

# Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage (PROJ-2020-0035)

NOISE IMPACT ANALYSIS
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

PREPARED BY:

Bill Lawson, PE, INCE blawson@urbanxroads.com (949) 584-3148

Sama Shami sshami@urbanxroads.com (949) 945-4407

**SEPTEMBER 10, 2020** 



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

T/	ABLE C	F CONTENTS	III
ΑI	PPEND	ICES	IV
LIS	ST OF	EXHIBITS	IV
LIS	ST OF	TABLES	V
LIS	ST OF	ABBREVIATED TERMS	VI
EX	(ECUT	IVE SUMMARY	1
1	IN	TRODUCTION	3
	1.1	Site Location	3
	1.2	Project Description	
	2	Fundamentals	
	2.1	Range of Noise	7
	2.2	Noise Descriptors	8
	2.3	Sound Propagation	8
	2.4	Noise Control	10
	2.5	Noise Barrier Attenuation	10
	2.6	Land Use Compatibility With Noise	10
	2.7	Community Response to Noise	
	2.8	Vibration	11
3	RE	GULATORY SETTING	13
	3.1	State of California Noise Requirements	13
	3.2	State of California Green Building Standards Code	13
	3.3	County of San Bernardino General Plan Noise Element	14
	3.4	County of San Bernardino Development Code	14
	3.5	Construction Noise Standards	16
	3.6	Construction Vibration Standards	17
4	SI	GNIFICANCE CRITERIA	19
	4.1	CEQA Guidelines Not Further Analyzed	19
	4.2	Noise-Sensitive Receivers	
	4.3	Significance Criteria Summary	20
5	EX	ISTING NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	21
	5.1	Measurement Procedure and Criteria	21
	5.2	Noise Measurement Locations	21
	5.3	Noise Measurement Results	22
6	TR	AFFIC NOISE PREDICTION METHODS AND PROCEDURES	25
	6.1	FHWA Traffic Noise Prediction Model	25
	6.2	Off-Site Traffic Noise Prediction Model Inputs	
7	OI	F-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE IMPACTS	29
	7.1	Traffic Noise Contours	29
	7.2	Existing With Project Traffic Noise Level Increases	
	7.3	OYC (2021) With Project Traffic Noise Level Increases	
	7.4	Horizon Year (2040) Project Traffic Noise Level Increases	
8	SE	NSITIVE RECEIVER LOCATIONS	35



9	OP	PERATIONAL NOISE IMPACTS	37
	9.1	Operational Noise Sources	37
	9.2	Reference Noise Levels	
	9.2.1	Measurement Procedures	37
	9.3	CadnaA Noise Prediction Model	41
	9.4	Project Operational Noise Levels	41
	9.5	Project Operational Noise Level Compliance	42
	9.6	Project Operational Noise Level Increases	43
10	со	NSTRUCTION IMPACTS	45
	10.1	Construction Noise Levels	45
	10.2	Typical Construction Reference Noise Levels	45
	10.3	Typical Construction Noise Analysis	47
	10.4	Typical Construction Noise Level Compliance	48
	10.6	Typical Construction Vibration Impacts	49
11	. REI	FERENCES	51
	CEI	RTIFICATION	

## **APPENDICES**

APPENDIX 3.1: COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MUNICIPAL CODE

**APPENDIX 5.1: STUDY AREA PHOTOS** 

**APPENDIX 5.2: NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENT WORKSHEETS** 

**APPENDIX 7.1: OFF-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE CONTOURS** 

APPENDIX 9.1: CADNAA OPERATIONAL NOISE MODEL INPUTS APPENDIX 10.1: CADNAA CONSTRUCTION NOISE MODEL INPUTS

# **LIST OF EXHIBITS**

EXHIBIT 1-A: LOCATION MAP	4
EXHIBIT 1-B: SITE PLAN	5
EXHIBIT 2-A: TYPICAL NOISE LEVELS	7
EXHIBIT 2-B: NOISE LEVEL INCREASE PERCEPTION	11
EXHIBIT 2-C: TYPICAL LEVELS OF GROUND-BORNE VIBRATION	12
EXHIBIT 3-A: COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MOBILE NOISE LEVEL STANDARDS	15
EXHIBIT 5-A: NOISE MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS	
EXHIBIT 8-A: SENSITIVE RECEIVER LOCATIONS	36
EXHIBIT 9-A: OPERATIONAL NOISE SOURCE LOCATIONS	38
EXHIBIT 10-A: TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION NOISE SOURCE LOCATIONS	



# **LIST OF TABLES**

TABLE ES-1: SUMMARY OF CEQA SIGNIFICANCE FINDINGS	1
TABLE 3-1: OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL STANDARDS	16
TABLE 4-1: SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA SUMMARY	
TABLE 5-1: 24-HOUR AMBIENT NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	22
TABLE 6-1: OFF-SITE ROADWAY PARAMETERS	
TABLE 6-2: AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUMES	26
TABLE 6-3: TIME OF DAY VEHICLE SPLITS	
TABLE 6-4: WITHOUT PROJECT VEHICLE MIX	
TABLE 6-5: EXISTING (2020) WITH PROJECT VEHICLE MIX	27
TABLE 6-6: OYC (2021) WITH PROJECT VEHICLE MIX	
TABLE 6-7: HY (2040) WITH PROJECT VEHICLE MIX	
TABLE 7-1: EXISTING WITHOUT PROJECT NOISE CONTOURS	
TABLE 7-2: EXISTING 2020 WITH PROJECT NOISE CONTOURS	
TABLE 7-3: OYC (2021) WITHOUT PROJECT NOISE CONTOURS	30
TABLE 7-4: OYC (2021) WITH PROJECT NOISE CONTOURS	
TABLE 7-5: HY (2040) WITHOUT PROJECT NOISE CONTOURS	
TABLE 7-6: HY (2040) WITH PROJECT NOISE CONTOURS	
TABLE 7-9: EXISTING WITH PROJECT TRAFFIC NOISE LEVEL INCREASES	
TABLE 7-10: OYC (2021) WITH PROJECT TRAFFIC NOISE INCREASES	
TABLE 7-11: HY (2040) WITH PROJECT TRAFFIC NOISE INCREASES	
TABLE 9-1: REFERENCE NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	
TABLE 9-2: ENTRY GATE & TRUCK MOVEMENTS BY LOCATION	
TABLE 9-3: DAYTIME PROJECT OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVELS	
TABLE 9-4: NIGHTTIME PROJECT OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVELS	
TABLE 9-5: OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL COMPLIANCE	
TABLE 9-6: DAYTIME PROJECT OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL INCREASES	44
TABLE 9-7: NIGHTTIME OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL INCREASES	
TABLE 10-1: TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION REFERENCE NOISE LEVELS	
TABLE 10-2: TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT NOISE LEVEL SUMMARY	
TABLE 10-3: TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVEL COMPLIANCE	
TABLE 10-4: VIBRATION SOURCE LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT	49
TABLE 10-5: TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT VIBRATION LEVELS	



## **LIST OF ABBREVIATED TERMS**

(1) Reference

ADT Average Daily Traffic

ANSI American National Standards Institute

Calveno California Vehicle Noise

CEQA California Environmental Quality Act
CNEL Community Noise Equivalent Level

dBA A-weighted decibels

EPA Environmental Protection Agency
FHWA Federal Highway Administration
FTA Federal Transit Administration

INCE Institute of Noise Control Engineering

 $\begin{array}{lll} L_{\text{eq}} & & \text{Equivalent continuous (average) sound level} \\ L_{\text{max}} & & \text{Maximum level measured over the time interval} \\ L_{\text{min}} & & \text{Minimum level measured over the time interval} \end{array}$ 

mph Miles per hour

OPR Office of Planning and Research

PPV Peak particle velocity

Project Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage

REMEL Reference Energy Mean Emission Level

RMS Root-mean-square VdB Vibration Decibels



## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Urban Crossroads, Inc. has prepared this noise study to determine the potential noise impacts and the necessary noise mitigation measures, if any, for Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage development ("Project"). The proposed Project consists of up to 8.940 acres of truck terminal use. At the time this noise analysis was prepared, the future tenants of the proposed Project were unknown, and therefore, this noise study includes a conservative analysis of the proposed Project uses. This study has been prepared to satisfy applicable County of San Bernardino standards and thresholds of significance based on guidance provided by Appendix G of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines. (1)

The results of this Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage Noise Impact Analysis are summarized below based on the significance criteria in Section 4 of this report. Table ES-1 shows the findings of significance for each potential noise and/or vibration impact under CEQA before and after any required mitigation measures.

**TABLE ES-1: SUMMARY OF CEQA SIGNIFICANCE FINDINGS** 

Analysis	Report	Significance Findings			
Analysis	Section	Unmitigated	Mitigated		
Off-Site Traffic Noise	7	Less Than Significant	-		
Operational Noise	9	Less Than Significant	-		
Construction Noise	10	Less Than Significant	-		
Construction Vibration	10	Less Than Significant	-		

This page intentionally left blank



## 1 INTRODUCTION

This noise analysis has been completed to determine the noise impacts associated with the development of Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage ("Project"). This noise study briefly describes the proposed Project, provides information regarding noise fundamentals, sets out the local regulatory setting, presents the study methods and procedures for transportation related CNEL traffic noise analysis, and evaluates the future exterior noise environment. In addition, this study includes an analysis of the potential Project-related long-term stationary-source operational noise and short-term construction noise and vibration impacts.

## 1.1 SITE LOCATION

The Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage Project is located west of Cedar Avenue, between Slover Avenue and Santa Ana Avenue, in the County of San Bernardino, as shown on Exhibit 1-A. The Project is located adjacent to existing noise sensitive residential land use with homes located to the west, north and east of the site.


## 1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Project is proposed to consist of up to 8.940 acres of truck terminal use, which includes a 2,400 square foot (sf) office. It is anticipated that the Project would be developed in a single phase with an anticipated Opening Year of 2021. Access to the Project site will be provided to Cedar Avenue via a proposed full-access signalized driveway. Regional access to the Project site will be provided by the I-10 Freeway via Cedar Avenue.

The on-site Project-related noise sources are expected to include: truck terminal activity, entry gate & truck movements, roof-top air conditioning units, trash enclosure activity, and repair shop activity. This noise analysis is intended to describe noise level impacts associated with the expected typical operational activities at the Project site. To present a conservative approach, this report assumes the Project will operate 24-hours daily for seven days per week.



**EXHIBIT 1-A: LOCATION MAP** 





PROPOSED PARKING SPACE BAY 4 PROPOSED 2,400 SF BUILDING 60' 82 PROPOSED GUARD SHACK H SIES PROPOSED 15' ROLLING ENTRY/EXIT GATE A STATE - 55, 83 APN: 0257 03: 32 33 - 35' 500

**EXHIBIT 1-B: SITE PLAN** 





This page intentionally left blank



## 2 FUNDAMENTALS

Noise is simply defined as "unwanted sound." Sound becomes unwanted when it interferes with normal activities, when it causes actual physical harm or when it has adverse effects on health. Noise is measured on a logarithmic scale of sound pressure level known as a decibel (dB). A-weighted decibels (dBA) approximate the subjective response of the human ear to broad frequency noise source by discriminating against very low and very high frequencies of the audible spectrum. They are adjusted to reflect only those frequencies which are audible to the human ear. Exhibit 2-A presents a summary of the typical noise levels and their subjective loudness and effects that are described in more detail below.

**EXHIBIT 2-A: TYPICAL NOISE LEVELS** 

COMMON OUTDOOR ACTIVITIES	COMMON INDOOR ACTIVITIES	A - WEIGHTED SOUND LEVEL dBA	SUBJECTIVE LOUDNESS	EFFECTS OF NOISE	
THRESHOLD OF PAIN		140			
NEAR JET ENGINE		130	INTOLERABLE OR		
		120	DEAFENING	HEARING LOSS	
JET FLY-OVER AT 300m (1000 ft)	ROCK BAND	110			
LOUD AUTO HORN		100			
GAS LAWN MOWER AT 1m (3 ft)		90	VERY NOISY		
DIESEL TRUCK AT 15m (50 ft), at 80 km/hr (50 mph)	FOOD BLENDER AT 1m (3 ft)	80	1		
NOISY URBAN AREA, DAYTIME	VACUUM CLEANER AT 3m (10 ft)	70	LOUD	SPEECH INTERFERENCE	
HEAVY TRAFFIC AT 90m (300 ft)	NORMAL SPEECH AT 1m (3 ft)	60			
QUIET URBAN DAYTIME	LARGE BUSINESS OFFICE	50	MODERATE	CLEED	
QUIET URBAN NIGHTTIME	THEATER, LARGE CONFERENCE ROOM (BACKGROUND)	40		SLEEP DISTURBANCE	
QUIET SUBURBAN NIGHTTIME	LIBRARY	30			
QUIET RURAL NIGHTTIME	BEDROOM AT NIGHT, CONCERT HALL (BACKGROUND)	20	FAINT		
	BROADCAST/RECORDING STUDIO	10	VERY FAINT	NO EFFECT	
LOWEST THRESHOLD OF HUMAN HEARING	LOWEST THRESHOLD OF HUMAN HEARING	0	VERT FAINT		

## **2.1** RANGE OF NOISE

Since the range of intensities that the human ear can detect is so large, the scale frequently used to measure intensity is a scale based on multiples of 10, the logarithmic scale. The scale for measuring intensity is the decibel scale. Each interval of 10 decibels indicates a sound energy ten times greater than before, which is perceived by the human ear as being roughly twice as loud. (2) The most common sounds vary between 40 dBA (very quiet) to 100 dBA (very loud). Normal conversation at three feet is roughly at 60 dBA, while loud jet engine noises equate to 110 dBA at approximately 100 feet, which can cause serious discomfort. (3) Another important aspect of noise is the duration of the sound and the way it is described and distributed in time.



## 2.2 Noise Descriptors

Environmental noise descriptors are generally based on averages, rather than instantaneous, noise levels. The most used figure is the equivalent level ( $L_{eq}$ ). Equivalent sound levels are not measured directly but are calculated from sound pressure levels typically measured in Aweighted decibels (dBA). The equivalent sound level ( $L_{eq}$ ) represents a steady state sound level containing the same total energy as a time varying signal over a given sample period (typically one hour) and is commonly used to describe the "average" noise levels within the environment.

To describe the time-varying character of environmental noise, the statistical or percentile noise descriptors  $L_{50}$ ,  $L_{25}$ ,  $L_8$  and  $L_2$ , are commonly used. The percentile noise descriptors are the noise levels equaled or exceeded during 50 percent, 25 percent, 8 percent and 2 percent of a stated time. Sound levels associated with the  $L_2$  and  $L_8$  typically describe transient or short-term events, while levels associated with the  $L_{50}$  describe the steady state (or median) noise conditions. The relies on the percentile noise levels to describe the stationary source noise level limits. While the  $L_{50}$  describes the noise levels occurring 50 percent of the time, the  $L_{eq}$  accounts for the total energy (average) observed for the entire hour.

Peak hour or average noise levels, while useful, do not completely describe a given noise environment. Noise levels lower than peak hour may be disturbing if they occur during times when quiet is most desirable, namely evening and nighttime (sleeping) hours. To account for this, the Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL), representing a composite 24-hour noise level is utilized. The CNEL is the weighted average of the intensity of a sound, with corrections for time of day, and averaged over 24 hours. The time of day corrections require the addition of 5 decibels to dBA L<sub>eq</sub> sound levels in the evening from 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m., and the addition of 10 decibels to dBA L<sub>eq</sub> sound levels at night between 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. These additions are made to account for the noise sensitive time periods during the evening and night hours when sound appears louder. CNEL does not represent the actual sound level heard at any time, but rather represents the total sound exposure. The County of San Bernardino relies on the 24-hour CNEL level to assess land use compatibility with transportation related noise sources.

#### 2.3 SOUND PROPAGATION

When sound propagates over a distance, it changes in level and frequency content. The way noise reduces with distance depends on the following factors.

#### 2.3.1 GEOMETRIC SPREADING

Sound from a localized source (i.e., a stationary point source) propagates uniformly outward in a spherical pattern. The sound level attenuates (or decreases) at a rate of 6 dB for each doubling of distance from a point source. Highways consist of several localized noise sources on a defined path and hence can be treated as a line source, which approximates the effect of several point sources. Noise from a line source propagates outward in a cylindrical pattern, often referred to as cylindrical spreading. Sound levels attenuate at a rate of 3 dB for each doubling of distance from a line source. (2)



#### 2.3.2 GROUND ABSORPTION

The propagation path of noise from a highway to a receiver is usually very close to the ground. Noise attenuation from ground absorption and reflective wave canceling adds to the attenuation associated with geometric spreading. Traditionally, the excess attenuation has also been expressed in terms of attenuation per doubling of distance. This approximation is usually sufficiently accurate for distances of less than 200 ft. For acoustically hard sites (i.e., sites with a reflective surface between the source and the receiver, such as a parking lot or body of water), no excess ground attenuation is assumed. For acoustically absorptive or soft sites (i.e., those sites with an absorptive ground surface between the source and the receiver such as soft dirt, grass, or scattered bushes and trees), an excess ground attenuation value of 1.5 dB per doubling of distance is normally assumed. When added to the cylindrical spreading, the excess ground attenuation results in an overall drop-off rate of 4.5 dB per doubling of distance from a line source. (4)

#### 2.3.3 ATMOSPHERIC EFFECTS

Receivers located downwind from a source can be exposed to increased noise levels relative to calm conditions, whereas locations upwind can have lowered noise levels. Sound levels can be increased at large distances (e.g., more than 500 feet) due to atmospheric temperature inversion (i.e., increasing temperature with elevation). Other factors such as air temperature, humidity, and turbulence can also have significant effects. (2)

#### 2.3.4 SHIELDING

A large object or barrier in the path between a noise source and a receiver can substantially attenuate noise levels at the receiver. The amount of attenuation provided by shielding depends on the size of the object and the frequency content of the noise source. Shielding by trees and other such vegetation typically only has an "out of sight, out of mind" effect. That is, the perception of noise impact tends to decrease when vegetation blocks the line-of-sight to nearest residents. However, for vegetation to provide a substantial, or even noticeable, noise reduction, the vegetation area must be at least 15 feet in height, 100 feet wide and dense enough to completely obstruct the line-of sight between the source and the receiver. This size of vegetation may provide up to 5 dBA of noise reduction. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) does not consider the planting of vegetation to be a noise abatement measure. (4)

#### 2.3.5 REFLECTION

Field studies conducted by the FHWA have shown that the reflection from barriers and buildings does not substantially increase noise levels. (4) If all the noise striking a structure was reflected back to a given receiving point, the increase would be theoretically limited to 3 dBA. Further, not all the acoustical energy is reflected back to same point. Some of the energy would go over the structure, some is reflected to points other than the given receiving point, some is scattered by ground coverings (e.g., grass and other plants), and some is blocked by intervening structures and/or obstacles (e.g., the noise source itself). Additionally, some of the reflected energy is lost due to the longer path that the noise must travel. FHWA measurements made to quantify



reflective increases in traffic noise have not shown an increase of greater than 1-2 dBA; an increase that is not perceptible to the average human ear.

#### 2.4 Noise Control

Noise control is the process of obtaining an acceptable noise environment for an observation point or receiver by controlling the noise source, transmission path, receiver, or all three. This concept is known as the source-path-receiver concept. In general, noise control measures can be applied to these three elements.

## 2.5 Noise Barrier Attenuation

Effective noise barriers can reduce noise levels by up to 10 to 15 dBA, cutting the loudness of traffic noise in half. A noise barrier is most effective when placed close to the noise source or receiver. Noise barriers, however, do have limitations. For a noise barrier to work, it must be high enough and long enough to block the path of the noise source. (4)

## 2.6 LAND USE COMPATIBILITY WITH NOISE

Some land uses are more tolerant of noise than others. For example, schools, hospitals, churches, and residences are more sensitive to noise intrusion than are commercial or industrial developments and related activities. As ambient noise levels affect the perceived amenity or livability of a development, so too can the mismanagement of noise impacts impair the economic health and growth potential of a community by reducing the area's desirability as a place to live, shop and work. For this reason, land use compatibility with the noise environment is an important consideration in the planning and design process. The FHWA encourages State and Local government to regulate land development in such a way that noise-sensitive land uses are either prohibited from being located adjacent to a highway, or that the developments are planned, designed, and constructed in such a way that noise impacts are minimized. (5)

## 2.7 COMMUNITY RESPONSE TO NOISE


Community responses to noise may range from registering a complaint by telephone or letter, to initiating court action, depending upon everyone's susceptibility to noise and personal attitudes about noise. Several factors are related to the level of community annoyance including:

- Fear associated with noise producing activities;
- Socio-economic status and educational level;
- Perception that those affected are being unfairly treated;
- Attitudes regarding the usefulness of the noise-producing activity;
- Belief that the noise source can be controlled.

Approximately ten percent of the population has a very low tolerance for noise and will object to any noise not of their making. Consequently, even in the quietest environment, some complaints will occur. Twenty-five percent of the population will not complain even in very severe noise environments. Thus, a variety of reactions can be expected from people exposed to any given noise environment. (6) Surveys have shown that about ten percent of the people exposed to



traffic noise of 60 dBA will report being highly annoyed with the noise, and each increase of one dBA is associated with approximately two percent more people being highly annoyed. When traffic noise exceeds 60 dBA or aircraft noise exceeds 55 dBA, people may begin to complain. (6) Despite this variability in behavior on an individual level, the population can be expected to exhibit the following responses to changes in noise levels as shown on Exhibit 2-B. A change of 3 dBA are considered *barely perceptible*, and changes of 5 dBA are considered *readily perceptible*. (4)



**EXHIBIT 2-B: NOISE LEVEL INCREASE PERCEPTION** 


## 2.8 VIBRATION

Per the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual* (7), vibration is the periodic oscillation of a medium or object. The rumbling sound caused by the vibration of room surfaces is called structure-borne noise. Sources of ground-borne vibrations include natural phenomena (e.g., earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, sea waves, landslides) or human-made causes (e.g., explosions, machinery, traffic, trains, construction equipment). Vibration sources may be continuous, such as factory machinery, or transient, such as explosions. As is the case with airborne sound, ground-borne vibrations may be described by amplitude and frequency.

There are several different methods that are used to quantify vibration. The peak particle velocity (PPV) is defined as the maximum instantaneous peak of the vibration signal. The PPV is most frequently used to describe vibration impacts to buildings but is not always suitable for evaluating human response (annoyance) because it takes some time for the human body to respond to vibration signals. Instead, the human body responds to average vibration amplitude often described as the root mean square (RMS). The RMS amplitude is defined as the average of the squared amplitude of the signal and is most frequently used to describe the effect of vibration on the human body. Decibel notation (VdB) is commonly used to measure RMS. Decibel notation (VdB) serves to reduce the range of numbers used to describe human response to vibration. Typically, ground-borne vibration generated by man-made activities attenuates rapidly with distance from the source of the vibration. Sensitive receivers for vibration include structures (especially older masonry structures), people (especially residents, the elderly, and sick), and vibration-sensitive equipment and/or activities



The background vibration-velocity level in residential areas is generally 50 VdB. Ground-borne vibration is normally perceptible to humans at approximately 65 VdB. For most people, a vibration-velocity level of 75 VdB is the approximate dividing line between barely perceptible and distinctly perceptible levels. Typical outdoor sources of perceptible ground-borne vibration are construction equipment, steel-wheeled trains, and traffic on rough roads. If a roadway is smooth, the ground-borne vibration is rarely perceptible. The range of interest is from approximately 50 VdB, which is the typical background vibration-velocity level, to 100 VdB, which is the general threshold where minor damage can occur in fragile buildings. Exhibit 2-C illustrates common vibration sources and the human and structural response to ground-borne vibration.



