

---

# Biological Resources Reconnaissance Survey Report

Teachworth Winery: 4451 Saint Helena Highway  
Calistoga, Napa County (APN: 020-400-019, 020-400-018)

---

**Prepared for:**

Jim Bushey  
PPI Engineering  
2800 Jefferson Street  
Napa, CA 94558

**On Behalf of:**

Chris Teachworth  
Teachworth Winery  
4451 Saint Helena Highway  
Calistoga, CA 94515

**Contact:**

Matt Richmond  
[richmond@wra-ca.com](mailto:richmond@wra-ca.com)

Aaron Arthur  
[arthur@wra-ca.com](mailto:arthur@wra-ca.com)

Jason Yakich  
[yakich@wra-ca.com](mailto:yakich@wra-ca.com)

**Date:**

December 2019

**WRA Project:**

29002



*[Page left intentionally blank]*

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report details the regulatory background, methods, results, and recommendations of a Biological Resources Reconnaissance Survey (BRRS) for the proposed development of three vineyard blocks comprising 4.54 net acres of vines within 5.82 acres of clearing limit (Project Area) located at the 4451 Saint Helena Highway near Calistoga in unincorporated Napa County, California. WRA, Inc. performed field surveys on March 21, April 9, April 24, May 3, June 25, and August 30, 2019. The Project Area is composed of Douglas fir forest and mixed forest/chaparral land cover.

Approximately 4.9 acres of a total 57.97 acres of Douglas fir forest across the Subject Property (8.5 percent) are proposed to be converted to vineyard and associated infrastructure. Likewise, approximately 0.32 acre of a total 9.2 acres of mixed forest/chaparral across the Subject Property (3.5 percent) are proposed to be converted to vineyard. Neither land cover type is considered sensitive, but because there is tree canopy, Napa County requires a ratio of 3:1 preservation of similar canopy type.

A protocol-level rare plant survey resulted in the detection of two special-status plants: Sonoma ceanothus (*Ceanothus sonomensis*, CRPR 1B) and redwood lily (*Lilium rubescens*, CRPR 4). Only a portion of the Sonoma ceanothus population will be permanently impacted by the Project, but recommendations are provided herein to minimize these impacts.

Two special-status bats and two special-status birds, as well as non-status birds with baseline legal protections, have the potential to occur in the Project Area. Mitigation measures and best management practices have been developed and provided herein to avoid impacts to these resources.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.0	REGULATORY BACKGROUND.....	1
2.1	Federal and State Regulatory Setting .....	2
2.1.1	Sensitive Land Cover Types .....	2
2.1.2	Special-status Species .....	3
2.2	Napa County Regulatory Setting.....	5
3.0	ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING.....	9
3.1	Topography and Soils .....	9
3.2	Climate and Hydrology .....	9
3.3	Land Cover and Land Use .....	10
4.0	ASSESSMENT METHODS.....	10
4.1	Land Cover Types.....	11
4.1.1	Terrestrial Land Cover Types.....	11
4.1.2	Aquatic Resources .....	11
4.2	Special-status Species.....	12
4.2.1	General Assessment .....	12
4.2.2	Special-status Plants.....	13
4.2.3	Special-status Wildlife .....	13
4.2.4	Critical Habitat, Essential Fish Habitat, and Wildlife Corridors.....	14
5.0	ASSESSMENT RESULTS .....	14
5.1	Land Cover Types.....	14
5.1.1	Terrestrial Land Cover Types.....	14
5.1.2	Aquatic Resources .....	16
5.2	Special-status Species.....	17
5.2.1	Special-status Plant Species.....	17
5.2.2	Special-status Wildlife Species .....	19
5.2.3	Critical Habitat, Essential Fish Habitat, and Wildlife Corridors.....	21
6.0	PROJECT ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	21
6.1	Land Cover Types.....	21
6.1.1	Terrestrial Land Cover Types.....	21
6.1.2	Aquatic Resources .....	22
6.2	Special-status Species.....	22
6.2.1	Special-status Plants.....	22
6.2.2	Special-status Wildlife .....	22
6.2.3	Wildlife Movement .....	23
7.0	REFERENCES.....	24

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Napa County Stream Setbacks.....	7
Table 2. NSO 2019 Survey Data.....	21

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A – Figures	
Appendix B – Species Observed in the Study Area	
Appendix C – Potential for Special-status Species to Occur in the Study Area	
Appendix D – Representative Photographs	
Appendix E – Statement of Qualifications	

## LIST OF PREPARERS

Matt Richmond – Principal-in-Charge  
Aaron Arthur – Associate Plant Biologist  
Jason Yakich – Associate Wildlife Biologist  
Rhiannon Korhummel – Plant Biologist

## DEFINITIONS

Subject Property: The area composing the two adjoining parcels of APN: 020-400-018 and 020-400-018, totaling 76.57 acres.

Study Area: The area throughout which the assessment was performed, the location of the proposed vineyard blocks and surrounding areas, totaling 21.16 acres.

Project Area: The area encompassing the proposed project (vineyard blocks, grading limit); the area evaluated for potential impacts to sensitive biological resources

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS & ACRONYMS

BGEPA	Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act
BIOS	Biogeographic Information and Observation System
BRRS	Biological Resources Reconnaissance Survey
CCR	California Code of Regulations
CDFW	California Department of Fish and Wildlife
CESA	California Endangered Species Act
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CFGF	California Fish and Game Code
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CNDDB	California Natural Diversity Database
CNPPA	California Native Plant Protection Act
CNPS	California Native Plant Society
County	County of Napa
Corps	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
CRLF	California Red-legged Frog
CSRL	California Soils Resources Lab
CTS	California Tiger Salamander
CWA	Clean Water Act
EFH	Essential Fish Habitat
EIR	Environmental Impact Report
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	(Federal) Endangered Species Act
Magnusen-Stevens Act	Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation & Management
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act
NCBDR	Napa County Baseline Data Report
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NRCS	Natural Resource Conservation Service
NWI	National Wetland Inventory
NWPL	National Wetland Plant List
OHWM	Ordinary High Water Mark
Rank	California Rare Plant Ranks
RWQCB	Regional Water Quality Control Board
SSC	Species of Special Concern
SFP	State Fully Protected Species
SWRCB	State Water Resource Control Board
TOB	Top of Bank
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
WBWG	Western Bat Working Group
WRA	WRA, Inc.

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

On March 21, April 9, April 24, May 3, June 25, and August 30, 2019, WRA, Inc. (WRA) performed an assessment of biological resources and several species-specific surveys at 4451 Saint Helena Highway, Calistoga, Napa County (hereafter Study Area) (Figure 1, Appendix A). The purpose of this study was to gather the information necessary to complete a review of biological resources under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) to meet the guidelines outlined by Napa County in *Guidelines for Preparing Biological Resources Reconnaissance Surveys* (Napa County 2016a) and *Guidelines for Preparing Special-status Plant Studies* (Napa County 2016b).

A biological resources reconnaissance survey (BRRS) provides general information on the presence, or potential presence, of sensitive species and habitats. These survey(s) contain the results of a focused protocol-level survey for listed plant species in the Study Area; however, protocol-level surveys for wildlife may or may not be included as part of the survey. This survey is not a formal wetland delineation; in instances where such a delineation may be required for project approval by local, state, or federal agencies, results would be reported herein, but may be presented elsewhere in separate reports. This survey is based on information available at the time of the study and on-site conditions that were observed on the date(s) the site was visited.

This report describes the results of the site visits, which assessed the Project Area for (1) the presence of sensitive land cover types, (2) the potential for land cover types on the site to support special-status plant and wildlife species, and (3) the presence of any other sensitive natural resources protected by local, state, or federal laws and regulations. Special-status species observed during the site assessment were documented and their presence is discussed herein. Specific findings on the habitat suitability or presence of special-status species or sensitive habitats may require that protocol-level surveys or other studies be conducted; recommendations for additional studies are provided, if necessary.

The proposed project (Project) involves the installation of three vineyard blocks totaling approximately 4.54 net acres (5.82 gross acres). Associated with the installation of the grape vines will be vineyard avenues, fences, irrigation lines, etc. Site preparation (ripping, installation of erosion control measures, seeding cover crop, and installation of irrigation pipelines and trellis) will occur during the grading window of April 1 through October 15. By October 15, the site will be winterized with placement of straw wattles, seeding of vineyard avenues and planting areas, and straw mulch spread over disturbed areas as required by the ECP prepared for the Project.

## **2.0 REGULATORY BACKGROUND**

This report is intended to facilitate conformance of the Project with the standards outlined in the Napa County Code and General Plan, as well as support permitting by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE) for timber harvest and timber conversion operations. In addition to the requirements of Napa County, the Project may also be subject to several federal and state regulations designed to protect sensitive natural resources. Full analysis of these requirements in the context of the Project is addressed herein.

## **2.1 Federal and State Regulatory Setting**

### **2.1.1 Sensitive Land Cover Types**

Land cover types are herein defined as those areas of a particular vegetation type, soil or bedrock formation, aquatic features, and/or other distinct phenomenon. Typically, land cover types have identifiable boundaries that can be delineated based on changes in plant assemblages, soil or rock types, soil surface or near-surface hydroperiod, anthropogenic or natural disturbance, topography, elevation, etc. Many land cover types are not considered sensitive or otherwise protected under the environmental regulations discussed here. However, these land cover types typically provide essential ecological and biological functions for plants and wildlife, including, frequently, special-status species. Those land cover types that are considered or protected under one or more environmental regulations are discussed below.

Waters of the United States: The United States Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) regulates “Waters of the United States” under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA). Waters of the United States are defined in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) as waters susceptible to use in commerce, including interstate waters and wetlands, all other waters (intrastate waterbodies, including wetlands), and their tributaries (33 CFR 328.3). Potential wetland areas, according to the three criteria used to delineate wetlands as defined in the Corps Wetlands Delineation Manual (Environmental Laboratory 1987), are identified by the presence of (1) hydrophytic vegetation, (2) hydric soils, and (3) wetland hydrology. Areas that are inundated at a sufficient depth and for a sufficient duration to exclude growth of hydrophytic vegetation are subject to Section 404 jurisdiction as “other waters” and are often characterized by an ordinary high water mark (OHWM). Other waters, for example, generally include lakes, rivers, and streams. The placement of fill material into Waters of the United States generally requires an individual or nationwide permit from the Corps under Section 404 of the CWA.

Waters of the State: The term “Waters of the State” is defined by the Porter-Cologne Act as “any surface water or groundwater, including saline waters, within the boundaries of the state.” The Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) protects all waters in its regulatory scope and has special responsibility for wetlands, riparian areas, and headwaters. These waterbodies have high resource value, are vulnerable to filling, and are not systematically protected by other programs. RWQCB jurisdiction includes “isolated” wetlands and waters that may not be regulated by the Corps under Section 404. Waters of the State are regulated by the RWQCB under the State Water Quality Certification Program which regulates discharges of fill and dredged material under Section 401 of the CWA and the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act. Projects that require a Corps permit, or fall under other federal jurisdiction, and have the potential to impact Waters of the State, are required to comply with the terms of the Water Quality Certification determination. If a project does not require a federal permit, but does involve dredge or fill activities that may result in a discharge to Waters of the State, the RWQCB has the option to regulate the dredge and fill activities under its state authority in the form of Waste Discharge Requirements. The San Francisco Bay RWQCB, which has jurisdiction over projects in the Napa River watershed, recently adopted the General Permit for Vineyard Properties in the Napa River and Sonoma Creek Watersheds to comply with the WDRs for sediment and nutrient discharge from vineyards.



Streams, Lakes, and Riparian Habitat: Streams and lakes, as habitat for fish and wildlife species, are subject to jurisdiction by CDFW under Sections 1600-1616 of California Fish and Game Code (CFGF). Alterations to or work within or adjacent to streambeds or lakes generally require a 1602 Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement. The term “stream”, which includes creeks and rivers, is defined in the California Code of Regulations (CCR) as “a body of water that flows at least periodically or intermittently through a bed or channel having banks and supports fish or other aquatic life [including] watercourses having a surface or subsurface flow that supports or has supported riparian vegetation” (14 CCR 1.72). In addition, the term “stream” can include ephemeral streams, dry washes, watercourses with subsurface flows, canals, aqueducts, irrigation ditches, and other means of water conveyance if they support aquatic life, riparian vegetation, or stream-dependent terrestrial wildlife (CDFG 1994). “Riparian” is defined as “on, or pertaining to, the banks of a stream.” Riparian vegetation is defined as “vegetation which occurs in and/or adjacent to a stream and is dependent on, and occurs because of, the stream itself” (CDFG 1994). Removal of riparian vegetation also requires a Section 1602 Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement from CDFW.

Sensitive Natural Communities: Sensitive natural communities not discussed above include habitats that fulfill special functions or have special values. Natural communities considered sensitive are those identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the CDFW. CDFW ranks sensitive communities as “threatened” or “very threatened” (CDFG 2010, CDFW 2018a) and keeps records of their occurrences in its California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDDB; CDFW 2018a). CNDDDB vegetation alliances are ranked 1 through 5 based on NatureServe's (2018) methodology, with those alliances ranked globally (G) or statewide (S) as 1 through 3 considered sensitive. Impacts to sensitive natural communities identified in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations or those identified by the CDFW or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) must be considered and evaluated under CEQA (CCR Title 14, Div. 6, Chap. 3, Appendix G). The Napa County Baseline Data Report (NCBDR) identifies sensitive Napa County natural communities, discussed further in Section 2.2 below (Napa County 2005).

### *2.1.2 Special-status Species*

Plants: Special-status plants include taxa that have been listed as endangered or threatened, or are formal candidates for such listing, under the federal Endangered Species Act (ESA) and/or California Endangered Species Act (CESA). The California Native Plant Protection Act (CNPPA) lists 64 “rare” or “endangered” and prevents “take”, with few exceptions, of these species. Plant species on the California Native Plant Society (CNPS) Rare and Endangered Plant Inventory (Inventory) with California Rare Plant Ranks (Rank) of 1, 2, and 3 are also considered special-status plant species and must be considered under CEQA. Rank 4 species are typically only afforded protection under CEQA when such species are particularly unique to the locale (e.g., range limit, low abundance/low frequency, limited habitat) or are otherwise considered locally rare. A description of the CNPS Ranks is provided below in Appendices B and C. Additionally, any plant species listed as sensitive within the Napa County General Plan or NCBDR are likewise considered sensitive.

Wildlife: As with plants, special-status wildlife includes species/taxa that have been listed or are formal candidates for such under ESA and/or CESA. The federal Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act provides relatively broad protections to both of North America's eagle species (bald [*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*] and golden eagle [*Aquila chrysaetos*]) that in some regards are similar to those provided by ESA. The CFGC designates some species as Fully Protected (SFP), which indicates that take of that species cannot be authorized through a state permit. Additionally, CDFW Species of Special Concern (species that face extirpation in California if current population and habitat trends continue) are given special consideration under CEQA, and are therefore considered special-status species. In addition to regulations for special-status species, most native birds in the United States, including non-status species, have baseline legal protections under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918 and CFGC, i.e., sections 3503, 3503.5 and 3513. Under these laws/codes, the intentional harm or collection of adult birds as well as the intentional collection or destruction of active nests, eggs, and young is illegal. For bat species, the Western Bat Working Group (WBWG) designates conservation status for species of bats, and those with a high or medium-high priority are typically given special consideration under CEQA. Finally, wildlife species/taxa named as "locally rare" in the NCBDR (Napa County 2005) are also treated as special-status for purposes of this assessment.

Critical Habitat, Essential Fish Habitat, and Wildlife Corridors: Critical habitat is a term defined in the ESA as a specific and formally-designated geographic area that contains features essential for the conservation of a threatened or endangered species and that may require special management and protection. The ESA requires federal agencies to consult with the USFWS to conserve listed species on their lands and to ensure that any activities or projects they fund, authorize, or carry out will not jeopardize the survival of a threatened or endangered species. In consultation for those species with critical habitat, federal agencies must also ensure that their activities or projects do not adversely modify critical habitat to the point that it will no longer aid in the species' recovery. Note that designated critical habitat areas that are currently unoccupied by the species but which are deemed necessary for the species' recovery are also protected by the prohibition against adverse modification.

The Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act) provides for conservation and management of fishery resources in the U.S. This Act establishes a national program intended to prevent overfishing, rebuild overfished stocks, ensure conservation, and facilitate long-term protection through the establishment of Essential Fish Habitat (EFH). EFH consists of aquatic areas that contain habitat essential to the long-term survival and health of fisheries, which may include the water column, certain bottom types, vegetation (e.g. eelgrass (*Zostera* spp.)), or complex structures such as oyster beds. Any federal agency that authorizes, funds, or undertakes action that may adversely affect EFH is required to consult with NMFS.

Movement and migratory corridors for native wildlife (including aquatic corridors) as well as wildlife nursery sites are given special consideration under CEQA. Additionally, the NCBDR (Napa County 2005) outlines important corridor resources within the County and encourages protection of these resources via Policy CON-18 (see section 2.2 below).

## 2.2 Napa County Regulatory Setting

Napa County General Plan and Napa County Code: Natural resource use in Napa County is regulated by the Napa County General Plan (Napa County 2008). Below are relevant policies from the General Plan pertaining to wetlands and biological resources which may be applicable to the Project.

### *Napa County Baseline Data Report*

Specific sensitive Land Cover Types are identified in the NCBDR (Napa County 2005). In addition to those Land Cover Types identified by CDFW, the NCBDR also identifies biotic communities of limited distribution that “encompass less than 500 acres of cover within the County and are considered by local biological experts to be worthy of conservation” (Napa County 2005).

### *Natural Resource Goals and Policies*

Policy CON-13: The County shall require that all discretionary residential, commercial, industrial, recreation, agricultural, and water development projects consider and address impacts to wildlife habitat and avoid impacts to fisheries and habitat supporting special-status species to the extent feasible. Where impacts to wildlife and special-status species cannot be avoided, projects shall include effective mitigation measures and management plans including provisions to:

- a) Maintain the following essentials for fish and wildlife resources:
  - a. Sufficient dissolved oxygen in the water.
  - b. Adequate amounts of proper food.
  - c. Adequate amounts of feeding, escaping, and nesting habitat.
  - d. Proper temperature through maintenance and enhancement of streamside vegetation volume flows, and velocity of water.
- b) Employ supplemental planting and maintenance of grasses, shrubs and trees of like quality and quantity to provide adequate vegetation cover to enhance water quality, minimize sedimentation and soil transport, and provide adequate shelter and food for wildlife and special-status species and maintain the watersheds, especially streams side areas, in good condition.
- c) Provide protection for habitat supporting special-status species through buffering or other means.
- d) Provide replacement habitat of like quantity and quality on- or off-site for special-status species to mitigate impacts to special-status species.
- e) Enhance existing habitat values, particularly for special-status species, through restoration and replanting of native plant species as part of discretionary permit review and approval.
- f) Require temporary or permanent buffers of adequate size (based on the requirements of the special-status species) to avoid nest abandonment of birds and raptors associated with construction and site development activities.
- g) Demonstrate compliance with applicable provisions and regulations of recovery plans for listed species.

Policy CON-17: Preserve and protect native grasslands, serpentine grasslands, mixed serpentine chaparral, and other sensitive biotic communities and habitats of limited distribution. The County, in its discretion, shall require mitigation that results in the following standards:

- a) Prevent removal or disturbance of sensitive natural plant communities that contain special-status plant species or provide critical habitat to special-status animal species.
- b) In other areas, avoid disturbances to or removal of sensitive natural plant communities and mitigate potentially significant impacts where avoidance is infeasible.
- c) Promote protection from overgrazing and other destructive activities.
- d) Encourage scientific study and require monitoring and active management where biotic communities and habitats of limited distribution or sensitive natural plant communities are threatened by the spread of invasive non-native species.
- e) Require no net loss of sensitive biotic communities and habitats of limited distribution through avoidance, restoration, or replacement where feasible. Where avoidance, restoration, or replacement is not feasible, preserve like habitat at a 2:1 ratio or greater within Napa County to avoid significant cumulative loss of valuable habitats.

