CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

12-ORA-5 36	.1 0R5100/1218	000102	
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency) P.M.,	P.M. E.A/Project No	Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Proj	ect No.
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Briefl activities involved in this box. Use Continu	y describe project including nee uation Sheet, if necessary.)	d, purpose, location, limits, right-of-way requirements	, and
		nditions on the Katella Avenue southbound	
		g due to areas of standing water on the ram	
which leads to a loss of traction.	The scope of work consis	ts of drainage improvements, High Friction	i
		(AC) paving, loop detectors, delineation,	
		with Disabilities Act (ADA), and safety dev	ices.
		al disturbed soil area (DSA) will be less tha	
acre. The project will be funded b			
CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINA			
Not Applicable - Caltrans is not the			Study or
Based on an examination of this proposal	, supporting information, and th	e above statements, the project is:	
apply:	icable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency Environmental Impact Report under CEQA examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is: by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) cally Exempt. Class 1, ©. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not his project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical		
 If this project falls within exem 			rcritical
	ecisely mapped, and officially a		
over time.	curnulative effect by this project	and successive projects of the same type in the same	place,
	sibility that the project will have	a significant effect on the environment due to unusual	
circumstances.			
		cially designated state scenic highway. led pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").	
This project does not cause a:	substantial adverse change in t	he significance of a historical resource.	
Common Sense Exemption. [This p	project does not fall within an ex	empt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there	is no
possibility that the activity may have	a significant effect on the enviro	onment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)	
Smita Deshpande		Janilee Jablonski	
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of	or Print	Janilee Jablonski Name: Project Manager	
	Print	Name: Project Manager	
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief	2/18/20 A	Supplied the state of the supplied to the supplied to)
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief Signature	Print 2/18/20 Date Print	Name: Project Manager)
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE	2/18/20 Sign	Name: Project Manager aule Jabbonslei 3/18/2026 http://doi.org/10.1001/2026)
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and	2/18/20 Sign	Name: Project Manager	1
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and determined that this project:	Date Sign	Name: Project Manager aule Jabbowski -/18/2020 ature Date proposal and supporting information, the State has	
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively ha requirements to prepare an Environment	Date Sign based on an examination of this ve a significant impact on the ental Assessment (EA) or Enviro	Name: Project Manager aule Jabbouslei -/18/2020 ature Date proposal and supporting information, the State has nvironment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from namental Impact Statement (EIS), and	
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively ha	Date Sign based on an examination of this ve a significant impact on the ental Assessment (EA) or Enviro	Name: Project Manager aule Jabbouslei -/18/2020 ature Date proposal and supporting information, the State has nvironment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from namental Impact Statement (EIS), and	
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively ha requirements to prepare an Environment	Date Sign based on an examination of this ve a significant impact on the ental Assessment (EA) or Enviro pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b)	Name: Project Manager aule Jabbouslei -/18/2020 ature Date proposal and supporting information, the State has nvironment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from namental Impact Statement (EIS), and	
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively ha requirements to prepare an Environmee has considered unusual circumstances CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINA	Date Sign based on an examination of this ve a significant impact on the e ntal Assessment (EA) or Enviro pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b	Name: Project Manager aule Jabbouslei -/18/2020 ature Date proposal and supporting information, the State has nvironment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from namental Impact Statement (EIS), and	n the
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively ha requirements to prepare an Environmental circumstances CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINA 23 USC 326: The State has determine that there are no unusual circumstances	based on an examination of this ve a significant impact on the e ntal Assessment (EA) or Enviro pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b TION (Check one) ned that this project has no sign ces as described in 23 CFR 77	Name: Project Manager aule Jabbushi —/18/2020 ature Date proposal and supporting information, the State has navironment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from namental Impact Statement (EIS), and inficant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA. 1.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded.	h the
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively ha requirements to prepare an Environmental circumstances CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINA 23 USC 326: The State has determine that there are no unusual circumstantal the requirements to prepare an EA of	Date Sign based on an examination of this ve a significant impact on the e ntal Assessment (EA) or Enviro pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b TION (Check one) ned that this project has no sign ces as described in 23 CFR 77 r EIS under the National Enviro	Name: Project Manager aule Jabbushi — 18/2020 ature Date proposal and supporting information, the State has national impact Statement (EIS), and is excluded from namental impact Statement (EIS), and inficant impacts on the environment as defined by NEF 1.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded namental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and	A, and
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively ha requirements to prepare an Environment has considered unusual circumstances CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINA 23 USC 326: The State has determine that there are no unusual circumstant the requirements to prepare an EA of certifies that it has carried out the resulting section 326 and a Memorandum of Least and the control of Least and the contr	Date Sign based on an examination of this ve a significant impact on the ental Assessment (EA) or Enviro pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b) TION (Check one) med that this project has no sign ces as described in 23 CFR 77 r EIS under the National Enviro ponsibility to make this determing Inderstanding dated May 31, 28	Name: Project Manager Turle Jabbarshi —/18/2020 ature Date proposal and supporting information, the State has national impact Statement (EIS), and is excluded from namental impact Statement (EIS), and inficant impacts on the environment as defined by NEF 1.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded namental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23. United States	PA, and d from d hereby s Code.
