

State of California – Natural Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE South Coast Region 3883 Ruffin Road San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 467-4201 www.wildlife.ca.gov GAVIN NEWSOM, Governor CHARLTON H. BONHAM, Director



Governor's Office of Planning & Research

## Apr 27 2021

#### April 27, 2021

# STATE CLEARING HOUSE

Andrea Keefer City of Buellton, Planning Director 107 West Highway 246 Buellton, CA 93427 Andrea@cityofbuellton.com

#### Subject: Comments on the Notice of Preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Report for the City of Buellton Land Use and Circulation Element Update EIR, SCH #2021030367, Santa Barbara County

Dear Ms. Keefer:

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) has reviewed the Notice of Preparation (NOP) of a Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the City of Buellton Land Use and Circulation Element Update EIR (Project). The City of Buellton is the lead agency preparing a DEIR pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA; Pub. Resources Code, § 15082 et. seq.) with the purpose of informing decision-makers and the public regarding potential environmental effects related to the Project.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments and recommendations regarding those activities involved in the Project that may affect California fish and wildlife. Likewise, we appreciate the opportunity to provide comments regarding those aspects of the Project that CDFW, by law, may be required to carry out or approve through the exercise of its own regulatory authority under the Fish and Game Code.

#### CDFW's Role

CDFW is California's Trustee Agency for fish and wildlife resources and holds those resources in trust by statute for all the people of the State [Fish & Game Code, §§ 711.7, subdivision (a) & 1802; Public Resources Code, § 21070; California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, § 15386, subdivision (a)]. CDFW, in its trustee capacity, has jurisdiction over the conservation, protection, and management of fish, wildlife, native plants, and habitat necessary for biologically sustainable populations of those species (Id., § 1802). Similarly, for purposes of CEQA, CDFW is charged by law to provide, as available, biological expertise during public agency environmental review efforts, focusing specifically on projects and related activities that have the potential to adversely affect state fish and wildlife resources.

CDFW is also submitting comments as a Responsible Agency under CEQA (Public Resources Code, § 21069; CEQA Guidelines, § 15381). CDFW expects that it may need to exercise regulatory authority as provided by the Fish and Game Code, including lake and streambed alteration regulatory authority (Fish & Game Code, § 1600 *et seq.*). Likewise, to the extent implementation of the Project as proposed may result in "take" (see Fish & Game Code, § 2050) of any species protected under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA; Fish & Game Code, § 2050 *et seq.*) or the Native Plant Protection Act (NPPA; Fish & Game Code, § 1900 *et* 

# Conserving California's Wildlife Since 1870

Andrea Keefer City of Buellton April 27, 2021 Page 2 of 10

*seq.*), CDFW recommends the Project proponent obtain appropriate authorization under the Fish and Game Code.

**Project Location:** The project applies to the entire City of Buellton (Citywide). Buellton is located in southern Santa Barbara County, and is approximately 1.6 square miles in size.

**Project Description/Objectives:** The project consists of a focused update to the Land Use Element and a comprehensive update to the Circulation Element of the City of Buellton's General Plan. Updates to the Land Use Element will be made to reflect the implementation of several private development projects and the adoption of new policy and regulatory standards, including the Avenue of Flags Specific Plan (adopted in 2017), Village Specific Plan (adopted in 2013), the 2012 Vision Plan, and Economic Development Strategy (adopted in 2018). New environmental and social justice policies will be added to address state regulatory requirements. The update will inform the more comprehensive future update of the General Plan, which is scheduled to take place in 2025. The Circulation Element update will also establish relevant long-term improvements to the City-wide circulation system, including State Route 246. The Circulation Element will update existing and future circulation system characteristics, including parking, and transit, in Buellton.

# COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CDFW offers the following comments and recommendations to assist the City of Buellton in adequately identifying and/or mitigating the Project's significant, or potentially significant, direct and indirect impacts on fish and wildlife (biological) resources.

