

May 21, 2020

**BIRDSEYE PLANNING GROUP, LLC** Contact: *Ryan Birdseye* P.O. Box 1956 Vista, California 92805

# SUBJECT: Habitat Assessment for the Proposed Jersey Industrial Complex Project Located in the City of Rancho Cucamonga, San Bernardino County, California

#### **Introduction**

This report contains the findings of ELMT Consulting's (ELMT) habitat assessment for the proposed Jersey Industrial Complex Project (project site or site) located in the City of Rancho Cucamonga, San Bernardino County, California. The habitat assessment was conducted by biologist Jacob H. Lloyd Davies on May 14, 2020 to document baseline conditions and assess the potential for special-status<sup>1</sup> plant and wildlife species to occur within the project site that could pose a constraint to implementation of the proposed project. Special attention was given to the suitability of the project site to support burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia*) and other special-status plant and wildlife species identified by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife's (CDFW) California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB), and other electronic databases as potentially occurring in the general vicinity of the project site.

### **Project Location**

The project site is generally located north of Interstate 10, west of Interstate 15, south of State Route 210, and east of State Route 83 in the City of Rancho Cucamonga, San Bernardino County, California. The site is depicted on the Guasti quadrangle of the United States Geological Survey's (USGS) 7.5-minute map series within section 7 of Township 1 South, Range 6 West. Specifically, the project site is located on the northwest corner of the intersection of Milliken Avenue and Jersey Boulevard within Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 229-111-60. Refer to Exhibits 1-3 in Attachment A.

#### **Project Description**

The project proposes the grading for, and construction of, an industrial warehouse complex within approximately 7.39 acres. The complex will include warehouse space and associated office and storage spaces totaling 159,580 square feet. Parking will include 110 parking spaces. Access to the site will be achieved via one new entrance along Milliken Avenue and two entrances along Jersey Boulevard (refer to Attachment B, *Site Plans*).

<sup>1</sup> As used in this report, "special-status" refers to plant and wildlife species that are federally and State listed, proposed, or candidates; plant species that have been designated with a California Native Plant Society Rare Plant Rank; wildlife species that are designated by the CDFW as fully protected, species of special concern, or watch list species; and specially protected natural vegetation communities as designated by the CDFW.

May 21, 2020 Page 2

### **Methodology**

A literature review and records search were conducted to determine which special-status biological resources have the potential to occur on or within the general vicinity of the project site. In addition to the literature review, a general habitat assessment or field investigation of the project site was conducted to document existing conditions and assess the potential for special-status biological resources to occur within the project site.

### Literature Review

Prior to conducting the field investigation, a literature review and records search was conducted for specialstatus biological resources potentially occurring on or within the vicinity of the project site. Previously recorded occurrences of special-status plant and wildlife species and their proximity to the project site were determined through a query of the CDFW's QuickView Tool in the Biogeographic Information and Observation System (BIOS), CNDDB Rarefind 5, the California Native Plant Society's (CNPS) Electronic Inventory of Rare and Endangered Vascular Plants of California in the Guasti USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle. Additionally, the Calflora Database, compendia of special-status species published by CDFW, and the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) species listings were reviewed.

All available reports, survey results, and literature detailing the biological resources previously observed on or within the vicinity of the project site were reviewed to understand existing site conditions and note the extent of any disturbances that have occurred within the project site that would otherwise limit the distribution of special-status biological resources. Standard field guides and texts were reviewed for specific habitat requirements of special-status and non-special-status biological resources, as well as the following resources:

- Google Earth Pro historic aerial imagery (1994-2018);
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS), Soil Survey<sup>2</sup>;
- USFWS Critical Habitat designations for Threatened and Endangered Species;
- USFWS Endangered Species Profiles; and
- USFWS National Wetlands Inventory (NWI).

The literature review provided a baseline from which to inventory the biological resources potentially occurring within the project site. The CNDDB database was used, in conjunction with ArcGIS software, to locate the nearest recorded occurrences of special-status species and determine the distance from the project site.

### Habitat Assessment/Field Investigation

Following the literature review, biologist Jacob H. Lloyd Davies inventoried and evaluated the condition of the habitat within the project on May 14, 2020. Plant communities and land cover types identified on aerial photographs during the literature review were verified by walking meandering transects throughout



<sup>2</sup> A soil series is defined as a group of soils with similar profiles developed from similar parent materials under comparable climatic and vegetation conditions. These profiles include major horizons with similar thickness, arrangement, and other important characteristics, which may promote favorable conditions for certain biological resources.

the project site. In addition, aerial photography was reviewed prior to the site investigation to locate potential natural corridors and linkages that may support the movement of wildlife through the area. These areas identified on aerial photography were then walked during the field investigation.

### Soil Series Assessment

On-site and adjoining soils were researched prior to the field investigation using the USDA NRCS Soil Survey for San Bernardino County, California. In addition, a review of the local geological conditions and historical aerial photographs was conducted to assess the ecological changes that the project site has undergone.

### Plant Communities

Plant communities were mapped using 7.5-minute USGS topographic base maps and aerial photography. The plant communities were classified in accordance with Sawyer, Keeler-Wolf and Evens (2009), delineated on an aerial photograph, and then digitized into GIS Arcview. The Arcview application was used to compute the area of each plant community and/or land cover type in acres.

### <u>Plants</u>

Common plant species observed during the field investigation were identified by visual characteristics and morphology in the field and recorded in a field notebook. Unusual and less-familiar plants were photographed in the field and identified in the laboratory using taxonomic guides. Taxonomic nomenclature used in this study follows the 2012 Jepson Manual (Hickman 2012). In this report, scientific names are provided immediately following common names of plant species (first reference only).

### <u>Wildlife</u>

Wildlife species detected during the field investigation by sight, calls, tracks, scat, or other sign were recorded during surveys in a field notebook. Field guides used to assist with identification of wildlife species during the survey included The Sibley Field Guide to the Birds of Western North America (Sibley 2003), A Field Guide to Western Reptiles and Amphibians (Stebbins 2003), and A Field Guide to Mammals of North America (Reid 2006). Although common names of wildlife species are well standardized, scientific names are provided immediately following common names in this report (first reference only).

### Jurisdictional Drainages and Wetlands

Aerial photography was reviewed prior to conducting a field investigation in order to locate and inspect any potential natural drainage features, ponded areas, or water bodies that may fall under the jurisdiction of the United States Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Board), or CDFW. In general, surface drainage features indicated as blue-line streams on USGS maps that are observed or expected to exhibit evidence of flow are considered potential riparian/riverine habitat and are also subject to state and federal regulatory jurisdiction. In addition, ELMT reviewed jurisdictional waters information through examining historical aerial photographs to gain an understanding of the impact of land-use on natural drainage patterns in the area. The USFWS NWI and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Water Program "My Waters" data layers were also reviewed to determine whether any hydrologic features and wetland areas have been documented on or within the vicinity of the project site.



