# Appendix C

**Energy Calculations** 



# **Construction Source Noise Prediction Model**

	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Reference Noise Levels	Usage
Receptor	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	(L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50 feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Single-family residence to the south	930	52.4	Dozer	85	0.4
			Excavator	85	0.4
			Grader	85	0.4
			Ground Type	soft	
			Source Height	8	
			Receiver Height	5	
			Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.63	
			Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>	
			Dozer	81.0	
			Excavator	81.0	
			Grader	81.0	

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet) 85.8

Equipment Description	Acoustical Usage Factor (%)	Spec 721.560 Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow)	Actual Measured Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow)	No. of Actual Data Samples (count)	Spec 721.560 LmaxCalc	Spec 721.560 Leq	Distance	Actual Measured LmaxCalc	Actual Measured Leq
Auger Drill Rig	20	85	84	36	79.0	72.0	100	78.0	71.0
Backhoe	40	80	78	372	74.0	70.0	100	72.0	68.0
Bar Bender	20	80	na	0	74.0	67.0	100		
Blasting	na	94	na	0	88.0		100		
Boring Jack Power Unit	50	80	83	1	74.0	71.0	100	77.0	74.0
Chain Saw	20	85	84	46	79.0	72.0	100	78.0	71.0
Clam Shovel (dropping)	20	93	87	4	87.0	80.0	100	81.0	74.0
Compactor (ground)	20	80	83	57	74.0	67.0	100	77.0	70.0
Compressor (air)	40	80	78	18	74.0	70.0	100	72.0	68.0
Concrete Batch Plant	15	83	na	0	77.0	68.7	100	72.0	60.0
Concrete Mixer Truck	40	85	79	40	79.0	75.0	100	73.0	69.0
Concrete Pump Truck	20	82	81	30	76.0	69.0	100	75.0	68.0
Crana	20 16	90 85	90 81	55 405	84.0 79.0	77.0	100 100	84.0 75.0	77.0 67.0
Crane Dozer	40	85	81 82	405 55	79.0 79.0	71.0 75.0	100	75.0 76.0	72.0
Drill Rig Truck	20	84	79	22	79.0 78.0	73.0	100	73.0	66.0
Drum Mixer	50	80	80	1	74.0	71.0	100	74.0	71.0
Dump Truck	40	84	76	31	78.0	74.0	100	74.0	66.0
Excavator	40	85	81	170	79.0	75.0	100	75.0	71.0
Flat Bed Truck	40	84	74	4	78.0	74.0	100	68.0	64.0
Front End Loader	40	80	79	96	74.0	70.0	100	73.0	69.0
Generator	50	82	81	19	76.0	73.0	100	75.0	72.0
Generator (<25KVA, VMS s		70	73	74	64.0	61.0	100	67.0	64.0
Gradall	40	85	83	70	79.0	75.0	100	77.0	73.0
Grader	40	85	na	0	79.0	75.0	100		
Grapple (on Backhoe)	40	85	87	1	79.0	75.0	100	81.0	77.0
Horizontal Boring Hydr. Jac	25	80	82	6	74.0	68.0	100	76.0	70.0
Hydra Break Ram	10	90	na	0	84.0	74.0	100		
Impact Pile Driver	20	95	101	11	89.0	82.0	100	95.0	88.0
Jackhammer	20	85	89	133	79.0	72.0	100	83.0	76.0
Man Lift	20	85	75	23	79.0	72.0	100	69.0	62.0
Mounted Impact Hammer		90	90	212	84.0	77.0	100	84.0	77.0
Pavement Scarafier	20	85	90	2	79.0	72.0	100	84.0	77.0
Paver	50	85	77 	9	79.0	76.0	100	71.0	68.0
Pickup Truck	40	55	75 	1	49.0	45.0	100	69.0	65.0
Pneumatic Tools	50	85	85	90	79.0	76.0	100	79.0	76.0
Pumps Refrigerator Unit	50 100	77 92	81	17 2	71.0	68.0	100	75.0	72.0
Refrigerator Unit Rivit Buster/chipping gun	100 20	82 85	73 79	3 19	76.0 79.0	76.0 72.0	100 100	67.0 73.0	67.0 66.0
Rock Drill	20	85 85	81	3	79.0	72.0	100	75.0 75.0	68.0
Roller	20	85	80	16	79.0	72.0	100	74.0	67.0
Sand Blasting (Single Nozzle		85	96	9	79.0	72.0	100	90.0	83.0
Scraper Scraper	40	85	84	12	79.0	75.0	100	78.0	74.0
Shears (on backhoe)	40	85	96	5	79.0	75.0	100	90.0	86.0
Slurry Plant	100	78	78	1	72.0	72.0	100	72.0	72.0
Slurry Trenching Machine	50	82	80	75	76.0	73.0	100	74.0	71.0
Soil Mix Drill Rig	50	80	na	0	74.0	71.0	100	_	-
Tractor	40	84	na	0	78.0	74.0	100		
Vacuum Excavator (Vac-tru		85	85	149	79.0	75.0	100	79.0	75.0
Vacuum Street Sweeper	10	80	82	19	74.0	64.0	100	76.0	66.0
Ventilation Fan	100	85	79	13	79.0	79.0	100	73.0	73.0
Vibrating Hopper	50	85	87	1	79.0	76.0	100	81.0	78.0
Vibratory Concrete Mixer	20	80	80	1	74.0	67.0	100	74.0	67.0

