# **Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal**

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #:			
Project Title: San Jose Data Center			
Lead Agency: California Energy Commission			
Contact Name: Lisa Worrall			
Email: Lisa.worrall@energy.ca.gov	Phone Number: 916-661-8367		
Project Location: San Jose	Santa Clara County		
City	County		
Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).			
See Attachment A			
Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly would reduce or avoid that effect.	describe any proposed mitigation measures that		
See Attachment A			

agencies and the public.		 	
None.			
Provide a list of the responsible or trustee age	encies for the project.		
Responsible Agencies:			
City of San Jose			
Bay Area Air Quality Management District			
Trustee Agencies:			
California Department of Fish and Wildlife Santa Clara Valley Habitat Agency			
, , ,			

## Summary Form Attachment A

## Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has the exclusive authority to certify all thermal power plants (50 megawatts [MW] and greater) and related facilities proposed for construction in California. The Small Power Plant Exemption (SPPE) process allows applicants with facilities between 50 and 100 MW to obtain an exemption from CEC's jurisdiction and proceed with local permitting rather than requiring CEC certification. CEC can grant an exemption if it finds that the proposed facility would not create a substantial adverse impact on the environment or energy resources. Public Resources Code section 25519(c) designates CEC as the lead agency, in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), for all facilities seeking an SPPE.

Microsoft Corporation (Microsoft or Applicant) is seeking an SPPE from the CEC's jurisdiction to proceed with local permitting rather than requiring certification by the CEC for the San Jose Data Center (project).

The applicant proposes to construct and operate the project, located at 1657 Alviso-Milpitas Road in San Jose, California. The project would consist of two single-story data center buildings. To provide reliable operation of the data center in the event of loss of electrical service from the local electric utility provider, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), the project includes 40 3.0-MW Tier 4 compliant standby diesel generators. The generators would provide electrical power to support the information technology (IT) load during utility outages or certain onsite electrical equipment interruptions or failure. The maximum electrical load of the data center would be 99 MW, although the estimated load is 92 MW, inclusive of IT equipment, ancillary electrical/ telecommunications equipment, and other electrical loads (administrative, heat rejection, and safety/security). The project also includes an onsite 115-kilovolt (kV) substation with two 115-kV electrical supply lines that would connect to PG&E's Los Esteros Substation, located adjacent to the site. The project would require offsite linears for potable water, reclaimed water, storm water, sanitary sewer, and electrical. No natural gas would be used onsite.

Identify the projects significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

## Less Than Significant Impact

Project impacts in the environmental topic areas of aesthetics, cultural and tribal resources, energy and energy resources, greenhouse gas emissions, hazards and hazardous materials, hydrology and water quality, land use and planning, noise,

population and housing, public services, recreation, utilities and service systems, and environmental justice are less than significant.

## Less Than Significant With Mitigation or Potentially Significant Impact

Air Quality The proposed project would be located in the City of San Jose in the San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin (SFBAAB), under the jurisdiction of the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD). The SFBAAB is in non-attainment for ozone and particulate matter (PM) ambient air quality standards. The backup diesel generators proposed for the project would result in diesel PM emissions and emissions of ozone precursors (nitrogen oxides [NOx] and reactive organic gases [ROG]). The NOx emissions of the project may exceed BAAQMD's CEQA significance threshold prior to consideration of offsets required by BAAQMD's permitting process. CEC staff has not completed its analysis of the significance of the project's potential impacts and is yet to reach a conclusion on whether emissions would result in significant air quality impacts.

The EIR will discuss whether the project would result in potential cumulatively considerable net increase of a criteria pollutant(s) for which the project region is in non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard. The EIR will also discuss whether the project would: conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan; expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations, including impacts from criteria pollutants and toxic air contaminants; or result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people. If project impacts related to air quality or public health are determined to be significant, mitigation will be identified to reduce impacts to a less than significant level, as feasible.

### Biological Resources

The EIR will discuss the project's potential incremental effects to federally listed species, rare plants, and wetland habitat that may experience adverse direct and/or indirect significant impacts. Cumulatively considerable significant impacts from habitat modification (increase in non-native weed invasions) through nitrogen deposition from point source emissions (backup generators) on sensitive habitat may also occur; staff is currently exploring these issues. Nitrogen deposition from non-point sources (vehicle traffic) will require mitigation pursuant to the Santa Clara Valley Habitat Conservation Plan (SCVHCP). Adverse impacts may likely be reduced to less than significant levels with the incorporation of mitigation. CEC staff is working with regulatory agencies (Santa Clara Valley Habitat Agency, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, United States Fish and Wildlife Service) to develop mitigation that would mitigate impacts to less than significant.

