

PALEONTOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT FOR THE FONTANA CORPORATE CENTER PROJECT

**CITY OF FONTANA,
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA**

APNs 238-062-36 and 238-062-39

Prepared for:

**T&B Planning, Inc.
3200 El Camino Real, Suite 100
Irvine, California 92602**

Submitted to:

**City of Fontana
Community Development Department
8353 Sierra Avenue
Fontana, California 92335**

Prepared by:

**Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.
14010 Poway Road, Suite A
Poway, California 92064**



August 4, 2021

Paleontological Database Information

Author: Todd A. Wirths, M.S., Senior Paleontologist, California
Professional Geologist No. 7588

Consulting Firm: Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.
14010 Poway Road, Suite A
Poway, California 92064
(858) 679-8218

Report Date: August 4, 2021

Report Title: Paleontological Assessment for the Fontana Corporate Center
Project, City of Fontana, San Bernardino County, California
(APNs 238-062-36 and 238-062-39)

Prepared for: T&B Planning, Inc.
3200 El Camino Real, Suite 100
Irvine, California 92602

Submitted to: City of Fontana
Community Development Department
8353 Sierra Avenue
Fontana, California 92335

Prepared by: Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.
14010 Poway Road, Suite A
Poway, California 92064

USGS Quadrangle: *Guasti, California* (7.5-minute) in Section 21, Township 1 South,
Range 6 West, San Bernardino Baseline and Meridian

Study Area: 18.53 acres

Key Words: Paleontological assessment; Pleistocene alluvial fan deposits;
High sensitivity; City of Fontana.

Table of Contents

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION AND LOCATION	1
II. REGULATORY SETTING	1
<i>State of California</i>	1
<i>City of Fontana</i>	4
III. GEOLOGY	5
IV. PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES.....	7
<i>Definition</i>	7
<i>Fossil Locality Search</i>	7
V. PALEONTOLOGICAL SENSITIVITY	7
<i>Overview</i>	7
<i>Professional Standards</i>	8
<i>City Assessment of Paleontological Sensitivity at the Project</i>	8
VI. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	9
<i>Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP)</i>	9
VII. CERTIFICATION.....	11
VIII. REFERENCES CITED.....	11

Appendices

Appendix A – Qualifications of Key Personnel

Appendix B – Fossil Locality Search Report

List of Figures

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
Figure 1 General Location Map	2
Figure 2 Project Location Map.....	3
Figure 3 Geologic Map.....	6

I. INTRODUCTION AND LOCATION

A paleontological resource assessment has been completed for the Fontana Corporate Center Project (“project”), located along the north side of Slover Avenue between Mulberry Avenue and the San Sevaine Flood Control Channel, in the city of Fontana, San Bernardino County, California (Figures 1 and 2). The project incorporates two parcels (Assessor’s Parcel Numbers [APNs] 238-062-36 and 238-062-39) for a total of 18.53 acres. On the U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-minute, 1:24,000-scale *Guasti, California* topographic quadrangle map, the project is located in Section 21, Township 1 South, Range 6 West, San Bernardino Baseline and Meridian. The project parcels are highly disturbed, having previously been utilized for agricultural and industrial purposes throughout the latter half of the twentieth century. As a result of the previous land use, the properties have been repeatedly graded and cleared. Currently, the project properties are used for retailing industrial supplies. The project proposes to demolish the existing buildings and grade the entire project for the construction of two commercial warehouse buildings with associated parking and hardscape. An earth disturbance cut of approximately 36,569 cubic yards is planned to accomplish the proposed improvements, to depths as much as approximately eight feet deep.

As the lead agency, the City of Fontana has required the preparation of a paleontological assessment to evaluate the project’s potential to yield paleontological resources. The paleontological assessment of the project included a review of paleontological literature and fossil locality records for a previous project in the area; a review of the underlying geology; and recommendations to mitigate impacts to potential paleontological resources. A paleontological field survey was not conducted since the project is currently paved over and developed.

II. REGULATORY SETTING

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), which is patterned after the National Environmental Policy Act, is the overriding environmental document that sets the requirement for protecting California’s paleontological resources. The document mandates that governing permitting agencies (lead agencies) set their own guidelines for the protection of nonrenewable paleontological resources under their jurisdiction.

