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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this Traffic Impact Analysis is to provide an assessment of traffic operations resulting from development of the proposed Garvey Walnut Mixed Use Project and to identify measures necessary to mitigate potentially significant traffic impacts. This report analyzes traffic impacts for the anticipated project opening year in Year 2022. Although this is a technical report, effort has been made to write the report clearly and concisely. A glossary is provided in Appendix A to assist the reader with terms related to transportation engineering.

## Project Description

The project site is located at the northwest corner of Walnut Grove Avenue and Garvey Avenue in the City of Rosemead. The project site is currently occupied with office land uses.

The project is proposing to develop the site with 42 condominium dwelling units, 5,470 square feet of office, 5,500 square feet of community hall (plus 1,272 square feet storage area), 1,130 square feet of café/food service, 5,274 square feet of retail/service and ancillary uses including a recreation room, gym, library, and manager's office. As a project design feature, the project is proposing full access to Walnut Grove Avenue. The proposed project is anticipated to be constructed and fully operational by year 2022.

## Existing Conditions

The study intersections currently operate at Levels of Service C or better during the peak hours for Existing conditions (see Table 1).

## Project Trips

The proposed project is forecast to generate a total of approximately 1,009 daily trips, including 143 trips during the AM peak hour and 65 trips during the PM peak hour (see Table 2).

## Forecast Levels of Service

The proposed project is forecast to result in no Level of Service operational impacts at the off-site study intersections during the weekday AM and PM peak hours for the scenarios evaluated.

## Congestion Management Program

The proposed project would result in no operational CMP impact as it does not meet the thresholds requiring a traffic impact analysis for CMP purposes and no further CMP analysis is warranted. A transit impact review was conducted for compliance with the CMP requirements and found that the proposed project is forecast to have a nominal impact on transit service.

## Site Access and Circulation

The proposed project shall construct the following improvements as project design features to provide project site access:

- Construct the Walnut Grove Avenue (NS) at Project Driveway (EW) to provide one inbound lane and one outbound lane with eastbound stop-control and the following lane configurations:
- Northbound: two through lanes
- Southbound: one through lane and shared through/right turn lane
- Eastbound: one shared left/ right turn lane
- Westbound: not applicable


## Operational Improvements

No off-site operational improvements were identified since the proposed project is forecast to result in no operational traffic impact at the off-site study intersections for the scenarios analyzed.

## VMT Assessment

The proposed project satisfies the screening criteria for low-VMT area and may be presumed to result in a less than significant VMT impact in accordance with City of Rosemead VMT guidelines.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This section describes the purpose of this traffic impact analysis, project location, proposed development, and study area. Figure 1 shows the project location map. Figure 2 illustrates the project site plan.

## Project Description

The project site is located at the northwest corner of Walnut Grove Avenue and Garvey Avenue in the City of Rosemead. The project site is currently occupied with office land uses.

The project is proposing to develop the site with 42 condominium dwelling units, 5,470 square feet of office, 5,500 square feet of community hall (plus 1,272 square feet storage area), 1,130 square feet of café/food service, 5,274 square feet of retail/service and ancillary uses including a recreation room, gym, library, and manager's office. Vehicular access is proposed at Walnut Grove Avenue. The proposed project is anticipated to be constructed and fully operational by year 2022.

## Study Area

Based on the study intersections identified in the approved scoping agreement (Appendix B), the study area consists of the following study intersections within the City of Rosemead:

| Study Intersections | Jurisdiction |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | I-10 Eastbound Ramps (NS) at Hellman Avenue (EW) | Caltrans |
| 2. | Walnut Grove Avenue (NS) at Hellman Avenue (EW) | Rosemead |
| 3. | Walnut Grove Avenue (NS) at Project Driveway (EW) | Rosemead |
| 4. | Walnut Grove Avenue (NS) at Garvey Avenue (EW) | Rosemead |

## Analysis Scenarios

According to the preliminary review of the scoping agreement by the City of Rosemead (see Appendix B, the following traffic conditions shall be included in a traffic impact analysis:
a) Existing Conditions;
b) Existing Plus Project Conditions;
c) Opening Year (Existing + Growth Factor + Cumulative Projects) Conditions; and
d) Opening Year Plus Project Conditions

Accordingly, the following scenarios are analyzed during typical weekday AM and PM peak hour conditions (with mitigation as necessary):

- Existing Conditions
- Existing Plus Project Conditions
- Opening Year (2022) Without Project Conditions
- Opening Year (2022) With Project Conditions
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Figure 2

## Site Plan

## 2. METHODOLOGY

This section discusses the analysis methodologies used to assess transportation facility performance as adopted by the respective jurisdictional agencies.

## Intersection Capacity Utilization

In accordance with City of Rosemead guidelines, analysis of signalized intersections is based on the Intersection Capacity Utilization (ICU) methodology. The ICU methodology compares the volume of traffic using the intersection to the capacity of the intersection. The resulting volume-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ) ratio represents that portion of the hour required to provide sufficient capacity to accommodate all intersection traffic if all approaches operate at capacity. The volume-to-capacity ratio is then correlated to a performance measure known as Level of Service based on the following thresholds:

| Level of Service | Volume/Capacity Ratio |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 0.600$ |
| B | 0.601 to 0.700 |
| C | 0.701 to 0.800 |
| D | 0.801 to 0.900 |
| E | 0.901 to 1.000 |
| F | $>1.000$ |

Source: Transportation Research Board, Interim Materials on Highway Capacity, Transportation Research Circular No. 212, January 1980.

Level of Service is used to qualitatively describe the performance of a roadway facility, ranging from Level of Service A (free-flow conditions) to Level of Service F (extreme congestion and system failure). ICU analysis was performed using the Vistro software.

Consistent with City of Rosemead guidelines, this analysis uses the following input parameters for the ICU analysis: 1,800 vehicles per hour per lane for through and turn lanes, 3,240 vehicles per hour for dual leftturn lanes, and a total clearance time of 10 percent.

If the paved lane width of a shared through/right turn lane is wide enough to permit a separate right turn, it is common practice for a right turn lane to be considered "de facto." To function as a de facto right turn lane there must be sufficient width for right turning vehicles to travel outside the through lane. This analysis uses a minimum lane width of 19 feet from curb to lane stripe. Additionally, a de facto right turn lane was only considered where on-street parking is prohibited near the intersection approach.

## Intersection Delay Methodology

The technique used to assess the performance of unsignalized intersections in the City of Rosemead and California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) freeway ramp intersections is known as the intersection delay methodology based on the procedures contained in the Highway Capacity Manual. The methodology compares the traffic volume using the intersection to the capacity of the intersection to calculate the delay associated with the traffic control at the intersection. The intersection delay is then correlated to a performance measure known as Level of Service based on the following thresholds:

| Level of Service | Intersection Control Delay (Seconds / Vehicle) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Signalized Intersection | Unsignalized Intersection |
| A | $\leq 10.0$ | $\leq 10.0$ |
| B | $>10.0$ to $\leq 20.0$ | $>10.0$ to $\leq 15.0$ |
| C | $>20.0$ to $\leq 35.0$ | $>15.0$ to $\leq 25.0$ |
| D | $>35.0$ to $\leq 55.0$ | $>25.0$ to $\leq 35.0$ |
| E | $>55.0$ to $\leq 80.0$ | $>35.0$ to $\leq 50.0$ |
| F | $>80.0$ | $>50.0$ |

Source: Transportation Research Board, Highway Capacity Manual (6th Edition).
Level of Service is used to qualitatively describe the performance of a roadway facility, ranging from Level of Service A (free-flow conditions) to Level of Service F (extreme congestion and system failure). Intersection delay analysis was performed using the Vistro software.

If the paved lane width of a shared through/right turn lane is wide enough to permit a separate right turn, it is common practice for a right turn lane to be considered "de facto." To function as a de facto right turn lane there must be sufficient width for right turning vehicles to travel outside the through lane. This analysis uses a minimum lane width of 20 feet from curb to lane stripe.

## Performance Standards

The City of Rosemead has established minimum acceptable Level of Service standards during peak hour conditions of LOS D or better for intersections. In accordance with CEQA provision, any Level of Service impacts identified are solely for General Plan consistency and would not constitute a significant impact under CEQA.

## Need for Improvements

In accordance with the City of Rosemead guidelines, a project operational traffic impact occurs if the project related increase in the volume-to-capacity ratio equals or exceeds the thresholds shown below:

| Significant Impact Threshold for Intersections |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level of Service | Volume/Capacity | Incremental Increase |
| F | 1.01 or more | 0.02 or more |

Based on the California Department of Transportation established performance standards, a potentially operational traffic impact is defined to occur if the addition of project generated trips is forecast to cause the performance of a State Highway study intersection to change from acceptable Level of Service (D or better) to unacceptable Level of Service (E or F).

If a project is forecast to cause an operational traffic impact, feasible improvements that will reduce the operational impact to an acceptable LOS are identified. Improvements can be in many forms, including the addition of lanes, traffic control modification, or demand management measures. If no feasible improvements can be identified for an operationally deficient facility, the operational traffic impact will remain deficient.

## 3. EXISTING CONDITIONS

## Existing Roadway System

Figure 3 identifies the lane geometry and intersection traffic controls for Existing conditions based on a field survey of the study area. Regional access to the project area is provided by the I-10 Freeway north of the project site. The key north-south roadway providing local circulation is Walnut Grove Avenue. The key eastwest roadways providing local circulation are Hellman Avenue and Garvey Avenue.

Walnut Grove Avenue is a 4-lane undivided to divided roadway in the study area. Walnut Grove Avenue is classified as a Major Arterial in the City of Rosemead Circulation Plan. On-street parking is generally permitted in the project area south of Hellman Avenue and prohibited north of Hellman Avenue. No bicycle facilities are provided in the study area. Sidewalks are provided on both sides of the roadway south of Hellman Avenue and on the west side of the roadway north of Hellman Avenue.

Hellman Avenue is a 2 -lane undivided roadway in the study area. Hellman Avenue is classified as a Collector in the City of Rosemead Circulation Plan. On-street parking is intermittently permitted in the project area. Onstreet bicycle facilities are provided in the study area. No bicycle facilities are provided in the study area. Sidewalks are provided on both sides of the roadway.

Garvey Avenue is a 4-lane divided roadway in the study area. Garvey Avenue is classified as a Major Arterial in the City of Rosemead Circulation Plan. On-street parking is intermittently permitted in the project area. Onstreet bicycle facilities are provided in the study area. No bicycle facilities are provided in the study area. Sidewalks are provided on both sides of the roadway.

## Pedestrian Facilities

Existing pedestrian facilities in the project vicinity are shown on Figure 4.

## Bicycle Routes

No on-street bicycle facilities are provided in the project area. The City of Rosemead Existing Bicycle Routes and Potential Future Routes is depicted on Figure 5, and shows potential future bicycle facilities in the project area along Walnut Grove Avenue and Garvey Avenue.

## Transit Facilities

Figure 6 and Figure 7 show the existing transit routes available in the project vicinity. As shown on Figure 6, Foothill Transit does not service the study area. As shown on Figure 7, Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Routes 176 and Rosemead Explorer service Walnut Grove Avenue, and Routes 70, 770, and Rosemead Explorer service Garvey Avenue. Bus stops are located along Garvey Avenue including on at the southwest corner of the Walnut Grove Avenue and Garvey Avenue intersection.

## General Plan Context

Figure 8 shows the City of Rosemead Circulation Plan roadway classifications map. This figure shows the nature and extent of arterial and collector highways that are needed to adequately serve the ultimate development depicted by the Land Use Element of the General Plan.

## Existing Traffic Volumes

Figure 9 shows the Existing average daily traffic volumes. The Existing average daily traffic volumes have been factored from peak hour intersection turning movement volumes using the following formula for each intersection leg:

Evening Peak Hour (Approach Volume + Exit Volume) $\times 10=$ Leg Volume.
Existing peak hour volumes are based upon AM peak period and PM peak period intersection turning movement counts. The AM peak period was counted between 7:00 AM and 9:00 AM and the PM peak period was counted between 4:00 PM and 6:00 PM. The actual peak hour within the peak period is the four consecutive 15-minute periods with the highest total volume. Thus, the weekday PM peak hour at one intersection may be 4:45 PM to 5:45 PM if those four consecutive 15-minute periods have the highest combined volume. Intersection turning movement count worksheets are provided in Appendix C.

The current COVID-19 pandemic and related stay-at-home orders imposed by state and local municipalities have resulted in a substantial decrease in traffic volumes. In addition to the current public health restrictions, it is anticipated that the pandemic may have a lasting effect on travel behaviors, such as an increase telecommuting. To provide a conservative analysis, the Existing conditions traffic volumes used in this analysis are based on historic counts provided by City of Rosemead staff with adjustments applied with the intent to represent pre-pandemic conditions for the current year. This approach is likely to overestimate actual volumes for the near future since many commuters are expected to continue working from home even as stay-at-home orders are eased.

Historical intersection turning movement counts conducted in 2018 were obtained for the study intersections of Walnut Grove Avenue at Hellman Avenue and Walnut Grove Avenue at Hellman Avenue. The AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes based on these historical counts were adjusted by a growth rate of 0.8 percent per year over a two-year period to reflect existing year 2020 conditions prior to issuance of statewide stay-athome orders. The growth rate was obtained from the County of Los Angeles Congestion Management Program.

The combined AM and PM peak hour turning movement volumes from these modified traffic counts were then compared to the combined AM and PM peak hour turning movement volumes for the current traffic counts conducted in October 2020. An AM Peak hour increase of $91.91 \%$ was applied to bring the current traffic counts (October 2020) to a comparable level as calculated using the historic 2018 traffic counts with annual ambient growth rate (0.8\%) applied. A PM Peak hour increase of $33.96 \%$ was applied to bring the current traffic counts (October 2020) to a comparable level as calculated using the historic 2018 traffic counts with annual ambient growth rate (0.8\%) applied.

Therefore, all of the current October 2020 turning movement counts were increased by $91.91 \%$ during the AM Peak Hour and $33.96 \%$ during the PM peak hour to reflect pre-pandemic conditions. These spreadsheets, and the growth rate increased intersection turning movement counts, are included in Appendix C.

Figure 10 and Figure 11 show the Existing AM peak hour and PM peak hour intersection turning movement volumes. Peak hour volumes shown in the figures and Level of Service calculations throughout this report are based on the measured count data with adjustments described above.

## Existing Intersection Level of Service

The intersection Levels of Service for Existing conditions have been calculated and are shown in Table 1. Existing intersection Level of Service worksheets are provided in Appendix D.

As shown in Table 1, the study intersections currently operate at Levels of Service C or better during the peak hours for Existing conditions.

Table 1
Existing Intersection Level of Service

|  | Traffic Control ${ }^{1}$ | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID Study Intersection |  | $\mathrm{ICU}^{2}$ | LOS $^{3}$ | $\mathrm{ICU}^{2}$ | LOS $^{3}$ |
| 1. I-10 EB Ramps at Hellman Ave | TS | 0.591 | A | 0.583 | A |
| 2. Walnut Grove Ave at Hellman Ave | TS | 0.685 | B | 0.726 | C |
| 4. Walnut Grove Ave at Garvey Ave | TS | 0.696 | B | 0.765 | C |


| Caltrans Highway Capacity Methodology Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Traffic | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| ID Study Intersection | Control ${ }^{1}$ | Delay ${ }^{2}$ | LOS $^{3}$ | Delay ${ }^{2}$ | LOS $^{3}$ |
| 1. I-10 EB Ramps at Hellman Ave | TS | 34.3 | C | 34.0 | C |

Notes:
(1) $T S=$ Traffic Signal
(2) ICU = Intersection Capacity Utilization. Per the Highway Capacity Manual, overall average intersection delay and Level of Service are shown for intersections with all way stop control.
(3) LOS $=$ Level of Service


Legend
ETraffic Signal
\#D \#-Lane Divided Roadway
\#U \#-Lane Undivided Roadway
Existing Lane
Figure 3
Existing Lane Geometry and Intersection Traffic Controls
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Figure 5
City of Rosemead Existing Bicycle Routes and Potential Future Routes
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## 4. PROJECT FORECASTS

This section describes how project trip generation, trip distribution, and trip assignment forecasts were developed. The forecast project volumes are illustrated on figures contained in this section.

## Project Description

The project site is located at the northwest corner of Walnut Grove Avenue and Garvey Avenue in the City of Rosemead. The project site is currently occupied with office land uses.

The project is proposing to develop the site with 42 condominium dwelling units, 5,470 square feet of office, 5,500 square feet of community hall (plus 1,272 square feet storage area), 1,130 square feet of café/food service, 5,274 square feet of retail/service and ancillary uses including a recreation room, gym, library, and manager's office. As a project design feature, the project is proposing full access to Walnut Grove Avenue. The proposed project is anticipated to be constructed and fully operational by year 2022.

## Project Design Features

The proposed project shall construct the following improvements as project design features to provide project site access:

- Construct the Walnut Grove Avenue (NS) at Project Driveway (EW) to provide one inbound lane and one outbound lane with eastbound stop-control and the following lane configurations:
- Northbound: two through lanes
- Southbound: one through lane and shared through/right turn lane
- Eastbound: one shared left/ right turn lane
- Westbound: not applicable


## Project Trip Generation

Table 2 shows the project trip generation based upon trip generation rates obtained from the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual (10th Edition, 2017). The project trip generation forecast is determined by multiplying the trip generation rates by the land use quantity. Trip generation rates for multifamily housing (low-rise) (Land Use Code 221), recreational community center (Land Use Code 495), general office (Land Use Code 710), shopping center (Land Use Code 820), and coffee/donut shop without drive-through window (Land Use Code 936) were used.

As shown in Table 2, the proposed project is forecast to generate a total of approximately 1,009 daily trips, including 143 trips during the AM peak hour and 65 trips during the PM peak hour.

## Other Factors Affecting Trip Generation

Land uses such as shopping centers, restaurants, gasoline stations, and convenience stores will often locate next to busy roadways to attract motorists already on the street. Since the trip generation rates contained in the ITE Trip Generation Manual represent vehicles entering and exiting at the site driveway(s), it is appropriate to reduce the initial trip generation forecast by the applicable pass-by trip rate when calculating the net new trips that will be added to the surrounding street system. This analysis applies a pass-by trip reduction for the commercial retail land use based upon rates from the ITE Trip Generation Handbook (3rd Edition, 2017).

Traffic volumes shown in Table 2 consist of the total trips generated for each project land use. As a residential trip generated by the project may also interact with the commercial retail, office, or restaurant land uses within the project, a double counting of those trips occurs. To account for this internal interaction, the trips generated by the project site have been adjusted in accordance with procedures developed by the National Cooperative Highway Research Program 684 Internal Capture Estimation Tool as incorporated into the ITE Trip Generation Handbook (3rd Edition). Detailed internal capture worksheets are provided in the scoping agreement in Appendix B.

## Project Trip Distribution and Assignment

Figure 12 to Figure 17 show the forecast directional distribution patterns for the project generated trips. The project trip distribution patterns are based on review of existing volume data, surrounding land uses, and the local and regional roadway facilities in the project vicinity.

Based on the identified project trip generation and distributions, project average daily traffic volumes have been calculated and shown on Figure 18. The project-generated AM and PM peak hour intersection turning movement volumes are shown on Figure 19 and Figure 20.

## Transit Trip Generation

The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2010 Congestion Management Program, Appendix D - Guidelines for CMP Transportation Impact Analysis, utilizes a conversion factor based on the daily and AM and PM peak hour trip generation to provide for a transit analysis. The conversion is as follows:

- Multiply the total trips generated by 1.4 to convert vehicle trips to person trips;
- For each time period, multiply the result by one of the following factors:
3.5\% of Total Person Trips Generated for most cases, except:

10\% primarily Residential within $1 / 4$ mile of a CMP transit center
$15 \%$ primarily Commercial within $1 / 4$ mile of a CMP transit center
7\% primarily Residential within $1 / 4$ mile of a CMP multi-modal transportation center
$9 \%$ primarily Commercial within $1 / 4$ mile of a CMP multi-modal transportation center
$5 \%$ primarily Residential within $1 / 4$ mile of a CMP transit corridor
$7 \%$ primarily Commercial within $1 / 4$ mile of a CMP transit corridor
$0 \%$ if no fixed route transit services operate within one mile of the project
Accordingly, the proposed project-generated transit trips are calculated as follows:

- Daily: $((1,009$ trips $\times 1.4) \times 0.035) \approx 49$
- Morning Peak Hour: ((143 trips $\times 1.4) \times 0.035) \approx 7$
- Evening Peak Hour: $((65$ trips $\times 1.4) \times 0.035) \approx 3$

The proposed project is forecast to generate approximately seven (7) transit trips during the AM peak hour and approximately three (3) transit trips during the PM peak hour. Based on the existing transit services available in the project vicinity and the relatively low transit trip generation, the proposed project is forecast to have a nominal impact on transit service.

