State of California – Natural Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE
Central Region
1234 East Shaw Avenue
Fresno, California 93710
(559) 243-4005
www.wildlife.ca.gov



Governor's Office of Planning & Research

July 6, 2021

July 06 2021

STATE CLEARING HOUSE

Robert Holt, Planner III City of Fresno, Planning and Development Department 2600 Fresno Street, Room 3065 Fresno, California 93721

Subject: Notice of Preparation (NOP) – Focused Environmental Impact Report (FEIR), Plan Amendment and Rezone Application No. P20-04463

Tapestry III Tract 6195 Century Communities Project (Project) –

State Clearinghouse No. 2021060089

Dear Mr. Holt:

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) received a NOP from the City of Fresno for the above-referenced Project pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and CEQA Guidelines.¹

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments and recommendations regarding those activities involved in the Project that may affect California fish and wildlife. Likewise, CDFW appreciates the opportunity to provide comments regarding those aspects of the Project that CDFW, by law, may be required to carry out or approve through the exercise of its own regulatory authority under Fish and Game Code.

CDFW ROLE

CDFW is California's **Trustee Agency** for fish and wildlife resources and holds those resources in trust by statue for all the people of the State (Fish and G. Code, §§ 711.7, subd. (a) & 1802; Pub. Resources Code, § 21070; CEQA Guidelines § 15386, subd. (a)). CDFW, in its trustee capacity, has jurisdiction over the conservation, protection, and management of fish, wildlife, native plants, and habitat necessary for biologically sustainable populations of those species (*Id.*, § 1802). Similarly, for purposes of CEQA, CDFW is charged by law to provide, as available, biological expertise during public agency environmental review efforts, focusing specifically on projects and related activities that have the potential to adversely affect fish and wildlife resources.

¹ CEQA is codified in the California Public Resources Code in section 21000 et seq. The "CEQA Guidelines" are found in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, commencing with section 15000.

CDFW is also submitting comments as a **Responsible Agency** under CEQA (Pub. Resources Code, § 21069; CEQA Guidelines, § 15381). CDFW expects that it may need to exercise regulatory authority as provided by the Fish and Game Code. As proposed, for example, the Project may be subject to CDFW's lake and streambed alteration regulatory authority (Fish & G. Code, § 1600 et seq.). Likewise, to the extent implementation of the Project as proposed may result in "take" as defined by State law of any species protected under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) (Fish & G. Code, § 2050 et seq.), related authorization as provided by the Fish and Game Code will be required.

Nesting Birds: CDFW has jurisdiction over actions with potential to result in the disturbance or destruction of active nest sites or the unauthorized take of birds. Fish and Game Code sections that protect birds, their eggs and nests include, sections 3503 (regarding unlawful take, possession or needless destruction of the nest or eggs of any bird), 3503.5 (regarding the take, possession or destruction of any birds-of-prey or their nests or eggs), and 3513 (regarding unlawful take of any migratory nongame bird).

In this role, CDFW is responsible for providing, as available, biological expertise during public agency environmental review efforts (e.g., CEQA), focusing specifically on project activities that have the potential to adversely affect fish and wildlife resources. CDFW provides recommendations to identify potential impacts and possible measures to avoid or reduce those impacts.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION SUMMARY

Proponent: BMCH California, LLC.

Objective: The Project proposes a Plan Amendment to amend the Fresno General Plan and Bullard Community Plan to change the planned land use designations for the subject property from Open Space, Regional Park (±14.0 acres), Open Space, Multi-Use (±1.30 acres), and Public Facility, PG&E Substation (±2.28 acres), to Residential, Medium Density (±17.58 acres). The Rezone application proposes to amend the Official Zoning Map of the City of Fresno to change the subject property from the PR/BL/UGM

(Parks and Recreation/Bluff Protection/Urban Growth Management) (±15.30 acres) and PI/BL/UGM (Public Institutional/Bluff Protection/Urban Growth Management) (±2.28 acres) to the RS-5/BL/UGM (Residential Single Family, Medium Density/Bluff Protection/Urban Growth Management) (±17.58 acres) zone district. Vesting Tentative Tract Map No. 6195/UGM (P18-00579) proposes to subdivide approximately 17.58 acres of the subject property for the purpose of creating an 89-lot conventional single-family residential development at a density of approximately 5.05 dwelling units/acre.