**EXHIBIT 2-C: TYPICAL LEVELS OF GROUND-BORNE VIBRATION** 

\* RMS Vibration Velocity Level in VdB relative to 10-6 inches/second

Source: Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual.



## 3 REGULATORY SETTING

To limit population exposure to physically and/or psychologically damaging as well as intrusive noise levels, the federal government, the State of California, various county governments, and most municipalities in the state have established standards and ordinances to control noise. In most areas, automobile and truck traffic is the major source of environmental noise. Traffic activity generally produces an average sound level that remains constant with time. Air and rail traffic, and commercial and industrial activities are also major sources of noise in some areas. Federal, state, and local agencies regulate different aspects of environmental noise. Federal and state agencies generally set noise standards for mobile sources such as aircraft and motor vehicles, while regulation of stationary sources is left to local agencies.

## 3.1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA NOISE REQUIREMENTS

The State of California regulates freeway noise, sets standards for sound transmission, provides occupational noise control criteria, identifies noise standards, and provides guidance for local land use compatibility. State law requires that each county and city adopt a General Plan that includes a Noise Element which is to be prepared per guidelines adopted by the Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR). (8) The purpose of the Noise Element is to *limit the exposure of the community to excessive noise levels*. In addition, the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires that all known environmental effects of a project be analyzed, including environmental noise impacts.

#### 3.2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA GREEN BUILDING STANDARDS CODE

The State of California's Green Building Standards Code (CALGreen) contains mandatory measures for non-residential building construction in Section 5.507 on Environmental Comfort. (9) These noise standards are applied to new construction in California for controlling interior noise levels resulting from exterior noise sources. The regulations specify that acoustical studies must be prepared when non-residential structures are developed in areas where the exterior noise levels exceed 65 dBA CNEL, such as within a noise contour of an airport, freeway, railroad, and other areas where noise contours are not readily available. If the development falls within an airport or freeway 65 dBA CNEL noise contour, the combined sound transmission class (STC) rating of the wall and roof-ceiling assemblies shall be constructed to provide an interior noise environment attributable to exterior sources that does not exceed an hourly equivalent noise level of 50 dBA Leq in occupied areas during any hour of operation (Section 5.507.4.2).



## 3.3 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDING GENERAL PLAN NOISE ELEMENT

The County of San Bernardino has adopted a Noise Element of the General Plan to limit the exposure of the community to excessive noise levels. (10) The most common sources of environmental noise in San Bernardino County are associated with roads, airports, railroad operations, and industrial activities. The facilities are used to transport residents, consumer products and provide basic infrastructure for the community. (10) To address these noise sources found in the County of San Bernardino, the following goals have been identified in the General Plan Noise Element:

- N 1 The County will abate and avoid excessive noise exposures through noise mitigation measures incorporated into the design of new noise-generating and new noise-sensitive land uses, while protecting areas within the County where the present noise environment is within acceptable limits.
- N 1.5 Limit truck traffic in residential and commercial areas to designated truck routes; limit construction, delivery, and through-truck traffic to designated routes; and distribute maps of approved truck routes to County traffic officers.
- N 2 The County will strive to preserve and maintain the quiet environment of mountain, desert and other rural areas.

## 3.4 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO DEVELOPMENT CODE

While the County of San Bernardino General Plan Noise Element provides guidelines and criteria to assess transportation noise on sensitive land uses, the County Code, Title 8 Development Code contains the noise level limits for mobile, stationary, and construction-related noise sources. (11)

## 3.4.1 Transportation Noise Standards

Section 83.01.080(d), Table 83-3, contains the County of San Bernardino's mobile noise source-related standards, shown on Exhibit 3-A. Based on the County's mobile noise source standards, there are no exterior or interior noise level standards for the Project land use. Exterior transportation (mobile) noise level standards for residential land uses in the Project study area are shown to be 60 dBA CNEL, while non-noise-sensitive land uses, such as commercial and office uses, require exterior noise levels of 65 dBA CNEL per the County's Table 83-3 mobile noise source standards.



EXHIBIT 3-A: COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDING MOBILE NOISE LEVEL STANDARDS

Noise Standards for Adjacent Mobile Noise Sources						
	Land Use Ldn (or CNEL) o					
Categories	Uses	Interior (1)	Exterior (2)			
Residential	Single and multi-family, duplex, mobile homes	45	60(3)			
Commercial	Hotel, motel, transient housing	45	60(3)			
	Commercial retail, bank, restaurant	50	N/A			
	Office building, research and development, professional offices	45	65			
	Amphitheater, concert hall, auditorium, movie theater	45	N/A			
Institutional/Public	Hospital, nursing home, school classroom, religious institution, library	45	65			
Open Space	Park	N/A	65			

#### Notes:

- (1) The indoor environment shall exclude bathrooms, kitchens, toilets, closets and corridors.
- (2) The outdoor environment shall be limited to:
- Hospital/office building patios
- · Hotel and motel recreation areas
- Mobile home parks
- · Multi-family private patios or balconies
- Park picnic areas
- · Private yard of single-family dwellings
- · School playgrounds
- (3) An exterior noise level of up to 65 dB(A) (or CNEL) shall be allowed provided exterior noise levels have been substantially mitigated through a reasonable application of the best available noise reduction technology, and interior noise exposure does not exceed 45 dB(A) (or CNEL) with windows and doors closed. Requiring that windows and doors remain closed to achieve an acceptable interior noise level shall necessitate the use of air conditioning or mechanical ventilation.

CNEL = (Community Noise Equivalent Level). The average equivalent A-weighted sound level during a 24-hour day, obtained after addition of approximately five decibels to sound levels in the evening from 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. and ten decibels to sound levels in the night from 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.

Source: County of San Bernardino County Code, Title 8 Development Code, Table 83-3.

#### 3.4.2 OPERATIONAL NOISE STANDARDS

To analyze noise impacts originating from a designated fixed location or private property such as the Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage Project, stationary-source (operational) noise such as the expected truck terminal activity, entry gate & truck movements, roof-top air conditioning units, trash enclosure activity, and repair shop activity are typically evaluated against standards established under a jurisdiction's Municipal Code. The County of San Bernardino County Code, Title 8 Development Code, Section 83.01.080(c) establishes the noise level standards for stationary noise sources. Since the Project's land use will potentially impact adjacent noise-sensitive uses in the Project study area, this noise study relies on the more conservative residential noise level standards to describe potential operational noise impacts.

For residential properties, the exterior noise level shall not exceed 55 dBA  $L_{eq}$  during the daytime hours (7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.) and 45 dBA  $L_{eq}$  during the nighttime hours (10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.) for both the whole hour, and for not more than 30 minutes in any hour. (11)

The exterior noise level standards shall apply for a cumulative period of 30 minutes in any hour, as well as the standard plus 5 dBA cannot be exceeded for a cumulative period of more than 15



60

65

minutes in any hour, or the standard plus 10 dBA for a cumulative period of more than 5 minutes in any hour, or the standard plus 15 dBA for a cumulative period of more than 1 minute in any hour, or the standard plus 20 dBA for any period of time. Further, Section 83.01.080(e) indicates that if the existing ambient noise level already exceeds any of the exterior noise level limit categories, then the standard shall be adjusted to reflect the ambient conditions. The County of San Bernardino operational noise level standards are shown on Table 3-1 and included in Appendix 3.1.

		Exterior Noi	se Level Stand	dards (dBA)¹	
Fime eriod	L <sub>50</sub>	L <sub>25</sub>	L <sub>8</sub>	L <sub>2</sub>	L <sub>max</sub>

50

55

Ti Pe Daytime (7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.) 70 55 60 65 75

**TABLE 3-1: OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL STANDARDS** 

45

The percentile noise descriptors are provided to ensure that the duration of the noise source is fully considered. However, due to the relatively constant intensity of the Project operational activities, the L<sub>50</sub> or average L<sub>eq</sub> noise level metrics best describe the truck terminal activity, entry gate & truck movements, roof-top air conditioning units, trash enclosure activity, and repair shop activity. In addition, the Leg noise level metric accounts for noise fluctuations over time by averaging the louder and quieter events and giving more weight to the louder events. In addition, due to the mathematical relationship between the median ( $L_{50}$ ) and the mean ( $L_{eq}$ ), the  $L_{eq}$  will always be larger than or equal to the L<sub>50</sub>. The more variable the noise becomes, the larger the L<sub>eq</sub> becomes in comparison to the L50. Therefore, this noise study conservatively relies on the average L<sub>eq</sub> sound level limits to describe the Project operational noise levels.

#### 3.5 **CONSTRUCTION NOISE STANDARDS**

Nighttime (10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.)

Section 83.01.080(g)(3) of the County of San Bernardino Development Code, provided in Appendix 3.1, indicates that construction activity is considered exempt from the noise level standards between the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. except on Sundays and Federal holidays. (11) However, neither the County of San Bernardino General Plan or Municipal Code establish numeric maximum acceptable construction source noise levels at potentially affected receivers, which would allow for a quantified determination of what CEQA constitutes a substantial temporary or periodic noise increase. Therefore, a numerical construction threshold based on Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual is used for analysis of daytime construction impacts, as discussed below.

According to the FTA, local noise ordinances are typically not very useful in evaluating construction noise. They usually relate to nuisance and hours of allowed activity, and sometimes specify limits in terms of maximum levels, but are generally not practical for assessing the impact of a construction project. Project construction noise criteria should account for the existing noise



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> County of San Bernardino Development Code, Title 8, Section 83.01.080 (Appendix 3.1). The percent noise level is the level exceeded "n" percent of the time during the measurement period. L<sub>50</sub> is the noise level exceeded 50% of the time.

environment, the absolute noise levels during construction activities, the duration of the construction, and the adjacent land use. Due to the lack of standardized construction noise thresholds, the FTA provides guidelines that can be considered reasonable criteria for construction noise assessment. The FTA considers a daytime exterior construction noise level of 80 dBA L<sub>eq</sub> as a reasonable threshold for noise sensitive residential land use. (7 p. 179)

## 3.6 CONSTRUCTION VIBRATION STANDARDS

The County of San Bernardino Development Code, Section 83.01.090(a) states that vibration shall be no *greater than or equal to two-tenths inches per second measured at or beyond the lot line*. (11) Therefore, to determine if the vibration levels due to the operation and construction of the Project, the peak particle velocity (PPV) vibration level standard of 0.2 inches per second is used.



This page intentionally left blank



## 4 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA

The following significance criteria are based on currently adopted guidance provided by Appendix G of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines. (1) For the purposes of this report, impacts would be potentially significant if the Project results in or causes:

- A. Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?
- B. Generation of excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels?
- C. For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

While the County of San Bernardino General Plan Guidelines provide direction on noise compatibility and establish noise standards by land use type that are sufficient to assess the significance of noise impacts, they do not define the levels at which increases are considered substantial temporary or permanent for use under Guideline A. CEQA Appendix G Guideline C applies to the nearest public and private airports, if any, and the Project's land use compatibility.

## 4.1 CEQA GUIDELINES NOT FURTHER ANALYZED

The Project site is not located within two miles of a public airport or within an airport land use plan. The closest airport is the San Bernardino International Airport (SBD) located roughly 8 miles northeast of the Project site. As such, the Project site would not be exposed to excessive noise levels from airport operations, and therefore, impacts are considered *less than significant*, and no further noise analysis is conducted in relation to CEQA Appendix G Guideline C.

#### 4.2 Noise-Sensitive Receivers

Noise level increases resulting from the Project are evaluated based on the Appendix G CEQA Guidelines described above at the nearest sensitive receiver locations. Under CEQA, consideration must be given to the magnitude of the increase, the existing ambient noise levels, and the location of noise-sensitive receivers to determine if a noise level increase represents a significant adverse environmental impact. In effect, there is no single noise increase that renders the noise impact significant. (12) Unfortunately, there is no completely satisfactory way to measure the subjective effects of noise or of the corresponding human reactions of annoyance and dissatisfaction. This is primarily because of the wide variation in individual thresholds of annoyance and differing individual experiences with noise. Thus, an important way of determining a person's subjective reaction to a new noise is the comparison of it to the existing environment to which one has adapted—the so-called ambient environment. In general, the more a new noise exceeds the previously existing ambient noise level, the less acceptable the new noise will typically be judged.



Since neither the County of San Bernardino General Plan Noise Element or Municipal Code identify any noise level increase thresholds, the substantial noise level increase criteria are derived from the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual.* To describe the amount to which a given noise level increase is considered acceptable, the FTA criteria is used to evaluate the incremental noise level increase and establishes a method for comparing future project noise with existing ambient conditions under CEQA Significance Threshold A. In effect, the amount to which a given noise level increase is considered acceptable is reduced based on the existing ambient noise conditions.

## 4.3 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA SUMMARY

Noise impacts shall be considered significant if any of the following occur as a direct result of the proposed Project. Table 4-1 shows the significance criteria summary matrix.

**TABLE 4-1: SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA SUMMARY** 

Amalusia	l am d l lan	Condition(a)	Significance Criteria		
Analysis	Land Use	Condition(s)	Daytime	Nighttime	
		If ambient is < 55 dBA CNEL	≥ 5 dBA CNEL P	roject increase	
Off-Site	Noise-	If ambient is 55 - 60 dBA CNEL	≥ 3 dBA CNEL P	roject increase	
OII-Site	Sensitive <sup>1</sup>	If ambient is 60 - 65 dBA CNEL	≥ 2 dBA CNEL P	roject increase	
		If ambient is > 65 dBA CNEL	≥ 1 dBA CNEL Project increase		
	Residential	Exterior Noise Level Limit <sup>2</sup>	55 dBA L <sub>eq</sub>	45 dBA L <sub>eq</sub>	
	Noise- Sensitive <sup>1</sup>	If ambient is < 55 dBA L <sub>eq</sub>	≥ 5 dBA L <sub>eq</sub> Project increase		
Operational		If ambient is 55 - 60 dBA L <sub>eq</sub>	≥ 3 dBA L <sub>eq</sub> Project increase		
		If ambient is 60 - 65 dBA L <sub>eq</sub>	≥ 2 dBA L <sub>eq</sub> Project increase		
		If ambient is < 65 dBA L <sub>eq</sub>	≥ 1 dBA L <sub>eq</sub> Project increase		
	Noise-	Permitted between 7:00 a. and Fede	m. to 7:00 p.m.; exc eral holidays.³	ept Sundays	
Construction	Sensitive	Noise Level Threshold <sup>1</sup>	80 dBA L <sub>eq</sub>	n/a	
		Vibration Level Threshold <sup>4</sup>	0.2 PPV in/sec	n/a	

 $<sup>^{</sup>m 1}$  Federal Transit Administration, Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> County of San Bernardino Development Code, Title 8, Section 83.01.080 (Appendix 3.1)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 83.01.080(g)(3) of the County of San Bernardino County Code.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Section 83.01.090(a) of the County of San Bernardino County Code.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Daytime" = 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.; "Nighttime" = 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. "n/a" = construction activities are not planned during the nighttime hours; "PPV" = peak particle velocity.

## 5 EXISTING NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

To assess the existing noise level environment, 24-hour noise level measurements were taken at four locations in the Project study area. The receiver locations were selected to describe and document the existing noise environment within the Project study area. Exhibit 5-A provides the boundaries of the Project study area and the noise level measurement locations. To fully describe the existing noise conditions, noise level measurements were collected by Urban Crossroads, Inc. on Wednesday, March 4<sup>th</sup>, 2020. Appendix 5.1 includes study area photos.

## 5.1 Measurement Procedure and Criteria

To describe the existing noise environment, the hourly noise levels were measured during typical weekday conditions over a 24-hour period. By collecting individual hourly noise level measurements, it is possible to describe the daytime and nighttime hourly noise levels and calculate the 24-hour CNEL. The long-term noise readings were recorded using Piccolo Type 2 integrating sound level meter and dataloggers. The Piccolo sound level meters were calibrated using a Larson-Davis calibrator, Model CAL 150. All noise meters were programmed in "slow" mode to record noise levels in "A" weighted form. The sound level meters and microphones were equipped with a windscreen during all measurements. All noise level measurement equipment satisfies the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) standard specifications for sound level meters ANSI S1.4-2014/IEC 61672-1:2013. (13)

## **5.2** Noise Measurement Locations

The long-term noise level measurements were positioned as close to the nearest sensitive receiver locations as possible to assess the existing ambient hourly noise levels surrounding the Project site. Both Caltrans and the FTA recognize that it is not reasonable to collect noise level measurements that can fully represent every part of a private yard, patio, deck, or balcony normally used for human activity when estimating impacts for new development projects. This is demonstrated in the Caltrans general site location guidelines which indicate that, sites must be free of noise contamination by sources other than sources of interest. Avoid sites located near sources such as barking dogs, lawnmowers, pool pumps, and air conditioners unless it is the express intent of the analyst to measure these sources. (2) Further, FTA guidance states, that it is not necessary nor recommended that existing noise exposure be determined by measuring at every noise-sensitive location in the project area. Rather, the recommended approach is to characterize the noise environment for clusters of sites based on measurements or estimates at representative locations in the community. (7)

Based on recommendations of Caltrans and the FTA, it is not necessary to collect measurements at each individual building or residence, because each receiver measurement represents a group of buildings that share acoustical equivalence. (7) In other words, the area represented by the receiver shares similar shielding, terrain, and geometric relationship to the reference noise source. Receivers represent a location of noise sensitive areas and are used to estimate the future noise level impacts. Collecting reference ambient noise level measurements at the nearest sensitive receiver locations allows for a comparison of the before and after Project noise levels



and is necessary to assess potential noise impacts due to the Project's contribution to the ambient noise levels.

#### 5.3 Noise Measurement Results

The noise measurements presented below focus on the average or equivalent sound levels ( $L_{eq}$ ). The equivalent sound level ( $L_{eq}$ ) represents a steady state sound level containing the same total energy as a time varying signal over a given sample period. Table 5-1 identifies the hourly daytime (7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.) and nighttime (10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.) noise levels at each noise level measurement location. Appendix 5.2 provides a summary of the existing hourly ambient noise levels described below:

Table 5-1 provides the (energy average) noise levels used to describe the daytime and nighttime ambient conditions. These daytime and nighttime energy average noise levels represent the average of all hourly noise levels observed during these time periods expressed as a single number. Appendix 5.2 provides summary worksheets of the noise levels for each hour as well as the minimum, maximum, L<sub>1</sub>, L<sub>2</sub>, L<sub>5</sub>, L<sub>8</sub>, L<sub>25</sub>, L<sub>50</sub>, L<sub>90</sub>, L<sub>95</sub>, and L<sub>99</sub> percentile noise levels observed during the daytime and nighttime periods.

The background ambient noise levels in the Project study area are dominated by the transportation-related noise associated from surface streets. This includes the auto and heavy truck activities on study area roadway segments near the noise level measurement locations. The 24-hour existing noise level measurement results are shown on Table 5-1.

**TABLE 5-1: 24-HOUR AMBIENT NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS** 

Location <sup>1</sup>	Description	Energy A Noise (dBA	CNEL	
		Daytime	Nighttime	
L1	Located north of the Project site on Valencia Street near the existing single-family residential home at 10644 Valencia Street.	57.2	54.5	61.7
L2	Located east of the Project site across Cedar Avenue near the Cedar Village Mobile Home Park at 10701 Cedar Avenue.	71.9	70.0	77.1
L3	Located south of the Project site near the Cedar House Life Change Center.	53.8	52.9	59.9
L4	Located west of the Project site near the existing single-family residential home at 10709 Linden Avenue.	56.6	56.5	63.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Exhibit 5-A for the noise level measurement locations.




<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Energy (logarithmic) average levels. The long-term 24-hour measurement worksheets are included in Appendix 5.2.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Daytime" = 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.; "Nighttime" = 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.

CEDAR AVE SITE SANTA ANA AVE **LEGEND:** 

**EXHIBIT 5-A: NOISE MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS** 





Site Boundary

Measurement Locations

This page intentionally left blank



## 6 TRAFFIC NOISE PREDICTION METHODS AND PROCEDURES

The following section outlines the methods and procedures used to model and analyze the future off-site traffic noise environment.

## 6.1 FHWA TRAFFIC NOISE PREDICTION MODEL

The expected roadway noise level increases from vehicular traffic were calculated by Urban Crossroads, Inc. using a computer program that replicates the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Traffic Noise Prediction Model- FHWA-RD-77-108. (14) The FHWA Model arrives at a predicted noise level through a series of adjustments to the Reference Energy Mean Emission Level (REMEL). In California the national REMELs are substituted with the California Vehicle Noise (Calveno) Emission Levels. (15) Adjustments are then made to the REMEL to account for: the roadway classification (e.g., collector, secondary, major or arterial), the roadway active width (i.e., the distance between the center of the outermost travel lanes on each side of the roadway), the total average daily traffic (ADT), the travel speed, the percentages of automobiles, medium trucks, and heavy trucks in the traffic volume, the roadway grade, the angle of view (e.g., whether the roadway view is blocked), the site conditions ("hard" or "soft" relates to the absorption of the ground, pavement, or landscaping), and the percentage of total ADT which flows each hour throughout a 24-hour period. Research conducted by Caltrans has shown that the use of soft site conditions is appropriate for the application of the FHWA traffic noise prediction model used in this analysis. (16)

#### 6.2 OFF-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE PREDICTION MODEL INPUTS

Table 6-1 presents the roadway parameters used to assess the Project's off-site dBA CNEL transportation noise impacts. Table 6-1 identifies the four study area roadway segments, the distance from the centerline to adjacent land use based on the functional roadway classifications per the County of San Bernardino General Plan Circulation Element, and the posted vehicle speeds. The ADT volumes used in this study area presented on Table 6-2 are based on *Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage Traffic Analysis*, prepared by Urban Crossroads, Inc. for the following traffic scenarios under both Without and With Project conditions: Existing 2020, Opening Year Cumulative 2021 (OYC), and Horizon Year 2040 (HY). (17)

The ADT volumes vary for each roadway segment based on the existing traffic volumes and the combination of project traffic distributions. This analysis relies on a comparative evaluation of the off-site traffic noise impacts, without and with project ADT traffic volumes from the Project traffic study.



**TABLE 6-1: OFF-SITE ROADWAY PARAMETERS** 

ID	Roadway	Segment	Receiving Centerline to Land Use <sup>1</sup> Receiving Land Use (Feet) <sup>2</sup>		Vehicle Speed (mph) <sup>3</sup>
1	Cedar Av.	n/o I-19 WB Ramps	Sensitive	52'	40
2	Cedar Av.	s/o Slover Av.	Sensitive	52'	45
3	Cedar Av.	s/o Dwy. 1	Sensitive	52'	45
4	Slover Av.	w/o Cedar Av.	Sensitive	52'	50

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses limited to existing residential land uses.