Table 2
Project Trip Generation

| Trip Generation Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Source ${ }^{1}$ | Unit ${ }^{2}$ | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  | Daily <br> Rate |
|  |  |  | \% In | \% Out | Rate | \% In | \% Out | Rate |  |
| Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise) | ITE 221 | DU | 26\% | 74\% | 0.36 | 61\% | 39\% | 0.44 | 5.44 |
| Recreational Community Center | ITE 495 | TSF | 66\% | 34\% | 1.76 | 47\% | 53\% | 2.31 | 28.82 |
| General Office | ITE 710 | TSF | 86\% | 14\% | 1.16 | 16\% | 84\% | 1.15 | 9.74 |
| Shopping Center | ITE 820 | TSF | 62\% | 38\% | 0.94 | 48\% | 52\% | 3.81 | 37.75 |
| Coffee/Donut Shop without Drive-Through Window | ITE 936 | TSF | 51\% | 49\% | 101.14 | 50\% | 50\% | 36.31 | 363.1 |


| Trips Generated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Quantity | Unit ${ }^{2}$ | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  | Daily |
|  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Condominiums | 42 | DU | 4 | 11 | 15 | 11 | 7 | 18 | 228 |
| Internal Capture ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 0 | -2 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -7 | -9 |
| Community Hall ${ }^{4}$ | 5.500 | TSF | 6 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 159 |
| Office | 5.470 | TSF | 5 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 53 |
| Internal Capture ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -11 | -1 | -2 |
| Retail ${ }^{5}$ | 5.274 | TSF | 3 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 199 |
| Internal Capture |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7 | -6 | -13 | -13 |
| Pass-by Trips ( $34 \% \mathrm{PM})^{6}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -2 | -2 |
| Café/Food Service | 1.130 | TSF | 58 | 56 | 114 | 21 | 21 | 42 | 410 |
| Internal Capture ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | -2 | -1 | -3 | -4 | -7 | -11 | -14 |
| Net New Trips Generated |  |  | 73 | 70 | 143 | 32 | 33 | 65 | 1,009 |

Notes:
(1) ITE = Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation Manual (10th Edition, 2017); \#\#\# = Land Use Code
(2) TSF = Thousand Square Feet
(3) Internal Capture calculated using the NCHRP 684 Internal Trip Capture Estimation Tool included in the ITE Trip Generation Handbook (3rd Edition, 2017).
(4) Trip generation for the community hall is based on the floor area used for occupancy and parking calculations; the additional 1,272 square feet of storage areas are considered ancillary and will not generate additional new trips.
(5) The retail floor area includes 1,021 square feet of commercial manager's office.
(6) Pass-by rates obtained from ITE Trip Generation Handbook (3rd Edition, 2017).
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## 5. FUTURE VOLUME FORECASTS

This section describes how future volume forecasts for each analysis scenario were developed. Forecast study area volumes are illustrated on figures contained in this section.

## Other Development

To account for trips generated by future development, trips generated by approved other development projects in the Cities of Rosemead and Montebello were added to the study area. Table 3 shows the trip generation summary for other development projects. Figure 21 shows the other development location map.

Figure 22 shows the forecast average daily traffic volumes for the other development. Figure 23 and Figure 24 show the forecast AM and PM peak hour intersection turning movement volumes for trips generated by other developments.

## Ambient Growth

To account for ambient growth on roadways, existing traffic volumes were increased by a growth rate of one percent (0.8\%) per year over a two-year period for Cumulative [Opening Year (2022)] conditions. This equates to a total growth factor of approximately 1.02 for Cumulative conditions. The ambient growth rate was conservatively applied to all movements at the study intersections.

## Analysis Scenario Volume Forecasts

## Existing Plus Project

Existing Plus Project volume forecasts were derived by adding the project generated trips to Existing volumes. Existing Plus Project average daily traffic volumes are shown on Figure 25. Existing Plus Project AM and PM peak hour intersection turning movement volumes are shown on Figure 26 and Figure 27.

## Opening Year (2022) Without Project

Opening Year (2022) Without Project volume forecasts were derived by adding the other development generated trips to Existing volumes with ambient growth. Opening Year (2020) Without Project average daily traffic volumes are shown on Figure 28. Opening Year (2020) Without Project AM and PM peak hour intersection turning movement volumes are shown on Figure 29 and Figure 30.

## Opening Year (2022) With Project

Opening Year (2020) With Project volume forecasts were derived by adding project generated trips to Opening Year (2020) Without Project volumes. Opening Year (2020) With Project average daily traffic volumes are shown on Figure 31. Opening Year (2020) With Project AM and PM peak hour intersection turning movement volumes are shown on Figure 32 and Figure 33.

Table 3
Other Development Trip Generation

| Traffic Analysis Zone | Address | Land Use | Source ${ }^{1}$ | Quantity | Units ${ }^{2}$ | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  | Daily |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| 1 | 7419-7459 Garvey Avenue | Commercial | ITE 820 | 20.000 | TSF | 12 | 7 | 19 | 37 | 40 | 77 | 755 |
|  |  | - Pass-By (34\% PM) ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  | - | -- | -- | -13 | -14 | -27 | -27 |
|  |  | Residential | ITE 220 | 218 | DU | 23 | 77 | 100 | 77 | 45 | 122 | 1,596 |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 35 | 84 | 119 | 101 | 71 | 172 | 2,324 |
| 2 | 7801-7825 Garvey Avenue | Commercial | ITE 820 | 15.903 | TSF | 9 | 6 | 15 | 29 | 32 | 61 | 600 |
|  |  | - Pass-By (34\% PM) ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  | - | -- | -- | -10 | -11 | -21 | -21 |
|  |  | Residential | ITE 220 | 60 | DU | 6 | 21 | 27 | 21 | 12 | 33 | 439 |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 15 | 27 | 42 | 40 | 33 | 73 | 1,018 |
| 3 | 8002 Garvey Avenue | Commercial | ITE 820 | 87.919 | TSF | 51 | 31 | 82 | 161 | 174 | 335 | 3,319 |
|  |  | - Pass-By (34\% PM) ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  | - | - | -- | -55 | -59 | -114 | -114 |
|  |  | Residential | ITE 220 | 92 | DU | 10 | 33 | 43 | 32 | 19 | 51 | 673 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 61 | 64 | 125 | 138 | 134 | 272 | 3,878 |
| 4 | 8408 Garvey Avenue | Commercial | ITE 820 | 11.500 | TSF | 7 | 4 | 11 | 21 | 23 | 44 | 434 |
|  |  | - Pass-By (34\% PM) ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  | - | -- | - | -7 | -8 | -15 | -15 |
|  |  | Residential | ITE 220 | 53 | DU | 6 | 19 | 25 | 19 | 11 | 30 | 388 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 23 | 36 | 33 | 26 | 59 | 807 |
| 5 | 8449 Garvey Avenue $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \text { Subtotal }\end{aligned}$ | Commercial $\text { - Pass-By }(34 \% ~ P M)^{3}$ <br> Residential | ITE 820 <br> ITE 220 | 7.200 | TSF | 4 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 14 | 27 | 272 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | -- | -- | -4 | -5 | -9 | -9 |
|  |  |  |  | 41 | DU | 4 | 15 | 19 | 14 | 8 | 22 | 300 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 18 | 26 | 23 | 17 | 40 | 563 |
| 6 | 8900 Glendon Way | Hotel | ITE 310 | 123 | RM | 34 | 24 | 58 | 38 | 36 | 74 | 1,028 |
| 7 | 3133-3141 Willard Avenue | Residential | ITE 220 | 31 | DU | 3 | 11 | 14 | 11 | 6 | 17 | 227 |
| 8 | 500 Montebello Boulevard | Hotel | ITE 310 | 199 | RM | 55 | 38 | 93 | 61 | 59 | 120 | 1,664 |
| 9 | 3001 Walnut Grove Avenue | Commercial $\text { - Pass-By (34\% PM) }{ }^{3}$ <br> Residential | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITE } 820 \\ & \text { ITE } 220 \end{aligned}$ | 17.394 | TSF | 10 | 6 | 16 | 32 | 34 | 66 | 657 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | - | -- | - | -11 | -12 | -23 | -23 |
|  |  |  |  | 42 | DU | 4 | 15 | 19 | 15 | 9 | 24 | 307 |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 14 | 21 | 35 | 36 | 31 | 67 | 941 |
| 10 | 3035 San Gabriel Boulevard | Commercial $\text { - Pass-By }(34 \% \text { PM })^{3}$ <br> Residential | ITE 820 <br> ITE 220 | $56.258$$144$ | TSF | 33 | 20 | 53 | 103 | 111 | 214 | 2,124 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | - | -- | -- | -35 | -38 | -73 | -73 |
|  |  |  |  |  | DU | 15 | 51 | 66 | 51 | 30 | 81 | 1,054 |
|  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 48 | 71 | 119 | 119 | 103 | 222 | 3,105 |
| 11 | 4316 Muscatel Avenue | Residential | ITE 220 | 10 | DU | 1 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 73 |
| 12 | 8399 Garvey Avenue | Medical Clinic | ITE 720 | 15.000 | TSF | 33 | 9 | 42 | 15 | 37 | 52 | 522 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 320 | 394 | 714 | 619 | 555 | 1,174 | 16,150 |

Notes:
$\overline{(1) \text { ITE }}=$ Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation Manual (10th Edition, 2017); \#\#\# = Land Use Code.
(2) DU = Dwelling Units; TSF = Thousand Square Feet
(3) ITE Trip Generation Handbook (3rd Edition, 2017).
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## 6. FUTURE OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

Detailed intersection Level of Service calculation worksheets for each of the following analysis scenarios are provided in Appendix D.

## Existing Plus Project

## Intersection Levels of Service

The delay and Levels of Service for Existing Plus Project conditions are shown in Table 4. As shown in Table 4, the study intersections are forecast to operate at Levels of Service $D$ or better during the peak hours for Existing Plus Project conditions.

## Operational Impact Evaluation

Table 5 evaluates the project impact at the study intersections for Existing Plus Project conditions. As shown in Table 5, the proposed project is forecast to result in no operational traffic impacts at the study intersections for Existing Plus Project conditions.

## Opening Year (2022) Without Project

## Intersection Levels of Service

The delay and Levels of Service for Opening Year (2022) Without Project conditions are shown in Table 6. As shown in Table 6, the study intersections are forecast to operate at Levels of Service D or better during the peak hours for Opening Year (2022) Without Project conditions.

## Opening Year (2022) With Project

## Intersection Levels of Service

The delay and Levels of Service for Opening Year (2022) With Project conditions are shown in Table 7. As shown in Table 7, the study intersections are forecast to operate at Levels of Service D or better during the peak hours for Opening Year (2022) With Project conditions, except for the intersection of Walnut Grove Avenue at Project Driveway which is forecast to operate at LOS E during the AM peak hour.

## Operational Impact Evaluation

Table 8 evaluates the project impact at the study intersections for Opening Year (2022) With Project conditions. As shown in Table 8, the proposed project is forecast to result in no operational traffic impacts at the study intersections for Opening Year (2022) With Project conditions.

Table 4
Existing Plus Project Intersection Level of Service

| D Study Intersection | Traffic Control ${ }^{1}$ | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ICU/Delay ${ }^{2}$ | LOS $^{3}$ | ICU/Delay ${ }^{2}$ | LOS $^{3}$ |
| 1. I-10 EB Ramps at Hellman Ave | TS | 0.601 | B | 0.588 | A |
| 2. Walnut Grove Ave at Hellman Ave | TS | 0.694 | B | 0.731 | C |
| 3. Walnut Grove Ave at Project Dwy | CSS | 33.5 | D | 29.1 | D |
| 4. Walnut Grove Ave at Garvey Ave | TS | 0.724 | C | 0.777 | C |


| Caltrans Highway Capacity Methodology Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Traffic Control ${ }^{1}$ | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| ID Study Intersection |  | Delay ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{LOS}^{3}$ | Delay ${ }^{2}$ | LOS $^{3}$ |
| 1. I-10 EB Ramps at Hellman Ave | TS | 34.6 | C | 34.1 | C |

Notes:
(1) TS = Traffic Signal; CSS = Cross Street Stop
(2) $I C U=$ Intersection Capacity Utilization. Per the Highway Capacity Manual, overall average intersection delay and Level of Service are shown for intersections with all way stop control. For intersections with cross street stop control, the delay and Level of Service for the worst individual movement (or movements sharing a single lane) are shown.
(3) LOS = Level of Service

Table 5
Existing Plus Project Operational Impact Assessment

| D Study Intersection | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing |  | Existing Plus Project |  | Project Related Change |  | Existing |  | Existing Plus Project |  | ProjectRelated Change |  |
|  | $\mathrm{ICU}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{LOS}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{ICU}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{LOS}^{2}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{ICU}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{LOS}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{ICU}^{1}$ | LOS $^{2}$ |  |  |
| 1. I-10 EB Ramps at Hellman Ave | 0.591 | A | 0.601 | B | +0.010 | No | 0.583 | A | 0.588 | A | +0.005 | No |
| 2. Walnut Grove Ave at Hellman Ave | 0.685 | B | 0.694 | B | +0.009 | No | 0.726 | C | 0.731 | C | +0.005 | No |
| 4. Walnut Grove Ave at Garvey Ave | 0.696 | B | 0.724 | C | +0.028 | No | 0.765 | C | 0.777 | C | +0.012 | No |

Notes:
(1) ICU $=$ Intersection Capacity Utilization
(2) LOS $=$ Level of Service
(3) In the Citiy of Rosemead, an operational impact occurs if the project-related increase in ICU equals or exceeds 0.02 when an intersection is operating at Level of Service F in the baseline.

Table 6
Opening Year (2022) Without Project Intersection Level of Service

|  | Traffic Control ${ }^{1}$ | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID Study Intersection |  | ICU/Delay ${ }^{2}$ | LOS $^{3}$ | ICU/Delay ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{LOS}^{3}$ |
| 1. I-10 EB Ramps at Hellman Ave | TS | 0.620 | B | 0.623 | B |
| 2. Walnut Grove Ave at Hellman Ave | TS | 0.706 | C | 0.755 | C |
| 4. Walnut Grove Ave at Garvey Ave | TS | 0.713 | C | 0.787 | C |


| Caltrans Highway Capacity Methodology Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Traffic Control ${ }^{1}$ | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| ID Study Intersection |  | Delay ${ }^{2}$ | LOS $^{3}$ | Delay ${ }^{2}$ | LOS $^{3}$ |
| 1. I-10 EB Ramps at Hellman Ave | TS | 34.7 | c | 34.5 | C |

Notes:
(1) $T S=$ Traffic Signal
(2) $I C U=$ Intersection Capacity Utilization. Per the Highway Capacity Manual, overall average intersection delay and Level of Service are shown for intersections with all way stop control.
(3) LOS $=$ Level of Service

Table 7
Opening Year (2022) With Project Intersection Level of Service

|  | Traffic Control ${ }^{1}$ | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID Study Intersection |  | ICU/Delay ${ }^{2}$ | LOS $^{3}$ | ICU/Delay ${ }^{2}$ | LOS $^{3}$ |
| 1. I-10 EB Ramps at Hellman Ave | TS | 0.629 | B | 0.628 | B |
| 2. Walnut Grove Ave at Hellman Ave | TS | 0.715 | C | 0.760 | C |
| 3. Walnut Grove Ave at Project Dwy | css | 35.3 | E | 30.9 | D |
| 4. Walnut Grove Ave at Garvey Ave | TS | 0.741 | C | 0.799 | C |


| Caltrans Highway Capacity Methodology Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Traffic Control ${ }^{1}$ | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| ID Study Intersection |  | Delay ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{LOS}^{3}$ | Delay ${ }^{2}$ | LOS $^{3}$ |
| 1. I-10 EB Ramps at Hellman Ave | TS | 35.0 | D | 34.7 | C |

Notes:
(1) TS = Traffic Signal; CSS = Cross Street Stop
(2) $I C U=$ Intersection Capacity Utilization. Per the Highway Capacity Manual, overall average intersection delay and Level of Service are shown for intersections with all way stop control. For intersections with cross street stop control, the delay and Level of Service for the worst individual movement (or movements sharing a single lane) are shown.
(3) LOS = Level of Service

Table 8
Opening Year (2022) With Project Operational Impact Assessment

| ID Study Intersection | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Without Project |  | With Project |  | Project <br> Related <br> Change |  | Without Project |  | With Project |  | Project- <br> Related <br> Change |  |
|  | $\mathrm{ICU}^{1}$ | LOS $^{2}$ | $\mathrm{ICU}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{LOS}^{2}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{ICU}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{LOS}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{ICU}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{LOS}^{2}$ |  |  |
| 1. I-10 EB Ramps at Hellman Ave | 0.620 | B | 0.629 | B | +0.009 | No | 0.623 | B | 0.628 | B | +0.005 | No |
| 2. Walnut Grove Ave at Hellman Ave | 0.706 | C | 0.715 | C | +0.009 | No | 0.755 | C | 0.760 | C | +0.005 | No |
| 3. Walnut Grove Ave at Project Dwy | 33.5 | D | 35.3 | E | +1.800 | No | 29.1 | D | 30.9 | D | +1.800 | No |
| 4. Walnut Grove Ave at Garvey Ave | 0.713 | C | 0.741 | C | +0.028 | No | 0.787 | C | 0.799 | C | +0.012 | No |

Notes:
(1) ICU = Intersection Capacity Utilization
(2) LOS = Level of Service
(3) In the Citiy of Rosemead, an operational impact occurs if the project-related increase in ICU equals or exceeds 0.02 when an intersection is operating at Level of Service F in the baseline.

## 7. SITE ACCESS AND CIRCULATION

This section includes a description of project improvements necessary to provide site access and an evaluation of site access and circulation.

## Project Design Features

The proposed project shall construct the following improvements as project design features to provide project site access:

- Construct the Walnut Grove Avenue (NS) at Project Driveway (EW) to provide one inbound lane and one outbound lane with eastbound stop-control and the following lane configurations:
- Northbound: two through lanes
- Southbound: one through lane and shared through/right turn lane
- Eastbound: one shared left/ right turn lane
- Westbound: not applicable

This analysis also assumes the project shall comply with the following conditions as part of the City of Rosemead standard development review process:

- A construction work site traffic control plan shall comply with State standards set forth in the California Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices and shall be submitted to the City for review and approval prior to the issuance of a grading permit or start of construction. The plan shall identify any roadway, sidewalk, bike route, or bus stop closures and detours as well as haul routes and hours of operation. All construction related trips shall be restricted to off-peak hours to the extent possible.
- All on-site and off-site roadway design, traffic signing and striping, and traffic control improvements relating to the proposed project shall be constructed in accordance with applicable State/Federal engineering standards and to the satisfaction of the City of Rosemead.
- Site-adjacent roadways shall be constructed or repaired at their ultimate half-section width, including landscaping and parkway improvements in conjunction with development, or as otherwise required by the City of Rosemead.
- Adequate off-street parking shall be provided to the satisfaction of City of Rosemead.
- Adequate emergency vehicle access shall be provided to the satisfaction of the Rosemead Fire Department.
- The final grading, landscaping, and street improvement plans shall demonstrate that sight distance requirements are met in accordance with applicable City of Rosemead/California Department of Transportation sight distance standards.


## Community Hall Operations

The community hall proposed for the project site has a total floor area of 5,500 square feet, including nonfixed stage area. As designed, the total occupancy could be up to 300 non-fixed seats. The existing Taiwan Center hours of operation are 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM daily, except for Mondays when it is closed. The hours of operation for the new community hall are expected to be the same. While the community hall can be rented
out to the public, it is not expected to be rented out with any frequency since the existing hall rarely gets rented out by the public.