The Project will also require dedications and/or acquisitions for public street rights-of-

way and utility easements as well as the construction of public facilities and infrastructure in accordance with the standards, specifications, and policies of the City of Fresno in order to facilitate the future proposed development of the subject property.

Location: The Project is located in the City and County of Fresno within Section 32, Township 12S South, Range 19E East, MDB&M (APNs 504-050-02 & 504-130-12). The Project site is located on the west side of North Thiele Avenue north of West Spruce Avenue and south of the San Joaquin River Bluffs (7308 North Thiele Avenue).

Timeframe: None specified.

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CDFW offers the following comments and recommendations to assist the City of Fresno in adequately identifying and/or mitigating the Project's significant, or potentially significant, direct and indirect impacts on fish and wildlife (biological) resources. Editorial comments or other suggestions may also be included to improve the document.

There are several special-status resources that may utilize the Project site, and these resources may need to be evaluated and addressed prior to any approvals that would allow ground-disturbing activities. CDFW is concerned regarding potential impacts to special-status species including, but not limited to, the State threatened Swainson's hawk (*Buteo swainsoni*), and the State species of special concern burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia*), American badger (*Taxidea taxus*) and western pond turtle (*Actinemys marmarota*).

I. Environmental Setting and Related Impact

Would the Project have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special-status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by CDFW or the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)?

COMMENT 1: Swainson's Hawk (SWHA)

Issue: Based on aerial photography, SWHA have the potential to nest near the Project site, and forage within the project site and surrounding area. Potential suitable nesting habitat for SWHA is present near the Project site in the form of trees along the San Joaquin River. The distance to the trees/river from the northern edge of the Project site ranges from approximately 65-feet (0.01-mile) north of Project

limits on the west end, to approximately 130-feet (0.02-mile) on the east end of the Project limits. Disturbed grasslands are present within and adjacent to the proposed Project site. These grasslands provide potential foraging habitat.

Specific impacts: Without appropriate avoidance and minimization measures for SWHA, potential significant impacts that may result from Project activities include nest abandonment, loss of foraging habitat that would reduce nesting success (loss or reduced health or vigor of eggs or young), and direct mortality. Any take of SWHA without appropriate incidental take authorization would be a violation of Fish and Game Code.

Evidence impact is potentially significant: SWHA exhibit high nest-site fidelity year after year and lack of suitable nesting habitat in the San Joaquin Valley limits their local distribution and abundance (CDFW 2016). The Project as proposed will involve noise, groundwork, and movement of workers that could affect nests in the vicinity of the Project and has the potential to result in nest abandonment, significantly impacting local nesting SWHA.

Recommended Mitigation Measure 1: Focused SWHA Surveys

To evaluate potential impacts, CDFW recommends that a qualified wildlife biologist conduct surveys for nesting SWHA following the survey methods developed by the Swainson's Hawk Technical Advisory Committee (SWHA TAC, 2000) prior to project implementation. The survey protocol includes early season surveys to assist the project proponent in implementing necessary avoidance and minimization measures, and in identifying active nest sites prior to initiating ground-disturbing activities.

Recommended Mitigation Measure 2: SWHA Avoidance/No-disturbance Buffer

If ground-disturbing Project activities are to take place during the normal bird breeding season (March 1 through September 15), CDFW recommends that additional pre-activity surveys for active nests be conducted by a qualified biologist no more than 10 days prior to the start of Project implementation. CDFW recommends a minimum no-disturbance buffer of ½ mile be delineated around active nests until the breeding season has ended or until a qualified biologist has determined that the birds have fledged and are no longer reliant upon the nest or parental care for survival.