To quantify the off-site noise levels, the Project related truck trips were added to the heavy truck category in the FHWA noise prediction model. The addition of the Project related truck trips increases the percentage of heavy trucks in the vehicle mix. This approach recognizes that the FHWA noise prediction model is significantly influenced by the number of heavy trucks in the vehicle mix.

Table 6-3 provides the time of day (daytime, evening, and nighttime) vehicle splits. The daily Project truck trip-ends were assigned to the individual off-site study area roadway segments based on the Project truck trip distribution percentages documented in the *Traffic Analysis*. Using the Project truck trips in combination with the Project trip distribution, Urban Crossroads, Inc. calculated the number of additional Project truck trips and vehicle mix percentages for each of the study area roadway segments. Table 6-4 shows the traffic flow by vehicle type (vehicle mix) used for all without Project traffic scenarios, and Tables 6-5 to 6-8 show the vehicle mixes used for the with Project traffic scenarios.

**TABLE 6-2: AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUMES** 

			Average Daily Traffic Volumes <sup>1</sup>						
ID	Roadway	Segment	Existing (2020)		Opening Year Cumulative 2021		Horizon Year 2040		
			Without Project	With Project	Without Project	With Project	Without Project	With Project	
1	Cedar Av.	n/o I-19 WB Ramps	52,758	52,773	59,190	59,204	65,963	65,978	
2	Cedar Av.	s/o Slover Av.	25,752	26,432	41,734	42,414	45,156	45,836	
3	Cedar Av.	s/o Dwy. 1	25,081	25,117	41,054	41,090	45,159	45,195	
4	Slover Av.	w/o Cedar Av.	15,304	15,311	21,713	21,720	23,795	23,802	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage (PROJ-2020-00035) Traffic Analysis, Urban Crossroads, Inc.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Distance to receiving land use is based upon the right-of-way distances.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage (PROJ-2020-00035) Traffic Analysis, Urban Crossroads, Inc.

**TABLE 6-3: TIME OF DAY VEHICLE SPLITS** 

Vahiala Tuna		Total of Time of		
Vehicle Type	Daytime	Evening	Nighttime	Day Splits
Autos	77.50%	12.90%	9.60%	100.00%
Medium Trucks	84.80%	4.90%	10.30%	100.00%
Heavy Trucks	86.50%	2.70%	10.80%	100.00%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Typical Southern California vehicle mix.

**TABLE 6-4: WITHOUT PROJECT VEHICLE MIX** 

	Classification		Total % Traffic Flow		
Classification	Autos	Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks	Total	
	All Segments	90.95%	3.51%	5.54%	100.00%

Based on an existing vehicle count taken at Cedar Avenue and Orange Street (Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage (PROJ-2020-00035) Traffic Analysis, Urban Crossroads, Inc.). Vehicle mix percentage values rounded to the nearest one-hundredth.

Due to the added Project truck trips, the increase in Project traffic volumes and the distributions of trucks on the study area road segments, the percentage of autos, medium trucks and heavy trucks will vary for each of the traffic scenarios. This explains why the existing and future traffic volumes and vehicle mixes vary between seemingly identical study area roadway segments.

TABLE 6-5: EXISTING (2020) WITH PROJECT VEHICLE MIX

ID			With Project <sup>1</sup>					
	Roadway	Segment	Autos	Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks	Total <sup>2</sup>		
1	Cedar Av.	n/o I-19 WB Ramps	90.95%	3.51%	5.54%	100.00%		
2	Cedar Av.	s/o Slover Av.	89.02%	3.42%	7.56%	100.00%		
3	Cedar Av.	s/o Dwy. 1	90.96%	3.51%	5.53%	100.00%		
4	Slover Av.	w/o Cedar Av.	90.95%	3.51%	5.54%	100.00%		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage (PROJ-2020-00035) Traffic Analysis, Urban Crossroads, Inc.

TABLE 6-6: OYC (2021) WITH PROJECT VEHICLE MIX

			With Project <sup>1</sup>					
ID	Roadway	Segment	Autos	Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks	Total <sup>2</sup>		
1	Cedar Av.	n/o I-19 WB Ramps	90.95%	3.51%	5.54%	100.00%		
2	Cedar Av.	s/o Slover Av.	89.74%	3.46%	6.80%	100.00%		
3	Cedar Av.	s/o Dwy. 1	90.96%	3.51%	5.53%	100.00%		
4	Slover Av.	w/o Cedar Av.	90.95%	3.51%	5.54%	100.00%		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage (PROJ-2020-00035) Traffic Analysis, Urban Crossroads, Inc.



<sup>&</sup>quot;Daytime" = 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.; "Evening" = 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.; "Nighttime" = 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Total of vehicle mix percentage values rounded to the nearest one-hundredth.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Total of vehicle mix percentage values rounded to the nearest one-hundredth.

TABLE 6-7: HY (2040) WITH PROJECT VEHICLE MIX

ID			With Project <sup>1</sup>					
	Roadway	Segment	Autos	Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks	Total <sup>2</sup>		
1	Cedar Av.	n/o I-19 WB Ramps	90.95%	3.51%	5.54%	100.00%		
2	Cedar Av.	s/o Slover Av.	89.83%	3.46%	6.70%	100.00%		
3	Cedar Av.	s/o Dwy. 1	90.96%	3.51%	5.53%	100.00%		
4	Slover Av.	w/o Cedar Av.	90.95%	3.51%	5.54%	100.00%		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage (PROJ-2020-00035) Traffic Analysis, Urban Crossroads, Inc. <sup>2</sup> Total of vehicle mix percentage values rounded to the nearest one-hundredth.



## 7 OFF-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE IMPACTS

To assess the off-site transportation CNEL noise level impacts associated with the proposed Project, noise contours were developed based on *Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage Traffic Analysis*. (17) Noise contour boundaries represent the equal levels of noise exposure and are measured in CNEL from the center of the roadway.

#### 7.1 TRAFFIC NOISE CONTOURS

Noise contours were used to assess the Project's incremental 24-hour dBA CNEL traffic-related noise impacts at land uses adjacent to roadways conveying Project traffic. The noise contours represent the distance to noise levels of a constant value and are measured from the center of the roadway for the 70, 65, and 60 dBA CNEL noise levels. The noise contours do not consider the effect of any existing noise barriers or topography that may attenuate ambient noise levels. In addition, because the noise contours reflect modeling of vehicular noise on area roadways, they appropriately do not reflect noise contributions from the surrounding stationary noise sources within the Project study area.

Tables 7-1 through 7-8 present a summary of the exterior dBA CNEL traffic noise levels without barrier attenuation. Roadway segments are analyzed from the without Project to the with Project conditions in each of the following timeframes: Existing 2020, Opening Year Cumulative (2021), and Horizon Year (2040). Appendix 7.1 includes a summary of the dBA CNEL traffic noise level contours for each of the traffic scenarios.

**TABLE 7-1: EXISTING WITHOUT PROJECT NOISE CONTOURS** 

		Receiving	CNEL at	Distance to Contour from Centerline (Feet)			
ID	Road	Segment	Land Use <sup>1</sup> Land	Land Use (dBA) <sup>2</sup>	70 dBA CNEL	65 dBA CNEL	60 dBA CNEL
1	Cedar Av.	n/o I-19 WB Ramps	Sensitive	76.8	147	317	684
2	Cedar Av.	s/o Slover Av.	Sensitive	74.7	106	229	493
3	Cedar Av.	s/o Dwy. 1	Sensitive	74.5	104	225	484
4	Slover Av.	w/o Cedar Av.	Sensitive	73.3	86	186	400

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses limited to existing residential land uses.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of the receiving adjacent land use.

<sup>&</sup>quot;RW" = Location of the respective noise contour falls within the right-of-way of the road.

**TABLE 7-2: EXISTING 2020 WITH PROJECT NOISE CONTOURS** 

		Receiving	CNEL at	Distance to Contour from Centerline (Feet)			
ID	Road	Segment	•	Land Use (dBA) <sup>2</sup>	70 dBA CNEL	65 dBA CNEL	60 dBA CNEL
1	Cedar Av.	n/o I-19 WB Ramps	Sensitive	76.8	147	317	684
2	Cedar Av.	s/o Slover Av.	Sensitive	75.6	123	264	570
3	Cedar Av.	s/o Dwy. 1	Sensitive	74.5	104	225	484
4	Slover Av.	w/o Cedar Av.	Sensitive	73.3	86	186	400

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses limited to existing residential land uses.

TABLE 7-3: OYC (2021) WITHOUT PROJECT NOISE CONTOURS

		Receiving	CNEL at Receiving	Distance to Contour from Centerline (Feet)			
ID	Road	Segment	Land Use <sup>1</sup>	Land Use (dBA) <sup>2</sup>	70 dBA CNEL	65 dBA CNEL	60 dBA CNEL
1	Cedar Av.	n/o I-19 WB Ramps	Sensitive	77.3	159	343	738
2	Cedar Av.	s/o Slover Av.	Sensitive	76.7	147	316	680
3	Cedar Av.	s/o Dwy. 1	Sensitive	76.7	145	312	673
4	Slover Av.	w/o Cedar Av.	Sensitive	74.8	109	234	505

 $<sup>^{</sup>m 1}$  Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses limited to existing residential land uses.

TABLE 7-4: OYC (2021) WITH PROJECT NOISE CONTOURS

ID	Road Segment	Receiving	CNEL at Receiving	Distance to Contour from Centerline (Feet)			
		Segment	Land Use <sup>1</sup>	Land Use (dBA) <sup>2</sup>	70 dBA	65 dBA	60 dBA
					CNEL	CNEL	CNEL
1	Cedar Av.	n/o I-19 WB Ramps	Sensitive	77.3	159	343	738
2	Cedar Av.	s/o Slover Av.	Sensitive	77.4	161	346	746
3	Cedar Av.	s/o Dwy. 1	Sensitive	76.7	145	312	673
4	Slover Av.	w/o Cedar Av.	Sensitive	74.8	109	234	505

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses limited to existing residential land uses.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of the receiving adjacent land use.

<sup>&</sup>quot;RW" = Location of the respective noise contour falls within the right-of-way of the road.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of the receiving adjacent land use.

<sup>&</sup>quot;RW" = Location of the respective noise contour falls within the right-of-way of the road.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of the receiving adjacent land use.

<sup>&</sup>quot;RW" = Location of the respective noise contour falls within the right-of-way of the road.

TABLE 7-5: HY (2040) WITHOUT PROJECT NOISE CONTOURS

			Receiving	CNEL at	Distance to Contour from Centerline (Feet)		
ID	Road	Segment	Land Use <sup>1</sup>	Land Use (dBA) <sup>2</sup>	70 dBA CNEL	65 dBA CNEL	60 dBA CNEL
1	Cedar Av.	n/o I-19 WB Ramps	Sensitive	77.8	171	368	793
2	Cedar Av.	s/o Slover Av.	Sensitive	77.1	154	333	717
3	Cedar Av.	s/o Dwy. 1	Sensitive	77.1	154	333	717
4	Slover Av.	w/o Cedar Av.	Sensitive	75.2	116	249	537

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses limited to existing residential land uses.

TABLE 7-6: HY (2040) WITH PROJECT NOISE CONTOURS

			Receiving	CNEL at	Distance to Contour from Centerline (Feet)		
ID	Road	Segment	Land Use <sup>1</sup>	Land Use (dBA) <sup>2</sup>	70 dBA CNEL	65 dBA CNEL	60 dBA CNEL
1	Cedar Av.	n/o I-19 WB Ramps	Sensitive	77.8	171	368	793
2	Cedar Av.	s/o Slover Av.	Sensitive	77.7	168	363	781
3	Cedar Av.	s/o Dwy. 1	Sensitive	77.1	154	333	717
4	Slover Av.	w/o Cedar Av.	Sensitive	75.2	116	249	537

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses limited to existing residential land uses.

## 7.2 Existing With Project Traffic Noise Level Increases

An analysis of existing traffic noise levels plus traffic noise generated by the proposed Project has been included in this report to fully analyze all the existing traffic scenarios identified in *Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage Traffic Analysis*. This condition is provided solely for informational purposes and will not occur, since the Project will not be fully developed and occupied under Existing conditions. Table 7-1 shows the Existing without Project conditions CNEL noise levels. The Existing without Project exterior noise levels are expected to range from 73.3 to 76.8 dBA CNEL, without accounting for any noise attenuation features such as noise barriers or topography. Table 7-2 shows the Existing with Project conditions will range from 73.3 to 76.8 dBA CNEL. Table 7-9 shows that the Project off-site traffic noise level impacts will range from 0.0 to 0.9 dBA CNEL. Based on the significance criteria for off-site traffic noise presented in Table 4-1, land uses adjacent to the study area roadway segments would experience *less than significant* noise level impacts due to unmitigated Project-related traffic noise levels.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of the receiving adjacent land use.

<sup>&</sup>quot;RW" = Location of the respective noise contour falls within the right-of-way of the road.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of the receiving adjacent land use.

<sup>&</sup>quot;RW" = Location of the respective noise contour falls within the right-of-way of the road.

# 7.3 OYC (2021) WITH PROJECT TRAFFIC NOISE LEVEL INCREASES

Table 7-3 presents the Opening Year Cumulative (2021) without Project conditions CNEL noise levels. The Opening Year Cumulative (2021) without Project exterior noise levels are expected to range from 74.8 to 77.3 dBA CNEL, without accounting for any noise attenuation features such as noise barriers or topography. Table 7-4 shows the Opening Year Cumulative (2021) with Project conditions will range from 74.8 to 77.4 dBA CNEL. Table 7-10 shows that the Project off-site traffic noise level increases will range from 0.0 to 0.7 dBA CNEL. Based on the significance criteria for off-site traffic noise presented in Table 4-1, land uses adjacent to the study area roadway segments would experience *less than significant* noise level impacts due to the proposed Project truck trip distribution under Opening Year Cumulative (2021) with Project conditions.

# 7.4 HORIZON YEAR (2040) PROJECT TRAFFIC NOISE LEVEL INCREASES

Table 7-5 presents the Horizon Year (2040) without Project conditions CNEL noise levels. The Horizon Year (2040) without Project exterior noise levels are expected to range from 75.2 to 77.8 dBA CNEL, without accounting for any noise attenuation features such as noise barriers or topography. Table 7-6 shows that the Horizon Year (2040) with Project conditions will range from 75.2 to 77.8 dBA CNEL. Table 7-11 shows that the Project off-site traffic noise level increases will range from 0.0 to 0.6 dBA CNEL. Based on the significance criteria for off-site traffic noise presented in Table 4-1, land uses adjacent to the study area roadway segments would experience less than significant noise level impacts due to the proposed Project truck trip distribution under Horizon Year (2040) with Project conditions.

TABLE 7-9: EXISTING WITH PROJECT TRAFFIC NOISE LEVEL INCREASES

ID	Road	Segment	Receiving Receiving		EL at Received of the second s	_	Incremental Noise Level Increase Threshold <sup>3</sup>		
			Land Use <sup>1</sup>	No Project	With Project	Project Addition	Limit	Exceeded?	
1	Cedar Av.	n/o I-19 WB Ramps	Sensitive	76.8	76.8	0.0	1	No	
2	Cedar Av.	s/o Slover Av.	Sensitive	74.7	75.6	0.9	1	No	
3	Cedar Av.	s/o Dwy. 1	Sensitive	74.5	74.5	0.0	1	No	
4	Slover Av.	w/o Cedar Av.	Sensitive	73.3	73.3	0.0	1	No	

 $<sup>^{</sup>m 1}$ Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses limited to existing residential land uses.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of each roadway and the property line of the receiving land use.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Does the Project create an incremental noise level increase exceeding the significance criteria (Table 4-1)?

TABLE 7-10: OYC (2021) WITH PROJECT TRAFFIC NOISE INCREASES

ID	ID Road	Segment	Receiving		EL at Receind Use (dB		Incremental Noise Level Increase Threshold <sup>3</sup>		
			Land Use <sup>1</sup>	No Project	With Project	Project Addition	Limit	Exceeded?	
1	Cedar Av.	n/o I-19 WB Ramps	Sensitive	77.3	77.3	0.0	1	No	
2	Cedar Av.	s/o Slover Av.	Sensitive	76.7	77.4	0.7	1	No	
3	Cedar Av.	s/o Dwy. 1	Sensitive	76.7	76.7	0.0	1	No	
4	Slover Av.	w/o Cedar Av.	Sensitive	74.8	74.8	0.0	1	No	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses limited to existing residential land uses.

TABLE 7-11: HY (2040) WITH PROJECT TRAFFIC NOISE INCREASES

ID	ID Road	Segment	Receiving Land Use <sup>1</sup>		EL at Received the second seco	•	Incremental Noise Level Increase Threshold <sup>3</sup>		
				No Project	With Project	Project Addition	Limit	Exceeded?	
1	Cedar Av.	n/o I-19 WB Ramps	Sensitive	77.8	77.8	0.0	1	No	
2	Cedar Av.	s/o Slover Av.	Sensitive	77.1	77.7	0.6	1	No	
3	Cedar Av.	s/o Dwy. 1	Sensitive	77.1	77.1	0.0	1	No	
4	Slover Av.	w/o Cedar Av.	Sensitive	75.2	75.2	0.0	1	No	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses limited to existing residential land uses.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of each roadway and the property line of the receiving land use.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Does the Project create an incremental noise level increase exceeding the significance criteria (Table 4-1)?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of each roadway and the property line of the receiving land use.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Does the Project create an incremental noise level increase exceeding the significance criteria (Table 4-1)?

This page intentionally left blank



# 8 SENSITIVE RECEIVER LOCATIONS

To assess the potential for long-term operational and short-term construction noise impacts, the following sensitive receiver locations, as shown on Exhibit 8-A, were identified as representative locations for analysis. Sensitive receivers are generally defined as locations where people reside or where the presence of unwanted sound could otherwise adversely affect the use of the land. Noise-sensitive land uses are generally considered to include schools, hospitals, single-family dwellings, mobile home parks, churches, libraries, and recreation areas. Moderately noise-sensitive land uses typically include multi-family dwellings, hotels, motels, dormitories, out-patient clinics, cemeteries, golf courses, country clubs, athletic/tennis clubs, and equestrian clubs. Land uses that are considered relatively insensitive to noise include business, commercial, and professional developments. Land uses that are typically not affected by noise include: industrial, manufacturing, utilities, agriculture, undeveloped land, parking lots, warehousing, liquid and solid waste facilities, salvage yards, and transit terminals.

To describe the potential off-site Project noise levels, three receiver locations in the vicinity of the Project site were identified. All distances are measured from the Project site boundary to the outdoor living areas (e.g., private backyards) or at the building façade, whichever is closer to the Project site. The selection of receiver locations is based on FHWA guidelines and is consistent with additional guidance provided by Caltrans and the FTA, as previously described in Section 5.2. Other sensitive land uses in the Project study area that are located at greater distances than those identified in this noise study will experience lower noise levels than those presented in this report due to the additional attenuation from distance and the shielding of intervening structures. Distance is measured in a straight line from the Project site boundary to each receiver location.

- R1: Location R1 represents the existing noise sensitive residence at 10693 Valencia Street located approximately 19 feet north of the Project site. Since there are no private outdoor living areas (backyards) facing the Project site, receiver R1 is placed at the residential building façade. A 24-hour noise measurement was taken near this location, L1, to describe the existing ambient noise environment.
- R2: Location R2 represents the existing noise sensitive residence at 10701 Cedar Avenue located approximately 122 feet east of the Project site across Cedar Avenue. Since there are no private outdoor living areas (backyards) facing the Project site, receiver R2 is placed at the residential building façade. A 24-hour noise measurement was taken near this location, L2, to describe the existing ambient noise environment.
- R3: Location R3 represents the existing Cedar House Life Change Center at 18612 Santa Ana Avenue located approximately 149 feet south of the Project site. Since there are no private outdoor living areas (backyards) facing the Project site, receiver R3 is placed at the residential building façade. A 24-hour noise measurement was taken near this location, L3, to describe the existing ambient noise environment.
- R4: Location R4 represents the existing noise sensitive residence at 10731 Linden Avenue located approximately 395 feet west of the Project site. Since there are no private outdoor living areas (backyards) facing the Project site, receiver R4 is placed at the



residential building façade. A 24-hour noise measurement near this location, L4, is used to describe the existing ambient noise environment.



**EXHIBIT 8-A: SENSITIVE RECEIVER LOCATIONS** 



13097-02 Noise Study

Distance from receiver to Project site boundary (in feet)

# 9 OPERATIONAL NOISE IMPACTS

This section analyzes the potential stationary-source operational noise impacts at the nearest receiver locations, identified in Section 8, resulting from the operation of the Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage Project. Exhibit 9-A identifies the representative noise source activities used to assess the operational noise levels.

## 9.1 OPERATIONAL NOISE SOURCES

This operational noise analysis is intended to describe noise level impacts associated with the typical daytime and nighttime activities at the Project site. To present the potential worst-case noise conditions, this analysis assumes the Project would be operational 24 hours per day, seven days per week. The on-site Project-related noise sources are expected to include: truck terminal activity, entry gate & truck movements, roof-top air conditioning units, trash enclosure activity, and repair shop activity.

## 9.2 REFERENCE NOISE LEVELS

To estimate the Project operational noise impacts, reference noise level measurements were collected from similar types of activities to represent the noise levels expected with the development of the proposed Project. This section provides a detailed description of the reference noise level measurements shown on Table 9-1 used to estimate the Project operational noise impacts. It is important to note that the following projected noise levels assume the worst-case noise environment with the truck terminal activity, entry gate & truck movements, roof-top air conditioning units, trash enclosure activity, and repair shop activity all operating continuously. These sources of noise activity will likely vary throughout the day.

#### **9.2.1** MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES

The reference noise level measurements presented in this section were collected using a Larson Davis LxT Type 1 precisions sound level meter (serial number 01146). The LxT sound level meter was calibrated using a Larson-Davis calibrator, Model CAL 200, was programmed in "slow" mode to record noise levels in "A" weighted form and was located at approximately five feet above the ground elevation for each measurement. The sound level meters and microphones were equipped with a windscreen during all measurements. All noise level measurement equipment satisfies the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) standard specifications for sound level meters ANSI S1.4-2014/IEC 61672-1:2013. (13)



8' Dwy. 1 **LEGEND:** N Site Boundary Trash Enclosure Activity ■ Planned Barrier Truck Terminal Activity Repair Shop Activity Planned Barrier Height (in feet) Roof-Top Air Conditioning Unit 

Entry Gate & Truck Movements

**EXHIBIT 9-A: OPERATIONAL NOISE SOURCE LOCATIONS** 



**TABLE 9-1: REFERENCE NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS** 

	Noise Source	Min./	Hour <sup>2</sup>	Reference Noise	Sound Power Level (dBA) <sup>3</sup>	
Noise Source <sup>1</sup>	Height (Feet)	Day	Night	Level @ 50' (dBA L <sub>eq</sub> )		
Truck Terminal Activity	8'	60	60	57.8	103.7	
Entry Gate & Truck Movements	8'	_4	_4	58.0	89.7	
Roof-Top Air Conditioning Units	5'	39	28	57.2	88.9	
Trash Enclosure Activity	5'	10	10	56.8	88.5	
Repair Shop Activity	5'	60	60	56.4	88.1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As measured by Urban Crossroads, Inc.