Equipment Description	Acoustical Usage Factor (%)	Spec 721.560 Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow)	Actual Measured Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow)	No. of Actual Data Samples (count)	Spec 721.560 LmaxCalc	Spec 721.560 Leq	Distance	Actual Measured LmaxCalc	Actual Measured Leq
Vibratory Pile Driver	20	95	101	44	89.0	82.0	100	95.0	88.0
Warning Horn	5	85	83	12	79.0	66.0	100	77.0	64.0
Welder / Torch	40	73	74	5	67.0	63.0	100	68.0	64.0

Source

FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 9.1

U.S. Department of Transportation CA/T Construction Spec. 721.560

# Addition of Noise Levels from Multiple Sources at a Discrete Receptor

This work sheet is designed to estiamte the combined level of noise exposure at a single discrete receptor from multiple point

**OBJECTIVE:** sources.

**KEY:** Orange cells are for input.

Grey cells are intermediate calculations performed by the model.

Green cells are data to present in a written analysis (output).

Houses on East Side of West Taron Drive (back yards) Close to Riparian Court During Daytime and Nighttime Hours

Receptor Name:

STEP 1: Identify the noise sources and enter the reference noise levels (dBA and distance).

STEP 2: Select the ground type (hard or soft), and enter the source and receiver heights.

**STEP 3:** Select the distance to the receptor and the reduction provided by any intervening barrier.

Step 1. Step 2. Step 3.

Noise Source	Referenc	Reference Noise Level			Attenuation Characteristics				Attenuated Noise Level at Receptor				
												Reduction	
	Reference											Provided	
	Noise		Reference		Source	Receiver	Ground		Noise		Distance to	by Barrier,	
	Level		Distance	Ground Type	Height	Height	Factor		Level		Receptor	if any	
	(dBA)	@	(ft)	(soft/hard)	(ft)	(ft)			(dBA)	@	(ft)	(dBA)	
Construction Equipment 1	81.0	@	50	soft	8	5	0.63		81.0	@	50	0	
Construction Equipment 2	81.0	@	50	soft	8	5	0.63		81.0	@	50	0	
Construction Equipment 3	81.0	@	50	soft	8	5	0.63		81.0	@	50	0	
							0.66						

Combined level of noise exposure at receptor from all noise sources (dBA): 85.8

#### Notes:

- 1 Computation of the attenuated noise level is based on the equation presented on pg. 176 and 177 of FTA 2018.
- 2 Computation of the ground factor is based on the equation presentd in Table 4-26 on pg. 86 of FTA 2018, where the distance of the reference noise leve can be adjusted and
- 3 Summation of noise levels from different stationary noise sources at the same receptor is based on the equation presented on page 201 of FTA 2018.

#### Sources:

Federal Transit Association (FTA). 2018 (September). Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment. Washington, D.C. Available:

#### Sources:

Federal Transit Association (FTA). 2006 (May). Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment. FTA-VA-90-1003-06. Washington, D.C. Available:

### **Long-Term Noise Measurement Summary**

**KEY:** Orange cells are for input.

Grey cells are intermediate calculations performed by the model.

Green cells are data to present in a written analysis (output).

