class | Vendor Vehicle Class | Hauling Vehicle Class |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Demolition | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 458.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Site Preparation | 7 | 18.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Grading | 8 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Building Construction | 9 | 801.00 | 143.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Paving | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Architectural Coating | 1 | 160.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.70 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

3.2 Demolition - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 3.3074 | 0.0000 | 3.3074 | 0.5008 | 0.0000 | 0.5008 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | | 1.5513 | 1.5513 | | 1.4411 | 1.4411 | | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |
| Total | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | 3.3074 | 1.5513 | 4.8588 | 0.5008 | 1.4411 | 1.9419 | | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.1304 | 4.1454 | 1.0182 | 0.0117 | 0.2669 | 0.0128 | 0.2797 | 0.0732 | 0.0122 | 0.0854 | | 1,269.855 5 | 1,269.855 5 | 0.0908 | | 1,272.125 2 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0715 | 0.0489 | 0.5524 | 1.6100e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.3500e- 003 | 0.1690 | 0.0445 | 1.2500e- 003 | 0.0457 | | 160.8377 | 160.8377 | 4.7300e- 003 | | 160.9560 |
| Total | 0.2019 | 4.1943 | 1.5706 | 0.0133 | 0.4346 | 0.0141 | 0.4487 | 0.1176 | 0.0135 | 0.1311 | | 1,430.693 2 | 1,430.693 2 | 0.0955 | | 1,433.081 2 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 3.3074 | 0.0000 | 3.3074 | 0.5008 | 0.0000 | 0.5008 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | | 1.5513 | 1.5513 | | 1.4411 | 1.4411 | 0.0000 | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |
| Total | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | 3.3074 | 1.5513 | 4.8588 | 0.5008 | 1.4411 | 1.9419 | 0.0000 | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.1304 | 4.1454 | 1.0182 | 0.0117 | 0.2669 | 0.0128 | 0.2797 | 0.0732 | 0.0122 | 0.0854 | | 1,269.855 5 | 1,269.855 5 | 0.0908 | | 1,272.125 2 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0715 | 0.0489 | 0.5524 | 1.6100e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.3500e- 003 | 0.1690 | 0.0445 | 1.2500e- 003 | 0.0457 | | 160.8377 | 160.8377 | 4.7300e- 003 | | 160.9560 |
| Total | 0.2019 | 4.1943 | 1.5706 | 0.0133 | 0.4346 | 0.0141 | 0.4487 | 0.1176 | 0.0135 | 0.1311 | | 1,430.693 2 | 1,430.693 2 | 0.0955 | | 1,433.081 2 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 18.0663 | 0.0000 | 18.0663 | 9.9307 | 0.0000 | 9.9307 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | | 2.0445 | 2.0445 | | 1.8809 | 1.8809 | | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |
| Total | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | 18.0663 | 2.0445 | 20.1107 | 9.9307 | 1.8809 | 11.8116 | | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0858 | 0.0587 | 0.6629 | 1.9400e- 003 | 0.2012 | 1.6300e- 003 | 0.2028 | 0.0534 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.0549 | | 193.0052 | 193.0052 | 5.6800e- 003 | | 193.1472 |
| Total | 0.0858 | 0.0587 | 0.6629 | 1.9400e- 003 | 0.2012 | 1.6300e- 003 | 0.2028 | 0.0534 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.0549 | | 193.0052 | 193.0052 | 5.6800e- 003 | | 193.1472 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 18.0663 | 0.0000 | 18.0663 | 9.9307 | 0.0000 | 9.9307 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | | 2.0445 | 2.0445 | | 1.8809 | 1.8809 | 0.0000 | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |
| Total | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | 18.0663 | 2.0445 | 20.1107 | 9.9307 | 1.8809 | 11.8116 | 0.0000 | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0858 | 0.0587 | 0.6629 | 1.9400e- 003 | 0.2012 | 1.6300e- 003 | 0.2028 | 0.0534 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.0549 | | 193.0052 | 193.0052 | 5.6800e- 003 | | 193.1472 |
| Total | 0.0858 | 0.0587 | 0.6629 | 1.9400e- 003 | 0.2012 | 1.6300e- 003 | 0.2028 | 0.0534 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.0549 | | 193.0052 | 193.0052 | 5.6800e- 003 | | 193.1472 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | | 1.9853 | 1.9853 | | 1.8265 | 1.8265 | | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |
| Total | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | 8.6733 | 1.9853 | 10.6587 | 3.5965 | 1.8265 | 5.4230 | | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0954 | 0.0652 | 0.7365 | 2.1500e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.8100e- 003 | 0.2254 | 0.0593 | 1.6600e- 003 | 0.0610 | | 214.4502 | 214.4502 | 6.3100e- 003 | | 214.6080 |
| Total | 0.0954 | 0.0652 | 0.7365 | 2.1500e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.8100e- 003 | 0.2254 | 0.0593 | 1.6600e- 003 | 0.0610 | | 214.4502 | 214.4502 | 6.3100e- 003 | | 214.6080 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | | 1.9853 | 1.9853 | | 1.8265 | 1.8265 | 0.0000 | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |
| Total | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | 8.6733 | 1.9853 | 10.6587 | 3.5965 | 1.8265 | 5.4230 | 0.0000 | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0954 | 0.0652 | 0.7365 | 2.1500e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.8100e- 003 | 0.2254 | 0.0593 | 1.6600e- 003 | 0.0610 | | 214.4502 | 214.4502 | 6.3100e- 003 | | 214.6080 |
| Total | 0.0954 | 0.0652 | 0.7365 | 2.1500e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.8100e- 003 | 0.2254 | 0.0593 | 1.6600e- 003 | 0.0610 | | 214.4502 | 214.4502 | 6.3100e- 003 | | 214.6080 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | | 1.6349 | 1.6349 | | 1.5041 | 1.5041 | | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |
| Total | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | 8.6733 | 1.6349 | 10.3082 | 3.5965 | 1.5041 | 5.1006 | | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0896 | 0.0589 | 0.6784 | 2.0800e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.2253 | 0.0593 | 1.6100e- 003 | 0.0609 | | 206.9139 | 206.9139 | 5.7000e- 003 | | 207.0563 |
| Total | 0.0896 | 0.0589 | 0.6784 | 2.0800e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.2253 | 0.0593 | 1.6100e- 003 | 0.0609 | | 206.9139 | 206.9139 | 5.7000e- 003 | | 207.0563 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | | 1.6349 | 1.6349 | | 1.5041 | 1.5041 | 0.0000 | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |
| Total | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | 8.6733 | 1.6349 | 10.3082 | 3.5965 | 1.5041 | 5.1006 | 0.0000 | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |

Page 17 of 35

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

3.4 Grading - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0896 | 0.0589 | 0.6784 | 2.0800e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.2253 | 0.0593 | 1.6100e- 003 | 0.0609 | | 206.9139 | 206.9139 | 5.7000e- 003 | | 207.0563 |
| Total | 0.0896 | 0.0589 | 0.6784 | 2.0800e- 003 | 0.2236 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.2253 | 0.0593 | 1.6100e- 003 | 0.0609 | | 206.9139 | 206.9139 | 5.7000e- 003 | | 207.0563 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | 1 1 1 | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |
| Total | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/d | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.4284 | 13.1673 | 3.8005 | 0.0354 | 0.9155 | 0.0256 | 0.9412 | 0.2636 | 0.0245 | 0.2881 | | 3,789.075 0 | 3,789.075 0 | 0.2381 | | 3,795.028 3 |
| Worker | 3.5872 | 2.3593 | 27.1680 | 0.0832 | 8.9533 | 0.0701 | 9.0234 | 2.3745 | 0.0646 | 2.4390 | | 8,286.901 3 | 8,286.901 3 | 0.2282 | | 8,292.605 8 |
| Total | 4.0156 | 15.5266 | 30.9685 | 0.1186 | 9.8688 | 0.0957 | 9.9645 | 2.6381 | 0.0891 | 2.7271 | | 12,075.97 63 | 12,075.97 63 | 0.4663 | | 12,087.63 41 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | 1 1 1 | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | 0.0000 | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |
| Total | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | 0.0000 | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.4284 | 13.1673 | 3.8005 | 0.0354 | 0.9155 | 0.0256 | 0.9412 | 0.2636 | 0.0245 | 0.2881 | | 3,789.075 0 | 3,789.075 0 | 0.2381 | | 3,795.028 3 |
| Worker | 3.5872 | 2.3593 | 27.1680 | 0.0832 | 8.9533 | 0.0701 | 9.0234 | 2.3745 | 0.0646 | 2.4390 | | 8,286.901 3 | 8,286.901 3 | 0.2282 | | 8,292.605 8 |
| Total | 4.0156 | 15.5266 | 30.9685 | 0.1186 | 9.8688 | 0.0957 | 9.9645 | 2.6381 | 0.0891 | 2.7271 | | 12,075.97 63 | 12,075.97 63 | 0.4663 | | 12,087.63 41 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|--|
| Category | lb/day | | | | | | | | | | lb/day | | | | | | |
| | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | 1 1 1 | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 | |
| Total | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 | |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|--|
| Category | lb/day | | | | | | | | | | lb/day | | | | | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | |
| Vendor | 0.3183 | 9.9726 | 3.3771 | 0.0343 | 0.9156 | 0.0122 | 0.9277 | 0.2636 | 0.0116 | 0.2752 | | 3,671.400 7 | 3,671.400 7 | 0.2096 | | 3,676.641 7 | |
| Worker | 3.3795 | 2.1338 | 24.9725 | 0.0801 | 8.9533 | 0.0681 | 9.0214 | 2.3745 | 0.0627 | 2.4372 | | 7,983.731 8 | 7,983.731 8 | 0.2055 | | 7,988.868 3 | |
| Total | 3.6978 | 12.1065 | 28.3496 | 0.1144 | 9.8688 | 0.0803 | 9.9491 | 2.6381 | 0.0743 | 2.7124 | | 11,655.13 25 | 11,655.13 25 | 0.4151 | | 11,665.50 99 | |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | lb/day | | | | | | | | | lb/day | | | | | | |
| Off-Road | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | 1 1 1 | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | 0.0000 | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |
| Total | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | 0.0000 | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | lb/c | day | | | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.3183 | 9.9726 | 3.3771 | 0.0343 | 0.9156 | 0.0122 | 0.9277 | 0.2636 | 0.0116 | 0.2752 | | 3,671.400 7 | 3,671.400 7 | 0.2096 | | 3,676.641 7 |
| Worker | 3.3795 | 2.1338 | 24.9725 | 0.0801 | 8.9533 | 0.0681 | 9.0214 | 2.3745 | 0.0627 | 2.4372 | | 7,983.731 8 | 7,983.731 8 | 0.2055 | | 7,988.868 3 |
| Total | 3.6978 | 12.1065 | 28.3496 | 0.1144 | 9.8688 | 0.0803 | 9.9491 | 2.6381 | 0.0743 | 2.7124 | | 11,655.13 25 | 11,655.13 25 | 0.4151 | | 11,665.50 99 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0633 | 0.0400 | 0.4677 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2800e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 149.5081 | 149.5081 | 3.8500e- 003 | | 149.6043 |
| Total | 0.0633 | 0.0400 | 0.4677 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2800e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 149.5081 | 149.5081 | 3.8500e- 003 | | 149.6043 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | 0.0000 | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | 0.0000 | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0633 | 0.0400 | 0.4677 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2800e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 149.5081 | 149.5081 | 3.8500e- 003 | | 149.6043 |
| Total | 0.0633 | 0.0400 | 0.4677 | 1.5000e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2800e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 149.5081 | 149.5081 | 3.8500e- 003 | | 149.6043 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | , | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | lb/c | day | | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0601 | 0.0364 | 0.4354 | 1.4500e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1600e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 144.8706 | 144.8706 | 3.5300e- 003 | | 144.9587 |
| Total | 0.0601 | 0.0364 | 0.4354 | 1.4500e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1600e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 144.8706 | 144.8706 | 3.5300e- 003 | | 144.9587 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Off-Road | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | 0.0000 | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | 0.0000 | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0601 | 0.0364 | 0.4354 | 1.4500e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1600e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 144.8706 | 144.8706 | 3.5300e- 003 | | 144.9587 |
| Total | 0.0601 | 0.0364 | 0.4354 | 1.4500e- 003 | 0.1677 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.1689 | 0.0445 | 1.1600e- 003 | 0.0456 | | 144.8706 | 144.8706 | 3.5300e- 003 | | 144.9587 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-----------------|----------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Archit. Coating | 236.4115 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.1808 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |
| Total | 236.5923 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.6406 | 0.3886 | 4.6439 | 0.0155 | 1.7884 | 0.0134 | 1.8018 | 0.4743 | 0.0123 | 0.4866 | | 1,545.286 0 | 1,545.286 0 | 0.0376 | | 1,546.226 2 |
| Total | 0.6406 | 0.3886 | 4.6439 | 0.0155 | 1.7884 | 0.0134 | 1.8018 | 0.4743 | 0.0123 | 0.4866 | | 1,545.286 0 | 1,545.286 0 | 0.0376 | | 1,546.226 2 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-----------------|----------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Archit. Coating | 236.4115 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.1808 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | 0.0000 | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |
| Total | 236.5923 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | 0.0000 | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.6406 | 0.3886 | 4.6439 | 0.0155 | 1.7884 | 0.0134 | 1.8018 | 0.4743 | 0.0123 | 0.4866 | | 1,545.286 0 | 1,545.286 0 | 0.0376 | | 1,546.226 2 |
| Total | 0.6406 | 0.3886 | 4.6439 | 0.0155 | 1.7884 | 0.0134 | 1.8018 | 0.4743 | 0.0123 | 0.4866 | | 1,545.286 0 | 1,545.286 0 | 0.0376 | | 1,546.226 2 |

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|--------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Mitigated | 9.5233 | 45.9914 | 110.0422 | 0.4681 | 45.9592 | 0.3373 | 46.2965 | 12.2950 | 0.3132 | 12.6083 | | 47,917.80 05 | 47,917.80 05 | 2.1953 | | 47,972.68 39 |
| Unmitigated | 9.5233 | 45.9914 | 110.0422 | 0.4681 | 45.9592 | 0.3373 | 46.2965 | 12.2950 | 0.3132 | 12.6083 | | 47,917.80 05 | 47,917.80 05 | 2.1953 | | 47,972.68 39 |

4.2 Trip Summary Information

| | Ave | rage Daily Trip Ra | ate | Unmitigated | Mitigated |
|-------------------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Land Use | Weekday | Saturday | Sunday | Annual VMT | Annual VMT |
| Apartments Low Rise | 145.75 | 154.25 | 154.00 | 506,227 | 506,227 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 4,026.75 | 3,773.25 | 4075.50 | 13,660,065 | 13,660,065 |
| General Office Building | 288.45 | 62.55 | 31.05 | 706,812 | 706,812 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 2,368.80 | 2,873.52 | 2817.72 | 3,413,937 | 3,413,937 |
| Hotel | 192.00 | 187.50 | 160.00 | 445,703 | 445,703 |
| Quality Restaurant | 501.12 | 511.92 | 461.20 | 707,488 | 707,488 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 528.08 | 601.44 | 357.84 | 1,112,221 | 1,112,221 |
| Total | 8,050.95 | 8,164.43 | 8,057.31 | 20,552,452 | 20,552,452 |

4.3 Trip Type Information

| | | Miles | | | Trip % | | | Trip Purpos | e % |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Land Use | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | Primary | Diverted | Pass-by |
| Apartments Low Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| General Office Building | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 33.00 | 48.00 | 19.00 | 77 | 19 | 4 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 8.50 | 72.50 | 19.00 | 37 | 20 | 43 |
| Hotel | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 19.40 | 61.60 | 19.00 | 58 | 38 | 4 |
| Quality Restaurant | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 12.00 | 69.00 | 19.00 | 38 | 18 | 44 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 16.30 | 64.70 | 19.00 | 54 | 35 | 11 |

4.4 Fleet Mix

| Land Use | LDA | LDT1 | LDT2 | MDV | LHD1 | LHD2 | MHD | HHD | OBUS | UBUS | MCY | SBUS | MH |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Apartments Low Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| General Office Building | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Hotel | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Quality Restaurant | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| NaturalGas Mitigated | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| NaturalGas Unmitigated | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/d | lay | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1119.16 | 0.0121 | 0.1031 | 0.0439 | 6.6000e- 004 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 131.6662 | 131.6662 | 2.5200e- 003 | 2.4100e- 003 | 132.4486 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 35784.3 | 0.3859 | 3.2978 | 1.4033 | 0.0211 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 4,209.916 4 | 4,209.916 4 | 0.0807 | 0.0772 | 4,234.933 9 |
| General Office Building | 1283.42 | 0.0138 | 0.1258 | 0.1057 | 7.5000e- 004 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 150.9911 | 150.9911 | 2.8900e- 003 | 2.7700e- 003 | 151.8884 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | | 0.2455 | 2.2314 | 1.8743 | 0.0134 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 2,677.634 2 | 2,677.634 2 | 0.0513 | 0.0491 | 2,693.546 0 |
| Hotel | 4769.72 | 0.0514 | 0.4676 | 0.3928 | 2.8100e- 003 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | , | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 561.1436 | 561.1436 | 0.0108 | 0.0103 | 564.4782 |
| Quality Restaurant | 5057.75 | 0.0545 | 0.4959 | 0.4165 | 2.9800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | 1 | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 595.0298 | 595.0298 | 0.0114 | 0.0109 | 598.5658 |
| Regional Shopping Center | | 2.7100e- 003 | 0.0247 | 0.0207 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | 1 | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 29.6019 | 29.6019 | 5.7000e- 004 | 5.4000e- 004 | 29.7778 |
| Total | | 0.7660 | 6.7463 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1.11916 | 0.0121 | 0.1031 | 0.0439 | 6.6000e- 004 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 131.6662 | 131.6662 | 2.5200e- 003 | 2.4100e- 003 | 132.4486 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 35.7843 | 0.3859 | 3.2978 | 1.4033 | 0.0211 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 4,209.916 4 | 4,209.916 4 | 0.0807 | 0.0772 | 4,234.933 9 |
| General Office Building | 1.28342 | 0.0138 | 0.1258 | 0.1057 | 7.5000e- 004 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 150.9911 | 150.9911 | 2.8900e- 003 | 2.7700e- 003 | 151.8884 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | | 0.2455 | 2.2314 | 1.8743 | 0.0134 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 2,677.634 2 | 2,677.634 2 | 0.0513 | 0.0491 | 2,693.546 0 |
| Hotel | 4.76972 | 0.0514 | 0.4676 | 0.3928 | 2.8100e- 003 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 561.1436 | 561.1436 | 0.0108 | 0.0103 | 564.4782 |
| Quality Restaurant | 5.05775 | 0.0545 | 0.4959 | 0.4165 | 2.9800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | , | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 595.0298 | 595.0298 | 0.0114 | 0.0109 | 598.5658 |
| Regional Shopping Center | | 2.7100e- 003 | 0.0247 | 0.0207 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 29.6019 | 29.6019 | 5.7000e- 004 | 5.4000e- 004 | 29.7778 |
| Total | | 0.7660 | 6.7463 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Page 33 of 35

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Mitigated | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Unmitigated | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| SubCategory | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Architectural Coating | 2.2670 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Consumer Products | 24.1085 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Hearth | 1.6500 | 14.1000 | 6.0000 | 0.0900 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | 0.0000 | 18,000.00 00 | 18,000.00 00 | 0.3450 | 0.3300 | 18,106.96 50 |
| Landscaping | 2.4766 | 0.9496 | 82.4430 | 4.3600e- 003 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 148.5950 | 148.5950 | 0.1424 | | 152.1542 |
| Total | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| SubCategory | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Architectural Coating | 2.2670 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Consumer Products | 24.1085 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Hearth | 1.6500 | 14.1000 | 6.0000 | 0.0900 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | 0.0000 | 18,000.00 00 | 18,000.00 00 | 0.3450 | 0.3300 | 18,106.96 50 |
| Landscaping | 2.4766 | 0.9496 | 82.4430 | 4.3600e- 003 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 148.5950 | 148.5950 | 0.1424 | | 152.1542 |
| Total | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

9.0 Operational Offroad

| Equipment Type Number Hours/Day | Days/Year | Horse Power | Load Factor | Fuel Type |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|

10.0 Stationary Equipment

Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

| D | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|--|
| <u>Boilers</u> | | | | | | |
| Equipment Type | Number | Heat Input/Day | Heat Input/Year | Boiler Rating | Fuel Type | |
| User Defined Equipment | | | | | | |
| Equipment Type | Number | | | | | |

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed)

Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

| Land Uses | Size | Metric | Lot Acreage | Floor Surface Area | Population |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|
| General Office Building | 45.00 | 1000sqft | 1.03 | 45,000.00 | 0 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 36.00 | 1000sqft | 0.83 | 36,000.00 | 0 |
| Hotel | 50.00 | Room | 1.67 | 72,600.00 | 0 |
| Quality Restaurant | 8.00 | 1000sqft | 0.18 | 8,000.00 | 0 |
| Apartments Low Rise | 25.00 | Dwelling Unit | 1.56 | 25,000.00 | 72 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 975.00 | Dwelling Unit | 25.66 | 975,000.00 | 2789 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 56.00 | 1000sqft | 1.29 | 56,000.00 | 0 |

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

| Urbanization | Urban | Wind Speed (m/s) | 2.2 | Precipitation Freq (Days) | 33 |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|
| Climate Zone | 9 | | | Operational Year | 2028 |
| Utility Company | Southern California Ediso | n | | | |
| CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 702.44 | CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.029 | N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.006 |

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - Consistent with the DEIR's model.

Land Use - See SWAPE comment regarding residential and retail land uses.

Construction Phase - See SWAPE comment regarding individual construction phase lengths.

Demolition - Consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding demolition.

Vehicle Trips - Saturday trips consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding weekday and Sunday trips.

Woodstoves - Woodstoves and wood-burning fireplaces consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding gas fireplaces.

Energy Use -

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - See SWAPE comment on construction-related mitigation.

