## **Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal**

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #:	
Project Title: 6th Street and Victoria Avenue Warehouse Project	
Lead Agency: City of Highland	
Contact Name: Kim Stater	
Email: kstater@cityofhighland.org	Phone Number: 909.864.6861 Ext. 259
Project Location:Highland	San Bernardino
City	County
Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).	
The project site is located at a 12.3-acre property at the northwestern co of Highland, California. Of the six parcels that comprise the project site, t and the remaining three parcels are undeveloped. The project would inclustructures and construction of an approximately 305,617-square-foot (grone-story warehouse building on an approximately 12.3-acre site (gross composed of approximately 295,617 square feet of warehouse space and The warehouse building would have a maximum height of 45 feet when a Municipal Code allows for a maximum height of 35 feet in the Business F 21-001) is being requested to accommodate the project's height. Internate feet and would not contain any cold storage space.	three parcels are developed with residential uses lude demolition of the three existing residential loss area, inclusive of mezzanine/office spaces), area). The warehouse building would be and 10,000 square feet of mezzanine/office space. It measured from grade. Given that the City's Park zone, a minor variance (Variance No. VAR)

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The Initial Study evaluated potential environmental effects to aesthetics, agricultural and forestry resources, air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, energy, geology and soils, greenhouse gas emissions, hazards and hazardous materials, hydrology and water quality, land use and planning, mineral resources, noise, population and housing, public services, recreation, transportation/traffic, utilities and service systems, and wildfire. Of all potential effects evaluated, impacts in the areas of air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, geology and soils, hazards and hazardous materials, and tribal cultural resources were identified, but will be reduced to less than signficant levels with implementation of the mitigation measures identified in the Initial Study. The City did not identify any significant unavoidable impacts that require further analysis in an Environmental Impact Report.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of agencies and the public.	controversy known to the Le	ad Agency, including issues raised b
None known at this time.		
Air Resources Board Caltrans District #8 Fish and Game Region #6 Native American Heritage Commission Regional WQCB #7 Department of Toxic Substances Control South Coast Air Quality Management District	r the project.	