## 9.2.2 TRUCK TERMINAL ACTIVITY

To evaluate the noise levels associated with truck idling, backup alarms, trailer movements and storage activities, Urban Crossroads collected a reference noise level measurement at an existing parcel hub facility to describe the potential operational noise levels associated with Project operational activities. The measured reference noise level at 50 feet from activity was measured at 57.8 dBA Leq. The reference noise level measurement includes a semi-truck with trailer pass-by event, background switcher cab trailer towing, drop-off, idling, and backup alarm events. Noise associated with trailer storage activity is expected to operate for the entire hour (60 minutes).

## 9.2.3 Entry Gate & Truck Movements

An entry gate and truck movements reference noise level measurement were taken at the southern entry gate of the Motivational Fulfillment & Logistics Services distribution facility located at 6810 Bickmore Avenue in the City of Chino over a 15-minute period and represents multiple noise sources producing a reference noise level of 58.0 dBA Leg at 50 feet. The noise sources included at this measurement location account for the rattling and squeaking during normal opening and closing operations, the gate closure equipment, truck engines idling outside the entry gate, truck movements through the entry gate, and background truck court activities and forklift backup alarm noise. Consistent with Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage Traffic Analysis, the Project is expected to generate a total of approximately 716 trip-ends per day (actual vehicles) and includes 572 truck trip-ends per day. (17) This noise study relies on the actual Project trips (as opposed to the passenger car equivalents) to accurately account for the effect of individual truck trips on the study area roadway network. Using the estimated number of truck trips in combination with time of day vehicle splits, the number of entry gate and truck movements by driveway location were calculated. As shown on Table 9-2, this information is then used to calculate the entry gate and truck movements operational noise source activity based on the number of events by time of day.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Anticipated duration (minutes within the hour) of noise activity during typical hourly conditions expected at the Project site. "Daytime" = 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.; "Nighttime" = 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Sound power level represents the total amount of acoustical energy (noise level) produced by a sound source independent of distance or surroundings. Sound power levels calculated using the CadnaA noise model at the reference distance to the noise source. Numbers may vary due to size differences between point and area noise sources.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Entry Gate & Truck Movements are calculate based on the number of events by time of day (See Table 9-2).

**TABLE 9-2: ENTRY GATE & TRUCK MOVEMENTS BY LOCATION** 

Entry Gate & Total		1119 5136		Truck	Time of Day Vehicle Splits <sup>5</sup>			Truck Movements <sup>6</sup>		
Truck Movement Location <sup>1</sup>	Project Truck Trips <sup>2</sup>	In	Out	Trips by Location <sup>4</sup>	Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night
Dwy. 1	572	100%	100%	572	86.50%	2.70%	10.80%	495	15	62

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Driveway locations as shown on Exhibit 9-A.

#### 9.2.4 ROOF-TOP AIR CONDITIONING UNITS

To assess the noise levels created by the roof-top air conditioning units, reference noise level measurements were collected from a Lennox SCA120 series 10-ton model packaged air conditioning unit. At the uniform reference distance of 50 feet, the reference noise levels are 57.2 dBA L<sub>eq</sub>. Based on the typical operating conditions observed over a four-day measurement period, the roof-top air conditioning units are estimated to operate for an average of 39 minutes per hour during the daytime hours, and 28 minutes per hour during the nighttime hours. For this noise analysis, the air conditioning units are expected to be located on the roof of the proposed building. This reference noise level describes the expected roof-top air conditioning units located 5 feet above the roof for the planned air conditioning units at the Project site.

## 9.2.5 TRASH ENCLOSURE ACTIVITY

To describe the noise levels associated with a trash enclosure activity, Urban Crossroads collected a reference noise level measurement at an existing trash enclosure containing two dumpster bins. The trash enclosure noise levels describe metal gates opening and closing, metal scraping against concrete floor sounds, dumpster movement on metal wheels, and trash dropping into the metal dumpster. The reference noise levels describe trash enclosure noise activities when trash is dropped into an empty metal dumpster, as would occur at the Project site. The measured reference noise level at the uniform 50-foot reference distance is 56.8 dBA Leq for the trash enclosure activity. The reference noise level describes the expected noise source activities associated with the trash enclosures for the Project's proposed building. Typical trash enclosure activities are estimated to occur for 5 minutes per hour.

### 9.2.6 REPAIR SHOP ACTIVITY

To represent the potential noise level impacts associated with the repair shop activities, a reference noise level measurement was collected near an existing fleet maintenance building at 1333 Virginia Avenue in the City of Baldwin Park. The fleet maintenance building is used to service tractor trailer trucks as well as other operating equipment. The reference noise level measurement includes vehicles entering and exiting the service bays, heavy equipment activities inside the service bays and staff performing a variety of maintenance services in the area. Using the uniform reference distance of 50 feet, the repair shop noise level is 56.4 dBA Leq.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Total Project truck trips according to Table 4-2 Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage Traffic Analysis, Urban Crossroads, Inc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Project truck trip distribution according to Exhibit 4-1 of Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage Traffic Analysis, Urban Crossroads, Inc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Calculated trip trucks per location represents the product of the total (inbound and outbound) project truck trips and the trip distribution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Heavy truck time of day vehicle splits as shown on Table 6-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Calculated time of day entry gate and truck movements by location.

# 9.3 CADNAA NOISE PREDICTION MODEL

To fully describe the exterior operational noise levels from the Project, Urban Crossroads, Inc. developed a noise prediction model using the CadnaA (Computer Aided Noise Abatement) computer program. CadnaA can analyze multiple types of noise sources using the spatially accurate Project site plan, georeferenced Nearmap aerial imagery, topography, buildings, and barriers in its calculations to predict outdoor noise levels.

Using the ISO 9613 protocol, CadnaA will calculate the distance from each noise source to the noise receiver locations, using the ground absorption, distance, and barrier/building attenuation inputs to provide a summary of noise level at each receiver and the partial noise level contributions by noise source. Consistent with the ISO 9613 protocol, the CadnaA noise prediction model relies on the reference sound power level (PWL) to describe individual noise sources. While sound pressure levels (e.g. Leq) quantify in decibels the intensity of given sound sources at a reference distance, sound power levels (PWL) are connected to the sound source and are independent of distance. Sound pressure levels vary substantially with distance from the source and diminish from intervening obstacles and barriers, air absorption, wind, and other factors. Sound power is the acoustical energy emitted by the sound source and is an absolute value that is not affected by the environment.

The operational noise level calculations provided in this noise study account for the distance attenuation provided due to geometric spreading, when sound from a localized stationary source (i.e., a point source) propagates uniformly outward in a spherical pattern. A default ground attenuation factor of 0.0 was used in the CadnaA noise analysis to account for hard site conditions. Appendix 9.1 includes the detailed noise model inputs.

## 9.4 PROJECT OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVELS

Using the reference noise levels to represent the proposed Project operations that include truck terminal activity, entry gate & truck movements, roof-top air conditioning units, trash enclosure activity, and repair shop activity, Urban Crossroads, Inc. calculated the operational source noise levels that are expected to be generated at the Project site and the Project-related noise level increases that would be experienced at each of the sensitive receiver locations. Table 9-3 shows the Project operational noise levels during the daytime hours of 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. The daytime hourly noise levels at the off-site receiver locations are expected to range from 51.1 to 56.3 dBA L<sub>eq</sub>.

Table 9-4 shows the Project operational noise levels during the nighttime hours of 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. The nighttime hourly noise levels at the off-site receiver locations are expected to range from 50.7 to 54.4 dBA  $L_{eq}$ . The differences between the daytime and nighttime noise levels is largely related to the duration of noise activity (Table 9-1) and the number of Entry Gate & Truck Movements (Table 9-2).



**TABLE 9-3: DAYTIME PROJECT OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVELS** 

Naiss Coursel	Operationa	Operational Noise Levels by Receiver Location (dBA Leq)						
Noise Source <sup>1</sup>	R1	R2	R3	R4				
Truck Terminal Activity	52.6	53.9	51.5	50.3				
Entry Gate & Truck Movements	41.4	52.3	41.5	41.3				
Roof-Top Air Conditioning Units	35.5	35.9	29.4	30.6				
Trash Enclosure Activity	27.8	33.9	26.6	27.1				
Repair Shop Activity	41.7	32.3	36.3	38.7				
Total (All Noise Sources)	53.3	56.3	52.1	51.1				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Exhibit 9-A for the noise source locations. CadnaA noise model calculations are included in Appendix 9.1.

**TABLE 9-4: NIGHTTIME PROJECT OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVELS** 

Naiss Coursel	Operational Noise Levels by Receiver Location (dBA Leq)						
Noise Source <sup>1</sup>	R1	R2	R3	R4			
Truck Terminal Activity	52.6	53.9	51.5	50.3			
Entry Gate & Truck Movements	32.3	43.3	32.5	32.3			
Roof-Top Air Conditioning Units	33.1	33.5	27.0	28.2			
Trash Enclosure Activity	26.9	32.9	25.7	26.2			
Repair Shop Activity	41.7	32.3	36.3	38.7			
Total (All Noise Sources)	53.0	54.4	51.7	50.7			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Exhibit 9-A for the noise source locations. CadnaA noise model calculations are included in Appendix 9.1.

## 9.5 Project Operational Noise Level Compliance

To demonstrate compliance with local noise regulations, the Project-only operational noise levels are evaluated against exterior noise level thresholds based on the County of San Bernardino exterior noise level standards at nearest noise-sensitive receiver locations. Table 9-5 shows the operational noise levels associated with Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage Project will satisfy the County of San Bernardino exterior noise level standards adjusted to reflect the ambient noise levels at all nearby receiver locations at all nearby receiver locations with the planned 8-foot high screen wall on the northern project boundary as shown on Exhibit 9-A. Therefore, the operational noise impacts are considered *less than significant* at the nearest noise-sensitive receiver locations.



**TABLE 9-5: OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL COMPLIANCE** 

Receiver Location <sup>1</sup>	_	perational s (dBA Leq) <sup>2</sup>	Noise Leve (dBA	l Standards Leq) <sup>3</sup>	Noise Level Standards Exceeded? <sup>4</sup>		
Location	Daytime	Nighttime	Daytime	Nighttime	Daytime	Nighttime	
R1	53.3	53.0	57.2	54.5	No	No	
R2	56.3	54.4	71.9	70.0	No	No	
R3	52.1	51.7	53.8	52.9	No	No	
R4	51.1	50.7	56.6	56.5	No	No	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Exhibit 8-A for the receiver locations.

## 9.6 Project Operational Noise Level Increases

To describe the Project operational noise level increases, the Project operational noise levels are combined with the existing ambient noise levels measurements for the nearest receiver locations potentially impacted by Project operational noise sources. Since the units used to measure noise, decibels (dB), are logarithmic units, the Project-operational and existing ambient noise levels cannot be combined using standard arithmetic equations. (2) Instead, they must be logarithmically added using the following base equation:

$$SPL_{Total} = 10log_{10}[10^{SPL1/10} + 10^{SPL2/10} + ... 10^{SPLn/10}]$$

Where "SPL1," "SPL2," etc. are equal to the sound pressure levels being combined, or in this case, the Project-operational and existing ambient noise levels. The difference between the combined Project and ambient noise levels describe the Project noise level increases to the existing ambient noise environment. As indicated on Tables 9-6 and 9-7, the Project will generate daytime and nighttime operational noise level increases ranging from 0.1 to 2.5 dBA L<sub>eq</sub> at the nearest receiver locations. Project-related operational noise level increases will satisfy the operational noise level increase significance criteria presented on Table 4-1. Therefore, the incremental Project operational noise level increase is considered *less than significant* at all receiver locations.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Proposed Project operational noise levels as shown on Tables 9-3 and 9-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Exterior noise level standards adjusted to reflect the ambient noise levels (see Table 5-1) per the County of San Bernardino Development Code, Title 8, Section 83.01.080 (Appendix 3.1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Do the estimated Project operational noise source activities exceed the noise level standards?

<sup>&</sup>quot;Daytime" = 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.; "Nighttime" = 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.

TABLE 9-6: DAYTIME PROJECT OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL INCREASES

Receiver Location <sup>1</sup>	Total Project Operational Noise Level <sup>2</sup>	Measurement Location <sup>3</sup>	Reference Ambient Noise Levels <sup>4</sup>	Combined Project and Ambient <sup>5</sup>	Project Increase <sup>6</sup>	Noise Sensitive Land Use?	Increase Criteria <sup>7</sup>	Increase Criteria Exceeded? <sup>7</sup>
R1	53.3	L1	57.2	58.7	1.5	Yes	3	No
R2	56.3	L2	71.9	72.0	0.1	Yes	1	No
R2	52.1	L3	53.8	56.0	2.2	Yes	5	No
R3	51.1	L4	56.6	57.7	1.1	Yes	3	No

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Exhibit 8-A for the receiver locations.

**TABLE 9-7: NIGHTTIME OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL INCREASES** 

Receiver Location <sup>1</sup>	Total Project Operational Noise Level <sup>2</sup>	Measurement Location <sup>3</sup>	Reference Ambient Noise Levels <sup>4</sup>	Combined Project and Ambient <sup>5</sup>	Project Increase <sup>6</sup>	Noise Sensitive Land Use?	Increase Criteria <sup>7</sup>	Increase Criteria Exceeded? <sup>7</sup>
R1	53.0	L1	54.5	56.8	2.3	Yes	5	No
R2	54.4	L2	70.0	70.1	0.1	Yes	1	No
R2	51.7	L3	52.9	55.4	2.5	Yes	5	No
R3	50.7	L3	52.9	54.9	2.0	Yes	5	No

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Exhibit 8-A for the receiver locations.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Total Project daytime operational noise levels as shown on Table 9-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Reference noise level measurement locations as shown on Exhibit 5-A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Observed daytime ambient noise levels as shown on Table 5-1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Represents the combined ambient conditions plus the Project activities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The noise level increase expected with the addition of the proposed Project activities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Significance increase criteria as shown on Table 4-1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Total Project nighttime operational noise levels as shown on Table 9-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Reference noise level measurement locations as shown on Exhibit 5-A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Observed nighttime ambient noise levels as shown on Table 5-1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Represents the combined ambient conditions plus the Project activities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The noise level increase expected with the addition of the proposed Project activities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Significance increase criteria as shown on Table 4-1.

# 10 CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS

This section analyzes potential impacts resulting from the short-term construction activities associated with the development of the Project. Exhibit 10-A shows the construction noise source locations in relation to the nearest sensitive receiver locations previously described in Section 8.

# **10.1** Construction Noise Levels

Noise generated by the Project construction equipment will include a combination of trucks, power tools, concrete mixers, and portable generators operating simultaneously that when combined can reach high levels. The number and mix of construction equipment are expected to occur in the following stages:

- Site Preparation
- Grading
- Building Construction
- Paving
- Architectural Coating

This construction noise analysis was prepared using reference noise level measurements taken by Urban Crossroads, Inc. to describe the typical construction activity noise levels for each stage of Project construction. The construction reference noise level measurements represent a list of typical construction activity noise levels. Noise levels generated by heavy construction equipment can range from approximately 68 dBA to more than 80 dBA when measured at 50 feet. However, these noise levels diminish with distance from the construction site at a rate of 6 dBA per doubling of distance. For example, a noise level of 80 dBA measured at 50 feet from the noise source to the receiver would be reduced to 74 dBA at 100 feet from the source to the receiver, and would be further reduced to 68 dBA at 200 feet from the source to the receiver.

# 10.2 Typical Construction Reference Noise Levels

To describe the Project typical construction noise levels, measurements were collected for similar activities at several construction sites. Table 10-1 provides a summary of the construction reference noise level measurements. Since the reference noise levels were collected at varying distances of 30 feet and 50 feet, all construction noise level measurements presented on Table 10-1 have been adjusted for consistency to describe a uniform reference distance of 50 feet.



395' SANTA ANA AVE **LEGEND:** 

**EXHIBIT 10-A: Typical Construction Noise Source Locations** 



ullet Distance from receiver to Project site boundary (in feet)

**TABLE 10-1: TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION REFERENCE NOISE LEVELS** 

Construction Stage	Reference Construction Activity <sup>1</sup>	Reference Noise Level @ 50 Feet (dBA L <sub>eq</sub> )	Highest Reference Noise Level (dBA L <sub>eq</sub> )	
	Scraper, Water Truck, & Dozer Activity	75.3		
Site Preparation	Backhoe	64.2	75.3	
reparation	Water Truck Pass-By & Backup Alarm	71.9		
	Rough Grading Activities	73.5		
Grading	Water Truck Pass-By & Backup Alarm	71.9	73.5	
	Construction Vehicle Maintenance Activities	67.5		
	Foundation Trenching	68.2		
Building Construction	Framing	62.3	71.6	
Construction	Concrete Mixer Backup Alarms & Air Brakes	71.6		
	Concrete Mixer Truck Movements	71.2		
Paving	Concrete Paver Activities	65.6	71.2	
	Concrete Mixer Pour & Paving Activities	65.9		
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	65.2	_	
	Generator	64.9	65.2	
	Crane	62.3		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Reference construction noise level measurements taken by Urban Crossroads, Inc.

#### **10.3** Typical Construction Noise Analysis

Using the reference construction equipment noise levels and the CadnaA noise prediction model, calculations of the Project construction noise level impacts with multiple pieces of equipment operating simultaneously at the nearest sensitive receiver locations were completed. This includes the additional noise attenuation provided by the existing intervening building structures and noise barriers located between the Project site and the nearest receiver locations.

To assess the worst-case construction noise levels, the Project construction noise analysis relies on the highest noise level impacts when the equipment with the highest reference noise level is operating at the closest point from the edge of primary construction activity (Project site boundary) to each receiver location. As shown on Table 10-2, the construction noise levels are expected to range from 57.3 to 76.2 dBA  $L_{eq}$ , and the highest construction levels are expected to range from 67.4 to 76.2 dBA  $L_{eq}$  at the nearest receiver locations. Appendix 10.1 includes the detailed CadnaA construction noise model inputs.



TABLE 10-2: TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT NOISE LEVEL SUMMARY

_	Construction Noise Levels (dBA L <sub>eq</sub> )					
Receiver Location <sup>1</sup>	Site Preparation	Grading	Building Construction	Paving	Architectural Coating	Highest Levels <sup>2</sup>
R1	76.2	74.4	72.5	72.1	66.1	76.2
R2	72.0	70.2	68.3	67.9	61.9	72.0
R3	70.6	68.8	66.9	66.5	60.5	70.6
R4	67.4	65.6	63.7	63.3	57.3	67.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Noise receiver locations are shown on Exhibit 10-A.

# 10.4 Typical Construction Noise Level Compliance

To evaluate whether the Project will generate potentially significant short-term noise levels at nearest receiver locations, a construction-related daytime noise level threshold of 80 dBA  $L_{eq}$  is used as a reasonable threshold to assess the daytime construction noise level impacts. The construction noise analysis shows that the nearest receiver locations will satisfy the reasonable daytime 80 dBA  $L_{eq}$  significance threshold during Project construction activities as shown on Table 10-3. Therefore, the noise impacts due to Project construction noise is considered *less than significant* at all receiver locations.

**TABLE 10-3: TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVEL COMPLIANCE** 

	Construction Noise Levels (dBA Leq)				
Receiver Location <sup>1</sup>	Highest Construction Noise Levels <sup>2</sup> Threshold <sup>3</sup>		Threshold Exceeded? <sup>4</sup>		
R1	76.2	80	No		
R2	72.0	80	No		
R3	70.6	80	No		
R4	67.4	80	No		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Noise receiver locations are shown on Exhibit 10-A.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Construction noise level calculations based on distance from the project site boundaries (construction activity area) to nearby receiver locations. CadnaA construction noise model inputs are included in Appendix 10.1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Highest construction noise level calculations based on distance from the construction noise source activity to nearby receiver locations as shown on Table 10-2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Federal Transit Administration, Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Do the estimated Project construction noise levels exceed the construction noise level threshold?

# 10.6 Typical Construction Vibration Impacts

Construction activity can result in varying degrees of ground vibration, depending on the equipment and methods used, distance to the affected structures and soil type. It is expected that ground-borne vibration from Project construction activities would cause only intermittent, localized intrusion. Ground-borne vibration levels resulting from typical construction activities occurring within the Project site were estimated by data published by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). (7) However, while vehicular traffic is rarely perceptible, construction has the potential to result in varying degrees of temporary ground vibration, depending on the specific construction activities and equipment used. Ground vibration levels associated with various types of construction equipment are summarized on Table 10-4. Based on the representative vibration levels presented for various construction equipment types, it is possible to estimate the potential Project construction vibration levels using the following vibration assessment methods defined by the FTA. To describe the human response (annoyance) associated with vibration impacts the FTA provides the following equation: PPV<sub>equip</sub> = PPV<sub>ref</sub> x (25/D)<sup>1.5</sup>

TABLE 10-4: VIBRATION SOURCE LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT

Equipment	PPV (in/sec) at 25 feet
Small bulldozer	0.003
Jackhammer	0.035
Loaded Trucks	0.076
Large bulldozer	0.089

Source: Federal Transit Administration, Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual

Table 10-5 presents the expected Project related vibration levels at the nearby receiver locations. At distances ranging from 19 feet (at location R1) to 395 feet (at location R4) from Project construction activities (at the Project site boundary), construction vibration levels are estimated to range from 0.000 to 0.134 in/sec PPV and will remain below the County of San Bernardino 0.2 in/sec PPV threshold for vibration at all receiver locations. Therefore, the Project-related vibration impacts are considered *less than significant* during the construction activities at the Project site.

Further, vibration levels at the site of the closest sensitive receiver are unlikely to be sustained during the entire construction period but will occur rather only during the times that heavy construction equipment is operating simultaneously adjacent to the Project site perimeter.



**TABLE 10-5: TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT VIBRATION LEVELS** 

	Distance to	Receiver PPV Levels (in/sec) <sup>2</sup>					Threshold	
Receiver <sup>1</sup>	Const. Activity (Feet)	Small Bulldozer	Jack- hammer	Loaded Trucks	Large Bulldozer	Peak Vibration	PPV (in/sec) <sup>3</sup>	Threshold Exceeded? <sup>4</sup>
R1	19'	0.005	0.053	0.115	0.134	0.134	0.2	No
R2	122'	0.000	0.003	0.007	0.008	0.008	0.2	No
R3	149'	0.000	0.002	0.005	0.006	0.006	0.2	No
R4	395'	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.2	No

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Receiver locations are shown on Exhibit 10-A.