Area Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Water Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Trips and VMT - Local hire provision

| Table Name | Column Name | Default Value | New Value |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 7.16 | 6.17 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 6.39 | 3.87 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 2.46 | 1.39 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 158.37 | 79.82 |

| Village South Specific Plan | (Proposed) |) - Los Angeles-South | Coast County, Annual |
|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | | | |

| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 8.19 | 3.75 |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------|-------|
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 94.36 | 63.99 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 49.97 | 10.74 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 6.07 | 6.16 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.86 | 4.18 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 1.05 | 0.69 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 131.84 | 78.27 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.95 | 3.20 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 72.16 | 57.65 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 25.24 | 6.39 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.59 | 5.83 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.65 | 4.13 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 11.03 | 6.41 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 127.15 | 65.80 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 8.17 | 3.84 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 89.95 | 62.64 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 42.70 | 9.43 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 |
| | | | |

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction

Unmitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------|---------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|----------------|
| Year | tons/yr | | | | | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | | |
| 2021 | 0.1704 | 1.8234 | 1.1577 | 2.3800e- 003 | 0.4141 | 0.0817 | 0.4958 | 0.1788 | 0.0754 | 0.2542 | 0.0000 | 210.7654 | 210.7654 | 0.0600 | 0.0000 | 212.2661 |
| 2022 | 0.5865 | 4.0240 | 5.1546 | 0.0155 | 0.9509 | 0.1175 | 1.0683 | 0.2518 | 0.1103 | 0.3621 | 0.0000 | 1,418.655 4 | 1,418.655 4 | 0.1215 | 0.0000 | 1,421.692 5 |
| 2023 | 0.5190 | 3.2850 | 4.7678 | 0.0147 | 0.8497 | 0.0971 | 0.9468 | 0.2283 | 0.0912 | 0.3195 | 0.0000 | 1,342.441 2 | 1,342.441 2 | 0.1115 | 0.0000 | 1,345.229 1 |
| 2024 | 4.1592 | 0.1313 | 0.2557 | 5.0000e- 004 | 0.0221 | 6.3900e- 003 | 0.0285 | 5.8700e- 003 | 5.9700e- 003 | 0.0118 | 0.0000 | 44.6355 | 44.6355 | 7.8300e- 003 | 0.0000 | 44.8311 |
| Maximum | 4.1592 | 4.0240 | 5.1546 | 0.0155 | 0.9509 | 0.1175 | 1.0683 | 0.2518 | 0.1103 | 0.3621 | 0.0000 | 1,418.655 4 | 1,418.655 4 | 0.1215 | 0.0000 | 1,421.692 5 |

2.1 Overall Construction

Mitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------------------|--------|----------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|----------------|
| Year | | | | | tor | ns/yr | | | | | | | T/yr | | | |
| 2021 | 0.1704 | 1.8234 | 1.1577 | 2.3800e- 003 | 0.4141 | 0.0817 | 0.4958 | 0.1788 | 0.0754 | 0.2542 | 0.0000 | 210.7651 | 210.7651 | 0.0600 | 0.0000 | 212.2658 |
| 2022 | 0.5865 | 4.0240 | 5.1546 | 0.0155 | 0.9509 | 0.1175 | 1.0683 | 0.2518 | 0.1103 | 0.3621 | 0.0000 | 1,418.655 0 | 1,418.655 0 | 0.1215 | 0.0000 | 1,421.692 1 |
| 2023 | 0.5190 | 3.2850 | 4.7678 | 0.0147 | 0.8497 | 0.0971 | 0.9468 | 0.2283 | 0.0912 | 0.3195 | 0.0000 | 1,342.440 9 | 1,342.440 9 | 0.1115 | 0.0000 | 1,345.228 7 |
| 2024 | 4.1592 | 0.1313 | 0.2557 | 5.0000e- 004 | 0.0221 | 6.3900e- 003 | 0.0285 | 5.8700e- 003 | 5.9700e- 003 | 0.0118 | 0.0000 | 44.6354 | 44.6354 | 7.8300e- 003 | 0.0000 | 44.8311 |
| Maximum | 4.1592 | 4.0240 | 5.1546 | 0.0155 | 0.9509 | 0.1175 | 1.0683 | 0.2518 | 0.1103 | 0.3621 | 0.0000 | 1,418.655 0 | 1,418.655 0 | 0.1215 | 0.0000 | 1,421.692 1 |
| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Quarter | Sta | art Date | End | d Date | Maxim | um Unmitiga | ated ROG + | NOX (tons/ | quarter) | Maxi | mum Mitiga | ted ROG + N | IOX (tons/qu | iarter) | | |
| 1 | 9- | 1-2021 | 11-3 | 0-2021 | 1.4091 1.4091 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 12 | -1-2021 | 2-28 | 3-2022 | | | 1.3329 | | | | | 1.3329 | | | | |
| 3 | 3- | 1-2022 | 5-31 | 1-2022 | | | 1.1499 | | | | | 1.1499 | | | | |
| 4 | 6- | 1-2022 | 8-31 | 1-2022 | 1.1457 | | 1.1457 | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 9- | 1-2022 | 11-3 | 0-2022 | | | 1.1415 | | | 1.1415 | | | | | | |
| 6 | 12 | -1-2022 | 2-28 | 3-2023 | 1.0278 1.0278 | | | | 1.0278 | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 3- | 1-2023 | 5-31 | 1-2023 | B 0.9868 0.9868 | | | | 0.9868 | | | | | | | |
| 8 | _ | 1-2023 | 0.24 | -2023 | | | 0.9831 | | | 0.9831 | | | | | | |

| 9 | 9-1-2023 | 11-30-2023 | 0.9798 | 0.9798 |
|----|-----------|------------|--------|--------|
| 10 | 12-1-2023 | 2-29-2024 | 2.8757 | 2.8757 |
| 11 | 3-1-2024 | 5-31-2024 | 1.6188 | 1.6188 |
| | | Highest | 2.8757 | 2.8757 |

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | |
|----------|---------|--------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Category | tons/yr | | | | | | | | | | | MT/yr | | | | | |
| Area | 5.1437 | 0.2950 | 10.3804 | 1.6700e- 003 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 220.9670 | 220.9670 | 0.0201 | 3.7400e- 003 | 222.5835 | |
| Energy | 0.1398 | 1.2312 | 0.7770 | 7.6200e- 003 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0000 | 3,896.073 2 | 3,896.073 2 | 0.1303 | 0.0468 | 3,913.283 3 | |
| Mobile | 1.5857 | 7.9962 | 19.1834 | 0.0821 | 7.7979 | 0.0580 | 7.8559 | 2.0895 | 0.0539 | 2.1434 | 0.0000 | 7,620.498 6 | 7,620.498 6 | 0.3407 | 0.0000 | 7,629.016 2 | |
| Waste | , | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 207.8079 | 0.0000 | 207.8079 | 12.2811 | 0.0000 | 514.8354 | |
| Water | , | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 29.1632 | 556.6420 | 585.8052 | 3.0183 | 0.0755 | 683.7567 | |
| Total | 6.8692 | 9.5223 | 30.3407 | 0.0914 | 7.7979 | 0.2260 | 8.0240 | 2.0895 | 0.2219 | 2.3114 | 236.9712 | 12,294.18 07 | 12,531.15 19 | 15.7904 | 0.1260 | 12,963.47 51 | |

Page 7 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

2.2 Overall Operational

Mitigated Operational

| | ROG | NOx | CO | S | | igitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugiti PM2 | | aust 12.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- | CO2 NB | io- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------------------|--------|--------|-------|---------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------------|---------|----------------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | | | М | T/yr | | |
| Area | 5.1437 | 0.2950 | 10.38 | 04 1.67 00 | 00e-)3 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | 0.0 | 714 | 0.0714 | 0.00 | 00 22 | 0.9670 | 220.9670 | 0.0201 | 3.7400e 003 | - 222.5835 |
| Energy | 0.1398 | 1.2312 | 0.777 | 7.62 00 | | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | | 0.0 | 966 | 0.0966 | 0.00 | 00 3,8 | 896.073 2 | 3,896.073 2 | 0.1303 | 0.0468 | 3,913.283 3 |
| Mobile | 1.5857 | 7.9962 | 19.18 | 34 0.0 | 821 7 | .7979 | 0.0580 | 7.8559 | 2.08 | 95 0.0 | 539 | 2.1434 | 0.00 | 00 7,6 | 6 6 | 7,620.498 6 | 0.3407 | 0.0000 | 7,629.016 2 |
| Waste | F) | | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0 | 000 | 0.0000 | 207.8 | 079 O | .0000 | 207.8079 | 12.2811 | 0.0000 | 514.8354 |
| Water | F) | | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0 | 000 | 0.0000 | 29.1 | 632 55 | 6.6420 | 585.8052 | 3.0183 | 0.0755 | 683.7567 |
| Total | 6.8692 | 9.5223 | 30.34 | 07 0.0 | 914 7 | .7979 | 0.2260 | 8.0240 | 2.08 | 95 0.2 | 219 | 2.3114 | 236.9 | 712 12 | ,294.18 07 | 12,531.15 19 | 15.7904 | 0.1260 | 12,963.47 51 |
| | ROG | | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugi PN | | | VI10 otal | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhau PM2 | | l2.5 otal | Bio- CO2 | NBio- | CO2 Tota | CO2 | CH4 | N20 CO2 |
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0. | 00 0. | .00 0 | .00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 0. | .00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 0. | 00 | 0.00 | 0.00 0.0 |

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

| Phase Number | Phase Name | Phase Type | Start Date | End Date | Num Days Week | Num Days | Phase Description |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 1 | Demolition | Demolition | 9/1/2021 | 10/12/2021 | 5 | 30 | |
| 2 | Site Preparation | Site Preparation | 10/13/2021 | 11/9/2021 | 5 | 20 | |
| 3 | Grading | Grading | 11/10/2021 | 1/11/2022 | 5 | 45 | |
| 4 | Building Construction | Building Construction | 1/12/2022 | 12/12/2023 | 5 | 500 | |
| 5 | Paving | Paving | 12/13/2023 | 1/30/2024 | 5 | 35 | |
| 6 | Architectural Coating | Architectural Coating | 1/31/2024 | 3/19/2024 | 5 | 35 | |

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 112.5

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 2,025,000; Residential Outdoor: 675,000; Non-Residential Indoor: 326,400; Non-Residential Outdoor: 108,800; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Type | Amount | Usage Hours | Horse Power | Load Factor |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Demolition | Concrete/Industrial Saws | 1 | 8.00 | 81 | 0.73 |
| Demolition | Excavators | 3 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Demolition | Rubber Tired Dozers | 2 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Rubber Tired Dozers | 3 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 4 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Grading | Excavators | 2 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Grading | Graders | 1 | 8.00 | 187 | 0.41 |
| Grading | Rubber Tired Dozers | 1 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Grading | Scrapers | 2 | 8.00 | 367 | 0.48 |
| Grading | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 2 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Cranes | 1 | 7.00 | 231 | 0.29 |
| Building Construction | Forklifts | 3 | 8.00 | 89 | 0.20 |
| Building Construction | Generator Sets | 1 | 8.00 | 84 | 0.74 |
| Building Construction | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 3 | 7.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Welders | 1 | 8.00 | 46 | 0.45 |
| Paving | Pavers | 2 | 8.00 | 130 | 0.42 |
| Paving | Paving Equipment | 2 | 8.00 | 132 | 0.36 |
| Paving | Rollers | 2 | 8.00 | 80 | 0.38 |
| Architectural Coating | Air Compressors | 1 | 6.00 | 78 | 0.48 |

Trips and VMT

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Count | Worker Trip Number | Vendor Trip Number | Hauling Trip Number | Worker Trip Length | Vendor Trip Length | Hauling Trip Length | Worker Vehicle Class | Vendor Vehicle Class | Hauling Vehicle Class |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Demolition | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 458.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Site Preparation | 7 | 18.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Grading | 8 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Building Construction | 9 | 801.00 | 143.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Paving | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Architectural Coating | 1 | 160.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

3.2 Demolition - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.0496 | 0.0000 | 0.0496 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0475 | 0.4716 | 0.3235 | 5.8000e- 004 | | 0.0233 | 0.0233 | | 0.0216 | 0.0216 | 0.0000 | 51.0012 | 51.0012 | 0.0144 | 0.0000 | 51.3601 |
| Total | 0.0475 | 0.4716 | 0.3235 | 5.8000e- 004 | 0.0496 | 0.0233 | 0.0729 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0216 | 0.0291 | 0.0000 | 51.0012 | 51.0012 | 0.0144 | 0.0000 | 51.3601 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 1.9300e- 003 | 0.0634 | 0.0148 | 1.8000e- 004 | 3.9400e- 003 | 1.9000e- 004 | 4.1300e- 003 | 1.0800e- 003 | 1.8000e- 004 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.4566 | 17.4566 | 1.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.4869 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 7.2000e- 004 | 5.3000e- 004 | 6.0900e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.6800e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.6900e- 003 | 4.5000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 4.6000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.5281 | 1.5281 | 5.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.5293 |
| Total | 2.6500e- 003 | 0.0639 | 0.0209 | 2.0000e- 004 | 5.6200e- 003 | 2.0000e- 004 | 5.8200e- 003 | 1.5300e- 003 | 1.9000e- 004 | 1.7200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 18.9847 | 18.9847 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.0161 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | '/yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.0496 | 0.0000 | 0.0496 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0475 | 0.4716 | 0.3235 | 5.8000e- 004 | | 0.0233 | 0.0233 | | 0.0216 | 0.0216 | 0.0000 | 51.0011 | 51.0011 | 0.0144 | 0.0000 | 51.3600 |
| Total | 0.0475 | 0.4716 | 0.3235 | 5.8000e- 004 | 0.0496 | 0.0233 | 0.0729 | 7.5100e- 003 | 0.0216 | 0.0291 | 0.0000 | 51.0011 | 51.0011 | 0.0144 | 0.0000 | 51.3600 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 1.9300e- 003 | 0.0634 | 0.0148 | 1.8000e- 004 | 3.9400e- 003 | 1.9000e- 004 | 4.1300e- 003 | 1.0800e- 003 | 1.8000e- 004 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.4566 | 17.4566 | 1.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.4869 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 7.2000e- 004 | 5.3000e- 004 | 6.0900e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 1.6800e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.6900e- 003 | 4.5000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 4.6000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.5281 | 1.5281 | 5.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.5293 |
| Total | 2.6500e- 003 | 0.0639 | 0.0209 | 2.0000e- 004 | 5.6200e- 003 | 2.0000e- 004 | 5.8200e- 003 | 1.5300e- 003 | 1.9000e- 004 | 1.7200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 18.9847 | 18.9847 | 1.2600e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.0161 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.1807 | 0.0000 | 0.1807 | 0.0993 | 0.0000 | 0.0993 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0389 | 0.4050 | 0.2115 | 3.8000e- 004 | | 0.0204 | 0.0204 | | 0.0188 | 0.0188 | 0.0000 | 33.4357 | 33.4357 | 0.0108 | 0.0000 | 33.7061 |
| Total | 0.0389 | 0.4050 | 0.2115 | 3.8000e- 004 | 0.1807 | 0.0204 | 0.2011 | 0.0993 | 0.0188 | 0.1181 | 0.0000 | 33.4357 | 33.4357 | 0.0108 | 0.0000 | 33.7061 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 5.8000e- 004 | 4.3000e- 004 | 4.8700e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.3400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.3500e- 003 | 3.6000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 3.7000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.2225 | 1.2225 | 4.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.2234 |
| Total | 5.8000e- 004 | 4.3000e- 004 | 4.8700e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.3400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.3500e- 003 | 3.6000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 3.7000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.2225 | 1.2225 | 4.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.2234 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.1807 | 0.0000 | 0.1807 | 0.0993 | 0.0000 | 0.0993 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0389 | 0.4050 | 0.2115 | 3.8000e- 004 | | 0.0204 | 0.0204 | | 0.0188 | 0.0188 | 0.0000 | 33.4357 | 33.4357 | 0.0108 | 0.0000 | 33.7060 |
| Total | 0.0389 | 0.4050 | 0.2115 | 3.8000e- 004 | 0.1807 | 0.0204 | 0.2011 | 0.0993 | 0.0188 | 0.1181 | 0.0000 | 33.4357 | 33.4357 | 0.0108 | 0.0000 | 33.7060 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | '/yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 5.8000e- 004 | 4.3000e- 004 | 4.8700e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.3400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.3500e- 003 | 3.6000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 3.7000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.2225 | 1.2225 | 4.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.2234 |
| Total | 5.8000e- 004 | 4.3000e- 004 | 4.8700e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.3400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.3500e- 003 | 3.6000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 3.7000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.2225 | 1.2225 | 4.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.2234 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.1741 | 0.0000 | 0.1741 | 0.0693 | 0.0000 | 0.0693 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0796 | 0.8816 | 0.5867 | 1.1800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 0.0347 | 0.0347 | 0.0000 | 103.5405 | 103.5405 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 104.3776 |
| Total | 0.0796 | 0.8816 | 0.5867 | 1.1800e- 003 | 0.1741 | 0.0377 | 0.2118 | 0.0693 | 0.0347 | 0.1040 | 0.0000 | 103.5405 | 103.5405 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 104.3776 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 1.2200e- 003 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.0103 | 3.0000e- 005 | 2.8300e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 2.8600e- 003 | 7.5000e- 004 | 2.0000e- 005 | 7.8000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 2.5808 | 2.5808 | 8.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 2.5828 |
| Total | 1.2200e- 003 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.0103 | 3.0000e- 005 | 2.8300e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 2.8600e- 003 | 7.5000e- 004 | 2.0000e- 005 | 7.8000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 2.5808 | 2.5808 | 8.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 2.5828 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.1741 | 0.0000 | 0.1741 | 0.0693 | 0.0000 | 0.0693 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0796 | 0.8816 | 0.5867 | 1.1800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 0.0347 | 0.0347 | 0.0000 | 103.5403 | 103.5403 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 104.3775 |
| Total | 0.0796 | 0.8816 | 0.5867 | 1.1800e- 003 | 0.1741 | 0.0377 | 0.2118 | 0.0693 | 0.0347 | 0.1040 | 0.0000 | 103.5403 | 103.5403 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 104.3775 |

Page 16 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

3.4 Grading - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | '/yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 1.2200e- 003 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.0103 | 3.0000e- 005 | 2.8300e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 2.8600e- 003 | 7.5000e- 004 | 2.0000e- 005 | 7.8000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 2.5808 | 2.5808 | 8.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 2.5828 |
| Total | 1.2200e- 003 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.0103 | 3.0000e- 005 | 2.8300e- 003 | 2.0000e- 005 | 2.8600e- 003 | 7.5000e- 004 | 2.0000e- 005 | 7.8000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 2.5808 | 2.5808 | 8.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 2.5828 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.0807 | 0.0000 | 0.0807 | 0.0180 | 0.0000 | 0.0180 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0127 | 0.1360 | 0.1017 | 2.2000e- 004 | | 5.7200e- 003 | 5.7200e- 003 | | 5.2600e- 003 | 5.2600e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.0871 | 19.0871 | 6.1700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.2414 |
| Total | 0.0127 | 0.1360 | 0.1017 | 2.2000e- 004 | 0.0807 | 5.7200e- 003 | 0.0865 | 0.0180 | 5.2600e- 003 | 0.0233 | 0.0000 | 19.0871 | 19.0871 | 6.1700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.2414 |

Page 17 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

3.4 Grading - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 2.1000e- 004 | 1.5000e- 004 | 1.7400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 5.2000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 5.3000e- 004 | 1.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.4587 | 0.4587 | 1.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.4590 |
| Total | 2.1000e- 004 | 1.5000e- 004 | 1.7400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 5.2000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 5.3000e- 004 | 1.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.4587 | 0.4587 | 1.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.4590 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 0.0807 | 0.0000 | 0.0807 | 0.0180 | 0.0000 | 0.0180 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.0127 | 0.1360 | 0.1017 | 2.2000e- 004 | | 5.7200e- 003 | 5.7200e- 003 | | 5.2600e- 003 | 5.2600e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.0871 | 19.0871 | 6.1700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.2414 |
| Total | 0.0127 | 0.1360 | 0.1017 | 2.2000e- 004 | 0.0807 | 5.7200e- 003 | 0.0865 | 0.0180 | 5.2600e- 003 | 0.0233 | 0.0000 | 19.0871 | 19.0871 | 6.1700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 19.2414 |

