Rubidoux

ENERGY ANALYSIS

CITY OF JURUPA VALLEY

PREPARED BY:

Haseeb Qureshi
hqureshi@urbanxroads.com

Michael Tirohn
mtirohn@urbanxroads.com

MARCH 7, 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPENDICES

LIST OF EXHIBITS

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF ABBREVIATED TERMS

%	Percent
(1)	Reference
AGSP	Airport Gateway Specific Plan
AQIA	*Rubidoux Air Quality Impact Analysis*
BACM	Best Available Control Measures
BTU	British Thermal Units
CalEEMod	California Emissions Estimator Model
CAPCOA	California Air Pollution Control Officers Association
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CCR	California Code of Regulations
CEC	California Energy Commission
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
County	County of San Bernardino
CPEP	Clean Power and Electrification Pathway
CPUC	California Public Utilities Commission
DMV	Department of Motor Vehicles
EIA	Energy Information Administration
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EMFAC	EMissions FACtor
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
GWh	Gigawatt Hour
HHD	Heavy-Heavy Duty Trucks
hp-hr-gal	Horsepower Hours Per Gallon
IEPR	Integrated Energy Policy Report
ISO	Independent Service Operator
ISTEA	Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act
ITE	Institute of Transportation Engineers
kBTU	Thousand-British Thermal Units
kWh	Kilowatt Hour
LDA	Light Duty Auto
LDT1/LDT2	Light-Duty Trucks
LHD1/LHD2	Light-Heavy Duty Trucks
MARB/IPA	March Air Reserve Base/Inland Port Airport
MDV	Medium Duty Trucks
MHD	Medium-Heavy Duty Trucks

MMcfd	Million Cubic Feet Per Day
mpg	Miles Per Gallon
MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organization
PG&E	Pacific Gas and Electric
Project	Rubidoux
PV	Photovoltaic
PVCC SP	Perris Valley Commerce Center Specific Plan
PVCC SP EIR	*Perris Valley Commerce Center Specific Plan Environmental Impact Report SCH No. 2009081086*
SCAB	South Coast Air Basin
SCE	Southern California Edison
SDAB	San Diego Air Basin
sf	Square Feet
SoCalGas	Southern California Gas
TEA-21	Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century
U.S.	United States
VMT	Vehicle Miles Traveled

This page intentionally left blank

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES.1 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The results of this *Rubidoux Energy Analysis* is summarized below based on the significance criteria in Section 5 of this report consistent with Appendix G of the 2020 California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Statute and Guidelines (*CEQA Guidelines*) (1). Table ES-1 shows the findings of significance for potential energy impacts under CEQA.

TABLE ES-1: SUMMARY OF CEQA SIGNIFICANCE FINDINGS

Analysis	Report Section	Significance Findings	
		Unmitigated	Mitigated
Energy Impact #1: Would the Project result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation?	5.0	*Less Than Significant*	*n/a*
Energy Impact #2: Would the Project conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency?	5.0	*Less Than Significant*	*n/a*

ES.2 PROJECT REQUIREMENTS

The Project would be required to comply with regulations imposed by the federal and state agencies that regulate energy use and consumption through various means and programs. Those that are directly and indirectly applicable to the Project and that would assist in the reduction of energy usage include:

- Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA)
- The Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21
- Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR)
- State of California Energy Plan
- California Code Title 24, Part 6, Energy Efficiency Standards
- California Code Title 24, Part 11, California Green Building Standards Code (CALGreen)
- AB 1493 Pavley Regulations and Fuel Efficiency Standards
- California's Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS)
- Clean Energy and Pollution Reduction Act of 2015 (SB 350)

Consistency with the above regulations is discussed in detail in section 5 of this report.

This page intentionally left blank

1 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the energy analysis prepared by Urban Crossroads, Inc., for the proposed Rubidoux Project (Project). The purpose of this report is to ensure that energy implication is considered by the City of Jurupa Valley (Lead Agency), as the lead agency, and to quantify anticipated energy usage associated with construction and operation of the proposed Project, determine if the usage amounts are efficient, typical, or wasteful for the land use type, and to emphasize avoiding or reducing inefficient, wasteful, and unnecessary consumption of energy.

1.1 SITE LOCATION

The proposed Rubidoux site is located west of Avalon Street at 26th Street in the City of Jurupa Valley, as shown on Exhibit 1-A. The Project site is mostly vacant. Existing land uses near the site consist mostly of nearby industrial land uses with some nearby residential homes located south and east of the Project site. California State Route 60 is located approximately 0.5 miles south of the Project site, and the private Flabob Airport is located roughly 1.5 miles south of the Project site.

1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Exhibit 1-B illustrates the preliminary site plan. As indicated on Exhibit 1-B, the Project is proposed to consist of five (5) industrial buildings totaling 1,194,170 square feet on approximately 80.8 acres. At the time this analysis was prepared, the future tenants of the proposed Project were unknown. The proposed Project is anticipated to have an opening year of 2025.

EXHIBIT 1-A: LOCATION MAP



EXHIBIT 1-B: SITE PLAN

This page intentionally left blank

2 EXISTING CONDITIONS

This section provides an overview of the existing energy conditions in the Project region.

2.1 OVERVIEW

The most recent data for California's estimated total energy consumption and natural gas consumption is from 2020, released by the United States (U.S.) Energy Information Administration's (EIA) California State Profile and Energy Estimates in 2021 and included (2):

- As of 2020, approximately 6,923 trillion British Thermal Unit (BTU) of energy was consumed
- As of 2020, approximately 524 million barrels of petroleum
- As of 2020, approximately 2,075 billion cubic feet of natural gas
- As of 2020, approximately 1 million short tons of coal

The California Energy Commission's (CEC) Transportation Energy Demand Forecast released the 2018-2030 was released in order to support the 2017 Integrated Energy Policy Report. The Transportation energy Demand Forecast 2018-2030 lays out graphs and data supporting CEC's projections of California's future transportation energy demand. The projected inputs consider expected variable changes in fuel prices, income, population, and other variables. Predictions regarding fuel demand included:

- Gasoline demand in the transportation sector is expected to decline from approximately 15.8 billion gallons in 2017 to between 12.3 billion and 12.7 billion gallons in 2030 (3)
- Diesel demand in the transportation sector is expected to rise, increasing from approximately 3.7 billion diesel gallons in 2015 to approximately 4.7 billion in 2030 (3)
- Data from the Department of Energy states that approximately 3.9 billion gallons of diesel fuel were consumed in 2019 (4)

The most recent data provided by the EIA for energy use in California by demand sector is from 2020 and is reported as follows:

- Approximately 34.0% transportation
- Approximately 24.6% industrial
- Approximately 21.8% residential
- Approximately 19.6% commercial (5)

In 2021, total system electric generation for California was 277,764 gigawatt hours (GWh). California's massive electricity in-state generation system generated approximately 194,127 GWh which accounted for approximately 70% of the electricity it uses; the rest was imported from the Pacific Northwest (12%) and the U.S. Southwest (18%) (6). Natural gas is the main source for electricity generation at 50.19% of the total in-state electric generation system power as shown in Table 2-1.

TABLE 2-1: TOTAL ELECRICITY SYSTEM POWER (CALIFORNIA 2021)

Fuel Type	California In-State Generation (GWh)	% of California In-State Generation	Northwest Imports (GWh)	Southwest Imports (GWh)	Total Imports (GWh)	% of Imports	Total California Energy Mix	Total California Power Mix
Coal	303	0.2%	181	7,788	7,969	9.5%	8,272	3.0%
Natural Gas	97,431	50.2%	45	7,880	7,925	9.5%	105,356	379.0%
Oil	37	0.0%	-	-	-	0.0%	37	0.0%
Other (Waste Heat/Petroleum Coke)	382	0.2%	68	15	83	0.1%	465	0.2%
Nuclear	16,477	8.5%	524	8,756	9,281	11.1%	25,758	9.3%
Large Hydro	12,036	6.2%	12,042	1,578	13,620	16.3%	25,656	9.2%
Unspecified	-	0.0%	8,156	10,731	18,887	22.6%	18,887	6.8%
Total Thermal and Non-Renewables	**126,666**	**65.2%**	**21,017**	**36,748**	**57,764**	**6910.0%**	**184,431**	**66.4%**
Biomass	5,381	2.8%	864	26	890	1.1%	6,271	2.3%
Geothermal	11,116	5.7%	192	1,906	2,098	2.5%	13,214	4.8%
Small Hydro	2,531	1.3%	304	1	304	0.4%	2,835	1.0%
Solar	33,260	17.1%	220	5,979	6,199	7.4%	39,458	14.2%
Wind	15,173	7.8%	9,976	6,405	16,381	19.6%	31,555	11.4%
Total Renewables	**67,461**	**34.8%**	**11,555**	**14,317**	**25,872**	**3090.0%**	**93,333**	**33.6%**
SYSTEM TOTALS	***194,127***	***100.0%***	***32,572***	***51,064***	***83,636***	***100.0%***	***277,764***	***100.0%***

Source: CECs 2021 Total System Electric Generation

An updated summary of, and context for energy consumption and energy demands within the State is presented in "U.S. Energy Information Administration, California State Profile and Energy Estimates, Quick Facts" excerpted below (10):

- In 2021, California was the seventh-largest producer of crude oil among the 50 states, and, as of January 2021, it ranked third in crude oil refining capacity.
- California is the largest consumer of jet fuel and second-largest consumer of motor gasoline among the 50 states and, the state accounted for 15% of the nation's jet fuel consumption and 10% of motor gasoline consumption in 2020.
- In 2019, California was the second-largest total energy consumer among the states, but its per capita energy consumption was less than in all other states except Rhode Island, due in part to its mild climate and its energy efficiency programs.
- In 2021, California was the nation's top producer of electricity from solar, geothermal, and biomass energy. The state was fourth in the nation in conventional hydroelectric power generation, down from second in 2019, in part because of drought and increased water demand.
- In 2021, California was the fourth-largest electricity producer in the nation, but the state was also the nation's second-largest consumer of electricity, and in 2020, it received about 30% of its electricity supply from generating facilities outside of California, including imports from Mexico.

As indicated above, California is one of the nation's leading energy-producing states, and California's per capita energy use is among the nation's most efficient. Given the nature of the Project, the remainder of this discussion will focus on the three sources of energy that are most relevant to the Project—namely, electricity, natural gas, and transportation fuel for vehicle trips associated with the uses planned for the Project.

2.2 ELECTRICITY

The usage associated with electricity use were calculated using CalEEMod Version 2022.1. The Southern California region's electricity reliability has been of concern for the past several years due to the planned retirement of aging facilities that depend upon once-through cooling technologies, as well as the June 2013 retirement of the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (San Onofre). While the once-through cooling phase-out has been ongoing since the May 2010 adoption of the State Water Resources Control Board's once-through cooling policy, the retirement of San Onofre complicated the situation. California Independent Service Operator (ISO) studies revealed the extent to which the South Coast Air Basin (SCAB) and the San Diego Air Basin (SDAB) region were vulnerable to low-voltage and post-transient voltage instability concerns. A preliminary plan to address these issues was detailed in the 2013 Integrative Energy Policy Report (IEPR) after a collaborative process with other energy agencies, utilities, and air districts (11). Similarly, the subsequent 2022 IEPR's provides information and policy recommendations on advancing a clean, reliable, and affordable energy system.

California's electricity industry is an organization of traditional utilities, private generating companies, and state agencies, each with a variety of roles and responsibilities to ensure that electrical power is provided to consumers. The California ISO is a nonprofit public benefit corporation and is the impartial operator of the State's wholesale power grid and is charged with maintaining grid reliability, and to direct uninterrupted electrical energy supplies to California's homes and communities. While utilities still own transmission assets, the ISO routes electrical power along these assets, maximizing the use of the transmission system and its power generation resources. The ISO matches buyers and sellers of electricity to ensure that enough power is available to meet demand. To these ends, every five minutes the ISO forecasts electrical demands, accounts for operating reserves, and assigns the lowest cost power plant unit to meet demands while ensuring adequate system transmission capacities and capabilities (12).

Part of the ISO's charge is to plan and coordinate grid enhancements to ensure that electrical power is provided to California consumers. To this end, utilities file annual transmission expansion/modification plans to accommodate the State's growing electrical needs. The ISO reviews and either approves or denies the proposed additions. In addition, and perhaps most importantly, the ISO works with other areas in the western United States electrical grid to ensure that adequate power supplies are available to the State. In this manner, continuing reliable and affordable electrical power is assured to existing and new consumers throughout the State.

Electricity is currently provided to the Project site by Southern California Edison (SCE). SCE provides electric power to more than 15 million persons in 15 counties and in 180 incorporated cities, within a service area encompassing approximately 50,000 square miles. Based on SCE's 2021 Power Content Label Mix, SCE derives electricity from varied energy resources including: fossil fuels, hydroelectric generators, nuclear power plants, geothermal power plants, solar power generation, and wind farms. SCE also purchases from independent power producers and utilities, including out-of-state suppliers (13).

Table 2-2, SCE's specific proportional shares of electricity sources in 2021. As indicated in Table 2-2, the 2021 SCE Power Mix has renewable energy at 31.4% of the overall energy resources. Geothermal resources are at 5.7%, wind power is at 10.2%, large hydroelectric sources are at 2.3%, solar energy is at 14.9%, and coal is at 0% (14).

TABLE 2-2: SCE 2021 POWER CONTENT MIX

Energy Resources	2021 SCE Power Mix
Eligible Renewable	**31.4%**
Biomass & Waste	0.1%
Geothermal	5.7%
Eligible Hydroelectric	0.5%
Solar	14.9%
Wind	10.2%
Coal	**0.0%**
Large Hydroelectric	**2.3%**
Natural Gas	**22.3%**
Nuclear	**9.2%**
Other	**0.2%**
Unspecified Sources of power*	34.6%
Total	**100%**

* "Unspecified sources of power" means electricity from transactions that are not traceable to specific generation sources

2.3 NATURAL GAS

The following summary of natural gas customers and volumes, supplies, delivery of supplies, storage, service options, and operations is excerpted from information provided by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC).

> *"The CPUC regulates natural gas utility service for approximately 10.8 million customers that receive natural gas from Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E), Southern California Gas (SoCalGas), San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E), Southwest Gas, and several smaller natural gas utilities. The CPUC also regulates independent storage operators: Lodi Gas Storage, Wild Goose Storage, Central Valley Storage and Gill Ranch Storage.*
>
> *California's natural gas utilities provide service to over 11 million gas meters. SoCalGas and PG&E provide service to about 5.9 million and 4.3 million customers, respectively, while SDG&E provides service to over 800, 000 customers. In 2018, California gas utilities forecasted that they would deliver about 4740 million cubic feet per day (MMcfd) of gas to their customers, on average, under normal weather conditions.*
>
> *The overwhelming majority of natural gas utility customers in California are residential and small commercials customers, referred to as "core" customers. Larger volume gas customers, like electric generators and industrial customers, are called "noncore" customers. Although very small in number relative to core customers, noncore customers consume about 65% of the natural gas delivered by the state's natural gas utilities, while core customers consume about 35%.*

A significant amount of gas (about 19%, or 1131 MMcfd, of the total forecasted California consumption in 2018) is also directly delivered to some California large volume consumers, without being transported over the regulated utility pipeline system. Those customers, referred to as "bypass" customers, take service directly from interstate pipelines or directly from California producers.

SDG&E and Southwest Gas' southern division are wholesale customers of SoCalGas, i.e., they receive deliveries of gas from SoCalGas and in turn deliver that gas to their own customers. (Southwest Gas also provides natural gas distribution service in the Lake Tahoe area.) Similarly, West Coast Gas, a small gas utility, is a wholesale customer of PG&E. Some other wholesale customers are municipalities like the cities of Palo Alto, Long Beach, and Vernon, which are not regulated by the CPUC.