Measurement Site: Proposed site of Edgewood hotel complex

Measurement Date: 8/21/2009
Project Name: Edgewood

## **Computation of CNEL**

Hour of Day (military	Sound Level Leq	Sound Power =10*Log(dBA/		d of 24-Hoເ ncluded, 0=	•		ower Breakdowr Period of Day	ı by
time)	(dBA)	10)	Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night
0:00	45.0	31,623	0	0	1	0	0	31,623
1:00	45.0	31,623	0	0	1	0	0	31,623
2:00	45.0	31,623	0	0	1	0	0	31,623
3:00	45.0	31,623	0	0	1	0	0	31,623
4:00	45.0	31,623	0	0	1	0	0	31,623
5:00	45.0	31,623	0	0	1	0	0	31,623
6:00	85.8	377,677,624	0	0	1	0	0	377,677,624
7:00	85.8	377,677,624	1	0	0	377,677,624	0	0
8:00	85.8	377,677,624	1	0	0	377,677,624	0	0
9:00	85.8	377,677,624	1	0	0	377,677,624	0	0
10:00	85.8	377,677,624	1	0	0	377,677,624	0	0
11:00	85.8	377,677,624	1	0	0	377,677,624	0	0
12:00	85.8	377,677,624	1	0	0	377,677,624	0	0
13:00	85.8	377,677,624	1	0	0	377,677,624	0	0
14:00	85.8	377,677,624	1	0	0	377,677,624	0	0
15:00	85.8	377,677,624	1	0	0	377,677,624	0	0
16:00	85.8	377,677,624	1	0	0	377,677,624	0	0
17:00	85.8	377,677,624	1	0	0	377,677,624	0	0
18:00	45.0	31,623	1	0	0	31,623	0	0
19:00	45.0	31,623	0	1	0	0	31,623	0
20:00	45.0	31,623	0	1	0	0	31,623	0
21:00	45.0	31,623	0	1	0	0	31,623	0
22:00	45.0	31,623	0	0	1	0	0	31,623
23:00	45.0	31,623	0	0	1	0	0	31,623

 Sum of Sound Power during Period wo/penalty
 4,154,485,482
 94,868
 377,930,606

 Log Factor for CNEL Penalty (i.e., 10\*log(x))
 1
 3
 10

 Sound Power during Period with penalty
 4,154,485,482
 284,605
 3,779,306,058

Total Daily Sound Power, with penalties
Hours per Day
24
Average Hourly Sound Power, with penalties
330,586,506
CNEL
85.2

Ldn computation on next page.

	Day (1=	of 24-Hour included, not)	Sound Power B	•
	Day	Night	Day	Night
	0	1	0	31,623
	0	1	0	31,623
	0	1	0	31,623
	0	1	0	31,623
	0	1	0	31,623
	0	1	0	31,623
	0	1	0	377,677,624
	1	0	377,677,624	0
	1	0	377,677,624	0
	1	0	377,677,624	0
	1	0	377,677,624	0
	1	0	377,677,624	0
	1	0	377,677,624	0
	1	0	377,677,624	0
	1	0	377,677,624	0
	1	0	377,677,624	0
	1	0	377,677,624	0
	1	0	377,677,624	0
	1	0	31,623	0
	1	0	31,623	0
	1	0	31,623	0
	1	0	31,623	0
	0	1	0	31,623
	0	1	0	31,623
Sum of Sound Power during	Period w	o/penalty	4,154,580,350	377,930,606
Log Factor for Pena	alty (i.e.,	10*log(x))	1	10
Sound Power during P			4,154,580,350	3,779,306,058
Ü		, ,		
Tota	al Daily S	ound Powe	er, with penalties Hours per Day	7,933,886,408 24
Average	Hourly S	ound Powe	er, with penalties	330,578,600
			Ldn	85.2

#### Notes:

Computation of the CNEL based on 1-hour Leq measurements for each hour of a day are based on equation 2-27 on pg. 2-57 of Caltrans

Computation of the Ldn based on 1-hour Leg measurements for each hour of a day are based on equation 2-26 on pg. 2-56 of Caltrans

Log factors for the Ldn and CNEL penalties are provided in Table 2-12 on pg. 2-52 of Caltrans 2009.

#### Source:

California Deaprtment of Transportation (Caltrans), Divisiong of Environmental Analysis. 2009 (November). 2009 Technical Noise Supplement . Sacramento, CA. Available: <a href="http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/noise/">http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/noise/</a>. Accessed September 24, 2010.