The community hall will typically be used for lectures about once a month, and once a week painting and dancing classes. The estimated attendance for lectures is up to 120 people and up to 30 people for classes. Lectures and classes are typically done during the daytime in off-peak hours. An annual event of the Taiwan Center will continue to be held in the outside Hotel Convention Hall each year. Last year it was held at the San Gabriel Hilton and thus will not take place at this location. Holiday events occur 2 to 3 times per year, including New Year and Moon Festival. Attendees for these events are up to 200 people maximum. Hours of operation for event days are from 9:00 AM to 9:00 PM.

The project applicant has stated that valet parking can be provided whenever it is needed. Although large scale events are only expected twice a year, it is recommended that a valet parking plan be prepared and submitted to the City for review. This valet parking plan will need to be approved by the City of Rosemead Fire Department.

## Queueing Analysis

A queuing analysis has been performed for Opening Year (2022) With Project conditions for the southbound through/right turn movements at the intersection of Walnut Grove Avenue at Garvey Avenue, which is a key movement for project access since the project driveway is located approximately 155 feet north of this intersection. The queuing analysis is based on a Poisson probability distribution for random vehicle arrivals and a uniform Los Angeles County 100 second cycle length. Queue calculation worksheets provided in Appendix E.

Table 9 shows the queuing analysis summary based on the 95th-percentile queue length. The 95th-percentile queue length effectively represents the maximum queue length expected (to a 95 percent confidence level) and is an industry accepted standard for determining turning lane storage and intersection spacing requirements.

Based on the queuing analysis shown in Table 9, the southbound through/right turn movements at the intersection of Walnut Grove Avenue at Garvey Avenue are forecast to queue past the project driveway. Therefore, there is potential for southbound vehicles to queue northbound along Walnut Grove Avenue from the Garvey Avenue intersection and block vehicular access in/out of the project driveway. Outbound trips at the project driveway would queue internally and not affect operations on Walnut Grove Avenue; however, vehicles heading northbound on Walnut Grove Avenue turning left into the project site may need to stop and wait for southbound vehicles to clear the project driveway. Since the northbound left turning vehicles would be doing so from a shared through/left turn lane, northbound motorists on Walnut Grove Avenue may be forced to stop and queue behind these northbound left turning vehicles into the project site. This would be a less than ideal situation for efficient traffic operations.

Table 10 shows an Opening Year (2022) With Project intersection level of service analysis with driveway restrictions. For this analysis, the project driveway is assumed to provide right turns in/out only access. The project trip distributions were manually adjusted and are included in Appendix F. As shown in Table 10, the project driveway is forecast to operate at LOS B during both the AM and PM peak hours with these restrictions. As a full access driveway, the project driveway was forecast to operate at LOS E during the AM peak hour. Thus, the driveway is forecast to operate within acceptable LOS during the peak hours, while removing the opportunity for northbound left turning vehicles into the project site from stopping on Walnut Grove Avenue creating potential stacking and safety issues on this roadway, with these project driveway restrictions. It should be noted, a raised median would be required to effectively preclude the northbound left turn in movement.

If the project driveway is restricted to right turns in/out only access, vehicles exiting the project intending to go northbound, and vehicles entering the project site coming northbound would need to change travel patterns circuitously around the roadway network near the project site. This would increase traffic volumes on nearby roadway segments and intersections while also increasing Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) since the direct routes to/from the project site that a full access driveway allows would be removed.

Therefore, it is recommended that the project applicant and City of Rosemead evaluate the positives and negatives that project access restrictions would create and determine the best course of action regarding project access restrictions.

## On-Site Parking

The City of Rosemead Municipal Code Section 17.112.040.1 lists off-street parking requirements. Below are the parking spaces required and parking spaces provided for the project site based on the City of Rosemead Municipal Code:

| 14. PARKING SPACE: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REQUIRED COMMERCIAL: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COMMUNITY HALL MANAGER OFFICE |  |  | 5,520 SF. / 75 |  |  | $=74 \mathrm{P}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | 50 SF. / | 50 | 1 P |  |
| CAFE/FOOD PLACES |  |  |  | 30 SF. / | 00 | $=11 \mathrm{P}$ |  |
| RETAILS/SALES/SERVICES |  |  |  | 74 SF. | 50 | $=21 \mathrm{P}$ |  |
| OFFICE SUITES (@2ND FLR) |  |  |  | 70 SF. / |  | $=$ | $=22 \mathrm{P}$ |
| COMMERCIAL SUBTOTAL: |  |  | 17,644 SF. |  |  | $=129 \mathrm{P}$ |  |
| REQUIRED RESIDENTIAL: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TYPE A 2-BEDRM UNIT TYPE B 1-BEDRM UNIT |  |  | $29 \mathrm{U} \times 2 \mathrm{P}$ |  |  | $=58 \mathrm{P}$ |  |
|  |  |  | $13 \mathrm{U} \times 1 \mathrm{P}$ |  |  | 13 P |  |
| (PER DENSITY BONUS: GUEST PARKING INCLUDED) |  |  |  |  |  | 71 P |  |
| TOTAL REQUIRED: |  |  |  |  |  | $=200 \mathrm{P}$ |  |
| PROVIDED: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AREA | STANDARD | COMPACT | H.C. | LOADING | TOTAL | REQ'D | SURPLUS |
| COMM. | 95 | 29 | 5 | - | 129 | 129 | 0 |
| RESIDENTIAL | 73 | 0 | 2 | - | 75 | 71 | 4 |
| TOTAL | 168 | 29 | 7 | - | 204 | 200 | 4 |
| COMPACT PARKING $29 / 136=21.3 \%<25 \%$ MAX . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BIKE PARKING PROVIDED: 24 TOTAL; 12 BIKE RACKS ON MEZZANINE LEVEL AND 12 BIKE RACKS ON GROUND LEVEL ( $10 \%$ OF 210 PARKING SPACES $=21$ REQ'D) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The proposed project is required to provide 200 parking spaces. The project site is proposing 204 parking spaces. Therefore, adequate parking is provided per City requirements. In addition, the project is providing 24 bicycle parking stalls with 21 bicycle parking stalls required. Appendix $G$ exhibits the site plans for each floor showing parking space locations and allocation.

## Trash Truck Circulation

Figure 34 and Figure 35 show trash truck circulation for the trash enclosures located on the project site. A modern garbage truck will not be able to access the trash enclosures within the project site. For mixed-use projects with parking garages, a contract is made with the trash company in which the trash company uses pickup trucks equipped to lift dumpsters to move the trash from the trash enclosures to the roadway. These pickup trucks will remove the trash from the enclosure and drop it off on Walnut Grove Avenue near the project driveway for a normal modern trash truck to pick up and dispose of the trash. The pickup truck will then return the dumpsters to the trash enclosure. Figure 34 and Figure 35 show what pickup trucks equipped to lift dumpsters.

## Truck Access Points and Turning Templates

Figure 36 and Figure 37 show the truck turning templates to access the project site to/from the loading area. Truck turning templates are provided for both inbound and outbound truck turning movements on Walnut Grove Avenue to/from the project site. As shown on Figure 36, inbound trucks servicing the project site will enter the driveway from Walnut Grove Avenue and proceed to the loading area. Trucks will then drive northbound through the drive aisle to the northwest portion of the project site. They will then leave the loading area and proceed to the driveway using the same path of travel they used to get to the loading area (see Figure 37). The truck turning templates used a common DL-23 delivery truck.

Trucks can also temporarily use the fire lane on the northern portion of the project site for larger items and/or if the vehicles are too large to enter the parking garage.

## Truck Delivery Schedule

Truck deliveries shall occur only during off-peak hours so that any potential conflict between trucks and customers of the project site land uses will be minimal.

## On-Site Vehicular Stacking

Figure 38 shows the on-site stacking for outbound vehicles leaving the project site.

Table 9
Queuing Analysis Summary

| ID Study Intersection | Lane $^{1}$ | Existing <br> Storage <br> Length (Feet/Lane) | 95th-Percentile Queue Length (Feet/Lane) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Opening Year With Project |  |
|  |  |  | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| 4. Walnut Grove Ave at Garvey Ave | SBTR | 155 | 325 | 325 |

Notes:
(1) $\mathrm{SB}=$ Southbound; TR = Through/Right

Table 10
Opening Year (2022) With Project Intersection Level of Service - With Driveway Restrictions

|  | Traffic Control | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID Study Intersection |  | ICU/Delay ${ }^{2}$ | LOS $^{3}$ | ICU/Delay ${ }^{2}$ | LOS $^{3}$ |
| 1. I-10 EB Ramps at Hellman Ave | TS | 0.641 | B | 0.633 | B |
| 2. Walnut Grove Ave at Hellman Ave | TS | 0.718 | C | 0.761 | C |
| 3. Walnut Grove Ave at Project Dwy | CSS | 12.9 | B | 11.6 | B |
| 4. Walnut Grove Ave at Garvey Ave | TS | 0.726 | C | 0.793 | C |

Notes:
(1) TS = Traffic Signal; CSS = Cross Street Stop
(2) ICU = Intersection Capacity Utilization. Per the Highway Capacity Manual, overall average intersection delay and Level of Service are shown for intersections with all way stop control. For intersections with cross street stop control, the delay and Level of Service for the worst individual movement (or movements sharing a single lane) are shown.
(3) LOS = Level of Service
N


Figure 34
Trash Truck Circulation - Inbound
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Figure 35
Trash Truck Circulation - Outbound
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Figure 38
On-Site Stacking for Outbound Vehicles

## 8. CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

This section provides analysis of the project impacts at County facilities in accordance with typical Los Angeles County Congestion Management Program (CMP) requirements.

## CRITERIA FOR Requiring A Traffic Impact Analysis for CMP

The Los Angeles County 2010 CMP provides the following thresholds for requiring a CMP-compliant traffic impact analysis:

- All CMP arterial monitoring intersections, including monitored freeway on or off-ramp intersections, where the proposed project will add 50 or more trips during either the AM or PM weekday peak hours (of adjacent street traffic)
- If CMP arterial segments are being analyzed rather than intersections, the study area must include all segments where the proposed project will add 50 or more peak hour trips (total of both directions).
- Mainline freeway monitoring locations were the project will add 150 or more trips, in either direction, during either the AM or PM weekday peak hours.

As previously shown in Table 2, the proposed project is forecast to generate approximately 143 AM peak hour trips and 65 PM peak hour trips, which are distributed from the project site. The intersection of Walnut Grove Avenue at Garvey Avenue is not a CMP intersection. The project will not add 150 or more peak hour trips to the I-10 Freeway since the project generates less than this threshold in total during each peak hour. Therefore, the proposed project would not result in a CMP impact as it does not meet the thresholds requiring a traffic impact analysis for CMP purposes and no further CMP traffic analysis is warranted.

## CMP Transit Impact Review

The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2010 Congestion Management Program Appendix D - Guidelines for CMP Transportation Impact Analysis 8.4 utilizes a conversion factor based on the daily and AM and PM peak hour trip generation to provide for a transit analysis. The conversion is as follows:

- Multiply the total trips generated by 1.4 to convert vehicle trips to person trips;
- For each time period, multiply the result by one of the following factors:
3.5\% of Total Person Trips Generated for most cases, except:

10\% primarily Residential within $1 / 4$ mile of a CMP transit center
$15 \%$ primarily Commercial within $1 / 4$ mile of a CMP transit center
$7 \%$ primarily Residential within $1 / 4$ mile of a CMP multi-modal transportation center
$9 \%$ primarily Commercial within $1 / 4$ mile of a CMP multi-modal transportation center
$5 \%$ primarily Residential within $1 / 4$ mile of a CMP transit corridor
$7 \%$ primarily Commercial within $1 / 4$ mile of a CMP transit corridor
$0 \%$ if no fixed route transit services operate within one mile of the project
Accordingly, the proposed project-generated transit trips are calculated as follows:

- Daily: $((1,009$ trips $\times 1.4) \times 0.035) \approx 49$
- Morning Peak Hour: ((143 trips x 1.4) x 0.035) $\approx 7$
- Evening Peak Hour: $((65$ trips $\times 1.4) \times 0.035) \approx 3$

The proposed project is forecast to generate approximately seven (7) transit trips during the AM peak hour and approximately three (3) transit trips during the PM peak hour. Based on the existing transit services available in the project vicinity and the relatively low transit trip generation, the proposed project is forecast to have a nominal impact on transit service.

## 9. VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED (VMT)

## BACKGROUND

California Senate Bill 743 (SB 743) directs the State Office of Planning and Research (OPR) to amend the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines for evaluating transportation impacts to provide alternatives to Level of Service that "promote the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, the development of multimodal transportation networks, and a diversity of land uses." In December 2018, the California Natural Resources Agency certified and adopted the updated CEQA Guidelines package. The amended CEQA Guidelines, specifically Section 15064.3, recommend the use of Vehicle Miles Travelled (VMT) as the primary metric for the evaluation of transportation impacts associated with land use and transportation projects. In general terms, VMT quantifies the amount and distance of automobile travel attributable to a project or region. All agencies and projects State-wide are required to utilize the updated CEQA guidelines recommending use of VMT for evaluating transportation impacts as of July 1, 2020.

The updated CEQA Guidelines allow for lead agency discretion in establishing methodologies and thresholds provided there is substantial evidence to demonstrate that the established procedures promote the intended goals of the legislation. Where quantitative models or methods are unavailable, Section 15064.3 allows agencies to assess VMT qualitatively using factors such as availability of transit and proximity to other destinations. The Office of Planning and Research (OPR) Technical Advisory on Evaluating Transportation Impacts in CEQA (State of California, December 2018) ["OPR Technical Advisory"] provides technical considerations regarding methodologies and thresholds with a focus on office, residential, and retail developments as these projects tend to have the greatest influence on VMT.

## Screening Criteria

The City of Rosemead adopted its VMT guidelines and the City has provided this information for use in this analysis. Therefore, the project VMT impact has been assessed in accordance with the City of Rosemead VMT guidelines and guidance from City staff.

Consistent with recommendations in the OPR Technical Advisory, the City of Rosemead has established screening criteria for certain projects that may be presumed to have a less than significant VMT impact, including projects located in low-VMT generating areas.

The proposed project is located in a low-VMT generating area. Therefore, the proposed project satisfies the screening criteria for low-VMT generating area and may be presumed to result in a less than significant VMT impact in accordance with City of Rosemead VMT guidelines.

The SGVCOG VMT Evaluation Report has been provided by the City of Rosemead staff and is included in Appendix H.

## 10. CONCLUSIONS

This section summarizes the findings and mitigation measures (if any) identified in previous sections of this study.

## Forecast Levels of Service

The proposed project is forecast to result in no Level of Service operational impacts at the off-site study intersections during the weekday AM and PM peak hours for the scenarios evaluated.

No off-site operational improvements were identified since the proposed project is forecast to result in no operational traffic impact at the off-site study intersections for Opening Year (2022) With Project conditions.

## Congestion Management Program

The proposed project would result in no operational CMP impact as it does not meet the thresholds requiring a traffic impact analysis for CMP purposes and no further CMP analysis is warranted. A transit impact review was conducted for compliance with the CMP requirements and found that the proposed project is forecast to have a nominal impact on transit service.

## Site Access and Circulation

The proposed project shall construct the following improvements as project design features to provide project site access:

- Construct the Walnut Grove Avenue (NS) at Project Driveway (EW) to provide one inbound lane and one outbound lane with eastbound stop-control and the following lane configurations:
- Northbound: two through lanes
- Southbound: one through lane and shared through/right turn lane
- Eastbound: one shared left/ right turn lane
- Westbound: not applicable

Due to the potential for queued vehicles on Walnut Grove Avenue to block the project driveway, an access alternative access analysis assuming right in/out only access was performed. While right in/out only access would improve Level of Service operations at the project driveway and preclude the potential for project trips entering from northbound Walnut Grove Avenue to block northbound through traffic, such access restrictions would require construction of a raised median and result in more circuitous travel for project trips accessing the site.

It is recommended that the project applicant and City of Rosemead evaluate the positives and negatives that project access restrictions would create and determine the best course of action regarding project access restrictions.

## VMT Evaluation

The proposed project satisfies the screening criteria for low-VMT generating area and may be presumed to result in a less than significant VMT impact in accordance with City of Rosemead VMT guidelines.
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## APPENDIX A

## GLOSSARY

# GLOSSARY OF TERMS 

## ACRONYMS

| AC | Acres |
| :--- | :--- |
| ADT | Average Daily Traffic |
| Caltrans | California Department of Transportation |
| DU | Dwelling Unit |
| ICU | Intersection Capacity Utilization |
| LOS | Level of Service |
| TSF | Thousand Square Feet |
| V/C | Volume/Capacity |
| VMT | Vehicle Miles Traveled |
|  |  |
| TERMS |  |

AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC: The average 24 -hour volume for a stated period divided by the number of days in that period. For example, Annual Average Daily Traffic is the total volume during a year divided by 365 days.

BANDWIDTH: The number of seconds of green time available for through traffic in a signal progression.
BOTTLENECK: A point of constriction along a roadway that limits the amount of traffic that can proceed downstream from its location.

CAPACITY: The maximum number of vehicles that can be reasonably expected to pass over a given section of a lane or a roadway in a given time period.

CHANNELIZATION: The separation or regulation of conflicting traffic movements into definite paths of travel by the use of pavement markings, raised islands, or other suitable means to facilitate the safe and orderly movements of both vehicles and pedestrians.

CLEARANCE INTERVAL: Nearly same as yellow time. If there is an all red interval after the end of a yellow, then that is also added into the clearance interval.

CONTROL DELAY: The component of delay, typically expressed in seconds per vehicle, resulting from the type of traffic control at an intersection. Control delay is measured by comparison with the uncontrolled condition; it includes delay incurred by slowing down, stopping/waiting, and speeding up.

CORDON: An imaginary line around an area across which vehicles, persons, or other items are counted (in and out).

CORNER SIGHT DISTANCE: The minimum sight distance required by the driver of a vehicle to cross or enter the lanes of the major roadway without requiring approaching traffic travelling at a given speed to radically alter their speed or trajectory. Corner sight distance is measured from the driver's eye at 42 inches above the pavement to an object height of 36 inches above the pavement in the center of the nearest approach lane.

CYCLE LENGTH: The time period in seconds required for a traffic signal to complete one full cycle of indications.

CUL-DE-SAC: A local street open at one end only and with special provisions for turning around.

DAILY CAPACITY: A theoretical value representing the daily traffic volume that will typically result in a peak hour volume equal to the capacity of the roadway.

DELAY: The time consumed while traffic is impeded in its movement by some element over which it has no control, usually expressed in seconds per vehicle.

DEMAND RESPONSIVE SIGNAL: Same as traffic-actuated signal.

DENSITY: The number of vehicles occupying in a unit length of the through traffic lanes of a roadway at any given instant. Usually expressed in vehicles per mile.

DETECTOR: A device that responds to a physical stimulus and transmits a resulting impulse to the signal controller.

DESIGN SPEED: A speed selected for purposes of design. Features of a highway, such as curvature, superelevation, and sight distance (upon which the safe operation of vehicles is dependent) are correlated to design speed.

DIRECTIONAL SPLIT: The percent of traffic in the peak direction at any point in time.
DIVERSION: The rerouting of peak hour traffic to avoid congestion.
FORCED FLOW: Opposite of free flow.
FREE FLOW: Volumes are well below capacity. Vehicles can maneuver freely and travel is unimpeded by other traffic.

GAP: Time or distance between successive vehicles in a traffic stream, rear bumper to front bumper.
HEADWAY: Time or distance spacing between successive vehicles in a traffic stream, front bumper to front bumper.

INTERCONNECTED SIGNAL SYSTEM: A number of intersections that are connected to achieve signal progression.

LEVEL OF SERVICE: A qualitative measure of a number of factors, which include speed and travel time, traffic interruptions, freedom to maneuver, safety, driving comfort and convenience, and operating costs.

LOOP DETECTOR: A vehicle detector consisting of a loop of wire embedded in the roadway, energized by alternating current and producing an output circuit closure when passed over by a vehicle.

MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE GAP: Smallest time headway between successive vehicles in a traffic stream into which another vehicle is willing and able to cross or merge.