Policy CON-18: To reduce impacts on habitat conservation and connectivity:

- a) In sensitive domestic water supply drainages where new development is required to retain between 40 and 60 percent of the existing (as of June 16, 1993) vegetation onsite, the vegetation selected for retention should be in areas designed to maximize habitat value and connectivity.
- b) Outside of sensitive domestic water supply drainages, streamlined permitting procedures should be instituted for new vineyard projects that voluntarily retain valuable habitat and connectivity, including generous setbacks from streams and buffers around ecologically sensitive areas.
- c) Preservation of habitat and connectivity of adequate size, quality and configuration to support special-status species should be required within the project area. The size of habitat and connectivity to be preserved shall be determined based on the specific needs of the species.
- d) The County shall require discretionary projects to retain movement corridors of adequate size and habitat quality to allow for continued wildlife use based on the needs of the species occupying the habitat.
- e) The County shall require new vineyard development to be designed to minimize the reduction of wildlife movement to the maximum extent feasible. In the event the County concludes that such development will have a significant impact on wildlife movement, the County may require the applicant to relocate or remove existing perimeter fencing installed on or after February 16, 2007 to offset the impact caused by the new vineyard development.

Policy CON-19: The County shall encourage the preservation of critical habitat areas and habitat connectivity through the use of conservation easements or other methods as well as through continued implementation of the Napa County Conservation Regulations associated with vegetation retention and setbacks from waterways.

Policy CON-24: Maintain and improve oak woodland habitat to provide for slope stabilization, soil protection, species diversity, and wildlife habitat through appropriate measures including one or more of the following:

- a) Preserve, to the extent feasible, oak trees and other significant vegetation that occur near the heads of drainages or depressions to maintain diversity of vegetation type and wildlife habitat as part of agriculture projects.
- b) Comply with the Oak Woodlands Preservation Act regarding oak woodland preservation to conserve the integrity and diversity of oak woodlands, and retain, to the maximum extent feasible, existing oak woodland and chaparral communities and other significant vegetation as part of the residential, commercial, and industrial approvals.
- c) Provide replacement of lost oak woodlands or preservation of like habitat at a 2:1 ratio [3:1 ratio; see below] when retention of existing vegetation is found to be infeasible. Removal of oak species limited in distribution shall be avoided to the maximum extent feasible.
- d) Support hardwood cutting criteria that require retention of adequate stands of oak trees sufficient for wildlife, slope stabilization, soil production be left standing.
- e) Maintain, the extent feasible, a mixture of oak species which is needed to ensure acorn production. Black, canyon, live, and brewer oaks as well as blue, white, scrub and live oaks are common associations.

#### *General Provisions – Stream and Wetland Setbacks*

Napa County Code 18.108.025 requires stream setbacks for new land clearings for agricultural purposes. “Stream” is defined by Napa County (18.108.030) as: (1) a watercourse designated by a solid line or dash and three dots symbol on the largest scale of the United State Geological Survey (USGS) maps most recently published, or any replacement to that symbol (i.e., USGS “blue-line”); (2) any watercourse which has a well-defined channel with a depth greater than four feet and banks steeper than 3:1 and contains hydrophilic vegetation, riparian vegetation or woody-vegetation including tree species greater than ten feet in height; or (3) those watercourses listed in Resolution No. 94-19. No clearing of land for new agricultural uses as defined by Section 18.08.040 shall take place within the following setbacks from streams:

Table 1. Napa County Stream Setbacks

<b>Slope (Percent)</b>	<b>Required Setback</b>
< 1	35 feet
1--5	45 feet
5--15	55 feet
15--30	65 feet
30--40	85 feet
40--50	105 feet
50--60	125 feet
60--70	150 feet

In 2019, Napa County added to Code Section 18.108.025 the requirement of a 35-foot setback for ephemeral or intermittent streams not meeting Napa County's criteria for a stream. Likewise, 18.108.026 was added to the Napa County Code to include the requirement of a 50-foot setback from the delineated edge of wetland boundaries.

### *Vegetation Preservation and Replacement*

Napa County Code 18.108.100 requires the following conditions when granting a discretionary permit for activities within an erosion hazard area (slopes greater than 5 percent):

Existing vegetation shall be preserved to the maximum extent consistent with the project. Vegetation shall not be removed if it is identified as being necessary for erosion control in the approved erosion control plan or if necessary for the preservation of threatened or endangered plant or animal habitats as designated by state or federal agencies with jurisdiction and identified on the County's environmental sensitivity maps.

Existing trees six inches in diameter or larger, measured at diameter breast height (DBH), or tree stands of trees six inches DBH or larger located on a site for which either an administrative or discretionary permit is required shall not be removed until the required permits have been approved by the decision-making body and tree removal has been specifically authorized.

- Trees to be retained or designated for retention shall be protected through the use of barricades or other appropriated methods to be placed and maintained at their outboard drip line during the construction phase. Where appropriate, the director may require an applicant to install and maintain construction fencing around the trees to ensure their protection during earthmoving activities. Where removal of vegetation is necessitated or authorized, the director or designee may require the planting of replacement vegetation of an equivalent kind, quality and quantity.

### *Water Quality and Tree Protection Ordinance*

In 2019, the Napa County Board of Supervisors adopted the Water Quality and Tree Protection Ordinance (WQTPO) modifying Chapter 18.108 Conservation Regulations to provide additional protections to trees and water quality. As noted above, additional setbacks were added for ephemeral and intermittent drainages and wetlands (Chapters 18.108.025 and 18.108.026). In addition, the tree retention required by Chapter 18.108.027 in sensitive domestic water supply drainages was increased from 60 percent to 70 percent retention based on vegetation that existed within the parcel in 1993. In addition, Chapter 18.108.020 subsections C and D were added to the Code that require a minimum of 70 percent retention of canopy cover based on the vegetation that existed within the parcel in 2016, and the preservation or mitigation of trees at a minimum 3:1 ratio.

### 3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

The approximately 21-acre Study Area is set across a portion of two parcels (Appendix A). It is located in northwestern Napa County, approximately two aerial miles southeast of downtown Calistoga. It is situated in the Mayacama Mountains of Napa County on the eastern flank of such, leading into Napa Valley. Detailed descriptions of the local setting are below.

#### 3.1 Topography and Soils

The overall topography of the Study Area moderately- to steeply-sloped, ranging from approximately 600 to 900 feet above sea level. According to the *Soil Survey of Napa County* (USDA 1978), the Study Area is underlain by two soil mapping units: Forward silt loam, 12 to 57 percent slopes and Forward-Kidd complex, 11 to 60 percent slopes. The parent soil series of all the Study Area's mapping units are summarized below.

Forward Series: This series consists of moderately deep sandy loam soils of residuum weathered from rhyolitic tuff on hillslopes at elevations ranging from 400 to 4,500 feet (CSRL 2019, USDA 1978). These soils are not considered hydric, and are well drained, with medium runoff and moderately rapid permeability above the tuff bedrock (USDA 2014, USDA 1978). Native vegetation consists of coniferous forest composed of ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*), Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*), incense cedar (*Calocedrus decurrens*), California black oak (*Quercus kelloggii*), manzanitas (*Arctostaphylos* spp.), and pine mat (*Ceanothus prostratus*). Typical land uses include timbering, watershed protection, and open space (USDA 1978).

Kidd Series: This series consists of very shallow gravelly loam soils formed from weathered rhyolitic tuff and rhyolite situated on upland hillslopes at elevations ranging from 500 to 4,300 feet. These soils are not considered hydric, and are well- to excessively drained with medium to very rapid runoff, and moderately rapid to rapid permeability (CSRL 2019, USDA 1972). Native and naturalized vegetation include hoary manzanita (*Arctostaphylos canescens*), chamise (*Adenostoma fasciculatum*), ceanothus (*Ceanothus* spp.), scrub oak (*Quercus berberidifolia*), knobcone pine (*Pinus attenuata*), ponderosa pine (*P. ponderosa*), and mixed herbs. Typical land use is for watershed, recreation, and limited grazing.

#### 3.2 Climate and Hydrology

The Study Area is located above the valley fog incursion zone of Napa County. The average monthly maximum temperature of Calistoga (Station ID: 041312) is 92.5 degrees Fahrenheit, while the average monthly minimum temperature is 36.2 degrees Fahrenheit. Precipitation falls as rain with an annual average of 37.55 inches. Precipitation-bearing weather systems are predominantly from the west and south with the majority of rainfalls between November and March, with a combined average of 31.52 inches (USDA 2019).

The local watershed is Upper Napa Valley (HUC 12: 180500020201) and the regional watershed is Napa River (HUC 8: 18050002). The Study Area is situated in the Napa County Planning Watershed of Ritchie Creek and Simmons Canyon. There is a one unnamed dashed blue line stream and a man-made pond mapped on the Calistoga 7.5-minute quadrangle (USGS 2015). Likewise, this stream is mapped in the National Wetlands Inventory (NWI; USFWS 2019a) and the California Aquatic Resources Inventory (CARI; SFEI 2019), while several over streams and the pond are mapped in CARI, but not in the NWI. The primary hydrologic sources are direct

precipitation and consequent surface sheet flow and subsurface flow into channels (streams). Precipitation in the majority of the Study Area infiltrates quickly due to rocky loam soils. Detailed descriptions of aquatic resources are in Section 5.1 below.

### 3.3 Land Cover and Land Use

The Study Area is predominantly undeveloped forest and mixed forest habitats, with a portion of existing development. The developed areas in the Subject Property include three residences, winery buildings, vineyard blocks, access roads, and associated infrastructure and landscaping. The Study Area is a smaller portion of a larger property of two contiguous parcels. Detailed plant community descriptions are included in Section 5.1 below, and all observed plants are included in Appendix B. Regional land uses include rural residential, wineries, livestock grazing, and vineyards (Google Earth 2019). Historically, land uses in the region were open rangeland of larger ranches, rural residential, vineyards, and orchards. There is no history of intensive agriculture, quarrying, or mining, in the Study Area; however, timbering was likely in the late 19<sup>th</sup> or early 20<sup>th</sup> Century (Historic Aerials 2019).

## 4.0 ASSESSMENT METHODS

Prior to the site visit, WRA biologists reviewed the following literature and performed database searches to assess the potential for sensitive natural communities (e.g., wetlands) and special-status species (e.g., endangered plants):

- *Soil Survey of Napa County, California* (USDA 1978)
- Calistoga 7.5-minute quadrangle (USGS 2015)
- Contemporary aerial photographs (Google Earth 2019)
- Historical aerial photographs (Historic Aerials 2019)
- National Wetlands Inventory (USFWS 2019a)
- California Aquatic Resources Inventory (SFEI 2019)
- California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB, CDFW 2019a)
- California Native Plant Society Electronic Inventory (CNPS 2019a)
- Consortium of California Herbaria (CCH 2019)
- USFWS List of Federal Endangered and Threatened Species (USFWS 2019b)
- *eBird* Online Database (eBird 2019)
- CDFW Publication, *California Bird Species of Special Concern in California* (Shuford and Gardali 2008)
- CDFW and University of California Press publication *California Amphibian and Reptile Species of Special Concern* (Thomson et al. 2016)
- *Breeding Birds of Napa County, California* (Smith 2003)
- *A Field Guide to Western Reptiles and Amphibians* (Stebbins 2003)
- *A Manual of California Vegetation, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition* (Sawyer et al. 2009)
- *A Manual of California Vegetation Online* (CNPS 2019b)
- *Preliminary Descriptions of the Terrestrial Natural Communities* (Holland 1986)
- Napa County Land Cover (NCLC) map (Thorne et al. 2004)
- *California Natural Community List* (CDFW 2018a)



Database searches (i.e., CNDDDB, CNPS) focused on the Mount Saint Helena, Detert Reservoir, Aetna Springs, Mark West Springs, Calistoga, Saint Helena, Santa Rosa, Kenwood, and Rutherford USGS 7.5-minute quadrangles for special-status plants. The special-status wildlife evaluation was based on database searches for the entirety of Napa County. Appendix A contains observations of special-status species documented within a five-mile radius of the Study Area.

Following the remote assessment, a botanist with 40-hour Corps wetland delineation and wildlife biologist training traversed the entire Study Area on foot to document: (1) land cover types (e.g., terrestrial communities, aquatic resources), (2) existing conditions and to determine if such provide suitable habitat for any special-status plant or wildlife species, (3) if and what type of aquatic natural communities (e.g., wetlands) are present, and (4) if special-status species are present<sup>1</sup>.

## **4.1 Land Cover Types**

### **4.1.1 Terrestrial Land Cover Types**

Terrestrial land cover types were mapped across the entire Subject Property, but they were only evaluated to determine if such areas have the potential to support special-status plants or wildlife within in the Study Area. In most instances, communities are delineated based on distinct shifts in plant assemblage (vegetation), and follow the *California Natural Community List* (CDFW 2018a), *Preliminary Descriptions of the Terrestrial Natural Communities of California* (Holland 1986), and *A Manual of California Vegetation, Online Edition* (CNPS 2019b). In some cases, it may be necessary to identify variants of community types or to describe non-vegetated areas that are not described in the literature; should an undescribed variant be used, it will be noted in the description.

Vegetation alliances (natural communities) with a CDFW Rank of 1 through 3 (globally critically imperiled (S1/G1), imperiled (S2/G2), or vulnerable (S3/G3), were evaluated as sensitive as part of this evaluation.<sup>2</sup> Additionally, any sensitive natural communities as described in the Napa County Baseline Data Report (NCBDR; Napa County 2005) or General Plan (Napa County 2008) were considered.

### **4.1.2 Aquatic Resources**

Aquatic resources include Waters of the U.S., Waters of the State, and Streams, Lakes, and Riparian Habitat as defined in the CWA, Porter-Cologne Act, and CFGC, respectively. Napa County mandates setbacks from these aquatic resources, and therefore requires mapping of the outward extent of such features.

This site assessment does not constitute a formal wetland delineation; however, the surveys looked for superficial indicators of wetlands such as hydrophytic vegetation (i.e., plant communities dominated by wetland species), evidence of inundation or flowing water, saturated soils and seepage, and topographic depressions/swales. If sample points were taken, WRA followed the *Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual* (Environmental Laboratory 1987)

---

<sup>1</sup> Due to the timing of the assessment, it may or may not constitute protocol-level species surveys; see Section 4.2 if the site assessment would constitute a formal or protocol-level species survey.

<sup>2</sup> Ranking of CDFW List of Vegetation Alliances is based on NatureServe Rankings (NatureServe 2018)

and the *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Arid West Region* (Corps 2008).

If streams potentially jurisdictional under the CWA and/or the CFGC are noted on a site, they are delineated using a mix of surveyed topography data, high resolution aerial photographs, and a sub-meter GPS unit. The ordinary high water mark would be used to determine the extent of potential Section 404 jurisdiction, while the top-of-bank would be used to determine the extent of CFGC Section 1602 and 401. Streams with associated woody vegetation were assessed to determine if these areas would be considered riparian habitat by the CDFW following *A Field Guide to Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreements, Section 1600-1607, California Fish and Game Code* (CDFG 1994).

## **4.2 Special-status Species**

### **4.2.1 General Assessment**

Potential occurrence of special-status species in the Study Area was evaluated by first determining which special-status species occur in the greater vicinity through a literature and database review. Database searches for known occurrences of special-status species focused on the 7.5-minute USGS quadrangles mentioned above for special-status plants and the entirety of Napa County for special-status wildlife.

A preliminary site visit was made on March 21, 2019 to evaluate the presence of suitable habitat for special-status species. Suitable habitat conditions are based on physical and biological conditions of the site, as well as the professional expertise of the investigating biologists. The potential for each special-status species to occur in the Study Area was then determined according to the following criteria:

- **No Potential.** Habitat on and adjacent to the site is clearly unsuitable for the species requirements (foraging, breeding, cover, substrate, elevation, hydrology, plant community, site history, disturbance regime).
- **Unlikely.** Few of the habitat components meeting the species requirements are present, and/or the majority of habitat on and adjacent to the site is unsuitable or of very poor quality. The species is not likely to be found on the site.
- **Moderate Potential.** Some of the habitat components meeting the species requirements are present, and/or only some of the habitat on or adjacent to the site is unsuitable. The species has a moderate probability of being found on the site.
- **High Potential.** All of the habitat components meeting the species requirements are present and/or most of the habitat on or adjacent to the site is highly suitable. The species has a high probability of being found on the site.
- **Present.** Species is observed on the site or has been recorded (i.e. CNDDDB, other reports) on the site in the recent past.

If a more thorough assessment was warranted, a targeted or protocol-level assessment or survey was conducted or recommended as a future study. Methods for the assessments are described below. If a special-status species was observed during the site visit, its presence was recorded and discussed below in Section 5.2.

#### 4.2.2 Special-status Plants

To determine the presence or absence of special-status plant species, focused surveys were conducted within Study Area and portions of the Subject Property outside of the Study Area on March 21, April 9, April 24, May 3, June 25 and August 30, 2019. The surveys correspond to the period sufficient to observe and identify those special-status plants determined to have the potential to occur. The field surveys were conducted by botanists familiar with the flora of Napa and surrounding counties. The surveys were performed in accordance with those outlined by Napa County (2016b), which follow those described by resource experts and agencies (CNPS 2001, CDFW 2018b, USFWS 1996). Plants were identified using *The Jepson Manual, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition* (Baldwin et. al. 2012) and Jepson Flora Project (eFlora 2018), to the taxonomic level necessary to determine whether or not they were sensitive. Plant names follow those of Jepson Flora Project (eFlora 2018), unless otherwise noted.

#### 4.2.3 Special-status Wildlife

A general wildlife assessment was performed on March 21, 2019. This assessment consisted of traversing the entirety of the Study Area as well as substantial portions of the Subject Property. Habitat elements required or associated with certain species (e.g., northern spotted owl) or species groups (e.g., bats, anadromous fish) were searched for and noted. Such habitat elements include, but are not limited to: plant assemblages and vegetation structure; stream depth, width, hydro-period, slope, and bed-and-bank structure; rock outcrops, caves, cliffs, overhangs, and substrate texture and rock content; history of site alteration and contemporary disturbances; etc.

A targeted assessment and several surveys for western pond turtle (WPT; *Emys marmorata*) and California red-legged frog (CRLF; *Rana draytonii*) were performed around the man-made pond in the Subject Property outside of the Study Area. These consisted of slowly and quietly, circling the man-made pond looking for either species on the bank or other structures emerging from the water's surface. These surveys did not explicitly follow an established protocol, but specific observation methods follow *Revised Guidance on Site Assessments and Field Surveys for the California Red-legged Frog* (USFWS 2005).

A protocol-level northern spotted owl (NSO; *Strix occidentalis caurina*) survey was conducted following *Protocol for Surveying Proposed Management Activities that May Impact Northern Spotted Owls* (USFWS 2012). Six surveys through the spring and summer 2019 were performed within the Subject Property, with a focus on the Study Area, to determine if NSO utilize the site. The surveys were a mix of day (full light), evening (dark), and early morning (mix light/dark) with two biologists projecting a variety of imitative calls. Both recorded NSO vocalizations and vocal imitations of these calls (by surveyors) were employed. Recorded vocalizations were broadcast using a FOXPRO Inferno digital game calling device (FOXPRO, Inc.; Lewistown, Pennsylvania). Spotted owl vocalizations used were the standard four-note call, the agitated (eight-plus-note) call, and the contact call ("contact whistle"). A minimum of ten minutes of calling was conducted from three established survey stations (Figure A-4), as well as opportunistic calling between stations.

#### 4.2.4 Critical Habitat, Essential Fish Habitat, and Wildlife Corridors

Prior to the site visit the USFWS Critical Habitat Mapper (USFWS 2019b) and the NMFS Essential Fish Habitat Mapper (NMFS 2019) were queried to determine if critical habitat for any species or EFH, respectively, occurs within the Study Area.