### **Existing Site Conditions**

The proposed project site is located in a developed area in the City of Rancho Cucamonga. The site is bounded to the north and west by existing industrial developments, to the south by Jersey Boulevard with a fire station beyond, and to the east by Milliken Ave and existing industrial developments beyond. The project site is relatively flat and ranges in elevation from approximately 1,134 to 1,150 feet above mean sea level and generally slopes downwards to the north, with no areas of significant topographic relief. Based on the NRCS USDA Web Soil Survey, the project site is historically underlain by Tujunga loamy sand (0 to 5 percent slopes). Refer to Exhibit 4, *Soils*, in Attachment A. Soils on-site have been mechanically disturbed and compacted from historic agricultural activities, routine weed abatement activities, and surrounding development.

### Vegetation

Due to historic and existing land uses, no native plant communities or natural communities of special concern were observed on or adjacent to the project site. The project site consists of undeveloped land that has been impacted by decades on anthropogenic disturbances. These disturbances have eliminated the natural plant communities that once occurred on and surrounding the project site. Refer to Attachment B, *Site Photographs*, for representative site photographs.

The project site consists of one (1) land cover type that would be classified as disturbed (refer to Exhibit 5, *Vegetation*, in Attachment A). The site is vegetated primarily by non-native weedy/early successional plant species that are adapted to considerable disturbance. Plant species observed during the field investigation include Spanish lotus (*Acmispon americanus*), black mustard (*Brassica nigra*), shortpod mustard (*Hirschfeldia incana*), western ragweed (*Ambrosia psilostachya*), red-stemmed filaree (*Erodium cicutarium*), brome grass (*Bromus* sp.), tocalote (*Centaurea melitensis*), telegraph weed (*Heterotheca grandiflora*), golden crownbeard (*Verbesina encelioides*), fiddleneck (*Amsinckia* sp.), stinknet (*Oncosiphon piluliferum*), horehound (*Marrubium vulgare*), California croton (*Croton californicus*), wild oat (*Avena fatua*), horse weed (*Erigeron* sp.), and bicolor lupine (*Lupinus bicolor*).

### <u>Wildlife</u>

Plant communities provide foraging habitat, nesting/denning sites, and shelter from adverse weather or predation. This section provides a discussion of those wildlife species that were observed or are expected to occur within the project site. The discussion is to be used a general reference and is limited by the season, time of day, and weather conditions in which the field investigation was conducted. Wildlife detections were based on calls, songs, scat, tracks, burrows, and direct observation. The project site provides limited habitat for wildlife species except those adapted to a high degree of anthropogenic disturbances and development.

### <u>Fish</u>

No fish or hydrogeomorphic features (e.g., creeks, ponds, lakes, reservoirs) with frequent sources of water that would support populations of fish were observed on or within the vicinity of the project site. Therefore, no fish are expected to occur and are presumed absent from the project site.



May 21, 2020 Page 5

### <u>Amphibians</u>

No amphibians or hydrogeomorphic features (e.g., creeks, ponds, lakes, reservoirs) with frequent sources of water that would support populations of amphibians were observed on or within the vicinity of the project site. Therefore, no amphibians are expected to occur and are presumed absent from the project site.

### <u>Reptiles</u>

The project site provides minimal foraging and cover habitat for a limited variety of reptile species adapted to a high degree of anthropogenic disturbance. The only reptile species observed on-site during the field investigation were western side-blotched lizard (*Uta stansburiana elegans*) and great basin fence lizard (*Sceloporus occidentalis longipes*). Additional common reptilian species that are adapted to a high degree of human disturbance that could potentially occur on-site include San Diego alligator lizard (*Elgaria multicarinata webbii*).

### <u>Birds</u>

The project site provides minimal foraging habitat for a variety of bird species adapted to a high degree of anthropogenic disturbance. Bird species detected during the field investigation include house finch (*Haemorhouse mexicanus*), northern mockingbird (*Mimus polyglottos*), Say's phoebe (*Sayornis saya*), American crow (*Corvus brachyrhinchos*), house sparrow (*Passer domesticus*), and mourning dove (*Zenaida macroura*).

### <u>Mammals</u>

The project site provides marginal foraging and cover habitat for mammalian species adapted to a high degree of anthropogenic disturbance. The only mammalian species detected during the field investigation was desert cottontail (*Sylvilagus audubonii*). Common mammalian species adapted to a high degree of human disturbance that could potentially occur on-site include California ground squirrel (*Otospermophilus beecheyi*), opossum (*Didelphis virginiana*), and raccoon (*Procyon lotor*).

### **Nesting Birds**

No active nests or birds displaying nesting behavior were observed during the field investigation. The project site and surrounding areas provide limited foraging and nesting habitat for year-round and seasonal avian residents, as well as migrating songbirds that could occur in the area. In addition, the undeveloped portion of the project site has the potential to provide suitable nesting opportunities for birds that nest on the open ground and those acclimated to routine disturbances (e.g. killdeer (*Charadrius vociferus*)). Additionally, the immediate areas surrounding the project site support trees and structures that have the potential to provide suitable nesting opportunities. A pre-construction nesting bird clearance survey should be conducted within seven (7) days prior to ground disturbance to ensure no nesting birds will be impacted from site development.

### **Migratory Corridors and Linkages**

Habitat linkages provide connections between larger habitat areas that are separated by development. Wildlife corridors are similar to linkages but provide specific opportunities for animals to disperse or migrate between areas. A corridor can be defined as a linear landscape feature of sufficient width to allow



animal movement between two comparatively undisturbed habitat fragments. Adequate cover is essential for a corridor to function as a wildlife movement area. It is possible for a habitat corridor to be adequate for one species yet still inadequate for others. Wildlife corridors are features that allow for the dispersal, seasonal migration, breeding, and foraging of a variety of wildlife species. Additionally, open space can provide a buffer against both human disturbance and natural fluctuations in resources.

The proposed project will be confined to existing disturbed land and is surrounded entirely by development, which has removed natural plant communities from the surrounding area. The project site is isolated from regional wildlife corridors and linkages, and there are no riparian corridors, creeks, or useful patches of steppingstone habitat (natural areas) within or connecting the project site to any identified wildlife corridors or linkages. As a result, implementation of the proposed project will not disrupt or have any adverse effects on any migratory corridors or linkages in the surrounding area.

### Jurisdictional Areas

There are three key agencies that regulate activities within inland streams, wetlands, and riparian areas in California. The Corps Regulatory Branch regulates discharge of dredge or fill materials into "waters of the United States" pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act. Of the State agencies, the CDFW regulates alterations to streambed and bank under Fish and Wildlife Code Sections 1600 et seq., and the Regional Board regulates discharges into surface waters pursuant to Section 401 of the CWA and the California Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act.

No jurisdictional drainage and/or wetland features were observed on the project site during the habitat assessment that would be considered jurisdictional by the Corps, Regional Board, or CDFW. Therefore, regulatory approvals from the Corps, Regional Board, and/or CDFW will not be required for implementation of the project.

### Special-Status Biological Resources

The CNDDB Rarefind 5 and the CNPS Electronic Inventory of Rare and Endangered Vascular Plants of California were queried for reported locations of special-status plant and wildlife species as well as special-status natural plant communities in the Guasti USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle. Only one quadrangle was queried since the project site is already developed, completely surrounded by existing development, and does not connect with any natural areas or native plant communities in the region. The habitat assessment evaluated the conditions of the habitat(s) within the boundaries of the project site to determine if the existing plant communities, at the time of the survey, have the potential to provide suitable habitat(s) for special-status plant and wildlife species.

