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## Hexagon Transdortation (onsultants, Inc.

## Draft Memorandum

Date: May 10, 2021
To: Mr. Marshall Torre, Summerhill Homes

| From: | Jonathan Wong |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ollie Zhou |  |

Subject: Transportation Analysis for the Summerhill Homes Townhome Condo Site Residential Redevelopment at 2740 Jones Road in Walnut Creek, California

Hexagon Transportation Consultants, Inc. has completed a transportation analysis for the proposed Summerhill Homes Townhome Condo Site Residential Development project at 2740 Jones Road in Walnut Creek, California. The project would build 125 residential units on the former private school site. The project site is located between Jones Road and Oak Road (see Figure 1). The site is currently vacant. Access to the site is provided via Jones Road and Oak Road.

## Vehicle Miles Travelled (VMT) Analysis

In December 2018, the California Natural Resources Agency certified and adopted the CEQA Guidelines update package, including the Guidelines section implementing Senate Bill 743. The guidelines state that level of service will no longer be considered to be an environmental impact under CEQA and that vehicle miles travelled (VMT) is the most appropriate measure of transportation impact. SB 743 went into effect on July 1, 2020. In accordance with new CEQA guidelines, the County has transitioned from intersection LOS to vehicle miles travelled (VMT) for CEQA transportation analysis.

A project's VMT is compared to the appropriate thresholds of significance based on the project location and type of development. When assessing a residential project, the project's VMT is divided by the number of residents expected to occupy the project to determine the VMT per capita.

To determine whether a project would result on CEQA transportation impacts related to VMT, the City has established thresholds for residential, office, and retail projects. For residential projects, the significant VMT impact threshold is $85 \%$ of existing County-wide average VMT per capita, A project may indicate a significant transportation impact.


Figure 1
Project Site Location

## VMT Screening Criteria

The Contra Costa Transportation Analysis Guidelines also includes screening criteria for projects that are expected to result in less-than-significant VMT impacts. These screening criteria are generally based on project size and location. These include:

- Projects that:
- Generate or attract fewer than 110 daily vehicle trips; or,
- Projects of 10,000 square feet or less of non-residential space or 20 residential units or less, or otherwise generating less than 836 VMT per day.
- Residential, retail, office projects, or mixed-use projects proposed within $1 / 2$ mile of an existing major transit stop or an existing stop along a high quality transit corridor.
- Residential projects (home-based VMT) at $15 \%$ or below the baseline County-wide homebased average VMT per capita, or employment projects (employee VMT) at $15 \%$ or below the baseline Bay Area average commute VMT per employee in areas with low VMT that incorporate similar VMT reducing features (i.e., density, mix of uses, transit accessibility).
- Public facilities (e.g. emergency services, passive parks (low-intensity recreation, open space), libraries, community centers, public utilities) and government buildings.


## Project-level VMT Analysis

According to the Contra Costa County Transportation Analysis Guidelines, a project is expected to result in a less-than-significant VMT impact if the proposed project is located within $1 / 2$ mile of an existing major transit stop or an existing stop along a high quality transit corridor. The Pleasant Hill BART station, which is an existing major transit stop, is located within $1 / 2$ mile of the project site. In addition, there are bicycle and pedestrian facilities between the project site and the Pleasant Hill BART station. There are continuous sidewalks along Jones Road and Oak Road that connects to the project site and the Pleasant Hill BART station. At a normal walking pace, it will take approximately 10 minutes to walk from the project site to the Pleasant Hill BART station. The Iron Horse Regional Trail and the Contra Costa Canal Trail are bicycle facilities that connect the project site to the Pleasant Hill BART station. Bicyclists would travel south on Jones Road or Oak Road to connect to the Contra Costa Canal Trail, heading east. Then bicyclists would travel north along the Iron Horse Regional Trail, which would lead to the Pleasant Hill BART station. There are also bike racks and bike lockers at the BART station for bicyclists to park their bicycles. Furthermore, the BART system provides quality regional connection to major employment destinations within the Bay Area (i.e. Oakland, San Francisco), and would soon connect to the San Jose area as well. The Pleasant Hill BART station also connects to local bus routes that are provided by County Connection. These local bus routes include 7, 9, 11, 14, 15, and 311. It is anticipated that this proposed residential project, being located within close proximity to the BART station, would have many residents take advantage of its regional transit connectivity. This would reduce residents' need to drive. As the County's guidelines stated, therefore, the project's VMT impact is considered less than significant.

## Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Plan

A Transportation Demand Management Plan is prepared as part of this project. The TDM Plan will include a range of TDM measures designed to reduce single-occupant vehicle trips and encourage residents to walk, bike or use transit services. The TDM measures include the following:

- Designating a Transportation Coordinator
- Online Kiosk/TDM Information Board
- Transportation Information Packets
- Provide access to transit services and transit resources
- Provide Bicycle Parking and Bicycle resources such as maps and bicycle safety tips
- Provide information on Carpool and Vanpool programs


## Study intersections and Data Collection

Hexagon conducted AM and PM peak period traffic counts in February 4, 2021 at the following study intersections:

1. Oak Road and Jones Road
2. Oak Road and Treat Boulevard
3. Jones Road and Project Driveway
4. Oak Road and Project Driveway

Note that the traffic volumes collected are adjusted to pre-COVID conditions. The intersection at Oak Road and Treat Boulevard had historic counts in year 2018. A factor was derived by comparing the historic counts to the newly collected (2021) counts. This factor was applied to all other intersections to derive pre-COVID volume estimates. Since the pre-COVID count was dated for year 2018, Hexagon derived an annual growth rate using counts at this intersection collected in 2014. This annual growth rate was then applied to all intersection volumes to bring the 2018 counts/derived volumes to 2021 conditions as if there was no COVID (see Table 1 for calculations of COVID factors, Table 2 for calculations of growth rates and Table 3 for a comparison). This represents a conservative analysis. Further factoring were conducted at the Oak Road and Jones Road intersection to ensure volume balancing on the north leg with the intersection at Oak Road and Treat Boulevard. The existing school driveway traffic volumes were estimated based on the trip rates published in the Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition (2017) for Private School (K-8). The Oak Road/Project Driveway traffic volumes were taken from the Oak Road/Jones Road intersection. Figure 2 shows the existing traffic volumes at the study intersections.