Page 18 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

3.4 Grading - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | '/yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 2.1000e- 004 | 1.5000e- 004 | 1.7400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 5.2000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 5.3000e- 004 | 1.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.4587 | 0.4587 | 1.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.4590 |
| Total | 2.1000e- 004 | 1.5000e- 004 | 1.7400e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 5.2000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 5.3000e- 004 | 1.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.4587 | 0.4587 | 1.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.4590 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| | 0.2158 | 1.9754 | 2.0700 | 3.4100e- 003 | | 0.1023 | 0.1023 | 1 1 1 | 0.0963 | 0.0963 | 0.0000 | 293.1324 | 293.1324 | 0.0702 | 0.0000 | 294.8881 |
| Total | 0.2158 | 1.9754 | 2.0700 | 3.4100e- 003 | | 0.1023 | 0.1023 | | 0.0963 | 0.0963 | 0.0000 | 293.1324 | 293.1324 | 0.0702 | 0.0000 | 294.8881 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0527 | 1.6961 | 0.4580 | 4.5500e- 003 | 0.1140 | 3.1800e- 003 | 0.1171 | 0.0329 | 3.0400e- 003 | 0.0359 | 0.0000 | 441.9835 | 441.9835 | 0.0264 | 0.0000 | 442.6435 |
| Worker | 0.3051 | 0.2164 | 2.5233 | 7.3500e- 003 | 0.7557 | 6.2300e- 003 | 0.7619 | 0.2007 | 5.7400e- 003 | 0.2065 | 0.0000 | 663.9936 | 663.9936 | 0.0187 | 0.0000 | 664.4604 |
| Total | 0.3578 | 1.9125 | 2.9812 | 0.0119 | 0.8696 | 9.4100e- 003 | 0.8790 | 0.2336 | 8.7800e- 003 | 0.2424 | 0.0000 | 1,105.977 1 | 1,105.977 1 | 0.0451 | 0.0000 | 1,107.103 9 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 0.2158 | 1.9754 | 2.0700 | 3.4100e- 003 | | 0.1023 | 0.1023 | 1 1 1 | 0.0963 | 0.0963 | 0.0000 | 293.1321 | 293.1321 | 0.0702 | 0.0000 | 294.8877 |
| Total | 0.2158 | 1.9754 | 2.0700 | 3.4100e- 003 | | 0.1023 | 0.1023 | | 0.0963 | 0.0963 | 0.0000 | 293.1321 | 293.1321 | 0.0702 | 0.0000 | 294.8877 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0527 | 1.6961 | 0.4580 | 4.5500e- 003 | 0.1140 | 3.1800e- 003 | 0.1171 | 0.0329 | 3.0400e- 003 | 0.0359 | 0.0000 | 441.9835 | 441.9835 | 0.0264 | 0.0000 | 442.6435 |
| Worker | 0.3051 | 0.2164 | 2.5233 | 7.3500e- 003 | 0.7557 | 6.2300e- 003 | 0.7619 | 0.2007 | 5.7400e- 003 | 0.2065 | 0.0000 | 663.9936 | 663.9936 | 0.0187 | 0.0000 | 664.4604 |
| Total | 0.3578 | 1.9125 | 2.9812 | 0.0119 | 0.8696 | 9.4100e- 003 | 0.8790 | 0.2336 | 8.7800e- 003 | 0.2424 | 0.0000 | 1,105.977 1 | 1,105.977 1 | 0.0451 | 0.0000 | 1,107.103 9 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 0.1942 | 1.7765 | 2.0061 | 3.3300e- 003 | | 0.0864 | 0.0864 | | 0.0813 | 0.0813 | 0.0000 | 286.2789 | 286.2789 | 0.0681 | 0.0000 | 287.9814 |
| Total | 0.1942 | 1.7765 | 2.0061 | 3.3300e- 003 | | 0.0864 | 0.0864 | | 0.0813 | 0.0813 | 0.0000 | 286.2789 | 286.2789 | 0.0681 | 0.0000 | 287.9814 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0382 | 1.2511 | 0.4011 | 4.3000e- 003 | 0.1113 | 1.4600e- 003 | 0.1127 | 0.0321 | 1.4000e- 003 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 417.9930 | 417.9930 | 0.0228 | 0.0000 | 418.5624 |
| Worker | 0.2795 | 0.1910 | 2.2635 | 6.9100e- 003 | 0.7377 | 5.9100e- 003 | 0.7436 | 0.1960 | 5.4500e- 003 | 0.2014 | 0.0000 | 624.5363 | 624.5363 | 0.0164 | 0.0000 | 624.9466 |
| Total | 0.3177 | 1.4420 | 2.6646 | 0.0112 | 0.8490 | 7.3700e- 003 | 0.8564 | 0.2281 | 6.8500e- 003 | 0.2349 | 0.0000 | 1,042.529 4 | 1,042.529 4 | 0.0392 | 0.0000 | 1,043.509 0 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|---------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | tons/yr | | | | | | | | | | MT/yr | | | | | |
| Off-Road | 0.1942 | 1.7765 | 2.0061 | 3.3300e- 003 | | 0.0864 | 0.0864 | 1 1 1 | 0.0813 | 0.0813 | 0.0000 | 286.2785 | 286.2785 | 0.0681 | 0.0000 | 287.9811 |
| Total | 0.1942 | 1.7765 | 2.0061 | 3.3300e- 003 | | 0.0864 | 0.0864 | | 0.0813 | 0.0813 | 0.0000 | 286.2785 | 286.2785 | 0.0681 | 0.0000 | 287.9811 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0382 | 1.2511 | 0.4011 | 4.3000e- 003 | 0.1113 | 1.4600e- 003 | 0.1127 | 0.0321 | 1.4000e- 003 | 0.0335 | 0.0000 | 417.9930 | 417.9930 | 0.0228 | 0.0000 | 418.5624 |
| Worker | 0.2795 | 0.1910 | 2.2635 | 6.9100e- 003 | 0.7377 | 5.9100e- 003 | 0.7436 | 0.1960 | 5.4500e- 003 | 0.2014 | 0.0000 | 624.5363 | 624.5363 | 0.0164 | 0.0000 | 624.9466 |
| Total | 0.3177 | 1.4420 | 2.6646 | 0.0112 | 0.8490 | 7.3700e- 003 | 0.8564 | 0.2281 | 6.8500e- 003 | 0.2349 | 0.0000 | 1,042.529 4 | 1,042.529 4 | 0.0392 | 0.0000 | 1,043.509 0 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 6.7100e- 003 | 0.0663 | 0.0948 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 3.3200e- 003 | 3.3200e- 003 | | 3.0500e- 003 | 3.0500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.0175 | 13.0175 | 4.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.1227 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Total | 6.7100e- 003 | 0.0663 | 0.0948 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 3.3200e- 003 | 3.3200e- 003 | | 3.0500e- 003 | 3.0500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.0175 | 13.0175 | 4.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.1227 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 2.8000e- 004 | 1.9000e- 004 | 2.2300e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.3000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.3000e- 004 | 1.9000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.0000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.6156 | 0.6156 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.6160 |
| Total | 2.8000e- 004 | 1.9000e- 004 | 2.2300e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.3000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.3000e- 004 | 1.9000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.0000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.6156 | 0.6156 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.6160 |

Mitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | ∏/yr | | |
| Off-Road | 6.7100e- 003 | 0.0663 | 0.0948 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 3.3200e- 003 | 3.3200e- 003 | | 3.0500e- 003 | 3.0500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.0175 | 13.0175 | 4.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.1227 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Total | 6.7100e- 003 | 0.0663 | 0.0948 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 3.3200e- 003 | 3.3200e- 003 | | 3.0500e- 003 | 3.0500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.0175 | 13.0175 | 4.2100e- 003 | 0.0000 | 13.1227 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | '/yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 2.8000e- 004 | 1.9000e- 004 | 2.2300e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.3000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.3000e- 004 | 1.9000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.0000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.6156 | 0.6156 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.6160 |
| Total | 2.8000e- 004 | 1.9000e- 004 | 2.2300e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.3000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 7.3000e- 004 | 1.9000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 2.0000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 0.6156 | 0.6156 | 2.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 0.6160 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Off-Road | 0.0109 | 0.1048 | 0.1609 | 2.5000e- 004 | | 5.1500e- 003 | 5.1500e- 003 | | 4.7400e- 003 | 4.7400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.0292 | 22.0292 | 7.1200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.2073 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.0109 | 0.1048 | 0.1609 | 2.5000e- 004 | | 5.1500e- 003 | 5.1500e- 003 | | 4.7400e- 003 | 4.7400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.0292 | 22.0292 | 7.1200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.2073 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 4.4000e- 004 | 2.9000e- 004 | 3.5100e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.2300e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.2400e- 003 | 3.3000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 3.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.0094 | 1.0094 | 3.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.0100 |
| Total | 4.4000e- 004 | 2.9000e- 004 | 3.5100e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.2300e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.2400e- 003 | 3.3000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 3.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.0094 | 1.0094 | 3.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.0100 |

Mitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | ∵/yr | | |
| Off-Road | 0.0109 | 0.1048 | 0.1609 | 2.5000e- 004 | | 5.1500e- 003 | 5.1500e- 003 | | 4.7400e- 003 | 4.7400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.0292 | 22.0292 | 7.1200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.2073 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.0109 | 0.1048 | 0.1609 | 2.5000e- 004 | | 5.1500e- 003 | 5.1500e- 003 | | 4.7400e- 003 | 4.7400e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.0292 | 22.0292 | 7.1200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 22.2073 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | <u>.</u> | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 4.4000e- 004 | 2.9000e- 004 | 3.5100e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.2300e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.2400e- 003 | 3.3000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 3.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.0094 | 1.0094 | 3.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.0100 |
| Total | 4.4000e- 004 | 2.9000e- 004 | 3.5100e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.2300e- 003 | 1.0000e- 005 | 1.2400e- 003 | 3.3000e- 004 | 1.0000e- 005 | 3.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 1.0094 | 1.0094 | 3.0000e- 005 | 0.0000 | 1.0100 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| , and a country | 4.1372 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| | 3.1600e- 003 | 0.0213 | 0.0317 | 5.0000e- 005 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 4.4682 | 4.4682 | 2.5000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.4745 |
| Total | 4.1404 | 0.0213 | 0.0317 | 5.0000e- 005 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 4.4682 | 4.4682 | 2.5000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.4745 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 7.4800e- 003 | 4.9300e- 003 | 0.0596 | 1.9000e- 004 | 0.0209 | 1.6000e- 004 | 0.0211 | 5.5500e- 003 | 1.5000e- 004 | 5.7000e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.1287 | 17.1287 | 4.3000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 17.1394 |
| Total | 7.4800e- 003 | 4.9300e- 003 | 0.0596 | 1.9000e- 004 | 0.0209 | 1.6000e- 004 | 0.0211 | 5.5500e- 003 | 1.5000e- 004 | 5.7000e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.1287 | 17.1287 | 4.3000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 17.1394 |

Mitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | 7/yr | | |
| Archit. Coating | 4.1372 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.1600e- 003 | 0.0213 | 0.0317 | 5.0000e- 005 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 4.4682 | 4.4682 | 2.5000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.4745 |
| Total | 4.1404 | 0.0213 | 0.0317 | 5.0000e- 005 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | | 1.0700e- 003 | 1.0700e- 003 | 0.0000 | 4.4682 | 4.4682 | 2.5000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.4745 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 7.4800e- 003 | 4.9300e- 003 | 0.0596 | 1.9000e- 004 | 0.0209 | 1.6000e- 004 | 0.0211 | 5.5500e- 003 | 1.5000e- 004 | 5.7000e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.1287 | 17.1287 | 4.3000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 17.1394 |
| Total | 7.4800e- 003 | 4.9300e- 003 | 0.0596 | 1.9000e- 004 | 0.0209 | 1.6000e- 004 | 0.0211 | 5.5500e- 003 | 1.5000e- 004 | 5.7000e- 003 | 0.0000 | 17.1287 | 17.1287 | 4.3000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 17.1394 |

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Mitigated | 1.5857 | 7.9962 | 19.1834 | 0.0821 | 7.7979 | 0.0580 | 7.8559 | 2.0895 | 0.0539 | 2.1434 | 0.0000 | 7,620.498 6 | 7,620.498 6 | 0.3407 | 0.0000 | 7,629.016 2 |
| Unmitigated | 1.5857 | 7.9962 | 19.1834 | 0.0821 | 7.7979 | 0.0580 | 7.8559 | 2.0895 | 0.0539 | 2.1434 | 0.0000 | 7,620.498 6 | 7,620.498 6 | 0.3407 | 0.0000 | 7,629.016 2 |

4.2 Trip Summary Information

| | Ave | rage Daily Trip Ra | ate | Unmitigated | Mitigated |
|-------------------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Land Use | Weekday | Saturday | Sunday | Annual VMT | Annual VMT |
| Apartments Low Rise | 145.75 | 154.25 | 154.00 | 506,227 | 506,227 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 4,026.75 | 3,773.25 | 4075.50 | 13,660,065 | 13,660,065 |
| General Office Building | 288.45 | 62.55 | 31.05 | 706,812 | 706,812 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 2,368.80 | 2,873.52 | 2817.72 | 3,413,937 | 3,413,937 |
| Hotel | 192.00 | 187.50 | 160.00 | 445,703 | 445,703 |
| Quality Restaurant | 501.12 | 511.92 | 461.20 | 707,488 | 707,488 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 528.08 | 601.44 | 357.84 | 1,112,221 | 1,112,221 |
| Total | 8,050.95 | 8,164.43 | 8,057.31 | 20,552,452 | 20,552,452 |

4.3 Trip Type Information

| | | Miles | | | Trip % | | | Trip Purpos | e % |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Land Use | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | Primary | Diverted | Pass-by |
| Apartments Low Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| General Office Building | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 33.00 | 48.00 | 19.00 | 77 | 19 | 4 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 8.50 | 72.50 | 19.00 | 37 | 20 | 43 |
| Hotel | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 19.40 | 61.60 | 19.00 | 58 | 38 | 4 |
| Quality Restaurant | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 12.00 | 69.00 | 19.00 | 38 | 18 | 44 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 16.30 | 64.70 | 19.00 | 54 | 35 | 11 |

4.4 Fleet Mix

| Land Use | LDA | LDT1 | LDT2 | MDV | LHD1 | LHD2 | MHD | HHD | OBUS | UBUS | MCY | SBUS | MH |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Apartments Low Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| General Office Building | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Hotel | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Quality Restaurant | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Electricity Mitigated | | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 2,512.646 5 | 2,512.646 5 | 0.1037 | 0.0215 | 2,521.635 6 |
| Electricity Unmitigated | n | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 2,512.646 5 | 2,512.646 5 | 0.1037 | 0.0215 | 2,521.635 6 |
| NaturalGas Mitigated | 0.1398 | 1.2312 | 0.7770 | 7.6200e- 003 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0000 | 1,383.426 7 | 1,383.426 7 | 0.0265 | 0.0254 | 1,391.647 8 |
| NaturalGas Unmitigated | 0.1398 | 1.2312 | 0.7770 | 7.6200e- 003 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0000 | 1,383.426 7 | 1,383.426 7 | 0.0265 | 0.0254 | 1,391.647 8 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | 7/yr | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 408494 | 2.2000e- 003 | 0.0188 | 8.0100e- 003 | 1.2000e- 004 | | 1.5200e- 003 | 1.5200e- 003 | | 1.5200e- 003 | 1.5200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 21.7988 | 21.7988 | 4.2000e- 004 | 4.0000e- 004 | 21.9284 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 1.30613e +007 | 0.0704 | 0.6018 | 0.2561 | 3.8400e- 003 | | 0.0487 | 0.0487 | | 0.0487 | 0.0487 | 0.0000 | 696.9989 | 696.9989 | 0.0134 | 0.0128 | 701.1408 |
| General Office Building | 468450 | 2.5300e- 003 | 0.0230 | 0.0193 | 1.4000e- 004 | | 1.7500e- 003 | 1.7500e- 003 | | 1.7500e- 003 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 24.9983 | 24.9983 | 4.8000e- 004 | 4.6000e- 004 | 25.1468 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | | 0.0448 | 0.4072 | 0.3421 | 2.4400e- 003 | | 0.0310 | 0.0310 | | 0.0310 | 0.0310 | 0.0000 | 443.3124 | 443.3124 | 8.5000e- 003 | 8.1300e- 003 | 445.9468 |
| Hotel | 1.74095e +006 | 9.3900e- 003 | 0.0853 | 0.0717 | 5.1000e- 004 | | 6.4900e- 003 | 6.4900e- 003 | | 6.4900e- 003 | 6.4900e- 003 | 0.0000 | 92.9036 | 92.9036 | 1.7800e- 003 | 1.7000e- 003 | 93.4557 |
| Quality Restaurant | 1.84608e +006 | 9.9500e- 003 | 0.0905 | 0.0760 | 5.4000e- 004 | | 6.8800e- 003 | 6.8800e- 003 | | 6.8800e- 003 | 6.8800e- 003 | 0.0000 | 98.5139 | 98.5139 | 1.8900e- 003 | 1.8100e- 003 | 99.0993 |
| Regional Shopping Center | | 5.0000e- 004 | 4.5000e- 003 | 3.7800e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | | 3.4000e- 004 | 3.4000e- 004 | | 3.4000e- 004 | 3.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.9009 | 4.9009 | 9.0000e- 005 | 9.0000e- 005 | 4.9301 |
| Total | | 0.1398 | 1.2312 | 0.7770 | 7.6200e- 003 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0000 | 1,383.426 8 | 1,383.426 8 | 0.0265 | 0.0254 | 1,391.647 8 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | MT | /yr | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 408494 | 2.2000e- 003 | 0.0188 | 8.0100e- 003 | 1.2000e- 004 | | 1.5200e- 003 | 1.5200e- 003 | 1 1 1 | 1.5200e- 003 | 1.5200e- 003 | 0.0000 | 21.7988 | 21.7988 | 4.2000e- 004 | 4.0000e- 004 | 21.9284 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 1.30613e +007 | 0.0704 | 0.6018 | 0.2561 | 3.8400e- 003 | | 0.0487 | 0.0487 | | 0.0487 | 0.0487 | 0.0000 | 696.9989 | 696.9989 | 0.0134 | 0.0128 | 701.1408 |
| General Office Building | 468450 | 2.5300e- 003 | 0.0230 | 0.0193 | 1.4000e- 004 | | 1.7500e- 003 | 1.7500e- 003 | | 1.7500e- 003 | 1.7500e- 003 | 0.0000 | 24.9983 | 24.9983 | 4.8000e- 004 | 4.6000e- 004 | 25.1468 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | | 0.0448 | 0.4072 | 0.3421 | 2.4400e- 003 | | 0.0310 | 0.0310 | | 0.0310 | 0.0310 | 0.0000 | 443.3124 | 443.3124 | 8.5000e- 003 | 8.1300e- 003 | 445.9468 |
| Hotel | 1.74095e +006 | 9.3900e- 003 | 0.0853 | 0.0717 | 5.1000e- 004 | ,,,,,,, | 6.4900e- 003 | 6.4900e- 003 | | 6.4900e- 003 | 6.4900e- 003 | 0.0000 | 92.9036 | 92.9036 | 1.7800e- 003 | 1.7000e- 003 | 93.4557 |
| Quality Restaurant | 1.84608e +006 | 9.9500e- 003 | 0.0905 | 0.0760 | 5.4000e- 004 | | 6.8800e- 003 | 6.8800e- 003 | | 6.8800e- 003 | 6.8800e- 003 | 0.0000 | 98.5139 | 98.5139 | 1.8900e- 003 | 1.8100e- 003 | 99.0993 |
| Regional Shopping Center | | 5.0000e- 004 | 4.5000e- 003 | 3.7800e- 003 | 3.0000e- 005 | | 3.4000e- 004 | 3.4000e- 004 | | 3.4000e- 004 | 3.4000e- 004 | 0.0000 | 4.9009 | 4.9009 | 9.0000e- 005 | 9.0000e- 005 | 4.9301 |
| Total | | 0.1398 | 1.2312 | 0.7770 | 7.6200e- 003 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0000 | 1,383.426 8 | 1,383.426 8 | 0.0265 | 0.0254 | 1,391.647 8 |

Page 34 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | Electricity Use | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kWh/yr | | МТ | 7/yr | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 106010 | 33.7770 | 1.3900e- 003 | 2.9000e- 004 | 33.8978 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 3.94697e +006 | 1,257.587 9 | 0.0519 | 0.0107 | 1,262.086 9 |
| General Office Building | 584550 | 186.2502 | 7.6900e- 003 | 1.5900e- 003 | 186.9165 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | | 506.3022 | 0.0209 | 4.3200e- 003 | 508.1135 |
| Hotel | 550308 | 175.3399 | 7.2400e- 003 | 1.5000e- 003 | 175.9672 |
| Quality Restaurant | 353120 | 112.5116 | 4.6500e- 003 | 9.6000e- 004 | 112.9141 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 756000 | 240.8778 | 9.9400e- 003 | 2.0600e- 003 | 241.7395 |
| Total | | 2,512.646 5 | 0.1037 | 0.0215 | 2,521.635 6 |

Page 35 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

Mitigated

| | Electricity Use | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kWh/yr | | МТ | /yr | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 106010 | 33.7770 | 1.3900e- 003 | 2.9000e- 004 | 33.8978 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 3.94697e +006 | 1,257.587 9 | 0.0519 | 0.0107 | 1,262.086 9 |
| General Office Building | 584550 | 186.2502 | 7.6900e- 003 | 1.5900e- 003 | 186.9165 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | | 506.3022 | 0.0209 | 4.3200e- 003 | 508.1135 |
| Hotel | 550308 | 175.3399 | 7.2400e- 003 | 1.5000e- 003 | 175.9672 |
| Quality Restaurant | 353120 | 112.5116 | 4.6500e- 003 | 9.6000e- 004 | 112.9141 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 756000 | 240.8778 | 9.9400e- 003 | 2.0600e- 003 | 241.7395 |
| Total | | 2,512.646 5 | 0.1037 | 0.0215 | 2,521.635 6 |

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Page 36 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----------------|----------|
| Category | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Mitigated | 5.1437 | 0.2950 | 10.3804 | 1.6700e- 003 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 220.9670 | 220.9670 | 0.0201 | 3.7400e- 003 | 222.5835 |
| Unmitigated | 5.1437 | 0.2950 | 10.3804 | 1.6700e- 003 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 220.9670 | 220.9670 | 0.0201 | 3.7400e- 003 | 222.5835 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| SubCategory | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Architectural Coating | 0.4137 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Consumer Products | 4.3998 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Hearth | 0.0206 | 0.1763 | 0.0750 | 1.1200e- 003 | | 0.0143 | 0.0143 | | 0.0143 | 0.0143 | 0.0000 | 204.1166 | 204.1166 | 3.9100e- 003 | 3.7400e- 003 | 205.3295 |
| Landscaping | 0.3096 | 0.1187 | 10.3054 | 5.4000e- 004 | | 0.0572 | 0.0572 | | 0.0572 | 0.0572 | 0.0000 | 16.8504 | 16.8504 | 0.0161 | 0.0000 | 17.2540 |
| Total | 5.1437 | 0.2950 | 10.3804 | 1.6600e- 003 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 220.9670 | 220.9670 | 0.0201 | 3.7400e- 003 | 222.5835 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| SubCategory | | | | | ton | s/yr | | | | | | | МТ | /yr | | |
| Architectural Coating | 0.4137 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Consumer Products | 4.3998 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| Hearth | 0.0206 | 0.1763 | 0.0750 | 1.1200e- 003 | | 0.0143 | 0.0143 | | 0.0143 | 0.0143 | 0.0000 | 204.1166 | 204.1166 | 3.9100e- 003 | 3.7400e- 003 | 205.3295 |
| Landscaping | 0.3096 | 0.1187 | 10.3054 | 5.4000e- 004 | | 0.0572 | 0.0572 | | 0.0572 | 0.0572 | 0.0000 | 16.8504 | 16.8504 | 0.0161 | 0.0000 | 17.2540 |
| Total | 5.1437 | 0.2950 | 10.3804 | 1.6600e- 003 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | | 0.0714 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 220.9670 | 220.9670 | 0.0201 | 3.7400e- 003 | 222.5835 |

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

Page 38 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

| | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category | | МТ | /yr | |
| | 585.8052 | 3.0183 | 0.0755 | 683.7567 |
| - Guine | 585.8052 | 3.0183 | 0.0755 | 683.7567 |

Page 39 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

7.2 Water by Land Use

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | Indoor/Out door Use | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|----------|--|--|
| Land Use | Mgal | MT/yr | | | | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1.62885 / 1.02688 | 10.9095 | 0.0535 | 1.3400e- 003 | 12.6471 | | |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 63.5252 / 40.0485 | 425.4719 | 2.0867 | 0.0523 | 493.2363 | | |
| General Office Building | 7.99802 / 4.90201 | 53.0719 | 0.2627 | 6.5900e- 003 | 61.6019 | | |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | | | 0.3580 | 8.8200e- 003 | 62.8482 | | |
| Hotel | 1.26834 / 0.140927 | | 0.0416 | 1.0300e- 003 | 7.5079 | | |
| | 2.42827 / 0.154996 | | 0.0796 | 1.9600e- 003 | 13.9663 | | |
| Regional Shopping Center | 4.14806 / 2.54236 | 27.5250 | 0.1363 | 3.4200e- 003 | 31.9490 | | |
| Total | | 585.8052 | 3.0183 | 0.0755 | 683.7567 | | |

