

CEQA EXEMPTION / NEPA CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM (rev. 04/2021)

Project Information			
Project Name: <u>SR 98 Relinquishme</u>	ent R34600 in Imperial County, C	<u>CA</u>	
DIST-CO-RTE : <u>11-IMP-98</u>	PM/PM: 31.0		
EA : <u>11-08028 / PID 1100020357</u>	Federal-Aid Project Nun	nber:	
Project Description			
The project consists of a proposed reway (parcel R34600) that abuts Stat segment to be relinquished is approside of Cesar Chavez Boulevard. The on the north and is located along the will eliminate State costs associated segments of SR 98, and therefore, to as part of the State Highway System	e Route 98 in Imperial County C ximately 44-feet south of SR 98 he second segment to be relingule western side of Estrada Boulew with maintenance and operation o relinquish the segment that is	A. The first along the western uished abuts SR 98 vard. This project ns of owning these	
Caltrans CEQA Determination (Ch	eck one)		
□ Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency □ Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an IS or EIR under CEQA Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the project is: □ Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) □ Categorically Exempt. Class 12. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) □ No exceptions apply that would bar the use of a categorical exemption (PRC 21084 and 14 CCR 15300.2). See the SER Chapter 34 for exceptions. □ Covered by the Common Sense Exemption. This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].) Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief			
Shay Lynn M. Harrison	Shaydynn M Hanison	8/31/2021	
Print Name	Signature	Date	
Project Manager Kazim Mamdani	C.A. Camdani	8/31/2021	
Print Name	Signature	Date	



CEQA EXEMPTION / NEPA CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

<u>Caltrans NEPA Determination</u> (Check one)

Caltrans has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). See <u>SER Chapter 30</u> for unusual circumstances. As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under NEPA and is included under the following:

□ 23 USC 326: Caltrans has been the responsibility to make this de Memorandum of Understanding of Caltrans. Caltrans has determine □ 23 CFR 771.117(c): active □ 23 CFR 771.117(d): active □ Activity listed in Ap	termination pursuant to 23 dated April 18, 2019, execued that the project is a Cated vity (c)() vity (d)()	USC 326 and the ted between FHWA and gorical Exclusion under:
☐ 23 USC 327: Based on an exact Caltrans has determined that the The environmental review, consuffederal environmental laws for the Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 December 23, 2016 and execute	project is a Categorical Explication, and any other action is project are being, or have and the Memorandum of U	clusion under 23 USC 327. ns required by applicable re been, carried out by
Senior Environmental Planner	or Environmental Branch	Chief
Not Applicable		
Print Name	Signature	Date
Project Manager/ DLA Enginee	r	
Not Applicable		
Print Name	Signature	Date

Date of Categorical Exclusion Checklist completion: N/A
Date of Environmental Commitment Record or equivalent: N/A

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet if needed (i.e., not necessary if included on an attached ECR). Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., additional studies and design conditions).