MULTI-MODAL: More than one mode; such as automobile, bus transit, rail rapid transit, and bicycle transportation modes.

OFFSET: The time interval in seconds between the beginning of green at one intersection and the beginning of green at an adjacent intersection.

PLATOON: A closely grouped component of traffic that is composed of several vehicles moving, or standing ready to move, with clear spaces ahead and behind.

PASSENGER CAR EQUIVALENT (PCE): A metric used to assess the impact of larger vehicles, such as trucks, recreational vehicles, and buses, by converting the traffic volume of larger vehicles to an equivalent number of passenger cars.

PEAK HOUR: The 60 consecutive minutes with the highest number of vehicles.
PRETIMED SIGNAL: A type of traffic signal that directs traffic to stop and go on a predetermined time schedule without regard to traffic conditions. Also, fixed time signal.

PROGRESSION: A term used to describe the progressive movement of traffic through several signalized intersections.

QUEUE: The number of vehicles waiting at a service area such as a traffic signal, stop sign, or access gate.
QUEUE LENGTH: The length of vehicle queue, typically expressed in feet, waiting at a service area such as a traffic signal, stop sign, or access gate.

SCREEN-LINE: An imaginary line or physical feature across which all trips are counted, normally to verify the validity of mathematical traffic models.

SHARED/RECIPROCAL PARKING AGREEMENT: A written binding document executed between property owners to provide a designated number of off-street parking stalls within a designated area to be available for specified businesses or land uses.

SIGHT DISTANCE: The continuous length of roadway visible to a driver or roadway user.
SIGNAL CYCLE: The time period in seconds required for one complete sequence of signal indications.
SIGNAL PHASE: The part of the signal cycle allocated to one or more traffic movements.
STACKING DISTANCE: The length of area available behind a service area, such as a traffic signal or gate, for vehicle queueing to occur.

STARTING DELAY: The delay experienced in initiating the movement of queued traffic from a stop to an average running speed through an intersection.

STOPPING SIGHT DISTANCE: The minimum distance required by the driver of a vehicle on the major roadway travelling at a given speed to bring the vehicle to a stop after an object on the road becomes visible. Stopping sight distance is measured from the driver's eye at 42 inches above the pavement to an object height of 6 inches above the pavement.

TRAFFIC-ACTUATED SIGNAL: A type of traffic signal that directs traffic to stop and go in accordance with the demands of traffic, as registered by the actuation of detectors.

TRIP: The movement of a person or vehicle from one location (origin) to another (destination). For example, from home to store to home is two trips, not one.

TRIP-END: One end of a trip at either the origin or destination (i.e., each trip has two trip-ends). A trip-end occurs when a person, object, or message is transferred to or from a vehicle.

TRIP GENERATION RATE: The quantity of trips produced and/or attracted by a specific land use stated in terms of units such as per dwelling, per acre, and per 1,000 square feet of floor space.

TRUCK: A vehicle having dual tires on one or more axles, or having more than two axles.

TURNING RADIUS: The circular arc formed by the smallest turning path radius of the front outside tire of a vehicle, such as that performed by a U-turn maneuver. This is based on the length and width of the wheel base as well as the steering mechanism of the vehicle.

UNBALANCED FLOW: Heavier traffic flow in one direction than the other. On a daily basis, most facilities have balanced flow. During the peak hours, flow is seldom balanced in an urban area.

VEHICLE MILES OF TRAVEL: A measure of the amount of usage of a section of highway, obtained by multiplying the average daily traffic by length of facility in miles.

## APPENDIX B

## SCOPING AGREEMENT

## SCOPING AGREEMENT FOR CITY OF ROSEMEAD TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

This Memorandum of Understanding acknowledges the City of Rosemead Traffic Impact Analysis requirements for the following project.

| Project Name: | Garvey Walnut Mixed Use Project |
| :---: | :---: |
| Project Address/Location: | Northwest corner of Garvey Avenue and Walnut Grove Avenue |
| Governmental Jurisdiction: | City of Rosemead |
| Project Description and Land Use: | 42 condominium dwelling units, 5,470 square feet of office, 5,520 square feet of community hall, 1,130 square feet of café/food service, 5,274 square feet of retail/service land uses, and ancillary uses including a recreation room, gym, library, and manager's office |

## Consultant <br> Developer

| Name: | Bryan Crawford, Senior Transportation Planner | Phil Martin, President |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm: | Ganddini Group, INC. | PHIL MARTIN \& ASSOCIATES |
| Address: | 550 Parkcenter Drive, Suite 202 | 1809 East Dyer Road, Suite 301 |
|  | Santa Ana, CA 92705 | Santa Ana, CA 92705 |
| Telephone: | 714-795-3100 104 | 949-454-1800 |
| E-mail: | bryan@ganddini.com | pmartin@philmartinassociates.com |

Trip Generation Source: Institute of Transportation Engineers, Trip Generation Manual, 10 th Edition, 2017.

|  | Morning |  | Evening |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In |  | In |  |  | Daily |
| Total | 73 | 70 | 32 | 33 | 1,009 |  |

Project Full Occupancy Year: 2022


Approved by:


19302

Table 1

## Project Trip Generation

| Trip Generation Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Source ${ }^{1}$ | Unit ${ }^{2}$ | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  | Daily Rate |
|  |  |  | \% In | \% Out | Rate | \% In | \% Out | Rate |  |
| Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise) | ITE 221 | DU | 26\% | 74\% | 0.36 | 61\% | $39 \%$ | 0.44 | 5.44 |
| Recreational Community Center | ITE 495 | TSF | 66\% | 34\% | 1.76 | 47\% | 53\% | 2.31 | 28.82 |
| General Office | ITE 710 | TSF | 86\% | 14\% | 1.16 | 16\% | 84\% | 1.15 | 9.74 |
| Shopping Center | ITE 820 | TSF | 62\% | 38\% | 0.94 | 48\% | 52\% | 3.81 | 37.75 |
| Coffee/Donut Shop without Drive-Through Window | ITE 936 | TSF | 51\% | 49\% | 101.14 | 50\% | 50\% | 36.31 | 363.1 |


| Trips Generated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Quantity | Unit ${ }^{2}$ | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  | Daily |
|  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Condominiums | 42 | DU | 4 | 11 | 15 | 11 | 7 | 18 | 228 |
| Internal Capture ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | 0 | -2 | -2 | -5 | -2 | -7 | -9 |
| Community Hall | 5.520 | TSF | 6 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 159 |
| Office | 5.470 | TSF | 5 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 53 |
| Internal Capture ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -2 |
| Retail | 5.274 | TSF | 3 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 199 |
| Internal Capture ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7 | -6 | -13 | -13 |
| Pass-by Trips (34\% PM) ${ }^{4}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -2 | -2 |
| Café/Food Service | 1.130 | TSF | 58 | 56 | 114 | 21 | 21 | 42 | 410 |
| internal Capture ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | -2 | -1 | -3 | -4 | -7 | -11 | -14 |
| Net New Trips Generated |  |  | 73 | 70 | 143 | 32 | 33 | 65 | 1,009 |

Notes:
(1) ITE $=$ Institute of Transportation Engineers, Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition, 2017; \#\#\# = Land Use Code
(2) TSF $=$ Thousand Square Feet
(3) Internal Capture calculated using the NCHRP 684 Internal Trip Capture Estimation Tool.
(4) Pass-by rates obtained from ITE Trip Generation Handbook, 3rd Edition, 2017.

| NCHRP 684 Internal Trip Capture Estimation Tool |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Project Name: | Garvey Walnut Mixed Use Project |  | Organization: | Ganddini Group, Inc. |
| Project Location: | Rosemead | Performed By: | BA |  |
| Scenario Description: | Project | Date: | 10/6/2020 |  |
| Analysis Year: |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period: |  | Checked By: |  |  |
|  | Date: Street Peak Hour |  |  |  |


| Table 1-A: Base Vehicle-Trip Generation Estimates (Single-Use Site Estimate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Development Data (For Information Only) |  |  | Estimated Vehicle-Trips ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
|  | ITE LUCs ${ }^{1}$ | Quantity | Units | Total | Entering | Exiting |
| Office |  |  |  | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| Retail |  |  |  | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Restaurant |  |  |  | 114 | 58 | 56 |
| Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential |  |  |  | 15 | 4 | 11 |
| Hotel |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  | 9 | 6 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  | 149 | 76 | 73 |


| Table 2-A: Mode Split and Vehicle Occupancy Estimates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Entering Trips |  |  | Exiting Trips |  |  |
|  | Veh. Occ. ${ }^{4}$ | \% Transit | \% Non-Motorized | Veh. Occ. ${ }^{4}$ | \% Transit | \% Non-Motorized |
| Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 3-A: Average Land Use Interchange Distances (Feet Walking Distance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) |  | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential |  |  |  |  |
| Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 4-A: Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix ${ }^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Residential | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Table 5-A: Computations Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Entering | Exiting |
| All Person-Trips | 149 | 76 | 73 |
| Internal Capture Percentage | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Extemal Vehicle-Trips $^{5}$ | 143 | 73 | 70 |
| External Transit-Trips $^{6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| External Non-Motorized Trips $^{6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Table 6-A: Internal Trip Capture Percentages by Land Use |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Entering Trips | Exiting Trips |
| Office | $20 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Retail | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Restaurant | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Cinema/Entertainment | N/A | N/A |
| Residential | $0 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Hotel | N/A | N/A |

'Land Use Codes (LUCs) from Trip Generation Manual, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.
${ }^{2}$ Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site is not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator.
${ }^{3}$ Enter trips assuming no transit or non-motorized trips (as assumed in ITE Trip Generation Manual).
${ }^{4}$ Enter vehicle occupancy assumed in Table 1-A vehicle trips. If vehicle occupancy changes for proposed mixed-use project, manual adjustments must be made to Tables 5-A, 9-A (O and D). Enter transit, non-motorized percentages that will result with proposed mixed-use project complete.
${ }^{5}$ Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-A.
${ }^{6}$ Person-Trips
*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.
Estimation Tool Developed by the Texas A\&M Transportation Institute - Version 2013.1

| Project Name: | Garvey Walnut Mixed Use Project |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Period: | AM Street Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 7-A: Conversion of Vehicle-Trip Ends to Person-Trip Ends |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use | Table 7-A (D): Entering Trips |  |  | Table 7-A (0): Exiting Trips |  |  |
|  | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* |
| Office | 1.00 | 5 | 5 | 1.00 | 1 | 1 |
| Retail | 1.00 | 3 | 3 | 1.00 | 2 | 2 |
| Restaurant | 1.00 | 58 | 58 | 1.00 | 56 | 56 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 1.00 | 4 | 4 | 1.00 | 11 | 11 |
| Hotel | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |


| Table 8-A (O): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Origin) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) |  | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |  |  |  |
| Office |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Retail | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Restaurant | 17 | 8 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Residential | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |


| Table 8-A (D): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Destination) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) |  | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Residential |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office |  | 1 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Retail | 0 |  | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Restaurant | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |


| Table 9-A (D): Internal and External Trips Summary (Entering Trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Destination Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates |  |  | External Trips by Mode* |  |  |
|  | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ${ }^{1}$ | Transit ${ }^{2}$ | Non-Motorized ${ }^{2}$ |
| Office | 1 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 2 | 56 | 58 | 56 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 |


| Table 9-A (O): Internal and External Trips Summary (Exiting Trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates |  |  | External Trips by Mode* |  |  |
|  | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ${ }^{1}$ | Transit ${ }^{2}$ | Non-Motorized ${ }^{2}$ |
| Office | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 1 | 55 | 56 | 55 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 2 | 9 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |

[^0]| NCHRP 684 Internal Trip Capture Estimation Tool |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Project Name: | Garvey Walnut Mixed Use Project |  | Organization: | Ganddini Group, Inc. |
| Project Location: | Rosemead | Performed By: | BA |  |
| Scenario Description: | Project | Date: | 10/6/2020 |  |
| Analysis Year: |  | Checked By: |  |  |
| Analysis Period: | PM Street Peak Hour | Date: |  |  |


| Table 1-P: Base Vehicle-Trip Generation Estimates (Single-Use Site Estimate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Development Data (For Information Only) |  |  | Estimated Vehicle-Trips ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
|  | ITE LUCs ${ }^{1}$ | Quantity | Units | Total | Entering | Exiting |
| Office |  |  |  | 6 | 1 | 5 |
| Retail |  |  |  | 20 | 10 | 10 |
| Restaurant |  |  |  | 42 | 21 | 21 |
| Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential |  |  |  | 18 | 11 | 7 |
| Hotel |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  | 13 | 6 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  | 99 | 49 | 50 |


| Table 2-P: Mode Split and Vehicle Occupancy Estimates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Entering Trips |  |  | Exiting Trips |  |  |
|  | Veh. Occ. ${ }^{4}$ | \% Transit | \% Non-Motorized | Veh. Occ. ${ }^{4}$ | \% Transit | \% Non-Motorized |
| Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 3-P: Average Land Use Interchange Distances (Feet Walking Distance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) |  | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential |  |  |  |  |
| Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 4-P: Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |  |
| Office |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Retail | 0 |  | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  |
| Restaurant | 0 | 5 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Residential | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |


| Table 5-P: Computations Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Entering | Exiting |
| All Person-Trips | 99 | 49 | 50 |
| Internal Capture Percentage | $32 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| External Vehicle-Trips $^{5}$ | 67 | 33 | 34 |
| External Transit-Trips ${ }^{6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| External Non-Motorized Trips $^{6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Table 6-P: Internal Trip Capture Percentages by Land Use |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Entering Trips | Exiting Trips |
| Office | $0 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Retail | $70 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| Restaurant | $19 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| Cinema/Entertainment | N/A | N/A |
| Residential | $45 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Hotel | N/A | N/A |

[^1]Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number
Estimation Tool Developed by the Texas A\&M Transportation Institute - Version 2013.1

| Project Name: | Garvey Walnut Mixed Use Project |
| ---: | :---: |
| Analysis Period: | PM Street Peak Hour |


| Table 7-P: Conversion of Vehicle-Trip Ends to Person-Trip Ends |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Table 7-P (D): Entering Trips |  |  | Table 7-P (O): Exiting Trips |  |  |
|  | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* |
| Office | 1.00 | 1 | 1 | 1.00 | 5 | 5 |
| Retail | 1.00 | 10 | 10 | 1.00 | 10 | 10 |
| Restaurant | 1.00 | 21 | 21 | 1.00 | 21 | 21 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 1.00 | 11 | 11 | 1.00 | 7 | 7 |
| Hotel | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |


| Table 8-P (O): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Origin) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 0 |  | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| Restaurant | 1 | 9 |  | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Table 8-P (D): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Destination) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 0 |  | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 0 | 5 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Table 9-P (D): Internal and External Trips Summary (Entering Trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Destination Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates |  |  | External Trips by Mode* |  |  |
|  | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ${ }^{1}$ | Transit ${ }^{2}$ | Non-Motorized ${ }^{2}$ |
| Office | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 7 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 4 | 17 | 21 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 5 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 |


| Table 9-P (O): Internal and External Trips Summary (Exiting Trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates |  |  | External Trips by Mode* |  |  |
|  | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ${ }^{1}$ | Transit ${ }^{2}$ | Non-Motorized ${ }^{2}$ |
| Office | 1 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 6 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 7 | 14 | 21 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 2 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 |

[^2]| Land Use Pairs |  | Weekday |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| From OFFICE | To Office | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Retail | 28.0\% | 20.0\% |
|  | To Restaurant | 63.0\% | 4.0\% |
|  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Residential | 1.0\% | 2.0\% |
|  | To Hotel | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| From RETAIL | To Office | 29.0\% | 2.0\% |
|  | To Retail | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Restaurant | 13.0\% | 29.0\% |
|  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 4.0\% |
|  | To Residential | 14.0\% | 26.0\% |
|  | To Hotel | 0.0\% | 5.0\% |
| From RESTAURANT | To Office | 31.0\% | 3.0\% |
|  | To Retail | 14.0\% | 41.0\% |
|  | To Restaurant | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 8.0\% |
|  | To Residential | 4.0\% | 18.0\% |
|  | To Hotel | 3.0\% | 7.0\% |
| From CINEMA/ENTERTAINMENT | To Office | 0.0\% | 2.0\% |
|  | To Retail | 0.0\% | 21.0\% |
|  | To Restaurant | 0.0\% | 31.0\% |
|  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Residential | 0.0\% | 8.0\% |
|  | To Hotel | 0.0\% | 2.0\% |
| From RESIDENTIAL | To Office | 2.0\% | 4.0\% |
|  | To Retail | 1.0\% | 42.0\% |
|  | To Restaurant | 20.0\% | 21.0\% |
|  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Residential | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Hotel | 0.0\% | 3.0\% |
| From HOTEL | To Office | 75.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Retail | 14.0\% | 16.0\% |
|  | To Restaurant | 9.0\% | 68.0\% |
|  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Residential | 0.0\% | 2.0\% |
|  | To Hotel | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |


| Table 7.2a Adjusted Internal Trip Capture Rates for Trip Destinations within a Multi-Use Development |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| To OFFICE | From Office | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | From Retail | 4.0\% | 31.0\% |
|  | From Restaurant | 14.0\% | 30.0\% |
|  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 6.0\% |
|  | From Residential | 3.0\% | 57.0\% |
|  | From Hotel | 3.0\% | 0.0\% |
| To RETAIL | From Office | 32.0\% | 8.0\% |
|  | From Retail | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | From Restaurant | 8.0\% | 50.0\% |
|  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 4.0\% |
|  | From Residential | 17.0\% | 10.0\% |
|  | From Hotel | 4.0\% | 2.0\% |
| To RESTAURANT | From Office | 23.0\% | 2.0\% |
|  | From Retail | 50.0\% | 29.0\% |
|  | From Restaurant | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 3.0\% |
|  | From Residential | 20.0\% | 14.0\% |
|  | From Hotel | 6.0\% | 5.0\% |
| To CINEMA/ENTERTAINMENT | From Office | 0.0\% | 1.0\% |
|  | From Retail | 0.0\% | 26.0\% |
|  | From Restaurant | 0.0\% | 32.0\% |
|  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | From Residential | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | From Hotel | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| To RESIDENTIAL | From Office | 0.0\% | 4.0\% |
|  | From Retail | 2.0\% | 46.0\% |
|  | From Restaurant | 5.0\% | 16.0\% |
|  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 4.0\% |
|  | From Residential | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | From Hotel | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| To HOTEL | From Office | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | From Retail | 0.0\% | 17.0\% |
|  | From Restaurant | 4.0\% | 71.0\% |
|  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 1.0\% |
|  | From Residential | 0.0\% | 12.0\% |
|  | From Hotel | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
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Date: October 12, 2020

| To: | Annie Lao <br> Associate Planner <br> alao@cityofrosemead.org <br> Office: (626) 569-2144 | Pages: | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| From: | Jana Robbins, PTP, RSP <br> jana.robbins@transtech.org; <br> T: 909-595-8599, 133 | Job \#: | Jn\#20967 |
| Re: | Traffic Scoping for the Proposed Mixed Use <br> Development to be Located at the NW <br> Corner of Walnut Grove Avenue and Garvey <br> Avenue in the City of Rosemead | Cc: | Lily Valenzuela: Planning and <br> Economic Dev Manager <br> Chris Daste: PW Director <br> Angelica Frausto-Lupo: CDD Dir <br> Michael Ackerman: City Engineer |

Transtech Engineers has completed a review of the preliminary Traffic Scoping that was submitted to the City by the applicants Traffic Consultant Ganddini Group, Inc with Mr. Bryan Crawford. The proposed project as we understand will be comprised of the following:

- 42 Condo Units
- 5,470 sqf of Office
- 5,520 sqf of Community Hall
- 1,130 sqf of Café/Food Service
- 5,274 sqf of Retail/Service
- Ancillary uses such as a recreation room, gym, library and managers office for the condo units

The project is projected to generate, after taking allowances for internal trips and pass-by allowances for the retail use, 1,009 daily trips with 143 trips in the AM peak and 65 trips in the PM Peak.