Natural gas from out-of-state production basins is delivered into California via the interstate natural gas pipeline system. The major interstate pipelines that deliver out-of-state natural gas to California gas utilities are Gas Transmission Northwest Pipeline, Kern River Pipeline, Transwestern Pipeline, El Paso Pipeline, Ruby Pipeline, Mojave Pipeline, and Tuscarora. Another pipeline, the North Baja - Baja Norte Pipeline takes gas off the El Paso Pipeline at the California/Arizona border and delivers that gas through California into Mexico. While the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) regulates the transportation of natural gas on the interstate pipelines, and authorizes rates for that service, the California Public Utilities Commission may participate in FERC regulatory proceedings to represent the interests of California natural gas consumers.

The gas transported to California gas utilities via the interstate pipelines, as well as some of the California-produced gas, is delivered into the PG&E and SoCalGas intrastate natural gas transmission pipelines systems (commonly referred to as California's "backbone" pipeline system). Natural gas on the utilities' backbone pipeline systems is then delivered to the local transmission and distribution pipeline systems, or to natural gas storage fields. Some large volume noncore customers take natural gas delivery directly off the high-pressure backbone and local transmission pipeline systems, while core customers and other noncore customers take delivery off the utilities' distribution pipeline systems. The state's natural gas utilities operate over 100,000 miles of transmission and distribution pipelines, and thousands more miles of service lines.

Bypass customers take most of their deliveries directly off the Kern/Mojave pipeline system, but they also take a significant amount of gas from California production.

PG&E and SoCalGas own and operate several natural gas storage fields that are located within their service territories in northern and southern California, respectively. These storage fields, and four independently owned storage utilities - Lodi Gas Storage, Wild Goose Storage, Central Valley Storage, and Gill Ranch Storage - help meet peak seasonal and daily natural gas demand and allow California natural gas customers to secure natural gas supplies more efficiently. PG&E is a 25% owner of the Gill Ranch Storage field. These storage fields provide a significant amount of infrastructure capacity to help meet

California's natural gas requirements, and without these storage fields, California would need much more pipeline capacity in order to meet peak gas requirements .

Prior to the late 1980s, California regulated utilities provided virtually all natural gas services to all their customers. Since then, the Commission has gradually restructured the California gas industry in order to give customers more options while assuring regulatory protections for those customers that wish to, or are required to, continue receiving utility-provided services.

The option to purchase natural gas from independent suppliers is one of the results of this restructuring process. Although the regulated utilities procure natural gas supplies for most core customers, core customers have the option to purchase natural gas from independent natural gas marketers, called "core transport agents" (CTA). Contact information for core transport agents can be found on the utilities' web sites. Noncore customers, on the other hand, make natural gas supply arrangements directly with producers or with marketers.

Another option resulting from the restructuring process occurred in 1993, when the Commission removed the utilities' storage service responsibility for noncore customers, along with the cost of this service from noncore customers' transportation rates. The Commission also encouraged the development of independent storage fields, and in subsequent years, all the independent storage fields in California were established. Noncore customers and marketers may now take storage service from the utility or from an independent storage provider (if available), and pay for that service, or may opt to take no storage service at all. For core customers, the Commission assures that the utility has adequate storage capacity set aside to meet core requirements, and core customers pay for that service.

In a 1997 decision, the Commission adopted PG&E's "Gas Accord", which unbundled PG&E's backbone transmission costs from noncore transportation rates. This decision gave customers and marketers the opportunity to obtain pipeline capacity rights on PG&E's backbone transmission pipeline system, if desired, and pay for that service at rates authorized by the Commission. The Gas Accord also required PG&E to set aside a certain amount of backbone transmission capacity in order to deliver gas to its core customers. Subsequent Commission decisions modified and extended the initial terms of the Gas Accord. The "Gas Accord" framework is still in place today for PG&E's backbone and storage rates and services and is now simply referred to as PG&E Gas Transmission and Storage (GT&S).

In a 2006 decision, the Commission adopted a similar gas transmission framework for Southern California, called the "firm access rights" system. SoCalGas and SDG&E implemented the firm access rights (FAR) system in 2008, and it is now referred to as the backbone transmission system (BTS) framework. As under the PG&E backbone transmission system, SoCalGas backbone transmission costs are unbundled from noncore transportation rates. Noncore customers and marketers may obtain, and pay for, firm backbone transmission capacity at various receipt points on the SoCalGas system. A *certain amount of backbone transmission capacity is obtained for core customers to assure meeting their requirements.*

Many if not most noncore customers now use a marketer to provide for several of the services formerly provided by the utility. That is, a noncore customer may simply arrange for a marketer to procure its supplies, and obtain any needed storage and backbone transmission capacity, in order to assure that it will receive its needed deliveries of natural gas supplies. Core customers still mainly rely on the utilities for procurement service, but they have the option to take procurement service from a CTA. Backbone transmission and storage capacity is either set aside or obtained for core customers in amounts to assure very high levels of service.

In order properly operate their natural gas transmission pipeline and storage systems, PG&E and SoCalGas must balance the amount of gas received into the pipeline system and delivered to customers or to storage fields. Some of these utilities' storage capacity is dedicated to this service, and under most circumstances, customers do not need to precisely match their deliveries with their consumption. However, when too much or too little gas is expected to be delivered into the utilities' systems, relative to the amount being consumed, the utilities require customers to more precisely match up their deliveries with their consumption. And, if customers do not meet certain delivery requirements, they could face financial penalties. The utilities do not profit from these financial penalties - the amounts are then returned to customers as a whole. If the utilities find that they are unable to deliver all the gas that is expected to be consumed, they may even call for a curtailment of some gas deliveries. These curtailments are typically required for just the largest, noncore customers. It has been many years since there has been a significant curtailment of core customers in California." (17)

As indicated in the preceding discussions, natural gas is available from a variety of in-state and out-of-state sources and is provided throughout the state in response to market supply and demand. Complementing available natural gas resources, biogas may soon be available via existing delivery systems, thereby increasing the availability and reliability of resources in total. The CPUC oversees utility purchases and transmission of natural gas to ensure reliable and affordable natural gas deliveries to existing and new consumers throughout the State.

2.4 TRANSPORTATION ENERGY RESOURCES

The Project would generate additional vehicle trips with resulting consumption of energy resources, predominantly gasoline and diesel fuel. The Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) identified 36.2 million registered vehicles in California (18), and those vehicles consume an estimated 17.2 billion gallons of fuel each year[1]. Gasoline (and other vehicle fuels) are commercially provided commodities and would be available to the Project patrons and employees via commercial outlets.

[1] Fuel consumptions estimated utilizing information from EMFAC2021.

California’s on-road transportation system includes 396,616 lane miles, more than 26.6 million passenger vehicles and light trucks, and almost 9.0 million medium- and heavy-duty vehicles (18). While gasoline consumption has been declining since 2008 it is still by far the dominant fuel. California is the second-largest consumer of petroleum products, after Texas, and accounts for 10% of the nation's total consumption. The state is the largest U.S. consumer of motor gasoline and jet fuel, and 85% of the petroleum consumed in California is used in the transportation sector (19).

California accounts for less than 1% of total U.S. natural gas reserves and production. As with crude oil, California's natural gas production has experienced a gradual decline since 1985. In 2019, about 37% of the natural gas delivered to consumers went to the state's industrial sector, and about 28% was delivered to the electric power sector. Natural gas fueled more than two-fifths of the state's utility-scale electricity generation in 2019. The residential sector, where two-thirds of California households use natural gas for home heating, accounted for 22% of natural gas deliveries. The commercial sector received 12% of the deliveries to end users and the transportation sector consumed the remaining 1% (19).

This page intentionally left blank

3 REGULATORY BACKGROUND

Federal and state agencies regulate energy use and consumption through various means and programs. On the federal level, the United States Department of Transportation, the United States Department of Energy, and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) are three federal agencies with substantial influence over energy policies and programs. On the state level, the CPUC and the CEC are two agencies with authority over different aspects of energy. Relevant federal and state energy-related laws and plans are summarized below.

3.1 FEDERAL REGULATIONS

3.1.1 INTERMODAL SURFACE TRANSPORTATION EFFICIENCY ACT OF 1991 (ISTEA)

ISTEA promoted the development of inter-modal transportation systems to maximize mobility as well as address national and local interests in air quality and energy. ISTEA contained factors that Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) were to address in developing transportation plans and programs, including some energy-related factors. To meet the new ISTEA requirements, MPOs adopted explicit policies defining the social, economic, energy, and environmental values guiding transportation decisions.

3.1.2 THE TRANSPORTATION EQUITY ACT FOR THE 21ST CENTURY (TEA-21)

TEA-21 was signed into law in 1998 and builds upon the initiatives established in the ISTEA legislation, discussed above. TEA-21 authorizes highway, highway safety, transit, and other efficient surface transportation programs. TEA-21 continues the program structure established for highways and transit under ISTEA, such as flexibility in the use of funds, emphasis on measures to improve the environment, and focus on a strong planning process as the foundation of good transportation decisions. TEA-21 also provides for investment in research and its application to maximize the performance of the transportation system through, for example, deployment of Intelligent Transportation Systems, to help improve operations and management of transportation systems and vehicle safety.

3.2 CALIFORNIA REGULATIONS

3.2.1 INTEGRATED ENERGY POLICY REPORT (IEPR)

Senate Bill 1389 (Bowen, Chapter 568, Statutes of 2002) requires the CEC to prepare a biennial integrated energy policy report that assesses major energy trends and issues facing the state's electricity, natural gas, and transportation fuel sectors and provides policy recommendations to conserve resources; protect the environment; ensure reliable, secure, and diverse energy supplies; enhance the state's economy; and protect public health and safety (Public Resources Code § 25301[a]). The CEC prepares these assessments and associated policy recommendations every two years, with updates in alternate years, as part of the Integrated Energy Policy Report.

The 2022 IEPR was adopted February, 2023, and continues to work towards improving electricity, natural gas, and transportation fuel energy use in California. The 2022 IEPR introduces a new framework for embedding equity and environmental justice at the CEC and the California Energy Planning Library which allows for easier access to energy data and analytics for a wide range of users. Additionally, energy reliability, western electricity integration, gasoline cost factors and price spikes, the role of hydrogen in California's clean energy future, fossil gas transition and distributed energy resources are topics discussed within the 2022 IEPR (20).

3.2.2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA ENERGY PLAN

The CEC is responsible for preparing the State Energy Plan, which identifies emerging trends related to energy supply, demand, conservation, public health and safety, and the maintenance of a healthy economy. The Plan calls for the state to assist in the transformation of the transportation system to improve air quality, reduce congestion, and increase the efficient use of fuel supplies with the least environmental and energy costs. To further this policy, the plan identifies several strategies, including assistance to public agencies and fleet operators and encouragement of urban designs that reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) and accommodate pedestrian and bicycle access.

3.2.3 CALIFORNIA CODE TITLE 24, PART 6, ENERGY EFFICIENCY STANDARDS

California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 24 Part 6: The California Energy Code was first adopted in 1978 in response to a legislative mandate to reduce California's energy consumption.

The standards are updated periodically to allow consideration and possible incorporation of new energy efficient technologies and methods. CCR, Title 24, Part 11: California Green Building Standards Code (CALGreen) is a comprehensive and uniform regulatory code for all residential, commercial, and school buildings that went in effect on August 1, 2009, and is administered by the California Building Standards Commission.

CALGreen is updated on a regular basis, with the most recent approved update consisting of the 2022 California Green Building Code Standards that will be effective on January 1, 2023. The CEC anticipates that the 2022 energy code will provide $1.5 billion in consumer benefits and reduce GHG emissions by 10 million metric tons (21). The Project would be required to comply with the applicable standards in place at the time building permit document submittals are made. These require, among other items (22):

NONRESIDENTIAL MANDATORY MEASURES

- Short-term bicycle parking. If the new project or an additional alteration is anticipated to generate visitor traffic, provide permanently anchored bicycle racks within 200 feet of the visitors' entrance, readily visible to passers-by, for 5% of new visitor motorized vehicle parking spaces being added, with a minimum of one two-bike capacity rack (5.106.4.1.1).
- Long-term bicycle parking. For new buildings with tenant spaces that have 10 or more tenant-occupants, provide secure bicycle parking for 5% of the tenant-occupant vehicular parking spaces with a minimum of one bicycle parking facility (5.106.4.1.2).
- Designated parking for clean air vehicles. In new projects or additions to alterations that add 10 or more vehicular parking spaces, provide designated parking for any combination of low-emitting, fuel-efficient and carpool/van pool vehicles as shown in Table 5.106.5.2 (5.106.5.2).

- EV charging stations. New construction shall facilitate the future installation of EV supply equipment. The compliance requires empty raceways for future conduit and documentation that the electrical system has adequate capacity for the future load. The number of spaces to be provided for is contained in Table 5.106. 5.3.3 (5.106.5.3). Additionally, Table 5.106.5.4.1 specifies requirements for the installation of raceway conduit and panel power requirements for medium- and heavy-duty electric vehicle supply equipment for warehouses, grocery stores, and retail stores.
- Outdoor light pollution reduction. Outdoor lighting systems shall be designed to meet the backlight, uplight and glare ratings per Table 5.106.8 (5.106.8).
- Construction waste management. Recycle and/or salvage for reuse a minimum of 65% of the nonhazardous construction and demolition waste in accordance with Section 5.408.1.1. 5.405.1.2, or 5.408.1.3; or meet a local construction and demolition waste management ordinance, whichever is more stringent (5.408.1).
- Excavated soil and land clearing debris. 100% of trees, stumps, rocks and associated vegetation and soils resulting primarily from land clearing shall be reuse or recycled. For a phased project, such material may be stockpiled on site until the storage site is developed (5.408.3).
- Recycling by Occupants. Provide readily accessible areas that serve the entire building and are identified for the depositing, storage, and collection of non-hazardous materials for recycling, including (at a minimum) paper, corrugated cardboard, glass, plastics, organic waste, and metals or meet a lawfully enacted local recycling ordinance, if more restrictive (5.410.1).
- Water conserving plumbing fixtures and fittings. Plumbing fixtures (water closets and urinals) and fittings (faucets and showerheads) shall comply with the following:
    - Water Closets. The effective flush volume of all water closets shall not exceed 1.28 gallons per flush (5.303.3.1)
    - Urinals. The effective flush volume of wall-mounted urinals shall not exceed 0.125 gallons per flush (5.303.3.2.1). The effective flush volume of floor- mounted or other urinals shall not exceed 0.5 gallons per flush (5.303.3.2.2).
    - Showerheads. Single showerheads shall have a minimum flow rate of not more than 1.8 gallons per minute and 80 psi (5.303.3.3.1). When a shower is served by more than one showerhead, the combine flow rate of all showerheads and/or other shower outlets controlled by a single valve shall not exceed 1.8 gallons per minute at 80 psi (5.303.3.3.2).
    - Faucets and fountains. Nonresidential lavatory faucets shall have a maximum flow rate of not more than 0.5 gallons per minute at 60 psi (5.303.3.4.1). Kitchen faucets shall have a maximum flow rate of not more than 1.8 gallons per minute of 60 psi (5.303.3.4.2). Wash fountains shall have a maximum flow rate of not more than 1.8 gallons per minute (5.303.3.4.3). Metering faucets shall not deliver more than 0.20 gallons per cycle (5.303.3.4.4). Metering faucets for wash fountains shall have a maximum flow rate not more than 0.20 gallons per cycle (5.303.3.4.5).
- Outdoor potable water uses in landscaped areas. Nonresidential developments shall comply with a local water efficient landscape ordinance or the current California Department of Water Resources' Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance (MWELO), whichever is more stringent (5.304.1).