The literature search identified thirteen (13) special-status plant species and thirty-four (34) special-status wildlife species as having the potential to occur within the Guasti 7.5-minute quadrangle. No special-status plant communities haven been recorded within the Guasti USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle. Special-status plant and wildlife species were evaluated for their potential to occur within the project site based on habitat requirements, availability and quality of suitable habitat, and known distributions. Species determined to have the potential to occur within the general vicinity of the project site is presented in Attachment D: *Potentially Occurring Special-Status Biological Resources*.



### Special-Status Plants

According to the CNDDB and CNPS, thirteen (13) special-status plant species have been recorded in the Guasti quadrangle (refer to Attachment D). No special-status plant species were observed on-site during the habitat assessment. The majority of the project site has been subject to anthropogenic disturbances from grading, weed abatement, and surrounding development. These disturbances have reduced the suitability of the habitat to support special-status plant species known to occur in the general vicinity of the project site. Based on habitat requirements for specific special-status plant species and the availability and quality of habitats needed by each species, it was determined that the project site does not provide suitable habitat for any of the special-status plant species known to occur in the area and special-status plant species are presumed to be absent from the project site. No focused surveys are recommended.

### Special-Status Wildlife

According to the CNDDB, thirty-three (34) special-status wildlife species have been reported in the Guasti quadrangle (refer to Attachment D). No special-status wildlife species were observed on-site during the habitat assessment. On-site disturbances have greatly reduced potential foraging and nesting/denning opportunities for wildlife species on-site. Based on habitat requirements for specific species and the availability and quality of on-site habitats, it was determined that the proposed project site has a low potential to support Cooper's hawk (*Accipiter cooperii*) and California horned lark (*Eremophila alpestris actua*). All remaining special-status wildlife species are presumed to be absent from the project site due to lack of quality habitat.

Neither of the aforementioned species are federally or state listed as endangered or threatened. In order to ensure impacts to Cooper's hawk and California horned lark do not occur from implementation of the proposed project, a pre-construction nesting bird clearance survey shall be conducted prior to ground disturbance. With implementation of mitigation through the pre-construction nesting bird clearance survey, impacts to the aforementioned species will be less than significant.

Based on regional significance, the potential occurrence of burrowing owl within the project site is described in further detail below.

### Burrowing Owl

The burrowing owl is currently listed as a California Species of Special Concern. It is a grassland specialist distributed throughout western North America where it occupies open areas with short vegetation and bare ground within shrub, desert, and grassland environments. Burrowing owls use a wide variety of arid and semi-arid environments with well-drained, level to gently-sloping areas characterized by sparse vegetation and bare ground (Haug and Didiuk 1993; Dechant et al. 1999). Burrowing owls are dependent upon the presence of burrowing mammals (such as ground squirrels) whose burrows are used for roosting and nesting (Haug and Didiuk 1993). The presence or absence of colonial mammal burrows is often a major factor that limits the presence or absence of burrowing owls. Where mammal burrows are scarce, burrowing owls have been found occupying man-made cavities, such as buried and non-functioning drainpipes, stand-pipes, and dry culverts. Burrowing mammals may burrow beneath rocks and debris or large, heavy objects such as abandoned cars, concrete blocks, or concrete pads. They also require open vegetation allowing line-of-sight observation of the surrounding habitat to forage as well as watch for predators.



May 21, 2020 Page 8

No burrowing owls or recent sign (i.e., pellets, feathers, castings, or whitewash) was observed during the field investigation. The majority of the project site is unvegetated and/or vegetated with a variety of low-growing plant species that allow for line-of-sight observation favored by burrowing owls. However, no suitable burrows (>4 inches) were observed during the field investigation. Further, tall fences, street lights, ornamental trees, and office buildings surround the project site which decrease the likelihood that burrowing owls would occur on the project site as these features provide perching opportunities for larger raptor species (i.e., red-tailed hawk [*Buteo jamaicensis*]) that prey on burrowing owls.

Based on the results of the field investigation and isolation of the undeveloped area on the project site, it was determined that the project site does not have the potential to support burrowing owls and focused surveys are not recommended.

### Special-Status Plant Communities

According to the CNDDB, no special-status plant communities are reported to occur in the Guasti USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle. Based on the results of the field investigation, no special-status plant communities were observed on-site. Therefore, no special-status plant communities will be impacted from project implementation.

### **Critical Habitats**

Under the federal Endangered Species Act, "Critical Habitat" is designated at the time of listing of a species or within one year of listing. Critical Habitat refers to specific areas within the geographical range of a species at the time it is listed that include the physical or biological features that are essential to the survival and eventual recovery of that species. Maintenance of these physical and biological features requires special management considerations or protection, regardless of whether individuals or the species are present or not. All federal agencies are required to consult with the USFWS regarding activities they authorize, fund, or permit which may affect a federally listed species or its designated Critical Habitat. The purpose of the consultation is to ensure that projects will not jeopardize the continued existence of the listed species or adversely modify or destroy its designated Critical Habitat. The designation of Critical Habitat does not affect private landowners, unless a project they are proposing is on federal lands, uses federal funds, or requires federal authorization or permits (e.g., funding from the Federal Highways Administration or a Clean Water Act Permit from the United States Army Corps of Engineers). If a there is a federal nexus, then the federal agency that is responsible for providing the funding or permit would consult with the USFWS.

The project site is not located within federally designated Critical Habitat. The nearest designated Critical Habitat is located approximately 2.9 miles north of the project site for San Bernardino kangaroo rat (*Dipodomys merriami parvus*). Therefore, the loss or adverse modification of Critical Habitat from site development will not occur and consultation with the USFWS for impacts to Critical Habitat will not be required for implementation of the proposed project.

### Heritage Trees

Under the Rancho Cucamonga Municipal Code (17.16.080), certain trees may qualify as Heritage Trees and require a permit for removal. A heritage tree is defined as any tree which meets at least one of the



following criteria:

- 1. All eucalyptus windrows; or
- 2. Any tree in excess of 30 feet in height and having a single trunk diameter at breast height (DBH) of 20 inches or more as measured 4½ feet from ground level; or
- 3. Multi-trunk trees having a total diameter at breast height (DBH) of 30 inches or more as measured 4<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> feet from ground level; or
- 4. A stand of trees the nature of which makes each dependent upon the others for survival; or
- 5. Any other tree as may be deemed historically or culturally significant by the planning director because of age, size, condition, location, or aesthetic qualities.

The project site does not contain any trees that would qualify as Heritage Trees under the City's Municipal Code. Therefore, no tree removal permits will be required for project implementation.

### **Conclusion**

Based on the proposed project footprint and existing site conditions discussed in this report, none of the special-status plant or wildlife species known to occur in the general vicinity of the project site are expected to be directly or indirectly impacted from implementation of the proposed project. With completion of the recommendations provided above, no impacts to year-round, seasonal, or special-status avian residents or special-status species will occur from implementation of the proposed project. Therefore, it was determined that implementation of the project will have "no effect" on federally or State listed species known to occur in the general vicinity of the project site. Additionally, the development of the project will not impact designated Critical Habitats or regional wildlife movement corridors/linkages.