# Attenuation of Day-Night Noise Level

Noise Source	Reference	Reference Noise Level			Attenuation Characteristics				Attenuated Noise Level at Receptor					
									Reduction					
	Reference											Provided		
	Noise		Reference		Source	Receiver	Ground		Noise		Distance to	by Barrier,		
	Level		Distance	Ground Type	Height	Height	Factor		Level		Receptor	if any		
	(dBA)	@	(ft)	(soft/hard)	(ft)	(ft)			(dBA)	@	(ft)	(dBA)		
Construction Equipment Combined Ldn	85.2	@	50	soft	8	5	0.63		74.7	@	125	0		
Construction Equipment Combined Ldn	85.2	@	50	soft	8	5	0.63		51.8	@	930	0		

#### Notes:

- 1 Computation of the attenuated noise level is based on the equation presented on pg. 176 and 177 of FTA 2018.
- 2 Computation of the ground factor is based on the equation presentd in Table 4-26 on pg. 86 of FTA 2018, where the distance of the reference noise leve can be adjusted and
- 3 Summation of noise levels from different stationary noise sources at the same receptor is based on the equation presented on page 201 of FTA 2018.

#### Sources:

Federal Transit Association (FTA). 2018 (September). Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment. Washington, D.C. Available:

#### Sources:

Federal Transit Association (FTA). 2006 (May). Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment. FTA-VA-90-1003-06. Washington, D.C. Available:



# **Long-Term Noise Measurement Summary**

**KEY:** Orange cells are for input.

Grey cells are intermediate calculations performed by the model.

Green cells are data to present in a written analysis (output).

**Modeling Date:** 11/25/2020

**Project Name:** GCI Cannabis Project

Com	nutat	ion of	FCN	IFI.
COIII	putat	וטווטו		

Hour of Day (military	Sound Level Leq	Sound Power =10*Log(dBA		d of 24-Houncluded, 0=			ower Breakdo Period of Day	own by
time)	(dBA)	/10)	Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night
0:00	52.0	158,489	0	0	1	0	0	158,489
1:00	52.0	158,489	0	0	1	0	0	158,489
2:00	52.0	158,489	0	0	1	0	0	158,489
3:00	52.0	158,489	0	0	1	0	0	158,489
4:00	52.0	158,489	0	0	1	0	0	158,489
5:00	52.0	158,489	0	0	1	0	0	158,489
6:00	52.0	158,489	0	0	1	0	0	158,489
7:00	52.0	158,489	1	0	0	158,489	0	0
8:00	52.0	158,489	1	0	0	158,489	0	0
9:00	52.0	158,489	1	0	0	158,489	0	0
10:00	52.0	158,489	1	0	0	158,489	0	0
11:00	52.0	158,489	1	0	0	158,489	0	0
12:00	52.0	158,489	1	0	0	158,489	0	0
13:00	52.0	158,489	1	0	0	158,489	0	0
14:00	52.0	158,489	1	0	0	158,489	0	0
15:00	52.0	158,489	1	0	0	158,489	0	0
16:00	52.0	158,489	1	0	0	158,489	0	0
17:00	52.0	158,489	1	0	0	158,489	0	0
18:00	52.0	158,489	1	0	0	158,489	0	0
19:00	52.0	158,489	0	1	0	0	158,489	0
20:00	52.0	158,489	0	1	0	0	158,489	0
21:00	52.0	158,489	0	1	0	0	158,489	0
22:00	52.0	158,489	0	0	1	0	0	158,489
23:00	52.0	158,489	0	0	1	0	0	158,489
	Sum	n of Sound Powe	er during	Period wo	/penalty	1,901,872	475,468	1,426,404

 Sum of Sound Power during Period wo/penalty
 1,901,872
 475,468
 1,426,404

 Log Factor for CNEL Penalty (i.e., 10\*log(x))
 1
 3
 10

 Sound Power during Period with penalty
 1,901,872
 1,426,404
 14,264,039

Total Daily Sound Power, with penalties
Hours per Day
Average Hourly Sound Power, with penalties
733,013