State of California

Under “Guidelines for Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act,” as amended in December 2018 (California Code of Regulations [CCR] Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15000 et seq.), procedures define the types of activities, persons, and public agencies required to comply with CEQA. Section 15063 of the CCR provides a process by which a lead agency may review a project’s potential impact to the environment, whether the impacts are significant, and provide recommendations, if necessary.




Figure 1
General Location Map
 The Fontana Corporate Center Project

DeLorme (1:250,000)






Figure 2
Project Location Map
 The Fontana Corporate Center Project

USGS *Guasti* and *Fontana* Quadrangles (7.5-minute series)



In CEQA's Environmental Checklist Form, one of the questions to answer is, "Would the project directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?" (Appendix G, Section VII, Part f). This is to ensure compliance with California Public Resources Code Section 5097.5, the law that protects nonrenewable resources including fossils, which is paraphrased below:

- a) A person shall not knowingly and willfully excavate upon, or remove, destroy, injure or deface any historic or prehistoric ruins, burial grounds, archaeological or vertebrate paleontological site, including fossilized footprints, inscriptions made by human agency, rock art, or any other archaeological, paleontological or historical feature, situated on public lands, except with the express permission of the public agency having jurisdiction over such lands.
- b) As used in this section, "public lands" means lands owned by, or under the jurisdiction of, the state, or any city, county, district, authority, or public corporation, or any agency thereof.
- c) A violation of this section is a misdemeanor.

City of Fontana

In the final environmental impact report (EIR) of the City of Fontana General Plan Update 2015–2035, two paleontological resource mitigation measures are specified, MM-CUL-4 and MM-CUL-5. Mitigation measure MM-CUL-4 must be implemented before construction starts, while Mitigation measure MM-CUL-5 must be implemented before, during, and after construction (City of Fontana 2018a). The measures are as follows:

MM-CUL-4 A qualified paleontologist shall conduct a pre-construction field survey of any project site within the Specific Plan Update area that is underlain by older alluvium. The paleontologist shall submit a report of findings that provide specific recommendations regarding further mitigation measures (*i.e.*, paleontological monitoring) that may be appropriate.

MM-CUL-5 Should mitigation monitoring of paleontological resources be recommended for a specific project within the project site, the program shall include, but not be limited to, the following measures:

- Assign a paleontological monitor, trained and equipped to allow the rapid removal of fossils with minimal construction delay, to the site full-time during the interval of earth-disturbing activities.
- Should fossils be found within an area being cleared or graded, earth-

disturbing activities shall be diverted elsewhere until the monitor has completed salvage. If construction personnel make the discovery, the grading contractor shall immediately divert construction and notify the monitor of the find.

- All recovered fossils shall be prepared, identified, and curated for documentation in the summary report and transferred to an appropriate depository (*i.e.*, San Bernardino County Museum).

A summary report shall be submitted to City of Fontana. Collected specimens shall be transferred with [a] copy of [the] report to [the] San Bernardino County Museum (City of Fontana 2018a).

III. GEOLOGY

The project is located near the western margin and distal southern end of the broad Lytle Creek alluvial fan that emanates from the San Gabriel Mountains approximately nine to 10 miles to the north as a result of uplift and dissection of the eastern San Gabriel Mountains. The main source of these sediments is from the Lytle Creek drainage, near where the northwest-southeast-trending San Andreas fault zone cuts across and separates the San Gabriel and San Bernardino mountain ranges (Morton and Miller 2006). Geomorphically, the project is relatively flat-lying, with a gentle slope to the southwest (see Figures 2 and 3). The project is underlain by late Holocene-aged (approximately within the last few thousand years) young alluvial fan deposits (light yellow areas with red dots labeled “Qyf₅”) (Figure 3, after Morton and Miller 2006). These deposits are likely underlain by an older deposit of young alluvial fan sediments (yellow areas labeled “Qyf₁” on Figure 3) that are early Holocene and late Pleistocene in age (approximately 6,000 to 120,000 years ago [Cohen and Gibbard 2011]). The “Qyf₁” young alluvial fan deposits occur as slightly raised areas protruding through the surrounding younger “Qyf₅” young alluvial fan sediments. In the neighboring Fontana quadrangle to the east, the “Qyf₁” young alluvial fan deposits are accorded a late to middle Pleistocene age (Morton 2003), a time span of approximately 11,700 to 780,000 years ago (Cohen and Gibbard 2011). Dutcher and Garrett (1963) indicate that (undifferentiated) young alluvial fan deposits may exceed one hundred feet thick in some areas, but show that young alluvial fan deposits are approximately 15 feet thick (Pl. 7, cross-section G-G') for a broad area in the Fontana Plain about four miles east-northeast of the project. The proximity of old alluvial deposit outcrops to the young alluvial deposits underlying the site supports Dutcher and Garrett's (1963) later assessment, and general geologic structural relationships as well, for a relatively thin thickness of the young alluvial deposits.