### **Recommendations**

### Migratory Bird Treaty Act and Fish and Game Code

Nesting birds are protected pursuant to the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and California Fish and Game Code (Sections 3503, 3503.5, 3511, and 3513 prohibit the take, possession, or destruction of birds, their nests or eggs). In order to protect migratory bird species, a nesting bird clearance survey should be conducted prior to any ground disturbance or vegetation removal activities that may disrupt the birds during the nesting season.

If construction occurs between February 1<sup>st</sup> and August 31<sup>st</sup>, a pre-construction clearance survey for nesting birds should be conducted within three (3) days of the start of any vegetation removal or ground disturbing activities to ensure that no nesting birds will be disturbed during construction. The biologist conducting the clearance survey should document a negative survey with a brief letter report indicating that no impacts to active avian nests will occur. If an active avian nest is discovered during the pre-construction clearance survey, construction activities should stay outside of a no-disturbance buffer. The size of the no-disturbance buffer will be determined by the wildlife biologist and will depend on the level of noise and/or surrounding anthropogenic disturbances, line of sight between the nest and the construction activity, type and duration of construction activity, ambient noise, species habituation, and topographical barriers. These factors will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis when developing buffer distances. Limits of construction to avoid an



May 21, 2020 Page 10

active nest will be established in the field with flagging, fencing, or other appropriate barriers; and construction personnel will be instructed on the sensitivity of nest areas. A biological monitor should be present to delineate the boundaries of the buffer area and to monitor the active nest to ensure that nesting behavior is not adversely affected by the construction activity. Once the young have fledged and left the nest, or the nest otherwise becomes inactive under natural conditions, construction activities within the buffer area can occur.

Please do not hesitate to contact Tom McGill at (951) 285-6014 or <u>tmcgill@elmtconsulting.com</u> or Travis McGill at (909) 816-1646 or <u>travismcgill@elmtconsulting.com</u> should you have any questions this report.

Sincerely,

Thomas J. McGill, Ph.D. Managing Director Attachments:


- A. Project Exhibits
- B. Site Plan
- C. Site Photographs
- D. Potentially Occurring Special-Status Biological Resources
- E. Regulations


Travis J. McGill Director



### Attachment A

Project Exhibits









### JERSEY INDUSTRIAL COMPLEX HABITAT ASSESSMENT





Feet Source: ESRI Aerial Imagery, Soil Survey Geographic Database, World Transportation, San Bernardino County



JERSEY INDUSTRIAL COMPLEX HABITAT ASSESSMENT





125

62.5

0


250

Exhibit 5



### Attachment B

Site Plan



### Figure 2— Site Plan

### Attachment C

Site Photographs



Photograph 1: From the southwest corner of the project site looking east along the southern boundary.



Photograph 2: From the southwest corner of the project site looking north along the western boundary.





Photograph 3: From the northwest corner of the project site looking south along the western boundary.



Photograph 4: From the northwest corner of the project site looking east along the northern boundary.





Photograph 5: From the middle of the northern boundary of the project site looking southwest.



Photograph 6: From the middle of the eastern boundary of the project site looking west.





**Photograph 7:** From the southeast corner of the project site looking west along the southern boundary.



Photograph 8: From the southeast corner of the project site looking north along the eastern boundary.



## Attachment D

Potentially Occurring Special-Status Biological Resources

<i>Scientific Name</i> Common Name	5	Status	Habitat	Observed Onsite	Potential to Occur		
SPECIAL-STATUS WILDLIFE SPECIES							
<i>Accipiter cooperii</i> Cooper's hawk	Fed: CA:	None WL	Common yearlong resident of California. Typically forages in broken woodland and habitat edges with dense stands of coast live oak ( <i>Quercus agrifolia</i> ), riparian deciduous, or other forest habitat near water. Usually nests in dense riparian areas, usually near streams.	No	<b>Low.</b> There is limited foraging habitat on-site. This species is adapted to urban environments.		
<i>Agelaius tricolor</i> tricolored blackbird	Fed: CA:	None THR/SSC	Range is limited to the coastal areas of the Pacific coast of North America, from Northern California to upper Baja California. Can be found in a wide variety of habitat including annual grasslands, wet and dry vernal pools and other seasonal wetlands, agricultural fields, cattle feedlots, and dairies. Occasionally forage in riparian scrub habitats along marsh borders. Basic habitat requirements for breeding include open accessible water, protected nesting substrate (freshwater marsh dominated by cattails, willows, and bulrushes [ <i>Schoenoplectus</i> sp.]), and either flooded or thorny or spiny vegetation and suitable foraging space providing adequate insect prey.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.		
<i>Aimophila ruficeps canescens</i> southern California rufous-crowned sparrow	Fed: CA:	None WL	Typically found between 3,000 and 6,000 feet in elevation. Breed in sparsely vegetated scrubland on hillsides and canyons. Prefers coastal sage scrub dominated by California sagebrush ( <i>Artemisia californica</i> ), but they can also be found breeding in coastal bluff scrub, low-growing serpentine chaparral, and along the edges of tall chaparral habitats.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.		
Anniella stebbinsi southern California legless lizard	Fed: CA:	None SSC	Occurs in sparsely vegetated habitat types including coastal sand dunes, chaparral, pine-oak woodland, desert scrub, open grassland, and riparian areas. Requires sandy or loose loamy substrates conducive to burrowing.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.		
Ardea alba great egret	Fed: CA:	None None	Yearlong resident throughout California, except for the high mountains and deserts. Feeds and rests in fresh, and saline emergent wetlands, along the margins of estuaries, lakes, and slow-moving streams, on mudflats and salt ponds, and in irrigated croplands and pastures.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.		
Ardea herodias great blue heron	Fed: CA:	None None	Fairly common all year throughout most of California, in shallow estuaries and fresh and saline emergent wetlands. Less common along riverine and rocky marine shores, in croplands, pastures, and in mountains about foothills.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.		
Arizona elegans occidentalis California glossy snake	Fed: CA:	None SSC	Occurs in a wide variety of habitat types including open desert, grasslands, shrublands, chaparral, and woodlands. Prefers areas where the soil is loose and sandy which allows for burrowing.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.		
Aspidoscelis tigris stejnegeri coastal whiptail	Fed: CA:	None SSC	Found in a variety of ecosystems, primarily hot and dry open areas with sparse foliage - chaparral, woodland, and riparian areas.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.		