Recommended Mitigation Measure 3: SWHA Foraging Habitat

CDFW recommends compensation for the loss of SWHA foraging habitat to reduce impacts to SWHA foraging habitat to less than significant based on CDFW's "Staff Report Regarding Mitigation for Impacts to Swainson's Hawks" (CDFG, 1994), which recommends that mitigation for habitat loss occur within a minimum distance of

10 miles from known nest sites and the amount of habitat compensation is dependent on nest proximity. In addition to fee title acquisition or conservation easement recorded on property with suitable grassland habitat features, mitigation may occur by the purchase of conservation or suitable agricultural easements. Suitable agricultural easements would include areas limited to production of crops such as alfalfa, dry land and irrigated pasture, and cereal grain crops. Vineyards, orchards, cotton fields, and other dense vegetation do not provide adequate foraging habitat.

Recommended Mitigation Measure 4: SWHA Take Authorization

CDFW recommends that in the event an active SWHA nest is detected during surveys and the ½-mile no-disturbance buffer around the nest cannot feasibly be implemented, consultation with CDFW is warranted to discuss how to implement the project and avoid take. If take cannot be avoided, take authorization through the acquisition of an ITP, pursuant to Fish and Game Code section 2081(b) is warranted to comply with CESA

COMMENT 2: Burrowing Owl (BUOW)

Issue: BUOW may occur in or near the Project site. BUOW inhabit open grassland or adjacent canal banks, right-of-ways, vacant lots, etc., containing small mammal burrows, a requisite habitat feature used by BUOW for nesting and cover. Review of aerial imagery indicates that the Project site mainly contains annual grassland and is bordered to the south and west with grassland as well.

Specific impact: Potentially significant direct impacts associated with subsequent construction activities include burrow collapse, inadvertent entrapment, nest abandonment, reduced reproductive success, reduction in health and vigor of eggs and/or young, and direct mortality of individuals.

Evidence impact is potentially significant: BUOW rely on burrow habitat year-round for their survival and reproduction. Habitat loss and degradation are considered the greatest threats to BUOW in California's Central Valley (Gervais et al. 2008). The Project site is bordered by annual grassland to the south and west, some of which appears to have already been disturbed per aerial photography. Housing developments are present immediately east. Therefore, subsequent ground-disturbing activities associated with the Project have the potential to significantly impact local BUOW populations. In addition, and as described in CDFW's "Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation" (CDFG 2012), excluding and/or evicting BUOW from their burrows is considered a potentially significant impact under CEQA.

Recommended Mitigation Measure 5: BUOW Habitat Assessment

CDFW recommends that a qualified biologist conduct a habitat assessment in advance of Project implementation, to determine if the Project area or its vicinity contains suitable habitat for BUOW.

Recommended Mitigation Measure 6: BUOW Surveys

CDFW recommends assessing presence/absence of BUOW by having a qualified biologist conduct surveys following the California Burrowing Owl Consortium's "Burrowing Owl Survey Protocol and Mitigation Guidelines" (CBOC 1993) and CDFW's Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation" (CDFG 2012). Specifically, the California Burrowing Owl Consortium (CBOC) and CDFW's Staff Report suggest three or more surveillance surveys conducted during daylight with each visit occurring at least three weeks apart during the peak breeding season (April 15 to July 15), when BUOW are most detectable.

Recommended Mitigation Measure 7: BUOW Avoidance

CDFW recommends no-disturbance buffers, as outlined in the "Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation" (CDFG 2012), be implemented prior to and during any ground-disturbing activities. Specifically, CDFW's Staff Report recommends that impacts to occupied burrows be avoided in accordance with the following table unless a qualified biologist approved by CDFW verifies through non-invasive methods that either: 1) the birds have not begun egg laying and incubation; or 2) that juveniles from the occupied burrows are foraging independently and are capable of independent survival.