Figure 3
Geologic Map

The Fontana Corporate Center Project

Geology after Morton and Miller (2006)



IV. PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES

Definition

Paleontological resources are the remains of prehistoric life that have been preserved in geologic strata. These remains are called fossils and include bones, shells, teeth, and plant remains (including their impressions, casts, and molds) in the sedimentary matrix, as well as trace fossils such as footprints and burrows. Fossils are considered older than 5,000 years of age (Society of Vertebrate Paleontology 2010) but may include younger remains (subfossils) when viewed in the context of local extinction of the organism or habitat, for example. Fossils are considered a nonrenewable resource under state, county, and local guidelines (Section II of this report).

Fossil Locality Search

A paleontological literature review and collections and locality records search was conducted for the project by a vertebrate paleontologist in the Division of Geological Sciences at the San Bernardino County Museum (SBCM) in Redlands (Cortez 2021, attached). The resulting report did not identify any previously recorded fossil localities from within the boundaries of the project, however eight localities (SBCM localities [locs.] 5.1.11, 5.1.14 - 5.1.17, and 5.1.19 - 5.1.21) were identified situated about 1.3 miles southwest of the project. The bones of large and small Pleistocene-age mammals as well as terrestrial snails and freshwater clams were recovered from these localities, mostly from clayey, silty sands from depths ranging from five to 21 feet below the surface, when recorded. Mammals from these localities include three species of rodent, cottontail rabbit, bison, western camel, horse, Pacific mastodon, saber-tooth cat, and other unidentified large mammal remains.

Cortez (2021) indicates that the young alluvial fan deposits mapped at the surface by Morton (2003) in the area of the project have a low potential to yield significant paleontological resources. Conversely, the underlying Holocene and late Pleistocene alluvial fan deposits ("Qyf1" on Figure 3) are considered to have a high paleontological sensitivity, based on numerous fossil localities in the region. Fossils include the bones from extinct species such as mammoths, mastodons, giant ground sloths, dire wolves, saber-tooth cats, large and small horses, large and small camels, and bison (Cortez 2021).

V. PALEONTOLOGICAL SENSITIVITY

Overview

The degree of paleontological sensitivity of any particular area is based on a number of factors, including the documented presence of fossiliferous resources on a site or in nearby areas, the presence of documented fossils within a particular geologic formation or lithostratigraphic unit, and whether or not the original depositional environment of the sediments is one that might

have been conducive to the accumulation of organic remains that might have become fossilized over time. Holocene alluvium is generally considered to be geologically too young to contain significant nonrenewable paleontological resources (*i.e.*, fossils) and is thus typically assigned a low paleontological sensitivity. Old, Pleistocene (>11,700-year-old) alluvial and alluvial fan deposits in the Inland Empire, such as those that underlie the project (“Qyf₁” on Figure 3), however, often yield important terrestrial vertebrate fossils, such as extinct mammoths, mastodons, giant ground sloths, extinct species of horse, bison, and camel, saber-toothed cats, and others (Cortez 2021, attached). These Pleistocene sediments are thus accorded a High paleontological resource sensitivity.

Professional Standards

The Society of Vertebrate Paleontology has drafted guidelines that include four categories of paleontological sensitivity for geologic units (formations) that might be impacted by a proposed project, as listed below:

- **High Potential:** Rock units from which vertebrate or significant invertebrate, plant, or trace fossils have been recovered.
- **Undetermined Potential:** Rock units for which little information is available concerning their paleontological content, geologic age, and depositional environment, and that further study is needed to determine the potential of the rock unit.
- **Low Potential:** Rock units that are poorly represented by fossil specimens in institutional collections or based on a general scientific consensus that only preserve fossils in rare circumstances.
- **No Potential:** Rock units that have no potential to contain significant paleontological resources, such as high-grade metamorphic rocks and plutonic igneous rocks.