- Water meters. Separate submeters or metering devices shall be installed for new buildings or additions in excess of 50,000 sf or for excess consumption where any tenant within a new building or within an addition that is project to consume more than 1,000 gallons per day (GPD) (5.303.1.1 and 5.303.1.2).
- Outdoor water uses in rehabilitated landscape projects equal or greater than 2,500 sf. Rehabilitated landscape projects with an aggregate landscape area equal to or greater than 2,500 sf requiring a building or landscape permit (5.304.3).

Commissioning. For new buildings 10,000 sf and over, building commissioning shall be included in the design and construction processes of the building project to verify that the building systems and components meet the owner's or owner representative's project requirements (5.410.2).

3.2.4 AB 1493 PAVLEY REGULATIONS AND FUEL EFFICIENCY STANDARDS

California AB 1493, enacted on July 22, 2002, required CARB to develop and adopt regulations that reduce GHGs emitted by passenger vehicles and light duty trucks. Under this legislation, CARB adopted regulations to reduce GHG emissions from non-commercial passenger vehicles (cars and light-duty trucks). Although aimed at reducing GHG emissions, specifically, a co-benefit of the Pavley standards is an improvement in fuel efficiency and consequently a reduction in fuel consumption.

3.2.5 CALIFORNIA'S RENEWABLE PORTFOLIO STANDARD (RPS)

First established in 2002 under Senate Bill (SB) 1078, California's Renewable Portfolio Standards (RPS) requires retail sellers of electric services to increase procurement from eligible renewable resources to 33% of total retail sales by 2020 (23).

3.2.6 CLEAN ENERGY AND POLLUTION REDUCTION ACT OF 2015 (SB 350)

In October 2015, the legislature approved, and the Governor signed SB 350, which reaffirms California's commitment to reducing its GHG emissions and addressing climate change. Key provisions include an increase in the renewables portfolio standard (RPS), higher energy efficiency requirements for buildings, initial strategies towards a regional electricity grid, and improved infrastructure for electric vehicle charging stations. Specifically, SB 350 requires the following to reduce statewide GHG emissions:

- Increase the amount of electricity procured from renewable energy sources from 33% to 50% by 2030, with interim targets of 40% by 2024, and 25% by 2027.
- Double the energy efficiency in existing buildings by 2030. This target will be achieved through the California Public Utility Commission (CPUC), the CEC, and local publicly owned utilities.
- Reorganize the Independent System Operator (ISO) to develop more regional electrify transmission markets and to improve accessibility in these markets, which will facilitate the growth of renewable energy markets in the western United States (California Leginfo 2015).

This page intentionally left blank

4 PROJECT ENERGY DEMANDS AND ENERGY EFFICIENCY MEASURES

4.1 EVALUATION CRITERIA

Appendix F of the *State CEQA Guidelines* (24), states that the means of achieving the goal of energy conservation includes the following:

- Decreasing overall per capita energy consumption;
- Decreasing reliance on fossil fuels such as coal, natural gas, and oil; and
- Increasing reliance on renewable energy sources.

In compliance with Appendix G of the *State CEQA Guidelines* (25), this report analyzes the project's anticipated energy use during construction and operations to determine if the Project would:

- Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation; or
- Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency

4.2 METHODOLOGY

Information from the CalEEMod Version 2022.1 outputs for the *Rubidoux Air Quality Impact Analysis* (AQIA) (26) was utilized in this analysis, detailing Project related construction equipment, transportation energy demands, and facility energy demands.

4.2.1 CALEEMOD

In May 2022 California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA) in conjunction with other California air districts, including SCAQMD, released the latest version of the CalEEMod Version 2022.1. The purpose of this model is to calculate construction-source and operational-source criteria pollutants and GHG emissions from direct and indirect sources as well as energy usage (27). Accordingly, the latest version of CalEEMod has been used to determine the proposed Project's anticipated transportation and facility energy demands. Outputs from the annual model runs are provided in Appendices 4.1 and 4.2.

4.2.2 EMISSION FACTORS MODEL

On May 2, 2022, the EPA approved the 2021 version of the EMissions FACtor model (EMFAC2021) web database for use in State Implementation Plan and transportation conformity analyses. EMFAC2021 is a mathematical model that was developed to calculate emission rates, fuel consumption, VMT from motor vehicles that operate on highways, freeways, and local roads in California and is commonly used by the CARB to project changes in future emissions from on-road mobile sources (28). This energy study utilizes the different fuel types for each vehicle class from the annual EMFAC2021 emission inventory in order to derive the average vehicle fuel economy which is then used to determine the estimated annual fuel consumption associated with vehicle usage during Project construction and operational activities. For purposes of analysis, the 2023, 2024, and 2025 analysis years were utilized to determine the average vehicle fuel economy used throughout the duration of the Project. Output from the EMFAC2021 model run is provided in Appendix 4.3.

4.3 CONSTRUCTION ENERGY DEMANDS

The focus within this section is the energy implications of the construction process, specifically the power cost from on-site electricity consumption during construction of the proposed Project.

4.3.1 CONSTRUCTION POWER COST

The total Project construction power costs is the summation of the products of the area (sf) by the construction duration and the typical power cost.

CONSTRUCTION DURATION

For purposes of analysis, construction is expected to commence in January 2023 and will last through June 2025 (26). The construction schedule utilized in the analysis, shown in Table 4-1, represents a "worst-case" analysis scenario. The duration of construction activity and associated equipment represents a reasonable approximation of the expected construction fleet as required per *CEQA Guidelines* (29).

TABLE 4-1: CONSTRUCTION DURATION

Construction Activity	Start Date	End Date	Days
Demolition	1/2/2023	1/27/2023	20
Site Preparation	1/30/2023	4/7/2023	50
Grading	4/8/2023	12/29/2023	190
Building Construction	12/30/2023	4/25/2025	345
Paving	1/1/2025	6/3/2025	110
Architectural Coating	6/16/2024	4/20/2025	220

PROJECT CONSTRUCTION POWER COST

The *2023 National Construction Estimator* identifies a typical power cost per 1,000 sf of construction per month of $2.50, which was used to calculate the Project's total construction power cost (30).

As shown on Table 4-2, the total power cost of the on-site electricity usage during the construction of the Project is estimated to be approximately $250,798.53.

TABLE 4-2: CONSTRUCTION POWER COST

Land Use	**Power Cost** (per 1,000 SF of construction per month)	**Size** (1,000 SF)	**Construction Duration** (months)	**Project Construction Power Cost**
General Light Industrial	$2.50	597.085	29	$43,288.66
Manufacturing	$2.50	597.085	29	$43,288.66
Other Asphalt Surfaces	$2.50	2,265.120	29	$164,221.20
			CONSTRUCTION POWER COST	***$250,798.53***

4.3.2 CONSTRUCTION ELECTRICITY USAGE

The total Project construction electricity usage is the summation of the products of the power cost (estimated in Table 4-2) by the utility provider cost per kilowatt hour (kWh) of electricity.

PROJECT CONSTRUCTION ELECTRICITY USAGE

The SCE's general service rate schedule were used to determine the Project's electrical usage. As of January 1, 2023, SCE's general service rate is $0.13 per kilowatt hours (kWh) of electricity for industrial services (32). As shown on Table 4-3, the total electricity usage from on-site Project construction related activities is estimated to be approximately 1,938,764 kWh.

TABLE 4-3: CONSTRUCTION ELECTRICITY USAGE

Land Use	Cost per kWh	Project Construction Electricity Usage (kWh)
General Light Industrial	$0.13	334,637
Manufacturing	$0.13	334,637
Other Asphalt Surfaces	$0.13	1,269,490
	CONSTRUCTION ELECTRICITY USAGE	***1,938,764***

4.3.3 CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT FUEL ESTIMATES

Fuel consumed by construction equipment would be the primary energy resource expended over the course of Project construction.

CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT

Consistent with industry standards and typical construction practices, each piece of equipment listed in Table 4-4 will operate up to a total of eight (8) hours per day, or more than two-thirds of the period during which construction activities are allowed. It should be noted that most pieces of equipment would likely operate for fewer hours per day. A summary of construction equipment assumptions by phase is provided at Table 4-4.

TABLE 4-4: CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT ASSUMPTIONS

Construction Activity	Equipment	Amount	Hours Per Day
Demolition	Concrete Industrial Saw	1	8
	Excavators	3	8
	Rubber Tired Dozers	2	8
Site Preparation	Crawler Tractors	4	8
	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8
Grading	Crawler Tractors	2	8
	Excavators	2	8
	Graders	1	8
	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8
	Scrapers	2	8
Building Construction	Cranes	1	8
	Forklifts	3	8
	Generator Sets	1	8
	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	8
	Welders	1	8
Paving	Pavers	2	8
	Paving Equipment	2	8
	Rollers	2	8
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	8

PROJECT CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT FUEL CONSUMPTION

Project construction activity timeline estimates, construction equipment schedules, equipment power ratings, load factors, and associated fuel consumption estimates are presented in Table 4-5. The aggregate fuel consumption rate for all equipment is estimated at 18.5 horsepower hour per gallon (hp-hr-gal.), obtained from CARB 2018 Emissions Factors Tables and cited fuel consumption rate factors presented in Table D-24 of the Moyer guidelines (34). For the purposes of this analysis, the calculations are based on all construction equipment being diesel-powered which is consistent with industry standards.

TABLE 4-5: CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT FUEL CONSUMPTION ESTIMATES

Activity/Duration	Duration (Days)	Equipment	HP Rating	Quantity	Usage Hours	Load Factor	HP-hrs/day	Total Fuel Consumption (gal. diesel fuel)
Demolition	20	Concrete Industrial Saw	33	1	8	0.73	193	208
		Excavators	36	3	8	0.38	328	355
		Rubber Tired Dozers	367	2	8	0.4	2,349	2,539
Site Preparation	50	Crawler Tractors	367	4	8	0.4	4,698	12,696
		Rubber Tired Dozers	87	3	8	0.43	898	2,427
Grading	190	Crawler Tractors	148	2	8	0.41	971	9,971
		Excavators	36	2	8	0.38	219	2,248
		Graders	87	1	8	0.43	299	3,074
		Scrapers	423	2	8	0.48	3,249	33,364
		Rubber Tired Dozers	367	1	8	0.4	1,174	12,061
Building Construction	345	Cranes	82	1	8	0.2	131	2,447
		Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	14	3	8	0.74	249	4,637
		Forklifts	367	3	8	0.29	2,554	47,635
		Generator Sets	46	1	8	0.45	166	3,088
		Welders	84	1	8	0.37	249	4,637
Paving	110	Pavers	81	2	8	0.42	544	3,236
		Paving Equipment	89	2	8	0.36	513	3,048
		Rollers	36	2	8	0.38	219	1,301
Architectural Coating	220	Air Compressors	37	1	8	0.48	142	1,690
CONSTRUCTION FUEL DEMAND (GALLONS DIESEL FUEL)								***150,663***

Diesel fuel would be supplied by existing commercial fuel providers serving the Project area and region[2]. As previously presented in Table 4-5, Project construction activities would consume an estimated 150,663 gallons of diesel fuel. Project construction would represent a "single-event" diesel fuel demand and would not require on-going or permanent commitment of diesel fuel resources for this purpose.

4.3.4 CONSTRUCTION TRIPS AND VMT

Construction generates on-road vehicle emissions from vehicle usage for workers and vendors commuting to and from the site. The number of workers and vendor trips are presented below in Table 4-6.

TABLE 4-6: CONSTRUCTION TRIPS AND VMT

Construction Activity	Worker Trips Per Day	Vendor Trips Per Day	Hauling Trips Per Day
Demolition	15	6	6
Site Preparation	18	16	0
Grading	20	59	143
Building Construction	502	108	0
Paving	15	0	0
Architectural Coating	100	0	0

4.3.5 CONSTRUCTION WORKER FUEL ESTIMATES

With respect to estimated VMT for the Project, the construction worker trips (personal vehicles used by workers commuting to the Project from home) would generate an estimated 3,743,753 VMT during the 29 months of construction (26). Based on CalEEMod methodology, it is assumed that 50% of all construction worker trips are from light-duty-auto vehicles (LDA), 25% are from light-duty-trucks (LDT1[3]), and 25% are from light-duty-trucks (LDT2[4]). Data regarding Project related construction worker trips were based on CalEEMod defaults utilized within the AQIA.

Vehicle fuel efficiencies for LDA, LDT1, and LDT2 were estimated using information generated within the 2021 version of the EMFAC developed by CARB. EMFAC2021 is a mathematical model that was developed to calculate emission rates, fuel consumption, and VMT from motor vehicles that operate on highways, freeways, and local roads in California and is commonly used by the CARB to project changes in future emissions from on-road mobile sources (28). EMFAC2021 was run for the LDA, LDT1, and LDT2 vehicle class within the California sub-area for the 2023 and 2024 calendar years. Data from EMFAC2021 is shown in Appendix 4.3.

[2] Based on Appendix A of the CalEEMod User's Guide, Construction consists of several types of off-road equipment. Since the majority of the off-road construction equipment used for construction projects are diesel fueled, CalEEMod assumes all of the equipment operates on diesel fuel.

[3] Vehicles under the LDT1 category have a gross vehicle weight rating (GVWR) of less than 6,000 lbs. and equivalent test weight (ETW) of less than or equal to 3,750 lbs.

[4] Vehicles under the LDT2 category have a GVWR of less than 6,000 lbs. and ETW between 3,751 lbs. and 5,750 lbs.

Table 4-7 provides an estimated annual fuel consumption resulting from Project construction worker trips. Based on Table 4-7, it is estimated that 134,707 gallons of fuel will be consumed related to construction worker trips during full construction of the Project.

TABLE 4-7: CONSTRUCTION WORKER FUEL CONSUMPTION ESTIMATES

Construction Activity	Duration (Days)	Worker Trips/Day	Trip Length (miles)	VMT	Average Vehicle Fuel Economy (mpg)	Estimated Fuel Consumption (gallons)
LDA						
2023						
Demolition	20	8	18.5	2,960	30.60	97
Site Preparation	50	9	18.5	8,325	30.60	272
Grading	190	10	18.5	35,150	30.60	1,149
2024						
Building Construction	262	251	18.5	1,216,597	31.51	38,615
Architectural Coating	142	50	18.5	131,350	31.51	4,169
2025						
Building Construction	83	251	18.5	385,411	32.49	11,862
Paving	110	8	18.5	16,280	32.49	501
Architectural Coating	78	50	18.5	72,150	32.49	2,221
LDT1						
2023						
Demolition	20	4	18.5	1,480	24.15	61
Site Preparation	50	5	18.5	4,625	24.15	191
Grading	190	5	18.5	17,575	24.15	728
2024						
Building Construction	262	126	18.5	610,722	24.62	24,803
Architectural Coating	142	25	18.5	65,675	24.62	2,667
2025						
Building Construction	83	126	18.5	193,473	25.14	7,696
Paving	110	4	18.5	8,140	25.14	324
Architectural Coating	78	25	18.5	36,075	25.14	1,435

Construction Activity	Duration (Days)	Worker Trips/Day	Trip Length (miles)	VMT	Average Vehicle Fuel Economy (mpg)	Estimated Fuel Consumption (gallons)
LDT2						
2023						
Demolition	20	4	18.5	1,480	23.88	62
Site Preparation	50	5	18.5	4,625	23.88	194
Grading	190	5	18.5	17,575	23.88	736
2024						
Building Construction	262	126	18.5	610,722	24.57	24,854
Architectural Coating	142	25	18.5	65,675	24.57	2,673
2025						
Building Construction	83	126	18.5	193,473	25.29	7,650
Paving	110	4	18.5	8,140	25.29	322
Architectural Coating	78	25	18.5	36,075	25.29	1,426
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION WORKER FUEL CONSUMPTION						***134,707***

It should be noted that construction worker trips would represent a "single-event" gasoline fuel demand and would not require on-going or permanent commitment of fuel resources for this purpose.