Location	Time of Year	Level of Disturbance		
		Low	Med	High
Nesting sites	April 1-Aug 15	200 m*	500 m	500 m
Nesting sites	Aug 16-Oct 15	200 m	200 m	500 m
Nesting sites	Oct 16-Mar 31	50 m	100 m	500 m

^{*} meters (m)

Recommended Mitigation Measure 8: BUOW Passive Relocation and Mitigation

If BUOW are found within these recommended buffers and avoidance is not possible, it is important to note that according to the Staff Report (CDFG 2012), exclusion is not a take avoidance, minimization, or mitigation method and is considered a potentially significant impact under CEQA. However, if necessary,

CDFW recommends that burrow exclusion be conducted by qualified biologists and only during the non-breeding season, before breeding behavior is exhibited and after the burrow is confirmed empty through non-invasive methods, such as surveillance. CDFW recommends replacement of occupied burrows with artificial burrows at a ratio of 1 burrow collapsed to 1 artificial burrow constructed (1:1) as mitigation for the potentially significant impact of evicting BUOW. BUOW may attempt to colonize or re-colonize an area that will be impacted; thus, CDFW recommends ongoing surveillance, at a rate that is sufficient to detect BUOW if they return.

COMMENT 3: American Badger (AMBA)

Issue: AMBA are known to occur in the vicinity of the Project site (CDFW 2021). Badgers occupy sparsely vegetated land cover with dry, friable soils to excavate dens, which they use for cover, and that support fossorial rodent prey populations (i.e. ground squirrels, pocket gophers, etc.) (Zeiner et. al 1990). The Project site may support these requisite habitat features. Therefore, the Project has the potential to impact AMBA.

Specific impact: Without appropriate avoidance and minimization measures for AMBA, potentially significant impacts associated with ground disturbance from construction activities include direct mortality or natal den abandonment, which may result in reduced health or vigor of young.

Evidence impact is potentially significant: Habitat loss is a primary threat to AMBA (Gittleman et al. 2001). The proposed Project would rezone a currently open space area to a residential, medium density area and thus would result in a high degree of land conversion and potential habitat fragmentation. As a result, ground-disturbing activities have the potential to significantly impact local populations of AMBA.

Recommended Mitigation Measure 9: AMBA Surveys

CDFW recommends that a qualified biologist determine if suitable habitat for AMBA is present within or immediately adjacent to the Project site. If suitable habitat is present, CDFW recommends that a qualified biologist conduct focused surveys for AMBA and their requisite habitat features (dens) to evaluate potential impacts resulting from ground- and vegetation-disturbance.

Recommended Mitigation Measure 10: AMBA Avoidance

Avoidance whenever possible is encouraged via delineation and observation of a 50-foot no-disturbance buffer around dens until it is determined through non-invasive means that individuals occupying the den have dispersed.

COMMENT 4: Western Pond Turtle (WPT)

Issue: WPT have the potential to occur in the area of the Project site because of the Project's proximity to the San Joaquin River. The San Joaquin River is located approximately 65-feet to 130-feet north of the site. WPT are known to nest in the spring or early summer within 100 meters (328 feet) of a water body, although nest sites as far away as 500 meters (1,640 feet) have also been reported (Thomson et al. 2016).

Specific impact: Without appropriate avoidance and minimization measures for WPT, potentially significant impacts associated with Project construction activities could include nest reduction, inadvertent entrapment, reduced reproductive success, reduction in health or vigor of eggs and/or young, and direct mortality.

Evidence impact is potentially significant: The Project site is in close proximity of potential WPT habitat. Additionally, noise, vegetation removal, movement of workers, and ground disturbance as a result of Project activities have the potential to significantly impact WPT populations.