 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}$  Based on the Vibration Source Levels of Construction Equipment included on Table 10-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 83.01.090(a) of the San Bernardino County Code.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Does the peak vibration exceed the County of San Bernardino maximum acceptable vibration threshold?

# 11 REFERENCES

- 1. **State of California.** *California Environmental Quality Act, Appendix G.* 2018.
- 2. California Department of Transportation Environmental Program. *Technical Noise Supplement A Technical Supplement to the Traffic Noise Analysis Protocol.* Sacramento, CA: s.n., September 2013.
- 3. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Noise Abatement and Control. Information on Levels of Environmental Noise Requisite to Protect Public Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety. March 1974. EPA/ONAC 550/9/74-004.
- 4. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Office of Environment and Planning, Noise and Air Quality Branch. Highway Traffic Noise Analysis and Abatement Policy and Guidance. December 2011.
- 5. **U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration.** *Highway Traffic Noise in the United States, Problem and Response.* April 2000. p. 3.
- 6. **U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Noise Abatement and Control.** *Noise Effects Handbook-A Desk Reference to Health and Welfare Effects of Noise.* October 1979 (revised July 1981). EPA 550/9/82/106.
- 7. **U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration.** *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual.* September 2018.
- 8. Office of Planning and Research. State of California General Plan Guidelines. October 2017.
- 9. **State of California.** 2016 California Green Building Standards Code. August 2019 Supplement.
- 10. County of San Bernardino. General Plan Noise Element. April 2007.
- 11. —. Code of Ordinances, Title 8 Development Code, Chapter 83.01 General Performance Standards.
- 12. **California Court of Appeal.** *King and Gardiner Farms, LLC v. County of Kern (2020)* . 45 Cal.App.5th 814, 893,
- 13. American National Standards Institute (ANSI). Specification for Sound Level Meters ANSI S1.4-2014/IEC 61672-1:2013.
- 14. **U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration.** *FHWA Highway Traffic Noise Prediction Model.* December 1978. FHWA-RD-77-108.
- 15. California Department of Transportation Environmental Program, Office of Environmental Engineering. Use of California Vehicle Noise Reference Energy Mean Emission Levels (Calveno REMELs) in FHWA Highway Traffic Noise Prediction. September 1995. TAN 95-03.
- 16. **California Department of Transportation.** *Traffic Noise Attenuation as a Function of Ground and Vegetation Final Report.* June 1995. FHWA/CA/TL-95/23.
- 17. Urban Crossroads, Inc. Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage (PROJ 2020-00035). June 2020.



This page intentionally left blank



# 12 CERTIFICATION

The contents of this noise study report represent an accurate depiction of the noise environment and impacts associated with the proposed Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage Project. The information contained in this noise study report is based on the best available data at the time of preparation. If you have any questions, please contact me directly at (949) 336-5979.

Bill Lawson, P.E., INCE Principal URBAN CROSSROADS, INC. 260 E. Baker Street, Suite 200 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 (949) 336-5979 blawson@urbanxroads.com



#### **EDUCATION**

Master of Science in Civil and Environmental Engineering
California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo • December, 1993

Bachelor of Science in City and Regional Planning California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo • June, 1992

## **PROFESSIONAL REGISTRATIONS**

PE – Registered Professional Traffic Engineer – TR 2537 • January, 2009 AICP – American Institute of Certified Planners – 013011 • June, 1997–January 1, 2012 PTP – Professional Transportation Planner • May, 2007 – May, 2013 INCE – Institute of Noise Control Engineering • March, 2004

#### **PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS**

ASA – Acoustical Society of America ITE – Institute of Transportation Engineers

#### **PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATIONS**

Certified Acoustical Consultant – County of Orange • February, 2011 FHWA-NHI-142051 Highway Traffic Noise Certificate of Training • February, 2013



This page intentionally left blank



# **APPENDIX 3.1:**

**COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MUNICIPAL CODE** 



This page intentionally left blank



#### § 83.01.080 Noise.

This Section establishes standards concerning acceptable noise levels for both noise-sensitive land uses and for noise-generating land uses.

- (a) Noise Measurement. Noise shall be measured:
- (1) At the property line of the nearest site that is occupied by, and/or zoned or designated to allow the development of noise-sensitive land uses;
- (2) With a sound level meter that meets the standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI § SI4 1979, Type 1 or Type 2);
- (3) Using the "A" weighted sound pressure level scale in decibels (ref. pressure = 20 micronewtons per meter squared). The unit of measure shall be designated as dB(A).
- (b) Noise Impacted Areas. Areas within the County shall be designated as "noise-impacted" if exposed to existing or projected future exterior noise levels from mobile or stationary sources exceeding the standards listed in Subdivision (d) (Noise Standards for Stationary Noise Sources) and Subdivision (e) (Noise Standards for Adjacent Mobile Noise Sources), below. New development of residential or other noise-sensitive land uses shall not be allowed in noise-impacted areas unless effective mitigation measures are incorporated into the project design to reduce noise levels to these standards. Noise-sensitive land uses shall include residential uses, schools, hospitals, nursing homes, religious institutions, libraries, and similar uses.
  - (c) Noise Standards for Stationary Noise Sources.
- (1) Noise Standards. Table 83-2 (Noise Standards for Stationary Noise Sources) describes the noise standard for emanations from a stationary noise source, as it affects adjacent properties:

Table 83-2					
Noise Standards for Stationary Noise Sources					
Affected Land Uses (Receiving Noise) 7:00 a.m 10:00 p.m. Leq 10:00 p.m 7:00 a.m. Leq					
Residential	55 dB(A)	45 dB(A)			
Professional Services	55 dB(A)	55 dB(A)			
Other Commercial	60 dB(A)	60 dB(A)			
Industrial	70 dB(A)	70 dB(A)			

Leq = (Equivalent Energy Level). The sound level corresponding to a steady-state sound level containing the same total energy as a time-varying signal over a given sample period, typically one, eight or 24 hours.

dB(A) = (A-weighted Sound Pressure Level). The sound pressure level, in decibels, as measured on a sound level meter using the A-weighting filter network. The A-weighting filter deemphasizes the very low and very high frequency components of the sound, placing greater emphasis on those frequencies within the sensitivity range of the human ear.

Ldn = (Day-Night Noise Level). The average equivalent A-weighted sound level during a 24-hour day obtained by adding 10 decibels to the hourly noise levels measured during the night (from 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.). In this way Ldn takes into account the lower tolerance of people for noise during nighttime periods.

- (2) Noise Limit Categories. No person shall operate or cause to be operated a source of sound at a location or allow the creation of noise on property owned, leased, occupied, or otherwise controlled by the person, which causes the noise level, when measured on another property, either incorporated or unincorporated, to exceed any one of the following:
- (A) The noise standard for the receiving land use as specified in Subdivision (b) (Noise-Impacted Areas), above, for a cumulative period of more than 30 minutes in any hour.
  - (B) The noise standard plus five dB(A) for a cumulative period of more than 15 minutes in any hour.
  - (C) The noise standard plus ten dB(A) for a cumulative period of more than five minutes in any hour.
  - (D) The noise standard plus 15 dB(A) for a cumulative period of more than one minute in any hour.
  - (E) The noise standard plus 20 dB(A) for any period of time.
- (d) Noise Standards for Adjacent Mobile Noise Sources. Noise from mobile sources may affect adjacent properties adversely. When it does, the noise shall be mitigated for any new development to a level that shall not exceed the standards described in the following Table 83-3 (Noise Standards for Adjacent Mobile Noise Sources).

Noise Standards for Adjacent Mobile Noise Sources					
Land Use Ldn (or CNEL) dB(A)					
Categories	Categories Uses		Exterior (2)		
Residential	Single and multi-family, duplex, mobile homes	45	60 <sup>(3)</sup>		
Commercial	Hotel, motel, transient housing	45	60 <sup>(3)</sup>		
	Commercial retail, bank, restaurant	50	N/A		
	Office building, research and development, professional offices	45	65		
	Amphitheater, concert hall, auditorium, movie theater	45	N/A		
Institutional/Pub	lic Hospital, nursing home, school classroom, religious institution, library	45	65		
Open Space	Park	N/A	65		

Table 83-3

#### Notes:

- (1) The indoor environment shall exclude bathrooms, kitchens, toilets, closets and corridors.
- (2) The outdoor environment shall be limited to:
  - · Hospital/office building patios
  - · Hotel and motel recreation areas
  - · Mobile home parks
  - · Multi-family private patios or balconies
  - · Park picnic areas
  - · Private yard of single-family dwellings
  - · School playgrounds
- (3) An exterior noise level of up to 65 dB(A) (or CNEL) shall be allowed provided exterior noise levels have been substantially mitigated through a reasonable application of the best available noise reduction technology, and interior noise exposure does not exceed 45 dB(A) (or CNEL) with windows and doors closed. Requiring that windows and doors remain closed to achieve an acceptable interior noise level shall necessitate the use of air conditioning or mechanical ventilation.

CNEL = (Community Noise Equivalent Level). The average equivalent A-weighted sound level during a 24-hour day, obtained after addition of approximately five decibels to sound levels in the evening from 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. and ten decibels to sound levels in the night from 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.

- (e) Increases in Allowable Noise Levels. If the measured ambient level exceeds any of the first four noise limit categories in Subdivision (d)(2), above, the allowable noise exposure standard shall be increased to reflect the ambient noise level. If the ambient noise level exceeds the fifth noise limit category in Subdivision (d)(2), above, the maximum allowable noise level under this category shall be increased to reflect the maximum ambient noise level.
- (f) Reductions in Allowable Noise Levels. If the alleged offense consists entirely of impact noise or simple tone noise, each of the noise levels in Table 83-2 (Noise Standards for Stationary Noise Sources) shall be reduced by five dB(A).
  - (g) Exempt Noise. The following sources of noise shall be exempt from the regulations of this Section:
    - (1) Motor vehicles not under the control of the commercial or industrial use.
    - (2) Emergency equipment, vehicles, and devices.
- (3) Temporary construction, maintenance, repair, or demolition activities between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m., except Sundays and Federal holidays.
- (h) Noise Standards for Other Structures. All other structures shall be sound attenuated against the combined input of all present and projected exterior noise to not exceed the criteria.

Table 83-4
Noise Standards for Other Structures

Typical Uses	12-Hour Equivalent Sound Level (Interior) in dBA Ldn	
Educational, institutions, libraries, meeting facilities, etc.	45	
General office, reception, etc.	50	
Retail stores, restaurants, etc.	55	
Other areas for manufacturing, assembly, testing, warehousing, etc.	65	

In addition, the average of the maximum levels on the loudest of intrusive sounds occurring during a 24-hour period shall not exceed 65 dBA interior.

(Ord. 4011, passed - -2007; Am. Ord. 4245, passed - -2014)

# § 83.01.090 Vibration.

- (a) Vibration Standard. No ground vibration shall be allowed that can be felt without the aid of instruments at or beyond the lot line, nor shall any vibration be allowed which produces a particle velocity greater than or equal to two-tenths inches per second measured at or beyond the lot line.
- (b) Vibration Measurement. Vibration velocity shall be measured with a seismograph or other instrument capable of measuring and recording displacement and frequency, particle velocity, or acceleration. Readings shall be made at points of maximum vibration along any lot line next to a parcel within a residential, commercial and industrial land use zoning district.
  - (c) Exempt Vibrations. The following sources of vibration shall be exempt from the regulations of this Section.
    - (1) Motor vehicles not under the control of the subject use.
- (2) Temporary construction, maintenance, repair, or demolition activities between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m., except Sundays and Federal holidays.

(Ord. 4011, passed - -2007)

# **APPENDIX 5.1:**

**STUDY AREA PHOTOS** 



This page intentionally left blank



JN: 13097 Study Area Photos



34, 3' 38.590000", 117, 23' 53.060000"



11\_N 34, 3' 38.230000", 117, 23' 53.060000"



L1\_S 34, 3' 38.570000", 117, 23' 53.030000"



L1\_W 34, 3' 38.630000", 117, 23' 53.060000"



34, 3' 32.280000", 117, 23' 46.440000"



L2\_N 34, 3' 32.260000", 117, 23' 46.490000"

# JN: 13097 Study Area Photos



L2\_S 34, 3' 32.260000", 117, 23' 46.460000"



L2\_W 34, 3' 32.280000", 117, 23' 46.440000"




L3\_E 34, 3' 27.120000", 117, 23' 54.160000"



L3\_N 34, 3' 27.160000", 117, 23' 54.160000"



34, 3' 27.120000", 117, 23' 54.160000"



L3\_W 34, 3' 27.150000", 117, 23' 54.180000"


JN: 13097 Study Area Photos




L4\_E 34, 3' 32.810000", 117, 24' 1.870000"



L4\_N 34, 3' 32.760000", 117, 24' 1.900000"



L4\_S 34, 3' 32.810000", 117, 24' 1.900000"



L4\_W 34, 3' 32.810000", 117, 24' 1.870000"

This page intentionally left blank



## APPENDIX 5.2:

**NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENT WORKSHEETS** 






Date: Wednesday, March 4, 2020 Project: Wiener Truck Terminal

Location: L1 - Located north of the Project site on Valencia Street near the existing single-family residential home at 10644 Valencia Street.

Meter: Piccolo I

JN: 13079 Analyst: P. Mara



								Hour Be	ginning							
Timeframe	Hour	$L_{eq}$	L max	L min	L1%	L2%	L5%	L8%	L25%	L50%	L90%	L95%	L99%	$L_{eq}$	Adj.	Adj. L <sub>eq</sub>
	0	53.1	74.5	44.7	64.0	61.0	56.0	54.0	51.0	49.0	47.0	46.0	45.0	53.1	10.0	63.1
	1	52.6	71.8	43.4	63.0	61.0	56.0	54.0	51.0	49.0	46.0	45.0	44.0	52.6	10.0	62.6
	2	52.0	72.5	44.6	63.0	61.0	55.0	53.0	50.0	48.0	46.0	46.0	45.0	52.0	10.0	62.0
Night	3	52.1	71.5	45.8	62.0	59.0	54.0	53.0	51.0	50.0	47.0	47.0	46.0	52.1	10.0	62.1
	4	56.5	74.7	49.8	65.0	63.0	60.0	58.0	56.0	54.0	51.0	51.0	50.0	56.5	10.0	66.5
	5	58.5	83.8	51.6	66.0	64.0	61.0	60.0	57.0	55.0	53.0	53.0	52.0	58.5	10.0	68.5
	6	55.7	72.7	44.9	66.0	65.0	61.0	59.0	53.0	51.0	47.0	47.0	45.0	55.7	10.0	65.7
	7	55.3	74.3	40.1	68.0	65.0	61.0	58.0	49.0	46.0	43.0	42.0	41.0	55.3	0.0	55.3
	8	55.2	74.6	39.4	67.0	65.0	61.0	59.0	49.0	44.0	41.0	41.0	40.0	55.2	0.0	55.2
	9	54.5	75.4	36.5	66.0	63.0	60.0	57.0	49.0	44.0	40.0	39.0	38.0	54.5	0.0	54.5
	10	52.6	74.1	37.4	65.0	63.0	59.0	55.0	46.0	42.0	40.0	39.0	38.0	52.6	0.0	52.6
	11	59.9	84.2	38.2	71.0	69.0	65.0	63.0	51.0	44.0	40.0	39.0	39.0	59.9	0.0	59.9
Day	12	53.1	74.3	38.8	66.0	63.0	58.0	53.0	47.0	45.0	41.0	41.0	40.0	53.1	0.0	53.1
,	13	54.9	79.4	39.2	67.0	64.0	60.0	57.0	48.0	45.0	41.0	41.0	40.0	54.9	0.0	54.9
	14	61.1	89.4	42.4	71.0	67.0	61.0	58.0	52.0	47.0	44.0	44.0	43.0	61.1	0.0	61.1
	15	61.7	76.4	43.0	73.0	72.0	69.0	67.0	58.0	49.0	45.0	45.0	44.0	61.7	0.0	61.7
	16	55.0	73.9	44.6	66.0	64.0	61.0	59.0	52.0	48.0	46.0	46.0	45.0	55.0	0.0	55.0
	17	56.5	76.1	43.2	69.0	66.0	63.0	60.0	52.0	49.0	45.0	45.0	44.0	56.5	0.0	56.5
	18	56.2	76.2	41.3	66.0	65.0	63.0	61.0	53.0	46.0	43.0	42.0	42.0	56.2	0.0	56.2
	19	56.3	75.2	41.1	68.0	66.0	63.0	61.0	51.0	46.0	42.0	42.0	41.0	56.3	5.0	61.3
Evening	20	55.8	73.9	40.4	67.0	65.0	63.0	60.0	52.0	47.0	42.0	42.0	41.0	55.8	5.0	60.8
	21	57.1	73.4	41.6	68.0	67.0	64.0	62.0	53.0	48.0	44.0	43.0	42.0	57.1	5.0	62.1
Night	22	52.0	71.6	39.7	64.0	62.0	58.0	54.0	47.0	45.0	42.0	41.0	41.0	52.0	10.0	62.0
<b>-</b> :	23	50.9	69.1	41.8	62.0	60.0	56.0	53.0	48.0	46.0	43.0	43.0	42.0	50.9	10.0	60.9
Timeframe	Hour	L <sub>eq</sub>	L max	L min	L1%	L2%	L5%	L8%	L25%	L50%	L90%	L95%	L99%		L <sub>eq</sub> (dBA)	
Day	Min Max	52.6 61.7	73.9 89.4	36.5 44.6	65.0 73.0	63.0 72.0	58.0 69.0	53.0 67.0	46.0 58.0	42.0 49.0	40.0 46.0	39.0 46.0	38.0 45.0	24-Hour	Daytime	Nighttime
Enorgy	Average	57.4		rage:	67.9	65.5	61.8	58.9	50.5	45.8	46.0	42.0	45.0			
Lifelgy	Min	55.8	73.4	40.4	67.9	65.0	63.0	60.0	51.0	46.0	42.4	42.0	41.2	56.4	57.2	54.5
Evening	Max	55.8 57.1	73.4 75.2	40.4	68.0	67.0	64.0	62.0	53.0	48.0	44.0	43.0	41.0		Hour CNEL (d	
Fnergy	Average	56.4		rage:	67.7	66.0	63.3	61.0	52.0	47.0	44.0	42.3	41.3	24-	HOUI GIVEL (U	ien)
	Min	50.9	69.1	39.7	62.0	59.0	54.0	53.0	47.0	45.0	42.7	41.0	41.0		64 <b>–</b>	
Night	Max	58.5	83.8	51.6	66.0	65.0	61.0	60.0	57.0	55.0	53.0	53.0	52.0		61.7	
Fnergy	Average	54.5		rage:	63.9	61.8	57.4	55.3	51.6	49.7	46.9	46.6	45.6		<i>3</i> —	
LiterBy	cruge	37.3	AVC		03.5	01.0	37.4	33.3	31.0	43.7	70.5	40.0	73.0			




Date: Wednesday, March 4, 2020

Location: L2 - Located east of the Project site across Cedar Avenue near

Meter: Piccolo II

JN: 13079





74.3

69.1

63.5

55.1

54.0

53.2

70.0

Average:

78.7

78.1

75.9

**Energy Average** 

Date: Wednesday, March 04, 2020

L3 - Located south of the Project site near the Cedar House Location:

#### Meter: Piccolo I JN: 13079 Life Change Center. Project: Wiener Truck Terminal Analyst: P. Mara Hourly L eq dBA Readings (unadjusted) 85.0 80.0 75.0 70.0 65.0 65.0 55.0 50.0 45.0 55. 40.0 35.0 5 1 2 3 4 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 **Hour Beginning** L2% L5% L50% L90% L95% Adj. L eq **Timeframe** Hour L eq L max L min L1% L8% L25% L99% L<sub>eq</sub> Adj. 57.0 52.3 0 52.3 69.4 47.7 59.0 57.0 56.0 51.0 50.0 48.0 48.0 48.0 10.0 62.3 1 51.5 68.2 47.4 58.0 56.0 54.0 54.0 51.0 50.0 48.0 48.0 47.0 51.5 10.0 61.5 2 52.4 66.4 47.4 59.0 58.0 55.0 54.0 52.0 51.0 49.0 49.0 48.0 52.4 10.0 62.4 3 Night 53.3 75.3 48.3 60.0 58.0 56.0 55.0 53.0 51.0 49.0 49.0 48.0 53.3 10.0 63.3 54.2 69.7 48.7 62.0 61.0 58.0 57.0 64.2 4 53.0 52.0 50.0 50.0 49.0 54.2 10.0 5 55.7 76.2 48.4 69.0 64.0 56.0 55.0 53.0 51.0 50.0 49.0 55.7 10.0 65.7 50.0 6 66.5 44.4 60.0 58.0 55.0 45.0 10.0 62.5 52.5 56.0 52.0 51.0 48.0 46.0 52.5 51.0 68.2 42.3 62.0 54.0 47.0 45.0 44.0 44.0 60.0 52.0 49.0 51.0 0.0 51.0 8 53.5 72.0 42.2 64.0 63.0 59.0 57.0 51.0 47.0 44.0 44.0 43.0 53.5 0.0 53.5 9 54.4 81.4 41.0 63.0 62.0 56.0 54.0 49.0 46.0 43.0 42.0 42.0 54.4 0.0 54.4 10 50.8 67.8 41.8 63.0 60.0 53.0 52.0 48.0 46.0 44.0 43.0 43.0 50.8 0.0 50.8 11 52.5 74.5 41.9 64.0 62.0 57.0 54.0 48.0 46.0 44.0 44.0 43.0 52.5 0.0 52.5 12 50.3 66.1 43.2 59.0 48.0 47.0 50.3 50.3 62.0 53.0 51.0 45.0 44.0 44.0 0.0 Day 13 51.4 66.6 42.3 63.0 61.0 56.0 54.0 49.0 47.0 45.0 45.0 44.0 51.4 0.0 51.4 14 52.6 68.7 45.0 64.0 60.0 56.0 55.0 51.0 49.0 47.0 46.0 46.0 52.6 0.0 52.6 15 55.8 70.6 45.1 68.0 65.0 62.0 58.0 53.0 50.0 48.0 47.0 46.0 55.8 0.0 55.8 55.2 16 55.2 70.1 48.4 66.0 64.0 60.0 57.0 53.0 52.0 50.0 49.0 49.0 0.0 55.2 17 71.4 45.4 56.0 50.0 54.4 65.0 63.0 58.0 52.0 48.0 47.0 46.0 54.4 0.0 54.4 18 69.6 62.0 58.0 50.0 48.0 53.1 44.0 65.0 56.0 46.0 46.0 45.0 53.1 0.0 53.1 19 55.1 71.8 44.3 67.0 62.0 59.0 57.0 54.0 50.0 46.0 45.0 44.0 55.1 5.0 60.1 **Evening** 20 55.7 69.9 44.0 66.0 65.0 62.0 60.0 53.0 49.0 46.0 45.0 45.0 55.7 5.0 60.7 21 55.3 71.6 44.0 66.0 65.0 61.0 59.0 52.0 49.0 46.0 45.0 44.0 55.3 5.0 60.3 22 50.4 69.2 43.8 61.0 58.0 55.0 54.0 49.0 47.0 45.0 45.0 44.0 50.4 10.0 60.4 Night 23 51.2 70.4 44.9 58.0 56.0 55.0 55.0 49.0 48.0 46.0 46.0 45.0 51.2 10.0 61.2 L<sub>eq</sub> (dBA) **Timeframe** L1% L2% L5% L8% L25% L50% L90% L95% L99% Hour $L_{eq}$ L<sub>min</sub> L max 59.0 53.0 48.0 46.0 43.0 42.0 Min 50.3 66.1 41.0 62.0 51.0 42.0 24-Hour Daytime Nighttime Day 49.0 Max 55.8 81.4 48.4 68.0 65.0 62.0 58.0 53.0 52.0 50.0 49.0 **Energy Average** 53.3 Average: 64.1 61.8 56.8 54.7 50.1 47.9 45.8 45.1 44.6 53.5 53.8 52.9 55.1 69.9 44.0 66.0 62.0 59.0 57.0 52.0 49.0 46.0 45.0 44.0 Evening 24-Hour CNEL (dBA) 65.0 50.0 55.7 71.8 44.3 67.0 62.0 60.0 54.0 46.0 45.0 45.0 55.4 66.3 64.0 60.7 58.7 53.0 49.3 46.0 45.0 44.3 **Energy Average** Average: 50.4 54.0 58.0 56.0 54.0 49.0 47.0 45.0 45.0 44.0 Min 66.4 43.8 59.9 Night Max 55.7 76.2 48.7 69.0 64.0 58.0 57.0 53.0 52.0 50.0 50.0 49.0



55.0

51.4

50.1

48.1

47.9

47.0

52.9

Average:

60.7

58.4

55.8

**Energy Average** 


Date: Wednesday, March 4, 2020 Project: Wiener Truck Terminal

Location: L4 - Located west of the Project site near the existing singlefamily residential home at 10709 Linden Avenue.

