Form F

## Sample Summary for Electronic Document Submittal

15 copies of this document may be included when a Lead Agency is submitting electronic copies of environmental impact reports, negative declarations, mitigated negative declarations, or notices of preparation to the SCH. The SCH will still accept other summaries, such as an EIR summary prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123, attached to the electronic copies of the document.

SCH #			
Lead Agency:	San Diego County Water Authority		
	First Aqueduct Treated Water Tunnels Rehabilitation		
	N/A ion:	San Diego	
Liegeet Book	City		County

Please provide a Project Decription (Proposed Actions, location, and/or consequences).

The project is located in a rural area in unincorporated northern San Diego County, approximately 3 miles east of Interstate 15 along the alignment of the Water Authority's First San Diego Aqueduct. The Water Authority has determined that rehabilitation of three existing treated water tunnels is required to prevent additional structure deterioration and ensure system reliability for continued delivery of drinking water to its member agencies that meets applicable State of California standards. The project entails maintenance, improvement, and replacement of existing First Aqueduct infrastructure, including segments of three treated water tunnels and the bifurcation structures positioned at the upstream and downstream ends of each tunnel. The project would also develop new access manways along the tunnels to improve access into the tunnels for inspection and maintenance. No changes to the existing water supply or capacity would occur. The Water Authority is lead agency pursuant to CEQA, and issuance of a construction contract by the Water Authority Board of Directors is the discretionary action that triggers the need for CEQA compliance.

Please identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The project would result in potentially significant impacts to biological resources. Mitigation in the form compensatory vegetation credits and on-site restoration would reduce potentially significant impacts to biological resources to less than significant. The project would result in potentially significant impacts to noise (nighttime construction only). Mitigation in the form of temporary construction noise barriers would reduce potentially significant noise impacts to a level below significance.

If applicable, please describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Not applicable.

Please provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, State Water Resources Control Boad