Table 1
COVID Factors

| Oak Road and Treat Boulevard Volumes |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| AM COVID Factor Applied to all other intersections | PM COVID Factor Applied to all other intersections |  |  |
| 2018 Counts | 5561 | 2018 Counts | 5124 |
| 2021 Counts | 3473 | 2021 Counts | 3935 |
| Difference | 2088 | Difference | 1189 |
| COVID Factor (A) | $60.12 \%$ | COVID Factor (B) | $30.22 \%$ |
| AM 2018 Estimates (C) = 2021 Counts *(1+A) | PM 2018 Estimates (D) = 2021 Counts * (1+B) |  |  |

## Table 2 <br> Growth Rates

Oak Road and Treat Boulevard Volumes

| AM Annual Growth Rate |  | PM Annual Growth Rate | 5124 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 2018 Counts | 5561 | 2018 Counts | 5101 |
| 2014 Counts | 5014 | 2014 Counts | 23 |
| Difference | 547 | Difference | $0.45 \%$ |
| Growth Percent Difference | $10.91 \%$ | Growth Percent Difference | $0.11 \%$ |
| Annual Growth Rate (E) | $2.73 \%$ | Annual Growth Rate (F) |  |
| AM 2021 Estimates = C E * 3 years |  |  | PM 2021 Estimates = D x F x 3 years |

Table 3
New counts vs. Adjusted counts


## Observations

Hexagon observed existing traffic operations at each of the study intersections. The AM and PM field observations conducted in March 2021 revealed that overall the study intersections operate well with no observed traffic deficiencies. It should be noted these field observations were conducted during the COVID pandemic and may not be indicative of pre-COVID peak-hour intersection performance. It should also be noted that the intersection level-of-service analysis is conducted using counts factored to estimate existing traffic volumes as if there was no pandemic.
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Figure 2
Existing Traffic Volumes

## Project Trip Estimates

The magnitude of traffic produced by a new development and the locations where that would appear are estimated using a three-step process: (1) trip generation, (2) trip distribution, and (3) trip assignment. In determining project trip generation, the magnitude of traffic entering and exiting the site is estimated for the AM and PM peak hours. As part of the project trip distribution, an estimate is made of the directions to and from which the project trips would travel. In the project trip assignment, the project trips are assigned to specific streets and intersections. These procedures are described below.

## Project Trip Generation

## Proposed Project Trip generation

Vehicle trips generated by the proposed residential component of the project were estimated using the trip rates published in the Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition (2017) for "Single Family Detached Housing" (Land Use 210). Since the proposed units all included at least three bedrooms with their own attached garages, it was determined that the single family detached housing land use would best reflect the trip generation characteristic for the proposed project. It should be noted that the single family rates are also the highest trip generation rates out of all residential trip rates. This analysis thus represents a slightly conservative analysis.

As shown in the Table 4, the project is estimated to generate 1,180 gross daily vehicle trips, with 93 gross trips occurring during the AM peak hour and 124 gross trips during the PM peak hour.

## Existing Trip Credits

Trips generated by the existing uses on the site can be credited against the proposed development. At the time of this report, the former private school is permanently closed. However, the school is being credited because this traffic study is analyzed under pre-COVID conditions when the school was open. The existing traffic counts, as discussed earlier, are all factored to pre-COVID conditions.

The private school trip generation estimates are based on ITE rates for Private School (K-8). According to the former school owner, the school had student enrollments ranging between 370 students and 400 students. The school also had after-school activities and was open until 6 PM on school days. For a conservative analysis, the school is credited using the 370 students.

When comparing the PM peak hour rate to other private/charter elementary and middle schools (average of 0.56 trips per student), the ITE rate of 0.26 trips per student for the PM peak hour appeared low. Table 5 provides a PM peak hour trip rate of elementary and middle schools similar to the existing school. Therefore, crediting school's PM trip generation using the ITE trip rates represent a conservative analysis. It is estimated that the private school with 370 students would have generated 1,521 daily trips with 337 trips during the AM peak hour and 96 trips during the PM peak hour.

## Net Project Trips

After accounting for the trips generated by the former private school, the proposed residential project is estimated to generate a net decrease of 341 daily trips, with a net decrease of 244 trips in the AM peak hour and a net increase of 28 trips in the peak hour. It should be noted that this analysis represented a conservative analysis. Crediting the project with even the lowest observed PM rates for comparable schools would have resulted in an overall net decrease in trips.

Table 4
Project Trip Generation Estimates

| Land Use | ITE Land <br> Use Code | Size |  | Daily |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Rate | Trip |  |  | Rate | Trip |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rate | Trips | In | Out |  | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Proposed Land Uses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single-Family Detached Housing | 210 | 125 | Dwelling Units |  | 9.44 | 1,180 | 0.74 | 23 | 70 | 93 | 0.99 | 78 | 46 | 124 |
| Total Project Trips |  |  |  |  | 1,180 |  | 23 | 70 | 93 |  | 78 | 46 | 124 |
| Existing Land Uses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private School (K-8) | 534 | 370 | Students | 4.11 | 1,521 | 0.91 | 185 | 152 | 337 | 0.26 | 44 | 52 | 96 |
| Total Existing Trips |  |  |  |  | 1,521 |  | 185 | 152 | 337 |  | 44 | 52 | 96 |
| Net Project Trips |  |  |  |  | -341 |  | -162 | -82 | -244 |  | 34 | -6 | 28 |

Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition 2017

Table 5
Comparison PM Peak Hour Trip Rate of Private/Charter Elementary and Middle Schools

| School Name | Address | Counted PM Peak Commute Hour Trip Generation Rate (Trips/Student) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The Harker School - Middle School | 3800 Blackford Avenue, <br> San Jose, CA 95117 | 0.7 |
| Downtown College Prep Alum Rock Middle School | 2888 Ocala Avenue, San Jose, CA 95148 | 0.78 |
| Rocketship Si Se Puede Academy | 2249 Dobern Avenue, San Jose, CA 95116 | 0.37 |
| Rocketship Mateo Sheedy Elementary | 788 Locust Street, San Jose, CA 95110 | 0.39 |
| Rocketship Brilliant Minds | 2960 Story Road, San Jose, CA 95127 | 0.68 |
| Rocketship Discovery Prep | 370 Wooster Avenue, San Jose, CA 95116 | 0.44 |
| Rocketship Mosaic Elementary | 950 Owsley Avenue, San Jose, CA 95122 | 0.7 |
| KIPP Heritage Middle School | 423 Los Arboles Street, San Jose, CA 95111 | 0.51 |
| KIPP Heartwood \& Prize Middle Schools | 1250 S. King Road, San Jose, CA 95122 | 0.69 |
| ACE Franklin McKinley Middle School | 1665 Santee Drive, San Jose, CA 959122 | 0.41 |
| ACE Empower Academy Middle School | 625 S. Sunset Avenue, San Jose, CA 95116 | 0.34 |
| KIPP Prize Preparatory Academy | 1250 S. King Road, Sna Jose, CA 95122 | 0.69 |
| Minimum Observed Trip Generation Rate Average Observed Trip Generation Rate Maximum Observed Trip Generation Rate |  | 0.34 |
|  |  | 0.56 |
|  |  | 0.78 |

## Project Trip Distribution and Assignment

The trip distribution pattern for the proposed development was estimated based on existing travel patterns on the surrounding roadway system and the locations of complementary land uses.

The peak-hour trips generated by the existing and proposed uses were assigned to the roadway system based on the directions of approach and departure, the roadway network connections, and the locations of project driveways. The trips generated by the existing uses were subtracted from the roadway network prior to assigning project trips. It should be noted that the school trips were only accessed on one driveway on Jones Road. It is assumed that all residential trips would enter and exit the project site via two driveways each on Jones Road and on Oak Road. Figure 3 shows the trip distribution and assignment of the project.


Figure 3
Trip Distribution and Trip Assignment

## Intersection Operational Analysis

According to the County of Contra Costa Transportation Analysis Guidelines, although level of service is no longer the impact criteria for CEQA purposes, a level of service analysis is still required for development projects if:

1. The development project generates 100 or more net new peak hour vehicle trips; or
2. The development project adds 50 or more net new peak hour vehicle trips to an intersection; or
3. A project creates safety or operation concerns.

While the project does not meet any of the three requirements, a level of service analysis of existing and existing plus project conditions is performed. As the analysis below shows, the project would generate minimal increase in average delays to study intersections. This is largely because in a pre-COVID environment, the trips generated by the proposed project would be largely offset by the trip credits of the Palmer school. The number of net new trips added to the intersections would be minimal. As a result, the project's effect on intersection operations would also be minimal. It is thus not expected that the project would have any considerable effects on intersection operations under background or cumulative conditions.

## County of Contra Costa Intersections

According to County of Contra Costa level of service standard, a development is said to create operational deficiencies on traffic conditions at a studied intersection if:

1. The level of service at the intersection drops below its respective level of service standard (LOS D or better), or
2. The intersection is an unacceptable level of service (LOS E or F) and the addition of project trips cause the average control delay (for signalized and all-way stop-controlled intersections) or worst movement/approach delay (for side-street stop-controlled intersections) at the intersection to increase by more than 5.0 seconds.

## Intersection Operations

The study intersections were evaluated for level of service. Level of Service is a qualitative description of operating conditions ranging from LOS A, or free-flow conditions with little or no delay, to LOS F, or jammed conditions with excessive delays. The Contra Costa County level of service methodology for signalized intersections is the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition method. This method is applied using the Synchro software. The HCM $6^{\text {th }}$ operations method evaluates signalized intersection operations on the basis of average control delay time for all vehicles at the intersection. The HCM $6^{\text {th }}$ operations method evaluates unsignalized intersection operations on the basis of worst approach delay. The Contra Costa County level of service standard for signalized and unsignalized intersections is LOS D or better.

## Level of Service Analysis Results

The results of the level of service analysis show that all four study intersections currently operate at an acceptable LOS D or better, and the project would have a minimal effect on the existing intersection operations (see Table 6).

Table 6
Intersection Levels of Service

| \# | Intersection | Control | Peak <br> Hour | Count <br> Date | Existing |  | Existing plus Project |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Avg. Delay (sec) ${ }^{1}$ | LOS | Avg. Delay (sec) ${ }^{1}$ | LOS | Incr. in Avg. Delay |
| 1 | Oak Road \& Jones Road | Signal | AM | 02/04/21 | 15.7 | B | 12.1 | B | -3.6 |
|  |  |  | PM | 02/04/21 | 13.9 | B | 13.5 | B | -0.4 |
| 2 | Oak Road \& Treat Boulevard | Signal | AM | 02/04/21 | 44.9 | D | 44.1 | D | -0.8 |
|  |  |  | PM | 02/04/21 | 46.4 | D | 46.4 | D | 0.0 |
| 3 | Jones Road \& Project Driveway | Side-Street Stop | AM | 02/04/21 | 10.9 | B | 9.7 | A | -1.2 |
|  |  |  | PM | 02/04/21 | 9.2 | A | 9.1 | A | -0.1 |
| 4 | Oak Road \& Project Driveway | Side-Street Stop | AM | 02/04/21 | 0.0 | A | 18.7 | C | 18.7 |
|  |  |  | PM | 02/04/21 | 0.0 | A | 15.3 | C | 15.3 |

Notes:

* The 2/4/2021 count at these intersections were factored to pre-COVID conditions.

