

County of Fresno

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND PLANNING

STEVEN E. WHITE, DIRECTOR

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

1. Project title:

Initial Study No. 7901 and Classified Conditional Use Permit Application No. 3686

2. Lead agency name and address:

Fresno County Department of Public Works and Planning 2220 Tulare Street Fresno. CA 93721

3. Contact person and phone number:

David Randall - (559) 600-4052

4. Project location:

The project is located on the southwest corner of Belmont and Indianola Avenue, approximately two miles North of the City of Sanger, on 54.35 acres comprised of two parcels 314-031-73 & 74. (Sup. Dist. 5)

5. Project sponsor's name and address:

Raymond J. Lynott 505 N. Indianola Ave. Sanger, CA 93657

6. General Plan designation:

Agriculture

7. Zoning:

AE-20 (Exclusive Agriculture)

8. Description of project: (Describe the whole action involved, including, but not limited to, later phases of the project, and any secondary, support, or off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

Unclassified Conditional Use Permit to allow the Use of a "High Intensity Park" per Zoning Ord. Sec. 853-B.12 & .13. for the purpose of establishing a waterski club, on an established waterskiing lake, and events venue.

9. Surrounding land uses and setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings:

Surrounding uses include agricultural uses with individual homesites directly to the north to the east and west of the site and agricultural uses to the south.

10. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement.)

Fresno County Health Department, and Public Works and Planning Department

11. Have California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area requested consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21080.3.1? If so, is there a plan for consultation that includes, for example, the determination of significance of impacts to tribal cultural resources, procedures regarding confidentiality, etc.?

Notice was sent on July 31, 2020 to the following tribal governments Providing notice that this application was complete and that they had 30 days to request consultation on the project: Table Mountain Rancheria, Picayune Rancheria of Chukchansi Indians, Dumna Wo Wah Santa Rosa Rancheria, and the Tachi Yokut Tribe. Therefore, the County's responsibilities under AB 52 were met.

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages. **Aesthetics** Agriculture and Forestry Resources Air Quality **Biological Resources** Cultural Resources Energy Geology/Soils Greenhouse Gas Emissions Hazards & Hazardous Materials Hydrology/Water Quality Land Use/Planning Mineral Resources Noise Population/Housing **Public Services** Recreation Transportation Tribal Cultural Resources **Utilities/Service Systems** Wildfire Mandatory Findings of Significance **DETERMINATION OF REQUIRED ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT:** On the basis of this initial evaluation: I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment. A NEGATIVE **DECLARATION WILL BE PREPARED.** I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the Mitigation Measures described on the attached sheet have been added to the project. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION WILL BE PREPARED. I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL **IMPACT REPORT** is required I find that as a result of the proposed project, no new effects could occur, or new Mitigation Measures would be required that have not been addressed within the scope of a previous Environmental Impact Report. PERFORMED BY: **REVIEWED BY:** Jeremy Shaw, Planner David Randall, Senior Planner November 4, 2020 Date: November 4, 2020 Date:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

G:\4360Devs&PIn\PROJSEC\PROJDOCS\CUP\3600-3699\3686\CEQA - IS\CH Docs\CUP 3600 IS Checklist3.docx

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

(Initial Study Application No. 7423 and Classified Conditional Use Permit Application No. 3600)

The following checklist is used to determine if the proposed project could potentially have a significant effect on the environment. Explanations and information regarding each question follow the checklist.

- 1 = No Impact
- 2 = Less Than Significant Impact
- 3 = Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated
- 4 = Potentially Significant Impact

I. AESTHETICS

Except as provided in Public Resources Code Section 21099, would the project:

- 1 a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?
- b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?
- c) In non-urbanized areas, substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surroundings? (Public views are those that are experienced from a publicly accessible vantage point.) If the project is in an urbanized area, would the project conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality?
- _3_ d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare that would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?

II. AGRICULTURAL AND FORESTRY RESOURCES

In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and forest carbon measurement methodology in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:

- a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance, as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?
- b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act Contract?
- _1 c) Conflict with existing zoning for forest land, timberland or timberland zoned Timberland Production?
- ____ d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?
- e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?

III. AIR QUALITY

Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management district or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:

- a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable Air Quality Plan?
- _2 b) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is nonattainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard?
- 2 c) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?
- _2 d) Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people?

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

Would the project:

- a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special-status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?
- b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?
- _1 c) Have a substantial adverse effect on state or federally-protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?
- _1_ d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?
- e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?
- _1 f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state Habitat Conservation Plan?

V. CULTURAL RESOURCES

Would the project:

- a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource pursuant to Section 15064.5?
- b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section 15064.5?
- _3 c) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?

VI. ENERGY

Would the project:

- a) Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources during project construction or operation?
- b) Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency?