## **Specific Comments**

- Program Level Review Considerations. Realizing that the project is a Program Level planning document, CDFW recommends that the DEIR include descriptions on how the project will address the below general comments at the Program level to maximize consideration for biological resources during subsequent project reviews and to ensure that these reviews are consistent with the Project's planning intent.
- 2) <u>Safe Wildlife Crossing</u>. CDFW recommends while updating the circulation element, consideration for maintaining and improving safe wildlife crossing be assessed. Fish including Southern California steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), small and large mammals, including American badger (*Taxidea taxus*), a species of special concern as well as smaller amphibians should be considered where changes in circulation patterns would impact the ability of these species to safely cross under roadways.
- 3) <u>Impacts to Mountain Lions</u>. Santa Barbara County mountain lions (*Puma concolor*) are grouped within the "Central Coast Central" subpopulation that includes San Luis Obispo and Monterey counties. Biologists estimate 113 to 226 adult lions roam the region. Statewide, their numbers are believed to have dipped below 4,000. Vehicle collisions with Mountain lions on California roads and highways are reported up to twice per week. In a typical year, this rate either stays constant or increases slightly in the transition from winter to summer (Nguyen, et al, 2020). numerous mountain lion vehicle strikes have been documented throughout Santa Barbara County including two deaths within 30-days of each other near Vandenburg Air Force Base.

- a) The mountain lion is a specially protected mammal in California (Fish & G. Code, § 4800). In addition, on April 21, 2020, the Commission accepted a petition to list an Evolutionarily Significant Unit (ESU) of mountain lion in southern and central coast California as threatened under CESA. Therefore, any new development project should analyze the potential for mountain lion to be impacted.
- b) Mountain lions may be impacted by increased traffic, human presence, light, and noise. CDFW recommends the DEIR evaluate potential adverse impacts to mountain lions during and after Project construction as a result of stressors described. CDFW recommends the Project be designed to allow safe passage of mountain lion under or over transportation projects that cross mountain lion movement corridors.
- c) Given suitable habitat within the Project site and documented use of areas adjacent to the Project site, to reduce impacts to less than significant, CDFW recommends the DEIR analyze habitat, use, and movement corridors of mountain lion. CDFW recommends a qualified biologist familiar with the species behavior and life history should conduct surveys in areas that may provide possible habitat and movement corridors for mountain lion to determine the potential presence/absence of the species. Surveys should be conducted when the species is most likely to be detected, during crepuscular periods at dawn and dusk (Pierce and Bleich 2003). If "take" or adverse impacts to mountain lion cannot be avoided either during project development activities or over the life of the development project, the project proponent must consult CDFW to determine if a CESA Incidental Take Permit is required (pursuant to Fish & Game Code, § 2080 et seq.)
- 4) California Endangered Species Act (CESA). Project related activities may adversely impact potential habitat for listed species. CDFW considers adverse impacts to a species protected by CESA to be significant without mitigation under CEQA. As to CESA, take of any endangered, threatened, candidate species, or State-listed rare plant species that results from the Project is prohibited, except as authorized by state law (Fish and Game Code, §§ 2080, 2085; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, §786.9). Consequently, if the Project, Project construction, or any Project-related activity during the life of the Project will result in take of a species designated as endangered or threatened, or a candidate for listing under CESA, CDFW recommends that the Project proponent seek appropriate take authorization under CESA prior to implementing the Project. Appropriate authorization from CDFW may include an Incidental Take Permit (ITP) or a consistency determination in certain circumstances, among other options [Fish & Game Code, §§ 2080.1, 2081, subds. (b) and (c)]. Early consultation is encouraged, as significant modification to a Project and mitigation measures may be required in order to obtain a CESA Permit. Revisions to the Fish and Game Code, effective January 1998, may require that CDFW issue a separate CEQA document for the issuance of an ITP unless the Project CEQA document addresses all Project impacts to CESA-listed species and specifies a mitigation monitoring and reporting program that will meet the requirements of an ITP. For these reasons, biological mitigation monitoring and reporting proposals should be of sufficient detail and resolution to satisfy the requirements for a CESA ITP.
- 5) <u>Fully Protected Species</u>. CDFW cannot authorize the take of any fully protected species as defined by State law. State fully protected species may not be taken or possessed at any time and no licenses or permits may be issued for its take except for collecting those species for necessary scientific research and relocation of the bird species for protection of

City of Buellton April 27, 2021 Page 4 of 10

livestock (Fish & G. Code, §§ 3511, 4700, 5050, 5515). Take of any species designated as fully protected under the Fish and Game Code is prohibited.