To account for potential impacts to wildlife movement/migratory corridors, biologists reviewed maps from the California Essential Connectivity Project (CalTrans 2010), habitat connectivity data available through the CDFW Biogeographic Information and Observation System (BIOS) (CDFW 2019a), and the NCBDR (Napa County 2005). Additionally, aerial imagery (Google 2019) for the local area was referenced to assess if local core habitat areas were present within, or connected to the Study Area. This assessment was refined based on observations of on-site physical and/or biological conditions.

## 5.0 ASSESSMENT RESULTS

### 5.1 Land Cover Types

WRA observed six land cover types within the Subject Property, with only four occurring in the Study Area: developed areas, chaparral, mixed chaparral/Douglas fir forest, Douglas fir forest, streams, and a man-made pond (Figure A-4). The Project Area (vineyards and clearing limits) have been intentionally sited to avoid the streams.

#### 5.1.1 Terrestrial Land Cover Types

Developed Area (no vegetation alliance). CDFW Rank: None. Within the Study Area, developed portions are composed of vineyards, paved access roads, parking areas, three residences, winery buildings, landscaping, and other small outbuildings. The vegetation is highly altered, consisting of overhanging native trees, landscape species, and disturbance tolerant herbs. Species include Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*), California bay (*Umbellularia californica*), English ivy (*Hedera helix*), field marigold (*Calendula arvensis*), common sow thistle (*Sonchus oleraceus*), bur medic (*Medicago polymorpha*), and lily-of-the-Nile (*Agapanthus praecox*). Developed areas total 6.68 acres in the Subject Property and 0.52 acre in the Project Area (7.8 percent of the total land cover type in the Subject Property). This community is synonymous with the Urban/Built-up biotic community in the NCLC (Thorne et al. 2004). This community is not considered sensitive by Napa County, CDFW, or any other regulatory entity.

Chaparral – Common Manzanita Chaparral (*Arctostaphylos manzanita* ssp. *manzanita* Provisional Shrubland Alliance). CDFW Rank: G3 S3. Common manzanita chaparrals occur in the Coast Ranges and the Sierra Nevada Foothills from Del Norte County to Santa Cruz County (Sawyer et al. 2009, CNPS 2019b). These chaparrals are typically situated on mid to upper steep slopes underlain by sandstone, serpentine, or metavolcanics (CNPS 2019b). Common manzanita chaparral is not located within the Study Area, but occupies 2.53 acres in the Subject Property outside of the Study Area.

The dominant stratum is the shrub layer which is dominated by common manzanita (*Arctostaphylos manzanita* ssp. *manzanita*), with secondary shrubs of Stanford's manzanita (*A. stanfordiana* ssp. *stanfordiana*), chamise (*Adenostoma fasciculatum*), sticky monkey (*Diplacus aurantiacus*), tree poppy (*Dendromecon rigida*), and poison oak (*Toxicodendron diversilobum*). The substrate appears to be partially of mine tailings and consequently, there is depauperate herbaceous layer, which is composed of climbing bedstraw (*Galium porrigens*), California milkwort (*Polygala californica*), goldwire (*Hypericum concinnum*), and gold back fern (*Pentagramma triangularis*).

This land cover type is synonymous with the Mixed Manzanita West County NFD Alliance biotic community in the NCLC (Thorne et al. 2004). These chaparrals provide habitat for numerous common native plants and wildlife, as well as have the potential to support several special-status species associated with such arid scrubby vegetation. The CDFW considers these chaparrals as a sensitive natural community, but are not specifically noted by Napa County. This chaparral is entirely outside of the Study Area; therefore, there will be no impacts to such.

Mixed Forest/Chaparral – Douglas Fir Forest (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* Forest Alliance)/Common Manzanita Chaparral (*Arctostaphylos manzanita* ssp. *manzanita* Provisional Shrubland Alliance). CDFW Rank: G5 S4. It is not uncommon for chaparral and other shrub dominated communities to become invaded and overtopped by trees, particularly in the absence of fire or other disturbance. Mixed forest/chaparral habitats are common throughout the mountain ranges of cismontane California. The Subject Property contains 9.2 acres of mixed forest/chaparral, with 0.32 acre situated in the Project Area (3.5 percent of the total land cover type in the Subject Property).

The dominant stratum is a mix of shrubs that are becoming overtopped by trees, with the dominant species being Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*), tanoak (*Notholithocarpus densiflorus*), Stanford's manzanita (*A. stanfordiana* ssp. *stanfordiana*), toyon (*Heteromeles arbutifolia*), sticky monkey (*Diplacus aurantiacus*), and wavy-leaf ceanothus (*Ceanothus foliosus* var. *foliosus*). The herbaceous layer is dominated by common beargrass (*Xerophyllum tenax*), climbing bedstraw (*Galium porrigens*), California milkwort (*Polygala californica*), goldwire (*Hypericum concinnum*), checker lily (*Fritillaria affinis*), Pacific woodrush (*Luzula comosa*), and gold back fern (*Pentagramma triangularis*).

This land cover appears to be a mix of the Mixed Manzanita West County NFD Alliance biotic community and the Douglas Fir/Coast Redwood Forest Alliance biotic community in the NCLC (Thorne et al. 2004). Mixed vegetation provides habitat for numerous common native plants and wildlife, as well as have the potential to support several special-status species associated with such arid scrubby vegetation. The CDFW considers these chaparrals as a sensitive natural community, but are not specifically noted by Napa County. Retention of canopy must be considered through the Napa County General Code.

Douglas Fir Forest (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* Forest Alliance). CDFW Rank: G5 S4. Douglas fir forests occur in the Coast Ranges, Klamath Range, Cascade Range, and Sierra Nevada from Del Norte County to Santa Barbara County (Sawyer et al. 2009, CNPS 2019b). These forests are typically situated on all topographic positions and aspects, underlain by a variety of substrates including serpentine and volcanics (CNPS 2019b). The Subject Property contains 57.97 acres of Douglas fir forest, with 4.9 acres situated in the Project Area (8.5 percent of the total land cover type in the Subject Property).

The dominant tree is Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*), with other tall trees in the canopy including coast redwood (*Sequoia sempervirens*), tanoak (*Notholithocarpus densiflorus*), Pacific madrone (*Arbutus menziesii*), and California bay (*Umbellularia californica*). The overstory is relatively young, creating a dense canopy and relatively depauperate understory. There are few trees with structures sufficient to provide nesting for northern spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis caurina*), such as broken tops, multiple boles, epicormics branching, large cavities and fissures, etc. situated in the Subject Property, with few of these trees located in the Study Area. Those herbs and shrubs that do persist in the stand include poison oak (*Toxicodendron diversilobum*), pink honeysuckle (*Lonicera hispidula*), rough hedgenettle (*Stachys rigida*), California bedstraw (*Galium californicum*), woodland star (*Lysimachia latifolia*), California wood fern (*Dryopteris arguta*), and bracken fern (*Pteridium aquilinum*).

This land cover type is synonymous with the Douglas Fir/Coast Redwood Forest Alliance biotic community in the NCLC (Thorne et al. 2004). These forests provide habitat for numerous common native plants and wildlife, as well as have the potential to support several special-status species associated with dense forests. The CDFW and Napa County do not consider Douglas fir forest a sensitive natural community. However, retention of canopy must be considered through the Napa County General Code. Likewise, this area is timberland regulated by CAL FIRE under the Forest Practice Rules.

#### 5.1.2 Aquatic Resources

Ephemeral and Intermittent Streams. CWA Section 404/401. Rank: None. The Subject Property contains three primary drainages, with ephemeral portions in the upper reaches and intermittent flows in the lower reaches. One of these drainages contains two ephemeral reaches that are situated within the Study Area, but necessarily avoided by the Project Area. One of the drainages outside of the Study Area contains an in-line man-made pond (see below), while the second is an unnamed dashed blue-line stream on the 7.5-minute quadrangle (USGS 2015). This stream is the only aquatic feature mapped in the NWI (USFWS 2019a), while all of the streams on-site are included in the CARI (SFEI 2019).

The flows in the upper reaches, including those two reaches in the Study Area, are ephemeral which only run during and immediately following substantial precipitation. The lower reaches and the western most stream contain intermittent flows, which run during the wet season into the dry season, and receive subsurface discharges. The bed-and-banks are a mix of finer sediments, with large cobble and occasional bedrock in the intermittent portions. The ephemeral reaches contain shallow, narrow banks of fine sediments (clays, loams), while the banks of the intermittent streams are relatively steep, deep, and a mix of sediments, large rock, and downed wood. Riparian vegetation is present along the intermittent portions, but is absent along the ephemeral streams.

All of the streams are likely jurisdictional under Section 404/401 of the CWA and Section 1602 of the CFGC; therefore, it is considered a sensitive aquatic resource. These drainages appear to meet the Napa County stream definition pursuant to Napa County Code 18.108.025.

Pond. CWA Section 404/401. Rank: None. The Subject Property contains a pond which in-line with a natural ephemeral/intermittent stream. The pond contains a clear OHWM and is connected to lower reach of the stream through an overflow channel. The edge contains some emergent wetland vegetation, but this fringe is neither contiguous nor wider than two or three feet. Species in this fringe include Santa Barbara sedge (*Carex barbarae*), tall flatsedge (*Cyperus eragrostis*), narrowleaf cattail (*Typha angustifolia*), and common cattail (*T. latifolia*). This feature is likely to be considered jurisdictional under Section 404/401 of the CWA, the Porter Cologne Act, and Section 1600 of the CFGC; therefore, it is considered a sensitive aquatic resource.

## **5.2 Special-status Species**

### **5.2.1 Special-status Plant Species**

Based upon a review of the resource databases listed in Section 4.0, 105 special-status plant species have been documented in the vicinity of the Study Area. Twenty-eight of these plants have the potential to occur in the Study Area. The remaining 77 special-status plants documented from the greater vicinity are unlikely or have no potential to occur for one or more of the following:

- Hydrologic conditions (e.g., tidal, riverine) necessary to support the special-status plant species are not present in the Study Area;
- Edaphic (soil) conditions (e.g., volcanic tuff, serpentine) necessary to support the special-status plant species are not present in the Study Area;
- Topographic conditions (e.g., north-facing slope, montane) necessary to support the special-status plant species are not present in the Study Area;
- Unique pH conditions (e.g., alkali scalds, acidic bogs) necessary to support the special-status plant species are not present in the Study Area;
- Associated natural communities (e.g., interior chaparral, tidal marsh) necessary to support the special-status plant species are not present in the Study Area;
- The Study Area is geographically isolated (e.g. below elevation, coastal environ) from the documented range of the special-status plant species;
- Land use history and contemporary management (e.g., absence of mowing or grazing) has degraded the localized habitat necessary to support the special-status plant species.

WRA biologists conducted several site visits during a period sufficient to identify all 28 special-status plant species with the potential to occur within the Study Area. Two such plants were located in the Study Area during protocol-level surveys: Sonoma ceanothus (*Ceanothus sonomensis*; CRPR 1B) and redwood lily (*Lilium rubescens*; CRPR 4). All species with the potential to occur are listed below and described in Appendix C.

#### **Special-status Plants Present in the Study Area**

The following two special-status plants were observed within the Study Area during the 2019 surveys.

Sonoma ceanothus (*Ceanothus sonomensis*). CRPR 1B. High Potential. Sonoma ceanothus is an evergreen shrub in the buckhorn family (Rhamnaceae) that blooms from February to April, but is typically identifiable by vegetative structures throughout the year. It typically occurs on sandy, gravelly substrate derived from serpentine or volcanic in chaparral habitat at elevations ranging from 695 to 2,600 feet (CDFW 2019a, CNPS 2019a).

There are seven individuals of Sonoma ceanothus situated in the Study Area, with three located in the Project Area. Extended surveys were conducted in the Subject Property outside of the Study Area in chaparral and mixed forest/chaparral land cover types for this species. No further individuals of this species were observed despite suitable habitat present.

Redwood lily (*Lilium rubescens*). CRPR 4. Moderate Potential. Redwood lily is a bulbiferous perennial forb in the lily family (Liliaceae) that blooms from April through September. It typically occurs in openings, roadsides, and trails, often on serpentine and volcanic substrates in broadleaf upland forest, chaparral, lower montane coniferous forest, upper montane coniferous forest, and North Coast coniferous forest habitat at elevations ranging from 95 to 6,210 feet (CNPS 2019a, Baldwin et al. 2012, Best et al. 1996). This species has a serpentine affinity rank of weak indicator (2.0) (Safford et al. 2005).

There are ten individuals of redwood lily situated in the Study Area, but outside of the Project Area. Extended surveys were conducted in portions of the Subject Property immediately outside of the Study Area for this species. No further individuals of this species were observed. Individuals may occur in the remote western portion of the Subject Property as this area was not surveyed.

#### Special-status Plants Not Observed in the Study Area

The following 26 special-status plants have the potential to occur within the Study Area but were not observed during protocol-level rare plant surveys conducted in April and May 2018.

- Napa false indigo (*Amorpha californica* var. *napensis*); CRPR 1B
- Konocti manzanita (*Arctostaphylos manzanita* ssp. *elegans*); CRPR 1B
- Rincon manzanita (*Arctostaphylos stanfordiana* ssp. *decumbens*); CRPR 1B
- Brewer's milk-vetch (*Astragalus breweri*); CRPR 4
- Clara Hunt's milk-vetch (*Astragalus claranus*); FE, ST, CRPR 1B
- Big-scale balsamroot (*Balsamorhiza macrolepis*); CRPR 1B
- Narrow-anthered Brodiaea (*Brodiaea leptandra*); CRPR 1B
- Brewer's calandrinia (*Calandrinia breweri*); CRPR 4
- Rincon Ridge ceanothus (*Ceanothus confusus*); CRPR 1B
- Calistoga ceanothus (*Ceanothus divergens*); CRPR 1B
- Holly-leaved ceanothus (*Ceanothus purpureus*); CRPR 1B
- Mountain lady's-slipper (*Cypripedium montanum*); CRPR 4
- Streamside daisy (*Erigeron biolettii*); CRPR 3
- Greene's narrow-leaved daisy (*Erigeron greenei*); CRPR 1B
- St. Helena fawn lily (*Erythronium helenae*); CRPR 4
- Nodding harmonia (*Harmonia nutans*); CRPR 4
- Bristly leptosiphon (*Leptosiphon acicularis*); CRPR 4
- Jepson's leptosiphon (*Leptosiphon jepsonii*); CRPR 1B



- Napa lomatium (*Lomatium repostum*); CRPR 4
- Cobb Mountain lupine (*Lupinus sericatus*); CRPR 1B
- Mt. Diablo cottonweed (*Micropus amphibolus*); CRPR 3
- Green Monardella (*Monardella viridis*); CRPR 4
- Lobb's aquatic buttercup (*Ranunculus lobbii*); CRPR 4
- Slender-leaved pondweed (*Stuckenia filiformis* ssp. *alpina*); CRPR 2B
- Napa bluecurls (*Trichostema ruygtii*); CRPR 1B
- Oval-leaved viburnum (*Viburnum ellipticum*); CRPR 2B

### 5.2.2 *Special-status Wildlife Species*

A total of 58 special-status wildlife species have been documented in Napa County (CDFW 2019a, Napa County 2005). Five of these species have a moderate to high potential to occur in the Study Area and Project Area. There are several additional species that have the potential to occur within the Subject Property, but they are unlikely to utilize the Study Area and Project Area. The remaining 53 species are unlikely or have no potential to occur due to one or more of the following reasons:

- Aquatic habitats (e.g., rivers, estuaries) necessary to support the special-status wildlife species are not present in the Study Area;
- Vegetation habitats (e.g., coast redwood forest, coastal prairie) that provide nesting and/or foraging resources necessary support the special-status wildlife species are not present in the Study Area;
- Physical structures and vegetation (e.g., mines, old-growth coniferous trees) necessary to provide nesting, cover, and/or foraging habitat to support the special-status wildlife species are not present in the Study Area;
- Host plants (e.g., dog violet, harlequin lotus) necessary to provide larval and nectar resources for the special-status wildlife species are not present in the Study Area;
- The Study Area is outside (e.g., north of, west of) of the special-status wildlife species documented nesting range.

#### *Special-status Wildlife with the Potential to Occur in the Study Area but Presence Unknown*

Pallid bat (*Antrozous pallidus*). CDFW Species of Special Concern, WBWG High Priority. Moderate Potential (Presence Unknown). Pallid bats are distributed from southern British Columbia and Montana to central Mexico, and east to Texas, Oklahoma, and Kansas. This species occurs in a number of habitats ranging from rocky arid deserts to grasslands, and into higher elevation coniferous forests. Roosts are typically in rock crevices, tree hollows, mines, caves, and a variety of man-made structures, including vacant and occupied buildings. Tree roosting has been documented within snags and basal hollows of conifers, and within bole cavities in oak trees. Pallid bats are primarily insectivorous, feeding on large prey that is usually taken on the ground but sometimes in flight. Prey items include arthropods such as scorpions, ground crickets, and cicadas (WBWG 2018). Trees within the Study Area (primarily oaks) may contain cavities or snags suitable for roosting by this species, and there are CNDDDB occurrences in the vicinity (CDFW 2018a). A targeted bat habitat assessment was not performed under this biological assessment.

Fringed myotis (*Myotis thysanodes*). WBWG High Priority. Moderate Potential (Presence Unknown). The fringed myotis ranges through much of western North America from southern British Columbia, Canada, south to Chiapas, Mexico and from Santa Cruz Island in California, east to the Black Hills of South Dakota. This species is found in desert scrubland, grassland, sage-grass steppe, old-growth forest, and subalpine coniferous and mixed deciduous forest. Oak and pinyon-juniper woodlands are most commonly used. The fringed myotis roosts in colonies from 10 to 2,000 individuals, although large colonies are rare. Caves, buildings, underground mines, rock crevices in cliff faces, and bridges are used for maternity and night roosts, while hibernation has only been documented in buildings and underground mines. Tree-roosting has also been documented in Oregon, New Mexico, and California (WBWG 2018). The trees within the Study Area may contain cavities or exfoliating bark suitable for roosting. A targeted bat habitat assessment was not performed under this biological assessment.

Olive-sided flycatcher (*Contopus cooperi*). CDFW Species of Special Concern. Moderate Potential (Presence Unknown). This passerine bird is known from across Canada into the West Coast, Rocky Mountains, and Great Lake Area. They typically nest in coniferous or mixed forests, particularly lower montane forest. These birds forage for flying insects in forest openings, burns, edges, and other mixed open area in greater forest habitats. Nests are well-hidden in dense branches of large trees, preferentially conifer trees (Altman 2000). The trees within the Study Area may contain cavities or exfoliating bark suitable for roosting. A general nesting bird survey was not performed under this biological assessment.

Purple martin (*Progne subis*). CDFW Species of Special Concern. Moderate Potential (Presence Unknown). Purple martin is an uncommon summer resident in California, occurring in woodlands and low-elevation hardwood and coniferous forest. It usually feeds on insects captured in flight approximately 100 to 200 feet above ground. These birds nest in cavities of tall, old, isolated trees or snags in open forest or woodland. The trees within the Study Area may contain cavities or exfoliating bark suitable for roosting. A general nesting bird survey was not performed under this biological assessment.

#### Special-status Wildlife with the Potential to Occur in the Study Area but Not Observed

Northern Spotted Owl (NSO; *Strix occidentalis caurina*). Federal Threatened, CDFW Species of Special Concern. High Potential (Not Observed). NSO is a subspecies of spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis*) found in Western North America. It is a medium-sized (16 to 20 inches) dark brown owl with a wingspan of approximately forty inches; females are larger than males. It nests in cavities or on platforms in large trees, preferentially inhabiting old growth forests, though it can be found in mixed primary- and secondary-growth forests in the southern part of its range (southern Oregon and California); however, trees are old and contain structures suitable for nesting (e.g., epicormics branching, large cavities, platforms). NSO is primarily nocturnal; its diet consists mainly of wood rats (*Neotoma* sp.) and squirrels, as well as other small mammals, reptiles, birds and insects. It is intolerant of habitat disturbance and highly territorial; each nesting pair requires a large territory for hunting and raising young. Six surveys were conducted within and around the Study Area (Table 2) to meet the first year requirements of the protocol-level survey. The *Protocol for Surveying Proposed Management Activities that May Impact Northern Spotted Owls* (USFWS 2012) requires a second year of surveys to confirm absence of this species. The second year of surveys will occur in 2020 and a supplemental memorandum will be prepared to document the results.

