Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Southern California Logistics Airport (SCLA) Lot 44 Distribution Center Project (PLAN21-00013)

Lead Agency: City of Victorville

Contact Name: Mike Szarzynski

Email: planning@victorvilleca.gov Phone Number: 760-955-5135

Project Location: 18580 Gateway Drive, City of Victorville, County of San Bernardino, California 92394

City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The proposed project would include construction of a distribution center on approximately 72.2 acres of disturbed land on the SCLA Lot 44 site. The new distribution center would consist of a 1,080,308 square-foot building footprint, which includes 36,241 square feet of office space. The facility would also feature a 250,028 square-foot mezzanine, 98 truck loading docks, 396 trailer parking stalls, and 1,010 passenger vehicle parking spaces. The project would also include approximately 26.4 acres to the south and southwest that would accommodate ancillary utility and roadway improvements. The project proposes to enhance the local economy and municipal revenue, and furnish local employment opportunities for residents, consistent with the goals of the City's General Plan.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The IS/MND has identified potential impacts and necessary mitigation measures for aesthetics, air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, geology and soils, hazardous materials, noise, transportation, and tribal cultural resources. To preserve views of the site and surroundings during short-term project construction, Mitigation Measure AES-1 was recommended. Mitigation Measure AES-2 was recommended to reduce potential impacts related to light and glare. Mitigation Measure AQ-1 and AQ-2 were recommended to reduce area source (ROG) emissions during project operations of the proposed project and ensure MDAQMD significance thresholds are not exceeded. Mitigation Measures BIO-1 through BIO-4 were recommended to reduce potential impacts to special-status wildlife species, which require preparation and implementation of a Workers Environmental Awareness Program (WEAP) training, pre-construction nesting bird clearance surveys if construction is scheduled during the nesting season, and a pre-construction bat clearance survey. Mitigation Measure CUL-1 and CUL-2 were recommended to reduce potential impacts involving unknown cultural resources and human remains. Mitigation Measure GEO-1 was recommended to reduce potential impacts involving unknown paleontological resources. Mitigation Measures HAZ-1 and HAZ-2 were recommended to reduce potential impacts involving unknown wastes, munitions, explosives, or suspect materials during construction. Mitigation Measure NOI-1 was recommended to reduce short-term construction noise impacts. Mitigation Measure TR-1 recommends a Construction Management Plan to reduce short-term impacts within surrounding roadways. Mitigation Measures TCR-1 was recommended to reduce potential impacts involving unknown tribal cultural resources.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

No known areas of controversy are anticipated.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

The applicable agency approvals and related environmental review/consultation requirements associated with the project may include the following, among others. It is not anticipated that any other agencies would require use of the IS/MND in their decision making process.

City of Victorville
• California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review;
• Site Plan Review;
• Grading Permit; and
• Building Permit.

Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board
• NPDES Construction General Permit