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively ha requirements to prepare an Environmental circumstances CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINA 23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circumstances that there are no unusual circumstances that there are no unusual circumstances that it has carried out the respection 326 and a Memorandum of Union and Complete Comp	Date Sign based on an examination of this ve a significant impact on the e ntal Assessment (EA) or Enviro pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b TION (Check one) ned that this project has no sign ces as described in 23 CFR 77 r EIS under the National Enviro ponsibility to make this determination of the significant impacts of the significant impact on the ental Assessment (EA) or Environ to the significant impact on the ental Assessment (EA) or Environ to the significant impact on the ental Assessment (EA) or Environ to the significant impact on the ental Assessment (EA) or Environ to the significant impact on the ental Assessment (EA) or Environ to the significant impact on the ental Assessment (EA) or Environ to the significant impact on the ental Assessment (EA) or Environ to the significant impact on the ental Assessment (EA) or Environ to the significant impact on the ental Assessment (EA) or Environ to the significant impact on the ental Assessment (EA) or Environ to the significant impact on the ental Assessment (EA) or Environ to the significant impact on the ental Assessment (EA) or Environ to the significant impact on the ental Assessment (EA) or Environ to the significant impact on the ental Assessment (EA) or Environ to the significant impact on the ental Assessment (EA) or Environ to the significant impact on the ental Assessment (EA) or Environ to the significant impact on the ental Assessment (EA) or Environ to the significant impact on the ental Assessment (EA) or Environ to the significant impact on the	Name: Project Manager aule Jabbushi — 18/2020 ature Date proposal and supporting information, the State has national impact Statement (EIS), and is excluded from namental impact Statement (EIS), and inficant impacts on the environment as defined by NEF 1.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded namental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and	PA, and d from d hereby s Code.
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively ha requirements to prepare an Environmental circumstances CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINA 23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circumstances that the project is a control of the notice that the project is a control of t	Date Sign based on an examination of this ve a significant impact on the e ntal Assessment (EA) or Enviro pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b TION (Check one) ned that this project has no sign ces as described in 23 CFR 77 r EIS under the National Enviro ponsibility to make this determinated of the component o	Name: Project Manager Turle Jabbarshi —/18/2020 ature Date proposal and supporting information, the State has national impact Statement (EIS), and is excluded from namental impact Statement (EIS), and inficant impacts on the environment as defined by NEF 1.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded namental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23. United States	PA, and d from d hereby s Code.
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively ha requirements to prepare an Environmental has considered unusual circumstances CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINA 23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circumstantal the requirements to prepare an EA of certifies that it has carried out the result of Section 326 and a Memorandum of Units determined that the project is a Circumstantal circumstantal project is a Circumstantal	Date Sign based on an examination of this ve a significant impact on the e ntal Assessment (EA) or Enviro pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b TION (Check one) ned that this project has no sign ces as described in 23 CFR 77 r EIS under the National Enviro ponsibility to make this determit Inderstanding dated May 31, 20 categorical Exclusion under: cc)(27) d)()	Name: Project Manager aules Jabbushi 2/18/2020 ature Date s proposal and supporting information, the State has navironment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from namental Impact Statement (EIS), and inficant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA. 1.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded namental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States 216, executed between the FHWA and the State. The	PA, and d from d hereby s Code.