Meter: Piccolo II

JN: 13079 Analyst: P. Mara

Hourly L eq dBA Readings (unadjusted)





58.7

55.4

53.4

51.5

51.3

51.0

60.0

**Energy Average** 

56.5

Average:

63.0

62.2

## **APPENDIX 7.1:**

**OFF-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE CONTOURS** 





	FH	WA-RD-77-108	HIGH	A YAWH	IOISE PI	REDICT	ION MO	DEL			
Road Nan	no: Existing W ne: Cedar Av. nt: n/o I-19 W	,					Name: lumber:		Avenue Tr	ucking S	t
	SPECIFIC II	NPUT DATA							L INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Con	ditions	(Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	52,758 vehicle	es					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	7.00%			Me	dium Tr	ucks (2 A	Axles):	15		
Peak F	lour Volume:	3,693 vehicle	s		He	avy Truc	cks (3+ A	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph			Vehicle I	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	48 feet		H		icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	90.95%
Ra	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	3.519
Barrier Type (0-W		0.0			1	Heavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	5.54%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	52.0 feet			Noise So	ource Fl	evation	s (in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	52.0 feet		f	10.00 0	Auto		000	,		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck		297			
Observer Height	bserver Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet							004	Grade Ad	iustment	0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet				y Truck					
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Lane Eq	uivalent	Distan	ce (in i	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto					
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es			m Truck					
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	y Truck	s: 46.	228			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s		-							
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dis	stance	Finite	Road	Fresn	iel	Barrier Att	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	66.51	3.94		0.3	8	-1.20		-4.66	0.0	000	0.00
Medium Trucks:				0.4		-1.20		-4.87		000	0.00
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-8.22		0.4	1	-1.20		-5.41	0.0	000	0.00
Unmitigated Nois			barri	er atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho			Leq E	vening		Night		Ldn		VEL
Autos:		9.6	69.3		67.5		61.5		70.1		70.
Medium Trucks:			66.8		60.4		58.9		67.3	-	67.
Heavy Trucks:		1.0	74.1		65.1		66.3		74.7		74.
Vehicle Noise:	75	5.9	75.9		70.0		68.1	l	76.5	5	76.
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise C	ontour (in feet	)								
			L	70 (	dBA	65	dBA		i0 dBA		dBA
			Ldn:		142		305		658		1,417
		C	NEL:		147		317		684		1,473

Average Daily Traffic (Adf): 25,752 vehicles			WA-RD-77-10	HIGI	TWATN	UISE P						
Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 25,752 vehicles   Autos: 15	Road Nam	e: Cedar Av.	•							Avenue Tr	ucking S	it
Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 25,752 vehicles   Peak Hour Percentage: 7,00%   Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15   Heavy Trucks (3+ Axles): 15   Wehicle Speed: 45 mph Near/Far Lane Distance: 48 feet   Wehicle Mix   Vehicle Type   Day   Evening   Night   Distance   Dista	SITE	SPECIFIC II	NPUT DATA								S	
Peak Hour Percentage: 1.00%   Medium Trucks (2 Axies): 15	Highway Data					Site Cor	nditions	(Hard	= 10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Peak Hour Volume	Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	25,752 vehic	les					Autos:	15		
Vehicle Speed:	Peak Hour	Percentage:	7.00%			Me	edium Ti	rucks (2	Axles):	15		
Near/Far Lane Distance:	Peak H	lour Volume:	1,803 vehicle	es		He	eavy Tru	icks (3+	· Axles):	15		
Site Data   Autos: 17.5%   12.9%   2.9%   19.8%   19					1	/ehicle	Mix					
Barrier Height:   0.0   feet   Medium Trucks: 84.8%   4.9%   10.3%   3.	Near/Far La	ne Distance:	48 feet		F	Veh	icleType	е	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0   Centerline Dist. to Observer: 52.0 feet   Centerline Dist. to Observer: 52.0 feet   Autos: 0.000   Medium Trucks: 2.297   Centerline Dist. to Observer: 0.0 feet   Autos: 0.000   Medium Trucks: 2.297   Centerline Dist. to Observer: 0.0 feet   Autos: 0.000   Medium Trucks: 2.297   Centerline Dist. to Observer: 0.0 feet   Autos: 0.000   Medium Trucks: 0.0 feet   Centerline Dist. Centerline Distance to Observer: 0.0 feet   Centerline Distance to Observer: 0.0 feet   Centerline Distance   Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet)   Centerline Distance   Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet)   Centerline Distance   Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet)   Centerline Distance   Centerline Distance to Noise Centour (in feet)   Centerline Distance   Centerline Distance   Centerline Distance   Centerline Distance to Noise Centour (in feet)   Centerline Distance (in feet)   Centerline Distance (in feet)   Centerline Dis	Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	90.95%
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm):	Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			M	ledium 7	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	3.51%
Centerline Dist. to Observer: Barrier Distance to Observer: Barrier Distance to Observer: Barrier Distance to Observer: O.0 feet		-	0.0				Heavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	5.54%
Barrier Distance to Observer: 0.0 feet   Autos: 0.000   Medium Trucks: 2.297   Autos: 0.000   Medium Trucks: 2.297   Autos: 0.006   Medium Trucks: 0.298   Medium Trucks: 0.298   Medium Trucks: 0.006   Medium Trucks: 0.007   Med					1	Voise S	ource E	levatio	ns (in fe	eet)		
Diserver Height (Above Pad):							Auto	os: (	0.000			
Pad Elevation:			0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck					
Road Elevation:					Hea	vy Truck	ks: 8	3.004	Grade Adj	justment	: 0.0	
Road Grade: 0.0%					-							
Left View:					-	.ane Eq				reet)		
FHWA Noise Model Calculations   VehicleType   REMEL   Traffic Flow   Distance   Finite Road   Fresnel   Barrier Atten   Bern Al												
VehicleType	F1844 N-1 84	-1.0-11-4:										
Autos:         68.46         0.31         0.38         -1.20         -4.66         0.000         0           Medium Trucks:         79.45         -13.82         0.41         -1.20         -4.87         0.000         0           Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation)         VehicleType         Leq Peak Hour         Leq Day         Leq Evening         Leq Night         Ldn         CNEL           Autos:         68.0         67.6         65.8         59.8         68.4           Medium Trucks:         64.8         64.9         58.5         57.0         65.4           Heavy Trucks:         71.6         71.7         62.7         64.0         72.3           Vehicle Noise:         73.8         73.8         68.1         66.0         74.4           Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet)         70 dBA         65 dBA         60 dBA         55 dBA           Ldn:         102         220         473         1				Di	ctance	Einite	Pood	Ero	cnal	Parrier Att	on Ros	m Atton
Medium Trucks:         79.45         -13.82         0.41         -1.20         -4.87         0.000         0           Heavy Trucks:         84.25         -11.84         0.41         -1.20         -5.41         0.000         0           Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation)         VehicleType         Leq Peak Hour         Leq Day         Leq Evening         Leq Night         Ldn         CNEL           Autos:         68.0         67.6         65.8         59.8         68.4           Medium Trucks:         64.8         64.9         58.5         57.0         66.4           Heavy Trucks:         71.6         71.7         62.7         64.0         72.3           Vehicle Noise:         73.8         73.8         68.1         66.0         74.4           Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet)           Ldn:         102         220         473         1												0.00
Heavy Trucks: 84.25						-						0.00
VehicleType   Leq Peak Hour   Leq Day   Leq Evening   Leq Night   Ldn   CNEL	Heavy Trucks:	84.25	-11.84	1	0.4	1	-1.20		-5.41	0.0	000	0.00
Autos:         68.0         67.6         65.8         59.8         68.4           Medium Trucks:         64.8         64.9         58.5         57.0         65.4           Heavy Trucks:         71.6         71.7         62.7         64.0         72.3           Vehicle Noise:         73.8         73.8         68.1         66.0         74.4           Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet)         70 dBA         65 dBA         60 dBA         55 dBA           Ldn:         102         220         473         1	Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	l barri	er atten	uation)						
Medium Trucks:         64.8         64.9         58.5         57.0         65.4           Heavy Trucks:         71.6         71.7         62.7         64.0         72.3           Vehicle Noise:         73.8         73.8         68.1         66.0         74.4           Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet)         70 dBA         65 dBA         60 dBA         55 dBA           Ldn:         102         220         473         1	, , ,			,	Leq Ev							
Heavy Trucks:   71.6   71.7   62.7   64.0   72.3											-	69.0
Vehicle Noise:         73.8         73.8         68.1         66.0         74.4           Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet)           70 dBA         65 dBA         60 dBA         55 dBA           Ldn:         102         220         473         1												65.
Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet)           70 dBA         65 dBA         60 dBA         55 dBA           Ldn:         102         220         473         1												72.
70 dBA 65 dBA 60 dBA 55 dBA Ldn: 102 220 473 1						68.1		66	i.0	74.4	4	74.
Ldn: 102 220 473 1	Centerline Distant	ce to Noise C	ontour (in fee	t)	70 -	ID A	C.	dDA		EO ADA		dD A
==== === === === === === === == == == =				l da:	700		05					
GIVEL. 100 229 493 1			,									1,019
				// VLL.		100		22		493		1,002

		VA-RD-77-108	HIGH	WAY NO	DISE P						
Road Nan	io: Existing Wit ne: Cedar Av. nt: s/o Dwy. 1	thout Project					Name: umber:		Avenue Tr	ucking S	t
	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA							L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions	(Hard =	10, So	ft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	25,081 vehicle	es					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	7.00%			Ме	dium Tru	icks (2 i	Axles):	15		
Peak F	lour Volume:	1,756 vehicles	S		He	avy Truc	ks (3+ )	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	45 mph		V	ehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	48 feet		-		icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							lutos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	90.95%
Ra	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium Tr	ucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	3.519
Barrier Type (0-W	-	0.0			1	Heavy Tr	ucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	5.54%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	52.0 feet			aiaa C	ource Ele	overtie m	a (in fe	n#1		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	52.0 feet		~	orse so	Autos		000	ei)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Modiu	m Trucks		297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet				/y Trucks		.004	Grade Ad	iuctment	. 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			пеа	ry Trucks	s. o.	.004	Grade Adj	usunent	0.0
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivalent	Distan	ce (in t	eet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	3: 46	.400			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Trucks	: 46	.209			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Trucks	3: 46	.228			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations	3									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fresi		Barrier Att	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:		0.19		0.38		-1.20		-4.66	0.0	000	0.00
Medium Trucks:	79.45	-13.93		0.41		-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.00
Heavy Trucks:	84.25	-11.96		0.41		-1.20		-5.41	0.0	000	0.00
Unmitigated Nois										,	
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou			Leq Eve		Leq			Ldn		NEL
Autos:	67		67.5		65.7		59.	-	68.3		68.
Medium Trucks:			64.8		58.4		56.	-	65.3		65.
Heavy Trucks: Vehicle Noise:			71.6 73.6		62.6		63.		72.2 74.3		72. 74.
					55.0		55.	-	. 4.0		,
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	mour (in feet,	<u> </u>	70 dE	BA	65 (	dBA	6	i0 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:		100		216		465		1.002

Wednesday, September 9, 2020

	FH\	WA-RD-77-108	HIGH	WAY	NOISE PI	REDIC	TION M	ODEL			
Road Na	rio: Existing Wi me: Slover Av. ent: w/o Cedar	•					ct Name Number		Avenue T	rucking S	St
	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA							L INPUT	S	
	r Traffic (Adt): r Percentage: Hour Volume:	15,304 vehicle 7.00% 1,071 vehicles				dium T	rucks (2 ucks (3+	Autos Axles)	: 15 : 15		
	ehicle Speed:	50 mph			Vehicle I	Mix					
Near/Far L	ane Distance:	48 feet		İ	Veh	icleTyp	e	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.59	6 12.9%	9.6%	90.95%
Bi	arrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			M	edium	Trucks:	84.89	6 4.9%	10.3%	3.51%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			- 1	Heavy	Trucks:	86.59	6 2.7%	10.8%	5.54%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	52.0 feet		ŀ	Noise So	ource E	Elevatio	ns (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist	to Observer:	52.0 feet		İ		Aut		0.000	,		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu			2.297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet				y Truc		3.004	Grade Ad	liustmen	t: 0.0
F	Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet				•				,	
Ro	oad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Lane Eq			nce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Aut		6.400			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu			6.209			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Truc	ks: 4	6.228			
FHWA Noise Mod	del Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dis	stance	Finite	Road	Fre	snel	Barrier At	ten Be	rm Atten
Autos	70.20	-2.41		0.3	38	-1.20		-4.66	0.	000	0.000
Medium Trucks	: 81.00	-16.54		0.4	41	-1.20	)	-4.87	0.	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks	85.38	-14.56		0.4	41	-1.20	)	-5.41	0.	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois				er attei	nuation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou			Leq E	vening		q Night		Ldn		NEL
Autos			66.6		64.9			1.8	67.	•	68.0
Medium Trucks			63.7		57.4			.8	64.	-	64.5
Heavy Trucks			70.2		61.1			2.4	70.		70.8
Vehicle Noise	: 72	2.4	72.4		66.9		64	.6	73.	0	73.3
Centerline Distar	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)									
			L	70	dBA	68	dBA		60 dBA		dBA
			Ldn:		83		17	-	383		826
		CI	VEL:		86		18	ю	400	J	862

ednesday, September 9, 2020

	FHV	VA-RD-77-108	HIG	HWAY I	NOISE P	REDICT	ON MC	DEL			
Road Nar	rio: Existing + F ne: Cedar Av. ent: n/o I-19 WE	,					Name: umber:		Avenue Tr	ucking S	St .
	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA							L INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Cor	ditions	(Hard =	: 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	52,773 vehicle	es					Autos:	15		
Peak Hou	r Percentage:	7.00%			Me	dium Tru	ıcks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak I	Hour Volume:	3,694 vehicles	s		He	avy Truc	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
V	ehicle Speed:	40 mph		H	Vehicle	Miv					
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	48 feet				icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						-	Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	90.95%
Ra	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium Ti	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	3.51%
Barrier Type (0-V	•	0.0				Heavy Ti	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	5.54%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	52.0 feet		-	Noise S	nurce Fl	evation	s (in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	52.0 feet		t		Auto:		.000	,		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck:		297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet				y Truck		.004	Grade Ad	iustment	. 0.0
F	Pad Elevation:										
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	Lane Eq				feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto		.400			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es			m Truck		.209			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Hea	y Truck	s: 46	.228			
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculation	·		- 1							
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Di	stance		Road	Fres		Barrier Att		rm Atten
Autos.		3.94		0.3		-1.20		-4.66		000	0.000
Medium Trucks.		-10.19		0.4		-1.20		-4.87		000	0.000
Heavy Trucks.	82.99	-8.22		0.4	1	-1.20		-5.41	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois			$\overline{}$					,		1	
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou		_	Leq E	vening	_	Night		Ldn		NEL
Autos.			69.3		67.5		61.	-	70.		70.7
Medium Trucks.			66.8		60.4		58.	-	67.3		67.6
Heavy Trucks. Vehicle Noise:			74.1 75.9		65.1 70.0		66. 68.		74.1		74.8 76.8
Centerline Distan										-	. 5.0
Centernine Distan	CE IO NOISE CO	mour (m reet)		70	dBA	65	dBA	6	i0 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:		142		305	5	658		1,417
		CI	NEL:		147		317	7	684		1,473

	FHW	A-RD-77-108 I	HGHW/	NOISE F						
Road Name	o: Existing + Pro e: Cedar Av. t: s/o Slover Av	•				Name: Columber: 13		Avenue Tru	icking S	t
SITE S	PECIFIC INF	UT DATA				IOISE M	ODE	L INPUTS	;	
Highway Data				Site Co	nditions	(Hard = 1	0, So	ft = 15)		
Average Daily T Peak Hour F Peak Ho	Percentage:	6,432 vehicles 7.00% ,850 vehicles	3			Ai ucks (2 Ax cks (3+ Ax	,	15 15 15		
Veh	icle Speed:	45 mph		Vehicle	Miv					
Near/Far Lan	e Distance:	48 feet			hicleType	· D	av	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							7.5%	•	9.6%	•
Rari	ier Height:	0.0 feet		٨	Лedium Т	rucks: 8	4.8%	4.9%	10.3%	3.42%
Barrier Type (0-Wa	•	0.0			Heavy 7	rucks: 8	6.5%	2.7%	10.8%	7.56%
Centerline Dist	t. to Barrier:	52.0 feet		Noise S	Source E	levations	(in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist. to		52.0 feet			Auto			.,		
Barrier Distance to			Medi	um Truck	s: 2.29	97				
Observer Height (A	,	5.0 feet		Hea	avy Truck	s: 8.00	)4	Grade Adj	ustment	0.0
	d Elevation:	0.0 feet		l	·					
	d Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane E		t Distance		eet)		
R	oad Grade:	0.0%			Auto um Truck	10.11				
	Left View: Right View:	-90.0 degrees			um Truck avy Truck					
FHWA Noise Mode	Calculations									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distan	ce Finite	e Road	Fresne	1	Barrier Atte	n Ber	m Atten
Autos:	68.46	0.33		0.38	-1.20	-4	1.66	0.0	00	0.00
Medium Trucks:	79.45	-13.82		0.41	-1.20	-4	1.87	0.0	00	0.00
Heavy Trucks:	84.25	-10.38		0.41	-1.20		5.41	0.0	00	0.00
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (withou	ut Topo and b	arrier a	ttenuation)	)					
, , , ,	Leq Peak Hour	., .,		q Evening		Night		Ldn	C	VEL
Autos:	68.0	-	7.6	65.	-	59.8		68.4		69.
Medium Trucks:	64.8	-	4.9	58.	-	57.0		65.4		65.
Heavy Trucks:	73.1		3.2	64.		65.4		73.8		73.
Vehicle Noise:	74.7		4.7	68.	6	66.9		75.4		75.
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Con	tour (in feet)		70 dBA	65	dBA	- 6	0 dBA		dBA
		,	dn:	70 UDA 118		255	-	549	33	1.183

Road Nar	rio: Existing + F me: Cedar Av. ent: s/o Dwy. 1	Project					Name: I		Avenue Tr	ucking S	St
	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA							L INPUT	S	
Highway Data	-			3	ite Con	ditions (					
Average Daily		25,117 vehicle	s					Autos:	15		
	r Percentage:	7.00%				dium Tru _		,			
	Hour Volume:	1,758 vehicles			He	avy Truc	ks (3+ A	(xies	15		
	ehicle Speed:	45 mph		ν	'ehicle l	Лix					
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	48 feet			Vehi	cleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						Α	utos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	90.96%
Ba	arrier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	edium Tr	ıcks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	3.51%
Barrier Type (0-V	•	0.0			F	leavy Tr	ucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	5.53%
	ist. to Barrier:	52.0 feet		-	laiaa Ca	urce Ele	u ratio n	- /in f	na#1		
Centerline Dist	to Observer:	52.0 feet			ioise so	Autos		000	eu		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Madius	muios n Trucks		297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet				y Trucks		297	Grade Ad	iuetmant	
F	Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet			пеач	y ITUCKS	. 0.1	JU4	Grade Au	ustrieri	. 0.0
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equ	uivalent	Distanc	ce (in i	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	: 46.	400			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	s		Mediur	n Trucks	: 46.	209			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	s		Heav	y Trucks	: 46.	228			
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	ance	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Att	en Bei	rm Atten
Autos.	68.46	0.20		0.38	i	-1.20		-4.66	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks	79.45	-13.93		0.41		-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks	84.25	-11.96		0.41		-1.20		-5.41	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and L	arrie	r attenu	ıation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day		Leq Ev	ening	Leq I	light		Ldn	С	NEL
Autos	-		7.5		65.7		59.7		68.3		68.9
Medium Trucks	-		34.8		58.4		56.9		65.3		65.6
Heavy Trucks			1.6		62.6		63.8		72.2		72.3
Vehicle Noise	73	3.7	3.6		68.0		65.8	3	74.3	3	74.5
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)		70 d	DA I	65.0	ID A	,	60 dBA		dBA
						00 0	DM	1 6	U UDA	1 22	UDA