Recommended Mitigation Measure 11: WPT Surveys

CDFW recommends that a qualified biologist determine if suitable habitat is present within or immediately adjacent to the Project site. If suitable habitat is present, CDFW recommends that a qualified biologist conduct focused surveys for WPT ten days prior to Project implementation. In addition, CDFW recommends that focused surveys for nests occur during the egg-laying season (March through August) and that any nests discovered remain undisturbed until the eggs have hatched.

Recommended Mitigation Measure 12: WPT Relocation

CDFW recommends that if any WPT are discovered at the site immediately prior to or during Project activities, they be allowed to move out of the area on their own.

II. Editorial Comments and/or Suggestions

Lake and Streambed Alteration: The Project is subject to CDFW's regulatory authority pursuant Fish and Game Code section 1600 et seq. Fish and Game Code section 1602 requires an entity to notify CDFW prior to commencing any activity that may (a) substantially divert or obstruct the natural flow of any river, stream, or lake; (b) substantially change or use any material from the bed, bank, or channel of any river, stream, or lake; or (c) deposit debris, waste or other materials that could pass into any river, stream, or lake. "Any river, stream, or lake" includes those that are ephemeral or intermittent, as well as those that are perennial in nature.

For additional information on notification requirements, please contact our staff in the Lake and Streambed Alteration Program at (559) 243-4593. It is important to note, CDFW is required to comply with CEQA, as a Responsible Agency, when issuing a Lake or Streambed Alteration Agreement. If inadequate, or no environmental review, has occurred, for the Project activities that are subject to notification under Fish and Game Code 1602, CDFW will not be able to issue the Final LSAA Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement until CEQA analysis for the project is complete. This may lead to considerable Project delays.

Nesting Birds: The Project contains and is adjacent to habitat that provides nesting habitat for birds. CDFW encourages that Project implementation occur during the bird non-nesting season. However, if ground-disturbing or vegetation-disturbing activities must occur during the breeding season (February through mid-September), the Project applicant is responsible for ensuring that implementation of the Project does not result in violation of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act or relevant Fish and Game Codes sections referenced above.

To evaluate Project-related impacts on nesting birds, CDFW recommends that a qualified wildlife biologist conduct pre-activity surveys for active nests no more than 10 days prior to the start of ground or vegetation disturbance to maximize the probability that nests that could potentially be impacted are detected. CDFW also recommends that surveys cover a sufficient area around the Project site to identify nests and determine their status. A sufficient area means any area potentially affected by the Project. Prior to initiation of Project activities, CDFW recommends that a qualified biologist conduct a survey to establish a behavioral baseline of all identified nests. Once Project activities begins, CDFW recommends having a qualified biologist continuously monitor nests to detect behavioral changes resulting from the Project. If behavioral changes occur, CDFW recommends halting the work causing that change and consulting with CDFW for additional avoidance and minimization measures.

If continuous monitoring of identified nests by a qualified wildlife biologist is not feasible, CDFW recommends a minimum no-disturbance buffer of 250 feet around active nests of non-listed bird species and a 500-foot no-disturbance buffer around active nests of non-listed raptors. These buffers are advised to remain in place until the breeding season has ended or until a qualified biologist has determined that the birds have fledged and are no longer reliant upon the nest or on-site parental care for survival. Variance from these no-disturbance buffers is possible when there is compelling biological or ecological reason to do so, such as when the Project site would be concealed from a nest site by topography. CDFW recommends that a qualified wildlife biologist advise and support any variance from these buffers and notify CDFW in advance of implementing a variance.

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

CEQA requires that information developed in environmental impact reports and negative declarations be incorporated into a database which may be used to make subsequent or supplemental environmental determinations (Pub. Resources Code, § 21003, subd. (e)). Accordingly, please report any special status species and natural communities detected during Project surveys to CNDDB. The CNDDB field survey form can be found at the following link: https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDB/Submitting-Data. The completed form can be mailed electronically to CNDDB at the following email address: CNDDB@wildlife.ca.gov. The types of information reported to CNDDB can be found at the following link: https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDB/Plants-and-Animals.