Table 2. NSO 2019 Survey Data

Date	Survey Time	Weather (sky, wind, moisture)	NSO Detection
March 21	12:50 – 14:10 (daylight)	mostly clear, <5mph, dry	0
April 9	14:20 – 15:30 (daylight)	clear, <5mph, dry (damp forest floor)	0
April 24	05:40 – 07:15 (dark, sunrise)	clear, <5mph, dry (damp forest floor)	0
May 3	05:15 – 06:00 (dark, sunrise)	clear, <5mph, dry	0
June 25	22:20 – 23:45 (dark)	clear, <5mph, dry	0
August 30	06:15 – 07:45 (sunrise)	clear, <5mph, dry	0

### 5.2.3 Critical Habitat, Essential Fish Habitat, and Wildlife Corridors

The Study Area does not contain any designated Critical Habitat (USFWS 2019b) or Essential Fish Habitat (NMFS 2019). The Study Area's streams have an ephemeral hydro-period, are high gradient, are very narrow and shallow, and do not have run-riffle-pool complexes; therefore, anadromous fish will not utilize these streams. The Study Area is not within a designated wildlife corridor (CalTrans 2010, Napa County 2005). The site is located within a much larger tract of forest and lightly-developed land within a rural portion of Napa County. While common wildlife species presumably utilize the site to some degree for movement at a local scale, the Study Area itself does not provide corridor functions beyond connecting similar forested and heavily wooded land parcels in surrounding areas.

## 6.0 PROJECT ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### 6.1 Land Cover Types

#### 6.1.1 Terrestrial Land Cover Types

##### Douglas Fir Forest and Mixed Forest/Chaparral

Neither Douglas fir forest nor mixed chaparral are considered sensitive by CDFW or are included as sensitive in the NCBDR; however, the General Provisions of Napa County Code (18.108.020) requires varying levels of canopy retention and preservation. Code Section 18.108.020(C) requires that 70 percent of canopy cover be retained based on the on-site canopy present on June 16, 2016. Code Section 18.108.020(D) requires that the removal of tree canopy on an acreage basis be mitigated at a 3:1 ratio (which is equivalent to 75 percent retention) where the areas to be preserved must generally occur on slopes less than 50 percent and outside of stream and wetland setbacks. There has been no appreciable change in canopy cover since 2016; therefore, the use of Napa County's 2016 GIS Vegetation Public Habitat Mapping is appropriate for both analyses. The project was designed to be in compliance with both the 70 percent

retention and the 3:1 tree preservation requirements, and therefore no further recommendations are needed to ensure compliance with the County Code.

The Douglas fir forest is presumed to be timberland falling under the jurisdiction of CAL FIRE and requiring a Timber Harvest Plan (THP) and Timber Conversion Permit (TCP). Therefore, the following recommendation is forwarded:

Recommendation 1: Have a registered professional forester (RPF) work with the project proponent to develop and submit a THP and TCP. The biology documentation produced here should be sufficient to meet CAL FIRE and CDFW requirements for the THP and TCP.

#### **6.1.2 Aquatic Resources**

The project has been intentionally designed to completely avoid aquatic resources within the Subject Property. There are no further recommendations for aquatic resources.

### **6.2 Special-status Species**

#### **6.2.1 Special-status Plants**

Two special-status plants occur within the Study Area, with one, Sonoma ceanothus (*Ceanothus sonomensis*; CRPR 1B) situated in the Project Area. Three of the seven shrubs are likely to be permanently impacted from the project activities. Sonoma ceanothus is limited in range to eastern Sonoma and western Napa counties in volcanic chaparral. Both fire suppression and land cover conversion has pressured this already limited shrub. Fire suppression allows for trees, including Douglas fir, to overtop and eventually shade out chaparral habitats such as those that support Sonoma ceanothus. The following recommendation is forwarded regarding the loss of this special-status plant:

Recommendation 2: Under the direction of a qualified biologist, outline a habitat management area (HMA) and develop a habitat mitigation and management plan (HMMP) to compensate for impacts to Sonoma ceanothus. The HMA would encompass portions of the mixed forest/chaparral land cover, while management activities detailed in the HMMP could include the removal of selective trees and tall shrubs to create canopy openings to improve habitat for Sonoma ceanothus. This removal will open sunlight to the middle- (shrubs) and understory (herbs), thereby simulating wildfire and providing higher quality habitat for Sonoma ceanothus and the potential for seed germination of such. Inclusive in the HMMP should be management prescriptions, monitoring methods, success criteria, a monitoring schedule/period, and reporting schedule and outline.

#### **6.2.2 Special-status Wildlife**

The Project Area has the potential to support four special-status wildlife species (two bats and two birds), as well as non-status birds protected under the MBTA. The following measures are recommended to avoid or otherwise minimize potential impacts to these species.

Bat Species: Two special-status bats have the potential to occur within the Study Area (pallid bat, fringed myotis). Removal and trimming of trees during the bat maternity season (generally, April

through August) could impact bat breeding and potentially result in the take of bats. Because a targeted bat habitat assessment was not conducted as part of this biological assessment, pre-construction surveys for bat habitat and recommendations for tree removal to avoid impacts to bat species are provided below.

Recommendation 3: WRA recommends that any tree removal be performed from September through March, outside of the general bat maternity season. If tree removal during this period is not feasible, it is recommended that a bat habitat assessment and survey effort (the latter if needed) be performed by a qualified biologist prior to tree removal to determine if bats are present in the trees. If no suitable roosting habitat for bats is found, then no further study is warranted. If special-status bat species or bat maternity roosts are detected, then roost trees should be avoided until the end of the maternity roosting season. If this avoidance is not feasible, appropriate species- and roost-specific mitigation measures should be developed in consultation with CDFW. Irrespective of time of year, all felled trees should remain on the ground for at least 24 hours prior to chipping, off-site removal, or other processing to allow any bats present within the felled trees to escape.

All Bird Species (including non-special-status): In addition to the two special-status bird species discussed above (olive-sided flycatcher and purple martin), a variety of non-status bird species with baseline protections under the MBTA and CFGC may use vegetation within the Project Areas for nesting. Pre-construction surveys are recommended to ensure that the implementation of the Proposed Project would not impact any nesting birds.

Recommendation 4: WRA recommends that tree/vegetation removal and initial ground disturbance occur from August 16 to January 31, outside of the general bird nesting season. If tree/vegetation removal during this time is not feasible, a pre-construction nesting bird survey should be performed by a qualified biologist no more than 14 days prior to the initiation of tree removal or ground disturbance is recommended. The survey should cover the Project Area (including tree removal areas) and surrounding areas within 500 feet. If active bird nests are found during the survey, an appropriate no-disturbance buffer should be established by the qualified biologist. Once it is determined that the young have fledged (left the nest) or the nest otherwise becomes inactive (e.g., due to predation), the buffer may be lifted and work may be initiated within the buffer.

### 6.2.3 *Wildlife Movement*

As stated in Section 5.2.3 above, the majority of the terrestrial land cover types within the property, including and surrounding the Study Area, will remain intact, including areas interstitial to the proposed vineyard blocks, which will allow for continued wildlife movement. Agricultural expansion within the Study Area is in and of itself unlikely to result in any significant impacts to local wildlife movement. Preservation of substantial portions of the Subject Property's forest will also allow for continued localized movement of wildlife. Therefore, the Proposed Project will not create a significant impact to wildlife movement. To ensure continued wildlife movement, including in the Study Area's intermittent streams, the following recommendation is provided.

Recommendation 5: Separate the proposed fencing to two independent units for Block B and Block C such that wildlife can move between these units uninhibited.

## 7.0 REFERENCES

- Baldwin, B.G., D.H. Goldman, D.J. Keil, R. Patterson, T.J. Rosatti, and D.H. Wilken (eds.). 2012. The Jepson Manual: Vascular Plants of California, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. University of California Press, Berkeley, CA. 1568 pp.
- California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG). 1994. A Field Guide to Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreements, Sections 1600-1607. Environmental Service Division, California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, CA.
- California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG). 2010. List of Vegetation Alliances and Associations. Vegetation Classification and Mapping Program, California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, CA. September 2010.
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW). 2019a. California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB), Wildlife and Habitat Data Analysis Branch. Sacramento, CA. Accessed: November 2019.
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW). 2019b. California Fish Passage Assessment Database. Available at: <https://map.dfg.ca.gov/metadata/ds0069.html>. Accessed: November 2019.
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW). 2018a. California Natural Community List. Vegetation Classification and Mapping Program, California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, CA. January 24, 2018.
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW). 2018b. Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special-status Native Plant Populations and Natural Communities. California Natural Resources Agency, California Department of Fish and Game. March 20, 2018.
- California Department of Transportation (CalTrans). 2010. California Essential Habitat Connectivity Project. Available at: <https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/conservation/planning>. Accessed: November 2019.
- California Invasive Plant Council (Cal-IPC). 2006. California Invasive Plant Inventory: Cal-IPC Publication 2006-2. California Invasive Plant Council, Berkeley, CA. Available online: <http://www.cal-ipc.org/ip/inventory/index.php>. Accessed: November 2018.
- California Native Plant Society (CNPS). 2001. CNPS Botanical Survey Guidelines. June 2, 2001.
- California Native Plant Society (CNPS). 2019a. Online Inventory of Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Plants of California. Available at: <http://www.rareplants.cnps.org/>. Accessed: November 2019.
- California Native Plant Society (CNPS). 2019b. A Manual of California Vegetation Online. Available at: <http://vegetation.cnps.org/>. Accessed November 2019.
- California Soil Resources Lab (CSRL). 2019. Online Soil Survey. Available at: <http://casoilresource.lawr.ucdavis.edu/drupal/> Accessed: November 2019.

- Consortium of California Herbaria (CCH). 2019. Data provided by the participants of the Consortium of California Herbaria. Available at: <http://ucjeps.berkeley.edu/consortium>. Accessed: November 2019.
- eBird. 2019. eBird: An online database of bird distribution and abundance [web application]. eBird, Ithaca, New York. Available at: <http://www.ebird.org>. Accessed: November 2019.
- Jepson Herbarium. Jepson Flora Project (eFlora). 2019. Jepson eFlora Online at: <http://ucjeps.berkeley.edu/IJM.html>. Accessed: November 2019.
- Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual. Department of the Army, Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi 39180-0631.
- Google Earth. 2019. Calistoga area: 38.5584°, -122.5593°. Image dates: 1993-2018. Accessed: November 2019.
- Historical Aerials. 2019. Available at: <http://historicalaerials.com>. Accessed: November 2019.
- Holland, R. 1986. Preliminary Descriptions of the Terrestrial Natural Communities of California. California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, CA. 156 pp.
- Lichvar, R.W., D.L. Banks, W.N. Kirchner, and N.C. Melvin. 2016. The National Wetland Plant List: 2016 wetland ratings. *Phytoneuron* 2016-30: 1-17.
- Martin, J.W. and M.K. Wicksten. Review and Redescription of the Freshwater Atyid Shrimp Genus *Syncaris* Holmes, 1900, in California. *Journal of Crustacean Biology* 24(3): 447-462.
- Napa County. 2019. Napa County Public Browser (Online Map). Available at: [http://gis.napa.ca.gov/Html5Viewer/Index.html?viewer=Public\\_HTML](http://gis.napa.ca.gov/Html5Viewer/Index.html?viewer=Public_HTML). Accessed: November 2019.
- Napa County. 2016a. Attachment B: Guidelines for Preparing Biological Resources Reconnaissance Surveys. Planning, Building, and Environmental Services. August 2016.
- Napa County. 2016b. Attachment C: Guidelines for Preparing Special-status Plant Studies. Planning, Building, and Environmental Services. August 2016.
- Napa County. 2008. Napa County General Plan. June 2, 2008. Available at: <http://www.co.napa.ca.us/GOV/Departments/>
- Napa County. 2005. Napa County Baseline Data Report. Available at: <http://www.co.napa.us/gov/>
- National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 2019. Essential Fish Habitat Mapper. Available at: <https://www.habitat.noaa.gov/protection/efh/efhmapper/>. Accessed: November 2019.
- NatureServe. 2019. NatureServe Explorer: NatureServe Conservation Status. Available at: <http://www.natureserve.org/explorer/ranking#relationship>. Accessed: November 2019.
- San Francisco Estuary Institute (SFEI). 2019. California Aquatic Resource Inventory (CARI). Available at: <http://www.sfei.org/cari#sthash.Mzz93W9i.dpbs>. Accessed: November 2019.


- Sawyer, J.O., T. Keeler-Wolf, and J.M. Evens. 2009. A Manual of California Vegetation, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. California Native Plant Society in collaboration with California Department of Fish and Game. Sacramento, CA. 1300 pp.
- Shuford, W.D. and Gardali, T., eds. 2008. California Bird Species of Special Concern: A ranked assessment of species, subspecies, and distinct populations of birds of immediate conservation concern in California. Studies of Western Birds 1. Western Field Ornithologists, Camarillo, California, and California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento.
- Smith, A., ed. 2003. Breeding Birds of Napa County, California. Napa-Solano Audubon Society, Vallejo, California. 199 pp.
- Stebbins, RC. 2003. A Field Guide to Western Reptiles and Amphibians, Third Edition. Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston, MA and New York, NY.
- Thomson, R.C., A.N. Wright, and H.B. Shaffer. 2016. California Amphibian and Reptile Species of Special Concern. Co-published by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife and University of California Press. Oakland, California.
- Thorne, J., Kennedy, J., Quinn, J., McCoy, M., Keeler-Wolfe, T. A Vegetation Map of Napa County Using the Manual of California Vegetation Classification and its Comparison to Other Digital Vegetation Maps. Information Center for the Environment (ICE). University of California, Davis. 2004.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps). 2008. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Arid West Region (Version 2.0). U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Engineer Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, MS. September 28, 2008.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Soil Conservation Service (SCS). 1978. Soil Survey of Napa County, California. In cooperation with the University of California Agricultural Experiment Station.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). 2019. Climate Information for Napa County in the State of California. Available at: <http://www.wcc.nrcs.usda.gov/>. Accessed: November 2019.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2019a. National Wetlands Inventory. Available at: <http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/index.html>. Accessed: November 2019.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2019b. List of Federal Endangered and Threatened Species that Occur in Napa County, California. Available at: <https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/>. Accessed: November 2019.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2012. Protocol for Surveying Proposed Management Activities that May Impact Northern Spotted Owls. February 2, 2011; revised January 9, 2012. Endorsed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 42 pp.



- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2005. Revised Guidance on Site Assessments and Field Surveys for the California Red-legged Frog. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Sacramento, California. 26 pp.
- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). 2015. Calistoga, California 7.5-minute quadrangle topographic map.
- Western Bat Working Group (WBWG). 2019. Species Accounts. Available at: [http://www.wbwg.org/speciesinfo/species\\_accounts/species\\_accounts.html](http://www.wbwg.org/speciesinfo/species_accounts/species_accounts.html). Accessed: November 2019.

## Appendix A

### Figures




**Figure A-1. Study Area Location**

Teachworth Winery  
Napa County, California

0 1 2  
Miles








**Figure A-2. Special-Status Plant Species Documented within 5-miles of the Study Area**

Teachworth Winery  
 Biological Resources Reconnaissance Survey  
 4451 Saint Helena Hwy, Napa County, California

0 1 2 Miles







**Figure A-3. Special-Status Wildlife Species Documented within 5-miles of the Study Area**

Teachworth Winery  
 Biological Resources Reconnaissance Survey  
 4451 Saint Helena Hwy, Napa County, California

0 1 2 Miles





Figure A-4.  
Land Cover & Project

Teachworth Winery  
Napa County, California



Study Area (21.16 ac.)

Parcel (76.57 ac.)

Existing Deer Fence

Proposed Deer Fence

NSO Survey Station

Species

Ceanothus sonomensis

Lilium rubescens

Grading Limits (5.82 ac.)

Vineyard Blocks (4.54 ac.)

Streams

Ephemeral Centerline (surveyed)

Ephemeral Centerline (assessed)

Intermittent Centerline (assessed)

Top-of-bank (surveyed)

Top-of-bank (assessed)

Land Cover


Developed (2.91/3.77 ac.)

Chaparral (2.53 ac.)

Mixed Forest/Chaparral (1.51/7.69 ac.)

Douglas Fir Forest (16.74/41.23 ac.)

Pond (0.19 ac.)





## Appendix B

### Species Observed in the Study Area

Table B-1. Plant species observed in the Study Area, April 9, April 24, May 3, May 30, and August 20, 2019

Family	Scientific name	Common name	Life form	Origin	Rare Status <sup>1</sup>	Invasive Status <sup>2</sup>	Wetland indicator <sup>3</sup>
Agavaceae	<i>Chlorogalum pomeridianum</i> var. <i>pomeridianum</i>	common soap plant	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL
Amaryllidaceae	<i>Narcissus pseudonarcissus</i>	daffodil	perennial forb	non-native	--	--	NL
Anacardiaceae	<i>Toxicodendron diversilobum</i>	poison oak	deciduous shrub	native	--	--	FACU
Apiaceae	<i>Daucus carota</i>	wild carrot	perennial forb	non-native	--	assessed	UPL
Apiaceae	<i>Osmorhiza berteroi</i>	sweetcicely	perennial forb	native	--	--	FACU
Apiaceae	<i>Sanicula crassicaulis</i>	Pacific sanicle	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL
Apiaceae	<i>Sanicula tuberosa</i>	Tuberous sanicle	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL
Apiaceae	<i>Torilis arvensis</i>	hedge parsley	annual forb	non-native	--	moderate	NL
Apocynaceae	<i>Vinca major</i>	bigleaf periwinkle	perennial forb	non-native	--	moderate	NL
Araceae	<i>Lemna minor</i>	common duckweed	perennial forb	native	--	--	OBL
Araceae	<i>Zantedeschia aethiopica</i>	calla lily	perennial forb	non-native	--	limited	OBL
Araliaceae	<i>Hedera helix</i>	English ivy	evergreen vine	non-native	--	high	NL
Aristolochiaceae	<i>Aristolochia californica</i>	Dutchman's pipe	perennial vine	native	--	--	NL
Asteraceae	<i>Agoseris grandiflora</i>	large flowered agoseris	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL
Asteraceae	<i>Anisocarpus madioides</i>	woodland madia	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL
Asteraceae	<i>Baccharis pilularis</i>	coyote brush	evergreen shrub	native	--	--	NL
Asteraceae	<i>Calendula arvensis</i>	field marigold	annual forb	non-native	--	--	NL
Asteraceae	<i>Carduus pycnocephalus</i>	Italian thistle	annual forb	non-native	--	moderate	NL
Asteraceae	<i>Eriophyllum lanatum</i> var. <i>arachnoideum</i>	common woolly sunflower	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL
Asteraceae	<i>Eurybia radulina</i>	roughleaf aster	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL
Asteraceae	<i>Gamochaeta ustulata</i>	featherweed	perennial forb	native	--	--	FACW
Asteraceae	<i>Helminthotheca echioides</i>	bristly ox-tongue	perennial forb	non-native	--	limited	FAC
Asteraceae	<i>Hieracium albiflorum</i>	white hawkweed	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL
Asteraceae	<i>Hypochaeris radicata</i>	rough cat's-ear	perennial forb	non-native	--	moderate	FACU