Wednesday, September 9, 2020

	FH	WA-RD-77-108	HIGHW	AY N	OISE PI	REDICTION	ом ис	DEL			
Road Nam	io: Existing + I ne: Slover Av. nt: w/o Cedar	•				Project I Job Nu			Avenue Tru	cking S	t
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	NPUT DATA				N	OISE I	MODE	L INPUTS		
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions (	Hard =	10, S	oft = 15)		
	Percentage:	15,311 vehicle 7.00%				dium Tru	cks (2 /	,	15		
	lour Volume:	1,072 vehicle	5		пе	avy Truc	KS (3+)	4xies).	. 15		
	hicle Speed: ne Distance:	50 mph 48 feet		ν	'ehicle l	Viix					
Neal/Fal La	ne Distance.	40 1661			Veh	icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						Α	utos:	77.5%	6 12.9%	9.6%	90.95%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet				edium Tri		84.8%		10.3%	3.51%
Barrier Type (0-W	'all, 1-Berm):	0.0			- 1	Heavy Tri	ucks:	86.5%	6 2.7%	10.8%	5.54%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	52.0 feet			loise Sc	ource Ele	vation	s (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	52.0 feet		F	0.00	Autos		000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Modiu	m Trucks		297			
Observer Height (				ry Trucks		004	Grade Adju	ıstment	0.0		
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet									
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivalent	Distan	ce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos		400			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es			m Trucks		209			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Trucks	: 46.	228			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	ıs									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distar			Road	Fresr		Barrier Atte		m Atten
Autos:	70.20			0.38		-1.20		-4.66	0.00		0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00			0.41		-1.20		-4.87	0.00		0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38			0.41		-1.20		-5.41	0.00	00	0.000
Unmitigated Noise											
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hot			eq Ev	ening	Leq N	_		Ldn	CI	VEL
Autos:			66.6		64.9		58.8		67.4		68.0
Medium Trucks:			63.7		57.4		55.8		64.3		64.
Heavy Trucks: Vehicle Noise:			70.2		61.1		62.4		70.7		70.8
			72.4		66.9		64.6	j .	73.0		73.3
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise C	ontour (in feet,	· -	70 d	DΛ	65 a	IDΛ	Т.	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	70 U	BA 83	05 0	DA 178		383	33	и <i>Б</i> А 826
			VEL:		86		186		400		862
		Ci	٧ <b>ــــ</b> .		00		100		400		002

	FH\	WA-RD-77-108	HIG	HWAY I	NOISE P	REDICT	ION MC	DDEL			
Road Na	nio: OYC me: Cedar Av. ent: n/o I-19 WE	3 Ramps					Name: lumber:		Avenue Tr	ucking S	t
	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA							L INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Cor	ditions	(Hard =	= 10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	/ Traffic (Adt):	59,190 vehicle	es					Autos:	15		
Peak Hou	r Percentage:	7.00%				edium Tri		,	15		
Peak	Hour Volume:	4,143 vehicle	s		He	eavy Truc	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
V	ehicle Speed:	40 mph			Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far L	ane Distance:	48 feet		İ	Veh	icleType	,	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	90.95%
R	arrier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium Ti	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	3.51%
Barrier Type (0-1	•	0.0				Heavy Ti	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	5.54%
Centerline D	list. to Barrier:	52.0 feet			Noise S	ource El	evation	ns (in fe	eet)		
Centerline Dist	to Observer:	52.0 feet				Auto		.000			
Barrier Distance	e to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck:		.297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):				vy Truck		.004	Grade Ad	iustment	. 0.0	
F	Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet									
Ro	oad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	Lane Eq			_ •	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto		.400			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Truck:	s: 46	.209			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Hea	vy Truck	s: 46	.228			
FHWA Noise Mod											
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Di	stance		Road	Fres		Barrier Att		m Atten
Autos		4.44		0.3		-1.20		-4.66		000	0.000
Medium Trucks				0.4		-1.20		-4.87		000	0.000
Heavy Trucks				0.4		-1.20		-5.41	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois			$\overline{}$								
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou		_	Leq E	vening		Night		Ldn		NEL
Autos			69.8		68.0		62.	-	70.6		71.2
Medium Trucks	-	-	67.3		60.9		59.		67.8		68.1
Heavy Trucks Vehicle Noise					65.6 70.5		66. 68.	-	75.2 77.0		75.3 77.3
Centerline Distar	nce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet	)								
Contentine Distai	10 110136 00	Jui (iii 1661,		70	dBA	65	dBA	6	i0 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:		153	•	330	)	710	•	1,530
		C	NEL:		159		343	3	738		1,590

	FHV	/A-RD-77-108	HIGH	WAY N	OISE P	REDICT	ON M	ODEL			
	o: OYC e: Cedar Av. nt: s/o Slover A	v.				.,		Cedar 13097	Avenue Ti	rucking S	it
	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA							L INPUT	s	
Highway Data					Site Cor	ditions	(Hard	= 10, S	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	41,734 vehicle	es					Autos	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	7.00%			Me	dium Tri	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	2,921 vehicles	3		He	avy Truc	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Vei	hicle Speed:	45 mph		,	/ehicle	Miv					
Near/Far Lar	ne Distance:	48 feet		<u> </u>		icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%		9.6%	90.95%
Rar	rier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium Ti	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	3.51%
Barrier Type (0-W	•	0.0				Heavy Ti	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	5.54%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	52.0 feet		-	Voice S	ource El	ovatio	ne (in f	oot)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	52.0 feet		•	10/36 01	Auto:		0.000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Modiu	m Truck:		2.297			
Observer Height (	Above Pad):	5.0 feet				vy Truck		3.004	Grade Ad	liustment	. 0 0
Pa	ad Elevation:								jaotimom	. 0.0	
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		I	Lane Eq	uivalent		nce (in	feet)		
F	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto		3.400			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es			m Truck		3.209			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Hea	vy Truck	s: 4	5.228			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations	3									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	ance	Finite	Road	Fre	snel	Barrier At	ten Ber	m Atten
Autos:	68.46	2.41		0.38	В	-1.20		-4.66	0.	000	0.00
Medium Trucks:	79.45	-11.72		0.4	•	-1.20		-4.87		000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	84.25	-9.75		0.4	1	-1.20		-5.41	0.	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise		<del></del>	barrie								
	Leq Peak Hou			Leq Ev		,	Night		Ldn		NEL
Autos:	70.	-	69.7		67.9		61		70.		71.1
Medium Trucks:	66.	-	67.0		60.6		59		67.	-	67.8
Heavy Trucks:	73.		73.8		64.8		66		74.		74.5
Vehicle Noise:	75.	9	75.9		70.2		68	.0	76.	5	76.7
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)		70 c	VD A	65	dBA		60 dBA		dBA
			Ldn:	700	141	00	30 30		653		1.406
			Lan: VEL:		141		31		680		1,406
		CI	vĽL.		147		31	U	080	,	1,400

	FHV	VA-RD-77-108	HIGH	TWAY N	IOISE PI	REDICTI	ON MC	DEL			
	o: OYC e: Cedar Av. nt: s/o Dwy. 1						Name: umber:		Avenue Tr	ucking S	t
	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA							L INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Con	ditions	(Hard =	: 10, Sc	ft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	41,054 vehicle	es					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	7.00%			Me	dium Tru	icks (2 i	Axles):	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	2,874 vehicle	S		He	avy Truc	ks (3+ )	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	45 mph		,	Vehicle I	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	48 feet		F		icleType		Dav	Evenina	Night	Dailv
Site Data							lutos:	77.5%	. ,		90.959
Par	rier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			М	edium Tr	ucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	3.519
Barrier Type (0-W		0.0			- 1	Heavy Tr	ucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	5.549
Centerline Dis	. ,	52.0 feet		-							
Centerline Dist		52.0 feet		- 4	voise Sc	ource El			et)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet				Autos		.000			
Observer Height (	Above Pad):	5.0 feet				m Trucks		.297	0	4 4	
	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Heav	y Trucks	8: 8.	.004	Grade Ad	ustment	0.0
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		1	Lane Eq	uivalent	Distan	ce (in t	eet)		
1	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	3: 46	.400			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Trucks	s: 46.	.209			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Trucks	3: 46	.228			
FHWA Noise Mode											
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dis	stance		Road	Fresi		Barrier Att		m Atten
Autos:	68.46	2.33		0.3	-	-1.20		-4.66		000	0.00
Medium Trucks:	79.45	-11.79		0.4		-1.20		-4.87		000	0.00
Heavy Trucks:	84.25	-9.82		0.4	1	-1.20		-5.41	0.0	000	0.00
Unmitigated Noise											
	Leq Peak Hou			Leq E		_	Night		Ldn		NEL
Autos:	70		69.6		67.9		61.		70.4		71.
Medium Trucks:	66		66.9		60.5		59.		67.		67.
Heavy Trucks: Vehicle Noise:	73 75		73.8 75.8		64.7 70.1		66.		74.3		74. 76.
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ntour (in foot	1								
Centernile Distant	e to Noise Co	our (iii reet	, T	70 0	BA.	65 (	iBA	6	0 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:		139		300	)	646		1.391
			Luii.		100						

Wednesday, September 9, 2020

	FH\	VA-RD-77-108	HIGH	WAY	NOISE PI	REDICTI	ON M	ODEL			
Road Nam	no: OYC ne: Slover Av. nt: w/o Cedar	Av.						Cedar 13097	Avenue Tr	ucking S	t
	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA			0				L INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Con	aitions	(Hard	-			
Average Daily	. ,	21,713 vehicle	es					Autos			
	Percentage:	7.00%				dium Tru					
	lour Volume:	1,520 vehicle	S		He	avy Truc	ks (3+	Axles)	15		
	hicle Speed:	50 mph			Vehicle I	Vix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	48 feet			Veh	icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							lutos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	90.95%
Ra	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium Ti	ucks:	84.89	4.9%	10.3%	3.51%
Barrier Type (0-W	-	0.0			- 1	Heavy Tr	ucks:	86.5%	6 2.7%	10.8%	5.54%
Centerline Di		52.0 feet			M-1 0-		41-	/! /			
Centerline Dist.		52.0 feet			Noise So				eet)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet				Auto		0.000			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet				m Truck		2.297	0	···-4	
Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet					Heav	y Trucks	S: 8	3.004	Grade Ad	justment	0.0
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Lane Eq	uivalent	Dista	nce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	s: 4i	3.400			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Trucks	s: 4	3.209			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Truck	s: 4	5.228			
FHWA Noise Mod		s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dis	stance	Finite		Fre		Barrier Att		m Atten
Autos:	70.20	-0.89		0.0		-1.20		-4.66		000	0.000
Medium Trucks:		-15.02		0.4		-1.20		-4.87		000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:		-13.04		0.4		-1.20		-5.41	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise VehicleType	e Levels (with Leg Peak Hou				vening	100	Night	_	Ldn		NFL.
Autos:	68		68.1	Loy	66.4		60	3	68.		69.6
Medium Trucks:			65.2		58.9		57		65.		66.0
Heavy Trucks:		-	71.7		62.6		63		72.	-	72.4
Vehicle Noise:			73.9		68.4		66		74.		74.8
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet	)								
			L	70	dBA	65	dBA	_	60 dBA		dBA
			Ldn:		104		22	-	484		1,042
		0	NEL:		109		23	1	505		1.088

Wednesday, September 9, 2020 Wednesday, September 9, 2020

	FH\	WA-RD-77-108	HIGI	1 YAWH	IOISE PI	REDICT	ION MO	DEL			
Road Nan	io: OYCP ne: Cedar Av. nt: n/o I-19 WI	3 Ramps					Name: lumber:		Avenue Tr	ucking S	it
	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA							L INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Con	ditions	•				
Average Daily	. ,	59,204 vehicl	es					Autos:			
Peak Hour	Percentage:	7.00%					ucks (2 A	,			
Peak F	lour Volume:	4,144 vehicle	s		He	avy Tru	cks (3+ A	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		F	Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	48 feet		F		icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	90.95%
Ra	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	3.51%
Barrier Type (0-W		0.0			- 1	Heavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	5.54%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	52.0 feet		H	Noise So	nurce F	evation	s (in fe	oet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	52.0 feet		F		Auto		000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck		297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet				vy Truck		004	Grade Ad	iustment	: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet									
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Lane Eq				feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto		400			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es			m Truck		209			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	y Truck	s: 46.	228			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s		<u> </u>							
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow		stance		Road	Fresn	_	Barrier Att		m Atten
Autos:	66.51	4.44		0.3	-	-1.20		-4.66		000	0.000
Medium Trucks:				0.4		-1.20		-4.87		000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-7.72		0.4	1	-1.20		-5.41	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois			barri	er atten	uation)			_			
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou			Leq E	vening		Night		Ldn		NEL
Autos:	70		69.8		68.0		62.0		70.6	-	71.2
Medium Trucks:		.2	67.3		60.9		59.4	-	67.8	-	68.1
Heavy Trucks:		1.5	74.6		65.6		66.8		75.2		75.3
Vehicle Noise:	76	6.4	76.4		70.5		68.6	6	77.0	)	77.3
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in fee	t)								
			Ĺ	70	dBA	65	dBA		60 dBA		dBA
			Ldn:		153		330		710		1,530
		С	NEL:		159		343		738		1,590

	FH	WA-RD-77-108	HIGH	WAY NO	DISE P	REDICT	ION MO	DEL			
	o: OYCP e: Cedar Av. nt: s/o Slover	Av.					t Name: lumber:		Avenue Tr	ucking	St
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	NPUT DATA				ı	NOISE	MODE	L INPUT	8	
Highway Data				S	ite Cor	ditions	(Hard =	10, S	oft = 15)		
	Traffic (Adt): Percentage: our Volume:	42,414 vehicl 7.00% 2,969 vehicle					rucks (2 i		15		
Vei	hicle Speed:	45 mph		ν	ehicle	Mix					
Near/Far Lar	ne Distance:	48 feet		H		icleType	9	Dav	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.59	-	9.69	
Rar	rier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium 7	rucks:	84.89	4.9%	10.39	3.46%
Barrier Type (0-W	•	0.0				Heavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.89	6.80%
Centerline Dis		52.0 feet			oico S	ourco E	levation	c (in f	not)		
Centerline Dist. to Observer: 52.0 feet					Autos: 0.000 Medium Trucks: 2.297 Heavy Trucks: 8.004 Grade Adjustment: 0.0  Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet)  Autos: 46.400 Medium Trucks: 46.209 Heavy Trucks: 46.228						
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow		ance		Road	Fresi		Barrier Atte		rm Atten
Autos:	68.46			0.38		-1.20		-4.66		000	0.00
Medium Trucks:	79.45			0.41		-1.20		-4.87		000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	84.25			0.41		-1.20		-5.41	0.0	000	0.00
Unmitigated Noise											
	Leq Peak Ho			Leq Eve			Night		Ldn		NEL
Autos:		0.1	69.7		67.9		61.9	-	70.5		71.
Medium Trucks:		3.9	67.0		60.6		59.		67.5		67.8
Heavy Trucks:		4.7	74.8		65.8		67.0		75.4		75.
Vehicle Noise:		6.5	76.5		70.5		68.	′	77.1		77.4
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise C	ontour (in feet	)	70 "	24		-10.4	1	CO -1D 4		- 40.4
			Leter	70 dl		65	dBA		60 dBA 718	5	5 dBA
			Ldn: NFL:		155 161		333		718 746		1,548
		C	NEL:		161		346	'	746		1,608

	FHV	VA-RD-77-108	HIGH	WAY N	IOISE P	REDICTI	ON MC	DEL			
	o: OYCP e: Cedar Av. nt: s/o Dwy. 1					Project i Job Nu			Avenue Tr	ucking \$	St
	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA							L INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Cor	ditions (	Hard =	: 10, S	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	. ,	41,090 vehicle	es					Autos:			
Peak Hour	Percentage:	7.00%			Me	edium Tru	cks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	2,876 vehicle	S		He	eavy Truc	ks (3+.	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	45 mph			Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	48 feet		F	Veh	icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						Α	utos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	90.969
Bai	rier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium Tr	ucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	3.519
Barrier Type (0-W	•	0.0				Heavy Tr	ucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	5.539
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	52.0 feet		h	Noise S	ource Ele	evation	s (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	52.0 feet		F		Autos		.000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Trucks		297			
Observer Height (	Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Hear	vy Trucks	. 8	004	Grade Ad	iustmen	t: 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L							
	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		4	Lane Eq	uivalent			feet)		
ı	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos		.400			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es			m Trucks		.209			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Hea	vy Trucks	: 46	.228			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation:	s		'							
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dis	stance		Road	Fresi		Barrier Att		rm Atten
Autos:	68.46	2.34		0.3		-1.20		-4.66		000	0.00
Medium Trucks:	79.45	-11.79		0.4		-1.20		-4.87		000	0.00
Heavy Trucks:	84.25	-9.82		0.4		-1.20		-5.41	0.0	000	0.00
Unmitigated Noise						1		,			
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou			Leq E	vening	Leq I			Ldn		NEL
Autos:	70		69.6		67.9		61.	-	70.4		71
Medium Trucks:	66		66.9		60.5		59.	-	67.	-	67
Heavy Trucks: Vehicle Noise:	73 75		73.8 75.8		64.7 70.1		66. 68.	_	74.3		74 76
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ntour (in feet	)								
		,		70	dBA	65 d	IBA		60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:		139		300	)	646	i	1,39
		C	NEL:		145		312	2	673		1.44

Wednesday, September 9, 2020

	FHV	WA-RD-77-108	HIGH	-WAY	NOISE PI	REDICT	ION M	ODEL			
Road Nar	rio: OYCP me: Slover Av. ent: w/o Cedar	Av.						Cedar 13097	Avenue T	rucking S	it
	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA			0'' 0				L INPUT	S	
Peak I	r Percentage: Hour Volume:	21,720 vehicle 7.00% 1,520 vehicles				dium Tr avy Tru	ucks (2	Autos Axles)	15		
	ehicle Speed: ane Distance:	50 mph 48 feet			Vehicle I	Mix					
	ane Distance.	40 leet			Veh	icleType	_	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data Barrier Type (0-V	nrrier Height: Vall. 1-Berm):	0.0 feet 0.0				edium T Heavy T		77.5% 84.8% 86.5%	4.9%		3.51%
	ist. to Barrier:	52.0 feet			Noise So	raa E	lovetio	na (in f	one)		
Barrier Distance Observer Height	Centerline Dist. to Observer: 52.0 feet Barrier Distance to Observer: 0.0 feet Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet				Mediu	Auto m Truck y Truck	s: (	0.000 2.297 3.004	Grade Ad	ljustment	: 0.0
	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		-	Lane Eq	uivalen	t Dista	nce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade: Left View: Right View:	0.0% -90.0 degree 90.0 degree			Mediu	Auto m Truck /y Truck	s: 4	6.400 6.209 6.228			
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dis	stance	Finite	Road	Fre	snel	Barrier At	ten Bei	m Atten
Autos.	70.20	-0.89		0.3	88	-1.20		-4.66	0.	000	0.000
Medium Trucks	81.00	-15.02		0.4	11	-1.20		-4.87	0.	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks	85.38	-13.04		0.4	11	-1.20		-5.41	0.	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barri	er atter	nuation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou			Leq E	vening		Night		Ldn		NEL
Autos.			68.2		66.4		60		69.	-	69.6
Medium Trucks			65.2		58.9		57		65.	-	66.0
Heavy Trucks			71.7		62.6		63		72.		72.4
Vehicle Noise			73.9		68.4		66	.1	74.	5	74.8
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)	- 1	70	dBA	e e	dBA		60 dBA		dBA
			Ldn:	70	104	05	UDA 22		484 484		1.043
			VEL:		109		23	-	505		1,043

Wednesday, September 9, 2020

	FH'	WA-RD-77-108	HIG	HWAY N	IOISE P	REDICT	ION MC	DDEL			
Road Na	nrio: HY me: Cedar Av. ent: n/o I-19 W	B Ramps				.,	Name: lumber:		Avenue Tr	ucking S	it
	SPECIFIC II	NPUT DATA			a:: a				L INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Cor	aitions	(Hard =				
	y Traffic (Adt):	65,963 vehicl	es					Autos:	15		
	r Percentage:	7.00%				edium Tr		,	15		
	Hour Volume:	4,617 vehicle	s		He	avy Tru	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
	ehicle Speed:	40 mph			Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far L	ane Distance:	48 feet		Ī	Veh	icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	90.95%
R	arrier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	3.51%
Barrier Type (0-	•	0.0				Heavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	5.54%
	Dist. to Barrier:	52.0 feet		-	Noise S	ouroo E	lavation	an (in fo	n et)		
Centerline Dis	t. to Observer:	52.0 feet		H.	voise 3	Auto		000	ei)		
Barrier Distanc	e to Observer:	0.0 feet			Modiu	m Truck		297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet				vy Truck		.004	Grade Ad	iuctmont	. 0.0
	Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet			пеа	ry ITUCK	s. o	.004	Grade Au	Justinent	. 0.0
R	oad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Į.	Lane Eq	uivalen	t Distan	ice (in f	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	s: 46	.400			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediu	m Truck	s: 46	.209			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Hear	vy Truck	s: 46	.228			
FHWA Noise Mo	del Calculation	s		<u>'</u>							
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow		stance		Road	Fres		Barrier Att		m Atten
Autos		4.91		0.3		-1.20		-4.66		000	0.000
Medium Trucks				0.4		-1.20		-4.87		000	0.000
Heavy Trucks				0.4	-	-1.20		-5.41	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois			-					1		T	
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho			Leq E			Night		Ldn	_	NEL
Autos		0.6	70.3		68.5		62.		71.		71.7
Medium Trucks		7.7	67.7		61.4		59.	-	68.	-	68.5
Heavy Trucks Vehicle Noise		5.0 3.9	75.1 76.9		66.0 71.0		67. 69.		75. 77.		75.8 77.8
Centerline Dista	nce to Noise C	ontour (in feet	)								
zame ziota		(111 1001		70 0	dBA	65	dBA	6	0 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:		164		354	4	763		1,644
		С	NEL:		171 368 793 1,70				1,709		

	FHV	VA-RD-77-108	HIGHW	AY NOIS	E PI	REDICT	ION MO	DEL			
	io: HY ne: Cedar Av. nt: s/o Slover A	w.					Name: lumber:		Avenue Tru	ucking	St .
	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				N	IOISE I	NODE	L INPUTS	3	
Highway Data				Site	Con	ditions	(Hard =	10, S	oft = 15)		
Peak H	Percentage:	45,156 vehicle 7.00% 3,161 vehicles 45 mph		Voh		avy Tru	ucks (2 ) cks (3+ )	,	15		
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	48 feet		Ven		icleType		Dav	Evening	Night	Daily
	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			М		Autos: rucks:	77.5% 84.8% 86.5%	6 12.9% 6 4.9%	9.6% 10.3% 10.8%	90.95% 3.51%
Barrier Type (0-W Centerline Dis		52.0 feet				,					
Centerline Dist		52.0 feet		Nois	se Sc	ource El	evation	s (in f	eet)		
Barrier Distance Observer Height ( Pa	to Observer:	0.0 feet 5.0 feet 0.0 feet			Heav	Auto m Truck y Truck	s: 2.	000 297 004	Grade Adj	ustmen	t: 0.0
	Road Grade:	0.0 reet		Lan		Auto		400	reet)		
,	Left View: Right View:	-90.0 degree 90.0 degree				m Truck vy Truck	s: 46.	209 228			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations	3									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distar	nce F	inite	Road	Fresr	nel	Barrier Atte	en Be	rm Atten
Autos:	68.46	2.75		0.38		-1.20		-4.66	0.0		0.000
Medium Trucks:	79.45	-11.38		0.41		-1.20		-4.87			0.000
Heavy Trucks:	84.25	-9.41		0.41		-1.20		-5.41	0.0	00	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and I	arrier a	attenuat	ion)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	L	eq Eveni	ng	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	70.	.4	70.0		68.3		62.2	2	70.8		71.4
Medium Trucks:	67.		37.3		61.0		59.4	-	67.9		68.1
Heavy Trucks:	74.		74.2		65.1		66.4		74.8		74.9
Vehicle Noise:	76.	.2	6.2		70.5		68.4	1	76.8		77.1
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)	-								
			. L	70 dBA		65	dBA		60 dBA	55	dBA
			.dn:		148		319		688		1,482
		CN	IEL:		154		333		717		1,544