Page 40 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

7.2 Water by Land Use

Mitigated

| | Indoor/Out door Use | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|----------|--|--|
| Land Use | Mgal | MT/yr | | | | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1.62885 / 1.02688 | 10.9095 | 0.0535 | 1.3400e- 003 | 12.6471 | | |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 63.5252 / 40.0485 | 425.4719 | 2.0867 | 0.0523 | 493.2363 | | |
| General Office Building | 7.99802 / 4.90201 | 53.0719 | 0.2627 | 6.5900e- 003 | 61.6019 | | |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 10.9272 / 0.697482 | 51.2702 | 0.3580 | 8.8200e- 003 | 62.8482 | | |
| Hotel | 1.26834 / 0.140927 | | 0.0416 | 1.0300e- 003 | 7.5079 | | |
| | 2.42827 / 0.154996 | | 0.0796 | 1.9600e- 003 | 13.9663 | | |
| Regional Shopping Center | 4.14806 / 2.54236 | 27.5250 | 0.1363 | 3.4200e- 003 | 31.9490 | | |
| Total | | 585.8052 | 3.0183 | 0.0755 | 683.7567 | | |

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Page 41 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

Category/Year

| | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | | |
|--|-----------|---------|--------|----------|--|--|--|
| | MT/yr | | | | | | |
| Mitigated | 207.8079 | 12.2811 | 0.0000 | 514.8354 | | | |
| J. J | 207.8079 | 12.2811 | 0.0000 | 514.8354 | | | |

Page 42 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

8.2 Waste by Land Use

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | Waste Disposed | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------|--|--|--|
| Land Use | tons | | MT/yr | | | | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 11.5 | 2.3344 | 0.1380 | 0.0000 | 5.7834 | | | |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 448.5 | 91.0415 | 5.3804 | 0.0000 | 225.5513 | | | |
| General Office Building | 41.85 | 8.4952 | 0.5021 | 0.0000 | 21.0464 | | | |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | | 86.9613 | 5.1393 | 0.0000 | 215.4430 | | | |
| Hotel | 27.38 | 5.5579 | 0.3285 | 0.0000 | 13.7694 | | | |
| Quality Restaurant | 7.3 | 1.4818 | 0.0876 | 0.0000 | 3.6712 | | | |
| Regional Shopping Center | 58.8 | 11.9359 | 0.7054 | 0.0000 | 29.5706 | | | |
| Total | | 207.8079 | 12.2811 | 0.0000 | 514.8354 | | | |

Page 43 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

8.2 Waste by Land Use

Mitigated

| | Waste Disposed | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------|--|--|
| Land Use | tons | MT/yr | | | | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 11.5 | 2.3344 | 0.1380 | 0.0000 | 5.7834 | | |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 448.5 | 91.0415 | 5.3804 | 0.0000 | 225.5513 | | |
| General Office Building | 41.85 | 8.4952 | 0.5021 | 0.0000 | 21.0464 | | |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | | 86.9613 | 5.1393 | 0.0000 | 215.4430 | | |
| Hotel | 27.38 | 5.5579 | 0.3285 | 0.0000 | 13.7694 | | |
| Quality Restaurant | 7.3 | 1.4818 | 0.0876 | 0.0000 | 3.6712 | | |
| Regional Shopping Center | 58.8 | 11.9359 | 0.7054 | 0.0000 | 29.5706 | | |
| Total | | 207.8079 | 12.2811 | 0.0000 | 514.8354 | | |

9.0 Operational Offroad

| Equipment Type | Number | Hours/Day | Days/Year | Horse Power | Load Factor | Fuel Type |
|----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|

10.0 Stationary Equipment

Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

| Equipment Type | Number Hours/Day | Hours/Year | Horse Power | Load Factor | Fuel Type |
|----------------|------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
|----------------|------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|

Page 44 of 44

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

Boilers

| Equipment Type | Number | Heat Input/Day | Heat Input/Year | Boiler Rating | Fuel Type |
|------------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
| User Defined Equipment | | | | | |
| Equipment Type | Number | | | | |
| | | | | | |

11.0 Vegetation

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed)

Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

| Land Uses | Size | Metric | Lot Acreage | Floor Surface Area | Population |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|
| General Office Building | 45.00 | 1000sqft | 1.03 | 45,000.00 | 0 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 36.00 | 1000sqft | 0.83 | 36,000.00 | 0 |
| Hotel | 50.00 | Room | 1.67 | 72,600.00 | 0 |
| Quality Restaurant | 8.00 | 1000sqft | 0.18 | 8,000.00 | 0 |
| Apartments Low Rise | 25.00 | Dwelling Unit | 1.56 | 25,000.00 | 72 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 975.00 | Dwelling Unit | 25.66 | 975,000.00 | 2789 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 56.00 | 1000sqft | 1.29 | 56,000.00 | 0 |

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

| Urbanization | Urban | Wind Speed (m/s) | 2.2 | Precipitation Freq (Days) | 33 |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|
| Climate Zone | 9 | | | Operational Year | 2028 |
| Utility Company | Southern California Ediso | n | | | |
| CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 702.44 | CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.029 | N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.006 |

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - Consistent with the DEIR's model.

Land Use - See SWAPE comment regarding residential and retail land uses.

Construction Phase - See SWAPE comment regarding individual construction phase lengths.

Demolition - Consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding demolition.

Vehicle Trips - Saturday trips consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding weekday and Sunday trips.

Woodstoves - Woodstoves and wood-burning fireplaces consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding gas fireplaces.

Energy Use -

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - See SWAPE comment on construction-related mitigation.

Area Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Water Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Trips and VMT - Local hire provision

| Table Name | Column Name | Default Value | New Value |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 7.16 | 6.17 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 6.39 | 3.87 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 2.46 | 1.39 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 158.37 | 79.82 |

| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 8.19 | 3.75 |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------|-------|
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 94.36 | 63.99 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 49.97 | 10.74 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 6.07 | 6.16 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.86 | 4.18 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 1.05 | 0.69 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 131.84 | 78.27 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.95 | 3.20 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 72.16 | 57.65 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 25.24 | 6.39 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.59 | 5.83 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.65 | 4.13 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 11.03 | 6.41 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 127.15 | 65.80 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 8.17 | 3.84 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 89.95 | 62.64 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 42.70 | 9.43 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 |
| | | | |

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Unmitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------|----------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Year | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| 2021 | 4.2561 | 46.4415 | 31.4494 | 0.0636 | 18.2032 | 2.0456 | 20.2488 | 9.9670 | 1.8820 | 11.8490 | 0.0000 | 6,163.416 6 | 6,163.416 6 | 1.9475 | 0.0000 | 6,212.103 9 |
| 2022 | 4.5441 | 38.8811 | 40.8776 | 0.1240 | 8.8255 | 1.6361 | 10.4616 | 3.6369 | 1.5052 | 5.1421 | 0.0000 | 12,493.44 03 | 12,493.44 03 | 1.9485 | 0.0000 | 12,518.57 07 |
| 2023 | 4.1534 | 25.7658 | 38.7457 | 0.1206 | 7.0088 | 0.7592 | 7.7679 | 1.8799 | 0.7136 | 2.5935 | 0.0000 | 12,150.48 90 | 12,150.48 90 | 0.9589 | 0.0000 | 12,174.46 15 |
| 2024 | 237.0219 | 9.5478 | 14.9642 | 0.0239 | 1.2171 | 0.4694 | 1.2875 | 0.3229 | 0.4319 | 0.4621 | 0.0000 | 2,313.180 8 | 2,313.180 8 | 0.7166 | 0.0000 | 2,331.095 6 |
| Maximum | 237.0219 | 46.4415 | 40.8776 | 0.1240 | 18.2032 | 2.0456 | 20.2488 | 9.9670 | 1.8820 | 11.8490 | 0.0000 | 12,493.44 03 | 12,493.44 03 | 1.9485 | 0.0000 | 12,518.57 07 |

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Mitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------|----------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Year | | | | | lb/ | /day | | | | | | | lb/d | Jay | | |
| 2021 | 4.2561 | 46.4415 | 31.4494 | 0.0636 | 18.2032 | 2.0456 | 20.2488 | 9.9670 | 1.8820 | 11.8490 | 0.0000 | 6,163.416 6 | 6,163.416 6 | 1.9475 | 0.0000 | 6,212.103 9 |
| 2022 | 4.5441 | 38.8811 | 40.8776 | 0.1240 | 8.8255 | 1.6361 | 10.4616 | 3.6369 | 1.5052 | 5.1421 | 0.0000 | 12,493.44 03 | 12,493.44 03 | 1.9485 | 0.0000 | 12,518.57 07 |
| 2023 | 4.1534 | 25.7658 | 38.7457 | 0.1206 | 7.0088 | 0.7592 | 7.7679 | 1.8799 | 0.7136 | 2.5935 | 0.0000 | 12,150.48 90 | 12,150.48 90 | 0.9589 | 0.0000 | 12,174.46 15 |
| 2024 | 237.0219 | 9.5478 | 14.9642 | 0.0239 | 1.2171 | 0.4694 | 1.2875 | 0.3229 | 0.4319 | 0.4621 | 0.0000 | 2,313.180 8 | 2,313.180 8 | 0.7166 | 0.0000 | 2,331.095 5 |
| Maximum | 237.0219 | 46.4415 | 40.8776 | 0.1240 | 18.2032 | 2.0456 | 20.2488 | 9.9670 | 1.8820 | 11.8490 | 0.0000 | 12,493.44 03 | 12,493.44 03 | 1.9485 | 0.0000 | 12,518.57 07 |
| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive | Exhaust | PM10 | Fugitive | Exhaust | PM2.5 | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|---------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Area | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Energy | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| Mobile | 9.8489 | 45.4304 | 114.8495 | 0.4917 | 45.9592 | 0.3360 | 46.2951 | 12.2950 | 0.3119 | 12.6070 | | 50,306.60 34 | 50,306.60 34 | 2.1807 | | 50,361.12 08 |
| Total | 41.1168 | 67.2262 | 207.5497 | 0.6278 | 45.9592 | 2.4626 | 48.4217 | 12.2950 | 2.4385 | 14.7336 | 0.0000 | 76,811.18 16 | 76,811.18 16 | 2.8282 | 0.4832 | 77,025.87 86 |

Mitigated Operational

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|---------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Area | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Energy | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| Mobile | 9.8489 | 45.4304 | 114.8495 | 0.4917 | 45.9592 | 0.3360 | 46.2951 | 12.2950 | 0.3119 | 12.6070 | | 50,306.60 34 | 50,306.60 34 | 2.1807 | | 50,361.12 08 |
| Total | 41.1168 | 67.2262 | 207.5497 | 0.6278 | 45.9592 | 2.4626 | 48.4217 | 12.2950 | 2.4385 | 14.7336 | 0.0000 | 76,811.18 16 | 76,811.18 16 | 2.8282 | 0.4832 | 77,025.87 86 |

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

| Phase Number | Phase Name | Phase Type | Start Date | End Date | Num Days Week | Num Days | Phase Description |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 1 | Demolition | Demolition | 9/1/2021 | 10/12/2021 | 5 | 30 | |
| 2 | Site Preparation | Site Preparation | 10/13/2021 | 11/9/2021 | 5 | 20 | |
| 3 | Grading | Grading | 11/10/2021 | 1/11/2022 | 5 | 45 | |
| 4 | Building Construction | Building Construction | 1/12/2022 | 12/12/2023 | 5 | 500 | |
| 5 | Paving | Paving | 12/13/2023 | 1/30/2024 | 5 | 35 | |
| 6 | Architectural Coating | Architectural Coating | 1/31/2024 | 3/19/2024 | 5 | 35 | |

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 112.5

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 2,025,000; Residential Outdoor: 675,000; Non-Residential Indoor: 326,400; Non-Residential Outdoor: 108,800; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Type | Amount | Usage Hours | Horse Power | Load Factor |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Demolition | Concrete/Industrial Saws | 1 | 8.00 | 81 | 0.73 |
| Demolition | Excavators | 3 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Demolition | Rubber Tired Dozers | 2 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Rubber Tired Dozers | 3 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 4 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Grading | Excavators | 2 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Grading | Graders | 1 | 8.00 | 187 | 0.41 |
| Grading | Rubber Tired Dozers | 1 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Grading | Scrapers | 2 | 8.00 | 367 | 0.48 |
| Grading | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 2 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Cranes | 1 | 7.00 | 231 | 0.29 |
| Building Construction | Forklifts | 3 | 8.00 | 89 | 0.20 |
| Building Construction | Generator Sets | 1 | 8.00 | 84 | 0.74 |
| Building Construction | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 3 | 7.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Welders | 1 | 8.00 | 46 | 0.45 |
| Paving | Pavers | 2 | 8.00 | 130 | 0.42 |
| Paving | Paving Equipment | 2 | 8.00 | 132 | 0.36 |
| Paving | Rollers | 2 | 8.00 | 80 | 0.38 |
| Architectural Coating | Air Compressors | 1 | 6.00 | 78 | 0.48 |

Trips and VMT

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Count | Worker Trip Number | Vendor Trip Number | Hauling Trip Number | Worker Trip Length | Vendor Trip Length | Hauling Trip Length | Worker Vehicle Class | Vendor Vehicle Class | Hauling Vehicle Class |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Demolition | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 458.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Site Preparation | 7 | 18.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Grading | 8 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Building Construction | 9 | 801.00 | 143.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Paving | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Architectural Coating | 1 | 160.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 3.3074 | 0.0000 | 3.3074 | 0.5008 | 0.0000 | 0.5008 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | | 1.5513 | 1.5513 | | 1.4411 | 1.4411 | | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |
| Total | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | 3.3074 | 1.5513 | 4.8588 | 0.5008 | 1.4411 | 1.9419 | | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.1273 | 4.0952 | 0.9602 | 0.0119 | 0.2669 | 0.0126 | 0.2795 | 0.0732 | 0.0120 | 0.0852 | | 1,292.241 3 | 1,292.241 3 | 0.0877 | | 1,294.433 7 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0487 | 0.0313 | 0.4282 | 1.1800e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.5000e- 004 | 0.1151 | 0.0303 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 117.2799 | 117.2799 | 3.5200e- 003 | | 117.3678 |
| Total | 0.1760 | 4.1265 | 1.3884 | 0.0131 | 0.3810 | 0.0135 | 0.3946 | 0.1034 | 0.0129 | 0.1163 | | 1,409.521 2 | 1,409.521 2 | 0.0912 | | 1,411.801 5 |

Mitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 3.3074 | 0.0000 | 3.3074 | 0.5008 | 0.0000 | 0.5008 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | | 1.5513 | 1.5513 | | 1.4411 | 1.4411 | 0.0000 | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |
| Total | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | 3.3074 | 1.5513 | 4.8588 | 0.5008 | 1.4411 | 1.9419 | 0.0000 | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.1273 | 4.0952 | 0.9602 | 0.0119 | 0.2669 | 0.0126 | 0.2795 | 0.0732 | 0.0120 | 0.0852 | | 1,292.241 3 | 1,292.241 3 | 0.0877 | | 1,294.433 7 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0487 | 0.0313 | 0.4282 | 1.1800e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.5000e- 004 | 0.1151 | 0.0303 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 117.2799 | 117.2799 | 3.5200e- 003 | | 117.3678 |
| Total | 0.1760 | 4.1265 | 1.3884 | 0.0131 | 0.3810 | 0.0135 | 0.3946 | 0.1034 | 0.0129 | 0.1163 | | 1,409.521 2 | 1,409.521 2 | 0.0912 | | 1,411.801 5 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 18.0663 | 0.0000 | 18.0663 | 9.9307 | 0.0000 | 9.9307 | | - - - - - | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | | 2.0445 | 2.0445 | | 1.8809 | 1.8809 | | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |
| Total | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | 18.0663 | 2.0445 | 20.1107 | 9.9307 | 1.8809 | 11.8116 | | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0584 | 0.0375 | 0.5139 | 1.4100e- 003 | 0.1369 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.1381 | 0.0363 | 1.0500e- 003 | 0.0374 | | 140.7359 | 140.7359 | 4.2200e- 003 | | 140.8414 |
| Total | 0.0584 | 0.0375 | 0.5139 | 1.4100e- 003 | 0.1369 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.1381 | 0.0363 | 1.0500e- 003 | 0.0374 | | 140.7359 | 140.7359 | 4.2200e- 003 | | 140.8414 |

Mitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 18.0663 | 0.0000 | 18.0663 | 9.9307 | 0.0000 | 9.9307 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | | 2.0445 | 2.0445 | | 1.8809 | 1.8809 | 0.0000 | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |
| Total | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | 18.0663 | 2.0445 | 20.1107 | 9.9307 | 1.8809 | 11.8116 | 0.0000 | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0584 | 0.0375 | 0.5139 | 1.4100e- 003 | 0.1369 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.1381 | 0.0363 | 1.0500e- 003 | 0.0374 | | 140.7359 | 140.7359 | 4.2200e- 003 | | 140.8414 |
| Total | 0.0584 | 0.0375 | 0.5139 | 1.4100e- 003 | 0.1369 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.1381 | 0.0363 | 1.0500e- 003 | 0.0374 | | 140.7359 | 140.7359 | 4.2200e- 003 | | 140.8414 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | | 1.9853 | 1.9853 | | 1.8265 | 1.8265 | | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |
| Total | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | 8.6733 | 1.9853 | 10.6587 | 3.5965 | 1.8265 | 5.4230 | | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0649 | 0.0417 | 0.5710 | 1.5700e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 156.3732 | 156.3732 | 4.6900e- 003 | | 156.4904 |
| Total | 0.0649 | 0.0417 | 0.5710 | 1.5700e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 156.3732 | 156.3732 | 4.6900e- 003 | | 156.4904 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | | 1.9853 | 1.9853 | | 1.8265 | 1.8265 | 0.0000 | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |
| Total | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | 8.6733 | 1.9853 | 10.6587 | 3.5965 | 1.8265 | 5.4230 | 0.0000 | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0649 | 0.0417 | 0.5710 | 1.5700e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 156.3732 | 156.3732 | 4.6900e- 003 | | 156.4904 |
| Total | 0.0649 | 0.0417 | 0.5710 | 1.5700e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 156.3732 | 156.3732 | 4.6900e- 003 | | 156.4904 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | | 1.6349 | 1.6349 | | 1.5041 | 1.5041 | | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |
| Total | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | 8.6733 | 1.6349 | 10.3082 | 3.5965 | 1.5041 | 5.1006 | | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0607 | 0.0376 | 0.5263 | 1.5100e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2300e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1300e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 150.8754 | 150.8754 | 4.2400e- 003 | | 150.9813 |
| Total | 0.0607 | 0.0376 | 0.5263 | 1.5100e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2300e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1300e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 150.8754 | 150.8754 | 4.2400e- 003 | | 150.9813 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | | 1.6349 | 1.6349 | | 1.5041 | 1.5041 | 0.0000 | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |
| Total | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | 8.6733 | 1.6349 | 10.3082 | 3.5965 | 1.5041 | 5.1006 | 0.0000 | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0607 | 0.0376 | 0.5263 | 1.5100e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2300e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1300e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 150.8754 | 150.8754 | 4.2400e- 003 | | 150.9813 |
| Total | 0.0607 | 0.0376 | 0.5263 | 1.5100e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2300e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1300e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 150.8754 | 150.8754 | 4.2400e- 003 | | 150.9813 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/c | lay | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |
| Total | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.4079 | 13.2032 | 3.4341 | 0.0364 | 0.9155 | 0.0248 | 0.9404 | 0.2636 | 0.0237 | 0.2873 | | 3,896.548 2 | 3,896.548 2 | 0.2236 | | 3,902.138 4 |
| Worker | 2.4299 | 1.5074 | 21.0801 | 0.0607 | 6.0932 | 0.0493 | 6.1425 | 1.6163 | 0.0454 | 1.6617 | | 6,042.558 5 | 6,042.558 5 | 0.1697 | | 6,046.800 0 |
| Total | 2.8378 | 14.7106 | 24.5142 | 0.0971 | 7.0087 | 0.0741 | 7.0828 | 1.8799 | 0.0691 | 1.9490 | | 9,939.106 7 | 9,939.106 7 | 0.3933 | | 9,948.938 4 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Off-Road | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | 0.0000 | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |
| Total | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | 0.0000 | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.4079 | 13.2032 | 3.4341 | 0.0364 | 0.9155 | 0.0248 | 0.9404 | 0.2636 | 0.0237 | 0.2873 | | 3,896.548 2 | 3,896.548 2 | 0.2236 | | 3,902.138 4 |
| Worker | 2.4299 | 1.5074 | 21.0801 | 0.0607 | 6.0932 | 0.0493 | 6.1425 | 1.6163 | 0.0454 | 1.6617 | | 6,042.558 5 | 6,042.558 5 | 0.1697 | | 6,046.800 0 |
| Total | 2.8378 | 14.7106 | 24.5142 | 0.0971 | 7.0087 | 0.0741 | 7.0828 | 1.8799 | 0.0691 | 1.9490 | | 9,939.106 7 | 9,939.106 7 | 0.3933 | | 9,948.938 4 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | 1 1 1 | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |
| Total | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.3027 | 10.0181 | 3.1014 | 0.0352 | 0.9156 | 0.0116 | 0.9271 | 0.2636 | 0.0111 | 0.2747 | | 3,773.876 2 | 3,773.876 2 | 0.1982 | | 3,778.830 0 |
| Worker | 2.2780 | 1.3628 | 19.4002 | 0.0584 | 6.0932 | 0.0479 | 6.1411 | 1.6163 | 0.0441 | 1.6604 | | 5,821.402 8 | 5,821.402 8 | 0.1529 | | 5,825.225 4 |
| Total | 2.5807 | 11.3809 | 22.5017 | 0.0936 | 7.0088 | 0.0595 | 7.0682 | 1.8799 | 0.0552 | 1.9350 | | 9,595.279 0 | 9,595.279 0 | 0.3511 | | 9,604.055 4 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Off-Road | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | - | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | 0.0000 | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |
| Total | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | 0.0000 | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.3027 | 10.0181 | 3.1014 | 0.0352 | 0.9156 | 0.0116 | 0.9271 | 0.2636 | 0.0111 | 0.2747 | | 3,773.876 2 | 3,773.876 2 | 0.1982 | | 3,778.830 0 |
| Worker | 2.2780 | 1.3628 | 19.4002 | 0.0584 | 6.0932 | 0.0479 | 6.1411 | 1.6163 | 0.0441 | 1.6604 | | 5,821.402 8 | 5,821.402 8 | 0.1529 | | 5,825.225 4 |
| Total | 2.5807 | 11.3809 | 22.5017 | 0.0936 | 7.0088 | 0.0595 | 7.0682 | 1.8799 | 0.0552 | 1.9350 | | 9,595.279 0 | 9,595.279 0 | 0.3511 | | 9,604.055 4 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Off-Road | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0427 | 0.0255 | 0.3633 | 1.0900e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.3000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 109.0150 | 109.0150 | 2.8600e- 003 | | 109.0866 |
| Total | 0.0427 | 0.0255 | 0.3633 | 1.0900e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.3000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 109.0150 | 109.0150 | 2.8600e- 003 | | 109.0866 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | 0.0000 | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | 0.0000 | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0427 | 0.0255 | 0.3633 | 1.0900e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.3000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 109.0150 | 109.0150 | 2.8600e- 003 | | 109.0866 |
| Total | 0.0427 | 0.0255 | 0.3633 | 1.0900e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.3000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 109.0150 | 109.0150 | 2.8600e- 003 | | 109.0866 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0403 | 0.0233 | 0.3384 | 1.0600e- 003 | 0.1141 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.1000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 105.6336 | 105.6336 | 2.6300e- 003 | | 105.6992 |
| Total | 0.0403 | 0.0233 | 0.3384 | 1.0600e- 003 | 0.1141 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.1000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 105.6336 | 105.6336 | 2.6300e- 003 | | 105.6992 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | 0.0000 | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | 0.0000 | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0403 | 0.0233 | 0.3384 | 1.0600e- 003 | 0.1141 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.1000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 105.6336 | 105.6336 | 2.6300e- 003 | | 105.6992 |
| Total | 0.0403 | 0.0233 | 0.3384 | 1.0600e- 003 | 0.1141 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.1000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 105.6336 | 105.6336 | 2.6300e- 003 | | 105.6992 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-----------------|----------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Archit. Coating | 236.4115 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.1808 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |
| Total | 236.5923 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.4296 | 0.2481 | 3.6098 | 0.0113 | 1.2171 | 9.4300e- 003 | 1.2266 | 0.3229 | 8.6800e- 003 | 0.3315 | | 1,126.758 3 | 1,126.758 3 | 0.0280 | | 1,127.458 3 |
| Total | 0.4296 | 0.2481 | 3.6098 | 0.0113 | 1.2171 | 9.4300e- 003 | 1.2266 | 0.3229 | 8.6800e- 003 | 0.3315 | | 1,126.758 3 | 1,126.758 3 | 0.0280 | | 1,127.458 3 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-----------------|----------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Archit. Coating | 236.4115 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.1808 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | 0.0000 | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |
| Total | 236.5923 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | 0.0000 | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.4296 | 0.2481 | 3.6098 | 0.0113 | 1.2171 | 9.4300e- 003 | 1.2266 | 0.3229 | 8.6800e- 003 | 0.3315 | | 1,126.758 3 | 1,126.758 3 | 0.0280 | | 1,127.458 3 |
| Total | 0.4296 | 0.2481 | 3.6098 | 0.0113 | 1.2171 | 9.4300e- 003 | 1.2266 | 0.3229 | 8.6800e- 003 | 0.3315 | | 1,126.758 3 | 1,126.758 3 | 0.0280 | | 1,127.458 3 |