## **General Comments**

- 1) <u>Project Description and Alternatives</u>. To enable CDFW to adequately review and comment on the proposed Project from the standpoint of the protection of plants, fish, and wildlife, we recommend the following information be included in the DEIR:
  - A complete discussion of the purpose and need for, and description of, the proposed Project, including all staging areas and access routes to the construction and staging areas; and,
  - b) A range of feasible alternatives to Project component location and design features to ensure that alternatives to the proposed Project are fully considered and evaluated. The alternatives should avoid or otherwise minimize direct and indirect impacts to sensitive biological resources and wildlife movement areas.
- 2) Lake and Streambed Alteration (LSA) Agreements. As a Responsible Agency under CEQA, CDFW has authority over activities in streams and/or lakes that will divert or obstruct the natural flow; or change the bed, channel, or bank (including vegetation associated with the stream or lake) of a river or stream; or use material from a streambed. For any such activities, the project applicant (or "entity") must provide written notification to CDFW pursuant to section 1600 et seq. of the Fish and Game Code. Based on this notification and other information, CDFW determines whether a LSA Agreement with the applicant is required prior to conducting the proposed activities. CDFW's issuance of an LSA Agreement for a project that is subject to CEQA will require related environmental compliance actions by CDFW as a Responsible Agency. As a Responsible Agency) for the Project. To minimize additional requirements by CDFW pursuant to section 1600 *et seq.* and/or under CEQA, the DEIR should fully identify the potential impacts to the stream or riparian resources and provide adequate avoidance, mitigation, monitoring and reporting commitments for issuance of the LSA Agreement<sup>1</sup>.
  - a) The Project area supports aquatic, riparian, and wetland habitats; therefore, a
    preliminary jurisdictional delineation of the streams and their associated riparian habitats
    should be included in the DEIR. The delineation should be conducted pursuant to the U.
    S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) wetland definition adopted by the CDFW
    (Cowardian, 1970). Some wetland and riparian habitats subject to CDFW's authority
    may extend beyond the jurisdictional limits of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' section
    404 permit and Regional Water Quality Control Board section 401 Certification.
  - b) In areas of the Project site which may support ephemeral streams, herbaceous vegetation, woody vegetation, and woodlands also serve to protect the integrity of ephemeral channels and help maintain natural sedimentation processes; therefore, CDFW recommends effective setbacks be established to maintain appropriately sized vegetated buffer areas adjoining ephemeral drainages.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A notification package for a LSA may be obtained by accessing the CDFW's web site at www.wildlife.ca.gov/habcon/1600.

- c) Project-related changes in drainage patterns, runoff, and sedimentation should be included and evaluated in the DEIR.
- 3) <u>Wetlands Resources</u>. CDFW, as described in Fish and Game Code section 703(a), is guided by the Fish and Game Commission's policies. The Wetlands Resources policy (<u>http://www.fgc.ca.gov/policy/</u>) of the Fish and Game Commission "…seek[s] to provide for the protection, preservation, restoration, enhancement and expansion of wetland habitat in California. Further, it is the policy of the Fish and Game Commission to strongly discourage development in or conversion of wetlands. It opposes, consistent with its legal authority, any development or conversion that would result in a reduction of wetland acreage or wetland habitat values. To that end, the Commission opposes wetland development proposals unless, at a minimum, project mitigation assures there will be 'no net loss' of either wetland habitat values or acreage. The Commission strongly prefers mitigation which would achieve expansion of wetland acreage and enhancement of wetland habitat values."
  - a) The Wetlands Resources policy provides a framework for maintaining wetland resources and establishes mitigation guidance. CDFW encourages avoidance of wetland resources as a primary mitigation measure and discourages the development or type conversion of wetlands to uplands. CDFW encourages activities that would avoid the reduction of wetland acreage, function, or habitat values. Once avoidance and minimization measures have been exhausted, the Project must include mitigation measures to assure a "no net loss" of either wetland habitat values, or acreage, for unavoidable impacts to wetland resources. Conversions include, but are not limited to, conversion to subsurface drains, placement of fill or building of structures within the wetland, and channelization or removal of materials from the streambed. All wetlands and watercourses, whether ephemeral, intermittent, or perennial, should be retained and provided with substantial setbacks, which preserve the riparian and aquatic values and functions for the benefit to on-site and off-site wildlife populations. CDFW recommends mitigation measures to compensate for unavoidable impacts be included in the DEIR and these measures should compensate for the loss of function and value.
  - b) The Fish and Game Commission's Water policy guides CDFW on the quantity and quality of the waters of this state that should be apportioned and maintained respectively so as to produce and sustain maximum numbers of fish and wildlife; to provide maximum protection and enhancement of fish and wildlife and their habitat; encourage and support programs to maintain or restore a high quality of the waters of this state; prevent the degradation thereof caused by pollution and contamination; and, endeavor to keep as much water as possible open and accessible to the public for the use and enjoyment of fish and wildlife. CDFW recommends avoidance of water practices and structures that use excessive amounts of water, and minimization of impacts that negatively affect water quality, to the extent feasible (Fish & Game Code, § 5650).
- 4) <u>Biological Baseline Assessment</u>. To provide a complete assessment of the flora and fauna within and adjacent to the project area, with particular emphasis upon identifying endangered, threatened, sensitive, regionally and locally unique species, and sensitive habitats, the DEIR should include the following information:
  - a) Information on the regional setting that is critical to an assessment of environmental impacts, with special emphasis on resources that are rare or unique to the region [CEQA Guidelines, § 15125(c)];