Ldn computation on next

# **Computation of Ldn**

	Period o	f 24-Hour	Sound	Power
	Day (1=	included,	Breakd	own by
	0=	not)	Period	of Day
	Day	Night	Day	Night
	0	1	0	158,489
	0	1	0	158,489
	0	1	0	158,489
	0	1	0	158,489
	0	1	0	158,489
	0	1	0	158,489
	0	1	0	158,489
	1	0	158,489	0
	1	0	158,489	0
	1	0	158,489	0
	1	0	158,489	0
	1	0	158,489	0
	1	0	158,489	0
	1	0	158,489	0
	1	0	158,489	0
	1	0	158,489	0
	1	0	158,489	0
	1	0	158,489	0
	1	0	158,489	0
	1	0	158,489	0
	1	0	158,489	0
	1	0	158,489	0
	0	1	0	158,489
	0	1	0	158,489
Sum of Sound Power during			2,377,340	1,426,404
Log Factor for Pen			1	10
Sound Power during I	Period wit	th penalty	2,377,340	14,264,039
Total Da	ily Sound		h penalties	16,641,379
			urs per Day	24
Average Hou	rly Sound	Power, wit	h penalties	693,391
			Ldn	58.4

# Notes:

Computation of the CNEL based on 1-hour Leq measurements for each hour of a day are based on equation 2-27 on pg. 2-57 of Caltrans 2009.

Computation of the Ldn based on 1-hour Leq measurements for each hour of a day are based on equation 2-26 on pg. 2-56 of Caltrans 2009.

Log factors for the Ldn and CNEL penalties are provided in Table 2-12 on pg. 2-52 of Caltrans 2009.

# Source:

California Deaprtment of Transportation (Caltrans), Divisiong of Environmental Analysis. 2009 (November). 2009 Technical
Noise Supplement . Sacramento, CA. Available: <a href="http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/noise/">http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/noise/</a> . Accessed September 24, 2010.





**OBJECTIVE:** This work sheet is designed to estiamte the combined level of noise exposure at a single discrete receptor from multiple point sources.

**KEY:** Orange cells are for input.

Grey cells are intermediate calculations performed by the model.

Green cells are data to present in a written analysis (output).

Receptor Name: Residential receptors south of the Bay Drive Drainage Ditch

STEP 1: Identify the noise sources and enter the reference noise levels (dBA and distance).

STEP 2: Select the ground type (hard or soft), and enter the source and receiver heights.

**STEP 3:** Select the distance to the receptor and the reduction provided by any intervening barrier.

Step 1. Step 2. Step 3.

Noise Source	Reference	e Noi	se Level	A	ttenuation C	Characteristics		Atten	uated Noise	e Leve	l at Recepto	r
												Reduction
	Reference											Provided
	Noise		Reference		Source	Receiver	Ground		Noise		Distance to	by Barrier,
	Level		Distance	Ground Type	Height	Height	Factor		Level		Receptor	if any
	(dBA)	@	(ft)	(soft/hard)	(ft)	(ft)			(dBA)	@	(ft)	(dBA)
Electrical transformer	74.0	@	20	hard	8	5	0.00		34.3	@	122	24
							0.66					
							0.66					
							0.66					
							0.66					

Combined level of noise exposure at receptor from all noise sources (dBA): 34.3

#### Notes:

- 1 Computation of the attenuated noise level is based on the equation presented on pg. 176 and 177 of FTA 2018.
- 2 Computation of the ground factor is based on the equation presentd in Table 4-26 on pg. 86 of FTA 2018, where the distance of the reference noise leve can be adjusted and the usage factor is not applied (i.e., the usage factor is equal to 1).
- 3 Summation of noise levels from different stationary noise sources at the same receptor is based on the equation presented on page 201 of FTA 2018.

#### Sources:

Federal Transit Association (FTA). 2018 (September). Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment. Washington, D.C. Available:

 $< http://www.transit.dot.gov/sites/fta.dot.gov/files/docs/research-innovation/118131/transit-noise-and-vibration-impact-assessment-manual-fta-report-no-0123\_0.pdf > Accessed: \\$ 





**OBJECTIVE:** This work sheet is designed to estiamte the combined level of noise exposure at a single discrete receptor from multiple point sources.

**KEY:** Orange cells are for input.

Grey cells are intermediate calculations performed by the model.

Green cells are data to present in a written analysis (output).

Receptor Name: Rural residential receptor

STEP 1: Identify the noise sources and enter the reference noise levels (dBA and distance).

STEP 2: Select the ground type (hard or soft), and enter the source and receiver heights.

**STEP 3:** Select the distance to the receptor and the reduction provided by any intervening barrier.

Step 1. Step 2. Step 3.

