# California Department of Transportation

DISTRICT 4
OFFICE OF TRANSIT AND COMMUNITY PLANNING
P.O. BOX 23660, MS-10D | OAKLAND, CA 94623-0660
www.dot.ca.gov





Governor's Office of Planning & Research

Nov 16 2021

November 16, 2021

STATE CLEARING HOUSE

SCH #: 2021100322

GTS #: 04-SM-2021-00392

GTS ID: 24596

Co/Rt/Pm: SM/82/4.77

Darryl Boyd, Contact Principal Planner City of Redwood City 1017 Middlefield Road Redwood City, CA 94063

## Re: 1125 Arguello Street Mixed-Use Development Project Notice of Preparation (NOP)

Dear Darryl Boyd:

Thank you for including the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) in the environmental review process for the 1125 Arguello Street Mixed-Use Development Project. We are committed to ensuring that impacts to the State's multimodal transportation system and to our natural environment are identified and mitigated to support a safe, sustainable, integrated and efficient transportation system. The following comments are based on our review of the October 2021 NOP.

### **Project Understanding**

The proposed project includes a horizontal mixed-use development consisting of 33 for-sale affordable multi-family units, approximately 300,000 square feet (sf) of office space, and an approximately 4,132 sf childcare facility. In addition, the project includes three levels of shared below-ground parking. The project is located adjacent to the Caltrain tracks, near the intersection of Whipple Avenue and State Route (SR)-82 (El Camino Real) in Redwood City.

## **Travel Demand Analysis**

With the enactment of Senate Bill (SB) 743, Caltrans is focused on maximizing efficient development patterns, innovative travel demand reduction strategies, and multimodal improvements. For more information on how Caltrans assesses Transportation Impact Studies, please review Caltrans' <u>Transportation Impact Study Guide</u>.

Darryl Boyd, Contact Principal Planner November 16, 2021 Page 2

If the project meets the screening criteria established in the City's adopted Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) policy to be presumed to have a less-than-significant VMT impact and exempt from detailed VMT analysis, please provide justification to support the exempt status in align with the City's VMT policy. Projects that do not meet the screening criteria should include a detailed VMT analysis in the Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR), which should include the following:

- VMT analysis pursuant to the City's guidelines. Projects that result in automobile VMT per capita above the threshold of significance for existing (i.e. baseline) city-wide or regional values for similar land use types may indicate a significant impact. If necessary, mitigation for increasing VMT should be identified. Mitigation should support the use of transit and active transportation modes. Potential mitigation measures that include the requirements of other agencies such as Caltrans are fully enforceable through permit conditions, agreements, or other legally-binding instruments under the control of the City.
- A schematic illustration of walking, biking and auto conditions at the project site and study area roadways. Potential safety issues for all road users should be identified and fully mitigated.
- The project's primary and secondary effects on pedestrians, bicycles, travelers with disabilities and transit performance should be evaluated, including countermeasures and trade-offs resulting from mitigating VMT increases. Access to pedestrians, bicycle, and transit facilities must be maintained.

### Mitigation Strategies

Location efficiency factors, including community design and regional accessibility, influence a project's impact on the environment. Using Caltrans' *Smart Mobility 2010*: A *Call to Action for the New Decade*, the proposed project site is identified as a Close-In Community Center where community design is moderate and regional accessibility is strong.

Given the place, type and size of the project, the DEIR should include a robust Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Program to reduce VMT and greenhouse gas emissions from future development in this area. The measures listed below have been quantified by California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA) and shown to have different efficiencies reducing regional VMT:

- Addition/Increase in number of affordable housing units in the project;
- Orientation of project towards non-auto corridor;
- Pedestrian network improvements;
- Traffic calming measures;

Darryl Boyd, Contact Principal Planner November 16, 2021 Page 3

- Implementation of a neighborhood electric vehicle (EV) network, including designated parking spaces for EVs;
- Limiting parking supply;
- Unbundled parking from property costs;
- Market price public parking;
- Ridesharing programs, Commute Trip Reduction programs, bike sharing programs;
- Transit and trip planning resources such as a commute information kiosk;
- Real-time transit information system;
- Transit access supporting infrastructure (including bus shelter improvements and sidewalk/ crosswalk safety facilities); and/or
- Bike parking near transit facilities.

Using a combination of strategies appropriate to the project and the site can reduce VMT, along with related impacts on the environment and State facilities. TDM programs should be documented with annual monitoring reports by a TDM coordinator to demonstrate effectiveness. If the project does not achieve the VMT reduction goals, the reports should also include next steps to take in order to achieve those targets.

Please reach out to Caltrans for further information about TDM measures and a toolbox for implementing these measures in land use projects. Additionally, Federal Highway Administration's Integrating Demand Management into the Transportation Planning Process: A Desk Reference (Chapter 8). The reference is available online at: <a href="http://www.ops.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/fhwahop12035/fhwahop12035.pdf">http://www.ops.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/fhwahop12035/fhwahop12035.pdf</a>.

#### Transportation Impact Fees

Please identify project-generated travel demand and estimate the costs of transit and active transportation improvements necessitated by the proposed project; viable funding sources such as development and/or transportation impact fees should also be identified. We encourage a sufficient allocation of fair share contributions toward multi-modal and regional transit improvements to fully mitigate cumulative impacts to regional transportation. We also strongly support measures to increase sustainable mode shares, thereby reducing VMT.

## **Construction-Related Impacts**

Potential impacts to the State Right-of-Way (ROW) from project-related temporary access points should be analyzed. Mitigation for significant impacts due to construction and noise should be identified. Project work that requires movement of oversized or excessive load vehicles on State roadways requires a transportation permit that is issued by Caltrans. To apply, visit: <a href="https://dot.ca.gov/programs/traffic-operations/transportation-permits">https://dot.ca.gov/programs/traffic-operations/transportation-permits</a>.

Darryl Boyd, Contact Principal Planner November 16, 2021 Page 4

Prior to construction, coordination may be required with Caltrans to develop a Transportation Management Plan (TMP) to reduce construction traffic impacts to the STN.

## **Lead Agency**

As the Lead Agency, the City of Redwood City is responsible for all project mitigation, including any needed improvements to the State Transportation Network (STN). The project's fair share contribution, financing, scheduling, implementation responsibilities and lead agency monitoring should be fully discussed for all proposed mitigation measures.

Thank you again for including Caltrans in the environmental review process. Should you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact Nick Hernandez at <a href="maick.hernandez@dot.ca.gov">nick.hernandez@dot.ca.gov</a>. Additionally, for future notifications and requests for review of new projects, please email LDIGR-D4@dot.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

MARK LEONG

District Branch Chief

Local Development Review

Mark Leong

c: State Clearinghouse