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|--------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Mitigated | 9.8489 | 45.4304 | 114.8495 | 0.4917 | 45.9592 | 0.3360 | 46.2951 | 12.2950 | 0.3119 | 12.6070 | | 50,306.60 34 | 50,306.60 34 | 2.1807 | | 50,361.12 08 |
| Unmitigated | 9.8489 | 45.4304 | 114.8495 | 0.4917 | 45.9592 | 0.3360 | 46.2951 | 12.2950 | 0.3119 | 12.6070 | | 50,306.60 34 | 50,306.60 34 | 2.1807 | | 50,361.12 08 |

4.2 Trip Summary Information

| | Ave | rage Daily Trip Ra | ite | Unmitigated | Mitigated |
|-------------------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Land Use | Weekday | Saturday | Sunday | Annual VMT | Annual VMT |
| Apartments Low Rise | 145.75 | 154.25 | 154.00 | 506,227 | 506,227 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 4,026.75 | 3,773.25 | 4075.50 | 13,660,065 | 13,660,065 |
| General Office Building | 288.45 | 62.55 | 31.05 | 706,812 | 706,812 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 2,368.80 | 2,873.52 | 2817.72 | 3,413,937 | 3,413,937 |
| Hotel | 192.00 | 187.50 | 160.00 | 445,703 | 445,703 |
| Quality Restaurant | 501.12 | 511.92 | 461.20 | 707,488 | 707,488 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 528.08 | 601.44 | 357.84 | 1,112,221 | 1,112,221 |
| Total | 8,050.95 | 8,164.43 | 8,057.31 | 20,552,452 | 20,552,452 |

4.3 Trip Type Information

| | | Miles | | | Trip % | | | Trip Purpos | e % |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Land Use | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | Primary | Diverted | Pass-by |
| Apartments Low Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| General Office Building | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 33.00 | 48.00 | 19.00 | 77 | 19 | 4 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 8.50 | 72.50 | 19.00 | 37 | 20 | 43 |
| Hotel | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 19.40 | 61.60 | 19.00 | 58 | 38 | 4 |
| Quality Restaurant | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 12.00 | 69.00 | 19.00 | 38 | 18 | 44 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 16.30 | 64.70 | 19.00 | 54 | 35 | 11 |

4.4 Fleet Mix

| Land Use | LDA | LDT1 | LDT2 | MDV | LHD1 | LHD2 | MHD | HHD | OBUS | UBUS | MCY | SBUS | MH |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Apartments Low Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| General Office Building | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Hotel | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Quality Restaurant | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| NaturalGas Mitigated | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| NaturalGas Unmitigated | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1119.16 | 0.0121 | 0.1031 | 0.0439 | 6.6000e- 004 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 131.6662 | 131.6662 | 2.5200e- 003 | 2.4100e- 003 | 132.4486 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 35784.3 | 0.3859 | 3.2978 | 1.4033 | 0.0211 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 4,209.916 4 | 4,209.916 4 | 0.0807 | 0.0772 | 4,234.933 9 |
| General Office Building | 1283.42 | 0.0138 | 0.1258 | 0.1057 | 7.5000e- 004 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 150.9911 | 150.9911 | 2.8900e- 003 | 2.7700e- 003 | 151.8884 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | | 0.2455 | 2.2314 | 1.8743 | 0.0134 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 2,677.634 2 | 2,677.634 2 | 0.0513 | 0.0491 | 2,693.546 0 |
| Hotel | 4769.72 | 0.0514 | 0.4676 | 0.3928 | 2.8100e- 003 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | , | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 561.1436 | 561.1436 | 0.0108 | 0.0103 | 564.4782 |
| Quality Restaurant | 5057.75 | 0.0545 | 0.4959 | 0.4165 | 2.9800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | , | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 595.0298 | 595.0298 | 0.0114 | 0.0109 | 598.5658 |
| Regional Shopping Center | | 2.7100e- 003 | 0.0247 | 0.0207 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 29.6019 | 29.6019 | 5.7000e- 004 | 5.4000e- 004 | 29.7778 |
| Total | | 0.7660 | 6.7463 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1.11916 | 004 003 003 003 003 | | | | | | | | | | 131.6662 | 131.6662 | 2.5200e- 003 | 2.4100e- 003 | 132.4486 | |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 35.7843 | 0.3859 | 3.2978 | 1.4033 | 0.0211 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 4,209.916 4 | 4,209.916 4 | 0.0807 | 0.0772 | 4,234.933 9 |
| General Office Building | 1.28342 | 0.0138 | 0.1258 | 0.1057 | 7.5000e- 004 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 150.9911 | 150.9911 | 2.8900e- 003 | 2.7700e- 003 | 151.8884 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | | 0.2455 | 2.2314 | 1.8743 | 0.0134 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 2,677.634 2 | 2,677.634 2 | 0.0513 | 0.0491 | 2,693.546 0 |
| Hotel | 4.76972 | 0.0514 | 0.4676 | 0.3928 | 2.8100e- 003 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 561.1436 | 561.1436 | 0.0108 | 0.0103 | 564.4782 |
| Quality Restaurant | 5.05775 | 0.0545 | 0.4959 | 0.4165 | 2.9800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | , | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 595.0298 | 595.0298 | 0.0114 | 0.0109 | 598.5658 |
| Regional Shopping Center | | 2.7100e- 003 | 0.0247 | 0.0207 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 29.6019 | 29.6019 | 5.7000e- 004 | 5.4000e- 004 | 29.7778 |
| Total | | 0.7660 | 6.7463 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/d | lay | | |
| Mitigated | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Unmitigated | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| SubCategory | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Architectural Coating | 2.2670 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Consumer Products | 24.1085 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Hearth | 1.6500 | 14.1000 | 6.0000 | 0.0900 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | 0.0000 | 18,000.00 00 | 18,000.00 00 | 0.3450 | 0.3300 | 18,106.96 50 |
| Landscaping | 2.4766 | 0.9496 | 82.4430 | 4.3600e- 003 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 148.5950 | 148.5950 | 0.1424 | | 152.1542 |
| Total | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|--|--|
| SubCategory | lb/day | | | | | | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | 0.0000 | | |
| Architectural Coating | 2.2670 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | |
| Consumer Products | 24.1085 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | |
| Hearth | 1.6500 | 14.1000 | 6.0000 | 0.0900 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | 0.0000 | 18,000.00 00 | 18,000.00 00 | 0.3450 | 0.3300 | 18,106.96 50 | | |
| Landscaping | 2.4766 | 0.9496 | 82.4430 | 4.3600e- 003 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 148.5950 | 148.5950 | 0.1424 | | 152.1542 | | |
| Total | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 | | |

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

9.0 Operational Offroad

10.0 Stationary Equipment

Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

| Equipment Type | Number | Hours/Day | Hours/Year | Horse Power | Load Factor | Fuel Type |
|------------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|
| <u>Boilers</u> | | | | | | |
| Equipment Type | Number | Heat Input/Day | Heat Input/Year | Boiler Rating | Fuel Type | |
| User Defined Equipment | | | | | | |
| Equipment Type | Number | | | | | |

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed)

Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

| Land Uses | Size | Metric | Lot Acreage | Floor Surface Area | Population |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|
| General Office Building | 45.00 | 1000sqft | 1.03 | 45,000.00 | 0 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 36.00 | 1000sqft | 0.83 | 36,000.00 | 0 |
| Hotel | 50.00 | Room | 1.67 | 72,600.00 | 0 |
| Quality Restaurant | 8.00 | 1000sqft | 0.18 | 8,000.00 | 0 |
| Apartments Low Rise | 25.00 | Dwelling Unit | 1.56 | 25,000.00 | 72 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 975.00 | Dwelling Unit | 25.66 | 975,000.00 | 2789 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 56.00 | 1000sqft | 1.29 | 56,000.00 | 0 |

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

| Urbanization | Urban | Wind Speed (m/s) | 2.2 | Precipitation Freq (Days) | 33 |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|
| Climate Zone | 9 | | | Operational Year | 2028 |
| Utility Company | Southern California Ediso | n | | | |
| CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 702.44 | CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.029 | N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr) | 0.006 |

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics - Consistent with the DEIR's model.

Land Use - See SWAPE comment regarding residential and retail land uses.

Construction Phase - See SWAPE comment regarding individual construction phase lengths.

Demolition - Consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding demolition.

Vehicle Trips - Saturday trips consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding weekday and Sunday trips.

Woodstoves - Woodstoves and wood-burning fireplaces consistent with the DEIR's model. See SWAPE comment regarding gas fireplaces.

Energy Use -

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - See SWAPE comment on construction-related mitigation.

Area Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Water Mitigation - See SWAPE comment regarding operational mitigation measures.

Trips and VMT - Local hire provision

| Table Name | Column Name | Default Value | New Value |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | FireplaceWoodMass | 1,019.20 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblFireplaces | NumberWood | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblTripsAndVMT | WorkerTripLength | 14.70 | 10.00 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 7.16 | 6.17 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 6.39 | 3.87 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 2.46 | 1.39 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 158.37 | 79.82 |

| Village South Specific Plan | (Proposed) |) - Los Anaeles-South | Coast County, Winter |
|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | | | |

| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 8.19 | 3.75 |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------|-------|
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 94.36 | 63.99 |
| tblVehicleTrips | ST_TR | 49.97 | 10.74 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 6.07 | 6.16 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.86 | 4.18 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 1.05 | 0.69 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 131.84 | 78.27 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 5.95 | 3.20 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 72.16 | 57.65 |
| tblVehicleTrips | SU_TR | 25.24 | 6.39 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.59 | 5.83 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 6.65 | 4.13 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 11.03 | 6.41 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 127.15 | 65.80 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 8.17 | 3.84 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 89.95 | 62.64 |
| tblVehicleTrips | WD_TR | 42.70 | 9.43 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberCatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 1.25 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | NumberNoncatalytic | 48.75 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveDayYear | 25.00 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 |
| tblWoodstoves | WoodstoveWoodMass | 999.60 | 0.00 |
| | | | |

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Unmitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------|----------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Year | lb/day | | | | | | | | | | | | lb/d | lay | | |
| 2021 | 4.2621 | 46.4460 | 31.4068 | 0.0635 | 18.2032 | 2.0456 | 20.2488 | 9.9670 | 1.8820 | 11.8490 | 0.0000 | 6,154.337 7 | 6,154.337 7 | 1.9472 | 0.0000 | 6,203.018 6 |
| 2022 | 4.7966 | 38.8851 | 39.6338 | 0.1195 | 8.8255 | 1.6361 | 10.4616 | 3.6369 | 1.5052 | 5.1421 | 0.0000 | 12,035.34 40 | 12,035.34 40 | 1.9482 | 0.0000 | 12,060.60 13 |
| 2023 | 4.3939 | 25.8648 | 37.5031 | 0.1162 | 7.0088 | 0.7598 | 7.7685 | 1.8799 | 0.7142 | 2.5940 | 0.0000 | 11,710.40 80 | 11,710.40 80 | 0.9617 | 0.0000 | 11,734.44 97 |
| 2024 | 237.0656 | 9.5503 | 14.9372 | 0.0238 | 1.2171 | 0.4694 | 1.2875 | 0.3229 | 0.4319 | 0.4621 | 0.0000 | 2,307.051 7 | 2,307.051 7 | 0.7164 | 0.0000 | 2,324.962 7 |
| Maximum | 237.0656 | 46.4460 | 39.6338 | 0.1195 | 18.2032 | 2.0456 | 20.2488 | 9.9670 | 1.8820 | 11.8490 | 0.0000 | 12,035.34 40 | 12,035.34 40 | 1.9482 | 0.0000 | 12,060.60 13 |

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Mitigated Construction

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | | | | |
|---------|----------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year | lb/day | | | | | | | | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | |
| 2021 | 4.2621 | 46.4460 | 31.4068 | 0.0635 | 18.2032 | 2.0456 | 20.2488 | 9.9670 | 1.8820 | 11.8490 | 0.0000 | 6,154.337 7 | 6,154.337 7 | 1.9472 | 0.0000 | 6,203.018 6 | | | | | |
| 2022 | 4.7966 | 38.8851 | 39.6338 | 0.1195 | 8.8255 | 1.6361 | 10.4616 | 3.6369 | 1.5052 | 5.1421 | 0.0000 | 12,035.34 40 | 12,035.34 40 | 1.9482 | 0.0000 | 12,060.60 13 | | | | | |
| 2023 | 4.3939 | 25.8648 | 37.5031 | 0.1162 | 7.0088 | 0.7598 | 7.7685 | 1.8799 | 0.7142 | 2.5940 | 0.0000 | 11,710.40 80 | 11,710.40 80 | 0.9617 | 0.0000 | 11,734.44 97 | | | | | |
| 2024 | 237.0656 | 9.5503 | 14.9372 | 0.0238 | 1.2171 | 0.4694 | 1.2875 | 0.3229 | 0.4319 | 0.4621 | 0.0000 | 2,307.051 7 | 2,307.051 7 | 0.7164 | 0.0000 | 2,324.962 7 | | | | | |
| Maximum | 237.0656 | 46.4460 | 39.6338 | 0.1195 | 18.2032 | 2.0456 | 20.2488 | 9.9670 | 1.8820 | 11.8490 | 0.0000 | 12,035.34 40 | 12,035.34 40 | 1.9482 | 0.0000 | 12,060.60 13 | | | | | |
| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive | Exhaust | PM10 Total | Fugitive | Exhaust | PM2.5 | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e | | | | | |

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|---------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Category | lb/day | | | | | | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Area | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Energy | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| Mobile | 9.5233 | 45.9914 | 110.0422 | 0.4681 | 45.9592 | 0.3373 | 46.2965 | 12.2950 | 0.3132 | 12.6083 | | 47,917.80 05 | 47,917.80 05 | 2.1953 | | 47,972.68 39 |
| Total | 40.7912 | 67.7872 | 202.7424 | 0.6043 | 45.9592 | 2.4640 | 48.4231 | 12.2950 | 2.4399 | 14.7349 | 0.0000 | 74,422.37 87 | 74,422.37 87 | 2.8429 | 0.4832 | 74,637.44 17 |

Mitigated Operational

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|---------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | Jay | | |
| Area | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Energy | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| Mobile | 9.5233 | 45.9914 | 110.0422 | 0.4681 | 45.9592 | 0.3373 | 46.2965 | 12.2950 | 0.3132 | 12.6083 | | 47,917.80 05 | 47,917.80 05 | 2.1953 | | 47,972.68 39 |
| Total | 40.7912 | 67.7872 | 202.7424 | 0.6043 | 45.9592 | 2.4640 | 48.4231 | 12.2950 | 2.4399 | 14.7349 | 0.0000 | 74,422.37 87 | 74,422.37 87 | 2.8429 | 0.4832 | 74,637.44 17 |

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N20 | CO2e |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Percent Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

| Phase Number | Phase Name | Phase Type | Start Date | End Date | Num Days Week | Num Days | Phase Description |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 1 | Demolition | Demolition | 9/1/2021 | 10/12/2021 | 5 | 30 | |
| 2 | Site Preparation | Site Preparation | 10/13/2021 | 11/9/2021 | 5 | 20 | |
| 3 | Grading | Grading | 11/10/2021 | 1/11/2022 | 5 | 45 | |
| 4 | Building Construction | Building Construction | 1/12/2022 | 12/12/2023 | 5 | 500 | |
| 5 | Paving | Paving | 12/13/2023 | 1/30/2024 | 5 | 35 | |
| 6 | Architectural Coating | Architectural Coating | 1/31/2024 | 3/19/2024 | 5 | 35 | |

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 112.5

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 2,025,000; Residential Outdoor: 675,000; Non-Residential Indoor: 326,400; Non-Residential Outdoor: 108,800; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Type | Amount | Usage Hours | Horse Power | Load Factor |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Demolition | Concrete/Industrial Saws | 1 | 8.00 | 81 | 0.73 |
| Demolition | Excavators | 3 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Demolition | Rubber Tired Dozers | 2 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Rubber Tired Dozers | 3 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Site Preparation | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 4 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Grading | Excavators | 2 | 8.00 | 158 | 0.38 |
| Grading | Graders | 1 | 8.00 | 187 | 0.41 |
| Grading | Rubber Tired Dozers | 1 | 8.00 | 247 | 0.40 |
| Grading | Scrapers | 2 | 8.00 | 367 | 0.48 |
| Grading | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 2 | 8.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Cranes | 1 | 7.00 | 231 | 0.29 |
| Building Construction | Forklifts | 3 | 8.00 | 89 | 0.20 |
| Building Construction | Generator Sets | 1 | 8.00 | 84 | 0.74 |
| Building Construction | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 3 | 7.00 | 97 | 0.37 |
| Building Construction | Welders | 1 | 8.00 | 46 | 0.45 |
| Paving | Pavers | 2 | 8.00 | 130 | 0.42 |
| Paving | Paving Equipment | 2 | 8.00 | 132 | 0.36 |
| Paving | Rollers | 2 | 8.00 | 80 | 0.38 |
| Architectural Coating | Air Compressors | 1 | 6.00 | 78 | 0.48 |

Trips and VMT

| Phase Name | Offroad Equipment Count | Worker Trip Number | Vendor Trip Number | Hauling Trip Number | Worker Trip Length | Vendor Trip Length | Hauling Trip Length | Worker Vehicle Class | Vendor Vehicle Class | Hauling Vehicle Class |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Demolition | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 458.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Site Preparation | 7 | 18.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Grading | 8 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Building Construction | 9 | 801.00 | 143.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Paving | 6 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |
| Architectural Coating | 1 | 160.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 6.90 | 20.00 | LD_Mix | HDT_Mix | HHDT |

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

3.2 Demolition - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 3.3074 | 0.0000 | 3.3074 | 0.5008 | 0.0000 | 0.5008 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | | 1.5513 | 1.5513 | | 1.4411 | 1.4411 | | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |
| Total | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | 3.3074 | 1.5513 | 4.8588 | 0.5008 | 1.4411 | 1.9419 | | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.1304 | 4.1454 | 1.0182 | 0.0117 | 0.2669 | 0.0128 | 0.2797 | 0.0732 | 0.0122 | 0.0854 | | 1,269.855 5 | 1,269.855 5 | 0.0908 | | 1,272.125 2 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0532 | 0.0346 | 0.3963 | 1.1100e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.5000e- 004 | 0.1151 | 0.0303 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 110.4707 | 110.4707 | 3.3300e- 003 | | 110.5539 |
| Total | 0.1835 | 4.1800 | 1.4144 | 0.0128 | 0.3810 | 0.0137 | 0.3948 | 0.1034 | 0.0131 | 0.1165 | | 1,380.326 2 | 1,380.326 2 | 0.0941 | | 1,382.679 1 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 3.3074 | 0.0000 | 3.3074 | 0.5008 | 0.0000 | 0.5008 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | | 1.5513 | 1.5513 | | 1.4411 | 1.4411 | 0.0000 | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |
| Total | 3.1651 | 31.4407 | 21.5650 | 0.0388 | 3.3074 | 1.5513 | 4.8588 | 0.5008 | 1.4411 | 1.9419 | 0.0000 | 3,747.944 9 | 3,747.944 9 | 1.0549 | | 3,774.317 4 |