Potential impacts to wetlands may occur; however, impacts would be less than significant as the project would incorporate protective measures and if impacted, applicant would provide compensation consistent with the SCVHP. Potential impacts as

defined by local ordinance through the possible removal of ordinance-sized native or non-native trees, may occur; however, impacts would be less that significant as the project would include tree protection zones and maintenance methodology. Nesting birds may be impacted by the project; however, impacts would be less than significant as the project would conduct pre-construction surveys and employ appropriate avoidance management techniques. No special status plants are expected on the project site.

#### Cultural and Tribal Cultural Resources

To date, two previously recorded cultural resources are located within the project site. These cultural resources consist of historic built-environment resources, two residential compounds. More than 30 additional, previously recorded cultural resources are located within 1 mile of the project area and comprise a mix of historic buildings and structures, Native American archaeological sites, and historic-period archaeological sites. Literature reviews reveal that numerous archaeological sites are in the project vicinity, some of which previous investigators only found below the ground surface after project excavations started. While staff has not yet identified any tribal cultural resources in consultation with California Native American tribes or through communication with the Native American Heritage Commission, tribal cultural resources could exist in similar contexts as buried prehistoric archaeological sites and further consultation is in progress to identify any additional potential impacts. Ground disturbance proposed as part of the project could encounter and damage buried resources that meet CEQA's criteria for historical, unique archaeological, or tribal cultural resources. The resulting impacts would likely be significant under CEQA. The applicant has proposed five project design measures to reduce the severity of any such impacts.

At this time, there is not enough information to conclude whether the project would result in significant impacts to cultural or tribal cultural resources and whether they can be mitigated to less than significant. Project impacts will be analyzed consistent with CEQA criteria for cultural and tribal cultural resources identified in Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines.

### Geology and Soils

The project site is in the Santa Clara Valley, an area known to have scientifically significant but widespread or intermittent fossil discoveries. Surficial sediment at the project site is generally not considered sensitive for paleontological resources, because biological remains younger than 10,000 years are not usually considered fossils. However, Pleistocene age (2.6 million to 11,700 years before present) sediments may also be present at or near the surface. Although unlikely, paleontological resources could be encountered during construction requiring earth moving, such as grading, trenching for utilities, excavation for foundations, and installation of support structures where native soil would be disturbed. The EIR will discuss the project's potentially significant impacts due to the possible direct or indirect destruction of a unique paleontological resource if discovered during project construction. These impacts could

be reduced to less than significant levels with the incorporation of proposed mitigation. CEC staff is crafting a mitigation measure that would mitigate impacts to less than significant.

#### Greenhouse Gas Emissions

The project would result in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from three categories of activities: direct emissions from construction, direct emissions from the testing and maintenance of the backup diesel generators, and indirect emissions from the data center's electricity use. CEC staff expects the temporary direct emissions from construction would be adequately addressed through the use of best management practices. The project applicant proposes to obtain electricity from PG&E and the GHG emissions associated with the generation of this electricity represents the largest source of GHG emissions associated with the data center. CEC staff may evaluate the feasibility of the project purchasing its electricity from San Jose Clean Energy, which could allow the project to access a cleaner resource mix and reduce the indirect GHG emissions associated with the data center's electricity use.

At this time, CEC staff has not completed its analysis of the significance of greenhouse gas emissions. Project impacts will be analyzed consistent with CEQA criteria for air quality identified in Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District CEQA Guidelines.

## Transportation

The EIR will discuss the project's potentially significant impacts from vehicle miles traveled (VMT). The project-generated VMT per employee (17.17) is greater than the City of San Jose's threshold of 14.37 VMT per employee for industrial uses and 12.22 VMT per employee for office uses. The applicant is working with a transportation consultant to prepare a transportation analysis report in accordance with the City's Transportation Analysis Handbook, which will identify appropriate mitigation to reduce transportation impacts to less than significant, if feasible.