The City of Rosemead in July 2020 adopted VMT thresholds to be in line with State mandates for SB 743 using Vehicle Miles Travelled (VMT) as the matrix to determine traffic impacts under CEQA. However, the City also chose to continue using LOS for transportation planning and analysis purposes. There are three ways a project can be screened from providing a project level VMT assessment. One: The project is located in a Transit Priority Area (TPA); two can be considered as located in a LOW VMT Area; or three a Project Type Screening where, based on OPR guidelines, certain types of projects have been identified as having the presumption of a less than significant VMT impact. The SGVCOG's traffic consultant Fehr and Peers is in the process of finalizing the Traffic Study Guidelines for the City of Rosemead. As part of these guidelines a screening tool will be available for applicants to use to see if their project can be screened. Until the City gets the final TIA guidelines, the City ran the project through the Screening Tool and found that this project is eligible for screening from a full VMT analysis based on being located in a LOW VMT Area. The output is provided for your review as an attachment. However, the project will still need to
provide a traffic study as well as provide justification that the project is consistent with existing land use and that there is nothing unique that would otherwise preclude the project from being screened.

The following should be included in the Traffic Analysis for this project:

1. Existing Traffic Counts should be taken on a weekday during AM and PM peak hours. Depending on the description and types of events to be held at the Community Center additional counts may need to be taken either in the evening or on a weekend. Due to Covid, traffic has been lighter with schools not doing in-person classes and more people working at home. If no Historical counts are available from the City then new counts will need to be taken with a growth factor applied to account for these conditions. (recent counts show that there has been on average a $30 \%$ reduction in AM peak and 20\% reduction in PM peak travel due to Covid). Consultant should submit to the City the factors and method they will be using for counts for this project. The following intersections should be included:
a. Walnut Grove Avenue at Garvey Avenue
b. Walnut Grove Avenue at Hellman Avenue
c. I-10 EB Ramp at Hellman Avenue
d. Proposed Driveway (for trip generation, and queuing)

The Circulation Element of the City's General Plan has established maintaining level of service D or better at intersections. The City uses the Intersection Capacity Utilization (ICU) methodology to evaluate AM and PM peak hour LOS at signalized intersections. The following parameters should be used in determining the LOS at the intersections within the City. (note that lane capacities have changed from previous ICU standards)

## ICU Methodology (signalized intersections)

- A minimum clearance interval of 0.10 of green time.
- Lane capacities of 1,800 per hour per lane for through and turn lanes.
- Lane capacities of 3,240 per hour for dual turn lanes

2. Trip Generation of Project Traffic using the $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition Trip Generation Manual. The report should include justification for any internal capture and pass-by credits. Credits taken for existing use must demonstrate that the existing use is open and occupied.
3. Trip Distribution of Project Traffic (project trips and percent assignment)
4. Analysis Scenarios:
a. Existing Conditions
b. Existing Plus Project
c. Opening Year (existing + growth factor + cumulative projects within 1 to 1.5 miles of project)
d. Opening Year + Project
5. On-Site Parking and Circulation (parking per code versus supply and the locations dedicated to retail versus residential spaces)
6. Description of the project in general
7. Description of the Community Hall to include

## i. Types of uses/events

ii. Occupancy levels
iii. Typical days and hours will be utilizing for events - this may require a separate analysis at the driveway and the intersection of Walnut Grove Avenue and Garvey Avenue
iv. Parking on event days (number of spaces needed and circulation at driveway)
8. Access and Circulation at Project Driveway. If applicant is proposing full access than it must be demonstrated that striping and lane widths on Walnut Grove Avenue can accommodate full movements in and out as well as sufficient space from main signal.
9. Queue analysis for NB left entering the driveway and the relationship between the SB left turn pocket at Walnut Grove Avenue and Garvey Avenue.
10. On-site stacking for vehicles leaving the site
11. Truck access to loading/unloading and trash pickup - Truck Turning Templates into, on and out of site driveway.
12. Construction discussion - staging and hours
13. Justification for screening from a full VMT analysis

We look forward to working with the applicant on this project. If there are questions or comments please contact me at jana.robbins@transtech.org

## APPENDIX C

## VOLUME COUNT WORKSHEETS

| AM Peak Hour Growth Rate to Convert Existing Traffic Counts to Pre Pandemic Conditions: | $91.91 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| PM Peak Hour Growth Rate to Convert Existing Traffic Counts to Pre Pandemic Conditions: | $33.96 \%$ |

I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at Hellman Avenue (EW)

| Existing 2020 Traffic Count (Pandemic Conditions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Total |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 7 | 60 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 99 | 461 |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Total |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 188 | 0 | 13 | 35 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 97 | 649 |


| Modified 2020 Traffic Count |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Total |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 253 | 0 | 13 | 115 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 159 | 190 | 884 |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Total |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 0 | 17 | 47 | 221 | 0 | 0 | 202 | 130 | 869 |

## Walnut Grove Avenue (NS) at Hellman Avenue (EW)

| Existing 2020 Traffic Count (Pandemic Conditions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Total |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |  |
| 76 | 245 | 7 | 66 | 301 | 60 | 121 | 31 | 60 | 0 | 45 | 140 | 1,152 |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Total |
| 93 | 482 | 14 | 124 | 522 | 113 | 213 | 76 | 64 | 8 | 43 | 141 | 1,893 |


| Modified 2020 Traffic Count |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Total |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |  |
| 146 | 470 | 13 | 127 | 578 | 115 | 232 | 59 | 115 | 0 | 86 | 269 | 2,210 |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Total |
| 125 | 646 | 19 | 166 | 699 | 151 | 285 | 102 | 86 | 11 | 58 | 189 | 2,537 |

Walnut Garvey Mixed Use Project
Traffic Impact Analysis
19302

Walnut Grove Avenue (NS) at Garvey Avenue (EW)

| Existing 2020 Traffic Count (Pandemic Conditions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Total |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |  |
| 53 | 181 | 72 | 128 | 222 | 55 | 59 | 426 | 36 | 53 | 421 | 70 | 1,776 |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Total |
| 87 | 383 | 123 | 119 | 377 | 80 | 105 | 679 | 76 | 109 | 687 | 147 | 2,972 |


| Modified 2020 Traffic Count |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Total |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |  |
| 102 | 347 | 138 | 246 | 426 | 106 | 113 | 818 | 69 | 102 | 808 | 134 | 3,409 |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Total |
| 117 | 513 | 165 | 159 | 505 | 107 | 141 | 910 | 102 | 146 | 920 | 197 | 3,982 |

## Pandemic Factorization Calculation Summary

| Modified Historical Traffic Count |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Hour Total <br> Turning Movement <br> Volumes | Walnut Grove Ave <br> Wal <br> at Hellman Ave | Walnut Grove Ave <br> at Garvey Ave | Total |
|  | 2,296 | 3,323 |  |
|  | 2,734 | 3,783 |  |


| Existing Traffic Count (Pandemic Conditions) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Hour Total <br> Turning Movement <br> Volumes | Walnut Grove Ave <br> at Hellman Ave | Walnut Grove Ave <br> at Garvey Ave |  |
|  | 1,152 | 1,776 |  |
|  | 1,893 | 2,972 | 4,865 |


| AM Peak Hour Growth Rate to Factor Modified Historical |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Traffic Count and Existing Traffic Count (Pandemic <br> Conditions) to 2020 Pre-Pandemic Conditions: | $91.91 \%$ |
| PM Peak Hour Growth Rate to Factor Modified Historical <br> Traffic Count and Existing Traffic Count (Pandemic <br> Conditions) to 2020 Pre-Pandemic Conditions: |  |

Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW) Modified Traffic Count

| Historical Traffic Count ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Total |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |  |
| 136 | 516 | 13 | 60 | 654 | 101 | 143 | 58 | 115 | 1 | 247 | 216 | 2,260 |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Total |
| 127 | 880 | 13 | 160 | 669 | 127 | 287 | 71 | 79 | 7 | 33 | 237 | 2,690 |


| Annual Ambient Growth Rate: | $0.800 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| Modified Historical Traffic Count |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Total |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |  |
| 138 | 524 | 13 | 61 | 665 | 103 | 145 | 59 | 117 | 1 | 251 | 219 | 2,296 |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Total |
| 129 | 894 | 13 | 163 | 680 | 129 | 292 | 72 | 80 | 7 | 34 | 241 | 2,734 |

Notes:
(1) Provided by City of Rosemead Staff.

| Existing Traffic Count (Pandemic Conditions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Total |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |  |
| 76 | 245 | 7 | 66 | 301 | 60 | 121 | 31 | 60 | 0 | 45 | 140 | 1,152 |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Total |
| 93 | 482 | 14 | 124 | 522 | 113 | 213 | 76 | 64 | 8 | 43 | 141 | 1,893 |


| AM Peak Hour Growth Rate to Factor Modified Historical Traffic Count and Existing Traffic Count (Pandemic Conditions) to 2020 Pre- |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Pandemic Conditions: | $99.31 \%$ |
| PM Peak Hour Growth Rate to Factor Modified Historical Traffic Count and Existing Traffic Count (Pandemic Conditions) to 2020 Pre- <br> Pandemic Conditions: | $44.43 \%$ |

Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at Garvey Ave (EW) Modified Traffic Count

| Historical Traffic Count ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Total |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |  |
| 124 | 377 | 102 | 196 | 592 | 102 | 90 | 682 | 83 | 130 | 676 | 116 | 3,270 |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Total |
| 122 | 727 | 128 | 154 | 462 | 98 | 135 | 743 | 78 | 136 | 766 | 175 | 3,724 |


| Annual Ambient Growth Rate: | $0.800 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| Modified Historical Traffic Count |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Total |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |  |
| 126 | 383 | 104 | 199 | 602 | 104 | 91 | 693 | 84 | 132 | 687 | 118 | 3,323 |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Total |
| 124 | 739 | 130 | 156 | 469 | 100 | 137 | 755 | 79 | 138 | 778 | 178 | 3,783 |

Notes:
(1) Provided by City of Rosemead Staff.

| Existing Traffic Count (Pandemic Conditions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Total |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |  |
| 53 | 181 | 72 | 128 | 222 | 55 | 59 | 426 | 36 | 53 | 421 | 70 | 1,776 |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Total |
| 87 | 383 | 123 | 119 | 377 | 80 | 105 | 679 | 76 | 109 | 687 | 147 | 2,972 |


| AM Peak Hour Growth Rate to Factor Modified Historical Traffic Count and Existing Traffic Count (Pandemic Conditions) to 2020 PrePandemic Conditions: | 87.11\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| PM Peak Hour Growth Rate to Factor Modified Historical Traffic Count and Existing Traffic Count (Pandemic Conditions) to 2020 PrePandemic Conditions: | 27.29\% |

## INTERSECTION TURNING MOVEMENT COUNTS

PREPARED BY: AimTD LLC. tel: 7142537888 cs@aimtd.com


LOCATION:
Rosemead
PROJECT \#: SC2702
NORTH \& SOUTH: I-10 EB Ramps
Hellman
LOCATION \#: 3
EAST \& WEST:
CONTROL:
SIGNAL


|  | NORTHBOUND <br> I-10 EB Ramps |  |  | SOUTHBOUND <br> I-10 EB Ramps |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EASTBOUND } \\ \text { Hellman } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | WESTBOUND <br> Hellman |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LANES: | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{NL} \\ \mathrm{X} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { NT } \\ \text { X } \end{gathered}$ | NR X | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SL } \\ & 0.5 \end{aligned}$ | ST <br> X | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SR } \\ & 0.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { EL } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | ET | ER X | WL X | WT | WR 0 | TOTAL |


| $\sum$ | 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 66 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 14 | 71 |
|  | 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 24 | 84 |
|  | 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 27 | 113 |
|  | 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 21 | 107 |
|  | 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 26 | 117 |
|  | 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 31 | 117 |
|  | 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 21 | 120 |
|  | VOLUMES | 0 | 0 | 0 | 228 | 0 | 17 | 97 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 136 | 184 | 795 |
|  | APPROACH \% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 93\% | 0\% | 7\% | 42\% | 58\% | 0\% | 0\% | 43\% | 58\% |  |
|  | APP/DEPART | 0 | / | 282 | 245 | 1 | 0 | 230 | 1 | 360 | 320 | I | 153 | 0 |
|  | BEGIN PEAK HR |  | 8:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | VOLUMES | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 7 | 60 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 99 | 461 |
|  | APPROACH \% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 95\% | 0\% | 5\% | 43\% | 57\% | 0\% | 0\% | 46\% | 54\% |  |
|  | PEAK HR FACTOR |  | 0.000 |  |  | 0.848 |  |  | 0.921 |  |  | 0.798 |  | 0.960 |
|  | APP/DEPART | 0 | 1 | 159 | 139 | 1 | 0 | 140 | 1 | 212 | 182 | 1 | 90 | 0 |
| $\sum_{n} \mid$ | 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 18 | 138 |
|  | 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 28 | 159 |
|  | 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 20 | 128 |
|  | 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 23 | 130 |
|  | 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 30 | 158 |
|  | 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 20 | 166 |
|  | 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 25 | 166 |
|  | 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 22 | 159 |
|  | VOLUMES | 0 | 0 | 0 | 340 | 0 | 23 | 78 | 309 | 0 | 0 | 268 | 186 | 1,204 |
|  | APPROACH \% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 94\% | 0\% | 6\% | 20\% | 80\% | 0\% | 0\% | 59\% | 41\% |  |
|  | APP/DEPART | 0 | / | 264 | 363 | / | 0 | 387 | / | 649 | 454 | / | 291 | 0 |
|  | BEGIN PEAK HR |  | 5:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | VOLUMES | 0 | 0 | 0 | 188 | 0 | 13 | 35 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 97 | 649 |
|  | APPROACH \% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 94\% | 0\% | 6\% | 18\% | 83\% | 0\% | 0\% | 61\% | 39\% |  |
|  | PEAK HR FACTOR |  | 0.000 |  |  | 0.866 |  |  | 0.820 |  |  | 0.954 |  | 0.977 |
|  | APP/DEPART | 0 | I | 132 | 201 | 1 | 0 | 200 | 1 | 353 | 248 | 1 | 164 | 0 |

AimTD LLC
TURNING MOVEMENT COUNTS


## INTERSECTION TURNING MOVEMENT COUNTS

PREPARED BY: AimTD LLC. tel: 7142537888 cs@aimtd.com


LOCATION:
NORTH \& SOUTH:
EAST \& WEST:
Rosemead
Walnut Grove
Hellman

PROJECT \#: SC2702
LOCATION \#:
CONTROL:
SIGNAL


| $\sum$ | 7:00 AM | 13 | 48 | 3 | 10 | 39 | 4 | 14 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 27 | 183 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7:15 AM | 9 | 40 | 0 | 7 | 33 | 6 | 18 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 11 | 29 | 171 |
|  | 7:30 AM | 15 | 58 | 0 | 12 | 51 | 10 | 18 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 15 | 32 | 221 |
|  | 7:45 AM | 21 | 62 | 2 | 12 | 60 | 15 | 31 | 6 | 16 | 0 | 10 | 40 | 275 |
|  | 8:00 AM | 16 | 51 | 0 | 19 | 77 | 17 | 31 | 6 | 18 | 0 | 7 | 27 | 269 |
|  | 8:15 AM | 19 | 57 | 3 | 12 | 74 | 11 | 32 | 6 | 15 | 0 | 14 | 32 | 275 |
|  | 8:30 AM | 25 | 78 | 3 | 22 | 76 | 19 | 23 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 13 | 28 | 307 |
|  | 8:45 AM | 16 | 59 | 1 | 13 | 74 | 13 | 35 | 14 | 12 | 0 | 11 | 53 | 301 |
|  | VOLUMES | 134 | 453 | 12 | 107 | 484 | 95 | 202 | 51 | 106 | 1 | 89 | 268 | 2,002 |
|  | APPROACH \% | 22\% | 76\% | 2\% | 16\% | 71\% | 14\% | 56\% | 14\% | 30\% | 0\% | 25\% | 75\% |  |
|  | APP/DEPART | 599 | 1 | 925 | 686 | / | 591 | 359 | 1 | 168 | 358 | 1 | 318 | 0 |
|  | BEGIN PEAK HR | 8:00 AM |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ 15 \% \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ 14 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121 \\ & 57 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ 28 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 140 \\ & 76 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,152 \\ & 0.938 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOLUMES | 76 | 245 | 7 |  | 301 |  |  | 31 |  |  | 45 |  |  |
|  | APPROACH \% | 23\% | 75\% | 2\% |  | 70\% |  |  | 15\% |  |  | 24\% |  |  |
|  | PEAK HR FACTOR |  | 0.774 |  |  | 0.912 |  |  | 0.869 |  |  | 0.723 |  |  |
|  | APP/DEPART | 328 | 1 | 507 | 427 | 1 | 361 | 212 | 1 | 103 | 185 | 1 | 181 | 0 |
| N. | 4:00 PM | 23 | 124 | 7 | 22 | 120 | 13 | 45 | 12 | 28 | 0 | 7 | 27 | 428 |
|  | 4:15 PM | 27 | 110 | 5 | 27 | 131 | 29 | 40 | 12 | 25 | 1 | 16 | 31 | 454 |
|  | 4:30 PM | 16 | 144 | 5 | 33 | 139 | 20 | 47 | 13 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 27 | 463 |
|  | 4:45 PM | 24 | 107 | 3 | 37 | 120 | 20 | 41 | 12 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 25 | 406 |
|  | 5:00 PM | 23 | 111 | 4 | 39 | 124 | 23 | 51 | 16 | 13 | 2 | 13 | 38 | 457 |
|  | 5:15 PM | 23 | 135 | 7 | 23 | 114 | 28 | 52 | 24 | 19 | 1 | 11 | 26 | 463 |
|  | 5:30 PM | 20 | 111 | 2 | 27 | 140 | 29 | 55 | 23 | 16 | 3 | 14 | 42 | 482 |
|  | 5:45 PM | 27 | 125 | 1 | 35 | 144 | 33 | 55 | 13 | 16 | 2 | 5 | 35 | 491 |
|  | VOLUMES | 183 | 967 | 34 | 243 | 1,032 | 195 | 386 | 125 | 138 | 13 | 77 | 251 | 3,644 |
|  | APPROACH \% | 15\% | 82\% | 3\% | 17\% | 70\% | 13\% | 59\% | 19\% | 21\% | 4\% | 23\% | 74\% |  |
|  | APP/DEPART | 1,184 | I | 1,604 | 1,470 | 1 | 1,183 | 649 | 1 | 402 | 341 | / | 455 | 0 |
|  | BEGIN PEAK HR | 5:00 PM |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 124 \\ & 16 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 113 \\ & 15 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 213 \\ & 60 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ 18 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 4 \% \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 141 \\ & 73 \% \end{aligned}$ | 1,893 |
|  | VOLUMES | 93 | 482 | 14 |  | 522 |  |  | 76 |  |  | 43 |  |  |
|  | APPROACH \% | 16\% | 82\% | 2\% |  | 69\% |  |  | 22\% |  |  | 22\% |  |  |
|  | PEAK HR FACTOR |  | 0.892 |  |  | 0.895 |  |  | 0.929 |  |  | 0.814 |  | 0.964 |
|  | APP/DEPART | 589 | / | 836 | 759 | 1 | 594 | 353 | 1 | 214 | 192 | / | 249 | 0 |