### Table D-1: Potentially Occurring Special-Status Biological Resources



Scientific Name Common Name	Status		Status Habitat		Potential to Occur
Athene cunicularia burrowing owl	Fed: CA:	None SSC	Primarily a grassland species, but it persists and even thrives in some landscapes highly altered by human activity. Occurs in open, annual or perennial grasslands, deserts, and scrublands characterized by low-growing vegetation. The overriding characteristics of suitable habitat appear to be burrows for roosting and nesting and relatively short vegetation with only sparse shrubs and taller vegetation.	No	Presumed absent. Minimal foraging habitat is present in the northern portion of the site. However, no suitable burrows (>4 inches) are present onsite. Further, perching opportunities for predators of burrowing owl are present.
<i>Bombus crotchii</i> Crotch bumble bee	Fed: CA:	None CE	Exclusive to coastal California east towards the Sierra-Cascade Crest; less common in western Nevada.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Calypte costae</i> Costa's hummingbird	Fed: CA:	None None	Desert and semi-desert, arid brushy foothills and chaparral. A desert hummingbird that breeds in the Sonoran and Mojave Deserts. Departs desert heat moving into chaparral, scrub, and woodland habitats.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Chaetodipus fallax fallax</i> northwestern San Diego pocket mouse	Fed: CA:	None SSC	Occurs in desert and coastal habitats in southern California, Mexico, and northern Baja California, from sea level to at least 1,400 meters above msl. Found in a variety of temperate habitats ranging from chaparral and grasslands to scrub forests and deserts. Requires low growing vegetation or rocky outcroppings, as well as sandy soils for burrowing.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Circus hudsonius</i> northern harrier	Fed: CA:	None SSC	Frequents meadows, grasslands, open rangelands, desert sinks, fresh and saltwater emergent wetlands; seldom found in wooded areas. Mostly found in flat, or hummocky, open areas of tall, dense grasses moist or dry shrubs, and edges for nesting, cover, and feeding.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Dipodomys merriami parvus</i> San Bernardino kangaroo rat	Fed: CA:	<b>END</b> CE/SSC	Primarily found in Riversidean alluvial fan sage scrub (RAFSS) and sandy loam soils, alluvial fans and flood plains, and along washes with nearby sage scrub. May also occur at lower densities in Riversidean upland sage scrub, chaparral and grassland in uplands and tributaries in proximity to RAFSS habitat. Tends to avoid rocky substrates.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Dipodomys simulans</i> Dulzura kangaroo rat	Fed: CA:	None None	Relatively common in chaparral, coastal sage scrub, Riversidean alluvial fan sage scrub, and peninsular juniper woodland habitats.	No	Presumed absent. No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Dipodomys stephensi</i> Stephens' kangaroo rat	Fed: CA:	END THR	Occur in arid and semi-arid habitats with some grass or brush. Prefer open habitats with less than 50% protective cover. Require soft, well-drained substrate for building burrows and are typically found in areas with sandy soil.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Egretta thula</i> snowy egret	Fed: CA:	None None	Widespread in California along shores of coastal estuaries, fresh and saline emergent wetlands, ponds, slow-moving rivers, irrigation ditches, and wet fields. In southern California, common yearlong in the Imperial Valley and along the Colorado River.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Elanus leucurus</i> white-tailed kite	Fed: CA:	None FP	Widespread in California along shores of coastal estuaries, fresh and saline emergent wetlands, ponds, slow-moving rivers, irrigation ditches, and wet fields. In southern California, common yearlong in the Imperial Valley and along the Colorado River.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.

Scientific Name Common Name	Status		itatus Habitat	Observed Onsite	Potential to Occur
<i>Eremophila alpestris actia</i> California horned lark	Fed: CA:	None WL	Occurs in meadows, grasslands, open fields, prairie, and alkali flats. This subspecies is typically found in coastal regions.	No	Low. There is limited foraging habitat on-site and no suitable nesting habitat on-site.
<i>Eumops perotis californicus</i> western mastiff bat	Fed: CA:	None SSC	Primarily a cliff-dwelling species, roost generally under exfoliating rock slabs. Roosts are generally high above the ground, usually allowing a clear vertical drop of at least 3 meters below the entrance for flight. In California, it is most frequently encountered in broad open areas including dry desert washes, flood plains, chaparral, oak woodland, open ponderosa pine forest, grassland, and agricultural areas.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Lanius ludovicianus</i> loggerhead shrike	Fed: CA:	None SSC	Common yearlong resident of California. Prefers open habitats with bare ground, scattered shrubs, and areas with low or sparse herbaceous cover. Requires suitable perches including trees, posts, fences, utility lines, or other perches.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Larus californicus</i> California gull	Fed: CA:	None WL	Require isolated islands in rivers, reservoirs and natural lakes for nesting, where predations pressures from terrestrial mammals are diminished. Uses both fresh and saline aquatic habitats at variable elevations and degrees of aridity for nesting and for opportunistic foraging.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Lasiurus xanthinus</i> western yellow bat	Fed: CA:	None SSC	Occurs in valley/foothill riparian, desert riparian, desert wash, and palm oasis habitats. Roosts under palm trees and feeds in, and near, palm oases and riparian habitats.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Laterallus jamaicensis coturniculus</i> California black rail	Fed: CA:	None THR/FP	Shallow marshes, and wet meadows; in winter, drier fresh-water and brackish marshes, as well as dense, deep grass.	No	Presumed absent. No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Lepus californicus bennettii</i> San Diego black-tailed jackrabbit	Fed: CA:	None SSC	Occupies many diverse habitats, but primarily is found in arid regions supporting short-grass habitats, agricultural fields, or sparse coastal scrub.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Neotoma lepida intermedia</i> San Diego desert woodrat	Fed: CA:	None SSC	Occurs in coastal scrub communities between San Luis Obispo and San Diego Counties. Prefers moderate to dense canopies, and especially rocky outcrops.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i> black-crowned night heron	Fed: CA:	None None	Common in wetlands across North America, including saltmarshes, freshwater marshes, swamps, streams, rivers, lakes, ponds, lagoons, tidal mudflats, and wet agricultural fields. They require aquatic habitat for foraging and terrestrial vegetation for cover.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<b>Perognathus longimembris brevinasus</b> Los Angeles pocket mouse	Fed: CA:	None SSC	Occurs in lower elevation grasslands and coastal sage scrub communities in and around the Los Angeles Basin. Prefers open ground with fine sandy soils. May not dig extensive burrows, but instead will seek refuge under weeds and dead leaves instead.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<b>Perognathus longimembris pacificus</b> Pacific pocket mouse	Fed: CA:	END SSC	Occurs on loose sandy soils that support sparse coastal sage scrub, grassland, and ruderal habitats.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.