Noise Source	Reference Noise Level			A	Attenuation Characteristics				Attenuated Noise Level at Receptor			
	5.6											Reduction
	Reference											Provided
	Noise		Reference		Source	Receiver	Ground		Noise		Distance to	by Barrier,
	Level		Distance	Ground Type	Height	Height	Factor		Level		Receptor	if any
	(dBA)	@	(ft)	(soft/hard)	(ft)	(ft)			(dBA)	@	(ft)	(dBA)
Electric fan	75.5	@	5	soft	8	5	0.63		49.2	@	50	0
Electric fan	75.5	@	5	soft	8	5	0.63		49.2	@	50	0
Electric fan	75.5	@	5	soft	8	5	0.63		49.2	@	50	0

Combined level of noise exposure at receptor from all noise sources (dBA): 53.9

#### Notes:

- 1 Computation of the attenuated noise level is based on the equation presented on pg. 176 and 177 of FTA 2018.
- 2 Computation of the ground factor is based on the equation presentd in Table 4-26 on pg. 86 of FTA 2018, where the distance of the reference noise leve can be adjusted and the usage factor is not applied (i.e., the usage factor is equal to 1).
- 3 Summation of noise levels from different stationary noise sources at the same receptor is based on the equation presented on page 201 of FTA 2018.

#### Sources:

Federal Transit Association (FTA). 2018 (September). Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment. Washington, D.C. Available:

<a href="http://www.transit.dot.gov/sites/fta.dot.gov/files/docs/research-innovation/118131/transit-noise-and-vibration-impact-assessment-manual-fta-report-no-0123\_0.pdf>Accessed: a http://www.transit.dot.gov/sites/fta.dot.gov/files/docs/research-innovation/118131/transit-noise-and-vibration-impact-assessment-manual-fta-report-no-0123\_0.pdf>Accessed: a http://www.transit.dot.gov/sites/fta.dot.gov/files/docs/research-innovation/118131/transit-noise-and-vibration-impact-assessment-manual-fta-report-no-0123\_0.pdf>Accessed: a http://www.transit.dot.gov/sites/fta.dot.gov/files/docs/research-innovation/118131/transit-noise-and-vibration-impact-assessment-manual-fta-report-no-0123\_0.pdf>Accessed: a http://www.transit.dot.gov/sites/fta-report-no-0123\_0.pdf>Accessed: a http://www.tran

# Long-Term Noise Measurement Summary

**KEY:** Orange cells are for input.

Grey cells are intermediate calculations performed by the model.  $% \label{eq:control} % \label{eq:control} % \label{eq:controller} % \label{eq:contr$ 

Green cells are data to present in a written analysis (output).

Measurement Site: Proposed site of Edgewood hotel complex

Measurement Date: 8/21/2009
Project Name: Edgewood

		Com	put	atic	n o	r CN	EL
--	--	-----	-----	------	-----	------	----

			Computation of CNEL						
Hour of Day (military	Sound Level Leq	Sound Power =10*Log(dBA/		d of 24-Ho ncluded, 0=	-		ower Breakdown Period of Day	by	
time)	(dBA)	10)	Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	_
0:00	53.9	247,282	0	0	1	0	0	247,282	
1:00	53.9	247,282	0	0	1	0	0	247,282	!
2:00	53.9	247,282	0	0	1	0	0	247,282	!
3:00	53.9	247,282	0	0	1	0	0	247,282	!
4:00	53.9	247,282	0	0	1	0	0	247,282	
5:00	53.9	247,282	0	0	1	0	0	247,282	!
6:00	53.9	247,282	0	0	1	0	0	247,282	!
7:00	53.9	247,282	1	0	0	247,282	0	C	)
8:00	53.9	247,282	1	0	0	247,282	0	C	)
9:00	53.9	247,282	1	0	0	247,282	0	C	)
10:00	53.9	247,282	1	0	0	247,282	0	C	)
11:00	53.9	247,282	1	0	0	247,282	0	C	)
12:00	53.9	247,282	1	0	0	247,282	0	C	)
13:00	53.9	247,282	1	0	0	247,282	0	C	)
14:00	53.9	247,282	1	0	0	247,282	0	C	)
15:00		247,282	1	0	0	247,282	0	C	)
16:00	53.9	247,282	1	0	0	247,282	0	C	)
17:00		247,282	1	0	0	247,282	0	C	
18:00		247,282	1	0	0	247,282	0	C	
19:00	53.9	247,282	0	1	0	0	247,282	C	)
20:00		247,282	0	1	0	0	247,282	C	
21:00		247,282	0	1	0	0	247,282	C	)
22:00		247,282	0	0	1	0	0	247,282	
23:00	53.9	247,282	0	0	1	0	0	247,282	
	Sı	ım of Sound Powe	_			2,967,385	741,846	2,225,539	
		Log Factor for C			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1	3	10	
		Sound Power	during	Period with	n penalty	2,967,385	2,225,539	22,255,389	
			Tot	tal Daily So	und Power	, with penalties	27,448,313		
			Average	Hourly So	und Power	Hours per Day , with penalties	24 1,143,680		Ldn compu- tation on n
						CNEL	60.6		page.