Using these criteria, the presence of nearby significant fossil localities (SBCM locs. 5.1.11, 5.1.14 - 5.1.17, and 5.1.19 - 5.1.21) and the strong likelihood that the nearby fossil localities originated from the same geologic formation as that which underlies the project at depth, the Pleistocene old alluvial fan deposits can be considered to have a high potential to yield significant paleontological resources.

City Assessment of Paleontological Sensitivity at the Project

Section 5.4.1.5 of the City of Fontana’s draft EIR for the general plan (City of Fontana 2018b) describes the paleontological sensitivity of the strata underlying the city. Based on Pleistocene vertebrate fossils recovered from the city’s southwestern area (SBCM locs. 5.1.11, 5.1.14 - 5.1.17, and 5.1.19 - 5.1.21) that includes the location of the project, subsurface “older fan” Pleistocene deposits are considered by the city to have a high potential for yielding fossils. The overlying “younger fan” deposits at the surface are considered by the city as having no

potential to yield significant paleontological resources.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Research has confirmed the existence of potentially fossiliferous Holocene and late Pleistocene old alluvial fan deposits (“Qyf₁” on Figure 3) that likely underlie the Holocene young alluvial fan sediments mapped at the surface (“Qyf₅” on Figure 3) at the project. The occurrence of terrestrial vertebrate fossils at shallow depths from Pleistocene alluvial fan sediments across the Inland Empire of western Riverside County is well documented. The “High” paleontological sensitivity rating typically assigned to Pleistocene old alluvial fan sediments for yielding paleontological resources supports the recommendation that paleontological monitoring be implemented during mass grading and excavation activities in undisturbed Pleistocene old alluvial fan sediments to mitigate any adverse impacts (loss or destruction) to potential nonrenewable paleontological resources. Full-time monitoring of undisturbed alluvial fan deposits at the project is warranted starting at a depth of five feet below the surface.

If a fossil(s) is found at shallower depths, earth disturbance activities should be halted within a radius of 50 feet from the location of the fossil, and a qualified, project-level paleontologist shall be consulted to determine the significance of the fossilized remains. If the fossil is deemed significant by the paleontologist, full-time monitoring should be initiated at the project.

Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP)

The following MMRP guidelines, outlined below, are based on the findings stated above. Paleontological monitoring may be reduced on the observations and recommendations of the professional-level project paleontologist. The following MMRP, when implemented, would reduce potential impacts of paleontological resources to a level below significant:

1. Monitoring of mass grading and excavation activities in areas identified as likely to contain paleontological resources shall be performed by a qualified paleontologist or paleontological monitor. Starting at a depth of five feet, monitoring will be conducted full-time in areas of grading or excavation in undisturbed sediments of alluvial fan deposits.
2. If a fossil(s) is found at a shallower depth, earth disturbance activities should be halted within a radius of 50 feet from the location of the fossil, and a project-level paleontologist shall be consulted to determine the significance of the fossilized remains. If the fossil is deemed significant by the project-level paleontologist, full-time monitoring should be initiated at the project.
3. Paleontological monitors will be equipped to salvage fossils as they are unearthed to