VII. GEOLOGY AND SOILS

Would the project: a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or a) Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse groundwater quality? effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on 1 substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning may impede sustainable groundwater management of the Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or ii) Strong seismic ground shaking? area, including through the alteration of the course of a iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? stream or river or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would result in substantial iv) Landslides? erosion or siltation on or off site? b) Result in substantial soil erosion or loss of topsoil? 1 Result in substantial erosion or siltation on or off site; Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that 2 ii) Substantially increase the rate or amount of surface would become unstable as a result of the project, and runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on or potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, off site: subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse? iii) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed Be located on expansive soil as defined in Table 18-1-B of the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct systems or provide substantial additional sources of or indirect risks to life or property? polluted runoff; or Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of iv) Impede or redirect flood flows? septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste In flood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zones, risk release of water? pollutants due to project inundation? f) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological Conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality 1_ resource or site or unique geologic feature? control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan? VIII. **GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS** XI. LAND USE AND PLANNING Would the project: Would the project: a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or 1 a) Physically divide an established community? indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the b) Cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict _1_ environment? with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect? for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases? XII. MINERAL RESOURCES Would the project: IX. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource Would the project: that would be of value to the region and the residents of the 1 a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral b) resource recovery site delineated on a local General Plan, b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment Specific Plan or other land use plan? through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident XIII. NOISE conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment? Would the project result in: Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely a) Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent hazardous materials, substances, or waste within oneincrease in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project guarter mile of an existing or proposed school? in excess of standards established in the local general plan Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code agencies? Section 65962.5 and, as a result, create a significant hazard 3 b) Generation of excessive ground-borne vibration or groundto the public or the environment? borne noise levels? e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, 1 c) For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been a public airport or public use airport, result in a safety hazard adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use or excessive noise for people residing or working in the airport, exposing people residing or working in the project project area? area to excessive noise levels? Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an XIV. POPULATION AND HOUSING adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan? Would the project: g) Expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a a) Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)? HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY b) Displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, Would the project: necessitating the construction of replacement housing

elsewhere?

PUBLIC SERVICES XIX. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS Would the project: Would the project: 1 a) Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated 1 a) Require or result in the relocation or construction of new or with the provision of new or physically-altered governmental expanded water, wastewater treatment or storm water facilities, or the need for new or physically-altered drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunications governmental facilities, the construction of which could facilities, the construction or relocation of which could cause cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain significant environmental effects? acceptable service ratios, response times or other 1 b) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project performance objectives for any of the public services: and reasonably foreseeable future development during Fire protection? normal, dry and multiple dry years? ii) Police protection? 1 c) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has iii) Schools? adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand iv) Parks? in addition to the provider's existing commitments? v) Other public facilities? d) Generate solid waste in excess of State or local standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, or otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction RECREATION XVI. goals? e) Comply with federal, state, and local management and Would the project: reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste? 1 a) Increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be XX. **WILDFIRE** accelerated? If located in or near state responsibility areas or lands classified as b) Include recreational facilities or require the construction or very high fire hazard severity zones, would the project: expansion of recreational facilities, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? 1 a) Substantially impair an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan? Due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, exacerbate XVII. TRANSPORTATION wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled Would the project: spread of a wildfire? a) Conflict with a program, plan, ordinance or policy addressing Require the installation or maintenance of associated the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water and pedestrian facilities? sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate b) Would the project conflict or be inconsistent with CEQA fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts to Guidelines section 15064.3, subdivision (b)? the environment? Substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design d) Expose people or structures to significant risks, including feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes? Result in inadequate emergency access? XXI. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE XVIII. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES Would the project: Would the project: 1 a) Have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the 3 a) Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or Resources Code Section 21074 as either a site, feature, animal community, substantially reduce the number or place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is: California history or prehistory? Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of 1 b) Have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code Section incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the 5020.1(k), or effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable ii) A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion future projects.) and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant 1 c) Have environmental effects which will cause substantial pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1? In applying the criteria set adverse effects on human beings, either directly or

forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resource Code Section

5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.)

indirectly?

This Initial Study is referenced by the documents listed below. These documents are available for public review at the County of Fresno, Department of Public Works and Planning, Development Services and Capital Projects Division, 2220 Tulare Street, Suite A, Fresno, California (corner of M & Tulare Streets).

Fresno County General Plan, Policy Document, Background Report and Final EIR
Fresno County Zoning Ordinance
Important Farmland 2016 Map, State Department of Conservation
US Geologic Service, Federal Emergency Management Agency Digital Flood Maps,
https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home Accessed April 30, 2020
USEPA NEPAssist Mapper Tool, https://www.epa.gov/nepa/nepassist Accessed May 5, 2020

USEPA NEPAssist Mapper Tool, https://www.epa.gov/nepa/nepassist Accessed May 5, 2020 Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey,

https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm Accessed May 5, 2020

Onsite Wastewater Disposal System Design prepared by Technicon Engineering Services, Inc., June 27, 2019 Traffic Impact Study – proposed medical clinic by Peters Engineering Group, May 16, 2019

DR

G:\4360Devs&PIn\PROJSEC\PROJDOCS\CUP\3600-3699\3686\CEQA - IS\CH Docs\CUP 3686 IS Checklist.docx