1 Delays based on average delay for signalized intersections and worst approach delay for unsignalized intersections.

## Site Circulation and Access

A review of the project site plan was performed to determine whether adequate site access and insite circulation would be provided, using commonly accepted transportation planning principles and traffic engineering standards. This review was based on the site plan prepared by SDG Architects, Inc. dated January 29, 2021, shown on Figure 4. Hexagon has also reviewed the site plan being prepared for the next round of submittal at the time of this report.


Figure 4 Proposed Site Plan

## Site Access

Vehicle site access was evaluated to determine the adequacy of the site driveways. The project generated traffic would access the site via a new driveway connecting to Jones Road. There will also be a proposed driveway that will connect to the project site on Oak Road. The new driveway on Jones Road will be 26 feet wide, which can accommodate two-way traffic. The site plan shows that the Oak Road driveway would be approximately 26 feet. The 26 feet driveway width would be adequate for emergency vehicle access.

## Sight Distance

Sight distance was evaluated to determine if a driver will have adequate visibility to enter Jones Road and Oak Road from the driveways. The project access points should be free and clear of any obstructions that would materially and adversely affect sight distance, thereby ensuring that exiting vehicles can see pedestrians on the sidewalk and other vehicles travelling on adjacent roadways. Landscaping and parking should not conflict with a driver's ability to locate a gap in traffic and see oncoming pedestrians and bicyclists. Adequate corner sight distance (sight distance triangles) should be provided at all site access points in accordance with the County standards. Sight distance requirements vary depending on the roadway speeds. The speed limit on Jones Road and Oak Road is 35 mph . According to the Highway Design Manual (HDM), Chapter 200, 2014, the required minimum stopping sight distance for design speed of 35 mph is 250 feet. The line of sight for vehicles exiting the driveway and vehicles travelling northbound on Jones Road are clear and visible. Vehicles exiting the driveway will be visible to the vehicles travelling southbound on Jones Road and Oak Road. There are existing red curbs on both sides of each driveway to provide adequate visibility for vehicles exiting the project site. The project would not substantially increase hazards due to any design features.

## On-site Circulation

On-site circulation was reviewed in accordance with generally accepted traffic engineering standards. The project would provide new streets and alleys within the project site that lead to each unit. Each unit would have its own driveway and a garage. According to the Contra Costa County Municipal Code, the minimum two-way drive aisle width for multifamily uses is 20 feet and 26 feet when portion of the building has human occupancy located more than 30 feet above the access road. The site plan shows the appropriate drive aisle widths within the project site.

The site plan would provide adequate space for garbage trucks, loading trucks and emergency vehicles to circulate throughout the project site.

## Bicycle, Pedestrian and Transit Facilities Evaluation

## Bicycle Facilities

Currently, there are no existing bike lanes on Jones Road. However, there is a pedestrian/bike trail, Iron Horse Regional Trail, located approximately 1,500 feet east of the project site. The bike trail provides access to the Pleasant Hill BART station. There is also another pedestrian/bike trail, Contra Costa Canal Trail, located approximately 650 feet south of the project site and crossing Jones Road. According to the City of Walnut Creek Bicycle Plan, there are plans to provide a bicycle route on Jones Road between Treat Boulevard and Walden Road. The project would not affect existing and planned bicycle facilities. The project also proposes to include a bike repair space on site.

The Seven Hills School is located within a 3-mile radius of the project site. The Contra Costa Canal Trail provides a good bicycle connectivity to the project site and the Seven Hills School.

Oak Road has planned Class II facilities in the vicinity of the project. The planned Class facilities is located on Oak Road between Treat Boulevard and the Contra Costa Canal Trail. The four onstreet parking spaces proposed along Oak Road would not result in a conflict with future construction of this facility.

The Iron Horse Regional Trail, a pedestrian/bike trail, located approximately 1,500 feet east of the project site. The Iron Horse Regional Trail extends from Marsh Drive in Concord to DeMarcus Boulevard in Dublin. The Iron Horse Regional Trail provides access to the Pleasant Hill BART station. There is also another trail, Contra Costa Canal Trail, located approximately 650 feet south of the project site and crossing Jones Road. The Contra Costa Canal Trail extends from Muir Road in Martinez to Willow Pass Road in Concord. The Contra Costa Canal Trail provides access to Walden Park and the Iron Horse Regional Trail. According to the City of Walnut Creek Bicycle Plan, there are plans to provide a bicycle route on Jones Road between Treat Boulevard and Walden Road.

Figure 5 shows the existing bicycle facilities within the project area.

## Pedestrian Facilities

There are sidewalks on the east side of Jones Road within the vicinity of the project site. There are also sidewalks on both sides of Oak Road and Treat Boulevard so that residents at the project site can easily walk to the Pleasant Hill BART station. The traffic signal at the Oak Road/Jones Road and Oak Road/Treat Boulevard intersections includes crosswalks with pedestrian signal heads to facilitate crossing the street. The Iron Horse Regional Trail and Contra Costa Canal Trial described above are Class I pedestrian/bicycle facilities within project proximity. There are no pedestrian improvement plans along Jones Road or Oak Road along the project frontage. The project would not affect existing or planned pedestrian facilities.

The Seven Hills School is located within a 1-mile radius of the project site. Although the Contra Costa Canal Trail provides a pedestrian connectivity between the two locations, it is not likely for a pedestrian to walk further than a 1-mile.

## Transit Facilities

The site is served by several County Connection bus routes: $7,9,11,14,15$, and 311 . The project site is located with $1 / 2$ mile of a major transit stop, the Pleasant Hill BART station, which is located north of the project site. The closest bus stop to the project site is located at Oak Road and Walden Park, approximately 970 feet south of the project site. There are sidewalks on Oak Road that provide connectivity to the bus stop.

The Pleasant Hill BART station also provides other bus route connections in addition to other County Connection lines. AC Transit, Solano Express, Fairfield and Suisun Transit, and Wheels Express provide connecting transit routes at the Pleasant Hill BART station (see Figure 6 and Table 7 below).