b) A thorough, recent, floristic-based assessment of special status plants and natural communities, following CDFW's *Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Natural Communities* (see <a href="https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=18959&inline">https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=18959&inline</a>). Anyone who collects scientific plant specimens of state-listed species, or who may encounter a state-listed species that needs to be identified during field surveys should have a plant voucher collection permit (see <a href="https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=44384&inline">https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=44384&inline</a>):

c) Floristic, alliance- and/or association-based mapping and vegetation impact assessments conducted at the Project site and within the neighboring vicinity. *The Manual of California Vegetation*, second edition, should also be used to inform this mapping and assessment (Sawyer, 2008). Adjoining habitat areas should be included in this assessment where site activities could lead to direct or indirect impacts offsite.

d) A complete, recent, assessment of the biological resources associated with each habitat type on site and within adjacent areas that could also be affected by the project. CDFW's California Natural Diversity Data Base (CNDDB) in Sacramento should be contacted to obtain current information on any previously reported sensitive species and habitat. CDFW recommends that CNDDB Field Survey Forms be completed and submitted to CNDDB to document survey results. Online forms can be obtained and submitted at http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/submitting data to cnddb.asp;

Habitat mapping at the alliance level will help establish baseline vegetation conditions;

- e) A complete, recent, assessment of rare, threatened, and endangered, and other sensitive species on site and within the area of potential effect, including California SSC and California Fully Protected Species (Fish & Game Code, §§ 3511, 4700, 5050 and 5515). Species to be addressed should include all those which meet the CEQA definition of endangered, rare or threatened species (CEQA Guidelines, § 15380). Seasonal variations in use of the project area should also be addressed. Focused species-specific surveys, conducted at the appropriate time of year and time of day when the sensitive species are active or otherwise identifiable, are required. Acceptable species-specific survey procedures should be developed in consultation with CDFW and the USFWS; and,
- f) A recent, wildlife and rare plant survey. CDFW generally considers biological field assessments for wildlife to be valid for a one-year period, and assessments for rare plants may be considered valid for a period of two years, in non-drought conditions. Some aspects of the proposed project may warrant periodic updated surveys for certain sensitive taxa, particularly if build out could occur over a protracted time frame, or in phases.
- 5) <u>Biological Direct, Indirect, and Cumulative Impacts</u>. To provide a thorough discussion of direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts expected to adversely affect biological resources, with specific measures to offset such impacts, the following should be addressed in the DEIR:
  - a) A discussion of potential adverse impacts from lighting, noise, human activity, exotic species, and drainage. The latter subject should address Project-related changes on drainage patterns and downstream of the project site; the volume, velocity, and

April 27, 2021 Page 7 of 10

frequency of existing and post-Project surface flows; polluted runoff; soil erosion and/or sedimentation in streams and water bodies; and, post-Project fate of runoff from the project site. The discussion should also address the proximity of the extraction activities to the water table, whether dewatering would be necessary and the potential resulting impacts on the habitat (if any) supported by the groundwater. Mitigation measures proposed to alleviate such Project impacts should be included;