		WA-RD-77-108	пон	WATN	UISE PI	KEDIC III	N WO	DEL			
	nio: HY ne: Cedar Av. ent: s/o Dwy. 1					Project N Job Nu			Avenue Tr	ucking	St
	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA							L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	Site Con	ditions (					
Average Daily	. ,	45,159 vehicle	S					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	7.00%				dium Tru					
Peak H	lour Volume:	3,161 vehicles			He	avy Truck	is (3+ A	(xles	15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	45 mph		١	/ehicle I	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	48 feet		F	Vehi	icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							ıtos:	77.5%		9.6%	90.959
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	edium Tru	icks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	3.519
Barrier Type (0-V	-	0.0			F	leavy Tru	icks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	5.549
Centerline Di	ist. to Barrier:	52.0 feet			laiaa Ca	urce Ele	ration	n /im f	n et		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	52.0 feet		,	voise 30	Autos:		000	eu		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Madius	n Trucks		297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet				ry Trucks.		297	Grade Ad	iuctman	t: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			пеач	y ITUCKS.	0.1	JU4	Grade Au	usunen	ι. υ.υ
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equ	uivalent l	Distand	ce (in :	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	46.	400			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Mediur	n Trucks.	46.	209			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S		Heav	y Trucks.	46.	228			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s		-							
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	ance	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Att	en Be	rm Atten
Autos:	68.46	2.75		0.38	3	-1.20		-4.66	0.0	000	0.00
Medium Trucks:	79.45	-11.38		0.41	I	-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.00
Heavy Trucks:	84.25	-9.41		0.41	I	-1.20		-5.41	0.0	000	0.00
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and b	arrie	r atteni	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day		Leq Ev	rening	Leq N	light		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	70	).4 7	0.0		68.3		62.2	2	70.8	3	71.
Medium Trucks:	67	′.3 E	7.3		61.0		59.4	ļ	67.9	9	68.
Heavy Trucks:			4.2		65.1		66.4	ļ	74.8	3	74.
Vehicle Noise:	76	5.2 7	6.2		70.5		68.4	ļ	76.8	3	77.
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)			1						
			ĻL	70 d		65 d		6	0 dBA		dBA
		_	.dn:		148		319		688		1,482
		CN	EL:		154		333		717		1,544

Wednesday, September 9, 2020

			monw	AT N	IOISE PI	REDICT	ION M	ODEL			
Scenario Road Name Road Segment	: Slover Av.	Av.						: Cedar : 13097	Avenue Ti	ucking S	t
	PECIFIC IN	PUT DATA							L INPUT	S	
	Percentage: our Volume:	23,795 vehicle 7.00% 1,666 vehicles			Ме	ditions dium Tr avy Tru	ucks (2	Autos Axles)	15		
	icle Speed:	50 mph		١	/ehicle l	Mix					
Near/Far Lan	e Distance:	48 feet			Veh	icleType	•	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data Barr Barrier Type (0-Wa	rier Height:	0.0 feet 0.0				edium T Heavy T		77.5% 84.8% 86.5%	6 4.9%	9.6% 10.3% 10.8%	90.95% 3.51% 5.54%
Centerline Dist	. ,	52.0 feet		١.	v-: 0:			/! /			
Barrier Distance to Observer Height (A	Centerline Dist. to Observer: 52.0 feet Barrier Distance to Observer: 0.0 feet bbserver Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet					Auto m Truck y Truck	s: (	ns (in 1 0.000 2.297 3.004	eet) Grade Ad	ljustment	: 0.0
	0.0 1001					•					
R	d Elevation: load Grade: Left View: Right View:	0.0 feet 0.0% -90.0 degree 90.0 degree				Auto m Truck y Truck	s: 4	6.400 6.209 6.228	reety		
FHWA Noise Model	l Calculations	\$									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distar	псе	Finite	Road	Fre	snel	Barrier Att	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	70.20	-0.49		0.38	3	-1.20		-4.66	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-14.62		0.41	1	-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-12.65		0.41	1	-1.20		-5.41	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and b	arrier a	atten	uation)						
VehicleType L	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	L	eq Ev	ening/	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	68	.9 6	8.5		66.8		60	.7	69.	3	70.0
Medium Trucks:	65		5.6		59.3		57		66.	_	66.4
Heavy Trucks:	71	.9 7	2.1		63.0		64	.3	72.	6	72.8
Vehicle Noise:	74	.3 7	4.3		68.8		66	.5	74.	9	75.2
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)									
			. L	70 a		65	dBA		60 dBA		dBA
		_	.dn:		111		23	-	514		1,108
		CN	EL:		116		24	9	537		1,156

Wednesday, September 9, 2020

	FH	WA-RD-77-108	HIG	I YAWH	NOISE P	REDICT	ION MC	DEL			
Road Na	ario: HYP me: Cedar Av. ent: n/o I-19 W	B Ramps					Name: lumber:		Avenue Tr	ucking S	št
	SPECIFIC II	NPUT DATA							L INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Cor	ditions	(Hard =	10, So	ft = 15)		
Average Dail	y Traffic (Adt):	65,978 vehicl	es					Autos:	15		
Peak Hou	ır Percentage:	7.00%				edium Tr		,	15		
Peak	Hour Volume:	4,618 vehicle	s		He	avy Truc	cks (3+ .	Axles):	15		
V	ehicle Speed:	40 mph		t	Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far L	ane Distance:	48 feet		F		icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	90.95%
R	arrier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	3.51%
Barrier Type (0-	•	0.0				Heavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	5.54%
Centerline L	Dist. to Barrier:	52.0 feet		H	Noise S	ource Fl	ovation	e (in fa	of)		
Centerline Dis	t. to Observer:	52.0 feet		H	110/36 0	Auto		.000	cij		
Barrier Distanc	e to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck		297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet				vy Truck		.004	Grade Ad	iustment	. 0.0
1	Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet			11001	ry Truck	3. 0.	004	0/440//10	Juotimom	. 0.0
R	oad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Lane Eq	uivalent	t Distan	ce (in f	eet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	s: 46	.400			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediu	m Truck	s: 46	.209			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Hea	vy Truck	s: 46	.228			
FHWA Noise Mo	del Calculation	s		-							
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow		stance	Finite	Road	Fresi		Barrier Att	en Bei	m Atten
Autos	66.51	4.91		0.3	8	-1.20		-4.66	0.0	000	0.00
Medium Trucks	s: 77.72	-9.22		0.4	1	-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks	82.99	-7.25		0.4	1	-1.20		-5.41	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois			$\overline{}$			r					
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho		_	Leq E	vening		Night	<u> </u>	Ldn		NEL
Autos		0.6	70.3		68.5		62.	-	71.		71.7
Medium Trucks		7.7	67.7		61.4		59.	-	68.3	-	68.
Heavy Trucks Vehicle Noise		5.0 3.9	75.1 76.9		66.0 71.0		67. 69.		75.6 77.5		75.8 77.8
Centerline Dista	nce to Noise C	ontour (in feet	9								
			,	70	dBA	65	dBA	6	0 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:		164		354	ļ	763		1,644
		С	NEL:		171		368	3	793		1,709

	FH	WA-RD-77-108	HIGHV	NAY NO	DISE P	REDICT	ION MOI	DEL			
Scenari Road Nam Road Segmer	e: Cedar Av.	Av.					t Name: ( lumber: '		Avenue Tri	ucking	St
SITE	SPECIFIC II	NPUT DATA							L INPUTS	3	
Highway Data				S	ite Cor	ditions	(Hard =	10, So	ft = 15)		
Peak H	Traffic (Adt): Percentage: our Volume: hicle Speed:	45,836 vehicle 7.00% 3,209 vehicle 45 mph			He	avy Tru	rucks (2 A ocks (3+ A		15 15 15		
Near/Far Lar		48 feet		v	ehicle						
Site Data	io Biotarios.	10 1001			Veh	icleType		Day 77.5%	Evening 12.9%	Night 9.6%	Daily 89.83%
Bar	rier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium 7	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.39	3.46%
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0				Heavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.89	6.70%
Centerline Dis	t. to Barrier:	52.0 feet		N	oise S	ource E	levations	(in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist. to Observer:   52.0 feet					Autos: 0.000 Medium Trucks: 2.297 Heavy Trucks: 8.004 Grade Adjustment: 0.0  Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet)  Autos: 46.400 Medium Trucks: 46.209 Heavy Trucks: 46.228						
FHWA Noise Mode	l Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fresn	e/	Barrier Atte	en Be	rm Atten
Autos:	68.46			0.38		-1.20		-4.66	0.0		0.000
Medium Trucks:	79.45			0.41		-1.20		-4.87	0.0		0.000
Heavy Trucks:	84.25	-8.51		0.41		-1.20		-5.41	0.0	00	0.000
Unmitigated Noise			barrier	attenu	ation)						
	Leq Peak Ho			Leq Eve			Night		Ldn		NEL
Autos:		0.4	70.1		68.3		62.2		70.9		71.5
Medium Trucks:			67.3		61.0		59.4		67.9		68.1
Heavy Trucks:		1.9	75.1		66.0		67.3		75.6		75.8
Vehicle Noise:	76	3.8	76.8		70.8		69.0		77.4		77.7
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise C	ontour (in feet	)	70 ···		l c-				-	- 15.4
			L	70 dl		65	dBA	6	0 dBA	5	5 dBA
			Ldn:		162		349		752		1,620
		C	NEL:		168		363		781		1,683

	IVVA-ND-77-100	HIGHW	AY N	OISE PF	REDICTIO	IOM MO	DEL			
Scenario: HYP Road Name: Cedar Av. Road Segment: s/o Dwy. 1					Project N Job Nur			Avenue Tr	ucking S	t
SITE SPECIFIC I	NPUT DATA							L INPUT	5	
Highway Data			S	ite Con	ditions (F	lard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily Traffic (Adt):	45,195 vehicle	s				A	Autos:	15		
Peak Hour Percentage:	7.00%				dium Truc			15		
Peak Hour Volume:	3,164 vehicles			He	avy Truck	s (3+ A	xles):	15		
Vehicle Speed:	45 mph		ν	ehicle I	Mix					
Near/Far Lane Distance:	48 feet		ř		icleType		Dav	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						tos:	77.5%		9.6%	
Barrier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	edium Tru	cks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	3.51%
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm):	0.0			F	leavy Tru	cks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	5.53%
Centerline Dist. to Barrier:	52.0 feet			loise So	urce Elev	ations	(in fe	eet)		
Centerline Dist. to Observer:	52.0 feet		T I		Autos:		•			
Barrier Distance to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediur	n Trucks:					
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	y Trucks:	8.0	104	Grade Ad	ustment	0.0
Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet				•					
Road Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equ	uivalent E	Distanc	e (in t	feet)		
Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	46.4	100			
Left View:	-90.0 degree	s		Mediur	n Trucks:	46.2	209			
Right View:	90.0 degree	s		Heav	y Trucks:	46.2	228			
FHWA Noise Model Calculation										
VehicleType REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distar		Finite		Fresn		Barrier Att	_	m Atten
Autos: 68.4			0.38		-1.20		4.66		000	0.000
Medium Trucks: 79.4			0.41		-1.20		4.87		000	0.000
Heavy Trucks: 84.2			0.41		-1.20		-5.41	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise Levels (wit										
VehicleType Leq Peak Ho			eq Ev		Leq N			Ldn		NEL
		0.0		68.3		62.2		70.8		71.5
		7.3		61.0		59.4		67.9		68.
		4.2 6.2		65.1 70.5		66.4 68.4		74.8 76.8		74.9
Centerline Distance to Noise C	Contour (in feet)									
	(	L	70 d	BA	65 dE	ВА	6	0 dBA	55	dBA
	i i	dn:		148		319		688		1,483
	CN	EL:		154		333		717		1,544

Wednesday, September 9, 2020

	FH\	WA-RD-77-108	HIGHWA'	Y NOISE P	REDICT	ION MOI	DEL			
Road Nam	io: HYP ne: Slover Av. nt: w/o Cedar	Av.				Name: ( lumber: 1		Avenue Tri	ucking \$	St
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA			N	IOISE N	IODE	L INPUTS	S	
Highway Data				Site Cor	nditions	(Hard =	10, S	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	23,802 vehicle	s			A	Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	7.00%				ucks (2 A	,			
Peak H	lour Volume:	1,666 vehicles		He	eavy Tru	cks (3+ A	xles):	15		
	hicle Speed:	50 mph		Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	48 feet		Veh	icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						Autos:	77.5%	12.9%	9.6%	90.95%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet		М	ledium T	rucks:	84.8%	4.9%	10.3%	3.51%
Barrier Type (0-W	•	0.0			Heavy T	rucks:	86.5%	2.7%	10.8%	5.54%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	52.0 feet		Noise S	ource E	levations	(in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	52.0 feet			Auto		_			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Mediu	m Truck					
Observer Height	,	5.0 feet		Hear	vy Truck	s: 8.0	104	Grade Adj	iustmen	t: 0.0
	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet								
	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Eq		Distanc	_	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Auto					
	Left View:	-90.0 degree			m Truck					
	Right View:	90.0 degree	s	Hea	vy Truck	s: 46.2	228			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s								
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distanc	e Finite	Road	Fresn	e/	Barrier Atte	en Be	rm Atten
Autos:	70.20	-0.49		0.38	-1.20		4.66		000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-14.62		0.41	-1.20		4.87		000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-12.65	(	0.41	-1.20		-5.41	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise			barrier att	enuation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou			Evening		Night		Ldn		NEL
Autos:	68		58.5	66.8		60.7		69.3		70.0
Medium Trucks:	65		55.6	59.3		57.7		66.2	-	66.4
Heavy Trucks:			72.1	63.0		64.3		72.6		72.8
Vehicle Noise:	74		74.3	68.8	}	66.5		74.9	)	75.2
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)		70 dBA	65	AD A		EO ADA		5 dBA
			dn:	70 dBA 111	05	dBA 239		50 dBA 514	58	1.108
		-	Lan: IFL:	111		239		514		1,108
		Ch	ILL.	110		249		537		1,157

Wednesday, September 9, 2020

## **APPENDIX 9.1:**

**CADNAA OPERATIONAL NOISE MODEL INPUTS** 





## 13097 - Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage

CadnaA Noise Prediction Model: 13097.cna

Date: 10.09.20 Analyst: B. Lawson

**Calculation Configuration** 

Configurat	ion
Parameter	Value
General	
Country	(user defined)
Max. Error (dB)	0.00
Max. Search Radius (#(Unit,LEN))	2000.01
Min. Dist Src to Rcvr	0.00
Partition	
Raster Factor	0.50
Max. Length of Section (#(Unit,LEN))	999.99
Min. Length of Section (#(Unit,LEN))	1.01
Min. Length of Section (%)	0.00
Proj. Line Sources	On
Proj. Area Sources	On
Ref. Time	
Reference Time Day (min)	960.00
Reference Time Night (min)	480.00
Daytime Penalty (dB)	0.00
Recr. Time Penalty (dB)	5.00
Night-time Penalty (dB)	10.00
DTM	
Standard Height (m)	0.00
Model of Terrain	Triangulation
Reflection	
max. Order of Reflection	2
Search Radius Src	100.00
Search Radius Rcvr	100.00
Max. Distance Source - Rcvr	1000.00 1000.00
Min. Distance Rvcr - Reflector	1.00 1.00
Min. Distance Source - Reflector	0.10
Industrial (ISO 9613)	
Lateral Diffraction	some Obj
Obst. within Area Src do not shield	On
Screening	Incl. Ground Att. over Barrier
	Dz with limit (20/25)
Barrier Coefficients C1,2,3	3.0 20.0 0.0
Temperature (#(Unit,TEMP))	10
rel. Humidity (%)	70
Ground Absorption G	0.00
Wind Speed for Dir. (#(Unit,SPEED))	3.0
Roads (RLS-90)	
Strictly acc. to RLS-90	
Railways (FTA/FRA)	
Aircraft (???)	
Strictly acc. to AzB	

#### **Receiver Noise Levels**

Name	M.	ID		Level Lr		Lir	nit. Val	ue		Land	Use	Height		Co	oordinates	
			Day	Night	CNEL	Day	Night	CNEL	Туре	Auto	Noise Type			Х	Υ	Z
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)				(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
RECEIVERS		R1	53.3	53.1	59.8	57.2	54.5	0.0				5.00	а	6214014.08	2331105.32	5.00
RECEIVERS		R2	56.2	54.3	61.2	71.9	70.0	0.0				5.00	а	6214502.40	2330725.17	5.00
RECEIVERS		R3	52.0	51.7	58.4	53.8	52.9	0.0				5.00	а	6213873.73	2330278.57	5.00
RECEIVERS		R4	51.1	50.7	57.4	56.6	56.5	0.0				5.00	а	6213395.50	2330941.97	5.00

### Point Source(s)

		-1-1															
Name	M.	ID	R	esult. PW	/L		Lw/L	i	Op	erating Ti	me	K0	Height	t	C	oordinates	
			Day	Evening	Night	Туре	Value	norm.	Day	Special	Night				Х	Υ	Z
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)	(dB)	(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
POINTSOURCE		AC01	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		585.00	0.00	252.00	0.0	5.00	g	6214304.98	2330982.61	30.00
POINTSOURCE		REPAIR01	88.1	88.1	88.1	Lw	88.1					0.0	5.00	а	6214300.60	2331061.67	5.00
POINTSOURCE		REPAIR02	88.1	88.1	88.1	Lw	88.1					0.0	5.00	а	6214300.36	2331042.52	5.00
POINTSOURCE		REPAIR03	88.1	88.1	88.1	Lw	88.1					0.0	5.00	а	6214301.17	2331023.20	5.00
POINTSOURCE		REPAIR04	88.1	88.1	88.1	Lw	88.1					0.0	5.00	а	6214301.20	2331002.46	5.00
POINTSOURCE		TRASH01	88.5	88.5	88.5	Lw	88.5		150.00	0.00	90.00	0.0	5.00	а	6214212.37	2330864.41	5.00

#### Line Source(s)

Name	M.	ID	R	esult. PW	/L	R	esult. PW	L'		Lw / Li		Op	erating Ti	me		Moving	Pt. Src		Height
			Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Type	Value	norm.	Day	Special	Night		Number		Speed	
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)	Day	Evening	Night	(mph)	(ft)
LINESOURCE		DWY01	94.0	78.8	84.9	76.7	61.5	67.6	PWL-Pt	89.7					495.0	15.0	62.0	6.2	8
LINESOURCE		DWY02	94.0	78.8	84.9	76.7	61.5	67.6	PWL-Pt	89.7					495.0	15.0	62.0	6.2	8

Name	ŀ	lei	ght			Coordinat	es	
	Begin				х	У	z	Ground
	(ft)		(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
LINESOURCE	8.00	а	, , ,		6214380.74	2330833.01	8.00	0.00
					6214203.98	2330835.04	8.00	0.00
LINESOURCE	8.00	а			6214380.95	2330805.83	8.00	0.00
					6214204.18	2330808.48	8.00	0.00

## Area Source(s)

Name	M.	ID	R	esult. PW	′L	Re	esult. PW	L"		Lw/L	i	Оре	erating Ti	ime	Height
			Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Туре	Value	norm.	Day	Special	Night	(ft)
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)	
AREASOURCE		TERMINAL01	103.7	103.7	103.7	59.0	59.0	59.0	Lw	103.7					8

Name	H	lei	ght			Coordinat	es	
	Begin		End		х	у	Z	Ground
	(ft)		(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
AREASOURCE	8.00	а			6213806.74	2331075.78	8.00	0.00
				Ī	6214294.26	2331071.36	8.00	0.00
					6214293.52	2330994.77	8.00	0.00
					6214261.12	2330996.24	8.00	0.00
					6214260.38	2330874.00	8.00	0.00
					6214203.68	2330875.47	8.00	0.00
					6214204.41	2330777.52	8.00	0.00
					6214213.99	2330767.21	8.00	0.00
					6214355.38	2330766.48	8.00	0.00
					6214353.91	2330437.29	8.00	0.00
					6213801.58	2330443.18	8.00	0.00

Building(s)

- u	۰٥١	٠,									
Name	M.	ID	RB	Residents	Absorption	Height			Coordinat	es	
						Begin		х	у	z	Ground
						(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
BUILDING		BUILDING00001	х	0				6214294.89	2330991.93	25.00	0.00
								6214354.72	2330991.81	25.00	0.00
								6214354.72	2330951.41	25.00	0.00
								6214294.53	2330952.37	25.00	0.00

## **Ground Absorption(s)**

Name	M.	ID	G	Coord	inates
				х	У
				(ft)	(ft)
GROUND		0	0.5	6213787.77	2330419.18
				6214378.38	2330414.55
				6214379.92	2330262.27
				6214141.73	2330203.62
				6213949.83	2330259.18
				6213948.80	2330324.00
				6213785.71	2330324.52

Urban Crossroads, Inc.

84

## **APPENDIX 10.1:**

**CADNAA CONSTRUCTION NOISE MODEL INPUTS** 





# 13097 - Cedar Avenue Trucking Storage CadnaA Noise Prediction Model: 13097\_Construction.cna

Date: 10.09.20 Analyst: B. Lawson

#### **Receiver Noise Levels**

Name	M.	ID		Level Lr		Lir	nit. Valı	ue		Land	Use	Height		Co	oordinates	
			Day	Night	CNEL	Day	Night	CNEL	Туре	Auto	Noise Type			Х	Υ	Z
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)				(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
RECEIVERS		R1	76.1	76.1	82.8	57.2	54.5	0.0				5.00	а	6214014.08	2331105.32	5.00
RECEIVERS		R2	72.0	72.0	78.7	71.9	70.0	0.0				5.00	а	6214502.40	2330725.17	5.00
RECEIVERS		R3	70.6	70.6	77.3	53.8	52.9	0.0				5.00	а	6213873.73	2330278.57	5.00
RECEIVERS		R4	67.3	67.3	74.0	56.6	56.5	0.0				5.00	а	6213395.50	2330941.97	5.00

#### Area Source(s)

Name	M.	ID	R	esult. PW	/L	Re	esult. PW	L"		Lw/L	i	Ор	erating Ti	ime	Height
			Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Туре	Value	norm.	Day	Special	Night	(ft)
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)	
SITEBOUNDARY		CONSTRUCTION	120.9	120.9	120.9	75.3	75.3	75.3	Lw"	75.3					8

Name	Height				Coordinates			
	Begin		End		х	у	z	Ground
	(ft)		(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
SITEBOUNDARY	8.00	а			6213791.54	2331088.41	8.00	0.00
					6213941.54	2331086.88	8.00	0.00
					6213991.54	2331086.37	8.00	0.00
					6214144.04	2331084.82	8.00	0.00
					6214383.61	2331082.38	8.00	0.00
					6214378.19	2330422.38	8.00	0.00
					6213785.80	2330428.32	8.00	0.00