4.3.6 CONSTRUCTION VENDOR FUEL ESTIMATES

With respect to estimated VMT, the construction vendor trips (vehicles that deliver materials to the site during construction) would generate an estimated 505,716 VMT along area roadways for the Project over the duration of construction activity (26). It is assumed that 50% of all vendor trips are from medium-heavy duty trucks (MHD) and 50% are from heavy-heavy duty trucks (HHD). These assumptions are consistent with the CalEEMod defaults utilized within the within the AQIA (26). Vehicle fuel efficiencies for MHDs and HHDs were estimated using information generated within EMFAC2021. EMFAC2021 was run for the MHD and HHD vehicle classes within the Riverside County sub-area for the 2023, 2024, and 2025 calendar years. Data from EMFAC2021 is shown in Appendix 4.3.

Based on Table 4-8, it is estimated that 71,094 gallons of fuel will be consumed related to construction vendor trips during full construction of the Project.

TABLE 4-8: CONSTRUCTION VENDOR FUEL CONSUMPTION ESTIMATES

Construction Activity	Duration (Days)	Worker Trips/Day	Trip Length (miles)	VMT	Average Vehicle Fuel Economy (mpg)	Estimated Fuel Consumption (gallons)
MHD						
2023						
Demolition	20	3	10.2	612	8.42	73
Site Preparation	50	8	10.2	4,080	8.42	484
Grading	190	30	10.2	58,140	8.42	6,904
2024						
Building Construction	262	54	10.2	144,310	8.49	16,990
2025						
Building Construction	83	54	10.2	45,716	8.60	5,315
HHD						
2023						
Demolition	20	3	10.2	612	6.04	101
Site Preparation	50	8	10.2	4,080	6.04	675
Grading	190	30	10.2	58,140	6.04	9,622
2024						
Building Construction	262	54	10.2	144,310	6.12	23,576
2025						
Building Construction	83	54	10.2	45,716	6.22	7,353
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION VENDOR FUEL CONSUMPTION						***71,094***

It should be noted that Project construction vendor trips would represent a "single-event" diesel fuel demand and would not require on-going or permanent commitment of diesel fuel resources for this purpose.

4.3.7 CONSTRUCTION HAULING FUEL ESTIMATES

With respect to estimated VMT, the construction hauling trips (vehicles that haul demolished building materials or the import or export of soil) would generate an estimated 545,800 VMT along area roadways for the Project over the duration of construction activity (26). It is assumed that all hauling trips are from heavy-heavy duty trucks (HHD). These assumptions are consistent with the CalEEMod defaults utilized within the within the AQIA (26). Vehicle fuel efficiencies for HHDs were estimated using information generated within EMFAC2021. EMFAC2021 was run for the HHD vehicle class within the Riverside County sub-area for the 2023, 2024, and 2025 calendar years. Data from EMFAC2021 is shown in Appendix 4.3.

TABLE 4-9: CONSTRUCTION HAULING FUEL CONSUMPTION ESTIMATES

Construction Activity	**Duration** (Days)	**Worker Trips/Day**	**Trip Length** (miles)	**VMT**	**Average Vehicle Fuel Economy** (mpg)	**Estimated Fuel Consumption** (gallons)
HHD (Hauling)						
2023						
Demolition	20	6	20	2,400	6.04	397
Grading	190	143	20	543,400	6.04	89,928
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION HAULING FUEL CONSUMPTION						***90,325***

It should be noted that Project construction hauling trips would represent a “single-event” diesel fuel demand and would not require on-going or permanent commitment of diesel fuel resources for this purpose.

4.3.8 CONSTRUCTION ENERGY EFFICIENCY/CONSERVATION MEASURES

Starting in 2014, CARB adopted the nation's first regulation aimed at cleaning up off-road construction equipment such as bulldozers, graders, and backhoes. These requirements ensure fleets gradually turnover the oldest and dirtiest equipment to newer, cleaner models and prevent fleets from adding older, dirtier equipment. As such, the equipment used for Project construction would conform to CARB regulations and California emissions standards. It should also be noted that there are no unusual Project characteristics or construction processes that would require the use of equipment that would be more energy intensive than is used for comparable activities; or equipment that would not conform to current emissions standards (and related fuel efficiencies). Equipment employed in construction of the Project would therefore not result in inefficient wasteful, or unnecessary consumption of fuel.

Construction contractors would be required to comply with applicable CARB regulation regarding retrofitting, repowering, or replacement of diesel off-road construction equipment. Additionally, CARB has adopted the Airborne Toxic Control Measure to limit heavy-duty diesel motor vehicle idling in order to reduce public exposure to diesel particulate matter and other Toxic Air Contaminants. Compliance with anti-idling and emissions regulations would result in a more efficient use of construction-related energy and the minimization or elimination of wasteful or unnecessary consumption of energy. Idling restrictions and the use of newer engines and equipment would result in less fuel combustion and energy consumption.

Additional construction-source energy efficiencies would occur due to required California regulations and best available control measures (BACM). For example, CCR Title 13, Motor Vehicles, section 2449(d)(3) Idling, limits idling times of construction vehicles to no more than five minutes, thereby precluding unnecessary and wasteful consumption of fuel due to unproductive idling of construction equipment. Section 2449(d)(3) requires that grading plans shall reference the requirement that a sign shall be posted on-site stating that construction workers need to shut off engines at or before five minutes of idling.” In this manner, construction equipment operators are required to be informed that engines are to be turned off at or prior to five minutes of idling. Enforcement of idling limitations is realized through periodic site inspections conducted by County building officials, and/or in response to citizen complaints.

A full analysis related to the energy needed to form construction materials is not included in this analysis due to a lack of detailed Project-specific information on construction materials. At this time, an analysis of the energy needed to create Project-related construction materials would be extremely speculative and thus has not been prepared.

In general, the construction processes promote conservation and efficient use of energy by reducing raw materials demands, with related reduction in energy demands associated with raw materials extraction, transportation, processing, and refinement. Use of materials in bulk reduces energy demands associated with preparation and transport of construction materials as well as the transport and disposal of construction waste and solid waste in general, with corollary reduced demands on area landfill capacities and energy consumed by waste transport and landfill operations.

4.4 OPERATIONAL ENERGY DEMANDS

Energy consumption in support of or related to Project operations would include transportation fuel demands (fuel consumed by passenger car and truck vehicles accessing the Project site), fuel demands from operational equipment, and facilities energy demands (energy consumed by building operations and site maintenance activities).

4.4.1 TRANSPORTATION FUEL DEMANDS

Energy that would be consumed by Project-generated traffic is a function of total VMT and estimated vehicle fuel economies of vehicles accessing the Project site. The VMT per vehicle class can be determined by evaluated in the vehicle fleet mix and the total VMT. As with worker and vendors trips, operational vehicle fuel efficiencies were estimated using information generated within EMFAC2021 developed by CARB (28). EMFAC2021 was run for the Riverside County sub-area for the 2025 calendar year. Data from EMFAC2021 is shown in Appendix 4.3.

As summarized on Table 4-10 the Project will result in 21,782,868 annual VMT and an estimated annual fuel consumption of 1,118,029 gallons of fuel.

TABLE 4-10: TOTAL PROJECT-GENERATED TRAFFIC ANNUAL FUEL CONSUMPTION

Vehicle Type	Average Vehicle Fuel Economy (mpg)	Annual VMT	Estimated Annual Fuel Consumption (gallons)
LDA	32.49	9,938,923	305,902
LDT1	25.14	782,172	31,112
LDT2	25.29	4,029,359	159,322
MDV	20.32	3,196,869	157,333
MCY	41.89	469,158	11,200
LHDT1	16.52	446,687	27,041
LHDT2	15.75	126,846	8,053
MHDT	8.60	698,214	81,180
HHDT	6.22	2,094,641	336,886
	TOTAL (ALL VEHICLES)	**21,782,868**	**1,118,029**

4.4.3 FACILITY ENERGY DEMANDS

Based on data provided by the Project applicant, the proposed Project would not utilize natural gas. However, Project building operations and activities would result in the consumption of electricity, which would be supplied to the Project by SCE. Annual energy demands of the Project are summarized in Table 4-11.

TABLE 4-11: PROJECT ANNUAL OPERATIONAL ENERGY DEMAND SUMMARY

Land Use	Electricity Demand (kWh/year)
Manufacturing	5,713,549
General Light Industrial	5,713,549
TOTAL PROJECT ENERGY DEMAND	***11,427,098***

4.4.4 OPERATIONAL ENERGY EFFICIENCY/CONSERVATION MEASURES

Energy efficiency/energy conservation attributes of the Project would be complemented by increasingly stringent state and federal regulatory actions addressing vehicle fuel economies and vehicle emissions standards; and enhanced building/utilities energy efficiencies mandated under California building codes (e.g., Title 24, California Green Building Standards Code).

ENHANCED VEHICLE FUEL EFFICIENCIES

Project annual fuel consumption estimates presented previously in Table 4-10 represent likely potential maximums that would occur for the Project. Under subsequent future conditions, average fuel economies of vehicles accessing the Project site can be expected to improve as older, less fuel-efficient vehicles are removed from circulation, and in response to fuel economy and emissions standards imposed on newer vehicles entering the circulation system.

Enhanced fuel economies realized pursuant to federal and state regulatory actions, and related transition of vehicles to alternative energy sources (e.g., electricity, natural gas, biofuels, hydrogen cells) would likely decrease future gasoline fuel demands per VMT. Location of the Project proximate to regional and local roadway systems tends to reduce VMT within the region, acting to reduce regional vehicle energy demands.

4.5 SUMMARY

4.5.1 CONSTRUCTION ENERGY DEMANDS

The estimated power cost of on-site electricity usage during the construction of the Project is assumed to be approximately $250,798.53. Additionally, based on the assumed power cost, it is estimated that the total electricity usage during construction, after full Project build-out, is calculated to be approximately 1,938,764 kWh.

Construction equipment used by the Project would result in single event consumption of approximately 150,663 gallons of diesel fuel. Construction equipment use of fuel would not be atypical for the type of construction proposed because there are no aspects of the Project's proposed construction process that are unusual or energy-intensive, and Project construction equipment would conform to the applicable CARB emissions standards, acting to promote equipment fuel efficiencies.

CCR Title 13, Title 13, Motor Vehicles, section 2449(d)(3) Idling, limits idling times of construction vehicles to no more than 5 minutes, thereby precluding unnecessary and wasteful consumption of fuel due to unproductive idling of construction equipment. BACMs inform construction equipment operators of this requirement. Enforcement of idling limitations is realized through periodic site inspections conducted by County building officials, and/or in response to citizen complaints.

Construction worker trips for full construction of the Project would result in the estimated fuel consumption of 134,707 gallons of fuel. Additionally, fuel consumption from construction vendor trips (MHDs and HHDs) will total approximately 71,094 gallons and fuel consumption from hauling trips (HHDs) would total approximately 90,325 gallons. Diesel fuel would be supplied by County and regional commercial vendors. Indirectly, construction energy efficiencies and energy conservation would be achieved using bulk purchases, transport and use of construction materials. The 2022 IEPR released by the CEC has shown that fuel efficiencies are getting better within on and off-road vehicle engines due to more stringent government requirements (20). As supported by the preceding discussions, Project construction energy consumption would not be considered inefficient, wasteful, or otherwise unnecessary.

4.5.2 OPERATIONAL ENERGY DEMANDS

TRANSPORTATION ENERGY DEMANDS

Annual vehicular trips and related VMT generated by the operation of the Project would result in a fuel demand of 1,118,029 gallons of fuel.

Fuel would be provided by current and future commercial vendors. Trip generation and VMT generated by the Project are consistent with other industrial uses of similar scale and configuration, as reflected respectively in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual (11th Ed., 2021); and CalEEMod. As such, Project operations would not result in excessive and wasteful vehicle trips and VMT, nor excess and wasteful vehicle energy consumption compared to other industrial uses.

It should be noted that the state strategy for the transportation sector for medium and heavy-duty trucks is focused on making trucks more efficient and expediting truck turnover rather than reducing VMT from trucks. This is in contrast to the passenger vehicle component of the transportation sector where both per-capita VMT reductions and an increase in vehicle efficiency are forecasted to be needed to achieve the overall state emissions reductions goals.

Heavy duty trucks involved in goods movements are generally controlled on the technology side and through fleet turnover of older trucks and engines to newer and cleaner trucks and engines. The first battery-electric heavy-heavy duty trucks are being tested this year and SCAQMD is looking to integrate this new technology into large-scale truck operations. The following state strategies reduce GHG emissions from the medium and heavy-duty trucks:

- CARB's Mobile Source Strategy focuses on reducing GHGs through the transition to zero and low emission vehicles and from medium-duty and heavy-duty trucks.
- CARB's Sustainable Freight Action Plan establishes a goal to improve freight efficiency by 25% by 2030, deploy over 100,000 freight vehicles and equipment capable of zero emission operation and maximize both zero and near-zero emission freight vehicles and equipment powered by renewable energy by 2030.
- CARB's Emissions Reduction Plan for Ports and Goods Movement (Goods Movement Plan) in California focuses on reducing heavy-duty truck-related emissions focus on establishment of emissions standards for trucks, fleet turnover, truck retrofits, and restriction on truck idling (CARB 2006). While the focus of Goods Movement Plan is to reduce criteria air pollutant and air toxic emissions, the strategies to reduce these pollutants would also generally have a beneficial effect in reducing GHG emissions.
- CARB's On-Road Truck and Bus Regulation (2010) requires diesel trucks and buses that operate in California to be upgraded to reduce emissions. Newer heavier trucks and buses must meet particulate matter filter requirements beginning January 1, 2012. Lighter and older heavier trucks must be replaced starting January 1, 2015. By January 1, 2023, nearly all trucks and buses will need to have 2010 model year engines or equivalent (35).
- CARB's Heavy-Duty (Tractor-Trailer) GHG Regulation requires SmartWay tractor trailers that include idle-reduction technologies, aerodynamic technologies, and low-rolling resistant tires that would reduce fuel consumption and associated GHG emissions.

The proposed Project would implement project design features that would facilitate the accessibility, parking, and loading of trucks on site.

Enhanced fuel economies realized pursuant to federal and state regulatory actions, and related transition of vehicles to alternative energy sources (e.g., electricity, natural gas, biofuels, hydrogen cells) would likely decrease future gasoline fuel demands per VMT. Location of the

Project proximate to regional and local roadway systems tends to reduce VMT within the region, acting to reduce regional vehicle energy demands. The Project would implement sidewalks, facilitating and encouraging pedestrian access. Facilitating pedestrian and bicycle access would reduce VMT and associated energy consumption. In compliance with the California Green Building Standards Code and County requirements, the Project would promote the use of bicycles as an alternative mean of transportation by providing short-term and/or long-term bicycle parking accommodations. As supported by the preceding discussions, Project transportation energy consumption would not be considered inefficient, wasteful, or otherwise unnecessary.

FACILITY ENERGY DEMANDS

Project facility operational energy demands are estimated at 11,427,098 kWh/year of electricity. Electricity would be supplied by SCE; natural gas will not be utilized by the project. The Project proposes conventional industrial uses reflecting contemporary energy efficient/energy conserving designs and operational programs. The Project does not propose uses that are inherently energy intensive and the energy demands in total would be comparable to other industrial uses of similar scale and configuration.

Lastly, the Project will comply with the applicable Title 24 standards. Compliance itself with applicable Title 24 standards will ensure that the Project energy demands would not be inefficient, wasteful, or otherwise unnecessary.

This page intentionally left blank

5 CONCLUSIONS

5.1 ENERGY IMPACT 1

Would the Project result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation?

As supported by the preceding analyses, Project construction and operations would not result in the inefficient, wasteful, or unnecessary consumption of energy. The Project would therefore not cause or result in the need for additional energy producing or transmission facilities. The Project would not engage in wasteful or inefficient uses of energy and aims to achieve energy conservations goals within the State of California.

5.2 ENERGY IMPACT 2

Would the Project conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency?

The Project's consistency with the applicable state and local plans is discussed below.

CONSISTENCY WITH ISTEA

Transportation and access to the Project site is provided by the local and regional roadway systems. The Project would not interfere with, nor otherwise obstruct intermodal transportation plans or projects that may be realized pursuant to the ISTEA because SCAG is not planning for intermodal facilities on or through the Project site.

CONSISTENCY WITH TEA-21

The Project site is located along major transportation corridors with proximate access to the Interstate freeway system. The site selected for the Project facilitates access, acts to reduce vehicle miles traveled, takes advantage of existing infrastructure systems, and promotes land use compatibilities through collocation of similar uses. The Project supports the strong planning processes emphasized under TEA-21. The Project is therefore consistent with, and would not otherwise interfere with, nor obstruct implementation of TEA-21.

CONSISTENCY WITH IEPR

Electricity would be provided to the Project by SCE. SCE's *Clean Power and Electrification Pathway* (CPEP) white paper builds on existing state programs and policies. As such, the Project is consistent with, and would not otherwise interfere with, nor obstruct implementation the goals presented in the 2022 IEPR.

Additionally, the Project will comply with the applicable Title 24 standards which would ensure that the Project energy demands would not be inefficient, wasteful, or otherwise unnecessary. As such, development of the proposed Project would support the goals presented in the 2022 IEPR.

CONSISTENCY WITH STATE OF CALIFORNIA ENERGY PLAN

The Project site is located along major transportation corridors with proximate access to the Interstate freeway system. The site selected for the Project facilitates access and takes advantage of existing infrastructure systems. The Project therefore supports urban design and planning processes identified under the State of California Energy Plan, is consistent with, and would not otherwise interfere with, nor obstruct implementation of the State of California Energy Plan.

CONSISTENCY WITH CALIFORNIA CODE TITLE 24, PART 6, ENERGY EFFICIENCY STANDARDS

The 2022 version of Title 24 was adopted by the CEC and will become effective on January 1, 2023. The Project would be required to comply with the applicable standards in place at the time building permit document submittals are made. The CEC anticipates that the 2022 energy code will provide $1.5 billion in consumer benefits and reduce GHG emissions by 10 million metric tons (21). Therefore, the Project would not result in a significant impact on energy resources (36). The proposed Project would be subject to Title 24 standards.

CONSISTENCY WITH CALIFORNIA CODE TITLE 24, PART 11, CALGREEN

As previously stated, CCR, Title 24, Part 11: CALGreen is a comprehensive and uniform regulatory code for all residential, commercial, and school buildings that went in effect on January 1, 2009, and is administered by the California Building Standards Commission. CALGreen is updated on a regular basis, with the most recent approved update consisting of the 2022 California Green Building Code Standards that were published on July 1, 2022 and will become effective on January 1, 2023. The Project would be required to comply with the applicable standards in place at the time building permit document submittals are made.

CONSISTENCY WITH AB 1493

AB 1493 is not applicable to the Project as it is a statewide measure establishing vehicle emissions standards. No feature of the Project would interfere with implementation of the requirements under AB 1493.

CONSISTENCY WITH RPS

California's RPS is not applicable to the Project as it is a statewide measure that establishes a renewable energy mix. No feature of the Project would interfere with implementation of the requirements under RPS.

CONSISTENCY WITH SB 350

The proposed Project would use energy from SCE, which have committed to diversify their portfolio of energy sources by increasing energy from wind and solar sources. No feature of the Project would interfere with implementation of SB 350. Additionally, the Project would be designed and constructed to implement the energy efficiency measures for new industrial developments and would include several measures designed to reduce energy consumption.

As shown above, the Project would not conflict with any of the state or local plans. As such, a less than significant impact is expected.

This page intentionally left blank

6 REFERENCES

1. **Association of Environmental Professionals.** *2020 CEQA California Environmental Quality Act.* 2020.
2. **Administration, U.S. Energy Information.** California State Profile and Energy Estimates. [Online] https://www.eia.gov/state/data.php?sid=CA#ConsumptionExpenditures.
3. **California Energy Commission.** *Transportation Energy Demand Forecast 2018-2030.* 2018.
4. **U.S. Department of Energy.** Alternate Fuels Data Center. *U.S. Department of Energy.* [Online] https://afdc.energy.gov/states/ca.
5. **U.S. Energy Information Administration.** California Energy Consumption by End-Use Sector. *California State Profile and Energy Estimates.* [Online] https://www.eia.gov/state/?sid=CA#tabs-2.
6. **California Energy Commission.** 2021 Total System Electric Generation. *CA.gov.* [Online] https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/california-electricity-data/2021-total-system-electric-generation#:~:text=Total%20generation%20for%20California%20was,from%2090%2C208%20GWh%20in%202020)..
7. **Administration, U.S. Energy Information.** California State Profile and Energy Estimates. [Online] https://www.eia.gov/state/data.php?sid=CA#ConsumptionExpenditures.
8. **U.S. Department of Energy.** Alternate Fuels Data Center. [Online] https://afdc.energy.gov/states/ca.
9. **California Energy Commission.** 2020 Total System Electric Generation. *CA.gov.* [Online] https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/california-electricity-data/2020-total-system-electric-generation.
10. **U.S. Energy Information Administration.** California State Profile and Energy Estimates . [Online] https://www.eia.gov/state/?sid=CA.
11. **California Energy Commission.** 2013 Integrated Energy Policy Report. [Online] 2013. http://www.energy.ca.gov/2013publications/CEC-100-2013-001/CEC-100-2013-001-CMF.pdf.
12. **California ISO.** Understanding the ISO. [Online] http://www.caiso.com/about/Pages/OurBusiness/UnderstandingtheISO/default.aspx.
13. **Southern California Edison. *Southern California Edison's Service Area.* [Online] https://download.newsroom.edison.com/create_memory_file/?f_id=5cc32d492cfac24d21aecf4c&content_verified=True.**
14. **Southern Californai Edison. 2021 Power Content Label. *Southern California Edison.* [Online] https://www.sce.com/sites/default/files/custom-files/Web%20files/2021%20Power%20Content%20Label.pdf.**
15. **California Energy Commission. California Energy Almanac. *Utility Energy Supply Plans from 2013.* [Online] https://www.energy.ca.gov/almanac/electricity_data/s-2_supply_forms_2013/.**
16. **Southern Californai Edison. 2019 Power Content Label. *Southern California Edison.* [Online] https://www.sce.com/sites/default/files/inline-files/SCE_2019PowerContentLabel.pdf.**
17. **California Public Utilities Commission. Natural Gas and California. [Online] http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/general.aspx?id=4802.**
18. **Department of Motor Vehicles. *State of California Department of Motor Vehicles Statistics For Publication January Through December 2021.* 2021.**

19. U.S. Energy Information Administration. California Analysis. *Energy Information Administration.* [Online] https://www.eia.gov/beta/states/states/ca/analysis.
20. California Energy Commission Staff. 2022 Integrated Energy Policy Report Update. [Online] 2022. https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-02/Adopted_2022_IEPR_Update_with_errata_ada.pdf.
21. California Energy Commission. Energy Commission Adopts Updated Building Standards to Improve Efficiency, Reduce Emissions from Homes and Businesses. [Online] August 11, 2021. https://www.energy.ca.gov/news/2021-08/energy-commission-adopts-updated-building-standards-improve-efficiency-reduce-0.
22. California Department of General Services. 2022 CALGreen Code. *CALGreen.* [Online] https://codes.iccsafe.org/content/CAGBC2022P1.
23. California Energy Commission. Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS). [Online] 2002. http://www.energy.ca.gov/portfolio/.
24. State of California. *California Environmental Quality Act Guideline, California Public Resources Code, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3,.*
25. Association of Environmental Professionals. *2019 CEQA California Environmental Quality Act.* 2019.
26. Urban Crossroads, Inc. *Rubidoux Air Quality Impact Analysis.* 2023.
27. California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA). California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod). [Online] May 2022. www.caleemod.com.
28. California Department of Transportation. EMFAC Software. [Online] http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/air/pages/emfac.htm.
29. State of California. *2019 CEQA California Environmental Quality Act.* 2019.
30. Pray, Richard. *2023 National Construction Estimator.* Carlsbad : Craftsman Book Company, 2023.
31. —. *2022 National Construction Estimator.* Carlsbad : Craftsman Book Company, 2022.
32. Southern California Edison. Schedule GS-1 General Service. *Regulatory Information - Rates Pricing.* [Online] https://www.sce.com/regulatory/tariff-books/rates-pricing-choices.
33. —. Schedule GS-1 General Service. *Regulatory Information - Rates Pricing.* [Online] https://library.sce.com/content/dam/sce-doclib/public/regulatory/tariff/electric/schedules/general-service-&-industrial-rates/ELECTRIC_SCHEDULES_GS-1.pdf.
34. California Air Resources Board. *Methods to Find the Cost-Effectiveness of Funding Air Quality Projects For Evaluating Motor Vehicle Registration Fee Projects And Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Projects, Emission Factor Tables.* 2018.
35. —. Truck and Bus Regulation. [Online] https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/truck-and-bus-regulation.
36. The California Energy Commission. 2022 Building Energy Efficiency Standards. *California Energy Commission.* [Online] 2022. https://www.energy.ca.gov/programs-and-topics/programs/building-energy-efficiency-standards/2022-building-energy-efficiency.

This page intentionally left blank

7 CERTIFICATIONS

The contents of this energy analysis report represent an accurate depiction of the environmental impacts associated with the proposed Rubidoux. The information contained in this energy analysis report is based on the best available data at the time of preparation. If you have any questions, please contact me directly at hqureshi@urbanxroads.com.

Haseeb Qureshi
Principal
Urban Crossroads, Inc.
hqureshi@urbanxroads.com

EDUCATION

Master of Science in Environmental Studies
California State University, Fullerton • May 2010

Bachelor of Arts in Environmental Analysis and Design
University of California, Irvine • June 2006

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

AEP – Association of Environmental Planners
AWMA – Air and Waste Management Association
ASTM – American Society for Testing and Materials

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATIONS

Planned Communities and Urban Infill – Urban Land Institute • June 2011
Indoor Air Quality and Industrial Hygiene – EMSL Analytical • April 2008
Principles of Ambient Air Monitoring – California Air Resources Board • August 2007
AB2588 Regulatory Standards – Trinity Consultants • November 2006
Air Dispersion Modeling – Lakes Environmental • June 2006

This page intentionally left blank

APPENDIX 4.1:

CALEEMOD CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS MODEL OUTPUTS

15001 Rubidoux Warehouse Construction Detailed Report

Table of Contents

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	15001 Rubidoux Warehouse Construction
Lead Agency	—
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	2.20
Precipitation (days)	14.2
Location	34.01562758808966, -117.39970206822201
County	Riverside-South Coast
City	Jurupa Valley
Air District	South Coast AQMD
Air Basin	South Coast
TAZ	5425
EDFZ	11
Electric Utility	Southern California Edison
Gas Utility	Southern California Gas

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
Manufacturing	597	1000sqft	13.7	597,085	0.00	—	—	—
General Heavy Industry	597	1000sqft	13.7	597,085	0.00	—	—	—

Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	52.0	Acre	52.0	0.00	0.00	—	—	—

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

No measures selected

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	6.08	34.5	50.0	74.4	0.11	2.54	8.99	9.89	2.34	2.78	5.11	—	16,318	16,318	0.61	1.16	43.8	16,626
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	5.95	34.3	50.5	62.8	0.11	2.54	8.99	9.89	2.34	2.78	5.11	—	15,619	15,619	0.62	1.16	1.14	15,887
Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	3.88	14.5	34.4	38.1	0.07	1.50	5.82	6.25	1.38	1.39	2.58	—	9,692	9,692	0.38	0.63	12.7	9,885
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.71	2.64	6.28	6.95	0.01	0.27	1.06	1.14	0.25	0.25	0.47	—	1,605	1,605	0.06	0.10	2.11	1,637

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e

Daily - Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2023	5.96	5.01	50.0	39.8	0.11	2.54	6.03	8.57	2.34	2.78	5.11	—	14,041	14,041	0.44	1.16	17.0	14,416
2024	5.30	34.1	20.1	67.2	0.05	0.63	8.79	9.42	0.58	2.10	2.68	—	14,824	14,824	0.55	0.82	43.8	15,127
2025	6.08	34.5	26.3	74.4	0.07	0.90	8.99	9.89	0.83	2.15	2.98	—	16,318	16,318	0.61	0.84	41.3	16,626
Daily - Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2023	5.95	5.00	50.5	50.0	0.11	2.54	7.48	8.57	2.34	2.78	5.11	—	14,023	14,023	0.51	1.16	1.06	14,382
2024	5.12	33.9	20.8	55.0	0.05	0.63	8.79	9.42	0.58	2.10	2.68	—	14,125	14,125	0.56	0.83	1.14	14,387
2025	5.67	34.3	26.7	62.8	0.07	0.90	8.99	9.89	0.83	2.15	2.98	—	15,619	15,619	0.62	0.84	1.07	15,887
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2023	3.88	3.19	34.4	26.2	0.07	1.50	3.36	4.86	1.38	1.20	2.58	—	8,440	8,440	0.28	0.63	4.11	8,640
2024	3.42	14.5	14.3	38.1	0.04	0.44	5.82	6.25	0.40	1.39	1.79	—	9,692	9,692	0.38	0.57	12.7	9,885
2025	1.34	7.49	6.63	15.3	0.02	0.23	2.01	2.24	0.21	0.48	0.69	—	3,653	3,653	0.14	0.19	4.02	3,718
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2023	0.71	0.58	6.28	4.78	0.01	0.27	0.61	0.89	0.25	0.22	0.47	—	1,397	1,397	0.05	0.10	0.68	1,430
2024	0.62	2.64	2.61	6.95	0.01	0.08	1.06	1.14	0.07	0.25	0.33	—	1,605	1,605	0.06	0.09	2.11	1,637
2025	0.24	1.37	1.21	2.80	< 0.005	0.04	0.37	0.41	0.04	0.09	0.13	—	605	605	0.02	0.03	0.67	616

3. Construction Emissions Details

3.1. Demolition (2023) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	3.39	2.84	27.3	23.5	0.03	1.20	—	1.20	1.10	—	1.10	—	3,425	3,425	0.14	0.03	—	3,437
Demolition	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.47	0.47	—	0.07	0.07	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.19	0.16	1.50	1.29	< 0.005	0.07	—	0.07	0.06	—	0.06	—	188	188	0.01	< 0.005	—	188
Demolition	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.03	0.03	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.03	0.03	0.27	0.23	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	31.1	31.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	31.2
Demolition	—	—	—	—	—	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Worker	0.08	0.08	0.09	1.03	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	202	202	0.01	0.01	0.02	205
Vendor	0.01	0.01	0.23	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	—	189	189	< 0.005	0.03	0.01	197
Hauling	0.02	0.01	0.47	0.11	< 0.005	0.01	0.10	0.11	0.01	0.03	0.04	—	391	391	0.01	0.06	0.02	409
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	11.2	11.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	11.4
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	10.3	10.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	10.8
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	21.4	21.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	22.4
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.86	1.86	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.89
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.71	1.71	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.79
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.54	3.54	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	3.72

