

CEQA EXEMPTION / NEPA CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM (rev. 11/2020)

| Project Information | | |
|---|--|---|
| Project Name (if applicable |): Hwy 49 Drainage Improvements | |
| DIST-CO-RTE: 03-ED-49 | PM/PM: 16.07/16.24 | |
| EA: 03-0J940 Federal- | ·Aid Project Number: N/A | |
| Project Description | | |
| repair drainage problems on 16.07 to postmile 16.24. The maintained transportation fact damage to property owners. 2018-2019 storm events cau systems on SR 49 west of Co stormwater, resulting in exce | Transportation (Caltrans) issued a D State Route (SR) 49 in El Dorado Corpurpose of the project was to 1) providility for the traveling public; and 2) to The project was needed as culvert fairsed hydraulic interception and drainagombellack Road to become overwhelms stormwater overtopping the berm of e property. (Continued on page 3) | unty from postmile ide a safe and well- prevent further lure from the ge conveyance ned by debris and |
| Caltrans CEQA Determinat | <u>ion</u> (Check one) | |
| • • | s is not the CEQA Lead Agency s has prepared an IS or EIR under CE | QA |
| □ Exempt by Statute. (PRC □ Categorically Exempt. C □ No exceptions apply 21084 and 14 CCR 1 □ Covered by the Common exempt class, but it can be | this proposal and supporting informatic 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) lass 1. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et that would bar the use of a categorica 5300.2). See the SER Chapter 34 for a Sense Exemption. This project doe e seen with certainty that there is no place to the environment (14 CC). | et seq.) al exemption (PRC r exceptions. s not fall within an cossibility that the |
| Senior Environmental Plan | ner or Environmental Branch Chief | |
| Rajpreet Bihala | Rajpreet Bihala | 1/29/2021 |
| Print Name | Signature | Date |
| Project Manager | | |
| Anthony Thurman | Anthony Thurman Signature | 1/29/2021 |
| Print Name | Signature | Date |



CEQA EXEMPTION / NEPA CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

<u>Caltrans NEPA Determination</u> (Check one)

⋈ Not Applicable

Caltrans has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). See <u>SER Chapter 30</u> for unusual circumstances. As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under NEPA and is included under the following:

| is categorically excluded from and is included under the follo | the requirements to prepare an wing: | n EA or EIS under NEPA |
|---|--|---|
| the responsibility to make this Memorandum of Understandir Caltrans. Caltrans has determ 23 CFR 771.117(c): a 23 CFR 771.117(d): a | ctivity (d)(N/A) | JSC 326 and the ed between FHWA and orical Exclusion under: |
| - | Appendix A of the MOU betw | |
| Caltrans has determined that The environmental review, confederal environmental laws for Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC December 23, 2016 and exections. | examination of this proposal are the project is a Categorical Exception sultation, and any other action or this project are being, or have 327 and the Memorandum of United by FHWA and Caltrans. There or Environmental Branch | clusion under 23 USC 327. as required by applicable been, carried out by all anderstanding dated |
| N/A | | |
| Print Name | Signature | Date |
| Project Manager/ DLA Engir | neer | |
| N/A | | |
| Print Name | Signature | Date |
| Date of Categorical Exclusion | on Checklist completion: N/A | |

Date of Categorical Exclusion Checklist completion: N/A
Date of Environmental Commitment Record or equivalent: N/A

EA: 03-0J940 Page 2 of 3



CEQA EXEMPTION / NEPA CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

Continuation sheet:

This project improved drainage functions by creating larger roadside ditches on both sides of the highway, removing and replacing an undersized cross culvert, installing a new headwall, abandoning an existing culvert, installing a dike, installing driveway culverts, and removing a drainage inlet. Traffic control and other incidental work was required in order to complete the project.

Staging occurred in previously disturbed areas within state right of way.

There was no disposal that required a borrow site.

No consultation or agency coordination required.

No permits required.

EA: 03-0J940 Page **3** of **3**