- b) A discussion regarding indirect Project impacts on biological resources, including resources in nearby public lands, open space, adjacent natural habitats, riparian ecosystems, and any designated and/or proposed or existing reserve lands (e.g., preserve lands associated with a Natural Community Conservation Plan (NCCP, Fish & Game Code, § 2800 et. seq.). Impacts on, and maintenance of, wildlife corridor/movement areas, including access to undisturbed habitats in adjacent areas, should be fully evaluated in the DEIR;
- c) An analysis of impacts from land use designations and zoning located nearby or adjacent to natural areas that may inadvertently contribute to wildlife-human interactions. A discussion of possible conflicts and mitigation measures to reduce these conflicts should be included in the DEIR; and,
- d) A cumulative effects analysis, as described under CEQA Guidelines section 15130. General and specific plans, as well as past, present, and anticipated future projects, should be analyzed relative to their impacts on similar plant communities and wildlife habitats.
- 6) <u>Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation for Sensitive Plants</u>. The DEIR should include measures to fully avoid and otherwise protect sensitive plant communities from Projectrelated direct and indirect impacts. CDFW considers these communities to be imperiled habitats having both local and regional significance. Plant communities, alliances, and associations with a statewide ranking of S-1, S-2, S-3 and S-4 should be considered sensitive and declining at the local and regional level. These ranks can be obtained by querying the CNDDB and are included in *The Manual of California Vegetation*.
- 7) <u>Compensatory Mitigation</u>. The DEIR should include mitigation measures for adverse Project-related impacts to sensitive plants, animals, and habitats. Mitigation measures should emphasize avoidance and reduction of Project impacts. For unavoidable impacts, on-site habitat restoration or enhancement should be discussed in detail. If on-site mitigation is not feasible or would not be biologically viable and therefore not adequately mitigate the loss of biological functions and values, off-site mitigation through habitat creation and/or acquisition and preservation in perpetuity should be addressed. Areas proposed as mitigation lands should be protected in perpetuity with a conservation easement, financial assurance and dedicated to a qualified entity for long-term management and monitoring. Under Government Code section 65967, the lead agency must exercise due diligence in reviewing the qualifications of a governmental entity, special district, or nonprofit organization to effectively manage and steward land, water, or natural resources on mitigation lands it approves.
- 8) <u>Long-term Management of Mitigation Lands</u>. For proposed preservation and/or restoration, the DEIR should include measures to protect the targeted habitat values from direct and indirect negative impacts in perpetuity. The objective should be to offset the Project-induced

Andrea Keefer City of Buellton April 27, 2021 Page 8 of 10

qualitative and quantitative losses of wildlife habitat values. Issues that should be addressed include (but are not limited to) restrictions on access, proposed land dedications, monitoring and management programs, control of illegal dumping, water pollution, and increased human intrusion. An appropriate non-wasting endowment should be set aside to provide for long-term management of mitigation lands.

- 9) Nesting Birds. CDFW recommends that measures be taken to avoid Project impacts to nesting birds. Migratory nongame native bird species are protected by international treaty under the Federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) of 1918 (Title 50, § 10.13, Code of Federal Regulations). Sections 3503, 3503.5, and 3513 of the California Fish and Game Code prohibit take of all birds and their active nests including raptors and other migratory nongame birds (as listed under the Federal MBTA). Proposed Project activities including (but not limited to) staging and disturbances to native and nonnative vegetation, structures. and substrates should occur outside of the avian breeding season which generally runs from February 1 through September 1 (as early as January 1 for some raptors) to avoid take of birds or their eggs. If avoidance of the avian breeding season is not feasible, CDFW recommends surveys by a qualified biologist with experience in conducting breeding bird surveys to detect protected native birds occurring in suitable nesting habitat that is to be disturbed and (as access to adjacent areas allows) any other such habitat within 300-feet of the disturbance area (within 500-feet for raptors). Project personnel, including all contractors working on site, should be instructed on the sensitivity of the area. Reductions in the nest buffer distance may be appropriate depending on the avian species involved, ambient levels of human activity, screening vegetation, or possibly other factors,
- 10) <u>Translocation/Salvage of Plants and Animal Species</u>. Translocation and transplantation is the process of moving an individual from the Project site and permanently moving it to a new location. CDFW generally does not support the use of translocation or transplantation as the primary mitigation strategy for unavoidable impacts to rare, threatened, or endangered plant or animal species. Studies have shown that these efforts are experimental and the outcome unreliable. CDFW has found that permanent preservation and management of habitat capable of supporting these species is often a more effective long-term strategy for conserving sensitive plants and animals and their habitats.
- 11) Moving out of Harm's Way. The proposed Project is anticipated to result in clearing of natural habitats that support many species of indigenous wildlife. To avoid direct mortality, we recommend that a qualified biological monitor approved by CDFW be on-site prior to and during ground and habitat disturbing activities to move out of harm's way special status species or other wildlife of low mobility that would be injured or killed by grubbing or Projectrelated construction activities. It should be noted that the temporary relocation of on-site wildlife does not constitute effective mitigation for the purposes of offsetting project impacts associated with habitat loss. If the project requires species to be removed, disturbed, or otherwise handled, we recommend that the DEIR clearly identify that the designated entity shall obtain all appropriate state and federal permits.
- 12) <u>Revegetation/Restoration Plan</u>. Plans for restoration and re-vegetation should be prepared by persons with expertise in southern California ecosystems and native plant restoration techniques. Plans should identify the assumptions used to develop the proposed restoration strategy. Each plan should include, at a minimum: (a) the location of restoration sites and assessment of appropriate reference sites; (b) the plant species to be used, sources of local propagules, container sizes, and seeding rates; (c) a schematic depicting the mitigation

