Form F

Sample Summary for Electronic Document Submittal

15 copies of this document may be included when a Lead Agency is submitting electronic copies of environmental impact reports, negative declarations, mitigated negative declarations, or notices of preparation to the SCH. The SCH will still accept other summaries, such as an EIR summary prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123, attached to the electronic copies of the document.

SCH #		
Lead Agency:	San Bernardino County Landuse Services Department-Planning Division	
Project Title:	Silver Lake Mine Phase 3 Quarry	
J	Township 15 North, Range 6 East, in the southeast	San Bernardino County, California
	City	County
Please provide	e a Project Decription (Proposed Actions, location, and/or cons	equences).

Decription (Proposed Actions, location, and/or consequences).

The (Applicant) is proposing the operation of iron ore mine quarry on 25.9 acres site located within the U.S. Army Fort Irwin National Training Center. The mine currently operates exclusively on the patented claims totaling 250 acres (private). The current reclamation plan originally issued in 1991 and amended most recently in 2007 allows the mine to operate in compliance with the California Surface Mine and Reclamation Act of 1975 ("SMARA"). Since the expansion of the U.S. Army Fort Irwin National Training Center (U.S. Army) in 2007 the Silver Lake Mine has operated under an access easement authorization issued by the U.S. Army allowing joint use access to the mine

Please identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) was adopted in August 2005. The EIS analyzed Six alternatives addressed in the FEIS. Alternative I (East/West), the Army's Preferred Alternative, would expand training lands to the east and west of the current base boundaries and open previously off-limits Army lands.

Alternative I encompasses approximately 150,510 acres.

Alternative II (Eastgate/South) would expand training lands to the east and south of the current base boundaries and open previously off-limits Army lands. Alternative II encompasses approximately 156,340 acres. Alternative III (Eastgate) would expand training lands to the east of the current base boundaries and encompasses approximately 48,760 acres. Alternative IV (Southwest/Eastgate/UTM 90) is similar to Alternative I, with additional training lands to the southwest of the current base boundaries, and encompasses approximately 185,300 acres. Alternative V (Eastgate/UTM 90) would expand training lands to the east of the current base boundaries and open previously off-limits Army lands. Alternative V encompasses approximately 72,060 acres. Alternative VI (No Action) would not expand training lands. Training would continue in the currently useable maneuver area, and withdrawn lands would be managed under the Interim Management Plan until the disposition of lands was determined. The Fort Irwin project shall adhere to the mitigation identified in the Fort Irwin FEIS.

If applicable, please describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.
Potential environmental impacts have been mitigated. The Planning Division has contacted the Tribes in accordance with AB52 and the tribes comments have been incorporated as mitigation measures into the project which will be also be included as the project's conditions of approval.
Please provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project. US Army National Training Center
Mojave Desert Air Basin The Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) Native American, the California Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC)