Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Resource Utilization Company Rock Surface Mining Permit renewal

Lead Agency: County of Humboldt

Contact Name: Joshua Dorris

Email: jdorris@co.humboldt.ca.us Phone Number: 707-268-3779

Project Location: unincorporated Orleans (*City*) Humboldt (*County*)

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

Renewal of a 15-year permit term for a previously approved rock quarry surface mining operation which has been permitted and operating for the past 25 years. The project includes renewal of a Conditional Use Permit, Surface Mining Permit, Reclamation Plan, and review of financial assurance cost estimates for a surface mining operation involving an open pit upland rock quarry with a proposed total extraction of 90,000 cubic yards over a 15-year period from an open pit mine. The method of surface rock removal will involve the use of explosives and an air track drill spaced on an 8'-10' grid pattern back from the rock face. Other equipment to be used includes a "cat 966 loader", a "cat D-7 crawler", and a grizzly dry screen sorter. Rock products will be hauled by end-dump trucks. Truck trips are estimated at an average daily traffic of five. Aggregate production will be conducted by a three man crew on a demand basis and portable toilet facilities will be provided for on-site employees.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

-Air Quality. Specific Mitigation Measures are discussed in the Draft MND (MND).
-Hydrology/Water Quality. Specific Mitigation Measures are discussed in the MND.
-Tribal Cultural Resources. Confidential. Specific Mitigation Measures are discussed in the MND.
-Noise. Specific Mitigation Measures are discussed in the MND.
-Mandatory Findings of Significance. Project does have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment. However, the potential is reduced to less than significant by implementing the mitigation measures described in MND.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

CA Department of Mines and Geology
CA Department of Fish and Wildlife
State Water Resources Control Board
Karuk Tribe