	Period o	f 24-Hour		
	Day (1=	included,	Sound Power Bro	eakdown by
	0=	not)	Period of	Day
	Day	Night	Day	Night
	0	1	0	247,282
	0	1	0	247,282
	0	1	0	247,282
	0	1	0	247,282
	0	1	0	247,282
	0	1	0	247,282
	0	1	0	247,282
	1	0	247,282	0
	1	0	247,282	0
	1	0	247,282	0
	1	0	247,282	0
	1	0	247,282	0
	1	0	247,282	0
	1	0	247,282	0
	1	0	247,282	0
	1	0	247,282	0
	1	0	247,282	0
	1	0	247,282	0
	1	0	247,282	0
	1	0	247,282	0
	1	0	247,282	0
	1	0	247,282	0
	0	1	0	247,282
	0	1	0	247,282
Sum of Sound Power during	Period w	o/penalty	3,709,232	2,225,539
Log Factor for Pena			1	10
Sound Power during P	eriod wit	h penalty	3,709,232	22,255,389
· ·				
Tota	al Daily So	ound Power	, with penalties	25,964,621
	•		Hours per Day	24
Average	Hourly So	ound Power	, with penalties	1,081,859
	•			
			Ldn	60.3

#### Notes:

Computation of the CNEL based on 1-hour Leq measurements for each hour of a day are based on equation 2-27 on pg. 2-57 of Caltrans 2009

Computation of the Ldn based on 1-hour Leq measurements for each hour of a day are based on equation 2-26 on pg. 2-56 of Caltrans 2009.

Log factors for the Ldn and CNEL penalties are provided in Table 2-12 on pg. 2-52 of Caltrans 2009.

# Source:

California Deaprtment of Transportation (Caltrans), Divisiong of Environmental Analysis. 2009 (November). 2009 Technical Noise Supplement. Sacramento, CA. Available: <a href="http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/noise/">http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/noise/</a>. Accessed September 24, 2010.

# Attenuation of Day-Night Noise Level at Offsite Single-Family Residence

Noise Source	Referenc	e No	ise Level	А	ttenuation C	haracteristics		Attenu	ated Noise	Leve	l at Recepto	r
												Reduction
	Reference											Provided
	Noise		Reference		Source	Receiver	Ground		Noise		Distance to	by Barrier,
	Level		Distance	Ground Type	Height	Height	Factor		Level		Receptor	if any
	(dBA)	@	(ft)	(soft/hard)	(ft)	(ft)			(dBA)	@	(ft)	(dBA)
Fans for odor control system	60.3	@	50	soft	8	5	0.63		26.9	@	930	0

#### Notes:

- 1 Computation of the attenuated noise level is based on the equation presented on pg. 176 and 177 of FTA 2018.
- 2 Computation of the ground factor is based on the equation presentd in Table 4-26 on pg. 86 of FTA 2018, where the distance of the reference noise leve can be adjusted and
- 3 Summation of noise levels from different stationary noise sources at the same receptor is based on the equation presented on page 201 of FTA 2018.

#### Sources:

Federal Transit Association (FTA). 2018 (September). Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment. Washington, D.C. Available:

#### Sources:

Federal Transit Association (FTA). 2006 (May). Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment. FTA-VA-90-1003-06. Washington, D.C. Available:



# Traffic Noise Spreadsheet Calculator

Project:	#REF!																	
								Input								Output		
	Noise Level Descriptor:	Ldn																
	Site Conditions:	Soft																
	Traffic Input:	ADT																
	Traffic K-Factor:					Distanc	e to											
						Direction	onal											
	Segmer	nt Description and Location			Speed	Centerline	, (feet) <sub>4</sub>		Traffic Di	stribution	Characte	ristics		Ldn,	Dis	stance to Co	ntour, (feet	)3
Number	Name	From	То	ADT	(mph)	Near	Far	% Auto	% Medium	% Heavy	% Day	% Eve	% Night	(dBA) <sub>5,6,7</sub>	70 dBA	65 dBA	60 dBA	55 dBA
1	County Road 17	project site enterance	County Road 90A	5,500	55	160	160	84.6%	10.3%	5.1%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	60.0	34	74	159	343