FILING FEES

If it is determined that the Project has the potential to impact biological resources, an assessment of filing fees will be necessary. Fees are payable upon filing of the Notice of Determination by the Lead Agency and serve to help defray the cost of environmental review by CDFW. Payment of the fee is required in order for the underlying project approval to be operative, vested, and final (Cal. Code Regs, tit. 14, § 753.5; Fish & G. Code, § 711.4; Pub. Resources Code, § 21089).

CDFW appreciates the opportunity to comment on the Project to assist Fresno County Department of Public Works and Planning in identifying and mitigating the Project's impacts on biological resources.

More information on survey and monitoring protocols for sensitive species can be found at CDFW's website (https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/Survey-Protocols). If you have any questions, please contact Kelley Nelson, Environmental Scientist, at the address provided on this letterhead, or by electronic mail at Kelley.Nelson@wildlife.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:

Julie A. Vance

Regional Manager

Attachment

ec: Patricia Cole (patricia_cole@fws.gov)

United States Fish and Wildlife Service

LITERATURE CITED

SWHA Literature Citations

- CDFW. 2016. Five Year Status Review for Swainson's Hawk (Buteo swainsoni). California Department of Fish and Wildlife. April 11, 2016.
- CDFW. 2020. Biogeographic Information and Observation System (BIOS). https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Data/BIOS. Accessed June 15, 2021.
- Swainson's Hawk Technical Advisory Committee (SWHA TAC). 2000. Recommended Timing and Methodology for Swainson's Hawk Nesting Surveys in California's Central Valley. Swainson's Hawk Technical Advisory Committee, May 31, 2000.

BUOW Literature Citations

- California Burrowing Owl Consortium. 1993. Burrowing owl survey protocol and mitigation guidelines. April 1993.
- CDFG. 2012. Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation. California Department of Fish and Game.
- Gervais, J.A., D.D. Rosenberg, and L.A. Comrack. 2008. Burrowing Owl (Athene cunicularia) in Shuford, W.D. and T. Gardali, editors.

AMBA Literature Citations

- Gittleman, J. L., S. M. Funk, D. MacDonald, and R. K. Wayne, 2001. Carnivore conservation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Zeiner, D. C., W. F. Laudenslayer, Jr, K. E. Mayer, and M. White. 1990. California's Wildlife Volume I-III. California Department of Fish and Game, editor. Sacramento, CA, USA.

WPT Literature Citation

Thomson, R. C., A. N. Wright, and H. Bradley Shaffer, 2016. California Amphibian and Reptile Species of Special Concern. California Department of Fish and Wildlife and University of California Press.

Attachment 1

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE RECOMMENDED MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM (MMRP)

PROJECT: Tapestry III Tract 6195 Century Communities Project (NOP – FEIR) SCH No.: 2021060089

RECOMMENDED MITIGATION	STATUS/DATE/INITIALS
MEASURE	
Before Disturbing	Soil or Vegetation
Mitigation Measure 1: Focused SWHA Surveys	
Mitigation Measure 3: SWHA Foraging Habitat	
Mitigation Measure 4: SWHA Take Authorization	
Mitigation Measure 5: BUOW Habitat Assessment	
Mitigation Measure 6: BUOW Surveys	
Mitigation Measure 9: AMBA Surveys	
Mitigation Measure 11: WPT Surveys	
Mitigation Measure 12: WPT Relocation	
During Co	onstruction
Mitigation Measure 2: SWHA Avoidance/No-	
disturbance Buffer	
Mitigation Measure 7: BUOW Avoidance	
Mitigation Measure 8: BUOW Passive Relocation and	
Mitigation	
Mitigation Measure 10: AMBA Avoidance	

1 Rev. 2013.1.1