Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Initial Study No. 7799; Amendment Application No. 3841; Director Review and Approval No. 4610

Lead Agency: Fresno County

Contact Name: Ethan Davis

Email: thdavis@fresnocountyca.gov Phone Number: (559) 600 - 9669

Project Location: Biola (*City*) Fresno County (*County*)

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

Allow rezone of two contiguous parcels totaling 2.6 acres from the existing M-1 (Light Manufacturing) Zone District to an M-3 (Heavy Industrial) Zone District to allow the expansion of an existing fertilizer plant on an adjacent parcel onto the subject parcels (APN 016-300-17S & 18S). The subject parcels are located on the east side of Biola Avenue, between I Street and H Street, within the unincorporated community of Biola (4460 N. Biola Avenue) (Sup. Dist.: 1) (APN: 016-300-02).

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Based upon Initial Study No. 7799 prepared for Amendment Application No. 3841 and Director Review and Approval Application No. 4610 staff has concluded that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment.

It has been determined that there would be no impacts to biological resources, cultural resources, mineral resources, population and housing, recreation, tribal cultural resources, or wildfire.

Potential impacts related to air quality, energy, geology and soils, greenhouse gas emissions, hazards and hazardous materials, hydrology and water quality, land use and planning, public services, transportation, and utilities and service systems have been determined to be less than significant.

Potential impacts to aesthetics have been determined to be less than significant with the identified Mitigation Measures.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

No areas of controversy were identified by reviewing agencies or the public.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Couty of Fresno, Department of Public Works and Planning