Paratransit services could also be provided to the residents at the project site. County Connection LINK serves Pleasant Hill, Walnut Creek, Concord, Lafayette and other central Contra Costa cities. Paratransit service rides are provided within 1.5 miles of a bus stop or train station.


Figure 5
Existing Bicycle Facilities
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Figure 6
Existing Transit Facilities
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Table 7
Existing Transit Services


## Parking

## Vehicle Parking

Parking provided on the site was evaluated based on the Contra Costa County parking standards. According to the Contra Costa County Municipal Code, the vehicle requirement for a townhouse is 2 covered spaces per unit. In addition, the guest parking requirement is 0.25 spaces per unit. The project proposes to construct 125 units. Therefore, the project is required to provide 250 residential parking spaces and 32 guest parking spaces, which totals 282 parking spaces. The site plan shows that a 2 -car garage parking will be provided in each townhome and 28 guest parking spaces within the project site. According to the Contra Costa Municipal Code, for each dwelling unit, a listed
raceway to accommodate a dedicated 208/240-volt branch circuit is required to be installed in each single-family residential unit to accommodate EV charging infrastructure. Therefore, each townhome should provide a dedicated 208/240-volt branch circuit. In addition, the site proposes to add 4 on-street frontage parking spaces along Oak Road. The combination of guest parking onsite and new on-street parking will suffice the requirement for guest parking. The parking standards do not require any loading spaces to be provided.

According to the County Municipal Code, the short-term bicycle parking requirement for townhomes with private garages is five percent of the number of bedrooms, or two spaces, whichever is greater. There is no space requirement for long-term bicycle parking. Each townhouse would have 3 bedrooms. Therefore, the project is required to provide 0.15 short-term bicycle spaces per townhouse, which totals to 19 short-term bicycle parking spaces. The project would provide bicycle racks around the site. The bicycle racks are located between Buildings $P$ and $Q$, Buildings $Q$ and $R$, Buildings $R$ and $S$ and Buildings $D$ and $E$. The bike racks between Buildings $R$ and $S$ would have 9 racks while the other locations would have 4 racks. With each bike rack providing 2 bicycle parking spaces, the project would provide 42 bicycle parking spaces, exceeding the County requirement. The project also proposes to include a bike repair space on site next to the short-term bike racks. The bike repair space will have a free standing unit with tools for residents to work on their bikes. There is also additional secure bicycle parking in the two-car garages provided for each unit.

## APPENDIX

- Intersection Counts
- Synchro LOS Calculation Sheets



## www.idaxdata.com

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles

| Interval Start | Jones Rd |  |  |  | Jones Rd |  |  |  | Oak Rd |  |  |  | Oak Rd |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 20 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 20 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 18 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 15 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 15 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 35 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 15 | 0 |

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | Jones Rd |  |  | Jones Rd |  |  | Oak Rd |  |  | Oak Rd |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-min } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.


## www.idaxdata.com

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles

| Interval Start | Jones Rd |  |  |  | Jones Rd |  |  |  | Oak Rd |  |  |  | Oak Rd |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 15-m i n \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 12 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| Count Total | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 |

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | Jones Rd |  |  | Jones Rd |  |  | Oak Rd |  |  | Oak Rd |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |

[^0]|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{1,745}{\rightleftarrows} \\ & 1,335 \end{aligned}$ |  | Blvd |  |  |  | vd <br> ur <br> - <br> U <br> 3 <br> ํ |  | Treat <br> 34 <br> 1,503 <br> 162 <br> 9 | TC |  | C | unt | Dat <br> erio <br> Hou |  | 04-2 <br> 00 A <br> 30 A <br> oio <br> 0 <br> 1 <br> 0 <br> i <br> 1 <br> 1 $0$ | 21 <br> to <br> to | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9:00 } \\ & \text { 8:30 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Two-Hour Count Summaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interval Start |  | Treat Blvd |  |  |  | Treat Blvd |  |  |  | Oak Rd |  |  |  | Oak Rd |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 15-min } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | Rolling One Hour |
|  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM |  | 0 | 9 | 112 | 26 | 1 | 21 | 276 | 7 | 0 | 22 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 15 | 516 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM |  | 0 | 7 | 168 | 19 | 1 | 27 | 257 | 8 | 0 | 24 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 26 | 561 | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7:30 AM } \\ & \text { 7:45 AM } \end{aligned}$ |  | 0 | 10 | 271 | 25 | 2 | 38 | 390 | 8 | 0 | 27 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 31 | 832 | 0 |
|  |  | 1 | 13 | 327 | 33 | 3 | 38 | 361 | 10 | 2 | 30 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 28 | 34 | 901 | 2,810 |
| 8:00 AM |  | 0 | 10 | 323 | 34 | 2 | 44 | 363 | 10 | 0 | 24 | 11 | 11 | 1 | 11 | 27 | 36 | 907 | 3,201 |
| 8:15 AM |  | 1 | 12 | 244 | 31 | 2 | 42 | 389 | 6 | 0 | 32 | 16 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 21 | 26 | 833 | 3,473 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:30 AM } \\ & \text { 8:45 AM } \end{aligned}$ |  | 0 | 10 | 246 | 34 | 1 | 36 | 347 | 13 | 0 | 25 | 14 | 13 | 0 | 9 | 18 | 20 | 786 | 3,427 |
|  |  | 1 | 9 | 280 | 37 | 0 | 48 | 343 | 11 | 0 | 17 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 17 | 27 | 823 | 3,349 |
| Count Total |  | 3 | 80 | 1,971 | 239 | 12 | 294 | 2,726 | 73 | 2 | 201 | 77 | 52 | 1 | 62 | 151 | 215 | 6,159 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | All | 2 | 45 | 1,165 | 123 | 9 | 162 | 1,503 | 34 | 2 | 113 | 41 | 29 | 1 | 29 | 88 | 127 | 3,473 | 0 |
|  | HV | 0 | 10 | 16 | 7 |  | 1 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 25 | 94 | 0 |
|  | HV\% | 0\% | 22\% | 1\% | 6\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 15\% | 0\% | 1\% | 5\% | 3\% | 0\% | 14\% | 3\% | 20\% | 3\% | 0 |

