Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: San José-Santa Clara Regional Wastewater Facility Outfall Bridge and Instrumentation Improveme

Lead Agency: City of San José

Contact Name: Kara Hawkins

Email: kara.hawkins@sanjoseca.gov Phone Number: 408-535-7852

Project Location: City of San José, County of Santa Clara
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The Project is located near the San José-Santa Clara Regional Wastewater Facility (the Facility), 700 Los Esteros Road, San José, CA 95134, starting at the Filtration Influent Pumping Station extending north of the Outfall Bridge to the southern edge of the San Francisco Bay. The Project would construct a safe pedestrian crossing at the Facility's outfall channel by replacing the existing outfall bridge with a new bridge, re-establish the weir's scour protection, replacing the weir's leaking flashboard system, repair gaps caused by settlement beneath the site's Sulfur Dioxide (SO2) building, re-establish a level ground surface around the SO2 building and vehicle turnaround area, replace aging facility instrumentation (e.g., water quality monitoring instrumentation, flow meters, and transformer) to ensure reliable water quality and quantity compliance monitoring, and improve communication between the Facility, daylight station, and SO2 building. In addition to the infrastructure protection and improvement measures, the City proposes to install underground conduits for fiber optic cables to facilitate improved communications and data transfer between the SO2 building, daylight station and the Facility's FIPS as well, as three additional electrical conduits for future project needs.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The project has potentially significant effects on: special status species and their habitats, state and federally protected wetlands, riparian habitat or other sensitive natural communities, archaeological resources, disturbances to human remains, applicable plans, policies, or regulations conflicts of reducing greenhouse gases, hazards involving the release of hazardous materials to the environment, water quality standards or waste discharge requirement violations, and the significance of tribal cultural resources. Through the implementation of the following of Mitigation Measures (MMs), these effects would be reduced to less-than-significant levels: BIO-1: Construction Worker-and Vehicle-related Measures, BIO-2: Seasonal Avoidance of Sensitive Aquatic Species, BIO-3: Western Pond Turtle Protection Measures, BIO-4: Special-status Bird Species Protection Measures, BIO-5 Western Burrowing Owl Protection Measures, BIO-6: Salt Marsh Harvest and Salt Marsh Wandering Shrew Protection Measures, BIO-7: Survey for Rare Plants, BIO-8: Contain Bridge Deconstruction Debris, CUL-1.1: Inadvertent Discovery of Archaeological Resources, CUL-1.1: Inadvertent Discovery of Tribal Cultural Resources, CUL-2: Inadvertent Discovery of Human Remains, GHG-1: implementation of construction measures, HAZ-1a: Pre-Construction Hazardous Materials Assessment, MM HAZ-1b: Health and Safety Plan, MM HAZ-1c: Soil and Groundwater Management Plan, HYD-1: Water Quality Best Management Practices During In-water and Near Water Work Activities, and HYD-2: Water Quality Monitoring.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

There are no known areas of controversy associated with the proposed project.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Responsible agencies and trustee agencies for the project include the following:
California Department of Fish and Wildlife
San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission
San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board
California Water Resources Control Board
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
National Marine Fisheries Service
California Public Utilities Commission