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively ha requirements to prepare an Environmental has considered unusual circumstances CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINA 23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circumstantal the requirements to prepare an EA of certifies that it has carried out the result of Section 326 and a Memorandum of Units determined that the project is a Circumstantal circumstantal project in a Circumstantal circumstantal circumstantal project in the result of the result of the project is a Circumstantal	Date Sign based on an examination of this ve a significant impact on the e ntal Assessment (EA) or Enviro pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b TION (Check one) ned that this project has no sign ces as described in 23 CFR 77 r EIS under the National Enviro ponsibility to make this determit Inderstanding dated May 31, 20 categorical Exclusion under: cc)(27) d)() dix A of the MOU between Fire	Name: Project Manager aules Jabbushi 2/18/2020 ature Date s proposal and supporting information, the State has navironment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from mental Impact Statement (EIS), and inficant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA. 1.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluder mental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States 216, executed between the FHWA and the State. The	PA, and d from d hereby s Code, State
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively ha requirements to prepare an Environmental circumstances. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINA 23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circumstances that there are no unusual circumstances. The state has determined that the requirements to prepare an EA of certifies that it has carried out the result of the section 326 and a Memorandum of the last determined that the project is a Calculation of the section 326 and a Memorandum of the last determined that the project is a Calculation of the section 326 and a Memorandum of the last determined that the project is a Calculation of	Date Sign based on an examination of this ve a significant impact on the e ntal Assessment (EA) or Enviro pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b TION (Check one) ned that this project has no sign ces as described in 23 CFR 77 r EIS under the National Enviro ponsibility to make this determity Inderstanding dated May 31, 20 Categorical Exclusion under: c)(27) d)() dix A of the MOU between FH- on of this proposal and support	Name: Project Manager aules Jabbushi 2/18/2020 ature Date by Paragraphic Proposal and supporting information, the State has novironment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from mental Impact Statement (EIS), and inficant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA. 1.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded mental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States 1016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The WA and the State ing information, the State has determined that the project is categorically excluded in the project is categorically excluded in the project is categorically excluded in the state in the project is categorically excluded in the state in the s	PA, and d from d hereby s Code, State
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief Signature	Date Sign based on an examination of this ve a significant impact on the e ntal Assessment (EA) or Enviro pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b TION (Check one) ned that this project has no sign ces as described in 23 CFR 77 r EIS under the National Enviro ponsibility to make this determity Inderstanding dated May 31, 20 Categorical Exclusion under: c)(27) d)() dix A of the MOU between Firon of this proposal and support 327. The environmental review roject are being, or have been,	Name: Project Manager Table Jable Date By proposal and supporting information, the State has environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from mental Impact Statement (EIS), and inficant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA. 1.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded mental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and ination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States 1016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The WA and the State ing information, the State has determined that the project inconsultation, and any other actions required by applicarried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the state in the consultation in the State in the consultation in the State in the	PA, and d from d hereby s Code, State
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively ha requirements to prepare an Environmental circumstances. CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINA 23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circumstantal the requirements to prepare an EA of certifies that it has carried out the result Section 326 and a Memorandum of Units and the termined that the project is a Complete Section 326 and a Memorandum of Units determined that the project is a Complete Section 326 and a Memorandum of Units determined that the project is a Complete Section 326 and a Memorandum of Units determined that the project is a Complete Section 326 and a Memorandum of Units determined that the project is a Complete Section 326 and a Memorandum of Units determined that the project is a Complete Section 326 and a Memorandum of Units determined that the project is a Complete Section 326 and a Memorandum of Units determined that the project is a Complete Section 326 and a Memorandum of Units determined that the project is a Complete Section 326 and a Memorandum of Units determined that the project is a Complete Section 326 and a Memorandum of Units determined that the project is a Complete Section 326 and a Memorandum of Units determined that the project is a Complete Section 326 and a Memorandum of Units determined that the project is a Complete Section 326 and a Memorandum of Units determined that the project is a Complete Section 326 and a Memorandum of Units determined that the project is a Complete Section 326 and a Memorandum of Units determined that the project is a Complete Section 326 and a Memorandum of Units determined that the project is a Complete Section 326 and a Memorandum of Units determined that the project is a Complete Section 326 and a Memorandum of Units determined that the project is a Complete Section 326 and a Memorandum of Units determined that the project is a Complete	Date Sign based on an examination of this ve a significant impact on the e ntal Assessment (EA) or Enviro pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b TION (Check one) ned that this project has no sign ces as described in 23 CFR 77 r EIS under the National Enviro ponsibility to make this determity Inderstanding dated May 31, 20 Categorical Exclusion under: c)(27) d)() dix A of the MOU between Firon of this proposal and support 327. The environmental review roject are being, or have been,	Name: Project Manager Table Jable Date By proposal and supporting information, the State has environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from mental Impact Statement (EIS), and inficant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA. 1.