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.1304 | 4.1454 | 1.0182 | 0.0117 | 0.2669 | 0.0128 | 0.2797 | 0.0732 | 0.0122 | 0.0854 | | 1,269.855 5 | 1,269.855 5 | 0.0908 | | 1,272.125 2 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0532 | 0.0346 | 0.3963 | 1.1100e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.5000e- 004 | 0.1151 | 0.0303 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 110.4707 | 110.4707 | 3.3300e- 003 | | 110.5539 |
| Total | 0.1835 | 4.1800 | 1.4144 | 0.0128 | 0.3810 | 0.0137 | 0.3948 | 0.1034 | 0.0131 | 0.1165 | | 1,380.326 2 | 1,380.326 2 | 0.0941 | | 1,382.679 1 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 18.0663 | 0.0000 | 18.0663 | 9.9307 | 0.0000 | 9.9307 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | | 2.0445 | 2.0445 | | 1.8809 | 1.8809 | | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |
| Total | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | 18.0663 | 2.0445 | 20.1107 | 9.9307 | 1.8809 | 11.8116 | | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0638 | 0.0415 | 0.4755 | 1.3300e- 003 | 0.1369 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.1381 | 0.0363 | 1.0500e- 003 | 0.0374 | | 132.5649 | 132.5649 | 3.9900e- 003 | | 132.6646 |
| Total | 0.0638 | 0.0415 | 0.4755 | 1.3300e- 003 | 0.1369 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.1381 | 0.0363 | 1.0500e- 003 | 0.0374 | | 132.5649 | 132.5649 | 3.9900e- 003 | | 132.6646 |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 18.0663 | 0.0000 | 18.0663 | 9.9307 | 0.0000 | 9.9307 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | | 2.0445 | 2.0445 | | 1.8809 | 1.8809 | 0.0000 | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |
| Total | 3.8882 | 40.4971 | 21.1543 | 0.0380 | 18.0663 | 2.0445 | 20.1107 | 9.9307 | 1.8809 | 11.8116 | 0.0000 | 3,685.656 9 | 3,685.656 9 | 1.1920 | | 3,715.457 3 |

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|--|--|
| Category | lb/day | | | | | | | | | | | lb/day | | | | | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | | |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | | |
| Worker | 0.0638 | 0.0415 | 0.4755 | 1.3300e- 003 | 0.1369 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.1381 | 0.0363 | 1.0500e- 003 | 0.0374 | | 132.5649 | 132.5649 | 3.9900e- 003 | | 132.6646 | | |
| Total | 0.0638 | 0.0415 | 0.4755 | 1.3300e- 003 | 0.1369 | 1.1400e- 003 | 0.1381 | 0.0363 | 1.0500e- 003 | 0.0374 | | 132.5649 | 132.5649 | 3.9900e- 003 | | 132.6646 | | |

3.4 Grading - 2021

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | | |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|--|--|--|
| Category | lb/day | | | | | | | | | | | lb/day | | | | | | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | |
| Off-Road | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | | 1.9853 | 1.9853 | | 1.8265 | 1.8265 | | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 | | | |
| Total | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | 8.6733 | 1.9853 | 10.6587 | 3.5965 | 1.8265 | 5.4230 | | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 | | | |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | | |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|--|--|--|
| Category | lb/day | | | | | | | | | | | lb/day | | | | | | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | | | |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | | | |
| Worker | 0.0709 | 0.0462 | 0.5284 | 1.4800e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 147.2943 | 147.2943 | 4.4300e- 003 | , | 147.4051 | | | |
| Total | 0.0709 | 0.0462 | 0.5284 | 1.4800e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 147.2943 | 147.2943 | 4.4300e- 003 | | 147.4051 | | | |

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e | | | |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|--|--|--|
| Category | lb/day | | | | | | | | | | | lb/day | | | | | | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | |
| Off-Road | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | | 1.9853 | 1.9853 | | 1.8265 | 1.8265 | 0.0000 | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 | | | |
| Total | 4.1912 | 46.3998 | 30.8785 | 0.0620 | 8.6733 | 1.9853 | 10.6587 | 3.5965 | 1.8265 | 5.4230 | 0.0000 | 6,007.043 4 | 6,007.043 4 | 1.9428 | | 6,055.613 4 | | | |

3.4 Grading - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0709 | 0.0462 | 0.5284 | 1.4800e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 147.2943 | 147.2943 | 4.4300e- 003 | | 147.4051 |
| Total | 0.0709 | 0.0462 | 0.5284 | 1.4800e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2700e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1700e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 147.2943 | 147.2943 | 4.4300e- 003 | | 147.4051 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | | 1.6349 | 1.6349 | | 1.5041 | 1.5041 | | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |
| Total | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | 8.6733 | 1.6349 | 10.3082 | 3.5965 | 1.5041 | 5.1006 | | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |

3.4 Grading - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0665 | 0.0416 | 0.4861 | 1.4300e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2300e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1300e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 142.1207 | 142.1207 | 4.0000e- 003 | | 142.2207 |
| Total | 0.0665 | 0.0416 | 0.4861 | 1.4300e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2300e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1300e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 142.1207 | 142.1207 | 4.0000e- 003 | | 142.2207 |

Mitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Fugitive Dust | | | | | 8.6733 | 0.0000 | 8.6733 | 3.5965 | 0.0000 | 3.5965 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | | 1.6349 | 1.6349 | | 1.5041 | 1.5041 | 0.0000 | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |
| Total | 3.6248 | 38.8435 | 29.0415 | 0.0621 | 8.6733 | 1.6349 | 10.3082 | 3.5965 | 1.5041 | 5.1006 | 0.0000 | 6,011.410 5 | 6,011.410 5 | 1.9442 | | 6,060.015 8 |

Page 17 of 35

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

3.4 Grading - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0665 | 0.0416 | 0.4861 | 1.4300e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2300e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1300e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 142.1207 | 142.1207 | 4.0000e- 003 | | 142.2207 |
| Total | 0.0665 | 0.0416 | 0.4861 | 1.4300e- 003 | 0.1521 | 1.2300e- 003 | 0.1534 | 0.0404 | 1.1300e- 003 | 0.0415 | | 142.1207 | 142.1207 | 4.0000e- 003 | | 142.2207 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/c | lay | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |
| Total | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.4284 | 13.1673 | 3.8005 | 0.0354 | 0.9155 | 0.0256 | 0.9412 | 0.2636 | 0.0245 | 0.2881 | | 3,789.075 0 | 3,789.075 0 | 0.2381 | | 3,795.028 3 |
| Worker | 2.6620 | 1.6677 | 19.4699 | 0.0571 | 6.0932 | 0.0493 | 6.1425 | 1.6163 | 0.0454 | 1.6617 | | 5,691.935 4 | 5,691.935 4 | 0.1602 | | 5,695.940 8 |
| Total | 3.0904 | 14.8350 | 23.2704 | 0.0926 | 7.0087 | 0.0749 | 7.0836 | 1.8799 | 0.0699 | 1.9498 | | 9,481.010 4 | 9,481.010 4 | 0.3984 | | 9,490.969 1 |

Mitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | 1 1 1 | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | 0.0000 | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |
| Total | 1.7062 | 15.6156 | 16.3634 | 0.0269 | | 0.8090 | 0.8090 | | 0.7612 | 0.7612 | 0.0000 | 2,554.333 6 | 2,554.333 6 | 0.6120 | | 2,569.632 2 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.4284 | 13.1673 | 3.8005 | 0.0354 | 0.9155 | 0.0256 | 0.9412 | 0.2636 | 0.0245 | 0.2881 | | 3,789.075 0 | 3,789.075 0 | 0.2381 | | 3,795.028 3 |
| Worker | 2.6620 | 1.6677 | 19.4699 | 0.0571 | 6.0932 | 0.0493 | 6.1425 | 1.6163 | 0.0454 | 1.6617 | | 5,691.935 4 | 5,691.935 4 | 0.1602 | | 5,695.940 8 |
| Total | 3.0904 | 14.8350 | 23.2704 | 0.0926 | 7.0087 | 0.0749 | 7.0836 | 1.8799 | 0.0699 | 1.9498 | | 9,481.010 4 | 9,481.010 4 | 0.3984 | | 9,490.969 1 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |
| Total | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/d | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.3183 | 9.9726 | 3.3771 | 0.0343 | 0.9156 | 0.0122 | 0.9277 | 0.2636 | 0.0116 | 0.2752 | | 3,671.400 7 | 3,671.400 7 | 0.2096 | | 3,676.641 7 |
| Worker | 2.5029 | 1.5073 | 17.8820 | 0.0550 | 6.0932 | 0.0479 | 6.1411 | 1.6163 | 0.0441 | 1.6604 | | 5,483.797 4 | 5,483.797 4 | 0.1442 | | 5,487.402 0 |
| Total | 2.8211 | 11.4799 | 21.2591 | 0.0893 | 7.0088 | 0.0601 | 7.0688 | 1.8799 | 0.0557 | 1.9356 | | 9,155.198 1 | 9,155.198 1 | 0.3538 | | 9,164.043 7 |

Mitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Off-Road | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | - | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | 0.0000 | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |
| Total | 1.5728 | 14.3849 | 16.2440 | 0.0269 | | 0.6997 | 0.6997 | | 0.6584 | 0.6584 | 0.0000 | 2,555.209 9 | 2,555.209 9 | 0.6079 | | 2,570.406 1 |

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.3183 | 9.9726 | 3.3771 | 0.0343 | 0.9156 | 0.0122 | 0.9277 | 0.2636 | 0.0116 | 0.2752 | | 3,671.400 7 | 3,671.400 7 | 0.2096 | | 3,676.641 7 |
| Worker | 2.5029 | 1.5073 | 17.8820 | 0.0550 | 6.0932 | 0.0479 | 6.1411 | 1.6163 | 0.0441 | 1.6604 | | 5,483.797 4 | 5,483.797 4 | 0.1442 | | 5,487.402 0 |
| Total | 2.8211 | 11.4799 | 21.2591 | 0.0893 | 7.0088 | 0.0601 | 7.0688 | 1.8799 | 0.0557 | 1.9356 | | 9,155.198 1 | 9,155.198 1 | 0.3538 | | 9,164.043 7 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0469 | 0.0282 | 0.3349 | 1.0300e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.3000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 102.6928 | 102.6928 | 2.7000e- 003 | | 102.7603 |
| Total | 0.0469 | 0.0282 | 0.3349 | 1.0300e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.3000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 102.6928 | 102.6928 | 2.7000e- 003 | | 102.7603 |

Mitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | 0.0000 | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 1.0327 | 10.1917 | 14.5842 | 0.0228 | | 0.5102 | 0.5102 | | 0.4694 | 0.4694 | 0.0000 | 2,207.584 1 | 2,207.584 1 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.433 6 |

3.6 Paving - 2023

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0469 | 0.0282 | 0.3349 | 1.0300e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.3000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 102.6928 | 102.6928 | 2.7000e- 003 | | 102.7603 |
| Total | 0.0469 | 0.0282 | 0.3349 | 1.0300e- 003 | 0.1141 | 9.0000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.3000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 102.6928 | 102.6928 | 2.7000e- 003 | | 102.7603 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|---------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0444 | 0.0257 | 0.3114 | 1.0000e- 003 | 0.1141 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.1000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 99.5045 | 99.5045 | 2.4700e- 003 | | 99.5663 |
| Total | 0.0444 | 0.0257 | 0.3114 | 1.0000e- 003 | 0.1141 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.1000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 99.5045 | 99.5045 | 2.4700e- 003 | | 99.5663 |

Mitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Off-Road | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | 0.0000 | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |
| Paving | 0.0000 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Total | 0.9882 | 9.5246 | 14.6258 | 0.0228 | | 0.4685 | 0.4685 | | 0.4310 | 0.4310 | 0.0000 | 2,207.547 2 | 2,207.547 2 | 0.7140 | | 2,225.396 3 |

3.6 Paving - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|---------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | <u>.</u> | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.0444 | 0.0257 | 0.3114 | 1.0000e- 003 | 0.1141 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.1000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 99.5045 | 99.5045 | 2.4700e- 003 | | 99.5663 |
| Total | 0.0444 | 0.0257 | 0.3114 | 1.0000e- 003 | 0.1141 | 8.8000e- 004 | 0.1150 | 0.0303 | 8.1000e- 004 | 0.0311 | | 99.5045 | 99.5045 | 2.4700e- 003 | | 99.5663 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-----------------|----------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/d | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Archit. Coating | 236.4115 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.1808 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |
| Total | 236.5923 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/ | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.4734 | 0.2743 | 3.3220 | 0.0107 | 1.2171 | 9.4300e- 003 | 1.2266 | 0.3229 | 8.6800e- 003 | 0.3315 | | 1,061.381 8 | 1,061.381 8 | 0.0264 | | 1,062.041 0 |
| Total | 0.4734 | 0.2743 | 3.3220 | 0.0107 | 1.2171 | 9.4300e- 003 | 1.2266 | 0.3229 | 8.6800e- 003 | 0.3315 | | 1,061.381 8 | 1,061.381 8 | 0.0264 | | 1,062.041 0 |

Mitigated Construction On-Site

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-----------------|----------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----|----------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Archit. Coating | 236.4115 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Off-Road | 0.1808 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | 0.0000 | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |
| Total | 236.5923 | 1.2188 | 1.8101 | 2.9700e- 003 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | 0.0000 | 281.4481 | 281.4481 | 0.0159 | | 281.8443 |

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Hauling | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Vendor | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 |
| Worker | 0.4734 | 0.2743 | 3.3220 | 0.0107 | 1.2171 | 9.4300e- 003 | 1.2266 | 0.3229 | 8.6800e- 003 | 0.3315 | | 1,061.381 8 | 1,061.381 8 | 0.0264 | | 1,062.041 0 |
| Total | 0.4734 | 0.2743 | 3.3220 | 0.0107 | 1.2171 | 9.4300e- 003 | 1.2266 | 0.3229 | 8.6800e- 003 | 0.3315 | | 1,061.381 8 | 1,061.381 8 | 0.0264 | | 1,062.041 0 |

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|--------|---------|----------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Mitigated | 9.5233 | 45.9914 | 110.0422 | 0.4681 | 45.9592 | 0.3373 | 46.2965 | 12.2950 | 0.3132 | 12.6083 | | 47,917.80 05 | 47,917.80 05 | 2.1953 | | 47,972.68 39 |
| Unmitigated | 9.5233 | 45.9914 | 110.0422 | 0.4681 | 45.9592 | 0.3373 | 46.2965 | 12.2950 | 0.3132 | 12.6083 | | 47,917.80 05 | 47,917.80 05 | 2.1953 | | 47,972.68 39 |

4.2 Trip Summary Information

| | Ave | rage Daily Trip Ra | ite | Unmitigated | Mitigated |
|-------------------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Land Use | Weekday | Saturday | Sunday | Annual VMT | Annual VMT |
| Apartments Low Rise | 145.75 | 154.25 | 154.00 | 506,227 | 506,227 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 4,026.75 | 3,773.25 | 4075.50 | 13,660,065 | 13,660,065 |
| General Office Building | 288.45 | 62.55 | 31.05 | 706,812 | 706,812 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 2,368.80 | 2,873.52 | 2817.72 | 3,413,937 | 3,413,937 |
| Hotel | 192.00 | 187.50 | 160.00 | 445,703 | 445,703 |
| Quality Restaurant | 501.12 | 511.92 | 461.20 | 707,488 | 707,488 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 528.08 | 601.44 | 357.84 | 1,112,221 | 1,112,221 |
| Total | 8,050.95 | 8,164.43 | 8,057.31 | 20,552,452 | 20,552,452 |

4.3 Trip Type Information

| | | Miles | | | Trip % | | | Trip Purpos | e % |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Land Use | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | Primary | Diverted | Pass-by |
| Apartments Low Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 14.70 | 5.90 | 8.70 | 40.20 | 19.20 | 40.60 | 86 | 11 | 3 |
| General Office Building | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 33.00 | 48.00 | 19.00 | 77 | 19 | 4 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 8.50 | 72.50 | 19.00 | 37 | 20 | 43 |
| Hotel | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 19.40 | 61.60 | 19.00 | 58 | 38 | 4 |
| Quality Restaurant | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 12.00 | 69.00 | 19.00 | 38 | 18 | 44 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 16.60 | 8.40 | 6.90 | 16.30 | 64.70 | 19.00 | 54 | 35 | 11 |

4.4 Fleet Mix

| Land Use | LDA | LDT1 | LDT2 | MDV | LHD1 | LHD2 | MHD | HHD | OBUS | UBUS | MCY | SBUS | MH |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Apartments Low Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| General Office Building | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Hotel | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Quality Restaurant | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |
| Regional Shopping Center | 0.543088 | 0.044216 | 0.209971 | 0.116369 | 0.014033 | 0.006332 | 0.021166 | 0.033577 | 0.002613 | 0.001817 | 0.005285 | 0.000712 | 0.000821 |

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

| | ROG | NOx | со | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| NaturalGas Mitigated | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |
| NaturalGas Unmitigated | 0.7660 | 6.7462 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/d | lay | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1119.16 | 0.0121 | 0.1031 | 0.0439 | 6.6000e- 004 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 131.6662 | 131.6662 | 2.5200e- 003 | 2.4100e- 003 | 132.4486 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 35784.3 | 0.3859 | 3.2978 | 1.4033 | 0.0211 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 4,209.916 4 | 4,209.916 4 | 0.0807 | 0.0772 | 4,234.933 9 |
| General Office Building | 1283.42 | 0.0138 | 0.1258 | 0.1057 | 7.5000e- 004 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 150.9911 | 150.9911 | 2.8900e- 003 | 2.7700e- 003 | 151.8884 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | | 0.2455 | 2.2314 | 1.8743 | 0.0134 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 2,677.634 2 | 2,677.634 2 | 0.0513 | 0.0491 | 2,693.546 0 |
| Hotel | 4769.72 | 0.0514 | 0.4676 | 0.3928 | 2.8100e- 003 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | , | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 561.1436 | 561.1436 | 0.0108 | 0.0103 | 564.4782 |
| Quality Restaurant | 5057.75 | 0.0545 | 0.4959 | 0.4165 | 2.9800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | 1 | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 595.0298 | 595.0298 | 0.0114 | 0.0109 | 598.5658 |
| Regional Shopping Center | | 2.7100e- 003 | 0.0247 | 0.0207 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | 1 | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 29.6019 | 29.6019 | 5.7000e- 004 | 5.4000e- 004 | 29.7778 |
| Total | | 0.7660 | 6.7463 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

| | NaturalGa s Use | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Land Use | kBTU/yr | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/d | day | | |
| Apartments Low Rise | 1.11916 | 0.0121 | 0.1031 | 0.0439 | 6.6000e- 004 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 8.3400e- 003 | 8.3400e- 003 | | 131.6662 | 131.6662 | 2.5200e- 003 | 2.4100e- 003 | 132.4486 |
| Apartments Mid Rise | 35.7843 | 0.3859 | 3.2978 | 1.4033 | 0.0211 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 0.2666 | 0.2666 | | 4,209.916 4 | 4,209.916 4 | 0.0807 | 0.0772 | 4,234.933 9 |
| General Office Building | 1.28342 | 0.0138 | 0.1258 | 0.1057 | 7.5000e- 004 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 9.5600e- 003 | 9.5600e- 003 | | 150.9911 | 150.9911 | 2.8900e- 003 | 2.7700e- 003 | 151.8884 |
| High Turnover (Sit Down Restaurant) | | 0.2455 | 2.2314 | 1.8743 | 0.0134 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 0.1696 | 0.1696 | | 2,677.634 2 | 2,677.634 2 | 0.0513 | 0.0491 | 2,693.546 0 |
| Hotel | 4.76972 | 0.0514 | 0.4676 | 0.3928 | 2.8100e- 003 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 0.0355 | 0.0355 | | 561.1436 | 561.1436 | 0.0108 | 0.0103 | 564.4782 |
| Quality Restaurant | 5.05775 | 0.0545 | 0.4959 | 0.4165 | 2.9800e- 003 | | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | , | 0.0377 | 0.0377 | | 595.0298 | 595.0298 | 0.0114 | 0.0109 | 598.5658 |
| Regional Shopping Center | | 2.7100e- 003 | 0.0247 | 0.0207 | 1.5000e- 004 | | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | , | 1.8700e- 003 | 1.8700e- 003 | | 29.6019 | 29.6019 | 5.7000e- 004 | 5.4000e- 004 | 29.7778 |
| Total | | 0.7660 | 6.7463 | 4.2573 | 0.0418 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 0.5292 | 0.5292 | | 8,355.983 2 | 8,355.983 2 | 0.1602 | 0.1532 | 8,405.638 7 |

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Page 33 of 35

Village South Specific Plan (Proposed) - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

| | ROG | NOx | СО | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Category | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Mitigated | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |
| Unmitigated | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| SubCategory | | | | | lb/e | day | | | | | | | lb/c | lay | | |
| Architectural Coating | 2.2670 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Consumer Products | 24.1085 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Hearth | 1.6500 | 14.1000 | 6.0000 | 0.0900 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | 0.0000 | 18,000.00 00 | 18,000.00 00 | 0.3450 | 0.3300 | 18,106.96 50 |
| Landscaping | 2.4766 | 0.9496 | 82.4430 | 4.3600e- 003 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 148.5950 | 148.5950 | 0.1424 | | 152.1542 |
| Total | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

| | ROG | NOx | CO | SO2 | Fugitive PM10 | Exhaust PM10 | PM10 Total | Fugitive PM2.5 | Exhaust PM2.5 | PM2.5 Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4 | N2O | CO2e |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| SubCategory | | | | | lb/o | day | | | | | | | lb/c | day | | |
| Architectural Coating | 2.2670 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Consumer Products | 24.1085 | | | | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 | | | 0.0000 |
| Hearth | 1.6500 | 14.1000 | 6.0000 | 0.0900 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | 0.0000 | 18,000.00 00 | 18,000.00 00 | 0.3450 | 0.3300 | 18,106.96 50 |
| Landscaping | 2.4766 | 0.9496 | 82.4430 | 4.3600e- 003 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 0.4574 | 0.4574 | | 148.5950 | 148.5950 | 0.1424 | | 152.1542 |
| Total | 30.5020 | 15.0496 | 88.4430 | 0.0944 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | | 1.5974 | 1.5974 | 0.0000 | 18,148.59 50 | 18,148.59 50 | 0.4874 | 0.3300 | 18,259.11 92 |

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

9.0 Operational Offroad

| Equipment Type Number Hours/Day | Days/Year | Horse Power | Load Factor | Fuel Type |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|

10.0 Stationary Equipment

Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

| Equipment Type | Number | Hours/Day | Hours/Year | Horse Power | Load Factor | Fuel Type | |
|------------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|--|
| Boilers | | | | | | | |
| Equipment Type | Number | Heat Input/Day | Heat Input/Year | Boiler Rating | Fuel Type | | |
| User Defined Equipment | | | | | | | |
| Equipment Type | Number | | | | | | |
| 11.0 Vegetation | | - | | | | | |

Attachment C

| Local Hire Provision Net Change | |
|--|--------|
| Without Local Hire Provision | |
| Total Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO2e) | 3,623 |
| Amortized (MT CO2e/year) | 120.77 |
| With Local Hire Provision | |
| Total Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO2e) | 3,024 |
| Amortized (MT CO2e/year) | 100.80 |
| % Decrease in Construction-related GHG Emissions | 17% |

EXHIBIT B



Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D.