AimTD LLC
TURNING MOVEMENT COUNTS


## INTERSECTION TURNING MOVEMENT COUNTS

PREPARED BY: AimTD LLC. tel: 7142537888 cs@aimtd.com


LOCATION:
Rosemead
PROJECT \#: SC2702
NORTH \& SOUTH: Walnut Grove
EAST \& WEST:
Garvey
LOCATION \#:
CONTROL:
SIGNAL


|  | NORTHBOUND <br> Walnut Grove |  |  | SOUTHBOUND <br> Walnut Grove |  |  | $\underset{\text { Garvey }}{\text { EASTBOUND }}$ |  |  | WESTBOUND <br> Garvey |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NL | NT | NR | SL | ST | SR | EL | ET | ER | WL | WT | WR | TOTAL |
| LANES: | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  |


| $\underset{\&}{\sum}$ | 7:00 AM | 6 | 42 | 6 | 7 | 43 | 5 | 7 | 52 | 10 | 6 | 51 | 18 | 253 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7:15 AM | 9 | 28 | 9 | 8 | 26 | 11 | 7 | 80 | 3 | 8 | 73 | 17 | 279 |
|  | 7:30 AM | 12 | 44 | 7 | 20 | 47 | 10 | 16 | 94 | 6 | 10 | 70 | 13 | 349 |
|  | 7:45 AM | 14 | 36 | 11 | 17 | 41 | 10 | 14 | 106 | 8 | 17 | 88 | 19 | 381 |
|  | 8:00 AM | 20 | 47 | 15 | 35 | 64 | 11 | 10 | 99 | 7 | 10 | 74 | 8 | 400 |
|  | 8:15 AM | 9 | 42 | 17 | 29 | 60 | 13 | 11 | 117 | 8 | 18 | 110 | 17 | 451 |
|  | 8:30 AM | 11 | 54 | 23 | 34 | 42 | 14 | 21 | 95 | 8 | 10 | 116 | 21 | 449 |
|  | 8:45 AM | 13 | 38 | 17 | 30 | 56 | 17 | 17 | 115 | 13 | 15 | 121 | 24 | 476 |
|  | VOLUMES | 94 | 331 | 105 | 180 | 379 | 91 | 103 | 758 | 63 | 94 | 703 | 137 | 3,038 |
|  | APPROACH \% | 18\% | 62\% | 20\% | 28\% | 58\% | 14\% | 11\% | 82\% | 7\% | 10\% | 75\% | 15\% |  |
|  | APP/DEPART | 530 | / | 571 | 650 | 1 | 536 | 924 | 1 | 1,043 | 934 | 1 | 888 | 0 |
|  | BEGIN PEAK HR | 8:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | VOLUMES | 53 | 181 | 72 | 128 | 222 | 55 | 59 | 426 | 36 | 53 | 421 | 70 | 1,776 |
|  | APPROACH \% | 17\% | 59\% | 24\% | 32\% | 55\% | 14\% | 11\% | 82\% | 7\% | 10\% | 77\% | 13\% |  |
|  | PEAK HR FACTOR |  | 0.869 |  | 0.920 |  |  | 0.898 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.933 |
|  | APP/DEPART | 306 | / | 310 | 405 | 1 | 311 | 521 | 1 | 626 | 544 | 1 | 529 | 0 |
| $\sum_{\mathbf{a}}$ | 4:00 PM | 26 | 77 | 30 | 37 | 80 | 27 | 35 | 178 | 16 | 20 | 175 | 39 | 740 |
|  | 4:15 PM | 21 | 78 | 30 | 40 | 86 | 23 | 25 | 187 | 17 | 37 | 131 | 28 | 703 |
|  | 4:30 PM | 16 | 114 | 34 | 27 | 106 | 14 | 24 | 161 | 16 | 29 | 167 | 41 | 749 |
|  | 4:45 PM | 17 | 77 | 25 | 25 | 101 | 18 | 19 | 159 | 24 | 23 | 179 | 32 | 699 |
|  | 5:00 PM | 30 | 96 | 32 | 26 | 81 | 24 | 35 | 185 | 20 | 22 | 170 | 41 | 762 |
|  | 5:15 PM | 24 | 96 | 32 | 41 | 89 | 24 | 27 | 174 | 16 | 35 | 171 | 33 | 762 |
|  | 5:30 PM | 21 | 76 | 39 | 34 | 100 | 22 | 22 | 160 | 14 | 26 | 177 | 43 | 734 |
|  | 5:45 PM | 19 | 92 | 21 | 36 | 90 | 28 | 31 | 154 | 21 | 19 | 162 | 36 | 709 |
|  | VOLUMES | 174 | 706 | 243 | 266 | 733 | 180 | 218 | 1,358 | 144 | 211 | 1,332 | 293 | 5,858 |
|  | APPROACH \% | 15\% | 63\% | 22\% | 23\% | 62\% | 15\% | 13\% | 79\% | 8\% | 11\% | 73\% | 16\% |  |
|  | APP/DEPART | 1,123 | 1 | 1,216 | 1,179 | 1 | 1,085 | 1,720 | 1 | 1,870 | 1,836 | 1 | 1,687 | 0 |
|  | BEGIN PEAK HR | 4:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | VOLUMES | 87 | 383 | 123 | 119 | 377 | 80 | 105 | 679 | 76 | 109 | 687 | 147 | 2,972 |
|  | APPROACH \% | 15\% | 65\% | 21\% | 21\% | 65\% | 14\% | 12\% | 79\% | 9\% | 12\% | 73\% | 16\% |  |
|  | PEAK HR FACTOR |  | 0.904 |  | 0.935 |  |  | 0.896 |  |  | 0.986 |  |  | 0.975 |
|  | APP/DEPART | 593 | I | 634 | 576 | 1 | 561 | 860 | 1 | 922 | 943 | 1 | 855 | 0 |

AimTD LLC
TURNING MOVEMENT COUNTS

PROJECT NAME: CITY OF ROSEMEAD TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

PROJECT NO
DATE:

CITY OF ROSEMEAD TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
26-Sep-18 $\qquad$
PASSENGER CARS

| TIME | N-S STREET: |  | WALNUT GROVE AVE |  |  |  | N-S TOTAL | E-W STREET: HELLMAN AVE |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { E-W } \\ \text { TOTAL } \end{gathered}$ | ADULT PED COUNT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NORTH BOUND |  |  | SOUTH BOUND |  |  |  | EAST BOUND |  |  | WEST BOUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT |  | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT |  | NL | SL | EL | WL |
| 07:00-07:15 | 18 | 52 | 1 | 11 | 72 | 15 | 169 | 16 | 7 | 25 |  | 18 | 31 | 97 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 07:15-07:30 | 16 | 91 | 1 | 12 | 93 | 6 | 219 | 26 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 10 | 50 | 105 |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30-07:45 | 32 | 115 | 2 | 8 | 114 | 18 | 289 | 32 | 10 | 24 | 1 | 202 | 74 | 343 |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45-08:00 | 36 | 156 | 2 | 17 | 160 | 27 | 398 | 39 | 7 | 33 |  | 20 | 58 | 157 |  | 2 |  |  |
| 08:00-08:15 | 30 | 123 | 1 | 21 | 195 | 23 | 393 | 39 | 15 | 33 |  | 13 | 40 | 140 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 08:15-08:30 | 36 | 119 | 8 | 14 | 183 | 32 | 392 | 33 | 26 | 25 |  | 12 | 44 | 140 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 08:30-08:45 | 28 | 99 | 4 | 15 | 188 | 24 | 358 | 47 | 23 | 21 | 1 | 14 | 51 | 157 |  |  |  |  |
| 08:45-09:00 | 33 | 102 | 3 | 22 | 177 | 25 | 362 | 46 | 12 | 31 | 1 | 19 | 45 | 154 |  |  |  |  |

## 3+ AXLE TRUCKS



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | BIKE COUNT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NL | SL | EL | WL |
| 07:00-07:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | , |  |  |
| 07:15-07:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | , |  |  |
| 07:30-07:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 07:45-08:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 2 |  | 3 |
| 08:00-08:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 08:15-08:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| 08:30-08:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 08:45-09:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |

PEAK-HOUR VOLUME ANALYSIS


PROJECT NAME: CITY OF ROSEMEAD TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
PROJECT NO:
DATE: $\qquad$
PASSENGER CARS

| TIME | N-S STREET: |  | WALNUT GROVE AVE |  |  |  |  | E-W STREET: HELLMAN AVE |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { E-W } \\ \text { TOTAL } \end{gathered}$ | ADULT PED COUNT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NORTH BOUND |  |  | SOUTH BOUND |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { N-S } \\ \text { TOTAL } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EAST BOUND |  |  | WEST BOUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT |  | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT |  | NL | SL | EL | WL |
| 16:00-16:15 | 35 | 174 | 2 | 28 | 162 | 23 | 424 | 55 | 27 | 16 | 1 | 8 | 35 | 142 |  | 2 | 1 |  |
| 16:15-16:30 | 22 | 197 | 1 | 30 | 176 | 21 | 447 | 57 | 18 | 23 | 1 | 6 | 34 | 139 |  |  |  |  |
| 16:30-16:45 | 36 | 139 | 3 | 22 | 137 | 26 | 363 | 60 | 21 | 18 | 2 | 8 | 41 | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| 16:45-17:00 | 24 | 167 | 1 | 30 | 153 | 20 | 395 | 70 | 22 | 19 |  | 4 | 47 | 162 |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00-17:15 | 36 | 223 | 3 | 39 | 155 | 26 | 482 | 63 | 13 | 16 | 2 | 6 | 52 | 152 |  |  |  |  |
| 17:15-17:30 | 34 | 220 | 4 | 43 | 174 | 36 | 511 | 60 | 18 | 17 | 1 | 11 | 53 | 160 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 17:30-17:45 | 26 | 210 | 2 | 27 | 148 | 28 | 441 | 76 | 21 | 31 | 3 | 3 | 64 | 198 |  |  |  |  |
| 17:45-18:00 | 31 | 227 | 4 | 51 | 192 | 37 | 542 | 88 | 19 | 15 | 1 | 13 | 68 | 204 |  | 2 |  |  |

3+ AXLE TRUCKS
SCHOOL AGE PED COUNT

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NL |  | SL | EL | WL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:00-16:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |
| 16:15-16:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:30-16:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:45-17:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 17:00-17:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:15-17:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 17:30-17:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:45-18:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | BIKE COUNT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NL | SL | EL | WL |
| 16:00-16:15 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 16:15-16:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 16:30-16:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 16:45-17:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 17:00-17:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 17:15-17:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 17:30-17:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 17:45-18:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |

PEAK-HOUR VOLUME ANALYSIS


PROJECT NAME: CITY OF ROSEMEAD TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

PROJECT NO: DATE:
$\qquad$
PASSENGER CARS

| TIME | N-S STREET: |  | WALNUT GROVE AVE |  |  |  |  | E-W STREET: GARVEY AVE |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { E-W } \\ \text { TOTAL } \end{gathered}$ | ADULT PED COUNT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NORTH BOUND |  |  | SOUTH BOUND |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S} \\ \text { TOTAL } \end{gathered}$ | EAST BOUND |  |  | WEST BOUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT |  | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT |  | NL | SL | EL | WL |
| 07:00-07:15 | 13 | 69 | 8 | 8 | 93 | 11 | 202 | 8 | 67 | 9 | 17 | 144 | 24 | 269 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| 07:15-07:30 | 23 | 104 | 16 | 32 | 77 | 24 | 276 | 19 | 68 | 6 | 17 | 155 | 32 | 297 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 5 |
| 07:30-07:45 | 21 | 107 | 22 | 31 | 131 | 33 | 345 | 23 | 105 | 17 | 36 | 190 | 34 | 405 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| 07:45-08:00 | 50 | 113 | 21 | 43 | 148 | 30 | 405 | 29 | 141 | 24 | 35 | 165 | 35 | 429 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 3 |
| 08:00-08:15 | 34 | 82 | 19 | 54 | 144 | 33 | 366 | 26 | 190 | 21 | 46 | 182 | 20 | 485 | 15 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| 08:15-08:30 | 23 | 113 | 40 | 56 | 153 | 19 | 404 | 14 | 186 | 21 | 21 | 143 | 29 | 414 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 4 |
| 08:30-08:45 | 17 | 67 | 21 | 43 | 145 | 20 | 313 | 21 | 165 | 16 | 28 | 184 | 32 | 446 | 1 | 9 |  | 4 |
| 08:45-09:00 | 15 | 88 | 21 | 58 | 166 | 31 | 379 | 24 | 146 | 17 | 35 | 175 | 22 | 419 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 7 |

3+ AXLE TRUCKS
SCHOOL AGE PED COUNT



PEAK-HOUR VOLUME ANALYSIS


## TURNING MOVEMENT COUNT

PROJECT NAME: CITY OF ROSEMEAD TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
PROJECT NO
DATE: $\qquad$
26-Sep-18
PASSENGER CARS

| TIME | N-S STREET: |  | WALNUT GROVE AVE |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-S } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ | E-W STREET: GARVEY AVE |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { E-W } \\ \text { TOTAL } \end{gathered}$ | ADULT PED COUNT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NORTH BOUND |  |  | SOUTH BOUND |  |  |  | EAST BOUND |  |  | WEST BOUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT |  | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT | LEFT | THRU | RIGHT |  | NL | SL | EL | WL |
| 16:00-16:15 | 16 | 150 | 23 | 50 | 110 | 19 | 368 | 24 | 155 | 21 | 31 | 159 | 48 | 438 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 1 |
| 16:15-16:30 | 14 | 144 | 33 | 39 | 112 | 25 | 367 | 27 | 196 | 24 | 22 | 159 | 34 | 462 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 8 |
| 16:30-16:45 | 17 | 146 | 26 | 43 | 119 | 19 | 370 | 32 | 190 | 19 | 38 | 149 | 48 | 476 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 |
| 16:45-17:00 | 23 | 156 | 37 | 39 | 103 | 20 | 378 | 28 | 184 | 20 | 23 | 159 | 51 | 465 | 2 |  | 1 |  |
| 17:00-17:15 | 26 | 164 | 25 | 38 | 109 | 20 | 382 | 35 | 166 | 22 | 28 | 192 | 59 | 502 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 7 |
| 17:15-17:30 | 25 | 189 | 35 | 28 | 117 | 33 | 427 | 31 | 206 | 18 | 33 | 211 | 44 | 543 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| 17:30-17:45 | 37 | 189 | 30 | 49 | 116 | 31 | 452 | 27 | 184 | 21 | 48 | 186 | 28 | 494 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| 17:45-18:00 | 34 | 185 | 38 | 38 | 119 | 13 | 427 | 42 | 186 | 16 | 27 | 176 | 44 | 491 | 9 | 4 | 7 | 5 |

3+ AXLE TRUCKS



## PEAK-HOUR VOLUME ANALYSIS



## APPENDIX D

## LEVEL OF SERVICE WORKSHEETS

## EXISTING

Vistro File: C:I....AMM.vistro

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | WB Thru | 0.591 | - | A |
| 2 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | SB Thru | 0.685 | - | B |
| 4 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Garvey Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | WB Right | 0.696 | - | B |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 1: I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ):

A
0.591

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | No |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 253 | 13 | 115 | 154 | 159 | 190 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 253 | 13 | 115 | 154 | 159 | 190 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 63 | 3 | 29 | 39 | 40 | 48 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 253 | 13 | 115 | 154 | 159 | 190 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permissive | Permissive | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - |  | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | 0.591 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C |  | A |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 2: Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ):
0.685

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astboun |  |  | estbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | H |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03

## Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Split | Split | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.685 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 4: Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at Garvey Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
0.696

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | estbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $716$ |  |  | $715$ |  |  | 11F |  |  | 11F |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 102 | 347 | 138 | 246 | 426 | 196 | 113 | 818 | 69 | 102 | 808 | 134 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 102 | 347 | 138 | 246 | 426 | 196 | 113 | 818 | 69 | 102 | 808 | 134 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 26 | 87 | 35 | 62 | 107 | 49 | 28 | 205 | 17 | 26 | 202 | 34 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 102 | 347 | 138 | 246 | 426 | 196 | 113 | 818 | 69 | 102 | 808 | 134 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03

## Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - |  |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.06 | 0.26 | 0.26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.696 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | WB Right | 0.583 | - | A |
| 2 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | SB Right | 0.726 | - | C |
| 4 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Garvey Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | WB Right | 0.765 | - | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 6.00-03
Scenario 1: 1 Existing PM Peak Hour

## Intersection Level Of Service Repor

Intersection 1: I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type:
Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):

A
0.583

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | No |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |

Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 252 | 17 | 47 | 221 | 202 | 130 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 252 | 17 | 47 | 221 | 202 | 130 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 63 | 4 | 12 | 55 | 51 | 33 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 252 | 17 | 47 | 221 | 202 | 130 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permissive | Permissive | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | - | - | - | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS |  | A | 0.583 |  |  |
| Intersection V/C |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 2: Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
-
0.726

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | Vestbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | $715$ |  |  | $\dagger \Gamma$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03

## Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Split | Split | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.726 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 4: Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at Garvey Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
c
0.765

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhboun |  |  | astbound |  |  | estbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | $111$ |  |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | 11F |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 117 | 513 | 165 | 159 | 505 | 107 | 141 | 910 | 102 | 146 | 920 | 197 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 117 | 513 | 165 | 159 | 505 | 107 | 141 | 910 | 102 | 146 | 920 | 197 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 29 | 128 | 41 | 40 | 126 | 27 | 35 | 228 | 26 | 37 | 230 | 49 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 117 | 513 | 165 | 159 | 505 | 107 | 141 | 910 | 102 | 146 | 920 | 197 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03

## Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.08 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.765 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## EXISTING PLUS PROJECT

Vistro File: C:I...IAME.vistro

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | WB Right | 0.601 | - | B |
| 2 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | SB Right | 0.694 | - | B |
| 3 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Project Dwy (EW) | Two-way stop | HCM 6th <br> Edition | EB Left | 0.151 | 33.5 | D |
| 4 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Garvey Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | WB Thru | 0.724 | - | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 6.00-03
Scenario 2: 2 Existing Plus Projct AM Peak Hour

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 1: l-10 EB Ramps (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type:
Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):

B
0.601

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | No |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |

Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 253 | 13 | 115 | 154 | 159 | 190 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 258 | 13 | 115 | 158 | 162 | 195 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 65 | 3 | 29 | 40 | 41 | 49 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 258 | 13 | 115 | 158 | 162 | 195 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

## Version 6.00-03

Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permissive | Permissive | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | - | - | - | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.20 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | 0.20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.601 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 2: Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ):

B 0.694

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | Vestbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | $715$ |  |  | $\dagger \Gamma$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Split | Split | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.694 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 2: 2 Existing Plus Projct AM Peak Hour

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

 Intersection 3: Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at Project Dwy (EW)Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM 6th Edition
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
33.5

Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
0.151

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 594 | 868 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 50 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 22 | 48 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 50 | 594 | 868 | 25 | 22 | 48 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 13 | 149 | 217 | 6 | 6 | 12 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 50 | 594 | 868 | 25 | 22 | 48 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03 Scenario 2: 2 Existing Plus Projct AM Peak Hour

Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  | No |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  |  | No |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 10.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.47 | 15.18 |
| Movement LOS | B | A | A | A | D | C |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/n] | 5.30 | 2.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 22.56 | 22.56 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 0.78 |  | 0.00 |  | 20.93 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | C |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 1.23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 4: Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at Garvey Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
c
0.724

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhboun |  |  | astbound |  |  | estbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | $111$ |  |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | 11F |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 102 | 347 | 138 | 246 | 426 | 196 | 113 | 818 | 69 | 102 | 808 | 134 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 16 | 0 | 17 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 102 | 363 | 138 | 263 | 441 | 212 | 130 | 818 | 69 | 102 | 808 | 151 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 26 | 91 | 35 | 66 | 110 | 53 | 33 | 205 | 17 | 26 | 202 | 38 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 102 | 363 | 138 | 263 | 441 | 212 | 130 | 818 | 69 | 102808 | 808 | 151 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03 Scenario 2: 2 Existing Plus Projct AM Peak Hour

Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - |  |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.07 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.06 | 0.27 | 0.27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.724 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Vistro File: C:I...IPME.vistro
Scenario 2 Existing Plus Project PM Peak Hour
Report File: C:I...IPMEP.pdf
Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | WB Thru | 0.588 | - | A |
| 2 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | SB Thru | 0.731 | - | C |
| 3 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Project Dwy (EW) | Two-way stop | HCM 6th <br> Edition | EB Left | 0.081 | 29.1 | D |
| 4 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Garvey Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | WB Right | 0.777 | - | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 1: l-10 EB Ramps (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c): 0.588

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | No |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 252 | 17 | 47 | 221 | 202 | 130 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 255 | 17 | 47 | 222 | 204 | 134 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 64 | 4 | 12 | 56 | 51 | 34 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 255 | 17 | 47 | 222 | 204 | 134 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] | 100 |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permissive | Permissive | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - |  | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.588 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

## Intersection 2: Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):

C
0.731

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astboun |  |  | estbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | H |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Split | Split | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.731 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 2: 2 Existing Plus Project PM Peak Hour