Family	Scientific name	Common name	Life form	Origin	Rare Status <sup>1</sup>	Invasive Status <sup>2</sup>	Wetland indicator <sup>3</sup>
Asteraceae	<i>Lactuca serriola</i>	prickly lettuce	annual forb	non-native	--	assessed	FACU
Asteraceae	<i>Pseudognaphalium californicum</i>	ladies' tobacco	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL
Asteraceae	<i>Senecio vulgaris</i>	old man in the Spring	annual forb	non-native	--	--	FACU
Asteraceae	<i>Soliva sessilis</i>	field burweed	annual forb	non-native	--	--	FACU
Asteraceae	<i>Sonchus oleraceus</i>	common sow thistle	annual forb	non-native	--	--	NL
Athyriaceae	<i>Athyrium filix-femina</i>	lady fern	perennial fern	native	--	--	FAC
Betulaceae	<i>Corylus cornuta</i> ssp. <i>californica</i>	California hazelnut	deciduous shrub	native	--	--	FACU
Blechnaceae	<i>Woodwardia fimbriata</i>	chain fern	perennial fern	native	--	--	FACW
Boraginaceae	<i>Cynoglossum grande</i>	Pacific hound's tongue	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL
Brassicaceae	<i>Brassica rapa</i>	field mustard	annual forb	non-native	--	limited	FACU
Brassicaceae	<i>Cardamine hirsuta</i>	hairy bittercress	annual forb	non-native	--	--	NL
Brassicaceae	<i>Cardamine oligosperma</i>	Idaho bittercress	annual forb	native	--	--	NL
Brassicaceae	<i>Raphanus sativus</i>	wild radish	perennial forb	non-native	--	limited	NL
Cactaceae	<i>Opuntia ficus-indica</i>	tuna cactus	evergreen shrub	non-native	--	--	NL
Caprifoliaceae	<i>Lonicera hispidula</i>	pink honeysuckle	evergreen shrub	native	--	--	FACU
Caryophyllaceae	<i>Stellaria media</i>	common chickweed	annual forb	non-native	--	--	FACU
Cupressaceae	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	evergreen tree	native	--	--	NL
Cyperaceae	<i>Carex barbarae</i>	Santa Barbara sedge	perennial graminoid	native	--	--	FAC
Cyperaceae	<i>Carex multicaulis</i>	forest sedge	perennial graminoid	native	--	--	NL
Cyperaceae	<i>Cyperus eragrostis</i>	tall flat-sedge	perennial graminoid	native	--	--	FACW
Dennstaedtiaceae	<i>Pteridium aquilinum</i> var. <i>pubescens</i>	bracken fern	perennial fern	native	--	--	FACU
Dryopteridaceae	<i>Polystichum munitum</i>	western swordfern	perennial fern	native	--	--	FACU
Ericaceae	<i>Arbutus menziesii</i>	Pacific madrone	evergreen tree	native	--	--	NL
Ericaceae	<i>Arctostaphylos manzanita</i> ssp. <i>manzanita</i>	common manzanita	evergreen shrub	native	--	--	NL
Ericaceae	<i>Arctostaphylos stanfordiana</i> ssp. <i>stanfordiana</i>	Stanford's manzanita	evergreen shrub	native	--	--	NL

Family	Scientific name	Common name	Life form	Origin	Rare Status <sup>1</sup>	Invasive Status <sup>2</sup>	Wetland indicator <sup>3</sup>
Ericaceae	<i>Vaccinium ovatum</i>	evergreen huckleberry	evergreen shrub	native	--	--	UPL
Euphorbiaceae	<i>Euphorbia lathyris</i>	moleplant	perennial forb	non-native	--	assessed	NL
Fabaceae	<i>Acemispia parviflorus</i>	small flowered lotus	annual forb	native	--	--	NL
Fabaceae	<i>Genista monspessulana</i>	French broom	evergreen shrub	non-native	--	high	NL
Fabaceae	<i>Lathyrus latifolius</i>	perennial pea	perennial forb	non-native	--	--	NL
Fabaceae	<i>Lathyrus vestitus</i> var. <i>vestitus</i>	common Pacific pea	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL
Fabaceae	<i>Medicago polymorpha</i>	bur medic	annual forb	non-native	--	limited	FACU
Fabaceae	<i>Trifolium dubium</i>	Shamrock clover	annual forb	non-native	--	--	FACU
Fabaceae	<i>Trifolium glomeratum</i>	clustered clover	annual forb	non-native	--	--	NL
Fabaceae	<i>Trifolium subterraneum</i>	Subterranean clover	annual forb	non-native	--	--	NL
Fabaceae	<i>Vicia villosa</i>	woolly-pod vetch	annual forb	non-native	--	--	NL
Fagaceae	<i>Notholithocarpus densiflorus</i>	tanoak	evergreen tree	native	--	--	NL
Fagaceae	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	evergreen tree	native	--	--	NL
Fagaceae	<i>Quercus kelloggii</i>	California black oak	deciduous tree	native	--	--	NL
Fagaceae	<i>Quercus wislizeni</i> var. <i>frutescens</i>	interior live oak	evergreen tree	native	--	--	NL
Fagaceae	<i>Quercus wislizeni</i> var. <i>wislizeni</i>	interior live oak	evergreen tree	native	--	--	NL
Geraniaceae	<i>Erodium brachycarpum</i>	foothill filaree	annual forb	non-native	--	limited	NL
Geraniaceae	<i>Geranium dissectum</i>	cutleaf geranium	annual forb	non-native	--	moderate	NL
Geraniaceae	<i>Geranium molle</i>	woodland geranium	perennial forb	non-native	--	assessed	NL
Geraniaceae	<i>Geranium robertianum</i>	Robert's geranium	annual forb	non-native	--	assessed	NL
Haloragaceae	<i>Myriophyllum aquaticum</i>	parrot feather	perennial forb	non-native	--	high	OBL
Hydrangeaceae	<i>Whipplea modesta</i>	modesty	evergreen vine	native	--	--	NL
Hypericaceae	<i>Hypericum concinnum</i>	goldwire	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL
Hypericaceae	<i>Hypericum perforatum</i>	Klamath weed	perennial forb	non-native	--	moderate	FACU
Iridaceae	<i>Iris macrosiphon</i>	bowltube iris	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL
Juncaceae	<i>Juncus effusus</i> ssp. <i>pacificus</i>	Pacific rush	perennial graminoid	native	--	--	FACW

Family	Scientific name	Common name	Life form	Origin	Rare Status <sup>1</sup>	Invasive Status <sup>2</sup>	Wetland indicator <sup>3</sup>
Juncaceae	<i>Juncus patens</i>	common rush	perennial graminoid	native	--	--	FACW
Juncaceae	<i>Luzula comosa</i>	Pacific woodrush	perennial graminoid	native	--	--	FAC
Lamiaceae	<i>Rosmarinus officinalis</i>	rosemary	evergreen shrub	non-native	--	--	NL
Lamiaceae	<i>Stachys rigida</i> var. <i>quercetorum</i>	rough hedge-nettle	perennial forb	native	--	--	FACW
Lauraceae	<i>Umbellularia californica</i>	California bay	evergreen tree	native	--	--	FAC
Liliaceae	<i>Agapanthus praecox</i>	lily-of-the-Nile	perennial forb	non-native	--	--	NL
Liliaceae	<i>Fritillaria affinis</i>	checker lily	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL
Liliaceae	<i>Lilium rubescens</i>	redwood lily	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL
Malvaceae	<i>Malva nicaeensis</i>	bull mallow	annual forb	non-native	--	--	NL
Melanthiaceae	<i>Toxicoscordion fremontii</i>	Fremont's star lily	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL
Melanthiaceae	<i>Xerophyllum tenax</i>	common beargrass	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL
Myrsinaceae	<i>Lysimachia arvensis</i>	scarlet pimpernel	annual forb	non-native	--	--	NL
Myrsinaceae	<i>Lysimachia latifolia</i>	woodland star	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL
Nymphaeaceae	<i>Nuphar polysepala</i>	yellow waterlily	perennial forb	native	--	--	OBL
Nymphaeaceae	<i>Nymphaea odorata</i>	American white waterlily	perennial forb	non-native	--	assessed	OBL
Oleaceae	<i>Olea europaea</i>	olive	evergreen tree	non-native	--	limited	NL
Papaveraceae	<i>Dendromecon rigida</i>	tree poppy	evergreen shrub	native	--	--	NL
Phrymaceae	<i>Diplacus aurantiacus</i>	sticky monkey	evergreen shrub	native	--	--	NL
Pinaceae	<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Douglas fir	evergreen tree	native	--	--	FACU
Plantaginaceae	<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	English plantain	perennial forb	non-native	--	limited	FAC
Poaceae	<i>Brachypodium distachyon</i>	false brome	perennial graminoid	non-native	--	moderate	NL
Poaceae	<i>Briza maxima</i>	big rattlesnake grass	annual graminoid	non-native	--	limited	NL
Poaceae	<i>Bromus diandrus</i>	rip-gut brome	annual graminoid	non-native	--	moderate	NL
Poaceae	<i>Bromus laevipes</i>	Chinook brome	perennial graminoid	native	--	--	NL
Poaceae	<i>Cynosurus echinatus</i>	dogtail grass	annual graminoid	non-native	--	moderate	NL
Poaceae	<i>Elymus glaucus</i>	blue wildrye	perennial graminoid	native	--	--	FACU

Family	Scientific name	Common name	Life form	Origin	Rare Status <sup>1</sup>	Invasive Status <sup>2</sup>	Wetland indicator <sup>3</sup>
Poaceae	<i>Festuca bromoides</i>	brome fescue	perennial graminoid	non-native	--	--	FACU
Poaceae	<i>Holcus lanatus</i>	common velvet grass	perennial graminoid	non-native	--	moderate	FAC
Poaceae	<i>Melica geyeri</i>	Geyer's onion grass	perennial graminoid	native	--	--	NL
Polygalaceae	<i>Polygala californica</i>	California milkwort	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL
Polypodiaceae	<i>Polypodium californicum</i>	California polypody	perennial fern	native	--	--	NL
Pteridaceae	<i>Pentagramma triangularis</i>	gold back fern	perennial fern	native	--	--	NL
Rhamnaceae	<i>Ceanothus foliosus</i> var. <i>foliosus</i>	wavy-leaf ceanothus	evergreen shrub	native	--	--	NL
Rhamnaceae	<i>Ceanothus sonomensis</i>	Sonoma ceanothus	evergreen shrub	native	Rank 1B	--	NL
Rhamnaceae	<i>Frangula californica</i>	California coffeeberry	evergreen shrub	native	--	--	NL
Rosaceae	<i>Adenostoma fasciculatum</i>	chamise	evergreen shrub	native	--	--	NL
Rosaceae	<i>Crataegus monogyna</i>	hawthorn	evergreen shrub	non-native	--	limited	FAC
Rosaceae	<i>Heteromeles arbutifolia</i>	toyon	evergreen shrub	native	--	--	NL
Rosaceae	<i>Pyrus communis</i>	common pear	deciduous tree	non-native	--	--	NL
Rosaceae	<i>Rosa gymnocarpa</i>	dwarf rose	evergreen shrub	native	--	--	FACU
Rosaceae	<i>Rosa spithamea</i>	ground rose	evergreen shrub	native	--	--	NL
Rosaceae	<i>Rubus armeniacus</i>	Himalayan blackberry	evergreen shrub	non-native	--	high	FAC
Rubiaceae	<i>Galium californicum</i>	California bedstraw	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL
Rubiaceae	<i>Galium porrigens</i>	graceful bedstraw	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL
Salicaceae	<i>Salix lasiolepis</i>	arroyo willow	deciduous tree	native	--	--	FACW
Sapindaceae	<i>Acer macrophyllum</i>	big leaf maple	deciduous tree	native	--	--	FAC
Scrophulariaceae	<i>Scrophularia californica</i>	bee plant	perennial forb	native	--	--	FAC
Taxaceae	<i>Torreya californica</i>	California torreya	evergreen tree	native	--	--	NL
Themidaceae	<i>Triteleia laxa</i>	Ithuriel's spear	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL
Typhaceae	<i>Typha angustifolia</i>	narrowleaf cattail	perennial forb	non-native	--	--	OBL
Typhaceae	<i>Typha latifolia</i>	common cattail	perennial forb	native	--	--	OBL
Violaceae	<i>Viola lobata</i>	pine violet	perennial forb	native	--	--	NL

Family	Scientific name	Common name	Life form	Origin	Rare Status <sup>1</sup>	Invasive Status <sup>2</sup>	Wetland indicator <sup>3</sup>
Vitaceae	<i>Vitis californica</i>	California wild grape	deciduous vine	native	--	--	FACU
Vitaceae	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	wine grape	deciduous vine	non-native	--	--	NL

All species identified using the *Jepson Manual, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition* (Baldwin et al. 2012); nomenclature follows *The Jepson Flora Project* (eFlora 2019) unless otherwise noted

Sp.: “species”, intended to indicate that the observer was confident in the identity of the genus but uncertain which species

Cf.: intended to indicate a species appeared to the observer to be specific, but was not identified based on diagnostic characters

<sup>1</sup>Rare Status: The CNPS Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants (CNPS 2019a)

- FE: Federal Endangered
- FT: Federal Threatened
- SE: State Endangered
- ST: State Threatened
- SR: State Rare
- Rank 1A: Plants presumed extirpated in California and either rare or extinct elsewhere
- Rank 1B: Plants rare, threatened, or endangered in California and elsewhere
- Rank 2A: Plants presumed extirpated in California, but more common elsewhere
- Rank 2B: Plants rare, threatened, or endangered in California, but more common elsewhere
- Rank 3: Plants about which we need more information – a review list
- Rank 4: Plants of limited distribution – a watch list

<sup>2</sup>Invasive Status: California Invasive Plant Inventory (Cal-IPC 2006)

- High: Severe ecological impacts; high rates of dispersal and establishment; most are widely distributed ecologically.
- Moderate: Substantial and apparent ecological impacts; moderate-high rates of dispersal, establishment dependent on disturbance; limited moderate distribution ecologically
- Limited: Minor or not well documented ecological impacts; low-moderate rate of invasiveness; limited distribution ecologically
- Assessed: Assessed by Cal-IPC and determined to not be an existing current threat

<sup>3</sup>Wetland Status: National List of Plant Species that Occur in Wetlands, Arid West Region (Lichvar et al. 2016)

- OBL: Almost always a hydrophyte, rarely in uplands
- FACW: Usually a hydrophyte, but occasionally found in uplands
- FAC: Commonly either a hydrophyte or non-hydrophyte
- FACU: Occasionally a hydrophyte, but usually found in uplands
- UPL: Rarely a hydrophyte, almost always in uplands
- NL: Rarely a hydrophyte, almost always in uplands
- NI: No information; not factored during wetland delineation

Table B-2. Wildlife species observed in and around the Study Area

Scientific Name	Common Name
<b>Mammals</b>	
<i>Odocoileus hemionus columbianus</i>	black-tailed deer
<i>Sciurus griseus griseus</i>	western gray squirrel
<b>Birds</b>	
<i>Aphelocoma californica</i>	western scrub-jay
<i>Bubo virginianus</i>	great horned owl
<i>Callipepla californica</i>	California quail
<i>Chamaea fasciata</i>	wrentit
<i>Colaptes auratus</i>	northern flicker
<i>Corvus corax</i>	common raven
<i>Cyanocitta stelleri</i>	Steller's jay
<i>Junco hyemalis</i>	dark-eyed junco
<i>Sitta canadensis</i>	red-breasted nuthatch
<i>Turdus migratorius</i>	American robin
<i>Zenaida macroura</i>	mourning dove
<b>Reptiles and Amphibians</b>	
<i>Lithobates catesbeianus</i>	American bullfrog
<i>Pseudacris regilla</i>	northern Pacific chorus frog (treefrog)
<i>Sceloporus occidentalis</i>	western fence lizard

## Appendix C

### Potential for Special-status Species to Occur in the Study Area

Table C. Potential for Special-status Species to Occur in the Study Area. List compiled from the CDFW BIOS database (CDFW 2019a), USFWS IPaC Report (USFWS 2019b), and CNPS Electronic Inventory (CNPS 2019a) searches. For plants, the Mount St. Helena, Detert Reservoir, Aetna Springs, Mark West Springs, Calistoga, St. Helena, Santa Rosa, Kenwood, and Rutherford USGS 7.5' quadrangles were included in the search. For wildlife, the entirety of Napa County was considered.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<b>PLANTS</b>				
<i>Allium peninsulare</i> var. <i>franciscanum</i> Franciscan onion	Rank 1B	Cismontane woodland, valley and foothill grassland; on clay substrate, often derived from volcanics or serpentine; serpentine indicator: WI. Elevation range 170 – 985 feet. Blooms: May – June.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area does not contain grassland or open woodland habitat to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Alopecurus aequalis</i> var. <i>sonomensis</i> Sonoma alopecurus	FE, Rank 1B	Freshwater marshes and swamps, riparian scrub; closely associated with other wetland species; wetland indicator: OBL/OBL. Elevation range: 15 – 1200 feet. Blooms: May – July.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain perennial wetland/freshwater marsh to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Amorpha californica</i> var. <i>napensis</i> Napa false indigo	Rank 1B	Openings in broadleaf upland forest, chaparral, cismontane woodland. Elevation range: 395 – 6560 feet. Blooms: April – July.	<b>High Potential.</b> The Study Area contains mixed forest-chaparral habitat that may support this species.	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Amsinckia lunaris</i> bent-flowered fiddleneck	Rank 1B	Cismontane woodland, valley and foothill grassland, coastal bluff scrub; situated on rocky soils. Elevation range: 10 – 1625 feet. Blooms: March – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain grassland or open woodland to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.



SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Antirrhinum virga</i> twig-like snapdragon	Rank 4	Chaparral, lower montane coniferous forest; located on rocky openings often derived from serpentine; serpentine indicator: SI. Elevation range: 325 – 6550 feet. Blooms: June – July.	<b>Unlikely.</b> Although the Study Area contains chaparral, serpentine soils are lacking.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Aphyllon validum</i> ssp. <i>howellii</i> (= <i>Orobanche valida</i> ssp. <i>howellii</i> ) Howell's broomrape	Rank 4	Chaparral; located on serpentine or volcanic substrate. Elevation range: 585 – 5655 feet. Blooms: June – September.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine substrate to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Arctostaphylos manzanita</i> ssp. <i>elegans</i> Konocti manzanita	Rank 1B	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, lower montane coniferous forest; located on volcanic substrates. Elevation range: 1280 – 5250 feet. Blooms: March – July.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains chaparral underlain by volcanic (rhyolitic) soils.	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Arctostaphylos stanfordiana</i> ssp. <i>decumbens</i> Rincon manzanita	Rank 1B	Chaparral, cismontane woodland; highly restricted to red rhyolite soils. Elevation range: 245 – 1215 feet. Blooms: February – April.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains chaparral underlain by volcanic (rhyolitic) soils.	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Asclepias solanoana</i> serpentine milkweed	Rank 4, LR	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, lower montane coniferous forest; located on serpentine substrate; serpentine indicator: SE. Elevation range: 745 – 6045 feet. Blooms: May – August.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine seeps.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Astragalus breweri</i> Brewer's milk-vetch	Rank 4	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, meadows and seeps, valley and foothill grassland; located on open, gravelly serpentine or volcanic substrate; serpentine indicator: SI. Elevation range: 290 – 2375 feet. Blooms: April – June.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains chaparral underlain by volcanic (rhyolitic) soils.	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Astragalus claranus</i> Clara Hunt's milk-vetch	FE; ST; Rank 1B	Cismontane woodland, valley and foothill grassland, chaparral; on open grassy hillsides, especially exposed shoulders with thin, volcanic or serpentine clay soils; serpentine indicator: SI. Elevation range: 245 – 900 feet. Blooms: March – May.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains chaparral underlain by volcanic (rhyolitic) soils.	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Astragalus clevelandii</i> Cleveland's milk-vetch	Rank 4	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, riparian forest; located on serpentine seeps; serpentine indicator: SE. Elevation range: 650 – 4875 feet. Blooms: June – September.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine seeps.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Astragalus rattanii</i> var. <i>jepsonianus</i> Jepson's milk-vetch	Rank 1B	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, valley and foothill grassland; typically situated on serpentine substrate in openings or grasslands; often on roadsides; serpentine indicator: BE/SI. Elevation range: 955 – 2275 feet. Blooms: March – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine seeps.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Balsamorhiza macrolepis</i> big-scale balsamroot	Rank 1B	Valley and foothill grassland, cismontane woodland; situated on rocky substrates, typically derived from metavolcanics, sometimes on serpentine substrate; serpentine indicator: SI. Elevation range: 295 – 3100 feet. Blooms: March – June.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains volcanic (rhyolitic) chaparral that may support this species.	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Blennosperma bakeri</i> Sonoma sunshine	FE, SE, Rank 1B	Vernal pools, vernal swales, and mesic areas in valley grassland; highly restricted to the Santa Rosa Plain and Valley of the Moon. Elevation range: 35 – 360 feet. Blooms: March – April.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain vernal pool wetlands; this species has only been documented on Santa Rosa Plain and Valley of the Moon.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Brodiaea leptandra</i> narrow-anthered brodiaea	Rank 1B	Broadleaf upland forest, chaparral, lower montane coniferous forest; situated on gravelly soils derived from volcanics, particularly rhyolitic tuff, sometimes serpentine; serpentine indicator: WI. Elevation range: 360 – 3000 feet. Blooms: May – July.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains volcanic (rhyolitic) chaparral that may support this species.	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Calamagrostis ophitidis</i> serpentine reed grass	Rank 4	Chaparral, lower montane coniferous forest, meadows and seeps, valley and foothill grassland; located in openings, often north-facing, underlain by rocky serpentine substrate; serpentine indicator: SE. Elevation range: 290 – 3465 feet. Blooms: April – July.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine substrate to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Calandrinia breweri</i> Brewer's Calandrinia	Rank 4	Chaparral, coastal scrub; located on sandy or loamy substrate in areas often recently disturbed or burned. Elevation range: 30 – 3965 feet. Blooms: March – June.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains chaparral or scrubby areas that have recently burned.	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Calochortus uniflorus</i> large-flowered mariposa lily	Rank 4, LR	Coastal prairie, coastal scrub, meadows and seeps, North Coast coniferous forest; infrequently situated on serpentine substrate; serpentine indicator: WI. Elevation range: 30 – 3480 feet. Blooms: April – June.	<b>Unlikely.</b> Although the Study Area contain coniferous forest, this species is typically situated in wetland or mesic openings which are lacking.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Calyptridium quadripetalum</i> four-petaled pussypaws	Rank 4	Chaparral, lower montane coniferous forest; located on sandy or gravelly substrate, typically derived from serpentine; serpentine indicator: BE. Elevation range: 1020 – 6630 feet. Blooms: April – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine substrate to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Calystegia collina</i> ssp. <i>oxyphylla</i> Mt. Saint Helena morning-glory	Rank 4	Chaparral; located on serpentine barrens, slopes, and hillsides; serpentine indicator: SE. Elevation range: 815 – 3315 feet. Blooms: April – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine substrates.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Calystegia collina</i> ssp. <i>venusta</i> South Coast Range morning-glory	Rank 4	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, valley and foothill grassland; typically on serpentine or sedimentary substrate. Elevation range: 1380 – 4845 feet. Blooms: April – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine substrates. Reported occurrences from Napa County widely considered erroneous.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Castilleja ambigua</i> ssp. <i>ambigua</i> Johnny-nip	Rank 4	Coastal bluff scrub, coastal prairie, coastal scrub, marshes and swamps, valley and foothill grassland, vernal pool margins. Elevation range: 0 – 1415 feet. Blooms: March – August.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain coastal scrub, prairie, mesic grasslands, or seasonal wetlands to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Ceanothus confusus</i> Rincon Ridge ceanothus	Rank 1B	Closed-cone coniferous forest, chaparral, cismontane woodland; known from volcanic and serpentine substrate; typically situated on dry shrubby slopes; serpentine indicator: WI/IN. Elevation range: 245 – 3495 feet. Blooms: February – April.	<b>High Potential.</b> The Study Area contains chaparral or shrubby areas underlain by volcanic soils that may support this species. Documented occurrences within one mile (CDFW 2019a).	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Ceanothus divergens</i> Calistoga ceanothus	Rank 1B	Chaparral, cismontane woodland; on rocky, serpentine sites; serpentine indicator: WI. Elevation range: 560 – 3115 feet. Blooms: February – March.	<b>High Potential.</b> The Study Area contains chaparral or shrubby areas underlain by volcanic soils that may support this species. Documented occurrences within one mile (CDFW 2019a).	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Ceanothus gloriosus</i> var. <i>exaltatus</i> Point Reyes ceanothus	Rank 4	Chaparral; situated on sandy on rocky substrates, typically acidic and derived from sandstone. Elevation range: 95 – 1985 feet. Blooms: March – June, sometimes August.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area does not contain sandy soils derived from sandstone.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Ceanothus purpureus</i> holly-leaved ceanothus	Rank 1B	Chaparral, cismontane woodland; located on rocky, volcanic slopes. Elevation range: 395 – 3000 feet. Blooms: February – June.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains chaparral or shrubby areas underlain by volcanic soils that may support this species.	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Ceanothus sonomensis</i> Sonoma ceanothus	Rank 1B	Chaparral; located on sandy serpentine or volcanic substrates; serpentine indicator: WI/IN. Elevation range: 705 – 2625 feet. Blooms: February – April.	<b>High Potential.</b> The Study Area contains chaparral or shrubby areas underlain by volcanic soils that may support this species. Documented occurrences within one mile (CDFW 2019a).	<b>Present.</b> A small population of seven individuals is present in the Study Area. See Section 6 for details.
<i>Centromadia parryi</i> ssp. <i>parryi</i> pappose tarplant	Rank 1B	Coastal prairie, meadows and seeps, coastal salt marsh, valley and foothill grassland; in vernal mesic sites, often with alkali substrate. Elevation range: 5 – 1380 feet. Blooms: May – November.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain prairie or grassland habitat to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Clarkia breweri</i> Brewer's clarkia	Rank 4	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, coastal scrub; frequently on serpentine substrate; serpentine indicator: BE/SI. Elevation range: 695 – 3625 feet. Blooms: April – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Clarkia gracilis</i> ssp. <i>tracyi</i> Tracy's clarkia	Rank 4	Chaparral; located in openings and situated on substrates often derived from serpentine; serpentine indicator: BE. Elevation range: 210 – 2115 feet. Blooms: April – July.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Collomia diversifolia</i> serpentine collomia	Rank 4	Chaparral, cismontane woodland; situated on rocky to gravelly serpentine substrates; serpentine indicator: SE. Elevation range: 975 – 1950 feet. Blooms: May – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Cordylanthus tenuis</i> ssp. <i>brunneus</i> serpentine bird's-beak	Rank 4	Closed-cone coniferous forest, chaparral, cismontane woodland; typically located serpentine substrate; serpentine indicator: BE. Elevation range: 1540 – 2975 feet. Blooms: July – August.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Cryptantha dissita</i> serpentine cryptantha	Rank 1B	Chaparral; located on serpentine outcrops; serpentine indicator: BE/SI. Elevation range: 1280 – 1885 feet. Blooms: April – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Cypripedium montanum</i> mountain lady's-slipper	Rank 4	Broadleaf upland forest, cismontane woodland, lower montane coniferous forest, North Coast coniferous forest. Elevation range: 600 – 7235 feet. Blooms: March – August.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains coniferous forest that may support this species.	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Delphinium uliginosum</i> swamp larkspur	Rank 4	Chaparral, valley and foothill grassland; located in seeps and wet meadows underlain by serpentine substrate; serpentine indicator: SE. Elevation range: 1105 – 1985 feet. Blooms: May – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine seeps or meadows necessary to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Downingia pusilla</i> dwarf downingia	Rank 2B	Valley and foothill grassland, vernal pools; located in mesic grassy sites, pool and lake margins. Elevation range: 3 – 1450 feet. Blooms: March – May.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain vernal pool or similar seasonal wetlands.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Erigeron biolettii</i> Streamside daisy	Rank 3	Broadleaf upland forest, cismontane woodland, North Coast coniferous forest; on rocky, mesic. Elevation range: 95 – 3610 feet. Blooms: June – October.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains rocky sites in forest habitat that may support this species.	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.



SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Erigeron greenei</i> Greene's narrow-leaved daisy	Rank 1B	Chaparral; located on volcanic or serpentine substrate. Elevation range: 260 – 3270 feet. Blooms: May – September.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains volcanic rocky areas in shrubby habitat and chaparral that may support this species.	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Eriogonum nervulosum</i> Snow Mountain buckwheat	Rank 1B	Chaparral; located on serpentine substrate; serpentine indicator: SE. Elevation range: 975 – 6845 feet. Blooms: June – September.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Eriogonum umbellatum</i> var. <i>bahiiforme</i> bay buckwheat	Rank 4	Cismontane woodland, lower montane coniferous forest; situated on rocky substrates often derived from serpentine; serpentine indicator: BE/SI. Elevation range: 2275 – 7150 feet. Blooms: July – September.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Eryngium constancei</i> Loch Lomond coyote thistle	FE; SE; Rank 1B	Vernal pools; located on volcanic ash flow vernal pools. Elevation range: 1495 – 2780 feet. Blooms: April – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain vernal pool or similar seasonal wetlands.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Eryngium jepsonii</i> Jepson's coyote thistle	Rank 1B	Valley and foothill grassland, vernal pools; situated on clay substrate that is vernal saturated. Elevation range: 10 – 975 feet. Blooms: April – August.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain vernal pool or similar seasonal wetlands.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Erythronium helenae</i> St. Helena fawn lily	Rank 4	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, lower montane coniferous forest, valley and foothill grassland; located on volcanic or serpentine substrate; serpentine indicator: BE. Elevation range: 1135 – 3965 feet. Blooms: March – May.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains conifer forest and chaparral that may support this species.	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Fritillaria liliacea</i> fragrant fritillary	Rank 1B	Coastal scrub, valley and foothill grassland, coastal prairie, cismontane woodland; located in grassy sites underlain by clay, typically derived from volcanics or serpentine; serpentine indicator: WI. Elevation range: 10 – 1335 feet. Blooms: February – April.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain prairie, grassland, coastal scrub, or open woodland to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Fritillaria pluriflora</i> adobe lily	Rank 1B	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, valley and foothill grassland; typically located on adobe clays, often derived from serpentine; serpentine indicator: WI. Elevation range: 195 – 2295 feet. Blooms: February – April.	<b>Unlikely.</b> Although the Study Area contains chaparral, this species is known from openings underlain by adobe clay lacking in the substrate.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Fritillaria purdyi</i> Purdy's fritillary	Rank 4	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, lower montane coniferous forest; usually situated on serpentine substrates; serpentine indicator: BE. Elevation range: 565 – 7330 feet. Blooms: March – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Gratiola heterosepala</i> Boggs Lake hedge hyssop	SE, Rank 1B	Marshes and swamps, vernal pools; situated on vernal saturated clay soil, often lake margins. Elevation range: 30 – 7720 feet. Blooms: April – August.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain vernal pool or similar seasonal wetlands.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Harmonia hallii</i> Hall's harmonia	Rank 1B	Chaparral, rock outcrops; situated on rocky serpentine substrates; often roadsides and roadcuts; serpentine indicator: SE. Elevation range: 1625 – 3170 feet. Blooms: April – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Harmonia nutans</i> nodding harmonia	Rank 4	Chaparral, cismontane woodland; located on rocky to gravelly substrates derived from volcanics. Elevation range: 240 – 3170 feet. Blooms: March – May.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains volcanic (rhyolitic) chaparral that may support this species.	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Helianthus exilis</i> serpentine sunflower	Rank 4	Chaparral, cismontane woodland; located along serpentine seeps; serpentine indicator: SE. Elevation range: 485 – 4960 feet. Blooms: June – November.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Hemizonia congesta</i> ssp. <i>congesta</i> Hayfield tarplant	Rank 1B	Coastal scrub, valley and foothill grassland; serpentine indicator: WI/IN. Elevation range: 65 – 1840 feet. Blooms: April – October.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain grassland or coastal scrub habitat to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Hesperolinon bicarpellatum</i> Two-carpellate western flax	Rank 1B	Chaparral; located on serpentine substrate; serpentine indicator: SE. Elevation range: 195 – 3270 feet. Blooms: May – July.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Hesperolinon sharsmithiae</i> Sharsmith's western flax	Rank 1B	Chaparral; located on serpentine substrate; serpentine indicator: ?. Elevation range: 875 – 975 feet. Blooms: May – July.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Iris longipetala</i> coast iris	Rank 4	Coastal prairie, lower montane coniferous forest, meadows and seeps; located on mesic sites. Elevation range: 0 – 1950 feet. Blooms: March – May.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area lacks coastal prairie and coastal forest to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Juncus luciensis</i> Santa Lucia dwarf rush	Rank 1B	Chaparral, Great Basin scrub, lower montane coniferous forest, meadows and seeps, vernal pools. Elevation range: 975 – 6630 feet. Blooms: April – July.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain vernal pool or similar seasonal wetlands.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Lasthenia burkei</i> Burke's goldfields	FE; SE; Rank 1B	Vernal pools, meadows and seeps; typically located in pools and swales. Elevation range: 45 – 1950 feet. Blooms: April – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain vernal pool or similar seasonal wetlands.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Lasthenia conjugens</i> Contra Costa goldfields	FE; Rank 1B	Valley and foothill grassland, vernal pools, cismontane woodland; located in pools, swales, and depressions in mesic grassy sites underlain by alkaline substrate. Elevation range: 0 – 1530 feet. Blooms: March – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain vernal pool or similar seasonal wetlands.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Layia septentrionalis</i> Colusa layia	Rank 1B	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, valley and foothill grassland; on sandy, serpentine substrate; typically occurs in fields, grassy slopes; serpentine indicator: SI. Elevation range: 330 – 3595 feet. Blooms: April – May.	<b>Unlikely.</b> Although the Study Area contains chaparral, this species is predominantly known from a mix of grassland, woodland, and open chaparral.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Leptosiphon acicularis</i> bristly leptosiphon	Rank 4, LR	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, coastal prairie, valley and foothill grassland; often located on shallow, rocky substrate in foothill positions. Elevation range: 175 – 4875 feet. Blooms: April – July.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains chaparral underlain by thin, rocky soils that may support this species.	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Leptosiphon jepsonii</i> Jepson's leptosiphon	Rank 1B	Chaparral, cismontane woodland; on open to partially shaded grassy slopes on volcanic or the periphery of serpentine substrate. Elevation range: 330 – 1640 feet. Blooms: April – May.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains chaparral underlain by volcanic soils that may support this species.	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Lessingia hololeuca</i> woolly-headed lessingia	Rank 3, LR	Broadleaf upland forest, coastal scrub, lower montane coniferous forest, valley and foothill grassland; typically on clay, serpentine substrate; serpentine indicator: SI. Elevation range: 3 – 2885 feet. Blooms: April – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Lilium bolanderi</i> Bolander's lily	Rank 4	Chaparral, lower montane coniferous forest; typically situated on serpentine substrate; serpentine indicator: SE. Elevation range: 95 – 5200 feet. Blooms: June – July.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Lilium rubescens</i> redwood lily	Rank 4, LR	Broadleaf upland forest, chaparral, lower montane coniferous forest, upper montane coniferous forest, North Coast coniferous forest; often located on serpentine substrates, and along roadcuts; serpentine indicator: WI. Elevation range: 95 – 6210 feet. Blooms: April – September.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains forest and chaparral that may support this species.	<b>Present.</b> One small population of ten individuals are situated in the Study Area, but outside of the Project Area. See Section 6.
<i>Limnanthes floccosa</i> ssp. <i>floccosa</i> woolly meadowfoam	Rank 4	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, valley and foothill grassland, vernal pools; situated in vernal mesic settings. Elevation range: 195 – 4340 feet. Blooms: March – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain vernal pool or similar seasonal wetlands.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Limnanthes vinculans</i> Sebastopol meadowfoam	FE, SE, Rank 1B	Mesic meadows, valley and foothill grassland, vernal pools; located in swales, wet meadows, depressions, and pools in the oak savanna of the Santa Rosa Plain on heavy adobe clay substrate. Elevation range: 3 – 2885 feet. Blooms: April – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain vernal pool or similar seasonal wetlands.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Lomatium repostum</i> Napa Lomatium	Rank 4	Chaparral, cismontane woodland; located on serpentine or volcanic substrates; serpentine indicator: SI. Elevation range: 290 – 2700 feet. Blooms: March – June.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains chaparral underlain by volcanic substrates that may support this species.	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Lupinus sericatus</i> Cobb Mountain lupine	Rank 1B	Broadleaf upland forest, chaparral, cismontane woodland, lower montane coniferous forest; typically located in stands of knobcone pine-oak woodland, on open wooded slopes in gravelly substrate typically derived from volcanics, sometimes serpentine. Elevation range: 890 – 4960 feet. Blooms: March – June.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains chaparral underlain by volcanic substrates that may support this species.	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Lythrum californicum</i> California loosestrife	LR	Yellow pine forest, cismontane woodland, chaparral, valley and foothill grassland, meadows and seeps; located in wetlands. Elevation range: 0 – 7150 feet. Blooms: April – September.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain perennial wetland (freshwater marsh) habitat necessary to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Micropus amphibolus</i> Mt. Diablo cottonweed	Rank 3	Broadleaf upland forest, chaparral, cismontane woodland, valley and foothill grassland; typically on thin, rocky soils; serpentine indicator: WI. Elevation range: 145 – 2710 feet. Blooms: March – May.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains thin, rocky soils that may support this species.	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Microseris paludosa</i> marsh microseris	Rank 1B	Closed-cone coniferous forest, cismontane woodland, coastal scrub, valley and foothill grassland. Elevation range: 5 – 300 feet. Blooms: April – June.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area does not contain coastal forest or grassland to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Monardella viridis</i> green monardella	Rank 4	Broadleaf upland forest, chaparral, cismontane woodland; situated on serpentine or volcanic soils; serpentine indicator: BE/SI. Elevation range: 325 – 3285 feet. Blooms: June – September.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains chaparral underlain by volcanic soils that may support this species.	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Navarretia cotulifolia</i> cotula navarretia	Rank 4, LR	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, valley and foothill grassland; located on adobe substrate. Elevation range: 10 – 5950 feet. Blooms: May – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not support thick adobe clay soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Navarretia heterandra</i> Tehama navarretia	Rank 4	Valley and foothill grasslands, vernal pools; situated in pools and mesic grasslands. Elevation range: 95 – 3285 feet. Blooms: April – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain vernal pool or similar seasonal wetlands.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Navarretia jepsonii</i> Jepson's navarretia	Rank 4	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, valley and foothill grassland; situated on serpentine substrates; serpentine indicator: SE. Elevation range: 565 – 2780 feet. Blooms: April – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine substrate to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.



SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Navarretia leucocephala</i> ssp. <i>bakeri</i> Baker's navarretia	Rank 1B	Wet, mesic sites underlain by adobe and/or alkaline substrate in cismontane woodland, meadows, seeps, vernal pools, valley and foothill grassland, lower montane coniferous forest. Elevation range: 15 – 5710 feet. Blooms: April – July.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain vernal pool or similar seasonal wetlands.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Navarretia leucocephala</i> ssp. <i>plieantha</i> many-flowered navarretia	FE, SE, Rank 1B	Vernal pools underlain by substrate derived from volcanic ash flows. Elevation range: 95 – 3120 feet. Blooms: May – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain vernal pool or similar seasonal wetlands.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Navarretia myersii</i> ssp. <i>deminuta</i> Myer's navarretia	Rank 1B	Vernal pool; underlying substrate is clay loam. Elevation range: undocumented. Blooms: April – May.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain vernal pool or similar seasonal wetlands.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Navarretia paradoxinota</i> Porter's navarretia	Rank 1B	Meadow and seep; typically situated in vernal mesic openings underlain by serpentine substrate. Elevation range: 535 – 2730 feet. Blooms: May – June, sometimes July.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine wetlands to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Navarretia rosulata</i> Marin County navarretia	Rank 1B	Closed-cone coniferous forest, chaparral; located on dry, rocky sites often formed from serpentine; serpentine indicator: SE. Elevation range: 650 – 2065 feet. Blooms: May – July.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine substrate to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Penstemon newberryi</i> var. <i>sonomensis</i> Sonoma beardtongue	Rank 1B	Chaparral; crevices in rock outcrops and talus slopes on ridgelines and mountain peaks. Elevation range: 2295 – 4495 feet. Blooms: April – August.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain large rock outcrops, nor is it located on steep ridgelines or mountain peaks.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Plagiobothrys strictus</i> Calistoga popcornflower	FE; ST; Rank 1B	Broadleaf upland forest, meadows and seeps, valley and foothill grassland, vernal pools; located on heavy dark adobe alkali clay substrate near hot springs and vernal pools. Elevation range: 290 – 520 feet. Blooms: March – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain alkali habitats, vernal pool, or similar seasonal wetlands.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Poa napensis</i> Napa bluegrass	FE; SE; Rank 1B	Meadows and seeps, valley and foothill grassland; located in moist alkaline substrate near hot springs. Elevation range: 325 – 650 feet. Blooms: May – August.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain alkali habitats, vernal pool, or similar seasonal wetlands.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Pogogyne douglasii</i> Douglas' mesamint	LR	Valley and foothill grassland, cismontane woodland, yellow pine forest, mixed evergreen forest, vernal pool; situated in vernal pools, swales, and similar seasonal wetlands. Elevation range: 0 – 2925 feet. Blooms: March – July.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain vernal pool or similar seasonal wetlands.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Puccinellia simplex</i> California alkali grass	Rank 1B	Chenopod scrub, meadow and seep, valley and foothill grassland, vernal pool; situated vernaly mesic alkaline substrate in sinks, flats, and lake margins. Elevation range: 5 – 3025 feet. Blooms: March – May.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain alkali habitats, vernal pool, or similar seasonal wetlands.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Ranunculus lobbii</i> Lobb's buttercup	Rank 4	Cismontane woodland, North Coast coniferous forest, valley and foothill grassland, vernal pools; located in mesic, vernaly wet areas. Elevation range: 45 – 1530 feet. Blooms: February – May.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The margins of the Parcel's man-made pond may support this species.	<b>Presence Unknown.</b> The man-made pond was not surveyed; however, there are no activities planned in or around this feature. No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Senecio clelandii</i> var. <i>clelandii</i> (= <i>Packera clelandii</i> ) Cleveland's ragwort	Rank 4	Chaparral; situated on serpentine seeps; serpentine indicator: SE. Elevation range: 1185 – 2925 feet. Blooms: June – July.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine seep habitat necessary to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Sidalcea hickmanii</i> ssp. <i>napensis</i> Napa checkerbloom	Rank 1B	Chaparral; located on rhyolitic substrates. Elevation range: 1345 – 1985 feet. Blooms: April – June.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area does not contain rhyolitic soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Sidalcea oregana</i> ssp. <i>hydrophila</i> marsh checkerbloom	Rank 1B	Meadows and seeps, riparian forest; located on wet soils along streambanks and meadows. Elevation range: 3575 – 7475 feet. Blooms: July – August.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain perennial wetland (freshwater marsh) habitat necessary to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Sidalcea oregana</i> ssp. <i>valida</i> Kenwood Marsh checkerbloom	FE; SE; Rank 1B	Freshwater marshes and swamps, on the edges of marshes. Elevation range: 375 – 495 feet. Blooms: June – September.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area does not contain perennial wetland (freshwater marsh) necessary to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Spergularia macrotheca</i> var. <i>longistyla</i> long-styled sand-spurry	Rank 1B	Meadow and seep, marshes and swamps; located in alkaline marshes, pools, mud flats, meadows, and hot springs. Elevation range: 0 – 830 feet. Blooms: February – March.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain alkaline wetlands to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Streptanthus batrachopus</i> Tamalpais jewel-flower	Rank 1B	Closed-cone coniferous forest, chaparral; located on serpentine talus slopes; serpentine indicator: SE. Elevation range: 990 – 2115 feet. Blooms: April – July.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Streptanthus brachiatus</i> ssp. <i>brachiatus</i> Socrates Mine jewel-flower	Rank 1B	Chaparral, closed-cone coniferous forest; located on serpentine substrates; serpentine indicator: SE. Elevation range: 1770 – 3250 feet. Blooms: May – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Streptanthus brachiatus</i> ssp. <i>hoffmanii</i> Freed's jewel-flower	FSC; Rank 1B	Chaparral, cismontane woodland; located on serpentine outcrops, primarily in geothermal areas; serpentine indicator: SE. Elevation range: 1590 – 3965 feet. Blooms: May – July.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Streptanthus hesperidis</i> green jewelflower	Rank 1B	Chaparral, cismontane woodland; located in openings in brushy/wooded sites on rocky serpentine substrate; serpentine indicator: SE. Elevation range: 420 – 2470 feet. Blooms: May – July.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Streptanthus morrisonii</i> ssp. <i>elatus</i> Three Peaks jewel-flower	Rank 1B	Serpentine chaparral; serpentine indicator: SE. Elevation range: 90 – 815 feet. Blooms: June – September.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Streptanthus morrisonii</i> ssp. <i>kruckebergii</i> Kruckeberg's jewel-flower	Rank 1B	Serpentine chaparral on rocky talus; serpentine indicator: SE. Elevation range: 120 – 585 feet. Blooms: May – September.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Streptanthus vernalis</i> early jewel-flower	Rank 1B	Closed-cone coniferous forest, chaparral; situated on serpentine; serpentine indicator: ?. Elevation range: undocumented. Blooms: March – May.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine soils to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Stuckenia filiformis</i> ssp. <i>alpina</i> slender-leaved pondweed	Rank 2B	Marshes and swamps; located in shallow freshwater. Elevation range: 975 – 6990 feet. Blooms: May – July.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Parcel's man-made pond may support this species.	<b>Presence Unknown.</b> The man-made pond was not surveyed; however, there are no activities planned in or around this feature. No further actions are recommended for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Toxicoscordion fontanum</i> marsh zigzag	Rank 4	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, lower montane coniferous forest, meadows and seeps, marshes and swamps; located in vernal mesic sites underlain by serpentine; serpentine indicator: BE/Sl. Elevation range: 45 – 3250 feet. Blooms: April – July.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain serpentine seeps or meadows necessary to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Trichostema ruygtii</i> Napa bluecurls	Rank 1B, LR	Cismontane woodland, chaparral, valley and foothill grassland, vernal pools, lower montane coniferous forest; located in open, sunny locations, and dried vernal pools. Elevation range: 95 – 2210 feet. Blooms: June – October.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains volcanic (rhyolitic) chaparral that may support this species.	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Trifolium amoenum</i> showy rancheria clover	FE, Rank 1B	Valley and foothill grassland, coastal bluff scrub, swales, open sunny sites, sometimes on serpentine; serpentine indicator: WI/IN. Elevation range: 15 – 1365 feet. Blooms: April – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain coastal scrub or grassland habitat to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Trifolium buckwestiorum</i> Santa Cruz clover	Rank 1B	Broadleaf upland forest, cismontane woodland, coastal prairie endangered margins. Elevation range: 105 – 610 feet. Blooms: April – October.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area does not contain prairie, woodland, or broadleaf forest of the type to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Trifolium hydrophilum</i> saline clover	Rank 1B	Marshes and swamps, mesic portions of alkali vernal pools; mesic, alkali valley and foothill grassland. Elevation range: 0 – 985 feet. Blooms: April – June.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain vernal pool or similar seasonal wetlands.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further actions are recommended for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Viburnum ellipticum</i> oval-leaved viburnum	Rank 2B	Chaparral, cismontane woodland, lower montane coniferous forest. Elevation range: 705 – 4595 feet. Blooms: May – June.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains shrubby areas and forest habitat that may support this species.	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not observed during the protocol-level survey. No further actions are recommended for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<b>WILDLIFE</b>				
<b>Mammals</b>				
<i>Antrozous pallidus</i> pallid bat	SSC, WBWG High	Found in deserts, grasslands, shrublands, woodlands, and forests. Most common in open, forages along river channels. Roost sites include crevices in rocky outcrops and cliffs, caves, mines, trees and various manmade structures such as bridges, barns, and buildings (including occupied buildings). Roosts must protect bats from high temperatures. Very sensitive to disturbance of roosting sites.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> Oak woodland within the Study Area provides trees suitable for roosting; there are several CNDDB occurrences in the greater vicinity (CDFW 2019a). Targeted bat assessment (i.e., close inspection of trees) was not performed.	<b>Presence Unknown.</b> Tree removal outside of maternity roosting season, or conduct pre-construction roost habitat assessment. See Section 6.2 for details.
<i>Bassariscus astutus</i> ringtail (ringtail cat)	SFP	Widely distributed throughout much of California. Found in a variety of habitats including riparian areas, semi-arid country, deserts, chaparral, oak woodlands, pinyon pine woodlands, juniper woodlands and montane conifer forests usually under 4,600 ft. elevation. Typically uses cliffs or large trees for shelter.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area lacks cliffs and large tree cavities/hollows typical of dens for this species.	<b>Presumed Absent.</b> No further recommendations for this species.



SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Corynorhinus townsendii townsendii</i> Townsend's western big-eared bat	SSC, WBWG High	Humid coastal regions of northern and central California. Roost in limestone caves, lava tubes, mines, buildings etc. Will only roost in the open, hanging from walls and ceilings. Roosting sites limiting. Extremely sensitive to disturbance	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area does not contain caves, mines, or buildings suitable for roosting; the on-site barn appeared to be regularly used/occupied. CNDDDB occurrences in Napa County are all located in the northern portion of the County (CDFW 2019a).	<b>Presumed Absent.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Eumops perotis californicus</i> western mastiff bat	SSC, WBWG High	Found in a wide variety of open, arid and semi-arid habitats. Distribution appears to be tied to large rock structures which provide suitable roosting sites, including cliff crevices and cracks in boulders.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area lacks large rock structures that are suitable for roosting. There are no CNDDDB occurrences of this species in Napa County.	<b>Presumed Absent.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Lasiurus blossevillei</i> western red bat	SSC, WBWG High	Highly migratory and typically solitary, roosting primarily in the foliage of trees or shrubs. It is associated with broad-leaved tree species including cottonwoods, sycamores, alders, and maples. Day roosts are commonly in edge habitats adjacent to streams or open fields, in orchards, and sometimes in urban areas.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area lacks large, broadleaved trees of the type typically used for roosting (maples, sycamores, etc.).	<b>Presumed Absent.</b> No further recommendations for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Myotis thysanodes</i> fringed myotis	WBWG High	Associated with a wide variety of habitats including dry woodlands, desert scrub, mesic coniferous forest, grassland, and sage-grass steppes. Building, mines, and large trees and snags are important day and night roosts.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> Oak woodland within the Study Area provides trees suitable for roosting. Targeted bat assessment (i.e., close inspection of trees) was not performed.	<b>Presence Unknown.</b> Tree removal outside of maternity roosting season, or conduct pre-construction roost habitat assessment. See Section 6.2 for details.
<i>Myotis volans</i> long-legged myotis	WBWG High	Primarily found in coniferous forests, but also occurs seasonally in riparian and desert habitats. Large hollow trees, rock crevices, buildings, mines, and caves are important day roosts.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area lacks caves, buildings or similar refugia and does not contain coniferous forest.	<b>Presumed Absent.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Reithrodontomys raviventris</i> salt marsh harvest mouse	FE, SE, SFP	Endemic to emergent salt and brackish wetlands of the San Francisco Bay Estuary. Pickleweed marshes are primary habitat; also occurs in various other wetland communities with dense vegetation. Does not burrow, builds loosely organized nests. Requires higher areas for dryland refugia during high tides.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area contains no tidal or brackish marsh and is outside of this species' Napa County range.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Sorex ornatus sinuosus</i> Suisun shrew	SSC	Tidal marshes of the northern shores of San Pablo and Suisun bays. Require dense low-lying vegetation cover, driftwood, and other litter above the mean high tide line for nesting and foraging.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area contains no tidal or brackish marsh and is outside of this species' Napa County range.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Taxidea taxus</i> American badger	SSC	Most abundant in drier open stages of most shrub, woodland, and herbaceous vegetation types. Requires friable soils and open, uncultivated ground. Preys on burrowing rodents.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area does not contain open woodland, open scrub, or grassland habitat to support this species.	<b>Presumed Absent.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<b>Birds</b>				
<i>Agelaius tricolor</i> tricolored blackbird	SC (E), SSC	Nearly endemic to California, where it is most numerous in the Central Valley and vicinity. Highly colonial, nesting in dense aggregations over or near freshwater in emergent growth or riparian thickets. Also uses flooded agricultural fields. Abundant insect prey near breeding areas essential.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not provide vegetated ponds or emergent marsh suitable for nesting.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Ammodramus savannarum</i> grasshopper sparrow	SSC, LR	Summer resident. Breeds in open grasslands in lowlands and foothills, generally with low- to moderate-height grasses and scattered shrubs. Well-hidden nests are placed on the ground.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain grassland habitat to support nesting and foraging for this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Aquila chrysaetos</i> golden eagle	BGEPA, SFP	Occurs year-round in rolling foothills, mountain areas, sage-juniper flats, and deserts. Cliff-walled canyons provide nesting habitat in most parts of range; also nests in large trees, usually within otherwise open areas.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area does not provide large cliffs or typical large trees for nesting; may forage in the vicinity.	<b>Presumed Absent.</b> No further recommendations for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Ardea alba</i> great egret	no status (breeding sites protected by CDFW)	Year-round resident. Nests colonially or semi-colonially, usually in trees, occasionally on the ground or elevated platforms. Breeding sites usually in close proximity to foraging areas: marshes, lake margins, tidal flats, and rivers. Forages primarily on fishes and other aquatic prey, also smaller terrestrial vertebrates.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area is not within close proximity to suitable waters to support a breeding colony.	<b>Presumed Absent.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Ardea herodias</i> great blue heron	LR (breeding sites protected by CDFW)	Year-round resident. Nests colonially or semi-colonially in tall trees and cliffs, also sequestered terrestrial substrates. Breeding sites usually in close proximity to foraging areas: marshes, lake margins, tidal flats, and rivers. Forages primarily on fishes and other aquatic prey, also smaller terrestrial vertebrates.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area is not within close proximity to suitable waters to support a breeding colony.	<b>Presumed Absent.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Asio flammeus</i> short-eared owl	SSC	Occurs year-round, but primarily as a winter visitor; breeding very restricted in most of California. Found in open, treeless areas (e.g., marshes, grasslands) with elevated sites for foraging perches and dense herbaceous vegetation for roosting and nesting. Preys mostly on small mammals, particularly voles.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area is densely forested or dense chaparral. Additionally, known distribution (wintering) is restricted to the Napa baylands; breeding in the County has never been documented (Smith 2003).	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Asio otus</i> long-eared owl	SSC	Occurs year-round in California. Nests in trees in a variety of woodland habitats, including oak and riparian, as well as tree groves. Requires adjacent open land with rodents for foraging, and the presence of old nests of larger birds (hawks, crows, magpies) for breeding.	<b>Unlikely.</b> Rare in Napa County, with the nearest observations located on the Napa Valley floor (eBird 2019).	<b>Presumed Absent.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Athene cunicularia</i> burrowing owl	SSC	Year-round resident and winter visitor. Occurs in open, dry grasslands and scrub habitats with low-growing vegetation, perches and abundant mammal burrows. Preys upon insects and small vertebrates. Nests and roosts in old mammal burrows, most commonly those of ground squirrels.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain open habitats such as savannah or grassland to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Buteo swainsoni</i> Swainson's hawk	ST	Summer resident in Central Valley and limited portions of the southern California interior. Nests in tree groves and isolated trees in riparian and agricultural areas, including near buildings. Forages in grasslands and scrub habitats as well as agricultural fields, especially alfalfa. Preys on arthropods year-round as well as smaller vertebrates during the breeding season.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area is densely forested and chaparral to preclude this species. Additionally, Napa County's very small breeding population is restricted to the Napa Valley floor in association with the Napa River and baylands (CDFW 2019a).	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Charadrius alexandrinus nivosus</i> western snowy plover	FT, SSC	Federal listing applies only to the Pacific coastal population. Year-round resident and winter visitor. Occurs on sandy beaches, salt pond levees, and the shores of large alkali lakes. Nests on the ground, requiring sandy, gravelly or friable soils.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain beaches or other suitable barren habitat near water.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Circus cyaneus</i> northern harrier	SSC	Year-round resident and winter visitor. Found in open habitats including grasslands, prairies, marshes and agricultural areas. Nests on the ground in dense vegetation, typically near water or otherwise moist areas. Preys on small vertebrates.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain grasslands or other open, herbaceous habitats to support this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Contopus cooperi</i> olive-sided flycatcher	SSC	Summer resident. Typical breeding habitat is montane coniferous forests. At lower elevations, also occurs in wooded canyons and mixed forests and woodlands. Often associated with forest edges. Arboreal nest sites located well off the ground.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contain forested habitat that may support this species.	<b>Presence Unknown.</b> Tree removal outside of nesting season, or conduct pre-construction bird surveys. See Section 6.2 for details.
<i>Coturnicops noveboracensis</i> yellow rail	SSC	Summer resident in eastern Sierra Nevada in Mono County, breeding in shallow freshwater marshes and wet meadows with dense vegetation. Also a rare winter visitor along the coast and other portions of the state. Extremely cryptic.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain waterfalls; there are no modern breeding records for Napa County (Smith 2003, Shuford and Gardali 2008).	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Cypseloides niger</i> black swift	SSC	Summer resident with a fragmented breeding distribution; most occupied areas in California either montane or coastal. Breeds in small colonies on cliffs behind or adjacent to waterfalls, in deep canyons, and sea-bluffs above surf. Forages aerially over wide areas. No modern nesting records in Napa County.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain streams with the associated dense riparian thickets (e.g., willow cover) favored by this species for breeding. Individuals presumably occur during migration.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Egretta thula</i> snowy egret	no status (breeding sites protected by CDFW)	Year-round resident. Nests colonially, usually in trees, at times in sequestered beds of dense emergent vegetation (e.g., tules). Rookery sites usually situated close to foraging areas: marshes, tidal-flats, streams, wet meadows, and borders of lakes.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area is not within close proximity to suitable waters to support a breeding colony.	<b>Presumed Absent.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Elanus leucurus</i> white-tailed kite	SFP	Year-round resident in coastal and valley lowlands with scattered trees and large shrubs, including grasslands, marshes and agricultural areas. Nests in trees, of which the type and setting are highly variable. Preys on small mammals and other vertebrates.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area lacks grasslands, open scrubs, or open woodlands to provide nesting and foraging for this species.	<b>Presumed Absent.</b> No further recommendations for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Falco peregrinus anatum</i> American peregrine falcon	SE, SFP	Year-round resident and winter visitor. Occurs near water, including coastal areas, wetlands, lakes and rivers. Usually nests on sheltered cliffs or tall man-made structures. Preys primarily on waterbirds.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain large cliffs or suitable man-made structures for nesting.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Geothlypis trichas sinuosa</i> San Francisco (saltmarsh) common yellowthroat	SSC	Resident of the San Francisco Bay region, in fresh and salt water marshes. Requires thick, continuous cover down to water surface for foraging; tall grasses, tule patches, willows for nesting.	<b>No Potential.</b> No marsh vegetation is present within the Study Area.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i> bald eagle	BGEPA, SE, SFP	Occurs year-round in California, but primarily a winter visitor; breeding population is growing. Nests in large trees in the vicinity of larger lakes, reservoirs, and rivers. Wintering habitat somewhat more variable but usually features large concentrations of waterfowl or fish.	<b>Unlikely.</b> Larger water bodies are not within or in close proximity to the Study Area. As per Smith (2003) and CDFW (2019a), nesting within Napa County is known only from the immediate vicinity of Lake Berryessa.	<b>Presumed Absent.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Icteria virens</i> yellow-breasted chat	SSC, LR	Summer resident, occurring in riparian areas with an open canopy, very dense understory, and trees for song perches. Nests in thickets of willow ( <i>Salix</i> spp.), blackberry ( <i>Rubus</i> spp.), and wild grape ( <i>Vitis californicus</i> ).	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area does not contain stands of dense riparian understory favored by this species for nesting. There are no recent observations in the vicinity (eBird 2019).	<b>Presumed Absent.</b> No further recommendations for this species.



SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Lanius ludovicianus</i> loggerhead shrike	SSC, LR	Year-round resident in open woodland, grasslands, savannah, and scrub. Prefers areas with sparse shrubs, trees, posts, and other suitable perches for foraging. Preys upon large insects and small vertebrates. Nests are well-concealed in densely-foliaged shrubs or trees.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area does not contain open woodland, savannah, open scrub, or grassland habitat to provide nesting and foraging habitat.	<b>Presumed Absent.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Laterallus jamaicensis coturniculus</i> California black rail	ST, SFP	Year-round resident in marshes (saline to freshwater) with dense vegetation within four inches of the ground. Prefers larger, undisturbed marshes that have an extensive upper zone and are close to a major water source. Extremely secretive and cryptic.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain tidal or brackish marsh. Within Napa County, this species is restricted to baylands and the lower Napa River.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Melospiza melodia samuelis</i> San Pablo song sparrow	SSC	Year-round resident of tidal marshes along the north side of San Francisco and San Pablo Bays. Typical habitat is dominated by pickleweed, with gumplant and other shrubs present in the upper zone for nesting. May forage in areas adjacent to marshes.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area contains no tidal or brackish marsh and is outside of this species' limited Napa County range.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i> black-crowned night heron	no status (breeding sites protected by CDFW)	Year-round resident. Nests colonially, usually in trees but also in patches of emergent vegetation. Rookery sites are often on islands and usually located adjacent to foraging areas: margins of lakes and bays.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area and adjacent lands lack aquatic foraging habitat.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Passerculus sandwichensis alaudinus</i> Bryant's savannah sparrow	SSC	Year-round resident associated with the coastal fog belt, primarily between Humboldt and northern Monterey Counties. Occupies low tidally influenced habitats and adjacent areas, including grasslands. Also uses drier, more upland coastal grasslands. Nests near the ground in taller vegetation, including along levees and canals.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain grasslands to provide nesting or foraging habitat for this species.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Progne subis</i> purple martin	SSC, LR	Summer resident. Inhabits woodlands and low-elevation coniferous forests. Nests in old woodpecker cavities and man-made structures (bridges, utility towers). Nest is often located in tall, isolated tree or snag.	<b>Moderate Potential.</b> The Study Area contains forested habitat that may provide nesting and foraging habitat for this species.	<b>Presence Unknown.</b> Tree removal outside of nesting season, or conduct pre-construction bird surveys. See Section 6.2 for details.
<i>Rallus obsoletus obsoletus</i> California Ridgway's (clapper) rail	FE, SE, SFP	Year-round resident in tidal marshes of the San Francisco Bay estuary. Requires tidal sloughs and intertidal mud flats for foraging, and dense marsh vegetation for nesting and cover. Typical habitat features abundant growth of cordgrass and pickleweed. Feeds primarily on mollusks and crustaceans.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain tidal or brackish marsh. Within Napa County, this species is restricted to baylands and the lower Napa River.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Riparia riparia</i> bank swallow	ST	Summer resident in riparian and other lowland habitats near rivers, lakes and the ocean in northern California. Nests colonially in excavated burrows on vertical cliffs and bank cuts (natural and manmade) with fine-textured soils. Historical nesting range in southern and central areas of California has been eliminated by habitat loss. Currently known to breed in Siskiyou, Shasta, and Lassen counties, portions of the north coast, and along Sacramento River from Shasta to Yolo County.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain cliffs or cuts with fine-textured soils or any other potentially suitable nesting substrate. Not known to nest in Napa County as per Smith (2003).	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Setophaga petechia brewsteri</i> (Brewster's) yellow warbler	SSC	Summer resident throughout much of California. Breeds in riparian vegetation close to water, including streams and wet meadows. Microhabitat used for nesting is variable, but dense willow growth is typical. Occurs widely on migration.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area lacks the dense riparian habitat types that this species prefers.	<b>Presumed Absent.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Spizella atrogularis</i> black-chinned sparrow	LR	Summer resident. Typically occurs on arid, rocky slopes with brushy vegetation, e.g. mixed chaparral, and sagebrush.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area's chaparral is limited and surrounded by coniferous forest.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Strix occidentalis caurina</i> northern spotted owl	FT,ST, SSC	Year-round resident in dense, structurally complex forests, primarily those with stands of mature conifers. In Napa County, uses both coniferous and mixed (coniferous-hardwood) forests. Nests on platform-like substrates in the forest canopy, including in tree cavities. Preys on mammals.	<b>High Potential.</b> The Study Area contains forested habitat that may provide nesting and foraging resources. Nearest documented nests are within 1.25 miles to the west and less than 1.5 miles southeast of the Study Area (CDFW 2019a).	<b>Not Observed.</b> This species was not detected during six surveys following USFWS protocol for Northern spotted owl surveys (USFWS 2012). No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Xanthocephalus xanthocephalus</i> yellow-headed blackbird	SSC, LR	Summer resident. Breeds colonially in freshwater emergent wetlands with dense vegetation and deep water, often along borders of lakes or ponds. Requires abundant large insects such as dragonflies; nesting is timed for maximum emergence of insect prey.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area's man-made pond is smaller and does not support extensive marsh fringe habitat to support this species.	<b>Presumed Absent.</b> No further recommendations for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<b>Reptiles and Amphibians</b>				
<i>Dicamptodon ensatus</i> California giant salamander	SSC	Occurs in the north-central Coast Ranges. Moist coniferous and mixed forests are typical habitat; also uses woodland and chaparral. Adults are terrestrial and fossorial, breeding in cold, permanent or semi-permanent streams. Larvae usually remain aquatic for over a year.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area's stream courses lack deeper perennial pools and other habitat elements for breeding, but the lower reaches and intermittent stream in the Parcel have the potential to support this species. Unlikely to be in Project Area.	<b>Presence Unknown.</b> Because this species is unlikely to occur within the Project Area, no further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Emys marmorata</i> western pond turtle	SSC	A thoroughly aquatic turtle of ponds, marshes, rivers, streams and irrigation ditches with aquatic vegetation. Require basking sites such as partially submerged logs, vegetation mats, or open mud banks, and suitable upland habitat (sandy banks or grassy open fields) for egg-laying.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The man-made pond in the Parcel may provide aquatic habitat for this species, but it is greater than 500 feet from the Study Area. Unlikely to occur within the Study Area or Project Area.	<b>Not Observed.</b> Surveys for this species were conducted on several site visits with no detections. No further actions are recommended for this species.
<i>Rana boylei</i> foothill yellow-legged frog	SC (T), SSC	Found in or near rocky streams in a variety of habitats; highly aquatic. Prefers partially-sunlit, shallow streams and riffles with a rocky substrate; requires at least some cobble-sized substrate for egg-laying. Needs at least 15 weeks to attain metamorphosis. Feeds on invertebrates (aquatic and terrestrial).	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area's stream courses lack the structure and hydro-period for breeding, but the lower reaches and intermittent stream in the Parcel have the potential to support this species. Unlikely to be in Project Area.	<b>Presence Unknown.</b> Because this species is unlikely to occur within the Project Area, no further actions are recommended for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Rana draytonii</i> California red-legged frog	FT, SSC	Lowlands and foothills in or near permanent sources of deep water with dense emergent and/or overhanging riparian vegetation. Favors perennial to intermittent ponds, marshes, and stream pools. Requires 11 to 20 weeks of continuous inundation for larval development. Disperses through upland habitats during and after rains.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area lacks aquatic for this species. The man-made pond could provide aquatic breeding habitat; however, the nearest documented occurrence is greater than seven miles distant. There are no documented occurrences in Mayacama Mountains from Knights Valley to Arrowhead Mountain (CDFW 2019a).	<b>Presumed Absent.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Scaphiopus hammondi</i> western spadefoot	SSC	Occurs primarily in grassland habitats, but can be found in valley-foothill hardwood woodlands. Shallow temporary pools formed by winter rains are essential for breeding and egg-laying. Range within Napa County is extremely restricted.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area lacks vernal pools and similar temporary water features; in Napa County the known range is restricted to a very small area in its eastern portion.	<b>Presumed Absent.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Taricha rivularis</i> red-bellied newt	SSC	Inhabits coastal forests from southern Sonoma County northward, with an isolated population in Santa Clara County. Redwood forest provides typical habitat, though other forest types (e.g., hardwood) are also occupied. Adults are terrestrial and fossorial. Breeding occurs in streams, usually with relatively strong flows.	<b>Unlikely.</b> The Study Area's forest is more arid than those forests associated with this species. Likewise, the Study Area's streams do not provide breeding habitat. The intermittent stream in the Parcel may provide habitat, but this species is unlikely to occur in the Study Area or Project Area.	<b>Presumed Absent.</b> No further recommendations for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<b>Fishes</b>				
<i>Acipenser medirostris</i> green sturgeon	FT, SSC	Spawns in the Sacramento River and Klamath Rivers, at temperatures between 8-14 degrees C. Preferred spawning substrate is large cobble, but can range from clean sand to bedrock.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain suitable anadromous or estuarine waters.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Eucyclogobius newberryi</i> tidewater goby	FE, SSC	Brackish water habitats along the California coast from Agua Hedionda Lagoon, San Diego County to the mouth of the Smith River. Found in shallow lagoons and lower stream reaches. Requires fairly still but not stagnant water and high oxygen levels.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain brackish or ore estuarine waters.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Hypomesus transpacificus</i> Delta smelt	FT, ST	Endemic to the Sacramento-San Joaquin estuary in areas where salt and freshwater systems meet. Occurs seasonally in Suisun Bay, Carquinez Strait and San Pablo Bay. Seldom found at salinities > 10 ppt; most often at salinities < 2 ppt.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain estuarine waters.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Lampetra ayresi</i> river lamprey	SSC	Lower Sacramento River, San Joaquin River and Russian River. May occur in coastal streams north of San Francisco Bay. Adults need clean, gravelly riffles, Ammocoetes need sandy backwaters or stream edges, good water quality and temps < 25 degrees C.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain suitable anadromous or estuarine waters.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss irideus</i> steelhead - central CA coast DPS	FT	Occurs from the Russian River south to Soquel Creek and Pajaro River. Also in San Francisco and San Pablo Bay Basins. Adults migrate upstream to spawn in cool, clear, well-oxygenated streams. Juveniles remain in fresh water for 1 or more years before migrating downstream to the ocean.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain suitable anadromous or estuarine waters.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i> Chinook salmon - California coastal ESU	FT	This ESU includes all naturally spawned populations of Chinook salmon from rivers and streams south of the Klamath River (exclusive) to the Russian River (inclusive). Adult numbers depend on pool depth and volume, amount of cover, and proximity to gravel. Water temps >27 degrees C lethal to adults.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain suitable anadromous or estuarine waters.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.



SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Pogonichthys macrolepidotus</i> Sacramento splittail	SSC	Formerly endemic to the lakes and rivers of the Central Valley, but now confined to the Sacramento Delta, Suisun Bay and associated marshes. Occurs in slow-moving river sections and dead-end sloughs. Requires flooded vegetation for spawning and foraging for young. A freshwater species, but tolerant of moderate salinity (10-18 parts per thousand).	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain riverine or estuarine waters.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Spirinchus thaleichthys</i> longfin smelt	FC, ST, SSC	Euryhaline, nektonic and anadromous. Found in open waters of estuaries, mostly in middle or bottom of water column. Prefer salinities of 15 to 30 ppt, but can be found in completely freshwater to almost pure seawater.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain riverine or estuarine waters.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<b>Invertebrates</b>				
<i>Branchinecta lynchi</i> vernal pool fairy shrimps	FT	Endemic to the grasslands of the Central Valley, central coast mountains, and south coast mountains, in astatic rain-filled pools. Inhabit small, clear-water sandstone-depression pools and grassed swale, earth slump, or basalt-flow depression pools.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain vernal pools or other suitable seasonal aquatic features (e.g., swales deep and ponded enough to support this species).	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Desmocerus californicus dimorphus</i> valley elderberry longhorn beetle	FT	Found in riparian and oak savannah where elderberry ( <i>Sambucus</i> sp.), the host plant, is present.	<b>No Potential.</b> Elderberry was not observed during the site visit; CNDDDB occurrences are restricted to its southeastern-most portion (CDFW 2019a).	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.
<i>Speyeria callippe callippe</i> Callippe silverspot butterfly	FE	Two populations are recognized, on San Bruno Mountain and the Cordelia Hills. Host plant is Johnny jump-up ( <i>Viola pedunculata</i> ), which is found on serpentine soils. Most adults found on east-facing slopes; males congregate on hilltops in search of females.	<b>No Potential.</b> Johnny jump-up was not observed during the site visit. Additionally, this species' known range with Napa County is restricted to the immediate vicinity of the Cordelia Hills.	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.

SPECIES	STATUS*	HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	POTENTIAL TO OCCUR IN THE STUDY AREA	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
<i>Syncaris pacifica</i> California freshwater shrimp	FE, SE	Endemic to Marin, Napa, and Sonoma counties. Found in low elevation, low gradient streams where riparian cover is moderate to heavy. Shallow pools away from main stream flow. Winter: undercut banks with exposed roots. Summer: leafy branches touching water.	<b>No Potential.</b> The Study Area does not contain perennial streams to support this species. Likewise, the only documented occurrence in Napa County is from Huichica Creek in the southwest portion of the county (Marin and Wicksten 2004, CDFW 2019a).	<b>Not Present.</b> No further recommendations for this species.

**\*Key to status codes:**

FC	Federal Candidate for Listing
FE	Federal Endangered
BGEPA	Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act Species
FT	Federal Threatened
LR	Locally Rare as per Napa County Baseline Report
SC (E/T)	State Candidate for Listing (Endangered/Threatened)
SE	State Endangered
SFP	State Fully Protected Animal
SR	State Rare
SSC	State Species of Special Concern
ST	State Threatened
Rank 1A	CNPS Rank 1A: Plants presumed extinct in California
Rank 1B	CNPS Rank 1B: Plants rare, threatened or endangered in California and elsewhere
Rank 2A	CNPS Rank 2A: Plants presumed extirpated in California, but more common elsewhere
Rank 2B	CNPS Rank 2B: Plants rare, threatened, or endangered in California, but more common elsewhere
Rank 3	CNPS Rank 3: Plants about which CNPS needs more information (a review list)
Rank 4	CNPS Rank 4: Plants of limited distribution (a watch list)
WBWG	Western Bat Working Group High or Medium-high Priority Species

**Potential to Occur:**

No Potential: Habitat on and adjacent to the site is clearly unsuitable for the species requirements (cover, substrate, elevation, hydrology, plant community, site history, disturbance regime).

Unlikely: Few of the habitat components meeting the species requirements are present, and/or the majority of habitat on and adjacent to the site is unsuitable or of very poor quality. The species is not likely to be found on the site.

Moderate Potential: Some of the habitat components meeting the species requirements are present, and/or only some of the habitat on or adjacent to the site is unsuitable. The species has a moderate probability of being found on the site.

High Potential: All of the habitat components meeting the species requirements are present and/or most of the habitat on or adjacent to the site is highly suitable. The species has a high probability of being found on the site.

**Results and Recommendations:**

Present: Species was observed on the site or has been recorded (i.e. CNDDDB, other reports) on the site recently.

Assumed Present: Species is assumed to be present on-site based on the presence of key habitat components.

Assumed Present without Impact: Species assumed present; however, project activities will not have an impact on the species.

Presumed Absent: Species is presumed to not be present due to a lack of key habitat components.

Not Present: Species is considered not present due to a clear lack of any suitable habitat and/or local range limitations.

Not Observed: Species was not observed during dedicated/formal surveys.

Presence Unknown: Species has the potential to be present, but no dedicated surveys to determine absence/presence were performed.

Appendix D

Representative Photographs





Douglas fir forest understory in the Project Area



Douglas fir forest understory in the Project Area



Mixed chaparral adjacent to Project Area; location of Sonoma ceanothus (*Ceanothus sonomensis*) population



Mixed chaparral outside of Project/Study Area; suitable habitat for Sonoma ceanothus (*Ceanothus sonomensis*)





Chaparral outside of Project/Study Area; possible habitat for Sonoma ceanothus (*Ceanothus sonomensis*)



Ephemeral stream north of the Project Area; avoided and buffered from the proposed project



Sonoma ceanothus (*Ceanothus sonomensis*) on the edge of the Project Area



Redwood lily (*Lilium rubescens*) outside of the Project Area



## Appendix E

### Statement of Qualifications



## Appendix E. Statement of Qualifications

WRA is an environmental consulting firm with over 30 years of experience conducting biological resources assessments, wetland delineations, protocol-level rare plant surveys, special-status wildlife assessments and species-specific surveys, as well as preparing applications with state and federal natural resource agencies for avoiding, minimizing, and mitigating impacts to sensitive natural resources. Other services and products with which WRA has expertise include preparation of CEQA/NEPA documents, habitat mitigation and monitoring plans, natural resource management plans, mitigation and conservation bank enabling instruments, grazing management plans, and wetland and other natural resources restoration plans.

Matt Richmond, BS, Principal with WRA, has seventeen years performing botanical assessments, rare plant surveys, environmentally sensitive habitat area surveys, wetland delineations, and vegetation mapping. He also has experience performing protocol-level surveys for California red-legged frog, Ridgeway's rail, marbled murrelet, northern spotted owl, Point Arena mountain beaver, and Behren's silverspot butterfly. His project focus is in conservation and mitigation banking, coastal development projects, vineyard development, and timber resources. Mr. Richmond regularly manages large-scale mitigation banking projects, as well as coastal development permits, coastal restoration projects, vineyard grading permits with a focus in Mendocino, Napa, Lake, and Sonoma counties. Mr. Richmond's technical training includes the flora of Northern California, plant ecology, and forest ecology. Additionally, he has completed the 40-hour Corps wetland delineation training. Mr. Richmond received his Bachelor of Science in Biology from Humboldt State University.

Aaron Arthur, MS, Associate Plant Biologist with WRA, has twelve years performing vegetation & habitat mapping, rare plant surveys, botanical assessments, vegetation change analysis, and wetland delineations. His project focus is in vineyard development, timber resources, coastal development permits, habitat mitigation and monitoring plans, conservation and mitigation banking, and long-term management plans in Sonoma, Marin, Napa, and Mendocino counties. Mr. Arthur's technical training includes the flora of Northern California, the flora of the Pacific Northwest, agrostology, aquatic botany, plant ecology, forest ecology, and soil science. Additionally he has completed the 40-hour Corps wetland delineation course, holds 2081(a) Plant Voucher Permit, and is Certified California Consulting Botanist #0016 from the California Native Plant Society. Mr. Arthur received his Bachelor of Arts in Geography and received his Master of Science in Physical Geography from Oregon State University, where his research focused on forest floristics and vegetation change.

Jason Yakich, MS, Associate Wildlife Biologist with WRA, has nearly fifteen years of experience performing wildlife habitat assessments, biological monitoring for special-status wildlife species, breeding bird and other avian surveys, and protocol-level surveys for several special-status wildlife species. He prepares and oversees a variety of biological assessments and technical reports, and assures permit compliance for a wide array of public and private projects. Mr. Yakich has respective permit authorizations from the USFWS and CDFW to conduct active (call-playback) surveys for California clapper rail and California black rail. Mr. Yakich received his Bachelor of Arts in Biology from U.C. Santa Cruz, and received his Master of Science in Biology from San Francisco State University with a focus in marine biology.