Note: Two-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 7:00 AM | 8 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| 7:15 AM | 8 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| 7:30 AM | 11 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 7:45 AM | 12 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 8:00 AM | 6 | 8 | 2 | 10 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 8:15 AM | 4 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 8:30 AM | 6 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 8:45 AM | 7 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| Count Total | 62 | 46 | 8 | 45 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 29 |
| Peak Hour | 33 | 25 | 4 | 32 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 |

## www.idaxdata.com

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles

| Interval Start | Treat Blvd |  |  |  | Treat Blvd |  |  |  | Oak Rd |  |  |  | Oak Rd |  |  |  | 15-min | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 24 | 73 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 26 | 81 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 26 | 94 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 24 | 100 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 88 |
| Count Total | 0 | 12 | 36 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 35 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 35 | 161 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 10 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 25 | 94 | 0 |

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | Treat Blvd |  |  | Treat Blvd |  |  | Oak Rd |  |  | Oak Rd |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-min } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

[^1]

## www.idaxdata.com

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles

| Interval Start | Treat Blvd |  |  |  | Treat Blvd |  |  |  | Oak Rd |  |  |  | Oak Rd |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 37 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 40 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 36 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 31 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 28 |
| Count Total | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 65 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 31 | 0 |

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | Treat Blvd |  |  | Treat Blvd |  |  | Oak Rd |  |  | Oak Rd |  |  | 15-min <br> Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

[^2]Location: Jones Rd, At Project Driveway
Date Range: 2/4/2021-2/10/2021

| Time | Thursday |  |  | Friday |  |  | Saturday |  |  | Sunday |  |  | Monday |  |  | Tuesday |  |  | Wednesday |  |  | Mid-Week Average |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2/4/2021 |  |  | 2/5/2021 |  |  | 2/6/2021 |  |  | 2/7/2021 |  |  | 2/8/2021 |  |  | 2/9/2021 |  |  | 2/10/2021 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NB | SB | Total | NB | SB | Total | NB | SB | Total | NB | SB | Total | NB | SB | Total | NB | SB | Total | NB | SB | Total | NB | SB | Total |
| 12:00 AM | 4 | 6 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 6 | 10 |
| 1:00 AM | 2 | 8 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 8 | 10 |
| 2:00 AM | 2 | 1 | 3 |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  |  | - |  | - | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 3:00 AM | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 4:00 AM | 4 | 1 | 5 | - | - | - | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| 5:00 AM | 4 | 5 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | . | - | . | - | 4 | 5 | 9 |
| 6:00 AM | 26 | 14 | 40 |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - | - |  | - | 26 | 14 | 40 |
| 7:00 AM | 42 | 18 | 60 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 42 | 18 | 60 |
| 8:00 AM | 42 | 36 | 78 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 42 | 36 | 78 |
| 9:00 AM | 28 | 25 | 53 | - | - | . | . | . | - | - | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 28 | 25 | 53 |
| 10:00 AM | 34 | 34 | 68 |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - | - |  | - | 34 | 34 | 68 |
| 11:00 AM | 53 | 34 | 87 | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | 53 | 34 | 87 |
| 12:00 PM | 47 | 42 | 89 |  | - | - |  | - |  |  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  |  | - |  | - | 47 | 42 | 89 |
| 1:00 PM | 55 | 49 | 104 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 55 | 49 | 104 |
| 2:00 PM | 52 | 70 | 122 |  | - | - |  | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  |  | - |  | - | 52 | 70 | 122 |
| 3:00 PM | 61 | 87 | 148 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 61 | 87 | 148 |
| 4:00 PM | 43 | 78 | 121 |  |  | . | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 43 | 78 | 121 |
| 5:00 PM | 61 | 88 | 149 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 61 | 88 | 149 |
| 6:00 PM | 51 | 61 | 112 |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  |  | - |  | - | 51 | 61 | 112 |
| 7:00 PM | 42 | 22 | 64 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 42 | 22 | 64 |
| 8:00 PM | 15 | 21 | 36 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 21 | 36 |
| 9:00 PM | 13 | 16 | 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 16 | 29 |
| 10:00 PM | 11 | 9 | 20 |  | - | - |  | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | 11 | 9 | 20 |
| 11:00 PM | 3 | 6 | 9 | $-$ | - | $-$ | $-$ | $-$ | $-$ | - | $\sim$ | - | $-$ | $\sim$ | - | $-$ | $-$ | $-$ | $\sim$ | $-$ | $-$ | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| Total | 697 | 732 | 1,429 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 697 | 732 | 1,429 |
| Percent | 49\% | 51\% | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 49\% | 51\% | - |
| AM Peak | 11:00 | 08:00 | 11:00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11:00 | 08:00 | 11:00 |
| Vol. | 53 | 36 | 87 | - | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | - | $-$ | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | $-$ | 53 | 36 | 87 |
| PM Peak | 15:00 | 17:00 | 17:00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15:00 | 17:00 | 17:00 |
| Vol. | 61 | 88 | 149 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 61 | 88 | 149 |