- avoid construction delays. The monitor must be empowered to temporarily halt or divert equipment to allow removal of abundant or large specimens in a timely manner. Monitoring may be reduced if the potentially fossiliferous units are not present in the subsurface, or, if present, are determined on exposure and examination by qualified paleontological personnel to have low potential to contain fossil resources. The monitor shall notify the project paleontologist, who will then notify the concerned parties of the discovery.
4. Paleontological salvage during trenching and boring activities is typically from the generated spoils and does not delay the trenching or drilling activities. Fossils are collected and placed in cardboard flats or plastic buckets and identified by field number, collector, and date collected. Notes are taken on the map location and stratigraphy of the site, which is photographed before it is vacated and the fossils are removed to a safe place. On mass grading projects, discovered fossil sites are protected by flagging to prevent them from being overrun by earthmovers (scrapers) before salvage begins. Fossils are collected in a similar manner, with notes and photographs being taken before removing the fossils. Precise location of the site is determined with the use of handheld GPS units. If the site involves remains from a large terrestrial vertebrate, such as large bone(s) or a mammoth tusk, that is/are too large to be easily removed by a single monitor, a fossil recovery crew shall excavate around the find, encase the find within a plaster and burlap jacket, and remove it after the plaster is set. For large fossils, use of the contractor's construction equipment may be solicited to help remove the jacket to a safe location.
 5. Isolated fossils are collected by hand, wrapped in paper, and placed in temporary collecting flats or five-gallon buckets. Notes are taken on the map location and stratigraphy of the site, which is photographed before it is vacated and the fossils are removed to a safe place.
 6. Particularly small invertebrate fossils typically represent multiple specimens of a limited number of organisms, and a scientifically suitable sample can be obtained from one to several five-gallon buckets of fossiliferous sediment. If it is possible to dry screen the sediment in the field, a concentrated sample may consist of one or two buckets of material. For vertebrate fossils, the test is usually the observed presence of small pieces of bones within the sediments. If present, as many as 20 to 40 five-gallon buckets of sediment can be collected and returned to a separate facility to wet-screen the sediment.
 7. In accordance with the "Microfossil Salvage" section of the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology guidelines (2010:7), bulk sampling and screening of fine-grained sedimentary deposits (including carbonate-rich paleosols) must be performed if the deposits are identified to possess indications of producing fossil "microvertebrates" to test the feasibility of the deposit to yield fossil bones and teeth.

8. In the laboratory, individual fossils are cleaned of extraneous matrix, any breaks are repaired, and the specimen, if needed, is stabilized by soaking in an archivally approved acrylic hardener (*e.g.*, a solution of acetone and Paraloid B-72).
9. Recovered specimens are prepared to a point of identification and permanent preservation (not display), including screen-washing sediments to recover small invertebrates and vertebrates. Preparation of individual vertebrate fossils is often more time-consuming than for accumulations of invertebrate fossils.
10. Identification and curation of specimens into a professional, accredited public museum repository with a commitment to archival conservation and permanent retrievable storage (*e.g.*, the San Bernardino County Museum) shall be conducted. The paleontological program should include a written repository agreement prior to the initiation of mitigation activities. Prior to curation, the lead agency (*e.g.*, the City of Fontana) will be consulted on the repository/museum to receive the fossil material.
11. A final report of findings and significance will be prepared, including lists of all fossils recovered and necessary maps and graphics to accurately record their original location(s). The report, when submitted to, and accepted by, the appropriate lead agency, will signify satisfactory completion of the project program to mitigate impacts to any potential nonrenewable paleontological resources (*i.e.*, fossils) that might have been lost or otherwise adversely affected without such a program in place.

VII. CERTIFICATION


I hereby certify that the statements furnished above and in the attached exhibits present the data and information required for this paleontological report, and that the facts, statements, and information presented are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, and have been compiled in accordance with CEQA criteria.



Todd A. Wirths
Senior Paleontologist
California Professional Geologist No. 7588

August 4, 2021

Date



VIII. REFERENCES

City of Fontana. 2018a. Draft Environmental Impact Report, Chapter 5.4. Cultural Resources, Fontana Forward General Plan Update 2015-2035. <https://www.fontana.org/DocumentCenter/View/26716/54-Cultural-Resources>

- City of Fontana. 2018a. Final Environmental Impact Report. Cultural Resources, Fontana Forward General Plan Update 2015-2035. State Clearinghouse #2016021099. <https://www.fontana.org/DocumentCenter/View/29525/Final-Environmental-Impact-Report-for-the-General-Plan-Update>.
- City of Fontana. 2018b. Draft Environmental Impact Report, Chapter 5.4. Cultural Resources, Fontana Forward General Plan Update 2015-2035. <https://www.fontana.org/DocumentCenter/View/26716/54-Cultural-Resources>.
- Cohen, K.M., and Gibbard, P.L. 2011. Global chronostratigraphical correlation table for the last 2.7 million years. Subcommittee on Quaternary Stratigraphy (International Commission on Stratigraphy), Cambridge, England. <http://quaternary.stratigraphy.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/POSTERstratchart-v2011.jpg.pdf>.
- Cortez, C. 2021. Paleontology records review for proposed Fontana Corporate Center Project in San Bernardino County, California. Unpublished letter report prepared for Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California, by the Division of Earth Sciences at the San Bernardino County Museum, Redlands, California.
- DeLorme World Basemap. 2020. A seamless global data set with horizontal accuracy of +/- 50 meters. https://server.arcgisonline.com/ArcGIS/rest/services/Specialty/DeLorme_World_Base_Map/MapServer
- Dutcher, L.C., and Garrett, A.A. 1963. Geologic and hydrologic features of the San Bernardino area, California - with special reference to underflow across the San Jacinto fault. USGS Water-Supply Paper 1419.
- Morton, D.M. 2003. Preliminary geologic map of the Fontana 7.5' Quadrangle, San Bernardino and Riverside Counties, California, Version 1.0: U. S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 03-418, scale 1:24,000.
- Morton, D.M. and Miller, F.K. 2006. Geologic map of the San Bernardino and Santa Ana 30' x 60' quadrangles, California: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 06-1217, scale 1:100,000.
- Society of Vertebrate Paleontology. 2010. Standard procedures for the assessment and mitigation of adverse impacts to paleontological resources; by the SVP Impact Mitigation Guidelines Revision Committee: [12](http://vertpaleo.org/Membership/Member-</p></div><div data-bbox=)