Andrea Keefer City of Buellton April 27, 2021 Page 9 of 10

area; (d) a local seed and cuttings and planting schedule; (e) a description of the irrigation methodology; (f) measures to control non-native vegetation on site; (g) specific, measurable success criteria; (h) a detailed qualitative monitoring program; (i) contingency measures should the success criteria and be met; and (j) identification of the party responsible for meeting the success criteria and providing for conservation of the mitigation site in perpetuity. Monitoring of restoration areas should extend across a sufficient time frame to ensure that the new habitat is established, self-sustaining, and capable of surviving drought. Monitoring should demonstrate a positive trend for native species cover, diversity, and abundance, and a negative trend for non-native species cover with no further manipulation of the site occurring during this period. If manipulation of the installation period and should not be used as monitoring data to determine success. The monitoring period should start after the installation period has been completed and the site is not being actively manipulated, as manipulation of the site skews any data collection toward prematurely meeting success criteria that might not have been met had the site been left alone.

- a) CDFW recommends that local on-site propagules from the Project area and nearby vicinity be collected and used for restoration purposes. On-site seed collection should be initiated in the near future to accumulate sufficient propagule material for subsequent use in future years. On-site vegetation mapping at the alliance and/or association level should be used to develop appropriate restoration goals and local plant palettes. Reference areas should be identified to help guide restoration efforts. Specific restoration plans should be developed for various Project components as appropriate.
- b) Restoration objectives should include providing special habitat elements where feasible to benefit key wildlife species. These physical and biological features can include (for example) retention of woody material, logs, snags, rocks and brush piles (see Mayer and Laudenslayer, 1988).

# CONCLUSION

CDFW appreciates the opportunity to comment on the NOP to assist the City of Buellton in identifying and mitigating Project impacts on biological resources. If you have any questions or comments regarding this letter, please contact Kelly Schmoker, Senior Environmental Scientist, at (626) 335-9092 or by email at Kelly.Schmoker@wildlife.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Erinn Wilson-Olgin

B6E58CFE24724F5... Erinn Wilson-Olgin Environmental Program Manager I South Coast Region DocuSign Envelope ID: 936E8586-D31F-4FE7-95A8-46BA38057A30 Andrea Keefer City of Buellton April 27, 2021 Page 10 of 10

ec: CDFW

Steve Gibson, Los Alamitos – <u>Steve.Gibson@wildlife.ca.gov</u> Sarah Rains, Los Alamitos – <u>Sarah.Rains@wildlife.ca.gov</u> Susan Howell, San Diego – <u>Susan.Howell@wildlife.ca.gov</u> CEQA Program Coordinator, Sacramento – <u>CEQACommentLetters@wildlife.ca.gov</u>

State Clearinghouse, Sacramento – <u>State.Clearinghouse@opr.ca.gov</u>

#### References

Nguyen T, Saleh M, Kyaw MK, et al. 2020. Special report 4: impact of COVID-19 mitigation on wildlife–vehicle conflict. Davis, CA: Road Ecology Center, University of California–Davis.

Sawyer, J. O., Keeler-Wolf, T., and Evens J.M. 2008. A manual of California Vegetation, 2nd ed. ISBN 978-0-943460-49-9.

Thorp, Robbin W., Horning Jr, Donald S., and Dunning, Lorry L. 1983. Bumble Bees and Cuckoo Bumble Bees of California. Bulletin of the California Insect Survey 23.