#### Citation # Citations

1	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Table (5-11), Pg 5-60.	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2013 (September). Table (4-2), Pg 4-17.
2	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-26), Pg 5-60.	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2013 (September). Equation (4-5), Pg 4-17.
3	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (2-16), Pg 2-32.	FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5
4	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-11), Pg 5-47, 48.	FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5
5	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (2-26), Pg 2-55, 56.	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2013 (September). Equation (2-23), Pg 2-51, 52.
6	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (2-27), Pg 2-57.	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2013 (September). Equation (2-24), Pg 2-53.
7	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Pg 2-53.	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2013 (September). Pg 2-57.
8	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-7), Pg 5-45.	FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5
9	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-8), Pg 5-45.	FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5
10	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-9), Pg 5-45.	FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5
11	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-13), Pg 5-49.	FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5
12	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-14), Pg 5-49.	FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5
13	Federal Highway Administration Traffic Noise Model Technical Manual. Report No. FHWA	-PD-96-010. 1998 (January). Equation (16), Pg 67
14	Federal Highway Administration Traffic Noise Model Technical Manual. Report No. FHWA	-PD-96-010. 1998 (January). Equation (20), Pg 69

#### References

15

California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). 2009 (November). Technical Noise Supplement. Available: http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/noise/pub/tens\_complete.pdf. Accessed August 17, 2017.

Federal Highway Administration Traffic Noise Model Technical Manual. Report No. FHWA-PD-96-010. 1998 (January). Equation (18), Pg 69

California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). 2013 (September). Technical Noise Supplement. Available: http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/noise/pub/TeNS\_Sept\_2013A.pdf. Accessed August 17, 2017.

Federal Highway Administration. 2004. Traffic Noise Model Version 2.5. Available: https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/traffic\_noise\_model/tnm\_v25/. Accessed August 17, 2017.

# **Distance Propagation Calculations for Stationary Sources of Ground Vibration**



**KEY:** Orange cells are for input.

Grey cells are intermediate calculations performed by the model.

Green cells are data to present in a written analysis (output).

#### STEP 1: Determine units in which to perform calculation.

- If vibration decibels (VdB), then use Table A and proceed to Steps 2A and 3A.
- If peak particle velocity (PPV), then use Table B and proceed to Steps 2B and 3B.

# STEP 2A: Identify the vibration source and enter the reference vibration level (VdB) and distance.

Table A. Propagation of vibration decibels (VdB) with distance

Table A. Propagation of vibration decibers (vdb) with distance									
Noise Source/ID	Reference Noise Level								
	vibration level		distance						
	(VdB)	@	(ft)						
Large bulldozer	87	@	25						

STEP 3A: Select the distance to the receiver.

Attenuated Noise Level at Receptor										
vibration level		distance								
(VdB)	@	(ft)								
39.9	@	930								

The Lv metric (VdB) is used to assess the likelihood for vibration to result in human annoyance.

STEP 2B: Identify the vibration source and enter the reference peak particle velocity (PPV) and distance.

Table B. Propagation of peak particle velocity (PPV) with distance

Noise Source/ID	Referer	Reference Noise Level								
	vibration level		distance							
	(PPV)	@	(ft)							
Large bulldozer	0.089	@	25							

STEP 3B: Select the distance to the receiver.

Attenuated No	ise L	evel at Receptor
vibration level		distance
(PPV)	@	(ft)
0.492	@	8

The PPV metric (in/sec) is used for assessing the likelihood for the potential of structural damage.

#### Notes:

Computation of propagated vibration levels is based on the equations presented on pg. 185 of FTA 2018. Estimates of attenuated vibration levels do not account for reductions from intervening underground barriers or other underground structures of any type, or changes in soil type.

#### Sources:

Federal Transit Administration. 2018. Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment. FTA Report No. 0123. Prepared by John A. Volpe National Transportation Systems Center, Cambridge, MA. Available:

https://www.transit.dot.gov/sites/fta.dot.gov/files/docs/research-innovation/118131/transit-noise-and-vibration-impact-assessment-manual-fta-report-no-0123\_0.pdf. Accessed April 8, 2020.