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

 Intersection 3: Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at Project Dwy (EW)| Control Type: | Two-way stop | Delay (sec /veh): | 29.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Method: | HCM 6th Edition | Level Of Service: | $D$ |
| Analysis Period: | 15 minutes | Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.081 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 851 | 771 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 22 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 13 | 20 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 22 | 851 | 771 | 11 | 13 | 20 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 6 | 213 | 193 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 22 | 851 | 771 | 11 | 13 | 20 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03 Scenario 2: 2 Existing Plus Project PM Peak Hour

Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  | No |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  |  | No |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 9.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 29.09 | 12.52 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | D | B |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.38 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/n] | 2.04 | 1.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.55 | 9.55 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 0.24 |  | 0.00 |  | 19.05 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | C |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 4: Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at Garvey Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
c
0.777

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhboun |  |  | astbound |  |  | estbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | $111$ |  |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | 11F |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.08 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.777 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

OPENING YEAR (2022) WITHOUT PROJECT

Vistro File: C:I....AME.vistro

## Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | WB Thru | 0.620 | - | B |
| 2 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | SB Thru | 0.706 | - | C |
| 4 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Garvey Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | WB Thru | 0.713 | - | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 6.00-03
Scenario 3: 3 Opening Year (2022) Without Project AM Peak Hour
Intersection Level Of Service Report
Intersection 1: I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type:
Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
-
0.620

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | No |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |

Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 253 | 13 | 115 | 154 | 159 | 190 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 18 | 7 | 10 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 258 | 13 | 135 | 164 | 172 | 194 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 65 | 3 | 34 | 41 | 43 | 49 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 258 | 13 | 135 | 164 | 172 | 194 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 3: 3 Opening Year (2022) Without Project AM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permissive | Permissive | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - |  | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS |  | B |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C |  | 0.620 |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 2: Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):

C
0.706

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | Vestbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | $715$ |  |  | $\dagger \Gamma$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 3: 3 Opening Year (2022) Without Project AM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Split | Split | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.706 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
c
0.713

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhboun |  |  | astbound |  |  | estbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | $111$ |  |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | 11F |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 102 | 347 | 138 | 246 | 426 | 196 | 113 | 818 | 69 | 102 | 808 | 134 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 110 | 357 | 141 | 251 | 437 | 209 | 115 | 852 | 77 | 104 | 839 | 137 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 28 | 89 | 35 | 63 | 109 | 52 | 29 | 213 | 19 | 26 | 210 | 34 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 110 | 357 | 141 | 251 | 437 | 209 | 115 | 852 | 77 | 104 | 839 | 137 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 3: 3 Opening Year (2022) Without Project AM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.06 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.06 | 0.27 | 0.27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.713 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | WB Thru | 0.623 | - | B |
| 2 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | SB Thru | 0.755 | - | C |
| 4 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Garvey Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | WB Thru | 0.787 | - | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 6.00-03
Scenario 3: 3 Opening Year (2022) Without Project PM Peak Hour
Intersection Level Of Service Report
Intersection 1: I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type:
Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
-
0.623

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | No |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 252 | 17 | 47 | 221 | 202 | 130 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 26 | 9 | 20 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 257 | 17 | 74 | 234 | 226 | 133 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 64 | 4 | 19 | 59 | 57 | 33 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 257 | 17 | 74 | 234 | 226 | 133 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 3: 3 Opening Year (2022) Without Project PM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permissive | Permissive | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - |  | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.623 |  |  |  |  |

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ):
-
0.755

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | Vestbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | $715$ |  |  | $\dagger \Gamma$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 3: 3 Opening Year (2022) Without Project PM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Split | Split | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.755 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):

C
0.787

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | estbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $716$ |  |  | $715$ |  |  | 11F |  |  | 11F |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 117 | 513 | 165 | 159 | 505 | 107 | 141 | 910 | 102 | 146 | 920 | 197 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 12 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 28 | 10 | 0 | 29 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 131 | 526 | 168 | 162 | 518 | 127 | 144 | 956 | 114 | 149 | 967 | 201 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 33 | 132 | 42 | 41 | 130 | 32 | 36 | 239 | 29 | 37 | 242 | 50 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 131 | 526 | 168 | 162 | 518 | 127 | 144 | 956 | 114 | 149 | 967 | 201 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 3: 3 Opening Year (2022) Without Project PM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.787 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

OPENING YEAR (2022) WITH PROJECT

Vistro File: C:I...IAME.vistro
Scenario 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project AM Peak Hour
Report File: C:\...\AMOYW.pdf
Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | WB Thru | 0.629 | - | B |
| 2 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | SB Thru | 0.715 | - | C |
| 3 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Project Dwy (EW) | Two-way stop | HCM 6th <br> Edition | EB Left | 0.160 | 35.3 | E |
| 4 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Garvey Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | WB Right | 0.741 | - | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project AM Peak Hour
Intersection Level Of Service Report
Intersection 1: l-10 EB Ramps (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type:
Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
CU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
-
0.629

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | No |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 253 | 13 | 115 | 154 | 159 | 190 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 5 | 0 | 18 | 11 | 13 | 5 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 263 | 13 | 135 | 168 | 175 | 199 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 66 | 3 | 34 | 42 | 44 | 50 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 263 | 13 | 135 | 168 | 175 | 199 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project AM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permissive | Permissive | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | - | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.629 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 2: Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ):

C
0.715

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | Vestbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | $715$ |  |  | $\dagger \Gamma$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project AM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Split | Split | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.715 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project AM Peak Hour
Intersection Level Of Service Report
Intersection 3: WaInut Grove Ave (NS) at Project Dwy (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM 6th Edition
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
35.3

E
0.160

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 594 | 868 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 50 | 3 | 11 | 25 | 22 | 48 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 50 | 609 | 896 | 25 | 22 | 48 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 13 | 152 | 224 | 6 | 6 | 12 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 50 | 609 | 896 | 25 | 22 | 48 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project AM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  | No |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | No |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 10.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 35.29 | 15.71 |
| Movement LOS | B | A | A | A | E | C |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 0.22 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 5.44 | 2.72 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 23.81 | 23.81 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 0.78 |  | 0.00 |  | 21.86 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | C |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 1.24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | E |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 4: Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at Garvey Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):

C
0.741

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhboun |  |  | astbound |  |  | estbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | $111$ |  |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | 11F |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 102 | 347 | 138 | 246 | 426 | 196 | 113 | 818 | 69 | 102 | 808 | 134 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 6 | 19 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 25 | 17 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 17 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 110 | 373 | 141 | 268 | 452 | 225 | 132 | 852 | 77 | 104 | 839 | 154 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 28 | 93 | 35 | 67 | 113 | 56 | 33 | 213 | 19 | 26 | 210 | 39 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 110 | 373 | 141 | 268 | 452 | 225 | 132 | 852 | 77 | 104 | 839 | 154 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project AM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.06 | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.741 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Vistro File: C:I...IPME.vistro
Scenario 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project PM Peak Hour
Report File: C:\...IPMOYW.pdf
Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | WB Thru | 0.628 | - | B |
| 2 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | SB Right | 0.760 | - | C |
| 3 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Project Dwy (EW) | Two-way stop | HCM 6th <br> Edition | EB Left | 0.087 | 30.9 | D |
| 4 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Garvey Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | WB Right | 0.799 | - | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project PM Peak Hour

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 1: I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type:
Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
-
0.628

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | No |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |

Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 252 | 17 | 47 | 221 | 202 | 130 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 3 | 0 | 26 | 10 | 22 | 4 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 260 | 17 | 74 | 235 | 228 | 137 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 65 | 4 | 19 | 59 | 57 | 34 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 260 | 17 | 74 | 235 | 228 | 137 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project PM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permissive | Permissive | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - |  | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.628 |  |  |  |  |

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 6.00-03

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 2: Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):

C
0.760

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astboun |  |  | estbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | H |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 125 | 646 | 19 | 166 | 699 | 151 | 285 | 102 | 86 | 11 | 58 | 189 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 6 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 20 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 134 | 669 | 19 | 169 | 741 | 174 | 300 | 104 | 92 | 11 | 59 | 193 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 34 | 167 | 5 | 42 | 185 | 44 | 75 | 26 | 23 | 3 | 15 | 48 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 134 | 669 | 19 | 169 | 741 | 174 | 300 | 104 | 92 | 11 | 59 | 193 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project PM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Split | Split | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.760 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project PM Peak Hour
Intersection Level Of Service Report
Intersection 3: WaInut Grove Ave (NS) at Project Dwy (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM 6th Edition
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
30.9

Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):

D
0.087

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 851 | 771 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 22 | 3 | 21 | 11 | 13 | 20 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 22 | 871 | 807 | 11 | 13 | 20 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 6 | 218 | 202 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 22 | 871 | 807 | 11 | 13 | 20 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project PM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  | No |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  |  | No |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 9.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 30.95 | 12.89 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | D | B |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.41 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 2.10 | 1.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.18 | 10.18 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 0.24 |  | 0.00 |  | 20.01 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | C |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 4: Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at Garvey Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:
Intersection Level Of Service Report
Intersection 4: Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at Garvey Ave (EW)

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ):

C
0.799

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | estbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $71 F$ |  |  | $715$ |  |  | $71 \%$ |  |  | 11F |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project PM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.07 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.799 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CALTRANS

Vistro File: C:I....AME.vistro

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | HCM 6th <br> Edition | SB Left | 0.545 | 34.3 | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

## Intersection 1: I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 6th Edition 15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 34.3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | C |
| Volume to Capacity (v/c): | 0.545 |

Delay (sec / veh):
34.3
0.545

Intersection Setup


## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 253 | 13 | 115 | 154 | 159 | 190 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 253 | 13 | 115 | 154 | 159 | 190 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 63 | 3 | 29 | 39 | 40 | 48 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 253 | 13 | 115 | 154 | 159 | 190 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing p | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossin $\%$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing mi | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type | - |
| Actuation Type | No |
| Offset [s] | Time of Day Pattern Isolated |
| Offset Reference | Fully actuated |
| Permissive Mode | 0.0 |
| Lost time [s] | LeadGreen |
|  | SingleBand |
|  | 8.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permissive | Permissive | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - |  |  | - |  |
| Minimum Green [s] | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 47 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 30 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Rest In Walk | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

## Version 6.00-03

Scenario 1: 1 Existing AM Peak Hour

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | C | C | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, Cycle Length [s] | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 22, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 17 | 35 | 35 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.17 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.20 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1771 | 1831 | 1706 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 308 | 647 | 602 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 40.19 | 24.54 | 26.32 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 7.21 | 1.97 | 4.03 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.86 | 0.42 | 0.58 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 47.41 | 26.51 | 30.34 |
| Lane Group LOS | D | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 6.91 | 5.11 | 7.29 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 172.67 | 127.71 | 182.25 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 11.22 | 8.82 | 11.72 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/n] | 280.43 | 220.38 | 292.95 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 47.41 | 47.41 | 26.51 | 26.51 | 30.34 | 30.34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | D | D | C | C | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 47.41 |  | 26.51 |  | 30.34 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  | C |  | C |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 34.31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.545 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Modes

| g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s] | 0.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft²/ped] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s] | 0.00 | 41.41 | 41.41 |
| I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection | 0.000 | 1.936 | 2.193 |
| Crosswalk LOS | F | A | B |
| s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lan | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| d_b, Bicycle Delay [s] | 50.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 |
| I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection | 4.571 | 4.576 | 4.708 |
| Bicycle LOS | E | E | E |

## Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 4 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| SG: 1 47 s | SG: 430 s | SG: 823 s |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SG: 101 ll s |  |  |

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | HCM 6th <br> Edition | SB Left | 0.529 | 34.0 | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

## Intersection 1: l-10 EB Ramps (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 6th Edition 15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 34.0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | C |
| Volume to Capacity (v/c): | 0.529 |

0.529

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Curb Present | No |  | No |  | No |  |
| Crosswalk | No |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  | 202 | 130 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 252 | 17 | 47 | 221 |  |  |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 252 | 17 | 47 | 221 | 202 | 130 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 63 | 4 | 12 | 55 | 51 | 33 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 252 | 17 | 47 | 221 | 202 | 130 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing m | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossin\$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing mi | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 6.00-03
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type | - |
| Actuation Type | No |
| Offset [s] | Time of Day Pattern Isolated |
| Offset Reference | Fully actuated |
| Permissive Mode | 0.0 |
| Lost time [s] | LeadGreen |
|  | SingleBand |
| 8.00 |  |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permissive | Permissive | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - |  |  | - |  |
| Minimum Green [s] | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 48 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 29 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Rest In Walk | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

## Version 6.00-03

Scenario 1: 1 Existing PM Peak Hour

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | C | C | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, Cycle Length [s] | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 22, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 18 | 35 | 35 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.17 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.19 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1767 | 1854 | 1749 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 311 | 653 | 616 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 40.10 | 24.55 | 25.92 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 7.23 | 1.91 | 3.36 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.87 | 0.41 | 0.54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 47.33 | 26.45 | 29.28 |
| Lane Group Los | D | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 6.98 | 5.08 | 6.77 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [ff/ln] | 174.57 | 126.96 | 169.14 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/n] | 11.32 | 8.77 | 11.03 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 282.91 | 219.36 | 275.78 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 47.33 | 47.33 | 26.45 | 26.45 | 29.28 | 29.28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | D | D | C | C | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 47.33 |  | 26.45 |  | 29.28 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  | C |  | C |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 33.99 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.529 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Modes

| g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s] | 0.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft²/ped] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s] | 0.00 | 41.41 | 41.41 |
| I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection | 0.000 | 1.959 | 2.208 |
| Crosswalk LOS | F | A | B |
| s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lan | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| d_b, Bicycle Delay [s] | 50.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 |
| I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection | 4.576 | 4.575 | 4.680 |
| Bicycle LOS | E | E | E |

## Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 4 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| SG: 148 s | SG: 429 s | SG: 823 s |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SG: 101 l 15 s |  |  |

Vistro File: C:I....AME.vistro
Report File: C:I...\AMEP.pdf

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | HCM 6th <br> Edition | SB Left | 0.556 | 34.6 | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 6.00-03 Scenario 2: 2 Existing Plus Projct AM Peak Hour

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 1: l-10 EB Ramps (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized HCM 6th Edition 15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 34.6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | C |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.556 |

Volume to Capacity (v/c): 0.556

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Curb Present | No |  | No |  | No |  |
| Crosswalk | No |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |

## Volumes



## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 6.00-03
Scenario 2: 2 Existing Plus Projct AM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type | - |
| Actuation Type | No |
| Offset [s] | Time of Day Pattern Isolated |
| Offset Reference | Fully actuated |
| Permissive Mode | 0.0 |
| Lost time [s] | LeadGreen |
| SingleBand |  |
| 8.00 |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permissive | Permissive | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 48 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 30 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Rest In Walk | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| 11, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] |  |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] |  |

PTV VISTRO

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | C | C | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, Cycle Length [s] | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 18 | 35 | 35 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.21 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1771 | 1831 | 1706 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 313 | 644 | 600 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 40.05 | 24.71 | 26.59 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 7.23 | 2.04 | 4.31 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.87 | 0.42 | 0.60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 47.28 | 26.75 | 30.90 |
| Lane Group LOS | D | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/n] | 7.03 | 5.22 | 7.54 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{ff} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 175.80 | 130.38 | 188.60 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 11.38 | 8.96 | 12.05 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 284.53 | 224.01 | 301.21 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 47.28 | 47.28 | 26.75 | 26.75 | 30.90 | 30.90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | D | D | C | C | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 47.28 |  | 26.75 |  | 30.90 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  | C |  | C |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 34.57 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.556 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Modes

| g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s] | 0.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ $\left.\mathrm{ft}^{2} / \mathrm{ped}\right]$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s] | 0.00 | 41.41 | 41.41 |
| I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection | 0.000 | 1.940 | 2.198 |
| Crosswalk LOS | F | A | B |
| s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lane | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| d_b, Bicycle Delay [s] | 50.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 |
| I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection | 4.580 | 4.583 | 4.721 |
| Bicycle LOS | E | E | E |

## Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 4 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| SG: 1 48s | SG: 4 30s | SG: 8 22s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SG: 101 15s |  |  |

Vistro File: C:I...IPME.vistro
Scenario 2 Existing Plus Project PM Peak Hour
Report File: C:I...IPMEP.pdf
Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | HCM 6th <br> Edition | SB Left | 0.535 | 34.1 | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

## Intersection 1: I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 6th Edition 15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ):
34.1

C
0.535

Intersection Setup


## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 252 | 17 | 47 | 221 | 202 | 130 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 255 | 17 | 47 | 222 | 204 | 134 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 64 | 4 | 12 | 56 | 51 | 34 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 255 | 17 | 47 | 222 | 204 | 134 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossin $\$$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing in | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossin $\$$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing mi | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 6.00-03
Scenario 2: 2 Existing Plus Project PM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type | 100 |
| Actuation Type | Time of Day Pattern Isolated |
| Offset [s] | Fully actuated |
| Offset Reference | 0.0 |
| Permissive Mode | LeadGreen |
| Lost time [s] | SingleBand |
|  | 8.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permissive | Permissive | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 48 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 29 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Rest In Walk | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| 11, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | C | C | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, Cycle Length [s] | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 22, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 18 | 35 | 35 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.19 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1768 | 1854 | 1748 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 314 | 651 | 614 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 40.01 | 24.62 | 26.10 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 7.24 | 1.93 | 3.53 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.87 | 0.41 | 0.55 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 47.25 | 26.55 | 29.63 |
| Lane Group LOS | D | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/n] | 7.06 | 5.11 | 6.94 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{ff} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 176.44 | 127.75 | 173.55 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 11.41 | 8.82 | 11.26 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 285.37 | 220.44 | 281.57 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 47.25 | 47.25 | 26.55 | 26.55 | 29.63 | 29.63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | D | D | C | C | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 47.25 |  | 26.55 |  | 29.63 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  | C |  | C |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 34.14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.535 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Modes

| g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s] | 0.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft²/ped] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s] | 0.00 | 41.41 | 41.41 |
| I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection | 0.000 | 1.960 | 2.212 |
| Crosswalk LOS | F | A | B |
| s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lan | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| d_b, Bicycle Delay [s] | 50.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 |
| I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection | 4.581 | 4.576 | 4.690 |
| Bicycle LOS | E | E | E |

## Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 4 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| SG: 148 s | SG: 429 s | 23 s |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SG: 101 l 15 s |  |  |

Vistro File: C:I....AME.vistro

## Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | HCM 6th <br> Edition | SB Left | 0.577 | 34.7 | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

Intersection Level Of Service Report
Intersection 1: I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 6th Edition 15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
34.7

Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
0.577

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Curb Present | No |  | No |  | No |  |
| Crosswalk | No |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 253 | 13 | 115 | 154 | 159 | 190 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 18 | 7 | 10 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 258 | 13 | 135 | 164 | 172 | 194 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 65 | 3 | 34 | 41 | 43 | 49 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 258 | 13 | 135 | 164 | 172 | 194 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossin ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing m | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossin $\varnothing$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing mi | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 6.00-03
Scenario 3: 3 Opening Year (2022) Without Project AM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type | - |
| Actuation Type | No |
| Offset [s] | Time of Day Pattern Isolated |
| Offset Reference | Fully actuated |
| Permissive Mode | 0.0 |
| Lost time [s] | LeadGreen |
|  | SingleBand |
|  | 8.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permissive | Permissive | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - |  |  | - |  |
| Minimum Green [s] | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 48 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 29 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Rest In Walk | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

PTV VISTRO

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | C | C | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, Cycle Length [s] | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 18 | 35 | 35 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.21 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1771 | 1829 | 1710 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 313 | 643 | 602 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 40.05 | 25.13 | 26.75 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 7.23 | 2.41 | 4.53 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |

## Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.87 | 0.46 | 0.61 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 47.28 | 27.54 | 31.28 |
| Lane Group LOS | D | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/n] | 7.03 | 5.83 | 7.80 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{ff} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 175.80 | 145.85 | 194.94 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 11.38 | 9.80 | 12.38 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 284.53 | 244.88 | 309.43 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 47.28 | 47.28 | 27.54 | 27.54 | 31.28 | 31.28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | D | D | C | C | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 47.28 |  | 27.54 |  | 31.28 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  | C |  | C |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 34.72 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.577 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Modes

| g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s] | 0.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft²/ped] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s] | 0.00 | 41.41 | 41.41 |
| I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection | 0.000 | 1.957 | 2.203 |
| Crosswalk LOS | F | A | B |
| s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lan | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| d_b, Bicycle Delay [s] | 50.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 |
| I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection | 4.580 | 4.626 | 4.736 |
| Bicycle LOS | E | E | E |

## Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 4 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| SG: 148 s | SG: 429 s | SG: 823 s |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SG: 101 l 15 s |  |  |

Vistro File: C:I...IPME.vistro

## Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | HCM 6th <br> Edition | SB Left | 0.572 | 34.5 | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

Intersection Level Of Service Report
Intersection 1: I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 6th Edition 15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
34.5

Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
0.572

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Curb Present | No |  | No |  | No |  |
| Crosswalk | No |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |

## Volumes



Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type | - |
| Actuation Type | No |
| Offset [s] | Time of Day Pattern Isolated |
| Offset Reference | Fully actuated |
| Permissive Mode | 0.0 |
| Lost time [s] | LeadGreen |
|  | SingleBand |
|  | 8.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permissive | Permissive | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - |  |  | - |  |
| Minimum Green [s] | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 48 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 28 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Rest In Walk | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

PTV VISTRO

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | C | C | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, Cycle Length [s] | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 18 | 35 | 35 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.20 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1768 | 1848 | 1755 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 316 | 648 | 616 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 39.96 | 25.31 | 26.51 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 7.24 | 2.49 | 4.00 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.87 | 0.48 | 0.58 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 47.20 | 27.79 | 30.51 |
| Lane Group Los | D | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 7.11 | 6.05 | 7.52 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{ff} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 177.70 | 151.15 | 188.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{nn}]$ | 11.48 | 10.08 | 12.02 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 287.00 | 251.96 | 300.43 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 47.20 | 47.20 | 27.79 | 27.79 | 30.51 | 30.51 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | D | D | C | C | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 47.20 |  | 27.79 |  | 30.51 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  | C |  | C |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 34.48 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.572 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Modes

| g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s] | 0.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft²/ped] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s] | 0.00 | 41.41 | 41.41 |
| I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection | 0.000 | 1.990 | 2.223 |
| Crosswalk LOS | F | A | B |
| s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lan | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| d_b, Bicycle Delay [s] | 50.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 |
| I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection | 4.585 | 4.641 | 4.725 |
| Bicycle LOS | E | E | E |

## Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 4 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| SG: 1 48s | SG: 4 28s | SG: $8 \quad 24 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SG: 101 15s |  |  |

Vistro File: C:I...IAME.vistro
Scenario 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project AM Peak Hour
Report File: C:I...\AMOYW.pdf
Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | HCM 6th <br> Edition | SB Left | 0.587 | 35.0 | D |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

## Intersection 1: I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 6th Edition 15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 35.0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | D |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.587 |

0.587

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Curb Present | No |  | No |  | No |  |
| Crosswalk | No |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 253 | 13 | 115 | 154 | 159 | 190 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 5 | 0 | 18 | 11 | 13 | 5 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 263 | 13 | 135 | 168 | 175 | 199 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 66 | 3 | 34 | 42 | 44 | 50 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 263 | 13 | 135 | 168 | 175 | 199 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing p | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossin $\%$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing mi | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project AM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type | - |
| Actuation Type | No |
| Offset [s] | Time of Day Pattern Isolated |
| Offset Reference | Fully actuated |
| Permissive Mode | 0.0 |
| Lost time [s] | LeadGreen |
|  | SingleBand |
|  | 8.00 |

## Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permissive | Permissive | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - |  |  | - |  |
| Minimum Green [s] | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 48 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 29 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Rest In Walk | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group | 0 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 0 |

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | C | C | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, Cycle Length [s] | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 22, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 18 | 35 | 35 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.22 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1771 | 1829 | 1709 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 318 | 641 | 599 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 39.92 | 25.31 | 27.03 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 7.24 | 2.49 | 4.86 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.87 | 0.47 | 0.62 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 47.15 | 27.80 | 31.88 |
| Lane Group LOS | D | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/n] | 7.16 | 5.95 | 8.06 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{ff} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 178.93 | 148.71 | 201.62 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 11.54 | 9.95 | 12.72 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 288.62 | 248.70 | 318.05 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 47.15 | 47.15 | 27.80 | 27.80 | 31.88 | 31.88 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | D | D | C | C | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 47.15 |  | 27.80 |  | 31.88 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  | C |  | C |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 35.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.587 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Modes

| g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s] | 0.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft²/ped] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s] | 0.00 | 41.41 | 41.41 |
| I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection | 0.000 | 1.961 | 2.208 |
| Crosswalk LOS | F | A | B |
| s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lan | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| d_b, Bicycle Delay [s] | 50.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 |
| I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection | 4.588 | 4.632 | 4.750 |
| Bicycle LOS | E | E | E |

## Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 4 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| SG: 148 s | SG: 429 s | SG: 823 s |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SG: 101 l 15 s |  |  |

Vistro File: C:I...IPME.vistro
Scenario 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project PM Peak Hour
Report File: C:I....IPMOYW.pdf
Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | HCM 6th <br> Edition | SB Left | 0.578 | 34.7 | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

## Intersection 1: l-10 EB Ramps (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
HCM 6th Edition 15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 34.7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | C |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.578 | C

0.578

Intersection Setup


## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 252 | 17 | 47 | 221 | 202 | 130 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 3 | 0 | 26 | 10 | 22 | 4 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right-Turn on Red Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 260 | 17 | 74 | 235 | 228 | 137 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 65 | 4 | 19 | 59 | 57 | 34 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 260 | 17 | 74 | 235 | 228 | 137 |
| Presence of On-Street Parking | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossin $\$$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing in | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossin $\$$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing mi | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project PM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Located in CBD |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Coordination Group |  |
| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| Coordination Type | - |
| Actuation Type | No |
| Offset [s] | Time of Day Pattern Isolated |
| Offset Reference | Fully actuated |
| Permissive Mode | 0.0 |
| Lost time [s] | LeadGreen |
| SingleBand |  |
|  | 8.00 |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permissive | Permissive | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Green [s] | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| Maximum Green [s] | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 |
| Amber [s] | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| All red [s] | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Split [s] | 48 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 28 | 0 |
| Vehicle Extension [s] | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Walk [s] | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Rest In Walk | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| 11, Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| I2, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Minimum Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Maximum Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Pedestrian Recall | No |  |  | No | No |  |
| Detector Location [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector Length [ft] | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Exclusive Pedestrian Phase

| Pedestrian Signal Group |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pedestrian Walk [s] |  |
| Pedestrian Clearance [s] |  |

## Lane Group Calculations

| Lane Group | C | C | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C, Cycle Length [s] | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s] | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 12, Clearance Lost Time [s] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| g_i, Effective Green Time [s] | 18 | 35 | 35 |
| g / C, Green / Cycle | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| (v/s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.21 |
| s, saturation flow rate [veh/h] | 1768 | 1848 | 1754 |
| c, Capacity [veh/h] | 319 | 647 | 614 |
| d1, Uniform Delay [s] | 39.87 | 25.39 | 26.70 |
| k, delay calibration | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| I, Upstream Filtering Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| d2, Incremental Delay [s] | 7.25 | 2.52 | 4.21 |
| d3, Initial Queue Delay [s] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rp, platoon ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PF, progression factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Lane Group Results

| X, volume / capacity | 0.87 | 0.48 | 0.59 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh] | 47.12 | 27.91 | 30.90 |
| Lane Group Los | D | C | C |
| Critical Lane Group | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 7.18 | 6.08 | 7.71 |
| 50th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{ff} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 179.57 | 152.03 | 192.73 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{nn}]$ | 11.58 | 10.13 | 12.26 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length $[\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ln}]$ | 289.45 | 253.14 | 306.56 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 47.12 | 47.12 | 27.91 | 27.91 | 30.90 | 30.90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement LOS | D | D | C | C | C | C |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 47.12 |  | 27.91 |  | 30.90 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  | C |  | C |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 34.65 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.578 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Modes

| g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s] | 0.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft²/ped] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s] | 0.00 | 41.41 | 41.41 |
| I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection | 0.000 | 1.992 | 2.226 |
| Crosswalk LOS | F | A | B |
| s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lan | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |
| c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| d_b, Bicycle Delay [s] | 50.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 |
| I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection | 4.589 | 4.642 | 4.735 |
| Bicycle LOS | E | E | E |

## Sequence

| Ring 1 | 1 | 4 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ring 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ring 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| SG: 148 s | SG: 428 s | SG:8 24 s |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SG: 101 l 15 s |  |  |

## DRIVEWAY RESTRICTIONS

Vistro File: C:I...IAME.vistro
Scenario 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project AM Peak Hour
Report File: C:\...\AMOYW.pdf
Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | WB Thru | 0.641 | - | B |
| 2 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | SB Thru | 0.718 | - | C |
| 3 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Project Dwy (EW) | Two-way stop | HCM 6th <br> Edition | EB Right | 0.133 | 12.9 | B |
| 4 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Garvey Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | WB Thru | 0.726 | - | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project AM Peak Hour
Intersection Level Of Service Report
Intersection 1: l-10 EB Ramps (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type:
Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
0.641

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | No |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 253 | 13 | 115 | 154 | 159 | 190 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 5 | 0 | 23 | 34 | 10 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 263 | 13 | 140 | 191 | 172 | 194 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 66 | 3 | 35 | 48 | 43 | 49 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 263 | 13 | 140 | 191 | 172 | 194 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project AM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permissive | Permissive | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - |  | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.641 |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 2: Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):

C
0.718

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | Vestbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | $715$ |  |  | $\dagger \Gamma$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 146 | 470 | 13 | 127 | 578 | 115 | 232 | 59 | 115 | 0 | 86 | 269 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 10 | 21 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 149 | 482 | 13 | 130 | 617 | 127 | 258 | 60 | 135 | 0 | 88 | 274 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 37 | 121 | 3 | 33 | 154 | 32 | 65 | 15 | 34 | 0 | 22 | 69 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 149 | 482 | 13 | 130 | 617 | 127 | 258 | 60 | 135 | 0 | 88 | 274 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project AM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Split | Split | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.718 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project AM Peak Hour
Intersection Level Of Service Report
Intersection 3: WaInut Grove Ave (NS) at Project Dwy (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM 6th Edition
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
12.9

B
0.133

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 594 | 868 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 3 | 11 | 75 | 0 | 70 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 609 | 896 | 75 | 0 | 70 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 152 | 224 | 19 | 0 | 18 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 609 | 896 | 75 | 0 | 70 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project AM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | No |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.86 |
| Movement LOS |  | A | A | A |  | B |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.38 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 12.86 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | B |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 0.55 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 4: Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at Garvey Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):

C
0.726

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | estbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $716$ |  |  | $715$ |  |  | 11F |  |  | 11F |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 102 | 347 | 138 | 246 | 426 | 196 | 113 | 818 | 69 | 102 | 808 | 134 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 22 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 49 | 0 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 32 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 126 | 357 | 141 | 267 | 451 | 249 | 115 | 852 | 77 | 104 | 856 | 137 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 32 | 89 | 35 | 67 | 113 | 62 | 29 | 213 | 19 | 26 | 214 | 34 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 126 | 357 | 141 | 267 | 451 | 249 | 115 | 852 | 77 | 104 | 856 | 137 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project AM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.06 | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.726 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Vistro File: C:I...IPME.vistro
Scenario 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project PM Peak Hour
Report File: C:\...IPMOYW.pdf
Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | WB Thru | 0.633 | - | B |
| 2 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Hellman Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | SB Right | 0.761 | - | C |
| 3 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Project Dwy (EW) | Two-way stop | HCM 6th <br> Edition | EB Right | 0.057 | 11.6 | B |
| 4 | Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at <br> Garvey Ave (EW) | Signalized | ICU 1 | WB Right | 0.793 | - | C |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

## Generated with PTV VISTRO

Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project PM Peak Hour

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 1: I-10 EB Ramps (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type:
Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c)

B
0.633

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | No |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |

Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 252 | 17 | 47 | 221 | 202 | 130 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 3 | 0 | 30 | 20 | 20 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 260 | 17 | 78 | 245 | 226 | 133 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 65 | 4 | 20 | 61 | 57 | 33 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 260 | 17 | 78 | 245 | 226 | 133 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project PM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Permissive | Permissive | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - |  | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.633 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 2: Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at Hellman Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ):

C
0.761

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |  | astbound |  |  | Vestbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | $715$ |  |  | $\dagger \Gamma$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project PM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Split | Split | Split | Split | Split | Split |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.761 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project PM Peak Hour
Intersection Level Of Service Report
Intersection 3: WaInut Grove Ave (NS) at Project Dwy (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM 6th Edition
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
11.6

B
0.057

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 851 | 771 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 3 | 21 | 32 | 0 | 33 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 871 | 807 | 32 | 0 | 33 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 218 | 202 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 871 | 807 | 32 | 0 | 33 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project PM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  |  | No |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.55 |
| Movement LOS |  | A | A | A |  | B |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.49 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 11.55 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | B |  |
| d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 0.22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 4: Walnut Grove Ave (NS) at Garvey Ave (EW)

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Signalized
ICU 1
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):

C
0.793

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | orthbound |  |  | Suthboun |  |  | astboun |  |  | estbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | $7 \\|$ |  |  | $71 F$ |  |  | $7 \\|$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6.00-03
Scenario 4: 4 Opening Year (2022) With Project PM Peak Hour
Intersection Settings

| Cycle Length [s] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lost time [s] |  |

Phasing \& Timing

| Control Type | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss | Protecte | Permiss | Permiss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal group | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Signal Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead / Lag | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - | Lead | - | - |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.08 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection V/C | 0.793 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX E

## QUEUING WORKSHEETS

# Queue Length Calculation 

## Based on Poisson Probability Distribution

| Project Name: | Walnut Garvey Mixed Use Project |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Number: | 19302 |
| Study Intersection: | Walnut Grove Avenue at Garvey Avenue |
| Scenario: | Opening Year With Project - AM |


|  | Major Street |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Street Name: | Walnut Grove Avenue | Minor Street <br> Garvey Avenue |
| Direction: | SB |  |
| Movement: |  |  |


| Input |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Cycle Length | 100 | sec |
| Volume | 339 | veh/ln/hr |
| Probability | 0.95 |  |
| Queue length / car | 25 | feet |


| Output |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Avg. Veh/Sec, $\lambda=$ | 0.0942 | veh/ln/sec |
| Avg. Veh/Cycle, $\lambda^{*} \mathrm{~T}=$ | 9.4167 | veh/ln/cycle |
| Vehicles/Cycle at |  |  |
| 0.95 probability |  |  |$\quad 13 \quad$ vehicle(s) $\quad$ feet

Poisson Distribution Formula:


# Queue Length Calculation 

## Based on Poisson Probability Distribution

| Project Name: | Walnut Garvey Mixed Use Project |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Number: | 19302 |
| Study Intersection: | Walnut Grove Avenue at Garvey Avenue |
| Scenario: | Opening Year With Project - PM |


|  | Major Street |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Street Name: | Walnut Grove Avenue | Minor Street <br> Garvey Avenue |
| Direction: | SB |  |
| Movement: |  |  |


| Input |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Cycle Length | 100 | sec |
| Volume | 329 | veh/ln/hr |
| Probability | 0.95 |  |
| Queue length / car | 25 | feet |


| Output |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Avg. Veh/Sec, $\lambda=$ | 0.0914 | veh/ln/sec |
| Avg. Veh/Cycle, $\lambda^{*} \mathrm{~T}=$ | 9.1389 | veh/ln/cycle |
| Vehicles/Cycle at |  |  |
| 0.95 probability |  |  |$\quad 13 \quad$ vehicle(s) $\quad$ feet

Poisson Distribution Formula:


## APPENDIX F

## PROJECT TRIP DISTRIBUTIONS - DRIVEWAY RESTRICTIONS



Legend
<-10\% Percent From Project
Figure A
Project Outbound Trip Distributon - Residential With Driveway Restrictions

Garvey Walnut Mixed Use Project
Traffic Impact Analysis 19302


Legend
-10\% Percent To Project
Figure B
Project Inbound Trip Distributon - Residential With Driveway Restrictions

Garvey Walnut Mixed Use Project
Traffic Impact Analysis 19302


Figure C
Project Outbound Trip Distributon - Office With Driveway Restrictions


Garvey Walnut Mixed Use Project
Traffic Impact Analysis 19302


Legend
<-10\% Percent From Project

Figure E Project Outbound Trip Distributon - Retail/Restaurant With Driveway Restrictions


Garvey Walnut Mixed Use Project
Traffic Impact Analysis 19302

## APPENDIX G

## SITE PLANS



```
*)
```



```
*)
```

|  |  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: |
| - |  |
| [ 7 |  |
|  |  |
| c | 为 |






$\qquad$

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL Commerchl Areas | 12,74 SE. |
| 45) TOTAL RESIDENTIAL PARKING PROVIDED <br> $9^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$, STANDARD PARKING $9^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$, TOTAL $2 \%$ ACCESSIBLE PARKING <br> '×10' COMPACT PARKING | 27 STRLLS 14 $=14$ sthlis |
| Total | 45 stals |
| cround floor parkng ameas | $=20.604 \mathrm{~s}$ |

- GROUND FLOOR PLAN

















## APPENDIX H

## VMT WORKSHEETS

## Project Details

Timestamp of Analysis: October 08, 2020, 10:06:45 AM
Project Name: Walnut Grove Mixed Use Housing Community Development
Project Description: NW corner of Walnut Grove and Garvey42 Condos, 5,470 sqf Office, 1,130 Cafe, 5,274 Retail/Gym/Library and 5,520 Community Center

## Project Location

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction: | APN | TAZ | $5288-001-040$ | 22176200 | $5288-001-041$ | 22176200 |
|  | Rosemead | $5288-001-042$ | 22176200 | $5288-001-043$ | 22176200 |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Inside a TPA? } \\
& \text { No (Fail) }
\end{aligned}
$$


Analysis Details
Data Version: SCAG Regional Travel Demand Model2016 RTP Base Year 2012
Analysis Methodology: TAZ
Baseline Year: ..... 2020
Project Land Use
Residential:
Single Family DU:
Multifamily DU: ..... 42
Total DUs: ..... 42
Non-Residential:
Office KSF: ..... 5
Local Serving Retail KSF: ..... 11
Industrial KSF:Residential Affordability (percent of all units):
Extremely Low Income: ..... 0 \%
Very Low Income: ..... 0 \%
Low Income: ..... 0 \%
Parking:
Motor Vehicle Parking: ..... 204
Bicycle Parking: ..... 31

Residential Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) Screening Results

| Land Use Type 1: | Residential |
| :--- | :--- |
| VMT Without Project 1: | Total VMT per Service Population |
| VMT Baseline Description 1: | SGVCOG Average |
| VMT Baseline Value 1: | 35.14 |
| VMT Threshold Description 1: | $-15 \%$ |
| Land Use 1 has been Pre-Screened by the Local Jurisdiction: | N/A |



## Commercial Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) Screening Results

| Land Use Type 2: | Commercial |
| :--- | :--- |
| VMT Without Project 2: | Total VMT per Service Population |
| VMT Baseline Description 2: | SGVCOG Average |
| VMT Baseline Value 2: | 35.14 |
| VMT Threshold Description 2: | $-15 \%$ |
| Land Use 2 has been Pre-Screened by the Local Jurisdiction: | N/A |




GANDDINI GROUP, INC.
550 Parkcenter Drive, Suite 202, Santa Ana, CA 92705 714.795.3100 | www.ganddini.com


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-A
    ${ }^{2}$ Person-Trips
    ${ }^{3}$ Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site is not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator *Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Land Use Codes (LUCs) from Trip Generation Manual, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.
    ${ }^{2}$ Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site is not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator.
    ${ }^{3}$ Enter trips assuming no transit or non-motorized trips (as assumed in ITE Trip Generation Manual).
    ${ }^{4}$ Enter vehicle occupancy assumed in Table 1-P vehicle trips. If vehicle occupancy changes for proposed mixed-use project, manual adjustments must be
    ${ }^{5}$ Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-P.
    ${ }^{6}$ Person-Trips

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-P
    ${ }^{2}$ Person-Trips
    ${ }^{3}$ Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site is not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator
    *Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