1. Mid-week average includes data between Tuesday and Thursday.

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\hat{1}$ |  | \％ | $\uparrow$ | F | \％ | 个个 | F | \％ | 个4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 122 | 24 | 14 | 95 | 19 | 55 | 11 | 414 | 135 | 23 | 921 | 437 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 122 | 24 | 14 | 95 | 19 | 55 | 11 | 414 | 135 | 23 | 921 | 437 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 133 | 26 | 15 | 103 | 21 | 60 | 12 | 450 | 0 | 25 | 1001 | 475 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 182 | 114 | 66 | 156 | 163 | 138 | 26 | 1942 |  | 48 | 1986 | 886 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.56 | 0.56 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 1113 | 642 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 133 | 0 | 41 | 103 | 21 | 60 | 12 | 450 | 0 | 25 | 1001 | 475 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 1781 | 0 | 1755 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 5.5 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 4.3 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 13.1 | 14.3 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 5.5 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 4.3 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 13.1 | 14.3 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.37 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 182 | 0 | 179 | 156 | 163 | 138 | 26 | 1942 |  | 48 | 1986 | 886 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.73 | 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.66 | 0.13 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 0.23 |  | 0.52 | 0.50 | 0.54 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 504 | 0 | 497 | 598 | 628 | 532 | 199 | 1942 |  | 199 | 1986 | 886 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 33.1 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 33.6 | 32.0 | 32.9 | 37.1 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 36.5 | 10.3 | 10.6 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 11.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 0.9 | 2.3 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.7 | 4.9 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 38.7 | 0.0 | 32.0 | 38.3 | 32.3 | 35.0 | 49.0 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 44.9 | 11.2 | 12.9 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A | C | D | C | C | D | A |  | D | B | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 174 |  |  | 184 |  |  | 462 | A |  | 1501 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 37.1 |  |  | 36.6 |  |  | 10.3 |  |  | 12.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ）， s | 6.5 | 46.0 |  | 11.1 | 5.6 | 46.9 |  | 12.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 8.5 | 41.5 |  | 25.5 | 8.5 | 41.5 |  | 21.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋1），s | 3.1 | 7.0 |  | 6.3 | 2.5 | 16.3 |  | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 3.3 |  | 0.5 | 0.0 | 10.4 |  | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 15.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for［NBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．


## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

3: Jones Road \& Project Driveway

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1425 | 560 | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 1 | 1120 | - | - | - | - |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ |  | \％ | $\uparrow$ | ${ }^{7}$ | \％ | 个个 | F | \％ | 个4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 94 | 57 | 16 | 49 | 13 | 46 |  | 891 | 287 | 19 | 447 | 50 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 94 | 57 | 16 | 49 | 13 | 46 | 8 | 891 | 287 | 19 | 447 | 50 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 102 | 62 | 17 | 53 | 14 | 50 | 9 | 968 | 0 | 21 | 486 | 54 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 156 | 124 | 34 | 108 | 113 | 96 | 20 | 2097 |  | 42 | 2140 | 955 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 1413 | 387 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 102 | 0 | 79 | 53 | 14 | 50 | 9 | 968 | 0 | 21 | 486 | 54 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 1781 | 0 | 1801 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 4.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 4.8 | 1.1 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 4.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 4.8 | 1.1 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.22 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 156 | 0 | 158 | 108 | 113 | 96 | 20 | 2097 |  | 42 | 2140 | 955 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.49 | 0.12 | 0.52 | 0.44 | 0.46 |  | 0.50 | 0.23 | 0.06 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 530 | 0 | 536 | 507 | 532 | 451 | 177 | 2097 |  | 224 | 2140 | 955 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 33.4 | 0.0 | 32.9 | 34.4 | 33.6 | 34.4 | 37.1 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 6.9 | 6.2 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 4.6 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 4.3 | 14.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 2.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.3 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 37.9 | 0.0 | 35.3 | 37.8 | 34.1 | 38.8 | 51.6 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 45.3 | 7.2 | 6.3 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A | D | D | C | D | D | A |  | D | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 181 |  |  | 117 |  |  | 977 | A |  | 561 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 36.8 |  |  | 37.8 |  |  | 9.8 |  |  | 8.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ）， s | 6.3 | 49.1 |  | 9.1 | 5.4 | 50.0 |  | 11.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 9.5 | 43.5 |  | 21.5 | 7.5 | 45.5 |  | 22.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋1），s | 2.9 | 13.6 |  | 4.3 | 2.4 | 6.8 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 8.1 |  | 0.3 | 0.0 | 3.8 |  | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 13.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for［NBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．


## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\hat{1}$ |  | \％ | $\uparrow$ | F | \％ | 个个 | F | \％ | 个4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 49 | 16 | 6 | 96 | 10 | 55 | 2 | 418 | 139 | 23 | 941 | 280 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 49 | 16 | 6 | 96 | 10 | 55 | 2 | 418 | 139 | 23 | 941 | 280 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 53 | 17 | 7 | 104 | 11 | 60 | 2 | 454 | 0 | 25 | 1023 | 304 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 96 | 68 | 28 | 156 | 164 | 139 | 5 | 2085 |  | 48 | 2172 | 969 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.61 | 0.61 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 1259 | 518 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 53 | 0 | 24 | 104 | 11 | 60 | 2 | 454 | 0 | 25 | 1023 | 304 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 1781 | 0 | 1777 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 2.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 11.6 | 6.8 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 2.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 11.6 | 6.8 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.29 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 96 | 0 | 96 | 156 | 164 | 139 |  | 2085 |  | 48 | 2172 | 969 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.66 | 0.07 | 0.43 | 0.41 | 0.22 |  | 0.52 | 0.47 | 0.31 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 472 | 0 | 471 | 665 | 699 | 592 | 121 | 2085 |  | 230 | 2172 | 969 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 34.0 | 0.0 | 33.4 | 32.5 | 30.8 | 31.8 | 36.7 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 35.3 | 7.8 | 6.9 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 4.9 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 47.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 3.9 | 2.1 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 38.9 | 0.0 | 34.8 | 37.3 | 31.0 | 33.9 | 84.4 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 43.6 | 8.6 | 7.7 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A | C | D | C | C | F | A |  | D | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 77 |  |  | 175 |  |  | 456 | A |  | 1352 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 37.6 |  |  | 35.8 |  |  | 7.8 |  |  | 9.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ）， s | 6.5 | 47.7 |  | 11.0 | 4.7 | 49.5 |  | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 9.5 | 40.5 |  | 27.5 | 5.0 | 45.0 |  | 19.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋1），s | 3.0 | 6.5 |  | 6.2 | 2.1 | 13.6 |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 3.3 |  | 0.5 | 0.0 | 10.4 |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 12.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for［NBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | M |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 4 | 56 | 168 | 1 | 0 | 208 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 4 | 56 | 168 | 1 | 0 | 208 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 4 | 61 | 183 | 1 | 0 | 226 |




| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  |  |  |  |  | Major2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1425 | 567 | 1134 | 0 | - |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 1123 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 302 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 18.7 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 612 | -274 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.002 | -0.044 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.9 | -18.7 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | C | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.1 | - |
| (v) |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ |  | \％ | $\uparrow$ | ${ }^{7}$ | \％ | 个个 | F | \％ | 个个 | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 87 | 54 | 13 | 53 | 11 | 46 | 6 | 893 | 289 | 19 | 513 | 13 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 87 | 54 | 13 | 53 | 11 | 46 | 6 | 893 | 289 | 19 | 513 | 13 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 95 | 59 | 14 | 58 | 12 | 50 | 7 | 971 | 0 | 21 | 558 | 14 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 148 | 121 | 29 | 109 | 114 | 97 | 16 | 2105 |  | 42 | 2156 | 962 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.61 | 0.61 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 1461 | 347 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 95 | 0 | 73 | 58 | 12 | 50 | 7 | 971 | 0 | 21 | 558 | 14 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 1781 | 0 | 1808 | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 3.9 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 5.5 | 0.3 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 3.9 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 5.5 | 0.3 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.19 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 148 | 0 | 150 | 109 | 114 | 97 | 16 | 2105 |  | 42 | 2156 | 962 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.64 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.53 | 0.10 | 0.52 | 0.43 | 0.46 |  | 0.50 | 0.26 | 0.01 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 535 | 0 | 543 | 511 | 536 | 454 | 178 | 2105 |  | 226 | 2156 | 962 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 33.3 | 0.0 | 32.9 | 34.2 | 33.3 | 34.1 | 37.0 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 36.2 | 6.9 | 5.8 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 4.6 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 4.2 | 17.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 0.1 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 37.9 | 0.0 | 35.3 | 38.1 | 33.7 | 38.3 | 54.3 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 45.0 | 7.2 | 5.9 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A | D | D | C | D | D | A |  | D | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 168 |  |  | 120 |  |  | 978 | A |  | 593 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 36.8 |  |  | 37.8 |  |  | 9.6 |  |  | 8.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ）， s | 6.3 | 48.9 |  | 9.1 | 5.2 | 50.0 |  | 10.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 9.5 | 43.5 |  | 21.5 | 7.5 | 45.5 |  | 22.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋1），s | 2.9 | 13.5 |  | 4.4 | 2.3 | 7.5 |  | 5.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 8.2 |  | 0.3 | 0.0 | 4.3 |  | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 13.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for［NBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

|  | 4 |  |  |  | $\leadsto$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ | , |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7} 1$ | †t† ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 7 | 种乐 | 7 | 7 | 44 | 7 | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 130 | 1343 | 182 | 138 | 1384 | 69 | 319 | 436 | 271 | 177 | 225 | 493 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 130 | 1343 | 182 | 138 | 1384 | 69 | 319 | 436 | 271 | 177 | 225 | 493 |
| Initial Q $(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 141 | 1460 | 198 | 150 | 1504 | 0 | 347 | 474 | 295 | 192 | 245 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 193 | 1796 | 243 | 258 | 1684 |  | 403 | 1234 | 551 | 221 | 1261 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.06 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.07 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.12 | 0.35 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 3456 | 5773 | 782 | 3456 | 5106 | 1585 | 3456 | 3554 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 141 | 1220 | 438 | 150 | 1504 | 0 | 347 | 474 | 295 | 192 | 245 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | n1728 | 1609 | 1730 | 1728 | 1702 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 5.1 | 29.4 | 29.4 | 5.3 | 35.3 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 12.7 | 18.8 | 13.3 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 5.1 | 29.4 | 29.4 | 5.3 | 35.3 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 12.7 | 18.8 | 13.3 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.45 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 193 | 1501 | 538 | 258 | 1684 |  | 403 | 1234 | 551 | 221 | 1261 |  |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.73 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.58 | 0.89 |  | 0.86 | 0.38 | 0.54 | 0.87 | 0.19 |  |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 214 | 1628 | 583 | 258 | 1742 |  | 453 | 1234 | 551 | 332 | 1261 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | h8.6 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 56.4 | 40.1 | 0.0 | 54.6 | 31.0 | 33.0 | 54.2 | 28.2 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 10.8 | 3.1 | 8.1 | 3.3 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 14.2 | 0.9 | 3.7 | 14.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh | / 1 l 2.5 | 12.0 | 13.7 | 2.4 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 7.8 | 6.9 | 2.7 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, | , s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 69.4 | 43.1 | 48.2 | 59.7 | 46.3 | 0.0 | 68.9 | 31.9 | 36.7 | 68.8 | 28.5 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | D | D | E | D |  | E | C | D | E | C |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 1799 |  |  | 1654 | A |  | 1116 |  |  | 437 | A |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 46.4 |  |  | 47.5 |  |  | 44.7 |  |  | 46.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R c$ ), | ), 80.1 | 48.3 | 11.5 | 46.1 | 19.2 | 49.2 | 13.9 | 43.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | s 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gma | - 2 \& 3.5 | 37.7 | 7.8 | 43.0 | 16.5 | 44.7 | 8.3 | 42.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+ | +1115, ${ }_{\text {S }}$ | 20.8 | 7.1 | 37.3 | 14.4 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 31.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | s 0.3 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 7.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 46.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | * |  | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  |  | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 3 | 36 | 97 | 4 | 0 | 118 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 3 | 36 | 97 | 4 | 0 | 118 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 3 | 39 | 105 | 4 | 0 | 128 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |




[^0]:    Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any

[^1]:    Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any

[^2]:    Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any