Chemical Fate and Transport & Air Dispersion Modeling

Principal Environmental Chemist

Risk Assessment & Remediation Specialist

Education

Ph.D. Soil Chemistry, University of Washington, 1999. Dissertation on volatile organic compound filtration.M.S. Environmental Science, U.C. Berkeley, 1995. Thesis on organic waste economics.B.A. Environmental Studies, U.C. Santa Barbara, 1991. Thesis on wastewater treatment.

Professional Experience

Dr. Rosenfeld has over 25 years' experience conducting environmental investigations and risk assessments for evaluating impacts to human health, property, and ecological receptors. His expertise focuses on the fate and transport of environmental contaminants, human health risk, exposure assessment, and ecological restoration. Dr. Rosenfeld has evaluated and modeled emissions from unconventional oil drilling operations, oil spills, landfills, boilers and incinerators, process stacks, storage tanks, confined animal feeding operations, and many other industrial and agricultural sources. His project experience ranges from monitoring and modeling of pollution sources to evaluating impacts of pollution on workers at industrial facilities and residents in surrounding communities.

Dr. Rosenfeld has investigated and designed remediation programs and risk assessments for contaminated sites containing lead, heavy metals, mold, bacteria, particulate matter, petroleum hydrocarbons, chlorinated solvents, pesticides, radioactive waste, dioxins and furans, semi- and volatile organic compounds, PCBs, PAHs, perchlorate, asbestos, per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFOA/PFOS), unusual polymers, fuel oxygenates (MTBE), among other pollutants. Dr. Rosenfeld also has experience evaluating greenhouse gas emissions from various projects and is an expert on the assessment of odors from industrial and agricultural sites, as well as the evaluation of odor nuisance impacts and technologies for abatement of odorous emissions. As a principal scientist at SWAPE, Dr. Rosenfeld directs air dispersion modeling and exposure assessments. He has served as an expert witness and testified about pollution sources causing nuisance and/or personal injury at dozens of sites and has testified as an expert witness on more than ten cases involving exposure to air contaminants from industrial sources.

Professional History:

Soil Water Air Protection Enterprise (SWAPE); 2003 to present; Principal and Founding Partner UCLA School of Public Health; 2007 to 2011; Lecturer (Assistant Researcher) UCLA School of Public Health; 2003 to 2006; Adjunct Professor UCLA Environmental Science and Engineering Program; 2002-2004; Doctoral Intern Coordinator UCLA Institute of the Environment, 2001-2002; Research Associate Komex H₂O Science, 2001 to 2003; Senior Remediation Scientist National Groundwater Association, 2002-2004; Lecturer San Diego State University, 1999-2001; Adjunct Professor Anteon Corp., San Diego, 2000-2001; Remediation Project Manager Ogden (now Amec), San Diego, 2000-2000; Remediation Project Manager Bechtel, San Diego, California, 1999 - 2000; Risk Assessor King County, Seattle, 1996 - 1999; Scientist James River Corp., Washington, 1995-96; Scientist Big Creek Lumber, Davenport, California, 1995; Scientist Plumas Corp., California and USFS, Tahoe 1993-1995; Scientist Peace Corps and World Wildlife Fund, St. Kitts, West Indies, 1991-1993; Scientist

Publications:

Remy, L.L., Clay T., Byers, V., **Rosenfeld P. E.** (2019) Hospital, Health, and Community Burden After Oil Refinery Fires, Richmond, California 2007 and 2012. *Environmental Health*. 18:48

Simons, R.A., Seo, Y. **Rosenfeld**, **P**., (2015) Modeling the Effect of Refinery Emission On Residential Property Value. Journal of Real Estate Research. 27(3):321-342

Chen, J. A, Zapata A. R., Sutherland A. J., Molmen, D.R., Chow, B. S., Wu, L. E., **Rosenfeld, P. E.,** Hesse, R. C., (2012) Sulfur Dioxide and Volatile Organic Compound Exposure To A Community In Texas City Texas Evaluated Using Aermod and Empirical Data. *American Journal of Environmental Science*, 8(6), 622-632.

Rosenfeld, P.E. & Feng, L. (2011). The Risks of Hazardous Waste. Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Cheremisinoff, N.P., & Rosenfeld, P.E. (2011). Handbook of Pollution Prevention and Cleaner Production: Best Practices in the Agrochemical Industry, Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Gonzalez, J., Feng, L., Sutherland, A., Waller, C., Sok, H., Hesse, R., **Rosenfeld**, **P.** (2010). PCBs and Dioxins/Furans in Attic Dust Collected Near Former PCB Production and Secondary Copper Facilities in Sauget, IL. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*. 113–125.

Feng, L., Wu, C., Tam, L., Sutherland, A.J., Clark, J.J., **Rosenfeld**, **P.E.** (2010). Dioxin and Furan Blood Lipid and Attic Dust Concentrations in Populations Living Near Four Wood Treatment Facilities in the United States. *Journal of Environmental Health*. 73(6), 34-46.

Cheremisinoff, N.P., & Rosenfeld, P.E. (2010). Handbook of Pollution Prevention and Cleaner Production: Best Practices in the Wood and Paper Industries. Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Cheremisinoff, N.P., & Rosenfeld, P.E. (2009). Handbook of Pollution Prevention and Cleaner Production: Best Practices in the Petroleum Industry. Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Wu, C., Tam, L., Clark, J., **Rosenfeld**, P. (2009). Dioxin and furan blood lipid concentrations in populations living near four wood treatment facilities in the United States. *WIT Transactions on Ecology and the Environment, Air Pollution*, 123 (17), 319-327.

Tam L. K., Wu C. D., Clark J. J. and **Rosenfeld**, **P.E.** (2008). A Statistical Analysis Of Attic Dust And Blood Lipid Concentrations Of Tetrachloro-p-Dibenzodioxin (TCDD) Toxicity Equivalency Quotients (TEQ) In Two Populations Near Wood Treatment Facilities. *Organohalogen Compounds*, 70, 002252-002255.

Tam L. K., Wu C. D., Clark J. J. and **Rosenfeld**, **P.E.** (2008). Methods For Collect Samples For Assessing Dioxins And Other Environmental Contaminants In Attic Dust: A Review. *Organohalogen Compounds*, 70, 000527-000530.

Hensley, A.R. A. Scott, J. J. J. Clark, **Rosenfeld**, **P.E.** (2007). Attic Dust and Human Blood Samples Collected near a Former Wood Treatment Facility. *Environmental Research*. 105, 194-197.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.**, J. J. J. Clark, A. R. Hensley, M. Suffet. (2007). The Use of an Odor Wheel Classification for Evaluation of Human Health Risk Criteria for Compost Facilities. *Water Science & Technology* 55(5), 345-357.

Rosenfeld, P. E., M. Suffet. (2007). The Anatomy Of Odour Wheels For Odours Of Drinking Water, Wastewater, Compost And The Urban Environment. *Water Science & Technology* 55(5), 335-344.

Sullivan, P. J. Clark, J.J.J., Agardy, F. J., Rosenfeld, P.E. (2007). *Toxic Legacy, Synthetic Toxins in the Food, Water, and Air in American Cities.* Boston Massachusetts: Elsevier Publishing

Rosenfeld, P.E., and Suffet I.H. (2004). Control of Compost Odor Using High Carbon Wood Ash. *Water Science and Technology*. 49(9),171-178.

Rosenfeld P. E., J.J. Clark, I.H. (Mel) Suffet (2004). The Value of An Odor-Quality-Wheel Classification Scheme For The Urban Environment. *Water Environment Federation's Technical Exhibition and Conference (WEFTEC) 2004*. New Orleans, October 2-6, 2004.

Rosenfeld, P.E., and Suffet, I.H. (2004). Understanding Odorants Associated With Compost, Biomass Facilities, and the Land Application of Biosolids. *Water Science and Technology*. 49(9), 193-199.

Rosenfeld, P.E., and Suffet I.H. (2004). Control of Compost Odor Using High Carbon Wood Ash, *Water Science and Technology*, 49(9), 171-178.

Rosenfeld, P. E., Grey, M. A., Sellew, P. (2004). Measurement of Biosolids Odor and Odorant Emissions from Windrows, Static Pile and Biofilter. *Water Environment Research*. 76(4), 310-315.

Rosenfeld, P.E., Grey, M and Suffet, M. (2002). Compost Demonstration Project, Sacramento California Using High-Carbon Wood Ash to Control Odor at a Green Materials Composting Facility. *Integrated Waste Management Board Public Affairs Office*, Publications Clearinghouse (MS–6), Sacramento, CA Publication #442-02-008.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.**, and C.L. Henry. (2001). Characterization of odor emissions from three different biosolids. *Water Soil and Air Pollution*. 127(1-4), 173-191.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.**, and Henry C. L., (2000). Wood ash control of odor emissions from biosolids application. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 29, 1662-1668.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry and D. Bennett. (2001). Wastewater dewatering polymer affect on biosolids odor emissions and microbial activity. *Water Environment Research*. 73(4), 363-367.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.**, and C.L. Henry. (2001). Activated Carbon and Wood Ash Sorption of Wastewater, Compost, and Biosolids Odorants. *Water Environment Research*, 73, 388-393.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.**, and Henry C. L., (2001). High carbon wood ash effect on biosolids microbial activity and odor. *Water Environment Research*. 131(1-4), 247-262.

Chollack, T. and **P. Rosenfeld.** (1998). Compost Amendment Handbook For Landscaping. Prepared for and distributed by the City of Redmond, Washington State.

Rosenfeld, P. E. (1992). The Mount Liamuiga Crater Trail. Heritage Magazine of St. Kitts, 3(2).

Rosenfeld, P. E. (1993). High School Biogas Project to Prevent Deforestation On St. Kitts. *Biomass Users Network*, 7(1).

Rosenfeld, P. E. (1998). Characterization, Quantification, and Control of Odor Emissions From Biosolids Application To Forest Soil. Doctoral Thesis. University of Washington College of Forest Resources.

Rosenfeld, P. E. (1994). Potential Utilization of Small Diameter Trees on Sierra County Public Land. Masters thesis reprinted by the Sierra County Economic Council. Sierra County, California.

Rosenfeld, **P. E.** (1991). How to Build a Small Rural Anaerobic Digester & Uses Of Biogas In The First And Third World. Bachelors Thesis. University of California.

Presentations:

Rosenfeld, P.E., Sutherland, A; Hesse, R.; Zapata, A. (October 3-6, 2013). Air dispersion modeling of volatile organic emissions from multiple natural gas wells in Decatur, TX. 44th Western Regional Meeting, American Chemical Society. Lecture conducted from Santa Clara, CA.

Sok, H.L.; Waller, C.C.; Feng, L.; Gonzalez, J.; Sutherland, A.J.; Wisdom-Stack, T.; Sahai, R.K.; Hesse, R.C.; **Rosenfeld, P.E.** (June 20-23, 2010). Atrazine: A Persistent Pesticide in Urban Drinking Water. *Urban Environmental Pollution*. Lecture conducted from Boston, MA.

Feng, L.; Gonzalez, J.; Sok, H.L.; Sutherland, A.J.; Waller, C.C.; Wisdom-Stack, T.; Sahai, R.K.; La, M.; Hesse, R.C.; **Rosenfeld, P.E.** (June 20-23, 2010). Bringing Environmental Justice to East St. Louis, Illinois. *Urban Environmental Pollution*. Lecture conducted from Boston, MA.

Rosenfeld, P.E. (April 19-23, 2009). Perfluoroctanoic Acid (PFOA) and Perfluoroactane Sulfonate (PFOS) Contamination in Drinking Water From the Use of Aqueous Film Forming Foams (AFFF) at Airports in the United States. 2009 Ground Water Summit and 2009 Ground Water Protection Council Spring Meeting, Lecture conducted from Tuscon, AZ.

Rosenfeld, P.E. (April 19-23, 2009). Cost to Filter Atrazine Contamination from Drinking Water in the United States" Contamination in Drinking Water From the Use of Aqueous Film Forming Foams (AFFF) at Airports in the United States. *2009 Ground Water Summit and 2009 Ground Water Protection Council Spring Meeting*. Lecture conducted from Tuscon, AZ.

Wu, C., Tam, L., Clark, J., **Rosenfeld, P**. (20-22 July, 2009). Dioxin and furan blood lipid concentrations in populations living near four wood treatment facilities in the United States. Brebbia, C.A. and Popov, V., eds., *Air Pollution XVII: Proceedings of the Seventeenth International Conference on Modeling, Monitoring and Management of Air Pollution*. Lecture conducted from Tallinn, Estonia.

Rosenfeld, P. E. (October 15-18, 2007). Moss Point Community Exposure To Contaminants From A Releasing Facility. *The 23rd Annual International Conferences on Soils Sediment and Water*. Platform lecture conducted from University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA.

Rosenfeld, P. E. (October 15-18, 2007). The Repeated Trespass of Tritium-Contaminated Water Into A Surrounding Community Form Repeated Waste Spills From A Nuclear Power Plant. *The 23rd Annual International Conferences on Soils Sediment and Water*. Platform lecture conducted from University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA.

Rosenfeld, P. E. (October 15-18, 2007). Somerville Community Exposure To Contaminants From Wood Treatment Facility Emissions. The 23rd Annual International Conferences on Soils Sediment and Water. Lecture conducted from University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA.

Rosenfeld P. E. (March 2007). Production, Chemical Properties, Toxicology, & Treatment Case Studies of 1,2,3-Trichloropropane (TCP). *The Association for Environmental Health and Sciences (AEHS) Annual Meeting*. Lecture conducted from San Diego, CA.

Rosenfeld P. E. (March 2007). Blood and Attic Sampling for Dioxin/Furan, PAH, and Metal Exposure in Florala, Alabama. *The AEHS Annual Meeting*. Lecture conducted from San Diego, CA.

Hensley A.R., Scott, A., **Rosenfeld P.E.**, Clark, J.J.J. (August 21 – 25, 2006). Dioxin Containing Attic Dust And Human Blood Samples Collected Near A Former Wood Treatment Facility. *The 26th International Symposium on Halogenated Persistent Organic Pollutants – DIOXIN2006*. Lecture conducted from Radisson SAS Scandinavia Hotel in Oslo Norway.

Hensley A.R., Scott, A., **Rosenfeld P.E.**, Clark, J.J.J. (November 4-8, 2006). Dioxin Containing Attic Dust And Human Blood Samples Collected Near A Former Wood Treatment Facility. *APHA 134 Annual Meeting & Exposition*. Lecture conducted from Boston Massachusetts.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (October 24-25, 2005). Fate, Transport and Persistence of PFOA and Related Chemicals. Mealey's C8/PFOA. *Science, Risk & Litigation Conference*. Lecture conducted from The Rittenhouse Hotel, Philadelphia, PA.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (September 19, 2005). Brominated Flame Retardants in Groundwater: Pathways to Human Ingestion, *Toxicology and Remediation PEMA Emerging Contaminant Conference*. Lecture conducted from Hilton Hotel, Irvine California.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (September 19, 2005). Fate, Transport, Toxicity, And Persistence of 1,2,3-TCP. *PEMA Emerging Contaminant Conference*. Lecture conducted from Hilton Hotel in Irvine, California.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (September 26-27, 2005). Fate, Transport and Persistence of PDBEs. *Mealey's Groundwater Conference*. Lecture conducted from Ritz Carlton Hotel, Marina Del Ray, California.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (June 7-8, 2005). Fate, Transport and Persistence of PFOA and Related Chemicals. *International Society of Environmental Forensics: Focus On Emerging Contaminants*. Lecture conducted from Sheraton Oceanfront Hotel, Virginia Beach, Virginia.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (July 21-22, 2005). Fate Transport, Persistence and Toxicology of PFOA and Related Perfluorochemicals. 2005 National Groundwater Association Ground Water And Environmental Law Conference. Lecture conducted from Wyndham Baltimore Inner Harbor, Baltimore Maryland.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (July 21-22, 2005). Brominated Flame Retardants in Groundwater: Pathways to Human Ingestion, Toxicology and Remediation. 2005 National Groundwater Association Ground Water and Environmental Law Conference. Lecture conducted from Wyndham Baltimore Inner Harbor, Baltimore Maryland.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. and James Clark Ph.D. and Rob Hesse R.G. (May 5-6, 2004). Tert-butyl Alcohol Liability and Toxicology, A National Problem and Unquantified Liability. *National Groundwater Association. Environmental Law Conference*. Lecture conducted from Congress Plaza Hotel, Chicago Illinois.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. (March 2004). Perchlorate Toxicology. *Meeting of the American Groundwater Trust*. Lecture conducted from Phoenix Arizona.

Hagemann, M.F., **Paul Rosenfeld**, **Ph.D.** and Rob Hesse (2004). Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River. *Meeting of tribal representatives*. Lecture conducted from Parker, AZ.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. (April 7, 2004). A National Damage Assessment Model For PCE and Dry Cleaners. *Drycleaner Symposium. California Ground Water Association*. Lecture conducted from Radison Hotel, Sacramento, California.

Rosenfeld, P. E., Grey, M., (June 2003) Two stage biofilter for biosolids composting odor control. Seventh International In Situ And On Site Bioremediation Symposium Battelle Conference Orlando, FL.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. and James Clark Ph.D. (February 20-21, 2003) Understanding Historical Use, Chemical Properties, Toxicity and Regulatory Guidance of 1,4 Dioxane. *National Groundwater Association. Southwest Focus Conference. Water Supply and Emerging Contaminants.*. Lecture conducted from Hyatt Regency Phoenix Arizona.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. (February 6-7, 2003). Underground Storage Tank Litigation and Remediation. *California CUPA Forum*. Lecture conducted from Marriott Hotel, Anaheim California.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. (October 23, 2002) Underground Storage Tank Litigation and Remediation. *EPA Underground Storage Tank Roundtable*. Lecture conducted from Sacramento California.

Rosenfeld, **P.E**. and Suffet, M. (October 7- 10, 2002). Understanding Odor from Compost, *Wastewater and Industrial Processes. Sixth Annual Symposium On Off Flavors in the Aquatic Environment. International Water Association*. Lecture conducted from Barcelona Spain.

Rosenfeld, **P.E**. and Suffet, M. (October 7- 10, 2002). Using High Carbon Wood Ash to Control Compost Odor. *Sixth Annual Symposium On Off Flavors in the Aquatic Environment. International Water Association*. Lecture conducted from Barcelona Spain.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.** and Grey, M. A. (September 22-24, 2002). Biocycle Composting For Coastal Sage Restoration. *Northwest Biosolids Management Association*. Lecture conducted from Vancouver Washington..

Rosenfeld, **P.E**. and Grey, M. A. (November 11-14, 2002). Using High-Carbon Wood Ash to Control Odor at a Green Materials Composting Facility. *Soil Science Society Annual Conference*. Lecture conducted from Indianapolis, Maryland.

Rosenfeld. P.E. (September 16, 2000). Two stage biofilter for biosolids composting odor control. *Water Environment Federation*. Lecture conducted from Anaheim California.

Rosenfeld. P.E. (October 16, 2000). Wood ash and biofilter control of compost odor. *Biofest*. Lecture conducted from Ocean Shores, California.

Rosenfeld, P.E. (2000). Bioremediation Using Organic Soil Amendments. *California Resource Recovery Association*. Lecture conducted from Sacramento California.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry, R. Harrison. (1998). Oat and Grass Seed Germination and Nitrogen and Sulfur Emissions Following Biosolids Incorporation With High-Carbon Wood-Ash. *Water Environment Federation 12th Annual Residuals and Biosolids Management Conference Proceedings*. Lecture conducted from Bellevue Washington.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.**, and C.L. Henry. (1999). An evaluation of ash incorporation with biosolids for odor reduction. *Soil Science Society of America*. Lecture conducted from Salt Lake City Utah.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.**, C.L. Henry, R. Harrison. (1998). Comparison of Microbial Activity and Odor Emissions from Three Different Biosolids Applied to Forest Soil. *Brown and Caldwell*. Lecture conducted from Seattle Washington.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry. (1998). Characterization, Quantification, and Control of Odor Emissions from Biosolids Application To Forest Soil. *Biofest.* Lecture conducted from Lake Chelan, Washington.

Rosenfeld, P.E, C.L. Henry, R. Harrison. (1998). Oat and Grass Seed Germination and Nitrogen and Sulfur Emissions Following Biosolids Incorporation With High-Carbon Wood-Ash. Water Environment Federation 12th Annual Residuals and Biosolids Management Conference Proceedings. Lecture conducted from Bellevue Washington.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry, R. B. Harrison, and R. Dills. (1997). Comparison of Odor Emissions From Three Different Biosolids Applied to Forest Soil. *Soil Science Society of America*. Lecture conducted from Anaheim California.

Teaching Experience:

UCLA Department of Environmental Health (Summer 2003 through 20010) Taught Environmental Health Science 100 to students, including undergrad, medical doctors, public health professionals and nurses. Course focused on the health effects of environmental contaminants.

National Ground Water Association, Successful Remediation Technologies. Custom Course in Sante Fe, New Mexico. May 21, 2002. Focused on fate and transport of fuel contaminants associated with underground storage tanks.

National Ground Water Association; Successful Remediation Technologies Course in Chicago Illinois. April 1, 2002. Focused on fate and transport of contaminants associated with Superfund and RCRA sites.

California Integrated Waste Management Board, April and May, 2001. Alternative Landfill Caps Seminar in San Diego, Ventura, and San Francisco. Focused on both prescriptive and innovative landfill cover design.

UCLA Department of Environmental Engineering, February 5, 2002. Seminar on Successful Remediation Technologies focusing on Groundwater Remediation.

University Of Washington, Soil Science Program, Teaching Assistant for several courses including: Soil Chemistry, Organic Soil Amendments, and Soil Stability.

U.C. Berkeley, Environmental Science Program Teaching Assistant for Environmental Science 10.

Academic Grants Awarded:

California Integrated Waste Management Board. \$41,000 grant awarded to UCLA Institute of the Environment. Goal: To investigate effect of high carbon wood ash on volatile organic emissions from compost. 2001.

Synagro Technologies, Corona California: \$10,000 grant awarded to San Diego State University. Goal: investigate effect of biosolids for restoration and remediation of degraded coastal sage soils. 2000.

King County, Department of Research and Technology, Washington State. \$100,000 grant awarded to University of Washington: Goal: To investigate odor emissions from biosolids application and the effect of polymers and ash on VOC emissions. 1998.