Ethics/SVP_Impact_Mitigation_Guidelines.aspx.

United States Geological Survey. 1980. *Guasti, California* and *Fontana, California* (USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle series).

APPENDIX A

Qualifications of Key Personnel

Todd A. Wirths, MS, PG No. 7588

Senior Paleontologist

Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.

14010 Poway Road • Suite A •

Phone: (858) 679-8218 • Fax: (858) 679-9896 • E-Mail: twirths@bfsa-ca.com



Education

Master of Science, Geological Sciences, San Diego State University, California 1995

Bachelor of Arts, Earth Sciences, University of California, Santa Cruz 1992

Professional Certifications

California Professional Geologist #7588, 2003

Riverside County Approved Paleontologist

San Diego County Qualified Paleontologist

Orange County Certified Paleontologist

OSHA HAZWOPER 40-hour trained; current 8-hour annual refresher

Professional Memberships

Board member, San Diego Geological Society

San Diego Association of Geologists; past President (2012) and Vice President (2011)

South Coast Geological Society

Southern California Paleontological Society

Experience

Mr. Wirths has more than a dozen years of professional experience as a senior-level paleontologist throughout southern California. He is also a certified California Professional Geologist. At BFSa, Mr. Wirths conducts on-site paleontological monitoring, trains and supervises junior staff, and performs all research and reporting duties for locations throughout Los Angeles, Ventura, San Bernardino, Riverside, Orange, San Diego, and Imperial Counties. Mr. Wirths was formerly a senior project manager conducting environmental investigations and remediation projects for petroleum hydrocarbon-impacted sites across southern California.

Selected Recent Reports

2019 *Paleontological Assessment for the 10575 Foothill Boulevard Project, City of Rancho Cucamonga, San Bernardino County, California.* Prepared for T&B Planning, Inc. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.

2019 *Paleontological Assessment for the MorningStar Marguerite Project, Mission Viejo, Orange County, California.* Prepared for T&B Planning. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.

- 2019 *Paleontological Monitoring Report for the Nimitz Crossing Project, City of San Diego.* Prepared for Voltaire 24, LP. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2019 *Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Program (PRIMP) for the Jack Rabbit Trail Logistics Center Project, City of Beaumont, Riverside County, California.* Prepared for JRT BP 1, LLC. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2020 *Paleontological Monitoring Report for the Oceanside Beachfront Resort Project, Oceanside, San California.* Prepared for S.D. Malkin Properties. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2020 *Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Program for the Nakase Project, Lake Forest, Orange County, San California.* Prepared for Glenn Lukos Associates, Inc. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2020 *Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Program for the Sunset Crossroads Project, Banning, Riverside County.* Prepared for NP Banning Industrial, LLC. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2020 *Paleontological Assessment for the Ortega Plaza Project, Lake Elsinore, Riverside County.* Prepared for Empire Design Group. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2020 *Paleontological Resource Record Search Update for the Green River Ranch III Project, Green River Ranch Specific Plan SP00-001, City of Corona, California.* Prepared for Western Realco. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2020 *Paleontological Assessment for the Cypress/Slover Industrial Center Project, City of Fontana, San Bernardino County, California.* Prepared for T&B Planning, Inc. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2020 *Paleontological Monitoring Report for the Imperial Landfill Expansion Project (Phase VI, Segment C-2), Imperial County, California.* Prepared for Republic Services, Inc. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2021 *Paleontological Assessment for the Manitou Court Logistics Center Project, City of Jurupa Valley, Riverside County, California.* Prepared for Link Industrial. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2021 *Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Program for the Del Oro (Tract 36852) Project, Menifee, Riverside County.* Prepared for D.R. Horton. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2021 *Paleontological Assessment for the Alessandro Corporate Center Project (Planning Case PR-2020-000519), City of Riverside, Riverside County, California.* Prepared for OZI Alessandro, LLC. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2021 *Paleontological Monitoring Report for the Boardwalk Project, La Jolla, City of San Diego.* Prepared for Project Management Advisors, Inc. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.

