Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Point Molate Beach Shoreline Restoration Project

Lead Agency: City of Richmond

Contact Name: Lina Velasco

Email: Lina_Velasco@ci.richmond.ca.us Phone Number: 510-620-6841

Project Location: Richmond (*City*) Contra Costa County (*County*)

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The proposed Point Molate Beach Shoreline Restoration project would restore actively eroding portions of the shoreline at Point Molate Beach Park. Continual coastal wave and tidal action are causing a loss of park area and severe undercutting is present near a broken culvert. This coastal erosion has undercut the shoreline and the planned alignment for the San Francisco Bay Trail. Preliminary design alternatives included placing a rock revetment or constructing a piled retaining wall with riprap protection. The proposed beach restoration consists of an approximately 300-foot-long rock revetment situated at the north end of Beach Park. As part of the project, an 80-foot-long foundational section of the Bay Trail would be constructed where it coincides with the Beach Park slope stabilization, and the existing 12 inch culvert would be replaced with a new 15-reinforced concrete culvert connecting from an existing drainage inlet just north of the bluff to the face of the new rock revetment.
The project limits encompass approximately 1 acre along the shoreline at Beach Park, starting at the existing shoreline rock revetment near Stenmark Drive and continuing approximately 300 feet southward.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated on Biological Resources and Tribal Cultural Resources.
- Mitigation Measure BIO-1: Requires a pre-construction migratory bird nesting survey and avoidance measures to reduce potential impacts to migratory birds.
- Mitigation Measure TCR-1: Requires Cultural Resources and Tribal Cultural Resources Sensitivity and Awareness Training Program prior to ground-disturbing activities to train construction workers in the proper identification and treatment of unanticipated discoveries.
- Mitigation Measure TCR-2: Requires actions to be taken in the event of inadvertent discovery of archaeological and/or TCR resources which implement avoidance and minimization measures and procedures to evaluate and protect resources.
-Mitigation Measure TCR-3: Requires implementation of certain performance standards in the event of inadvertent discovery of human remains.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

There are no known areas of controversy.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

- California Department of Fish and Wildlife
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), as issuer of 404 permit and lead agency for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) review
- San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board, as issuer of 401 permit