<i>Scientific Name</i> Common Name	Status				Potential to Occur
<i>Phrynosoma blainvillii</i> coast horned lizard	Fed: CA:	None SSC	Found in a wide variety of vegetation types including coastal sage scrub, annual grassland, chaparral, oak woodland, riparian woodland and coniferous forest. The key elements of such habitats are loose, fine soils with a high sand fraction; an abundance of native ants or other insects; and open areas with limited overstory for basking and low, but relatively dense shrubs for refuge.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Polioptila californica californica</i> coastal California gnatcatcher	Fed: CA:	<b>THR</b> SSC	Common yearlong resident of southern California in sage scrub habitats that are dominated by California sagebrush ( <i>Artemisia californica</i> ). Prefers scrub habitat with more low-growing vegetation. Species generally occurs below 750 feet above mean sea level (msl) along the coast and below 1,500 feet above msl within inland regions.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<b>Rhaphiomidas terminatus abdominalis</b> Delhi Sands flower-loving fly	Fed: CA:	END None	DSF habitat is limited to areas that include Delhi fine sand, an aeolian (wind-deposited) soil type. The highest density of DSF have been found in habitat that includes a variety of plants including California buckwheat, California croton, deerweed, and telegraph weed.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Spizella breweri</i> Brewer's sparrow	Fed: CA:	None None	Habitats include sagebrush and brushy plains.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Vireo bellii pusillus</i> least Bell's vireo	Fed: CA:	END END	Primarily occupy Riverine riparian habitat that typically feature dense cover within 1 -2 meters of the ground and a dense, stratified canopy. Typically, it is associated with southern willow scrub, cottonwood-willow forest, mule fat scrub, sycamore alluvial woodlands, coast live oak riparian forest, arroyo willow riparian forest, or mesquite in desert localities. It uses habitat which is limited to the immediate vicinity of water courses, 2,000 feet elevation in the interior.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
			SPECIAL-STATUS PLANT SPECIES		
<i>Calochortus catalinae</i> Catalina mariposa-lily	Fed: CA: CNPS:	None None 4.2	Grows in chaparral, cismontane woodland, coastal scrub, and valley and foothill grassland habitats. Found at elevations ranging from 49 to 2,297 feet. Blooming period is from March to June.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Calochortus plummerae</i> Plummer's mariposa-lily	Fed: CA: CNPS:	None None 4.2	Prefers openings in chaparral, foothill woodland, coastal sage scrub, valley and foothill grasslands, cismontane woodland, lower montane coniferous forest and yellow pine forest. Often found on dry, rocky slopes and soils and brushy areas. Can be very common after a fire. From 328 to 5,577 feet in elevation. Blooming period is from May to July.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Chorizanthe parryi</i> var. <i>parryi</i> Parry's spineflower	Fed: CA: CNPS:	None None 1B.1	Occurs on sandy and/or rocky soils in chaparral, coastal sage scrub, and sandy openings within alluvial washes and margins. Found at elevations ranging from 951 to 3,773 feet. Blooming period is from April to June.	No	<b>Presumed absent.</b> No suitable habitat is present on-site.
<i>Cladium californicum</i> California saw-grass	Fed: CA: CNPS:	None None 2B.2	Found in meadows and seeps, marshes and alkaline swamps or freshwater habitats. Found at elevations ranging from 197 to 5,249 feet. Blooming period is from June to September.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.



<i>Scientific Name</i> Common Name	Status		Habitat	Observed Onsite	Potential to Occur
<i>Deinandra paniculata</i> paniculate tarplant	Fed: CA: CNPS:	None None 4.2	Typically found in vernally mesic, sometimes sandy soils in coastal scrub, valley and foothill grasslands, and vernal pools. Found at elevations ranging from 82 to 3,084 feet. Blooming period is from April to November.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
Horkelia cuneata var. puberula mesa horkelia	Fed: CA: CNPS:	None None 1B.1	Occurs on sandy or gravelly soils in chaparral, woodlands, and coastal scrub plant communities. Found at elevations ranging from 230 to 2,657 feet. Blooming period is from February to September.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Juglans californica</i> southern California black walnut	Fed: CA: CNPS:	None None 4.2	Found in chaparral, cismontane woodland, coastal scrub, and riparian woodland habitats. Found at elevations ranging from 164 to 2,953 feet. Blooming period is from March to August.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Muhlenbergia californica</i> California muhly	Fed: CA: CNPS:	None None 4.3	Found in mesic, seeps, and streambanks within chaparral, coastal scrub, lower montane coniferous forest, and meadows and seeps. Found at elevations ranging from 328 to 6,562 feet. Blooming period is from June to September.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Muhlenbergia utilis</i> aparego grass	Fed: CA: CNPS:	None None 2B.2	Native to north and central America. Grows in wet habitats, including riverbanks and meadows, sometimes alkaline soils. Blooming period is from October to March.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Navarretia prostrata</i> prostrate vernal pool navarretia	Fed: CA: CNPS:	None None 1B.2	Found in mesic soils in coastal scrub, meadows and seeps, valley and foothill grasslands (alkaline), and vernal pools. Found at elevations ranging from 65 to 2,100 feet. Blooming period is from April to July.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Phacelia stellaris</i> Brand's star phacelia	Fed: CA: CNPS:	None None 1B.1	Occurs in coastal dunes and coastal sage scrub habitats. In western Riverside County this species is restricted to sandy benches along the Santa Ana River. Grows in elevations ranging from 3 to 1,312 feet. Blooming period is from March to June.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Pseudognaphalium leucocephalum</i> white rabbit-tobacco	Fed: CA: CNPS:	None None 2B.2	Grows in sandy, gravelly soils within chaparral, cismontane woodland, coastal scrub, and riparian woodland habitats. Found at elevations ranging from 0 to 6,890 feet. Blooming period is from July to December.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.
<i>Symphyotrichum defoliatum</i> San Bernardino aster	Fed: CA: CNPS:	None None 1B.2	Grows in cismontane woodland, coastal scrub, lower montane coniferous forest, meadows and seeps, marshes and swamps, valley and foothill grassland (vernally mesic). Can be found growing near ditches, streams, and springs within these habitats. Found at elevations ranging from 7 to 6,693 feet. Blooming period is from July to November.	No	<b>Presumed absent</b> . No suitable habitat is present.

#### U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service California Department of Fish and (USFWS) - Federal END - Federally Endangered THR - Federally Threatened

### Wildlife (CDFW) - California

END - State Endangered CEND - State Candidate Endangered SSC - Species of Special Concern WL - Watch List FP - Fully Protected

#### California Native Plant Society (CNPS) California Rare Plant Rank

- 1A Plants Presumed Extirpated in California and Either Rare or Extinct Elsewhere
- 1B Plants Rare, Threatened, or Endangered in California and Elsewhere
- 2B Plants Rare, Threatened, or Endangered in California, but More Common
  - Elsewhere
- 4 Plants of Limited Distribution A Watch List

#### Threat Ranks

- 0.1 Seriously threatened in California 0.2 - Moderately threatened in California 0.3 - Not very threatened in
- California



# Attachment E

Regulations

Special status species are native species that have been afforded special legal or management protection because of concern for their continued existence. There are several categories of protection at both federal and state levels, depending on the magnitude of threat to continued existence and existing knowledge of population levels.

### Federal Regulations

### Endangered Species Act of 1973

Federally listed threatened and endangered species and their habitats are protected under provisions of the Federal Endangered Species Act (ESA). Section 9 of the ESA prohibits "take" of threatened or endangered species. "Take" under the ESA is defined as to "harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any of the specifically enumerated conduct." The presence of any federally threatened or endangered species that are in a project area generally imposes severe constraints on development, particularly if development would result in "take" of the species or its habitat. Under the regulations of the ESA, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) may authorize "take" when it is incidental to, but not the purpose of, an otherwise lawful act.

Critical Habitat is designated for the survival and recovery of species listed as threatened or endangered under the ESA. Critical Habitat includes those areas occupied by the species, in which are found physical and biological features that are essential to the conservation of an ESA listed species and which may require special management considerations or protection. Critical Habitat may also include unoccupied habitat if it is determined that the unoccupied habitat is essential for the conservation of the species.

Whenever federal agencies authorize, fund, or carry out actions that may adversely modify or destroy Critical Habitat, they must consult with USFWS under Section 7 of the ESA. The designation of Critical Habitat does not affect private landowners, unless a project they are proposing uses federal funds, or requires federal authorization or permits (e.g., funding from the Federal Highway Administration or a permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps)).