APPENDIX B

Fossil Locality Search Report



San Bernardino County Museum

Division of Earth Sciences

www.SBCounty.gov

Crystal Cortez

Curator of Earth Sciences

email: Crystal.cortez@sbcm.sbcounty.gov

20 July, 2021

Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.

Attn: Todd Wirths

14010 Poway Rd.,

Poway CA 92064

PALEONTOLOGY RECORDS REVIEW for proposed Fontana Corporate Center project in San Bernardino County, California

Dear Mr. Wirths,

The Division of Earth Sciences of the San Bernardino County Museum (SBCM) has completed a records search for the above-named project in San Bernardino County, California. The proposed Fontana Corporate Center project is located near in the City of Fontana, California as shown on the United States Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5 minute Guasti, California quadrangles.

Geologic mapping of that region indicates that the proposed development is located on Quaternary younger alluvial deposits from Lytle Creek deposits of Holocene and late Pleistocene age (Morton, 2003). These sediments have low potential to contain significant paleontological resources. However, these sediments may overlay older Pleistocene fan deposits. These potentially-fossiliferous sediments were deposited between ~1.8 million years ago to ~11,000 years ago. Older Pleistocene deposits in the area have been found to be highly fossiliferous yielding the remains of ground sloths, bison and horse.

For this review, I conducted a search of the Regional Paleontological Locality Inventory (RPLI) at the SBCM. The results of this search indicate that no paleontological resources have been discovered within the proposed project site however, there are several sites within a 1 mile buffer. Located approximately 1.3 miles southeast of the proposed site are eight (8) SBCM localities from Pleistocene aged deposits; SBCM 5.1.11, 5.1.14, 5.1.15, 5.1.16, 5.1.17, 5.1.19, 5.1.20, and 5.1.21, near the Jurupa and Mulberry intersection. Locality SBCM 5.1.11 uncovered

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

COL. PAUL COOK (RET.)
First District

JANICE RUTHERFORD
Second District

DAWN ROWE
Third District

CURT HAGMAN
Chairman, Fourth District

JOE BACA, JR.
Fifth District


Leonard X. Hernandez
Chief Executive Officer

a partial *Smilodon* skull at around a five (5) foot depth as estimated by trenching machine installing a pipeline. At SBCM 5.1.14 remains belonging to *Gyraulius* sp, *Stagnicola* sp, Gastropoda, Bivalvia, *Sylvilagus* sp, *Thomomys* sp, *Neotoma* sp, *Microtus californicus*, *Mammut pacificus* were discovered in very fine silty clayey sand with occasional pebbles. A single *Bison* sp. tooth was recovered from cemented clayey silty moderately sorted sand with small caliche rootlets at SBCM 5.1.15. Clayey silty fine sand with occasional larger subangular grains at locality SBCM 5.1.16 yielded bone fragments of *Camelops hesternus*. In the same type of sediment SBCM 5.1.17 and SBCM 5.1.19 unearthed remains of a large mammal along with fragmentary material of *Mammut pacificus*. SBCM 5.1.20 had a dry light olive gray subangular sand that yielded fragments from *Camelops hesternus*, Artiodactyla, and a large mammal. SBCM locality 5.1.21 found fragmentary material from *Equus* sp. at an approximate 21 foot depth.

This records search covers only the paleontological records of the San Bernardino County Museum. It is not intended to be a thorough paleontological survey of the proposed project area covering other institutional records, a literature survey, or any potential on-site survey.

Please do not hesitate to contact us with any further questions that you may have.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Crystal Cortez', with a stylized, flowing script.

Crystal Cortez, Curator of Earth Sciences
Division of Earth Sciences
San Bernardino County Museum