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded mental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and ination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States 1016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The WA and the State ing information, the State has determined that the project inconsultation, and any other actions required by applicarried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the state in the consultation in the State in the consultation in the State in the	PA, and d from d hereby s Code, State
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively ha requirements to prepare an Environmental has considered unusual circumstances CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINA 23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circumstanthat the requirements to prepare an EA of certifies that it has carried out the resulting section 326 and a Memorandum of the determined that the project is a Compared to the section 326 and a Memorandum of the determined that the project is a Compared to the section 326 and a Memorandum of the section activity (Compared to the section 326 and a Memorandum of the section activity (Compared to the section 326 and a Memorandum of the section activity (Compared to the sect	Date	Name: Project Manager auche Jabbarbi 2/18/226 ture Date s proposal and supporting information, the State has invironment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from mental Impact Statement (EIS), and ifficant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, 1.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded mental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States 216, executed between the FHWA and the State. The way and the State in ginformation, the State has determined that the project in the state in ginformation, and any other actions required by applicating out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and to uted by FHWA and Caltrans. Janilee Jablonski	PA, and d from d hereby s Code, State
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively ha requirements to prepare an Environmental has considered unusual circumstances CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINA 23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circumstanthat the requirements to prepare an EA of certifies that it has carried out the resulting state that the project is a Complete of the section 326 and a Memorandum of the last determined that the project is a Complete of the last determined that the project is a Comp	Date	Name: Project Manager auche Jabbarbi 2/18/220 bature Date s proposal and supporting information, the State has invironment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from mental Impact Statement (EIS), and ifficant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA. 1.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded mental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and ination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States 216, executed between the FHWA and the State. The WA and the State in ginformation, the State has determined that the project is carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and to utted by FHWA and Caltrans.	PA, and d from d hereby s Code, State
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively ha requirements to prepare an Environmental has considered unusual circumstances CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINA 23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circumstanthat the requirements to prepare an EA of certifies that it has carried out the resulting section 326 and a Memorandum of the determined that the project is a Compared to the section 326 and a Memorandum of the determined that the project is a Compared to the section 326 and a Memorandum of the section activity (Compared to the section 326 and a Memorandum of the section activity (Compared to the section 326 and a Memorandum of the section activity (Compared to the sect	Date	And the State In and the Stat	PA, and d from d hereby s Code, State
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner of Environmental Branch Chief NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and determined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively ha requirements to prepare an Environmental has considered unusual circumstances CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINA 23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circumstanthat the requirements to prepare an EA of certifies that it has carried out the resulting state that the project is a Complete of the section 326 and a Memorandum of the last determined that the project is a Complete of the last determined that the project is a Comp	Date	Name: Project Manager auche Jabbarbi 2/18/226 ture Date s proposal and supporting information, the State has invironment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from mental Impact Statement (EIS), and ifficant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, 1.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded mental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and nation pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States 216, executed between the FHWA and the State. The way and the State in ginformation, the State has determined that the project in the state in ginformation, and any other actions required by applicating out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and to uted by FHWA and Caltrans. Janilee Jablonski	PA, and d from d hereby s Code, State

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM Continuation Sheet

		Continuation She	et	
12-ORA-5	36.1	0R5100/12180001		
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency		E.A/Project No.	Federal-Aid Project No. (Local I	Project)/Project No.
ncluded as part of the _l tandards.html) Standa eatures that are genera	project description of training the second s	on <u>(http://www.dot.</u> such as Best Mana ost or all Departme	ing standardized features t ca.gov/des/oe/construction gement Practices [BMPs]) nt projects. These standar ementation and are not spe	n-contract- are those dized or pre-
ircumstances of a part lo significant environme	ticular project.	s are anticipated with	n the proposed project, howe	ver, measures
nat must be implemented ECR).	d throughout the li	te of the project are	on the Environmental Comm	itment Record