If USFWS determines that Critical Habitat will be adversely modified or destroyed from a proposed action, the USFWS will develop reasonable and prudent alternatives in cooperation with the federal institution to ensure the purpose of the proposed action can be achieved without loss of Critical Habitat. If the action is not likely to adversely modify or destroy Critical Habitat, USFWS will include a statement in its biological opinion concerning any incidental take that may be authorized and specify terms and conditions to ensure the agency is in compliance with the opinion.

### Migratory Bird Treaty Act

The Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) (16 U.S. Government Code [USC] 703) makes it unlawful to pursue, capture, kill, possess, or attempt to do the same to any migratory bird or part, nest, or egg of any such bird listed in wildlife protection treaties between the United States, Great Britain, Mexico, Japan, and the countries of the former Soviet Union, and authorizes the U.S. Secretary of the Interior to protect and regulate the taking of migratory birds. It establishes seasons and bag limits for hunted species and protects migratory birds, their occupied nests, and their eggs (16 USC 703; 50 CFR 10, 21).



The MBTA covers the taking of any nests or eggs of migratory birds, except as allowed by permit pursuant to 50 CFR, Part 21. Disturbances causing nest abandonment and/or loss of reproductive effort (i.e., killing or abandonment of eggs or young) may also be considered "take." This regulation seeks to protect migratory birds and active nests.

In 1972, the MBTA was amended to include protection for migratory birds of prey (e.g., raptors). Six families of raptors occurring in North America were included in the amendment: Accipitridae (kites, hawks, and eagles); Cathartidae (New World vultures); Falconidae (falcons and caracaras); Pandionidae (ospreys); Strigidae (typical owls); and Tytonidae (barn owls). The provisions of the 1972 amendment to the MBTA protects all species and subspecies of the families listed above. The MBTA protects over 800 species including geese, ducks, shorebirds, raptors, songbirds and many relatively common species.

### **State Regulations**

### California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) provides for the protection of the environment within the State of California by establishing State policy to prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures for projects. It applies to actions directly undertaken, financed, or permitted by State lead agencies. If a project is determined to be subject to CEQA, the lead agency will be required to conduct an Initial Study (IS); if the IS determines that the project may have significant impacts on the environment, the lead agency will subsequently be required to write an Environmental Impact Report (EIR). A finding of non-significant effects will require either a Negative Declaration or a Mitigated Negative Declaration instead of an EIR. Section 15380 of the CEQA Guidelines independently defines "endangered" and "rare" species separately from the definitions of the California Endangered Species Act (CESA). Under CEQA, "endangered" species of plants or animals are defined as those whose survival and reproduction in the wild are in immediate jeopardy, while "rare" species are defined as those who are in such low numbers that they could become endangered if their environment worsens.

### California Endangered Species Act (CESA)

In addition to federal laws, the state of California implements the CESA which is enforced by CDFW. The CESA program maintains a separate listing of species beyond the FESA, although the provisions of each act are similar.

State-listed threatened and endangered species are protected under provisions of the CESA. Activities that may result in "take" of individuals (defined in CESA as; "hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill, or attempt to hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill") are regulated by CDFW. Habitat degradation or modification is not included in the definition of "take" under CESA. Nonetheless, CDFW has interpreted "take" to include the destruction of nesting, denning, or foraging habitat necessary to maintain a viable breeding population of protected species.

The State of California considers an endangered species as one whose prospects of survival and reproduction are in immediate jeopardy. A threatened species is considered as one present in such small numbers throughout its range that it is likely to become an endangered species in the near future in the



absence of special protection or management. A rare species is one that is considered present in such small numbers throughout its range that it may become endangered if its present environment worsens. State threatened and endangered species are fully protected against take, as defined above.

The CDFW has also produced a species of special concern list to serve as a species watch list. Species on this list are either of limited distribution or their habitats have been reduced substantially, such that a threat to their populations may be imminent. Species of special concern may receive special attention during environmental review, but they do not have formal statutory protection. At the federal level, USFWS also uses the label species of concern, as an informal term that refers to species which might be in need of concentrated conservation actions. As the Species of Concern designated by USFWS do not receive formal legal protection, the use of the term does not necessarily ensure that the species will be proposed for listing as a threatened or endangered species.

### Fish and Game Code

Fish and Game Code Sections 3503, 3503.5, 3511, and 3513 are applicable to natural resource management. For example, Section 3503 of the Code makes it unlawful to destroy any birds' nest or any birds' eggs that are protected under the MBTA. Further, any birds in the orders Falconiformes or Strigiformes (Birds of Prey, such as hawks, eagles, and owls) are protected under Section 3503.5 of the Fish and Game Code which makes it unlawful to take, possess, or destroy their nest or eggs. A consultation with CDFW may be required prior to the removal of any bird of prey nest that may occur on a project site. Section 3511 of the Fish and Game Code lists fully protected bird species, where the CDFW is unable to authorize the issuance of permits or licenses to take these species. Pertinent species that are State fully protected by the State include golden eagle (*Aquila chrysaetos*) and white-tailed kite (*Elanus leucurus*). Section 3513 of the Fish and Game Code makes it unlawful to take or possess any migratory nongame bird as designated in the MBTA or any part of such migratory nongame bird except as provided by rules and regulations adopted by the Secretary of the Interior under provisions of the MBTA.

### Native Plant Protection Act

Sections 1900–1913 of the Fish and Game Code were developed to preserve, protect, and enhance Rare and Endangered plants in the state of California. The act requires all state agencies to use their authority to carry out programs to conserve Endangered and Rare native plants. Provisions of the Native Plant Protection Act prohibit the taking of listed plants from the wild and require notification of the CDFW at least ten days in advance of any change in land use which would adversely impact listed plants. This allows the CDFW to salvage listed plant species that would otherwise be destroyed.

### California Native Plant Society Rare and Endangered Plant Species

Vascular plants listed as rare or endangered by the CNPS, but which have no designated status under FESA or CESA are defined as follows:

### California Rare Plant Rank

- 1A- Plants Presumed Extirpated in California and either Rare or Extinct Elsewhere
- 1B- Plants Rare, Threatened, or Endangered in California and Elsewhere



- 2A- Plants Presumed Extirpated in California, But More Common Elsewhere
- 2B- Plants Rare, Threatened, or Endangered in California, But More Common Elsewhere
- 3- Plants about Which More Information is Needed A Review List
- 4- Plants of Limited Distribution A Watch List

### Threat Ranks

- .1- Seriously threatened in California (over 80% of occurrences threatened / high degree and immediacy of threat)
- .2- Moderately threatened in California (20-80% occurrences threatened / moderate degree and immediacy of threat)
- .3- Not very threatened in California (<20% of occurrences threatened / low degree and immediacy of threat or no current threats known).

### Local Regulations

### Rancho Cucamonga Municipal Code

Under the Rancho Cucamonga Municipal Code (17.16.080), certain trees may qualify as Heritage Trees and require a permit for removal. A heritage tree is defined as any tree which meets at least one of the following criteria:

- All eucalyptus windrows; or
- Any tree in excess of 30 feet in height and having a single trunk diameter at breast height (DBH) of 20 inches or more as measured 4½ feet from ground level; or
- Multi-trunk trees having a total diameter at breast height (DBH) of 30 inches or more as measured 4½ feet from ground level; or
- A stand of trees the nature of which makes each dependent upon the others for survival; or
- Any other tree as may be deemed historically or culturally significant by the planning director because of age, size, condition, location, or aesthetic qualities.