3.3. Site Preparation (2023) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	5.83	4.90	47.0	38.0	0.05	2.53	—	2.53	2.33	—	2.33	—	5,530	5,530	0.22	0.04	—	5,549
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.66	5.66	—	2.69	2.69	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Off-Road Equipment	5.83	4.90	47.0	38.0	0.05	2.53	—	2.53	2.33	—	2.33	—	5,530	5,530	0.22	0.04	—	5,549
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.66	5.66	—	2.69	2.69	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.80	0.67	6.44	5.20	0.01	0.35	—	0.35	0.32	—	0.32	—	758	758	0.03	0.01	—	760
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.78	0.78	—	0.37	0.37	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.15	0.12	1.18	0.95	< 0.005	0.06	—	0.06	0.06	—	0.06	—	125	125	0.01	< 0.005	—	126
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.14	0.14	—	0.07	0.07	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.10	0.09	0.09	1.59	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.23	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	257	257	0.01	0.01	1.10	261
Vendor	0.03	0.01	0.59	0.18	< 0.005	0.01	0.14	0.14	0.01	0.04	0.05	—	503	503	0.01	0.07	1.40	526
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.10	0.09	0.11	1.20	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.23	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	236	236	0.01	0.01	0.03	239
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.61	0.19	< 0.005	0.01	0.14	0.14	0.01	0.04	0.05	—	503	503	0.01	0.07	0.04	525
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	32.8	32.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	33.2
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	68.9	68.9	< 0.005	0.01	0.08	72.0
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	5.43	5.43	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	5.50
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	11.4	11.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	11.9
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.5. Grading (2023) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	5.00	4.20	40.9	32.7	0.06	1.96	—	1.96	1.80	—	1.80	—	6,715	6,715	0.27	0.05	—	6,738
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.68	2.68	—	0.98	0.98	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	5.00	4.20	40.9	32.7	0.06	1.96	—	1.96	1.80	—	1.80	—	6,715	6,715	0.27	0.05	—	6,738
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.68	2.68	—	0.98	0.98	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	2.60	2.18	21.3	17.0	0.03	1.02	—	1.02	0.94	—	0.94	—	3,496	3,496	0.14	0.03	—	3,508
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.40	1.40	—	0.51	0.51	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.47	0.40	3.89	3.10	0.01	0.19	—	0.19	0.17	—	0.17	—	579	579	0.02	< 0.005	—	581
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.25	0.25	—	0.09	0.09	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.12	0.11	0.11	1.81	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.26	0.00	0.06	0.06	—	294	294	0.01	0.01	1.26	298

Vendor	0.10	0.06	2.16	0.67	0.01	0.03	0.50	0.53	0.03	0.14	0.17	—	1,853	1,853	0.04	0.28	5.16	1,941
Hauling	0.29	0.13	6.79	2.09	0.03	0.09	1.29	1.38	0.09	0.36	0.46	—	5,179	5,179	0.12	0.82	10.6	5,438
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.11	0.10	0.12	1.37	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.26	0.00	0.06	0.06	—	270	270	0.01	0.01	0.03	273
Vendor	0.09	0.05	2.27	0.69	0.01	0.03	0.50	0.53	0.03	0.14	0.17	—	1,854	1,854	0.04	0.28	0.13	1,938
Hauling	0.27	0.12	7.13	2.13	0.03	0.09	1.29	1.38	0.09	0.36	0.46	—	5,184	5,184	0.12	0.82	0.27	5,432
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	142	142	0.01	0.01	0.28	144
Vendor	0.05	0.03	1.19	0.36	0.01	0.01	0.26	0.27	0.01	0.07	0.09	—	965	965	0.02	0.14	1.17	1,009
Hauling	0.15	0.06	3.71	1.10	0.02	0.05	0.67	0.72	0.05	0.19	0.24	—	2,697	2,697	0.06	0.43	2.39	2,829
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	23.6	23.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	23.9
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.22	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	—	160	160	< 0.005	0.02	0.19	167
Hauling	0.03	0.01	0.68	0.20	< 0.005	0.01	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.03	0.04	—	446	446	0.01	0.07	0.40	468

3.7. Building Construction (2023) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.62	1.36	12.8	14.3	0.03	0.60	—	0.60	0.55	—	0.55	—	2,630	2,630	0.11	0.02	—	2,639

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	10.3	10.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	10.3
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	1.70	1.70	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.71
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	2.80	2.54	3.08	34.5	0.00	0.00	6.56	6.56	0.00	1.54	1.54	—	6,770	6,770	0.33	0.25	0.82	6,853
Vendor	0.17	0.09	4.15	1.27	0.02	0.05	0.92	0.97	0.05	0.26	0.30	—	3,394	3,394	0.07	0.50	0.25	3,547
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	26.8	26.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	27.2
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	13.3	13.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	13.9
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	4.44	4.44	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	4.51
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.20	2.20	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	2.30
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.9. Building Construction (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.55	1.30	12.2	14.2	0.03	0.54	—	0.54	0.49	—	0.49	—	2,630	2,630	0.11	0.02	—	2,639
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.55	1.30	12.2	14.2	0.03	0.54	—	0.54	0.49	—	0.49	—	2,630	2,630	0.11	0.02	—	2,639
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.11	0.93	8.72	10.2	0.02	0.39	—	0.39	0.35	—	0.35	—	1,884	1,884	0.08	0.02	—	1,890
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.20	0.17	1.59	1.86	< 0.005	0.07	—	0.07	0.06	—	0.06	—	312	312	0.01	< 0.005	—	313
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	2.82	2.57	2.42	41.9	0.00	0.00	6.56	6.56	0.00	1.54	1.54	—	7,219	7,219	0.30	0.25	28.6	7,329
Vendor	0.15	0.10	3.80	1.18	0.02	0.05	0.92	0.97	0.05	0.26	0.30	—	3,353	3,353	0.07	0.50	9.45	3,514
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	2.68	2.42	2.85	31.7	0.00	0.00	6.56	6.56	0.00	1.54	1.54	—	6,634	6,634	0.32	0.25	0.74	6,717
Vendor	0.14	0.09	3.98	1.21	0.02	0.05	0.92	0.97	0.05	0.26	0.30	—	3,355	3,355	0.07	0.50	0.24	3,508
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	1.91	1.72	2.04	23.9	0.00	0.00	4.65	4.65	0.00	1.09	1.09	—	4,813	4,813	0.23	0.18	8.86	4,880
Vendor	0.11	0.07	2.85	0.86	0.02	0.03	0.66	0.69	0.03	0.18	0.22	—	2,402	2,402	0.05	0.36	2.91	2,514
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.35	0.31	0.37	4.36	0.00	0.00	0.85	0.85	0.00	0.20	0.20	—	797	797	0.04	0.03	1.47	808
Vendor	0.02	0.01	0.52	0.16	< 0.005	0.01	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.03	0.04	—	398	398	0.01	0.06	0.48	416
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.11. Building Construction (2025) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Off-Road Equipment	1.45	1.21	11.3	14.1	0.03	0.47	—	0.47	0.43	—	0.43	—	2,630	2,630	0.11	0.02	—	2,639
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.45	1.21	11.3	14.1	0.03	0.47	—	0.47	0.43	—	0.43	—	2,630	2,630	0.11	0.02	—	2,639
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.33	0.27	2.55	3.18	0.01	0.10	—	0.10	0.10	—	0.10	—	592	592	0.02	< 0.005	—	594
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.06	0.05	0.46	0.58	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	98.0	98.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	98.3
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	2.70	2.24	2.19	38.7	0.00	0.00	6.56	6.56	0.00	1.54	1.54	—	7,069	7,069	0.29	0.25	26.0	7,176
Vendor	0.15	0.07	3.63	1.13	0.02	0.05	0.92	0.97	0.05	0.26	0.30	—	3,304	3,304	0.07	0.50	9.38	3,465
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	2.37	2.11	2.42	29.3	0.00	0.00	6.56	6.56	0.00	1.54	1.54	—	6,498	6,498	0.30	0.25	0.67	6,581

Vendor	0.14	0.07	3.80	1.16	0.02	0.05	0.92	0.97	0.05	0.26	0.30	—	3,307	3,307	0.07	0.50	0.24	3,458
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.53	0.47	0.59	6.96	0.00	0.00	1.46	1.46	0.00	0.34	0.34	—	1,481	1,481	0.07	0.06	2.52	1,502
Vendor	0.03	0.02	0.85	0.26	0.01	0.01	0.21	0.22	0.01	0.06	0.07	—	744	744	0.02	0.11	0.91	779
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.10	0.09	0.11	1.27	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.27	0.00	0.06	0.06	—	245	245	0.01	0.01	0.42	249
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.16	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.04	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	123	123	< 0.005	0.02	0.15	129
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.13. Paving (2025) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.95	0.80	7.45	9.98	0.01	0.35	—	0.35	0.32	—	0.32	—	1,511	1,511	0.06	0.01	—	1,517
Paving	—	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.95	0.80	7.45	9.98	0.01	0.35	—	0.35	0.32	—	0.32	—	1,511	1,511	0.06	0.01	—	1,517
Paving	—	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.29	0.24	2.25	3.01	< 0.005	0.11	—	0.11	0.10	—	0.10	—	456	456	0.02	< 0.005	—	457
Paving	—	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.05	0.04	0.41	0.55	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	75.4	75.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	75.7
Paving	—	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.08	0.07	0.07	1.16	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	211	211	0.01	0.01	0.78	215
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.88	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	194	194	0.01	0.01	0.02	197
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	59.3	59.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10	60.2
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	9.82	9.82	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	9.96
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.15. Architectural Coating (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.22	0.18	1.21	1.53	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.04	—	0.04	—	178	178	0.01	< 0.005	—	179
Architectural Coatings	—	29.5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.22	0.18	1.21	1.53	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.04	—	0.04	—	178	178	0.01	< 0.005	—	179
Architectural Coatings	—	29.5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Off-Road Equipment	0.09	0.07	0.47	0.60	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	69.3	69.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	69.6
Architectural Coatings	—	11.5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.02	0.01	0.09	0.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	11.5	11.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	11.5
Architectural Coatings	—	2.09	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.56	0.51	0.48	8.37	0.00	0.00	1.31	1.31	0.00	0.31	0.31	—	1,444	1,444	0.06	0.05	5.73	1,466
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.54	0.48	0.57	6.33	0.00	0.00	1.31	1.31	0.00	0.31	0.31	—	1,327	1,327	0.06	0.05	0.15	1,343
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.21	0.19	0.22	2.60	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.51	0.00	0.12	0.12	—	523	523	0.02	0.02	0.96	531
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.09	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	86.6	86.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.16	87.9
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.17. Architectural Coating (2025) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.21	0.17	1.18	1.52	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.03	—	0.03	—	178	178	0.01	< 0.005	—	179
Architectural Coatings	—	29.5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.21	0.17	1.18	1.52	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.03	—	0.03	—	178	178	0.01	< 0.005	—	179
Architectural Coatings	—	29.5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Off-Road Equipment	0.04	0.04	0.25	0.33	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	38.3	38.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	38.5
Architectural Coatings	—	6.34	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	6.34	6.34	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	6.37
Architectural Coatings	—	1.16	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.54	0.45	0.44	7.75	0.00	0.00	1.31	1.31	0.00	0.31	0.31	—	1,414	1,414	0.06	0.05	5.20	1,435
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.47	0.42	0.48	5.85	0.00	0.00	1.31	1.31	0.00	0.31	0.31	—	1,300	1,300	0.06	0.05	0.13	1,316
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.10	0.09	0.11	1.33	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.00	0.07	0.07	—	283	283	0.01	0.01	0.48	287
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	46.9	46.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	47.6
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetation	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sequest	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Demolition	Demolition	1/2/2023	1/27/2023	5.00	20.0	—
Site Preparation	Site Preparation	1/30/2023	4/7/2023	5.00	50.0	—
Grading	Grading	4/8/2023	12/29/2023	5.00	190	—
Building Construction	Building Construction	12/30/2023	4/25/2025	5.00	345	—
Paving	Paving	01/01/2025	6/3/2025	5.00	110	—
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	6/16/2024	4/20/2025	5.00	220	—

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	33.0	0.73
Demolition	Excavators	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	367	0.40
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	367	0.40
Site Preparation	Crawler Tractors	Diesel	Average	4.00	8.00	87.0	0.43
Grading	Excavators	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40
Grading	Scrapers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	423	0.48
Grading	Crawler Tractors	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	87.0	0.43
Building Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	14.0	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	46.0	0.45
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	89.0	0.36
Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	37.0	0.48

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Demolition	—	—	—	—
Demolition	Worker	15.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor	6.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	5.50	20.0	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Site Preparation	—	—	—	—
Site Preparation	Worker	17.5	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	16.0	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Grading	—	—	—	—
Grading	Worker	20.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	59.0	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	143	10.0	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Building Construction	—	—	—	—
Building Construction	Worker	502	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	108	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Paving	—	—	—	—
Paving	Worker	15.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT

Architectural Coating	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coating	Worker	100	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
Architectural Coating	0.00	0.00	1,893,158	631,053	135,871

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (Cubic Yards)	Material Exported (Cubic Yards)	Acres Graded (acres)	Material Demolished (Building Square Footage)	Acres Paved (acres)
Demolition	0.00	0.00	0.00	9,555	—
Site Preparation	0.00	0.00	175	0.00	—
Grading	0.00	216,715	760	0.00	—
Paving	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	52.0

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	Frequency (per day)	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction

Water Exposed Area	3	74%	74%

5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
Manufacturing	0.00	0%
General Heavy Industry	0.00	0%
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	52.0	0%

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2023	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005
2024	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005
2025	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

Tree Type	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	26.2	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	3.55	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	0.00	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	3.43	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about ¾ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 50 meters (m) by 50 m, or about 164 feet (ft) by 164 ft.

Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	3	0	0	N/A

Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A
Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	0	0	0	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.
The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.
The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	3	1	1	3
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2
Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	1	1	1	2

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.
The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.
The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Exposure Indicators	—
AQ-Ozone	97.0
AQ-PM	92.6
AQ-DPM	69.1
Drinking Water	94.9
Lead Risk Housing	85.0
Pesticides	0.00
Toxic Releases	87.9
Traffic	64.7
Effect Indicators	—
CleanUp Sites	89.7
Groundwater	22.1
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	97.2
Impaired Water Bodies	12.5
Solid Waste	94.6
Sensitive Population	—
Asthma	61.8
Cardio-vascular	72.3
Low Birth Weights	54.6
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	—
Education	89.0
Housing	47.6

Linguistic	72.3
Poverty	60.0
Unemployment	73.4

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	—
Above Poverty	22.4560503
Employed	40.16424997
Median HI	—
Education	—
Bachelor's or higher	24.38085461
High school enrollment	100
Preschool enrollment	36.10932888
Transportation	—
Auto Access	54.54895419
Active commuting	28.89772873
Social	—
2-parent households	62.96676505
Voting	11.36917747
Neighborhood	—
Alcohol availability	63.53137431
Park access	12.48556397
Retail density	18.10599256
Supermarket access	12.57538817
Tree canopy	6.249197998

Housing	—
Homeownership	62.73578853
Housing habitability	38.79122289
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	35.30091107
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	50.46836905
Uncrowded housing	10.22712691
Health Outcomes	—
Insured adults	18.72192994
Arthritis	0.0
Asthma ER Admissions	38.0
High Blood Pressure	0.0
Cancer (excluding skin)	0.0
Asthma	0.0
Coronary Heart Disease	0.0
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	0.0
Diagnosed Diabetes	0.0
Life Expectancy at Birth	7.3
Cognitively Disabled	21.0
Physically Disabled	29.8
Heart Attack ER Admissions	11.9
Mental Health Not Good	0.0
Chronic Kidney Disease	0.0
Obesity	0.0
Pedestrian Injuries	85.1
Physical Health Not Good	0.0
Stroke	0.0
Health Risk Behaviors	—

Binge Drinking	0.0
Current Smoker	0.0
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	0.0
Climate Change Exposures	—
Wildfire Risk	1.6
SLR Inundation Area	0.0
Children	20.9
Elderly	87.4
English Speaking	16.1
Foreign-born	65.9
Outdoor Workers	12.7
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	—
Impervious Surface Cover	80.8
Traffic Density	80.3
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	—
Hardship	79.8
Other Decision Support	—
2016 Voting	16.0

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	95.0
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	25.0
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	Yes
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	No
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.
b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Construction: Construction Phases	Construction schedule based on data provided by Project applicant
Construction: Off-Road Equipment	Crawler tractors used instead of tractors/loaders/backhoes for site preparation and grading. All equipment assumed to operate 8 hours per day.
Construction: Trips and VMT	Vendor Trips adjusted based on CalEEMod defaults for Building Construction and number of days for Demolition, Site Preparation, Grading, and Building Construction
Construction: Architectural Coatings	SCAQMD Rule 1113

This page intentionally left blank

APPENDIX 4.2:

CALEEMOD OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS MODEL OUTPUTS

15001 Rubidoux Warehouse Construction Ops Detailed Report

Table of Contents

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	15001 Rubidoux Warehouse Construction Ops
Lead Agency	—
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	2.20
Precipitation (days)	14.2
Location	34.01562758808966, -117.39970206822201
County	Riverside-South Coast
City	Jurupa Valley
Air District	South Coast AQMD
Air Basin	South Coast
TAZ	5425
EDFZ	11
Electric Utility	Southern California Edison
Gas Utility	Southern California Gas

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
Manufacturing	597	1000sqft	13.7	597,085	29,963	—	—	—
General Heavy Industry	597	1000sqft	13.7	597,085	29,963	—	—	—

Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	52.0	Acre	52.0	0.00	0.00	—	—	—
User Defined Industrial	1,194	User Defined Unit	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	—	—

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

No measures selected

2. Emissions Summary

2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	24.6	49.6	48.6	232	0.79	0.80	22.2	23.0	0.76	4.12	4.88	1,327	95,119	96,446	138	8.07	273	102,562
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	23.6	48.6	51.5	195	0.76	0.80	22.2	23.0	0.76	4.12	4.88	1,327	91,623	92,951	138	8.15	7.08	98,828
Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	18.8	44.4	38.8	163	0.59	0.60	17.5	18.1	0.56	3.22	3.79	1,327	73,808	75,135	137	6.41	91.3	80,564
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	3.44	8.10	7.08	29.7	0.11	0.11	3.19	3.30	0.10	0.59	0.69	220	12,220	12,439	22.7	1.06	15.1	13,338

2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	24.6	22.1	48.6	232	0.79	0.80	22.2	23.0	0.76	4.12	4.88	—	82,404	82,404	2.36	6.63	273	84,711
Area	—	27.5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	10,915	10,915	1.03	0.13	—	10,978
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	529	1,800	2,330	54.4	1.31	—	4,081
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	798	0.00	798	79.8	0.00	—	2,792
Total	24.6	49.6	48.6	232	0.79	0.80	22.2	23.0	0.76	4.12	4.88	1,327	95,119	96,446	138	8.07	273	102,562
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	23.6	21.1	51.5	195	0.76	0.80	22.2	23.0	0.76	4.12	4.88	—	78,908	78,908	2.45	6.72	7.08	80,978
Area	—	27.5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	10,915	10,915	1.03	0.13	—	10,978
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	529	1,800	2,330	54.4	1.31	—	4,081
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	798	0.00	798	79.8	0.00	—	2,792
Total	23.6	48.6	51.5	195	0.76	0.80	22.2	23.0	0.76	4.12	4.88	1,327	91,623	92,951	138	8.15	7.08	98,828
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	18.8	16.9	38.8	163	0.59	0.60	17.5	18.1	0.56	3.22	3.79	—	61,092	61,092	1.94	4.97	91.3	62,713
Area	—	27.5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	10,915	10,915	1.03	0.13	—	10,978
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	529	1,800	2,330	54.4	1.31	—	4,081
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	798	0.00	798	79.8	0.00	—	2,792
Total	18.8	44.4	38.8	163	0.59	0.60	17.5	18.1	0.56	3.22	3.79	1,327	73,808	75,135	137	6.41	91.3	80,564
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	3.44	3.08	7.08	29.7	0.11	0.11	3.19	3.30	0.10	0.59	0.69	—	10,115	10,115	0.32	0.82	15.1	10,383

Area	—	5.02	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	1,807	1,807	0.17	0.02	—	1,818
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	87.6	298	386	9.01	0.22	—	676
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	132	0.00	132	13.2	0.00	—	462
Total	3.44	8.10	7.08	29.7	0.11	0.11	3.19	3.30	0.10	0.59	0.69	220	12,220	12,439	22.7	1.06	15.1	13,338

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Manufacturing	10.7	9.82	5.23	103	0.21	0.09	0.98	1.07	0.09	0.29	0.38	—	21,269	21,269	0.82	0.54	76.4	21,527
General Heavy Industry	12.4	11.4	6.08	119	0.24	0.11	1.14	1.25	0.10	0.34	0.44	—	24,726	24,726	0.95	0.63	88.9	25,027
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
User Defined Industrial	1.54	0.87	37.3	10.4	0.34	0.60	2.65	3.25	0.57	0.85	1.43	—	36,409	36,409	0.59	5.46	108	38,157
Total	24.6	22.1	48.6	232	0.79	0.80	4.76	5.57	0.76	1.49	2.25	—	82,404	82,404	2.36	6.63	273	84,711
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Manufact	10.2	9.35	5.79	85.3	0.19	0.09	0.98	1.07	0.09	0.29	0.38	—	19,648	19,648	0.86	0.58	1.98	19,844
General Heavy Industry	11.9	10.9	6.73	99.1	0.23	0.11	1.14	1.25	0.10	0.34	0.44	—	22,841	22,841	1.00	0.68	2.30	23,070
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
User Defined Industrial	1.51	0.84	39.0	10.4	0.34	0.60	2.65	3.25	0.57	0.85	1.43	—	36,419	36,419	0.59	5.46	2.79	38,064
Total	23.6	21.1	51.5	195	0.76	0.80	4.76	5.57	0.76	1.49	2.25	—	78,908	78,908	2.45	6.72	7.08	80,978
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Manufacturing	1.47	1.35	0.87	12.9	0.03	0.01	0.14	0.16	0.01	0.04	0.06	—	2,623	2,623	0.11	0.08	4.35	2,653
General Heavy Industry	1.77	1.62	1.04	15.5	0.03	0.02	0.17	0.19	0.02	0.05	0.07	—	3,149	3,149	0.14	0.09	5.23	3,186
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
User Defined Industrial	0.20	0.11	5.18	1.36	0.04	0.08	0.35	0.43	0.08	0.11	0.19	—	4,343	4,343	0.07	0.65	5.54	4,544
Total	3.44	3.08	7.08	29.7	0.11	0.11	0.66	0.77	0.10	0.21	0.31	—	10,115	10,115	0.32	0.82	15.1	10,383

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Manufacturing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5,457	5,457	0.52	0.06	—	5,489
General Heavy Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5,457	5,457	0.52	0.06	—	5,489
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	10,915	10,915	1.03	0.13	—	10,978
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Manufacturing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5,457	5,457	0.52	0.06	—	5,489
General Heavy Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5,457	5,457	0.52	0.06	—	5,489
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	10,915	10,915	1.03	0.13	—	10,978
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Manufacturing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	904	904	0.09	0.01	—	909

General Heavy Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	904	904	0.09	0.01	—	909
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,807	1,807	0.17	0.02	—	1,818

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Manufacturing	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
General Heavy Industry	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Manufacturing	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
General Heavy Industry	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Manufacturing	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
General Heavy Industry	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.2. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer Products	—	25.7	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	—	1.78	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	27.5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer Products	—	25.7	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	—	1.78	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	27.5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer Products	—	4.70	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	—	0.32	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	5.02	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.2. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Manufacturing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	265	900	1,165	27.2	0.65	—	2,040
General Heavy Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	265	900	1,165	27.2	0.65	—	2,040
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	529	1,800	2,330	54.4	1.31	—	4,081
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Manufacturing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	265	900	1,165	27.2	0.65	—	2,040
General Heavy Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	265	900	1,165	27.2	0.65	—	2,040
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	529	1,800	2,330	54.4	1.31	—	4,081
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Manufacturing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	43.8	149	193	4.51	0.11	—	338

General Heavy Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	43.8	149	193	4.51	0.11	—	338
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	87.6	298	386	9.01	0.22	—	676

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.2. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Manufacturing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	399	0.00	399	39.9	0.00	—	1,396
General Heavy Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	399	0.00	399	39.9	0.00	—	1,396
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	798	0.00	798	79.8	0.00	—	2,792

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Manufacturing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	399	0.00	399	39.9	0.00	—	1,396
General Heavy Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	399	0.00	399	39.9	0.00	—	1,396
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	798	0.00	798	79.8	0.00	—	2,792
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Manufacturing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	66.1	0.00	66.1	6.60	0.00	—	231
General Heavy Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	66.1	0.00	66.1	6.60	0.00	—	231
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
User Defined Industrial	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	132	0.00	132	13.2	0.00	—	462

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetation	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

5. Activity Data

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Manufacturing	2,568	890	591	746,726	28,777	9,969	6,624	8,367,810
General Heavy Industry	2,760	412	2,985	896,722	30,929	4,617	33,455	10,048,670
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
User Defined Industrial	422	13.1	4.78	110,955	12,803	399	145	3,366,388

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
0	0.00	1,893,158	631,053	135,871

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	0.00

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Manufacturing	5,713,549	349	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
General Heavy Industry	5,713,549	349	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	349	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
User Defined Industrial	0.00	349	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Manufacturing	138,075,906	475,077
General Heavy Industry	138,075,906	475,077
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Manufacturing	740	0.00
General Heavy Industry	740	0.00
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number per Day	Hours per Day	Hours per Year	Horsepower	Load Factor

5.16.2. Process Boilers

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number	Boiler Rating (MMBtu/hr)	Daily Heat Input (MMBtu/day)	Annual Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)

5.17. User Defined

Equipment Type	Fuel Type
—	—

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

Tree Type	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	26.2	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	3.55	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	0.00	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	3.43	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.
Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about ¾ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.
Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 50 meters (m) by 50 m, or about 164 feet (ft) by 164 ft.
Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	3	0	0	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A

Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality	0	0	0	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.
The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.
The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	3	1	1	3
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2
Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality	1	1	1	2

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.
The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.
The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Exposure Indicators	—
AQ-Ozone	97.0
AQ-PM	92.6
AQ-DPM	69.1
Drinking Water	94.9
Lead Risk Housing	85.0
Pesticides	0.00
Toxic Releases	87.9
Traffic	64.7
Effect Indicators	—
CleanUp Sites	89.7
Groundwater	22.1
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	97.2
Impaired Water Bodies	12.5
Solid Waste	94.6
Sensitive Population	—
Asthma	61.8
Cardio-vascular	72.3
Low Birth Weights	54.6
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	—
Education	89.0
Housing	47.6
Linguistic	72.3
Poverty	60.0

Unemployment	73.4

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	—
Above Poverty	22.4560503
Employed	40.16424997
Education	—
Bachelor's or higher	24.38085461
High school enrollment	100
Preschool enrollment	36.10932888
Transportation	—
Auto Access	54.54895419
Active commuting	28.89772873
Social	—
2-parent households	62.96676505
Voting	11.36917747
Neighborhood	—
Alcohol availability	63.53137431
Park access	12.48556397
Retail density	18.10599256
Supermarket access	12.57538817
Tree canopy	6.249197998
Housing	—
Homeownership	62.73578853
Housing habitability	38.79122289

Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	35.30091107
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	50.46836905
Uncrowded housing	10.22712691
Health Outcomes	—
Insured adults	18.72192994
Arthritis	0.0
Asthma ER Admissions	38.0
High Blood Pressure	0.0
Cancer (excluding skin)	0.0
Asthma	0.0
Coronary Heart Disease	0.0
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	0.0
Diagnosed Diabetes	0.0
Life Expectancy at Birth	7.3
Cognitively Disabled	21.0
Physically Disabled	29.8
Heart Attack ER Admissions	11.9
Mental Health Not Good	0.0
Chronic Kidney Disease	0.0
Obesity	0.0
Pedestrian Injuries	85.1
Physical Health Not Good	0.0
Stroke	0.0
Health Risk Behaviors	—
Binge Drinking	0.0
Current Smoker	0.0
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	0.0

Climate Change Exposures	—
Wildfire Risk	1.6
SLR Inundation Area	0.0
Children	20.9
Elderly	87.4
English Speaking	16.1
Foreign-born	65.9
Outdoor Workers	12.7
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	—
Impervious Surface Cover	80.8
Traffic Density	80.3
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	—
Hardship	79.8
Other Decision Support	—
2016 Voting	16.0

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	95.0
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	25.0
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	Yes
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	No
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.
b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health and Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Construction: Construction Phases	Construction schedule based on data provided by Project applicant
Construction: Off-Road Equipment	Crawler tractors used instead of tractors/loaders/backhoes for site preparation and grading. All equipment assumed to operate 8 hours per day.
Construction: Trips and VMT	Vendor Trips adjusted based on CalEEMod defaults for Building Construction and number of days for Demolition, Site Preparation, Grading, and Building Construction
Construction: Architectural Coatings	SCAQMD Rule 1113
Operations: Vehicle Data	Trip rates based on Project traffic study
Operations: Fleet Mix	Fleet mix adjusted to separate passenger cars and trucks
Operations: Architectural Coatings	SCAQMD Rule 1113
Operations: Landscape Equipment	Project will utilize electric landscape equipment.
Operations: Energy Use	Project will not utilize natural gas.
Operations: Refrigerants	Manufacturing and General Industry does not include cold storage

This page intentionally left blank

APPENDIX 4.3:

EMFAC2021

Source: EMFAC2021 (v1.0.2) Emissions Inventory
Region Type: Sub-Area
Region: Riverside (SC)
Calendar Year: 2023
Season: Annual
Vehicle Classification: EMFAC2007 Categories
Units: miles/day for CVMT and EVMT, trips/day for Trips, kWh/day for Energy Consumption, tons/day for Emissions, 1000 gallons/day for Fuel Consumption