Northwest Biosolids Management Association, Washington State. \$20,000 grant awarded to investigate effect of polymers and ash on VOC emissions from biosolids. 1997.

James River Corporation, Oregon: \$10,000 grant was awarded to investigate the success of genetically engineered Poplar trees with resistance to round-up. 1996.

United State Forest Service, Tahoe National Forest: \$15,000 grant was awarded to investigating fire ecology of the Tahoe National Forest. 1995.

Kellogg Foundation, Washington D.C. \$500 grant was awarded to construct a large anaerobic digester on St. Kitts in West Indies. 1993

Deposition and/or Trial Testimony:

| | * |
|-------------|---|
| I C | ited States District Court For The District of New Jersey Duarte et al, <i>Plaintiffs</i> , vs. United States Metals Refining Company et. al. <i>Defendant</i> . Case No.: 2:17-cv-01624-ES-SCM Rosenfeld Deposition. 6-7-2019 |
| N 1 0 | ited States District Court of Southern District of Texas Galveston Division M/T Carla Maersk, <i>Plaintiffs</i> , vs. Conti 168., Schiffahrts-GMBH & Co. Bulker KG MS "Conti Perdido" <i>Defendant</i> . Case No.: 3:15-CV-00106 consolidated with 3:15-CV-00237 Rosenfeld Deposition. 5-9-2019 |
| (| perior Court of the State of California In And For The County Of Los Angeles – Santa Monica Carole-Taddeo-Bates et al., vs. Ifran Khan et al., Defendants Case No.: No. BC615636 Rosenfeld Deposition, 1-26-2019 |
|] (| perior Court of the State of California In And For The County Of Los Angeles – Santa Monica The San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments et al. vs El Adobe Apts. Inc. et al., Defendants Case No.: No. BC646857 Rosenfeld Deposition, 10-6-2018; Trial 3-7-19 |
| H C | States District Court For The District of Colorado Bells et al. Plaintiff vs. The 3M Company et al., Defendants Case: No 1:16-cv-02531-RBJ Rosenfeld Deposition, 3-15-2018 and 4-3-2018 |
| H C | strict Court Of Regan County, Texas, 112 th Judicial District Phillip Bales et al., Plaintiff vs. Dow Agrosciences, LLC, et al., Defendants Cause No 1923 Rosenfeld Deposition, 11-17-2017 |
| S (| perior Court of the State of California In And For The County Of Contra Costa Simons et al., Plaintiffs vs. Chevron Corporation, et al., Defendants Cause No C12-01481 Rosenfeld Deposition, 11-20-2017 |
| N C | rcuit Court Of The Twentieth Judicial Circuit, St Clair County, Illinois Martha Custer et al., Plaintiff vs. Cerro Flow Products, Inc., Defendants Case No.: No. 0i9-L-2295 Rosenfeld Deposition, 8-23-2017 |
| N (| perior Court of the State of California, For The County of Los Angeles Warrn Gilbert and Penny Gilber, Plaintiff vs. BMW of North America LLC Case No.: LC102019 (c/w BC582154) Rosenfeld Deposition, 8-16-2017, Trail 8-28-2018 |
| H C | rthern District Court of Mississippi, Greenville Division Brenda J. Cooper, et al., <i>Plaintiffs</i> , vs. Meritor Inc., et al., <i>Defendants</i> Case Number: 4:16-cv-52-DMB-JVM Possenfeld Deposition: July 2017 |

Rosenfeld Deposition: July 2017

In The Superior Court of the State of Washington, County of Snohomish Michael Davis and Julie Davis et al., Plaintiff vs. Cedar Grove Composting Inc., Defendants Case No.: No. 13-2-03987-5 Rosenfeld Deposition, February 2017 Trial. March 2017 In The Superior Court of the State of California, County of Alameda Charles Spain., Plaintiff vs. Thermo Fisher Scientific, et al., Defendants Case No.: RG14711115 Rosenfeld Deposition, September 2015 In The Iowa District Court In And For Poweshiek County Russell D. Winburn, et al., Plaintiffs vs. Doug Hoksbergen, et al., Defendants Case No.: LALA002187 Rosenfeld Deposition, August 2015 In The Iowa District Court For Wapello County Jerry Dovico, et al., Plaintiffs vs. Valley View Sine LLC, et al., Defendants Law No,: LALA105144 - Division A Rosenfeld Deposition, August 2015 In The Iowa District Court For Wapello County Doug Pauls, et al., et al., Plaintiffs vs. Richard Warren, et al., Defendants Law No,: LALA105144 - Division A Rosenfeld Deposition, August 2015 In The Circuit Court of Ohio County, West Virginia Robert Andrews, et al. v. Antero, et al. Civil Action N0. 14-C-30000 Rosenfeld Deposition, June 2015 In The Third Judicial District County of Dona Ana, New Mexico Betty Gonzalez, et al. Plaintiffs vs. Del Oro Dairy, Del Oro Real Estate LLC, Jerry Settles and Deward DeRuyter, Defendants Rosenfeld Deposition: July 2015 In The Iowa District Court For Muscatine County Laurie Freeman et. al. Plaintiffs vs. Grain Processing Corporation, Defendant Case No 4980 Rosenfeld Deposition: May 2015 In the Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit, in and For Broward County, Florida Walter Hinton, et. al. Plaintiff, vs. City of Fort Lauderdale, Florida, a Municipality, Defendant. Case Number CACE07030358 (26) Rosenfeld Deposition: December 2014 In the United States District Court Western District of Oklahoma Tommy McCarty, et al., Plaintiffs, v. Oklahoma City Landfill, LLC d/b/a Southeast Oklahoma City Landfill, et al. Defendants. Case No. 5:12-cv-01152-C Rosenfeld Deposition: July 2014

In the County Court of Dallas County Texas Lisa Parr et al, *Plaintiff*, vs. Aruba et al, *Defendant*. Case Number cc-11-01650-E Rosenfeld Deposition: March and September 2013 Rosenfeld Trial: April 2014

In the Court of Common Pleas of Tuscarawas County Ohio John Michael Abicht, et al., *Plaintiffs*, vs. Republic Services, Inc., et al., *Defendants* Case Number: 2008 CT 10 0741 (Cons. w/ 2009 CV 10 0987) Rosenfeld Deposition: October 2012

 In the United States District Court of Southern District of Texas Galveston Division
 Kyle Cannon, Eugene Donovan, Genaro Ramirez, Carol Sassler, and Harvey Walton, each Individually and on behalf of those similarly situated, *Plaintiffs*, vs. BP Products North America, Inc., *Defendant*. Case 3:10-cv-00622
 Rosenfeld Deposition: February 2012
 Rosenfeld Trial: April 2013

In the Circuit Court of Baltimore County Maryland

Philip E. Cvach, II et al., *Plaintiffs* vs. Two Farms, Inc. d/b/a Royal Farms, Defendants Case Number: 03-C-12-012487 OT Rosenfeld Deposition: September 2013

EXHIBIT C



Technical Consultation, Data Analysis and Litigation Support for the Environment

> 1640 5th St., Suite 204 Santa Santa Monica, California 90401 Tel: (949) 887-9013 Email: <u>mhagemann@swape.com</u>

Matthew F. Hagemann, P.G., C.Hg., QSD, QSP

Geologic and Hydrogeologic Characterization Industrial Stormwater Compliance Investigation and Remediation Strategies Litigation Support and Testifying Expert CEQA Review

Education:

M.S. Degree, Geology, California State University Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, 1984. B.A. Degree, Geology, Humboldt State University, Arcata, CA, 1982.

Professional Certifications:

California Professional Geologist California Certified Hydrogeologist Qualified SWPPP Developer and Practitioner

Professional Experience:

Matt has 25 years of experience in environmental policy, assessment and remediation. He spent nine years with the U.S. EPA in the RCRA and Superfund programs and served as EPA's Senior Science Policy Advisor in the Western Regional Office where he identified emerging threats to groundwater from perchlorate and MTBE. While with EPA, Matt also served as a Senior Hydrogeologist in the oversight of the assessment of seven major military facilities undergoing base closure. He led numerous enforcement actions under provisions of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) while also working with permit holders to improve hydrogeologic characterization and water quality monitoring.

Matt has worked closely with U.S. EPA legal counsel and the technical staff of several states in the application and enforcement of RCRA, Safe Drinking Water Act and Clean Water Act regulations. Matt has trained the technical staff in the States of California, Hawaii, Nevada, Arizona and the Territory of Guam in the conduct of investigations, groundwater fundamentals, and sampling techniques.

Positions Matt has held include:

- Founding Partner, Soil/Water/Air Protection Enterprise (SWAPE) (2003 present);
- Geology Instructor, Golden West College, 2010 2014;
- Senior Environmental Analyst, Komex H2O Science, Inc. (2000 -- 2003);

- Executive Director, Orange Coast Watch (2001 2004);
- Senior Science Policy Advisor and Hydrogeologist, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1989–1998);
- Hydrogeologist, National Park Service, Water Resources Division (1998 2000);
- Adjunct Faculty Member, San Francisco State University, Department of Geosciences (1993 1998);
- Instructor, College of Marin, Department of Science (1990 1995);
- Geologist, U.S. Forest Service (1986 1998); and
- Geologist, Dames & Moore (1984 1986).

Senior Regulatory and Litigation Support Analyst:

With SWAPE, Matt's responsibilities have included:

- Lead analyst and testifying expert in the review of over 100 environmental impact reports since 2003 under CEQA that identify significant issues with regard to hazardous waste, water resources, water quality, air quality, Valley Fever, greenhouse gas emissions, and geologic hazards. Make recommendations for additional mitigation measures to lead agencies at the local and county level to include additional characterization of health risks and implementation of protective measures to reduce worker exposure to hazards from toxins and Valley Fever.
- Stormwater analysis, sampling and best management practice evaluation at industrial facilities.
- Manager of a project to provide technical assistance to a community adjacent to a former Naval shipyard under a grant from the U.S. EPA.
- Technical assistance and litigation support for vapor intrusion concerns.
- Lead analyst and testifying expert in the review of environmental issues in license applications for large solar power plants before the California Energy Commission.
- Manager of a project to evaluate numerous formerly used military sites in the western U.S.
- Manager of a comprehensive evaluation of potential sources of perchlorate contamination in Southern California drinking water wells.
- Manager and designated expert for litigation support under provisions of Proposition 65 in the review of releases of gasoline to sources drinking water at major refineries and hundreds of gas stations throughout California.
- Expert witness on two cases involving MTBE litigation.
- Expert witness and litigation support on the impact of air toxins and hazards at a school.
- Expert witness in litigation at a former plywood plant.

With Komex H2O Science Inc., Matt's duties included the following:

- Senior author of a report on the extent of perchlorate contamination that was used in testimony by the former U.S. EPA Administrator and General Counsel.
- Senior researcher in the development of a comprehensive, electronically interactive chronology of MTBE use, research, and regulation.
- Senior researcher in the development of a comprehensive, electronically interactive chronology of perchlorate use, research, and regulation.
- Senior researcher in a study that estimates nationwide costs for MTBE remediation and drinking water treatment, results of which were published in newspapers nationwide and in testimony against provisions of an energy bill that would limit liability for oil companies.
- Research to support litigation to restore drinking water supplies that have been contaminated by MTBE in California and New York.

- Expert witness testimony in a case of oil production-related contamination in Mississippi.
- Lead author for a multi-volume remedial investigation report for an operating school in Los Angeles that met strict regulatory requirements and rigorous deadlines.

• Development of strategic approaches for cleanup of contaminated sites in consultation with clients and regulators.

Executive Director:

As Executive Director with Orange Coast Watch, Matt led efforts to restore water quality at Orange County beaches from multiple sources of contamination including urban runoff and the discharge of wastewater. In reporting to a Board of Directors that included representatives from leading Orange County universities and businesses, Matt prepared issue papers in the areas of treatment and disinfection of wastewater and control of the discharge of grease to sewer systems. Matt actively participated in the development of countywide water quality permits for the control of urban runoff and permits for the discharge of wastewater. Matt worked with other nonprofits to protect and restore water quality, including Surfrider, Natural Resources Defense Council and Orange County CoastKeeper as well as with business institutions including the Orange County Business Council.

<u>Hydrogeology:</u>

As a Senior Hydrogeologist with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Matt led investigations to characterize and cleanup closing military bases, including Mare Island Naval Shipyard, Hunters Point Naval Shipyard, Treasure Island Naval Station, Alameda Naval Station, Moffett Field, Mather Army Airfield, and Sacramento Army Depot. Specific activities were as follows:

- Led efforts to model groundwater flow and contaminant transport, ensured adequacy of monitoring networks, and assessed cleanup alternatives for contaminated sediment, soil, and groundwater.
- Initiated a regional program for evaluation of groundwater sampling practices and laboratory analysis at military bases.
- Identified emerging issues, wrote technical guidance, and assisted in policy and regulation development through work on four national U.S. EPA workgroups, including the Superfund Groundwater Technical Forum and the Federal Facilities Forum.

At the request of the State of Hawaii, Matt developed a methodology to determine the vulnerability of groundwater to contamination on the islands of Maui and Oahu. He used analytical models and a GIS to show zones of vulnerability, and the results were adopted and published by the State of Hawaii and County of Maui.

As a hydrogeologist with the EPA Groundwater Protection Section, Matt worked with provisions of the Safe Drinking Water Act and NEPA to prevent drinking water contamination. Specific activities included the following:

- Received an EPA Bronze Medal for his contribution to the development of national guidance for the protection of drinking water.
- Managed the Sole Source Aquifer Program and protected the drinking water of two communities through designation under the Safe Drinking Water Act. He prepared geologic reports, conducted public hearings, and responded to public comments from residents who were very concerned about the impact of designation.

• Reviewed a number of Environmental Impact Statements for planned major developments, including large hazardous and solid waste disposal facilities, mine reclamation, and water transfer.

Matt served as a hydrogeologist with the RCRA Hazardous Waste program. Duties were as follows:

- Supervised the hydrogeologic investigation of hazardous waste sites to determine compliance with Subtitle C requirements.
- Reviewed and wrote "part B" permits for the disposal of hazardous waste.
- Conducted RCRA Corrective Action investigations of waste sites and led inspections that formed the basis for significant enforcement actions that were developed in close coordination with U.S. EPA legal counsel.
- Wrote contract specifications and supervised contractor's investigations of waste sites.

With the National Park Service, Matt directed service-wide investigations of contaminant sources to prevent degradation of water quality, including the following tasks:

- Applied pertinent laws and regulations including CERCLA, RCRA, NEPA, NRDA, and the Clean Water Act to control military, mining, and landfill contaminants.
- Conducted watershed-scale investigations of contaminants at parks, including Yellowstone and Olympic National Park.
- Identified high-levels of perchlorate in soil adjacent to a national park in New Mexico and advised park superintendent on appropriate response actions under CERCLA.
- Served as a Park Service representative on the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee, a national workgroup.
- Developed a program to conduct environmental compliance audits of all National Parks while serving on a national workgroup.
- Co-authored two papers on the potential for water contamination from the operation of personal watercraft and snowmobiles, these papers serving as the basis for the development of nation-wide policy on the use of these vehicles in National Parks.
- Contributed to the Federal Multi-Agency Source Water Agreement under the Clean Water Action Plan.

Policy:

Served senior management as the Senior Science Policy Advisor with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9. Activities included the following:

- Advised the Regional Administrator and senior management on emerging issues such as the potential for the gasoline additive MTBE and ammonium perchlorate to contaminate drinking water supplies.
- Shaped EPA's national response to these threats by serving on workgroups and by contributing to guidance, including the Office of Research and Development publication, Oxygenates in Water: Critical Information and Research Needs.
- Improved the technical training of EPA's scientific and engineering staff.
- Earned an EPA Bronze Medal for representing the region's 300 scientists and engineers in negotiations with the Administrator and senior management to better integrate scientific principles into the policy-making process.
- Established national protocol for the peer review of scientific documents.

Geology:

With the U.S. Forest Service, Matt led investigations to determine hillslope stability of areas proposed for timber harvest in the central Oregon Coast Range. Specific activities were as follows:

- Mapped geology in the field, and used aerial photographic interpretation and mathematical models to determine slope stability.
- Coordinated his research with community members who were concerned with natural resource protection.
- Characterized the geology of an aquifer that serves as the sole source of drinking water for the city of Medford, Oregon.

As a consultant with Dames and Moore, Matt led geologic investigations of two contaminated sites (later listed on the Superfund NPL) in the Portland, Oregon, area and a large hazardous waste site in eastern Oregon. Duties included the following:

- Supervised year-long effort for soil and groundwater sampling.
- Conducted aquifer tests.
- Investigated active faults beneath sites proposed for hazardous waste disposal.

<u>Teaching:</u>

From 1990 to 1998, Matt taught at least one course per semester at the community college and university levels:

- At San Francisco State University, held an adjunct faculty position and taught courses in environmental geology, oceanography (lab and lecture), hydrogeology, and groundwater contamination.
- Served as a committee member for graduate and undergraduate students.
- Taught courses in environmental geology and oceanography at the College of Marin.

Matt taught physical geology (lecture and lab and introductory geology at Golden West College in Huntington Beach, California from 2010 to 2014.

Invited Testimony, Reports, Papers and Presentations:

Hagemann, M.F., 2008. Disclosure of Hazardous Waste Issues under CEQA. Presentation to the Public Environmental Law Conference, Eugene, Oregon.

Hagemann, M.F., 2008. Disclosure of Hazardous Waste Issues under CEQA. Invited presentation to U.S. EPA Region 9, San Francisco, California.

Hagemann, M.F., 2005. Use of Electronic Databases in Environmental Regulation, Policy Making and Public Participation. Brownfields 2005, Denver, Coloradao.

Hagemann, M.F., 2004. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River and Impacts to Drinking Water in Nevada and the Southwestern U.S. Presentation to a meeting of the American Groundwater Trust, Las Vegas, NV (served on conference organizing committee).

Hagemann, M.F., 2004. Invited testimony to a California Senate committee hearing on air toxins at schools in Southern California, Los Angeles.

Brown, A., Farrow, J., Gray, A. and **Hagemann, M.**, 2004. An Estimate of Costs to Address MTBE Releases from Underground Storage Tanks and the Resulting Impact to Drinking Water Wells. Presentation to the Ground Water and Environmental Law Conference, National Groundwater Association.

Hagemann, M.F., 2004. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River and Impacts to Drinking Water in Arizona and the Southwestern U.S. Presentation to a meeting of the American Groundwater Trust, Phoenix, AZ (served on conference organizing committee).

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River and Impacts to Drinking Water in the Southwestern U.S. Invited presentation to a special committee meeting of the National Academy of Sciences, Irvine, CA.

Hagemann, **M.F**., 2003. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River. Invited presentation to a tribal EPA meeting, Pechanga, CA.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River. Invited presentation to a meeting of tribal repesentatives, Parker, AZ.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. Impact of Perchlorate on the Colorado River and Associated Drinking Water Supplies. Invited presentation to the Inter-Tribal Meeting, Torres Martinez Tribe.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. The Emergence of Perchlorate as a Widespread Drinking Water Contaminant. Invited presentation to the U.S. EPA Region 9.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. A Deductive Approach to the Assessment of Perchlorate Contamination. Invited presentation to the California Assembly Natural Resources Committee.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. Perchlorate: A Cold War Legacy in Drinking Water. Presentation to a meeting of the National Groundwater Association.

Hagemann, M.F., 2002. From Tank to Tap: A Chronology of MTBE in Groundwater. Presentation to a meeting of the National Groundwater Association.

Hagemann, M.F., 2002. A Chronology of MTBE in Groundwater and an Estimate of Costs to Address Impacts to Groundwater. Presentation to the annual meeting of the Society of Environmental Journalists.

Hagemann, M.F., 2002. An Estimate of the Cost to Address MTBE Contamination in Groundwater (and Who Will Pay). Presentation to a meeting of the National Groundwater Association.

Hagemann, M.F., 2002. An Estimate of Costs to Address MTBE Releases from Underground Storage Tanks and the Resulting Impact to Drinking Water Wells. Presentation to a meeting of the U.S. EPA and State Underground Storage Tank Program managers.

Hagemann, M.F., 2001. From Tank to Tap: A Chronology of MTBE in Groundwater. Unpublished report.

Hagemann, M.F., 2001. Estimated Cleanup Cost for MTBE in Groundwater Used as Drinking Water. Unpublished report.

Hagemann, M.F., 2001. Estimated Costs to Address MTBE Releases from Leaking Underground Storage Tanks. Unpublished report.

Hagemann, M.F., and VanMouwerik, M., 1999. Potential Water Quality Concerns Related to Snowmobile Usage. Water Resources Division, National Park Service, Technical Report.

VanMouwerik, M. and **Hagemann**, M.F. 1999, Water Quality Concerns Related to Personal Watercraft Usage. Water Resources Division, National Park Service, Technical Report.

Hagemann, M.F., 1999, Is Dilution the Solution to Pollution in National Parks? The George Wright Society Biannual Meeting, Asheville, North Carolina.

Hagemann, M.F., 1997, The Potential for MTBE to Contaminate Groundwater. U.S. EPA Superfund Groundwater Technical Forum Annual Meeting, Las Vegas, Nevada.

Hagemann, M.F., and Gill, M., 1996, Impediments to Intrinsic Remediation, Moffett Field Naval Air Station, Conference on Intrinsic Remediation of Chlorinated Hydrocarbons, Salt Lake City.

Hagemann, M.F., Fukunaga, G.L., 1996, The Vulnerability of Groundwater to Anthropogenic Contaminants on the Island of Maui, Hawaii. Hawaii Water Works Association Annual Meeting, Maui, October 1996.

Hagemann, M. F., Fukanaga, G. L., 1996, Ranking Groundwater Vulnerability in Central Oahu, Hawaii. Proceedings, Geographic Information Systems in Environmental Resources Management, Air and Waste Management Association Publication VIP-61.

Hagemann, M.F., 1994. Groundwater Characterization and Cleanup at Closing Military Bases in California. Proceedings, California Groundwater Resources Association Meeting.

Hagemann, M.F. and Sabol, M.A., 1993. Role of the U.S. EPA in the High Plains States Groundwater Recharge Demonstration Program. Proceedings, Sixth Biennial Symposium on the Artificial Recharge of Groundwater.

Hagemann, M.F., 1993. U.S. EPA Policy on the Technical Impracticability of the Cleanup of DNAPLcontaminated Groundwater. California Groundwater Resources Association Meeting. **Hagemann, M.F**., 1992. Dense Nonaqueous Phase Liquid Contamination of Groundwater: An Ounce of Prevention... Proceedings, Association of Engineering Geologists Annual Meeting, v. 35.

Other Experience:

Selected as subject matter expert for the California Professional Geologist licensing examination, 2009-2011.