There are three key agencies that regulate activities within inland streams, wetlands, and riparian areas in California. The Corps Regulatory Branch regulates activities pursuant to Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act. Of the State agencies, the CDFG regulates activities under the Fish and Game Code Section 1600-1616, and the Regional Board regulates activities pursuant to Section 401 of the CWA and the California Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act.

### **Federal Regulations**

### Section 404 of the Clean Water Act

Since 1972, the Corps and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) have jointly regulated the filling of "waters of the U.S.," including wetlands, pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA). The Corps has regulatory authority over the discharge of dredged or fill material into the waters of the United States under Section 404 of the CWA. The Corps and EPA define "fill material" to include any "material placed in waters of the United States where the material has the effect of: (i) replacing any portion of a water of the United States with dry land; or (ii) changing the bottom elevation of any portion of the waters of the United States." Examples include, but are not limited to, sand, rock, clay, construction debris, wood chips, and "materials used to create any structure or infrastructure in the waters of the United States." In order to further define the scope of waters protected under the CWA, the Corps and EPA published the Clean Water Rule on June 29, 2015. Pursuant to the Clean Water Rule, the term "*waters of the United States*" is defined as follows:

- (i) All waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
- (ii) All interstate waters, including interstate wetlands<sup>1</sup>.
- (iii) The territorial seas.
- (iv) All impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the United States under the definition.
- (v) All tributaries<sup>2</sup> of waters identified in paragraphs (i) through (iii) mentioned above.
- (vi) All waters adjacent<sup>3</sup> to a water identified in paragraphs (i) through (v) mentioned above, including wetlands, ponds, lakes, oxbows, impoundments, and similar waters.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The term *wetlands* means those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The terms *tributary* and *tributaries* each mean a water that contributes flow, either directly or through another water (including an impoundment identified in paragraph (iv) mentioned above), to a water identified in paragraphs (i) through (iii) mentioned above, that is characterized by the presence of the physical indicators of a bed and banks and an ordinary high water mark.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The term *adjacent* means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring a water identified in paragraphs (i) through (v) mentioned above, including waters separated by constructed dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like.

- (vii) All prairie potholes, Carolina bays and Delmarva bays, Pocosins, western vernals pools, Texas coastal prairie wetlands, where they are determined, on a case-specific basis, to have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (i) through (iii) meantioned above.
- (viii) All waters located within the 100-year floodplain of a water identified in paragraphs (i) through (iii) mentioned above and all waters located within 4,000 feet of the high tide line or ordinary high water mark of a water identified in paragraphs (i) through (v) mentioned above, where they are determined on a case-specific basis to have a significant nexus to a waters identified in paragraphs (i) through (iii) mentioned above.

The following features are not defined as "waters of the United States" even when they meet the terms of paragraphs (iv) through (viii) mentioned above:

- (i) Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons designed to meet the requirements of the Clean Water Act.
- (ii) Prior converted cropland.
- (iii) The following ditches:
  - (A) Ditches with ephemeral flow that are not a relocated tributary or excavated in a tributary.
  - (B) Ditches with intermittent flow that are not a relocated tributary, excavated in a tributary, or drain wetlands.
  - (C) Ditches that do not flow, either directly or through another water, into a water of the United States as identified in paragraphs (i) through (iii) of the previous section.
- (iv) The following features:
  - (A) Artificially irrigated areas that would revert to dry land should application of water to that area cease;
  - (B) Artificial, constructed lakes and ponds created in dry land such as farm and stock watering ponds, irrigation ponds, settling basins, fields flooded for rice growing, log cleaning ponds, or cooling ponds;
  - (C) Artificial reflecting pools or swimming pools created in dry land;
  - (D) Small ornamental waters created in dry land;
  - (E) Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to mining or construction activity, including pits excavated for obtaining fill, sand, or gravel that fill with water;
  - (F) Erosional features, including gullies, rills, and other ephemeral features that do not meet the definition of a tributary, non-wetland swales, and lawfully constructed grassed waterways; and
  - (G) Puddles.
- (v) Groundwater, including groundwater drained through subsurface drainage systems.
- (vi) Stormwater control features constructed to convey, treat, or store stormwater that are created in dry land.



(vii) Wastewater recycling structures constructed in dry land; detention and retention basins built for wastewater recycling; groundwater recharge basins; percolation ponds built for wastewater recycling; and water distributary structures built for wastewater recycling.

### Section 401 of the Clean Water Act

Pursuant to Section 401 of the CWA, any applicant for a federal license or permit to conduct any activity which may result in any discharge to waters of the United States must provide certification from the State or Indian tribe in which the discharge originates. This certification provides for the protection of the physical, chemical, and biological integrity of waters, addresses impacts to water quality that may result from issuance of federal permits, and helps insure that federal actions will not violate water quality standards of the State or Indian tribe. In California, there are nine Regional Water Quality Control Boards (Regional Board) that issue or deny certification for discharges to waters of the United States and waters of the State, including wetlands, within their geographical jurisdiction. The State Water Resources Control Board assumed this responsibility when a project has the potential to result in the discharge to waters within multiple Regional Boards.

### State Regulations

### Fish and Game Code

Fish and Game Code Sections 1600 et. seq. establishes a fee-based process to ensure that projects conducted in and around lakes, rivers, or streams do not adversely impact fish and wildlife resources, or, when adverse impacts cannot be avoided, ensures that adequate mitigation and/or compensation is provided.

Fish and Game Code Section 1602 requires any person, state, or local governmental agency or public utility to notify the CDFW before beginning any activity that will do one or more of the following:

- (1) substantially obstruct or divert the natural flow of a river, stream, or lake;
- (2) substantially change or use any material from the bed, channel, or bank of a river, stream, or lake; or
- (3) deposit or dispose of debris, waste, or other material containing crumbled, flaked, or ground pavement where it can pass into a river, stream, or lake.

Fish and Game Code Section 1602 applies to all perennial, intermittent, and ephemeral rivers, streams, and lakes in the State. CDFW's regulatory authority extends to include riparian habitat (including wetlands) supported by a river, stream, or lake regardless of the presence or absence of hydric soils and saturated soil conditions. Generally, the CDFW takes jurisdiction to the top of bank of the stream or to the outer limit of the adjacent riparian vegetation (outer drip line), whichever is greater. Notification is generally required for any project that will take place in or in the vicinity of a river, stream, lake, or their tributaries. This includes rivers or streams that flow at least periodically or permanently through a bed or channel with banks that support fish or other aquatic life and watercourses having a surface or subsurface flow that support or have supported riparian vegetation. A Section 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement would be required if impacts to identified CDFW jurisdictional areas occur.



### Porter Cologne Act

The California *Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act* gives the State very broad authority to regulate waters of the State, which are defined as any surface water or groundwater, including saline waters. The Porter-Cologne Act has become an important tool in the post SWANCC and Rapanos regulatory environment, with respect to the state's authority over isolated and insignificant waters. Generally, any person proposing to discharge waste into a water body that could affect its water quality must file a Report of Waste Discharge in the event that there is no Section 404/401 nexus. Although "waste" is partially defined as any waste substance associated with human habitation, the Regional Board also interprets this to include fill discharged into water bodies.