Region	Calend	Vehicle Ca	Model Year	Speed	Fuel	Population	Total VMT	Fuel_Consumption	Fuel_Consumption	Total Fuel	VMT	Total VMT	Miles per Gallon	Vehicle Class
Riverside (SC)	2023	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	9.455104489	402.0155083	0.108573531	108.5735307	317785.1606	402.0155083	1920248.354	6.04	HHDT
Riverside (SC)	2023	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	14188.53655	1870417.715	309.6254593	309625.4593		1870417.715			
Riverside (SC)	2023	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	10.75839329	733.8118529	0	0		733.8118529			
Riverside (SC)	2023	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	693.7983116	48694.81207	8.051127696	8051.127696	711067.1515	48694.81207	21760170.77	30.60	HHDT
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	469124.6474	20366451.54	699.7310812	699731.0812		20366451.54			
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	1558.762895	58561.51523	1.375784729	1375.784729		58561.51523			
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	16185.78734	744565.1808	0	0	64044.29373	744565.1808	1546785.932	24.15	LDA
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybr	11651.42905	590592.5329	9.960285645	9960.285645		590592.5329			
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	41569.09002	1542689.764	63.99950114	63999.50114		1542689.764			
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	20.22700504	383.6181372	0.015644241	15.64424123	358545.5463	383.6181372	8562709.114	23.88	LDT1
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	42.93918941	1813.231309	0	0		1813.231309			
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybr	33.25263876	1899.318283	0.029148352	29.14835174		1899.318283			
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	191587.7811	8435118.12	356.5641957	356564.1957	77417.67097	8435118.12	1224140.947	15.81	LDT2
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	577.8339592	27328.90025	0.849494989	849.4949888		27328.90025			
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	816.9774193	29520.94571	0	0	22679.23434	29520.94571	346711.8059	15.29	LDT2
Riverside (SC)	2023	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybr	1285.022226	70741.14871	1.131855657	1131.855657		70741.14871			
Riverside (SC)	2023	LHDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	18052.34987	656605.5887	49.73832228	49738.32228	3403.298812	656605.5887	141523.0693	41.58	LHDT1
Riverside (SC)	2023	LHDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	15395.69696	567535.3588	27.67934868	27679.34868	344047.395	567535.3588	6637695.092	19.29	LHDT1
Riverside (SC)	2023	LHDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	2523.570585	90490.65997	7.611904144	7611.904144		90490.65997			
Riverside (SC)	2023	LHDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	6852.470307	256221.1459	15.0673302	15067.3302		256221.1459			
Riverside (SC)	2023	MCY	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	24170.7213	141523.0693	3.403298812	3403.298812	10873.77525	141523.0693	62635.35904	5.76	MCY
Riverside (SC)	2023	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	159138.1322	6456725.347	338.8355886	338835.5886		6456725.347			
Riverside (SC)	2023	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	2483.005938	104140.6313	4.4577137	4457.7137	72860.34533	104140.6313	613586.1262	8.42	MDV
Riverside (SC)	2023	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	897.1539487	32338.42861	0	0		32338.42861			
Riverside (SC)	2023	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybr	887.9224631	44490.68605	0.754092705	754.0927053	4805.404855	44490.68605	30497.76136	6.35	MDV
Riverside (SC)	2023	MH	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	5083.841078	44617.33224	9.135457245	9135.457245		44617.33224			
Riverside (SC)	2023	MH	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	2073.70666	18018.02681	1.738318002	1738.318002	5896.748986	18018.02681	37701.28126	6.39	MH
Riverside (SC)	2023	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	1260.142241	50001.99826	9.730848023	9730.848023		50001.99826			
Riverside (SC)	2023	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	12683.243	556347.8969	62.32189585	62321.89585	11107.60554	556347.8969	49531.64193	4.46	MHDT
Riverside (SC)	2023	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	4.9202908	108.4971152	0	0		108.4971152			
Riverside (SC)	2023	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	147.6204682	7127.733974	0.807601459	807.6014589		7127.733974			
Riverside (SC)	2023	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	386.6813181	13386.35665	2.645844907	2645.844907		13386.35665			
Riverside (SC)	2023	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	215.667787	15076.44179	1.951877039	1951.877039		15076.44179			
Riverside (SC)	2023	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	33.12387867	2034.962916	0.207682909	207.6829092		2034.962916			
Riverside (SC)	2023	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	421.1646074	16563.24745	1.897862822	1897.862822		16563.24745			
Riverside (SC)	2023	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	499.0687276	10519.58678	1.437331357	1437.331357		10519.58678			
Riverside (SC)	2023	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	0.562315788	6.53322339	0	0		6.53322339			
Riverside (SC)	2023	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	428.0776414	10611.9138	2.561554808	2561.554808		10611.9138			
Riverside (SC)	2023	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	145.9294435	18476.36382	3.28009086	3280.09086		18476.36382			
Riverside (SC)	2023	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	0.3117338	30.10971099	0.002674589	2.674588852		30.10971099			
Riverside (SC)	2023	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	0.030745281	2.969621933	0	0		2.969621933			
Riverside (SC)	2023	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	251.677147	31022.19878	7.824840087	7824.840087		31022.19878			

Source: EMFAC2021 (v1.0.2) Emissions Inventory
Region Type: Sub-Area
Region: Riverside (SC)
Calendar Year: 2024
Season: Annual
Vehicle Classification: EMFAC2007 Categories
Units: miles/day for CVMT and EVMT, trips/day for Trips, kWh/day for Energy Consumption, tons/day for Emissions, 1000 gallons/day for Fuel Consumption

Region	Calend	Vehicle Ca	Model Year	Speed	Fuel	Population	Total VMT	Fuel Consu	l_Consumpt	Total Fuel	VMT	Total VMT	iles per Gall	Vehicle Class
Riverside (SC)	2024	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	7.589475903	347.9694468	0.092181	92.180823	321404.96	347.9694468	1967302.751	6.12	HHDT
Riverside (SC)	2024	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	14792.02338	1911347.779	313.044	313043.98		1911347.779			
Riverside (SC)	2024	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	47.99547895	5148.201829	0	0		5148.201829			
Riverside (SC)	2024	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	740.0705237	50458.80082	8.268807	8268.807	700469.61	50458.80082	22069128.65	31.51	LDA
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	469145.3818	20418129.53	688.4837	688483.66		20418129.53			
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	1473.049219	54327.45303	1.267189	1267.1888		54327.45303			
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	19934.69439	945704.6798	0	0	62104.325	945704.6798	1529163.988	24.62	LDT1
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybr	12893.65575	650966.9876	10.71876	10718.763		650966.9876			
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	40643.24621	1523061.246	62.04625	62046.247		1523061.246			
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	18.16927182	339.6979643	0.013831	13.831102	361927.38	339.6979643	8893408.735	24.57	LDT2
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	60.98632141	2789.967089	0	0		2789.967089			
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybr	52.35545177	2973.077776	0.044247	44.247357		2973.077776			
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	196761.1569	8732860.794	359.6747	359674.68	75554.206	8732860.794	1221087.42	16.16	LHDT1
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	611.2140627	29007.74721	0.880423	880.42307		29007.74721			
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	1212.721837	43455.52608	0	0	22224.411	43455.52608	344827.7113	15.52	LHDT2
Riverside (SC)	2024	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybr	1617.209463	88084.6679	1.372274	1372.2738		88084.6679			
Riverside (SC)	2024	LHDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	17828.73734	656766.0119	48.36248	48362.476	3359.2179	656766.0119	140258.0803	41.75	MCY
Riverside (SC)	2024	LHDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	15247.60565	560367.9206	27.19173	27191.731	337278.19	560367.9206	6673535.232	19.79	MDV
Riverside (SC)	2024	LHDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	53.50587181	3953.487241	0	0		3953.487241			
Riverside (SC)	2024	LHDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	2494.679179	89754.81853	7.387432	7387.4317		89754.81853			
Riverside (SC)	2024	LHDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	6844.928194	254103.3578	14.83698	14836.979	10212.975	254103.3578	59176.14669	5.79	MH
Riverside (SC)	2024	LHDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	13.8489928	969.5349487	0	0		969.5349487			
Riverside (SC)	2024	MCY	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	24077.0623	140258.0803	3.359218	3359.2179	73502.732	140258.0803	624307.4842	8.49	MHDT
Riverside (SC)	2024	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	158529.7591	6468418.76	332.0737	332073.69		6468418.76			
Riverside (SC)	2024	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	2456.219583	102039.6434	4.306633	4306.633	4662.3803	102039.6434	30088.9967	6.45	OBUS
Riverside (SC)	2024	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	1347.135818	48185.7285	0	0		48185.7285			
Riverside (SC)	2024	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybr	1094.492843	54891.09982	0.897864	897.86413	5918.2219	54891.09982	37909.3201	6.41	SBUS
Riverside (SC)	2024	MH	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	4781.777946	41623.53594	8.518926	8518.9264		41623.53594			
Riverside (SC)	2024	MH	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	2046.063726	17552.61075	1.694048	1694.0483	11054.354	17552.61075	49631.8201	4.49	UBUS
Riverside (SC)	2024	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	1238.0029	49965.95549	9.588667	9588.6666		49965.95549			
Riverside (SC)	2024	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	12954.3675	564761.4751	63.06415	63064.145		564761.4751			
Riverside (SC)	2024	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	40.46425607	2074.722372	0	0		2074.722372			
Riverside (SC)	2024	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	158.0466253	7505.331205	0.84992	849.92038		7505.331205			
Riverside (SC)	2024	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	374.6153087	12781.812	2.496601	2496.6014		12781.812			
Riverside (SC)	2024	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	219.2789175	15140.91273	1.951182	1951.1816		15140.91273			
Riverside (SC)	2024	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	0.821516166	55.60331633	0	0		55.60331633			
Riverside (SC)	2024	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	34.6553722	2110.668656	0.214597	214.59728		2110.668656			
Riverside (SC)	2024	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	423.5817437	16753.46749	1.914822	1914.8218		16753.46749			
Riverside (SC)	2024	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	491.8063992	10225.99182	1.394926	1394.9256		10225.99182			
Riverside (SC)	2024	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	2.445505521	61.99924762	0	0		61.99924762			
Riverside (SC)	2024	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	443.1589434	10867.86154	2.608475	2608.4745		10867.86154			
Riverside (SC)	2024	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	146.2127201	18511.1132	3.282633	3282.6331		18511.1132			
Riverside (SC)	2024	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	0.3117338	30.10971099	0.002675	2.675115		30.10971099			
Riverside (SC)	2024	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	0.120004951	18.36371585	0	0		18.36371585			
Riverside (SC)	2024	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	252.109466	31072.23347	7.769046	7769.0456		31072.23347			

Source: EMFAC2021 (v1.0.2) Emissions Inventory
Region Type: Sub-Area
Region: Riverside (SC)
Calendar Year: 2025
Season: Annual
Vehicle Classification: EMFAC2007 Categories
Units: miles/day for CVMT and EVMT, trips/day for Trips, kWh/day for Energy Consumption, tons/day for Emissions, 1000 gallons/day for Fuel Consumption

Region	Calend	Vehicle Ca	Model Year	Speed	Fuel	Population	Total VMT	Fuel Consu	l_Consumpt	Total Fuel	VMT	Total VMT	iles per Gall	Vehicle Class
Riverside (SC)	2025	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	6.232252524	303.889871	0.078876	78.875502	324061.93	303.88987	2014903.459	6.22	HHDT
Riverside (SC)	2025	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	15281.49903	1950611.476	315.5183	315518.25		1950611.5			
Riverside (SC)	2025	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	103.9487733	11894.93596	0	0		11894.936			
Riverside (SC)	2025	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	781.6601067	52093.15724	8.464804	8464.8041	685799.58	52093.157	22281991.59	32.49	LDA
Riverside (SC)	2025	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	469318.5342	20373765.83	673.3165	673316.54		20373766			
Riverside (SC)	2025	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	1383.809245	49996.02059	1.157205	1157.2049		49996.021			
Riverside (SC)	2025	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	23756.17576	1153396.904	0	0	59994.793	1153396.9	1508277.871	25.14	LDT1
Riverside (SC)	2025	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybr	14087.23202	704832.8394	11.32583	11325.832		704832.84			
Riverside (SC)	2025	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	39844.42885	1499609.575	59.92078	59920.782		1499609.6			
Riverside (SC)	2025	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	16.26032827	298.1728862	0.012132	12.131898	362521.44	298.17289	9168424.554	25.29	LDT2
Riverside (SC)	2025	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	84.57619148	4089.475353	0	0		4089.4754			
Riverside (SC)	2025	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybr	76.19034646	4280.647946	0.061879	61.879155		4280.6479			
Riverside (SC)	2025	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	201900.7772	8973973.952	360.0166	360016.56	73403.799	8973974	1212550.7	16.52	LHDT1
Riverside (SC)	2025	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	648.0824816	30519.42791	0.906087	906.08704		30519.428			
Riverside (SC)	2025	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	1658.408696	58637.73041	0	0	21661.355	58637.73	341190.0394	15.75	LHDT2
Riverside (SC)	2025	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybr	1963.286623	105293.4446	1.598791	1598.7914		105293.44			
Riverside (SC)	2025	LHDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	17598.36242	652458.21	46.82733	46827.329	3307.5496	652458.21	138549.7935	41.89	MCY
Riverside (SC)	2025	LHDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	15075.59282	549831.8274	26.57647	26576.47	328676.51	549831.83	6678432.543	20.32	MDV
Riverside (SC)	2025	LHDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	149.6982853	10260.66293	0	0		10260.663			
Riverside (SC)	2025	LHDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	2462.303572	88408.90183	7.133201	7133.2007		88408.902			
Riverside (SC)	2025	LHDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	6820.445818	250292.8301	14.52815	14528.154	9582.2687	250292.83	55815.16631	5.82	MH
Riverside (SC)	2025	LHDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	38.18158868	2488.307475	0	0		2488.3075			
Riverside (SC)	2025	MCY	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	24005.46384	138549.7935	3.30755	3307.5496	73843.63	138549.79	635118.1523	8.60	MHDT
Riverside (SC)	2025	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	157992.5704	6448292.677	323.4938	323493.82		6448292.7			
Riverside (SC)	2025	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	2427.253752	99526.12558	4.137752	4137.7524	4510.7588	99526.126	29688.04546	6.58	OBUS
Riverside (SC)	2025	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	1830.142844	64565.5975	0	0		64565.598			
Riverside (SC)	2025	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Plug-in Hybr	1324.504282	66048.14278	1.04494	1044.9396	5926.5362	66048.143	38036.5897	6.42	SBUS
Riverside (SC)	2025	MH	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	4508.467531	38795.29207	7.939176	7939.1755		38795.292			
Riverside (SC)	2025	MH	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	2015.081247	17019.87424	1.643093	1643.0931	10964.447	17019.874	49731.99827	4.54	UBUS
Riverside (SC)	2025	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	1219.56756	49718.98291	9.418017	9418.017		49718.983			
Riverside (SC)	2025	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	13275.74248	571359.1019	63.53271	63532.713		571359.1			
Riverside (SC)	2025	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	118.7135177	6143.919124	0	0		6143.9191			
Riverside (SC)	2025	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	169.7860028	7896.148358	0.8929	892.89982		7896.1484			
Riverside (SC)	2025	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	362.5102847	12151.28279	2.347951	2347.9507		12151.283			
Riverside (SC)	2025	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	224.9321911	15183.67961	1.94077	1940.7697		15183.68			
Riverside (SC)	2025	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	2.021694394	134.2617193	0	0		134.26172			
Riverside (SC)	2025	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	36.9521167	2218.821339	0.222038	222.03847		2218.8213			
Riverside (SC)	2025	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	426.2067312	16859.59503	1.923043	1923.0435		16859.595			
Riverside (SC)	2025	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	483.8964136	9931.139032	1.352394	1352.3944		9931.139			
Riverside (SC)	2025	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	5.22909553	143.1587763	0	0		143.15878			
Riverside (SC)	2025	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	457.8096259	11102.69686	2.651098	2651.0983		11102.697			
Riverside (SC)	2025	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	146.4959788	18545.85863	3.288543	3288.5432		18545.859			
Riverside (SC)	2025	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	0.3117338	30.10971099	0.002675	2.675115		30.109711			
Riverside (SC)	2025	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	0.20926462	33.75780976	0	0		33.75781			
Riverside (SC)	2025	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Natural Gas	252.5418031	31122.27213	7.673228	7673.2282		31122.272			